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Abstract. We study the mass of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with boundary using the method of Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern [5]. More precisely, we derive a mass formula on the union of an asymptotically flat manifold and fill-ins of its boundary, and give new sufficient conditions guaranteeing the positivity of the mass. Motivation to such consideration comes from studying the quasi-local mass of the boundary surface. If the boundary isometrically embeds in the Euclidean space, we apply the formula to obtain convergence of the Brown-York mass along large surfaces tending to \( \infty \) which include the scaling of any fixed coordinate-convex surface.

1. Introduction

Given a complete asymptotically flat 3-manifold \((M^3, g)\), Bray, Kazaras, Khuri and Stern [5] gave a new proof of the three dimensional Riemannian positive mass theorem [27, 36]. More precisely, their result shows

\[
\mathfrak{m}(g) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{\text{ext}}} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right),
\]

where \(\mathfrak{m}(g)\) is the total mass [1] of \((M, g)\), \(M_{\text{ext}}\) is the exterior region in \((M, g)\), \(R\) denotes the scalar curvature of the metric, and \(u\) is a harmonic function, satisfying a Neumann boundary condition at \(\partial M_{\text{ext}}\), and is asymptotic to one of the asymptotically flat coordinate functions at infinity.

The method in [5] was inspired by the level sets approach to study scalar curvature via harmonic maps initiated by Stern [33] (also see Bray-Stern [4]). The method was further explored by Kazaras, Khuri and the first named author [15] to prove the three dimensional spacetime positive mass theorem [28] and produced a new lower bound on the total energy-momentum of initial data sets.

In this paper, we apply the method of Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern [5] to analyze the mass of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds \((M^3, g)\) with nonempty boundary \(\Sigma\). In the case of \(M\) being diffeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^3\) minus a ball, the mass of such manifolds is often used in the study of the quasi-local mass of \(\Sigma\) (see [3, 20, 35] for instance).

The following formula identifies the boundary terms of the integral in [5] that relates the mass and harmonic functions. (See Proposition 2.3 and Remark 2.1 in Section 2.)

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \((M, g)\) be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary \(\Sigma\). Let \(u\) be a harmonic function on \(M\) which is asymptotic to one of the asymptotically flat coordinate functions at infinity. Let \(\Sigma_t = u^{-1}(t)\) be the level set of \(u\) and \(\nu\) be the infinity pointing unit normal at \(\Sigma_t\). Then

\[
\mathfrak{m}(g) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right) d\sigma,
\]

where \(d\sigma\) is the surface measure on \(\Sigma_t\).
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normal to $\Sigma$. Then
\[
8\pi m(g) + 2\pi \int_{-T}^{T} (\chi(\Sigma_t) - 1) \, dt \\
\geq \int_{M} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R |\nabla u| \right) \\
- \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\{\nabla u = 0\}} \left\langle \nabla \Sigma, \nabla \Sigma u \right\rangle |\nabla u|.
\]
Here $T > 0$ is some large constant so that the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma_t) = 1$ for $|t| \geq T$; $H$ is the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ with respect to $\nu$; $\beta$ is the angle between $\nabla u$ and $\Sigma$, which equals zero if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$; and operators $\Delta_\Sigma$, $\nabla_\Sigma$ and $\nabla^2_\Sigma$ denote the corresponding Laplacian, gradient, and Hessian on $\Sigma$.

Using (1.2), we derive a formula of the mass of $(M, g)$ via fill-ins of its boundary $\Sigma$. We say a compact Riemannian 3-manifold $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$ is a fill-in of $\Sigma$ if $\partial \Omega$ is isometric to $\Sigma$.

![Figure 1. An asymptotically flat manifold and a fill-in of its boundary](image)

Throughout the paper, metrics $g$ and $g_\Omega$ are assumed to be $C^2$ up to the boundary.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(M, g)$ be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary $\Sigma$. Let $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$ be a fill-in of $\Sigma$. Then there exist harmonic functions $u$, $u_\Omega$ on $(M, g)$, $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$ respectively, so that $u$ is asymptotic to one of the asymptotically flat coordinate functions near infinity on $(M, g)$, $u$ and $u_\Omega$ are $C^2$ up to the boundary, and along the boundary,
\[
u \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} u(t) = u_\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u_\Omega}{\partial \nu}.
\]
Here $\nu$ denotes the $\infty$-pointing unit normal to $\Sigma$ in $(M, g)$, and by abuse of notation also the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$ in $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$. The equation is to be understood as two sides being equal under the isometry between $\Sigma$ and $\partial \Omega$. If the topological manifold obtained by gluing $M$ and $\Omega$ along their boundary contains no non-separating 2-spheres, then
\[
m(g) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[ \int_{M} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R |\nabla u| \right) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u_\Omega|^2}{|\nabla u_\Omega|} + R |\nabla u_\Omega| \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (H_\Omega - H) |\nabla u|.
\]
Here $H$, $H_\Omega$ are the mean curvature of $\Sigma$, $\partial \Omega$ in $(M, g)$, $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$ respectively, and $|\nabla u|$ denotes the common value of the length of the gradient of $u$ and $u_\Omega$ at $\Sigma$ and $\partial \Omega$.

**Remark 1.** Given $(M^3, g)$ with boundary $\Sigma$, a fill-in of $\Sigma$ modulo the smoothness at a point can always be produced using $(M, g)$ itself (see Section 3.4 for details). More generally, it was shown by Shi, Wang and Wei [31] that fill-ins with nonnegative scalar curvature always exist for $\Sigma$. Related study of fill-ins with or without mean curvature constraint can be found in [16, 17, 21, 22, 31, 32].
Formula (1.4) is not surprising in the sense that the mean curvature difference \((H_0 - H)\) measures the distributional scalar curvature of the manifold \((N, \hat{g}) = (M, g) \cup (\Omega, g_0)\) across \(\Sigma = \partial \Omega\) (see [24, 29]). A proof of (1.4) then can be given by approximating \(\hat{g}\) by smooth metrics produced in [24] and applying formula (1.1) to the approximation (see the appendix). In Section 3 below, we choose a more direct approach toward Theorem 1.2 by working with harmonic functions defined on the singular manifold \((N, \hat{g})\). By analyzing their regularity at the corner surface \(\Sigma\), in Proposition 3.1 we show these functions are necessarily smooth on \(\Sigma\), and hence smooth up to \(\Sigma\) from the two sides in \((N, \hat{g})\). As a result, except the mean curvature term, boundary terms in (1.2) from \((M, g)\) are compensated by the corresponding boundary terms from \((\Omega, g_0)\), which gives (1.4). We think this type of tangential regularity result can be useful in other PDE settings as well.

Formula (1.4) leads to a sufficient condition that guarantees positivity of \(m(g)\).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \((M, g)\) and \((\Omega, g_0)\) be given as in Theorem 1.2. Suppose there exist vector fields \(X, Y\) on \(\Omega, M\), respectively, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{a) } R \geq C_1 |X|^2 - 2 \text{ div } X \text{ on } \Omega, \\
&\text{b) } R \geq C_2 |Y|^2 - 2 \text{ div } Y \text{ on } M \text{ with } Y = O(|x|^{-1-2\tau}) \text{ for some } \tau > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and} \\
&\text{c) } H - \langle Y, \nu \rangle \leq H_0 - \langle X, \nu \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(C_1, C_2 \geq \frac{2}{3}\) are some constants. Then \(m(g) \geq 0\). Moreover, if \(C_1, C_2 > \frac{2}{3}\), then \(m(g) = 0\) implies \(X = 0, Y = 0, \Sigma\) and \(\partial \Omega\) have the same second fundamental forms, and the manifold \((M, g) \cup (\Omega, g_0)\) obtained by gluing along \(\Sigma = \partial \Omega\) is isometric to \(\mathbb{R}^3\).

**Remark 2.** Motivations for allowing such vector fields on \(\Omega\) and \(M\) come from the use of graphs of Jang’s equation [28] in the context of quasi-local mass (see [19, 20, 34, 35] for instance). In that setting, the scalar curvature \(R\) of Jang’s graph satisfies a condition like \(R \geq 2|X|^2 - 2 \text{ div } X\) for some vector field \(X\).

If we make use of a fill-in of \(\Sigma\) produced by \((M, g)\) itself, we obtain a result that only depends on \((M, g)\).

**Corollary 1.4.** Let \((M, g)\) be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary \(\Sigma\). Let \(G\) be the harmonic function on \((M, g)\) satisfying \(G = 1\) at \(\Sigma\) and \(G \to 0\) at \(\infty\). Let \(w\) be the harmonic function satisfying \(w = 0\) at \(\Sigma\) and \(w\) is asymptotic to an asymptotically flat coordinate function at \(\infty\). Then there exists a harmonic function \(v\) on \((M, g)\) such that \(v \to 0\) at \(\infty\) and

\[
(1.5) \quad v \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} - 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \text{ at } \Sigma.
\]

Moreover, if \((M, g)\) is diffeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^3\) minus a ball,

\[
(1.6) \quad m(g) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M \left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right] - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma (H + 2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu})|\nabla u|.
\]

Here \(u = v + w\).

**Remark 3.** If \(R \geq 0\) and \(H \leq -2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}\), (1.6) implies \(m(g) \geq 0\), which is a special case of results in [14].

Given a surface \(\Sigma\) with mean curvature \(H\) in a 3-manifold \((M, g)\), if \(\Sigma\) has positive Gauss curvature, the Brown-York mass ([6] [7]) of \(\Sigma\) is given by

\[
(1.7) \quad m_{BY}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma (H_0 - H).
\]
Here $H_0$ denotes the mean curvature of the isometric embedding of $\Sigma$ in the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)$.

In an asymptotically flat 3-manifold $(M, g)$, let $\Sigma$ denote a large 2-sphere near infinity. It is a natural question to compare the Brown-York mass of $\Sigma$ and the mass of $(M, g)$.

![Figure 2. Brown-York mass of large surfaces in $(M, g)$](image)

If $\{\Sigma_r\}$ is a family of large coordinate spheres, it was demonstrated in [11] that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} m_{BY}(\Sigma_r) = m(g).$$

The same convergence property was shown for nearly round surfaces in [30] and for surfaces of revolution in asymptotically Schwarzschild manifold in [9, 10].

Theorem 1.2 suggests a natural approach to analyze the convergence of $m_{BY}(\Sigma_r)$ for a more general class of surfaces. Let $\Omega_0$ be the domain enclosed by $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then $(\Omega_0, g_0)$ provides a natural fill-in of the asymptotically end $(E, g)$, where $E$ is the exterior of $\Sigma$ in $M$. Applying (1.4) to the manifold $(N, \hat{g}) = (E, g) \cup (\Omega_0, g_0)$, one expects to relate $m(g)$ to $m_{BY}(\Sigma)$ by estimating the corresponding harmonic function. (In this case it can be shown that (1.4) is indeed an equation.) By implementing this idea, we show that

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $(M, g)$ be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with a coordinate chart $\{x_i\}$ in which the metric coefficients $g_{ij}$ satisfy the asymptotically flat condition. Let $\{\Sigma_r\}_{r \geq r_0}$ be a 1-parameter family of surfaces approaching infinity, where $r = \min_{\Sigma_r} \{|x| \mid x \in \Sigma_r\}$. Suppose the rescaled surfaces

$$\bar{\Sigma}_r := \left\{ \frac{1}{r} x \mid x \in \Sigma_r \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

satisfy the property

$$0 < k_1 < \kappa_\alpha < k_2, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where $\{\kappa_\alpha\}$ are the principal curvatures of $\bar{\Sigma}_r$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with respect to the background Euclidean metric and $k_1, k_2$ are two positive constants independent of $r$. Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} m_{BY}(\Sigma_r) = m(g).$$

In particular, Theorem 1.5 applies to any family of surfaces that are obtained by scaling a fixed convex surface in the background coordinate space.

We end this introduction with a connection of (1.4) to the positivity of Brown-York mass. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\Sigma$. Let $g$ be a metric on $\Omega$ such that $g$ and $g_0$ induce the same metric $\gamma$ on $\Sigma$. Applying Theorem 1.2 to $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, g_0) \cup (\Omega, g)$, one has

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (H_0 - H_\Omega) |\nabla u| \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega} \frac{|\nabla^2 u|}{|\nabla u|} + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u_\Omega|}{|\nabla u_\Omega|} + R |\nabla u_\Omega| \right) \right].$$
Here $u$ and $u_\Omega$ are given by Theorem 1.2. In particular, $u$ is harmonic on $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, g_0)$, asymptotic to a coordinate function at infinity, and satisfies $\int_\Sigma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ at $\Sigma$.

If $g$ has nonnegative scalar curvature, it follows that
\[
(1.12) \quad \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma (H_0 - H_\Omega) |\nabla u| \geq 0.
\]
Comparing to the positivity of Brown-York mass ([29]), (1.12) has the drawback of having a weight function $|\nabla u|$ that is not explicitly determined by the boundary data of $(\Sigma, \gamma, H_\Omega)$. On the other hand, (1.12) does not require an assumption on the mean curvature $H_\Omega$ and the Gauss curvature of $\gamma$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive the relevant formulae on manifolds with boundary in the spirit of [5, 33]. In Section 3, we study regularity of harmonic functions on manifolds with corner along a hypersurface and prove Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, we analyze the convergence of Brown-York mass using Theorem 1.2 and prove Theorem 1.5.

2. The Boundary Formulae

We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $U$ be an open set with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial U$ in a given manifold. Let $S$ be a smooth portion of $\partial U$. Let $u$ be a harmonic function on $U$. Suppose $u$ is $C^2$ up to $S$. Let $\nu$ denote the outward unit normal to $S$. Given a constant $\epsilon > 0$, let $\phi = \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}$.

Then, at $p \in S$,
\begin{enumerate}
\item $\partial_\nu \phi = 0$, if $\nabla u(p) = 0$;
\item $\partial_\nu \phi = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\phi} H - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \Delta_S \eta$, if $\nabla u(p) \neq 0$ and $\nabla_S \eta(p) = 0$;
\item $\partial_\nu \phi = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\phi} H + \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \kappa |\nabla_S \eta| + \frac{1}{\phi} (\nabla_S \partial_\nu u, \nabla_S \eta) - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} |\nabla_S \eta|^2 \nabla_S^2 \eta(\nabla_S \eta, \nabla_S \eta)$, if $\nabla_S \eta(p) \neq 0$.
\end{enumerate}

Here $\eta = u|_S$ is the restriction of $u$ to $S$; $\nabla_S$, $\nabla_S^2$ and $\Delta_S$ denote the gradient, the Hessian and the Laplacian on $S$; $H$ is the mean curvature of $S$ with respect to $\nu$; and $\kappa$ is the geodesic curvature of the level curve of $\eta$ in the level surface of $u$ with respect to the outward direction.

**Proof.** At $p$, we have
\[
(2.1) \quad \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{1}{\phi} (\nabla_\nu \nabla u, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla^2 u(\nu, \nabla u).
\]
Thus, $\partial_\nu \phi = 0$ if $\nabla u = 0$ at $p$. In what follows, we assume $\nabla u(p) \neq 0$. Near $p$ in $S$, let $n = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$. Then
\[
(2.2) \quad \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \nabla^2 u(\nu, n).
\]

Let $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ be the angle between $\nu$ and $n$. We have two cases:

Case 1. $\nabla_S \eta = 0$ at $p$. In this case, $\nabla u = (\partial_\nu u) \nu$, and
\[
(2.3) \quad \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \nabla^2 u(\nu, \nu) = \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} (-H \partial_\nu u - \Delta_S \eta).
\]
Here we have used the fact that $\Delta u = 0$ implies
\[ (2.4) \quad \nabla^2 u(\nu, \nu) = -H \partial_\nu u - \Delta_s \eta. \]
We rewrite (2.3) as
\[ (2.5) \quad \partial_\nu \phi = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\phi} H - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \Delta_s \eta. \]

**Case 2.** $\nabla_\Sigma \eta \neq 0$ at $p$. In this case, we introduce the following notations near $p$:
- $\tau_t^\prime$ denotes a unit tangent vector to the curve $\tau_t$;
- $n_t = \frac{1}{|\nabla_\Sigma \eta|} \nabla_\Sigma \eta$, which is a unit normal to $\tau_t$ in $S$;
- $\nu_t$ denotes the outward unit normal to $\tau_t$ within $\Sigma_t$.

Along the curve $\tau_t$, $\{\nu,t\}$ and $\{\nu_t,n\}$ both form an orthonormal basis of the normal bundle $\tau_t^\perp$, and
\[ (2.6) \quad \cos \theta = \langle \nu, n_t \rangle = \frac{\partial_\nu u}{|\nabla u|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_\Sigma \eta| = |\nabla u| \sin \theta. \]
We have
\[ \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \nabla^2 u(\nu, n_t) \]
\[ = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \nabla^2 u(\nu, n_t \sin \theta + \nu \cos \theta) \]
\[ = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \sin \theta \nabla^2 u(\nu, n_t) + \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \cos \theta \nabla^2 u(\nu, \nu). \]
Let $\Pi(v, w) = \langle \nabla_v \nu, w \rangle$ denote the second fundamental form of $S$ with respect to $\nu$. Then
\[ \nabla^2 u(\nu, n_t) = \langle \nabla_{n_t} \nabla u, \nu \rangle \]
\[ = \langle \nabla_{n_t} \nabla_\Sigma \eta, \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_{n_t} (\partial_\nu u), \nu \rangle \]
\[ = -\Pi(\nabla_\Sigma \eta, n_t) + n_t (\partial_\nu u). \]
Therefore,
\[ \sin \theta \nabla^2 u(\nu, n_t) = -\Pi(n_t, n_t)|\nabla_\Sigma \eta| \sin \theta + n_t (\partial_\nu u) \sin \theta. \]
Thus,
\[ \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \left[ -\Pi(n_t, n_t)|\nabla_\Sigma \eta| \sin \theta + n_t (\partial_\nu u) \sin \theta \right. \]
\[ + \left. ( -H \partial_\nu u - \Delta_s \eta ) \cos \theta \right]. \]
Here we also have used (2.4).

Now let $\kappa$ denote the geodesic curvature of $\tau_t = \Sigma_t \cap S$ in $\Sigma_t$ with respect to $\nu_t$, that is
\[ (2.11) \quad \kappa = \langle \nabla_{\tau_{t}^\prime} \nu_t, \tau_{t}^\prime \rangle = -\langle \nabla_{\tau_{t}^\prime} \tau_{t}^\prime, \nu_t \rangle. \]
Using the fact $\nu_t = -\cos \theta n_t + \sin \theta \nu$, we have
\[ \kappa = -\langle \nabla_{\tau_{t}^\prime} \tau_{t}^\prime, -\cos \theta n_t + \sin \theta \nu \rangle \]
\[ = \sin \theta \Pi(\tau_{t}^\prime, \tau_{t}^\prime) + \cos \theta \langle \nabla_{\tau_{t}^\prime} \tau_{t}^\prime, n_t \rangle \]
\[ = \sin \theta [H - \Pi(n_t, n_t)] + \cos \theta \langle \nabla \tau_{t}^\prime, n_t \rangle. \]
Or equivalently,

\[(2.13)\quad -\Pi(n_t, n_t) \sin \theta = \kappa - \sin \theta H - \cos \theta \langle \nabla \tau', n_t \rangle.\]

It follows from (2.10), (2.13) and (2.6) that

\[
\partial_\nu \phi = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \left[ \left( \kappa - \sin \theta H - \cos \theta \langle \nabla \tau', n_t \rangle \right) |\nabla_s \eta| + n_t (\partial_\nu u) \sin \theta \\
+ (-H \partial_\nu u - \Delta_s \eta) \cos \theta \right]
\]

\[(2.14)\]

\[
= \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \left[ \kappa |\nabla_s \eta| - H |\nabla u| + n_t (\partial_\nu u) \sin \theta \\
- (\Delta_s \eta + \langle \nabla \tau', \nabla_s \eta \rangle) \cos \theta \right].
\]

Observe that

\[(2.15)\quad |\nabla u| n_t (\partial_\nu u) \sin \theta = \langle \nabla_s \partial_\nu u, \nabla_s \eta \rangle \]

and

\[(2.16)\quad \Delta_s \eta + \langle \nabla \tau', \nabla_s \eta \rangle = \nabla_s^2 \eta(n_t, n_t).\]

We can rewrite (2.14) as

\[
\partial_\nu \phi = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi} \kappa |\nabla_s \eta| - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\phi} H + \frac{1}{\phi} \langle \nabla_s \partial_\nu u, \nabla_s \eta \rangle - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \nabla_s^2 \eta(n_t, n_t).
\]

This finishes the proof. \(\square\)

The next proposition gives an analogue of Proposition 4.2 in [5] with no boundary condition imposed.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \((\Omega, g)\) be a 3-dimensional compact, oriented Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary \(\partial \Omega\). Here \(\partial \Omega\) is not necessarily connected. Let \(u\) be a harmonic function on \(\Omega\). Then

\[
\int \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u}{|\nabla u|^2} + R|\nabla u| \right] - 2\pi \int_{u_{\min}}^{u_{\max}} \chi(\Sigma_t)\ dt
\]

\[(2.18)\]

\[
\leq - \int_{\partial \Omega} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\{\nabla \partial_\Omega u \neq 0\}} \left\langle \nabla \partial_\Omega \partial_\nu u, \frac{\nabla \partial_\Omega u}{|\nabla u|} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \nabla^2 u \left( \frac{\nabla \partial_\Omega u}{|\nabla \partial_\Omega u|}, \frac{\nabla \partial_\Omega u}{|\nabla \partial_\Omega u|} \right).
\]

Here \(R\) is the scalar curvature of \(g\); \(\Sigma_t = u^{-1}(t)\) is the level set of \(u\); \(\chi(\Sigma_t)\) denotes the Euler characteristic of \(\Sigma_t\) if \(\Sigma_t\) is a regular surface; \(u_{\min} = \min \Omega u, u_{\max} = \max \Omega u\); and \(H\) is the mean curvature of \(\partial \Omega\) with respect to the outward unit normal \(\nu\).

**Proof.** Given \(\epsilon > 0\), let \(\phi = \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}\). Integrating \(\Delta \phi\) on \(\Omega\) and applying Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
\int \Delta \phi = \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_\nu \phi = \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \{\nabla u \neq 0\}} \partial_\nu \phi = I_1 + I_2,
\]

where

\[
I_1 = \int_{\{\nabla \partial_\Omega u = 0, \partial_\nu u \neq 0\}} \partial_\nu \phi = \int_{\{\nabla \partial_\Omega u = 0, \partial_\nu u \neq 0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\phi} H - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{\phi} \Delta \partial_\Omega u,
\]

\[(2.19)\]

\[(2.20)\]
and
\[
I_2 = \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\}} \frac{\partial u}{\phi} \, \psi
\]
(2.21)
\[
= \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\}} -\frac{1}{\phi} H + \frac{1}{\phi^2} \kappa \nabla u + \frac{1}{\phi} \langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle - \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \nabla u \cdot \nabla \nabla u.
\]

Here \(|\nabla u|, H, \Delta \nabla u, |\nabla \nabla u|, \text{ and } |\nabla^2 u| \) are all bounded quantities on \(\partial \Omega\), independent on \(\epsilon\). Moreover, by item 3 in Lemma 2.1\(^2\),
\[
|\nabla u| \kappa |\nabla \nabla u| = \partial \phi \phi + \frac{1}{\phi} \langle \nabla \nabla u, \nabla \nabla u \rangle + \frac{1}{\phi} \langle \nabla \nabla u, \nabla \nabla u \rangle - \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u.
\]
on \{\nabla \nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \partial \Omega. This, together with \(|\partial \phi| \leq |\nabla \phi| \leq |\nabla^2 \phi|\), shows \(|\nabla \phi| \kappa|\nabla \nabla u|\) is bounded by a constant independent on \(\epsilon\) as well. Therefore, by dominated convergence
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial \phi \phi = \int_{\{\nabla u = 0, \partial u \neq 0\}} H |\nabla u| - \frac{\partial \phi u}{|\nabla u|} \Delta \nabla u
\]
(2.23)
\[
+ \int_{\{\nabla \nabla u \neq 0\}} -H |\nabla u| + \kappa |\nabla \nabla u| + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \langle \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \rangle - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u.
\]
Combining the terms involving \(H\) and applying the coarea formula to the term involving \(\kappa\), we can rewrite the above as
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial \phi \phi = -\int_{\partial \Omega} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\min}^{|\nabla u|} (\int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \cap \Sigma_t}) \kappa \, dt
\]
(2.24)
\[
+ \int_{\{\nabla \nabla u = 0, \partial u = 0\}} \langle \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \rangle - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u.
\]
Under an assumption \(u \in C^2\) on \(\Sigma\), elementary arguments shows the set
\[
\{x \in \Sigma \mid \nabla \nabla u = 0, \Delta \nabla u \neq 0\}
\]
is a set of measure zero in \(\Sigma\). Hence, the integral in the middle line above vanishes and (2.24) simplifies to
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial \phi \phi = -\int_{\partial \Omega} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\min}^{|\nabla u|} (\int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \cap \Sigma_t}) \kappa \, dt
\]
(2.25)
\[
+ \int_{\{\nabla \nabla u \neq 0\}} \langle \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \rangle - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u \nabla \nabla u.
\]
Next, we analyze the interior terms following the argument of Theorem 1.1 in [33]. First, by Bochner’s formula,

\[ \Delta \phi = \frac{1}{\phi} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \text{Ric} (\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{4\phi^2} |\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2 \right] \]

\[ \geq \phi^{-1} \text{Ric} (\nabla u, \nabla u). \]

Let \( C \) be the union of critical values of both \( u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( u|_{\partial \Omega} : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \). By Sard’s theorem, \( C \) is a set of measure zero. Let \( A \) be an open set of \([u_{\text{min}}, u_{\text{max}}]\) so that \( A \) contains \( C \). Let \( B \) be the complement of \( A \) in \([u_{\text{min}}, u_{\text{max}}]\). By formula (14) in [33], along a regular level set \( \Sigma_t \) of \( u \),

\[ \Delta \phi \geq \frac{1}{2\phi} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 (R - 2K_{\Sigma}) \right], \]

where \( K_{\Sigma} \) is the Gauss curvature of \( \Sigma_t \). Thus,

\[ \int_{\Omega} \Delta \phi \geq \int_{u^{-1}(B)} \frac{1}{2\phi} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 (R - 2K_{\Sigma}) \right] \]

\[ - \max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \int_{u^{-1}(A)} |\nabla u| \, dt. \]

As \( K_{\Sigma} \) is bounded by a constant independent on \( \epsilon \) on the compact set \( u^{-1}(B) \), passing to the limit in (2.28) gives

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \phi \geq \int_{B} \left( \int_{\Sigma_{t}} \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 R \right] \right) \, dt \]

\[ - \int_{B} \left( \int_{\Sigma_{t}} K_{\Sigma} \right) \, dt - \left( \max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \right) \int_{A} |\Sigma_t| \, dt. \]

By coarea formula, this can be written as

\[ \int_{u_{\text{min}}}^{u_{\text{max}}} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} \Delta \phi \, dt \geq \int_{B} \left( \int_{\Sigma_{t}} \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 R \right] \right) \, dt \]

\[ - \int_{B} \left( 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_{t}) - \int_{\Sigma_{t}\setminus\{\nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \neq 0\}} \kappa \right) \, dt - \left( \max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \right) \int_{A} |\Sigma_t| \, dt. \]

As \( t \in B \) is a regular value for both \( u \) and \( u|_{\partial \Omega} \), \( \Sigma_t \) is a compact surface with boundary satisfying

\[ \partial \Sigma_t = \Sigma_t \cap \partial \Omega = \Sigma_t \cap \{\nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \neq 0\}. \]

By the Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

\[ \int_{u_{\text{min}}}^{u_{\text{max}}} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} \Delta \phi \, dt \geq \int_{B} \left( \int_{\Sigma_{t}} \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \left[ |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 R \right] \right) \, dt \]

\[ - \int_{B} \left( 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_{t}) - \int_{\Sigma_{t}\setminus\{\nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \neq 0\}} \kappa \right) \, dt - \left( \max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \right) \int_{A} |\Sigma_t| \, dt. \]

Since \( \int_{u_{\text{min}}}^{u_{\text{max}}} |\Sigma_t| \, dt = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| < \infty \), (2.18) now follows from (2.25) and (2.31) by letting the measure of \( A \) tend to zero. \( \square \)
Remark 2.1. We learn from Daniel Stern that, at points $\nabla_{\partial\Omega} u \neq 0$, the integrand in the second boundary integral in (2.18) can be written as

$$\mathcal{S}(u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u) = \mathcal{R}(u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u,$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are given by

$$\mathcal{S}(u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u) = \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega} u) = \frac{\partial \nu u}{|\nabla u|^2} \left( \frac{\nabla_{\partial\Omega} u}{|\nabla_{\partial\Omega} u|^2} \right) \nabla u,$$

with $\nu$ the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. It is intriguing if this interpretation can yield relevant geometric boundary conditions for harmonic functions.

Next we consider the mass of an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary. Adopting conventions from [2], we say a Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{S}$ is asymptotically flat if there is a compact set $K \subset M$ and a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r$, where $B_r = \{|x| \leq r\}$ for some $r$, such that

$$(\Psi^{-1})(g)_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \in W^{k,p}_{-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r),$$

where $k \geq 2$, $p > 3$ and $\tau \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Here $W^{k,p}_{-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r)$ denotes the weighted Sobolev space consisting of functions $u \in W^{k,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r)$ such that

$$||u||_{W^{k,p}_{-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r)} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} ||\partial^\beta u |x|^{-\delta - \frac{3}{2}}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r)} < \infty.$$

If the scalar curvature $R$ of $g$ is integrable, the ADM mass $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is defined and given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) v^j.$$

Here $S_r = \{|x| = r\}$ and $v$ denotes the outward unit normal to $S_r$. The fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is a geometric invariant of $(\mathcal{S}, g)$, independent on the choice of the coordinates, was shown by Bartnik [2] and by Chruściel [8] independently.

Proposition 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{S}, g)$ be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary $\Sigma$. Given a function $\eta$ on $\Sigma$, let $u$ be the harmonic function on $\mathcal{S}$ such that $u = \eta$ on $\Sigma$ and $u$ is asymptotic to some coordinate function $x_i$ at the infinity. Let $\Sigma_t = u^{-1}(t)$ be the level set of $u$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the mass of $(\mathcal{S}, g)$ and $\nu$ be the infinity pointing unit normal to $\Sigma$. Then

$$8\pi \mathcal{M} + 2\pi \int_{-T}^T (\chi(\Sigma_t) - 1) \, dt \geq \int_M \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u}{|\nabla u|^2} + R|\nabla u|^2 \right] - \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u|$$

$$+ \int_{\{\nabla\eta \neq 0\}} \left\langle \nabla_{\Sigma} \partial_v u, \frac{\nabla_{\Sigma} \eta}{|\nabla u|} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial_v u}{|\nabla u|^2} \nabla_{\Sigma} \eta \left( \frac{\nabla_{\Sigma} \eta}{|\nabla_{\Sigma} \eta|^2} \right).$$

Here $T > 0$ is some constant satisfying $\chi(\Sigma_t) = 1$ for all $|t| \geq T$, $R$ is the scalar curvature of $g$, and $H$ is the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ with respect to $\nu$. 

Formula (2.36) is a corollary of the result of Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern (Section 6 of [5]) and the preceding Proposition 2.2.

**Proof.** Suppose \( u \) is asymptotic to \( x_1 \). By constructing two other harmonic functions \( u_2 \) and \( u_3 \), asymptotic to \( x_2 \) and \( x_3 \), respectively, we may well assume \( u = y_1 \) outside a compact set \( K \), where \( \{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \) are harmonic coordinates on \( M \setminus K \) (see [2] for instance). This, in particular, implies that there exists a constant \( T > 0 \) such that \( \chi(\Sigma_t) = 1 \) for all \( |t| \geq T \).

For instance, let \( D \subset M \) be a compact set such that \( M \setminus D = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(y_1, y_2, y_3) \mid |y_i| < a, \ i = 1, 2, 3\} \) for some constant \( a > 0 \). Then, for any \( t \) with \( |t| > \max\{a, \max_D |u|\} \), \( \Sigma_t \) is precisely the entire coordinate plane \( \{y_1 = t\} \), hence \( \chi(\Sigma_t) = 1 \) for such \( t \).

For each large constant \( L > 0 \), let \( S \) denote the cylindrical surface given by

\[
S = \{y_1 = \pm L, \ y_2^2 + y_3^2 \leq L \} \cup \{y_1 \leq L, \ y_2^2 + y_3^2 = L\}.
\]

Let \( \Omega \) be the region bounded by \( S \) and \( \Sigma \). Let \( \phi = \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon} \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \). Though \( \Omega \) does not have a smooth boundary, Stoke’s theorem still holds on this \( \Omega \). Thus, \( \Phi \)

\[(2.37) \quad \int_S \partial_\nu \nabla u = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_S \partial_\nu \phi = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Sigma \partial_\nu \phi + \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \Delta \phi.\]

Here, by abuse of notations, \( \nu \) also denotes the infinity pointing unit normal to \( S \) and we use the fact \( |\nabla u| > 0 \) at \( S \) for large \( L \). For the other boundary term \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Sigma \partial_\nu \phi \) and the interior term \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \Delta \phi \), we can apply (2.25) and (2.31), respectively, to have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Sigma \partial_\nu \phi &+ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \Delta \phi \\
&\geq \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R(\nabla u) \right) dt - \int_{\max_{\Omega} u} \min_{\Omega} u 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_t) dt + \int_{\min_{\Omega} u} \max_{\Omega} u \int_{\Sigma \cap S} \kappa dt \\
&\quad - \int_\Sigma H|\nabla u| - \int_{\{\nabla_S u = 0, \ \partial_{\nu} u \neq 0\}} \frac{\partial_\nu u}{|\nabla u|} \Delta_S u \\
&\quad + \int_{\{\nabla_S u \neq 0\}} \left\langle \nabla_S \partial_\nu u, \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla^2_S u \left( \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|}, \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|} \right) .
\end{align*}
\]

For large \( L \), observe that \( \max_{\Omega} u = \max_S u = L \) and \( \min_{\Omega} u = \min_S u = -L \). Hence, by (2.37) and (2.38),

\[(2.39) \quad \int_S \partial_\nu |\nabla u| + \int_{-L}^L \left( 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_t) - \int_{\Sigma \cap S} \kappa \right) dt \\
\geq \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R(\nabla u) \right) dt - \int_\Sigma H|\nabla u| - \int_{\{\nabla_S u = 0, \ \partial_{\nu} u \neq 0\}} \frac{\partial_\nu u}{|\nabla u|} \Delta_S u \\
\quad + \int_{\{\nabla_S u \neq 0\}} \left\langle \nabla_S \partial_\nu u, \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial_\nu u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla^2_S u \left( \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|}, \frac{\nabla_S u}{|\nabla u|} \right) .
\]

Now recall the mass formula derived in (6.27) of [4], which asserts

\[(2.40) \quad \lim_{L \to \infty} \left[ \int_S \partial_\nu |\nabla u| + \int_{-L}^L \left( 2\pi - \int_{\Sigma \cap S} \kappa \right) dt \right] = 8\pi m.
\]

Letting \( L \to \infty \), (2.36) follows from (2.39) and (2.40). \( \square \)
3. Mass of manifolds with a fill-in of the boundary

In this section, we use \((E, g), (\Omega, g)\) to denote an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary \(\Sigma\), a compact Riemannian 3-manifold with boundary \(\partial \Omega\), respectively. By abuse of notation, metrics on \(E\) and \(\Omega\) are both denoted by \(g\). We say \((\Omega, g)\) is a fill-in of \(\Sigma\) if \(\partial \Omega\) is isometric to \(\Sigma\), both of which are equipped with the induced metric. By a recent result of Shi, Wang and Wei [31], which answered a question of Gromov [13], a fill-in of \(\Sigma\) with nonnegative scalar curvature always exists.

Suppose \((\Omega, g)\) is a fill-in of \((E, g)\). Let \(U_+\) and \(U_-\) be the Gaussian tubular neighborhoods of \(\Sigma\) in \(E\) and \(\Omega\), respectively, so that \(U_+\) is diffeomorphic to \(\Sigma \times (0, \epsilon)\) and \(U_-\) is diffeomorphic to \(\Sigma \times (-\epsilon, 0)\). Identifying \(U_+ \cup U_-\) with \(\Sigma \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\) by lining up geodesics that are normal to \(\Sigma\), we obtain a manifold \((M, g)\) on which \(g\) is a continuous metric, which is smooth up to \(\Sigma\) from both sides. In particular, \(g\) is Lipschitz across \(\Sigma\). As a result, by \([2, 33]\), \(g\) is a \(W^{1, p}_{\text{loc}}\) metric on \(M\) satisfying \(g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \in W^{1, p}_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\tau)\) for \(p > 3\) at the end of \(E\). By Theorem 3.1 in [2], there exists a function \(u \in W^{2, p}_{\text{loc}}(M)\) such that \(u - x_1 \in W^{2, p}_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\tau)\) and \(\Delta u = 0\).

We want to apply Proposition 2.2 and Propositon 2.3 to analyze the mass of \((M, g)\) with this choice of \(u\).

3.1. Regularity of harmonic functions on the corner surface \(\Sigma\). By Sobolev embedding, \(u \in W^{2, p}_{\text{loc}}(M) \subset C^{1, \alpha}_{\text{loc}}(M)\), and hence \(u|_{\Sigma} \in C^{1, \alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma)\). Standard elliptic theory shows \(u\) is smooth away from \(\Sigma\). In what follows, we examine the regularity of \(u\) on \(\Sigma\).

**Proposition 3.1.** If \(g\) is \(C^2\) up to \(\Sigma\) on its two sides in \(M\), then \(u|_{\Sigma}\), the restriction of \(u\) to \(\Sigma\), is in \(C^{2, \alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma)\), and \(u\) is \(C^{2, \alpha}_{\text{loc}}\) up to \(\Sigma\) on its two sides. Similarly, if \(g\) is \(C^\infty\) up to \(\Sigma\), then \(u|_{\Sigma}\) is in \(C^\infty(\Sigma)\) and \(u\) is \(C^\infty\) up to \(\Sigma\) on its two sides.

**Proof.** Consider a point \(p \in \Sigma\). Using Fermi coordinates, we identify a neighborhood \(V\) of \(p\) in \(M\) with \(\Sigma \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\), where coordinates on \(\Sigma\) are \((x_1, x_2)\) and a coordinate in \((-\epsilon, \epsilon)\) is \(x_3 = t\). Given a small constant \(h\), consider the difference quotient of \(u\) along the direction of \(e_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\), that is

\[
\delta^h_\alpha u(x) = \frac{1}{h} [u(x + he_\alpha) - u(x)], \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2\}.
\]

It follows from \(\Delta u = 0\) that

\[
\Delta \delta^h_\alpha u(x) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ g^{ij}(x) \partial^2_{ij} u(x + he_\alpha) - g^{ij}(x) \Gamma^k_{ij}(x) \partial_k u(x + he_\alpha) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{h} \left[ [g^{ij}(x) - g^{ij}(x + he_\alpha)] \partial^2_{ij} u(x + he_\alpha) \right.
\]

\[
- [g^{ij}(x) \Gamma^k_{ij}(x + he_\alpha) - g^{ij}(x + he_\alpha) \Gamma^k_{ij}(x + he_\alpha)] \partial_k u(x + he_\alpha)] .
\]

Since \(g_{ij} \in C^{0, 1}_{\text{loc}}(V)\),

\[
g^{ij}(x) - g^{ij}(x + he_\alpha), \quad \Gamma^k_{ij}(x), \quad \Gamma^k_{ij}(x + he_\alpha) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(V).
\]

Since \(g\) is \(C^2\) up to \(\Sigma\) from both sides of \(\Sigma\), \(g_{ij}\) and \(\partial g_{ij}\) are locally Lipschitz along the \(\partial_\alpha\)-direction in \(V\), therefore

\[
\frac{1}{h} \left[ \Gamma^k_{ij}(x) - \Gamma^k_{ij}(x + he_\alpha) \right] \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(V).
\]
These show that the right side of (3.2) is in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(V)$. By Theorem 9.11 in [12], $||\delta^h_\alpha u||_{W^2,p(V)}$ is bounded by a constant independent on $h$, on any subdomain $\tilde{V} \subset V$. This readily implies $\partial_\alpha u = \lim_{h \to 0} \delta^h_\alpha u \in W^{2,p}(V)$. By Sobolev embedding, $\partial_\alpha u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(V)$. In particular, this shows $u|_{\Sigma} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma)$.

Next, we show that this argument can be iterated to show $u|_{\Sigma}$ is smooth in the case that $g$ is smooth up to $\Sigma$ on both sides. By integrating again a test function, (3.2) implies

\begin{equation}
\Delta u = -\partial_\alpha g^{ij} \partial_i^2 u + \left( \partial_\alpha g^{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij} + g^{ij} \partial_\alpha \Gamma^k_{ij} \right) \partial_k u.
\end{equation}

Therefore,

\begin{equation}
\Delta \delta^h_{\beta} \partial_\alpha u = -\delta^h_{\beta} \partial_\alpha g^{ij} \partial_i^2 u - \tau^h_\alpha \partial_\alpha g^{ij} \delta^h_{\beta} \partial_i^2 u
+ \delta^h_{\beta} \left( \partial_\alpha g^{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij} + g^{ij} \partial_\alpha \Gamma^k_{ij} \right) \partial_k u
+ \tau^h_\beta \left( \partial_\alpha g^{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij} + g^{ij} \partial_\alpha \Gamma^k_{ij} \right) \delta^h_{\beta} \partial_k u.
\end{equation}

Here $\tau^h_\beta$ is the operator of shifting the coordinate along $e_\beta$ by $h$, i.e. $\tau^h_\beta f(x) = f(x + he_\beta)$. Recall that, on any subdomain $\tilde{V} \subset V$, the preceding step has shown $||\delta^h_{\beta} u||_{W^{2,p}(\tilde{V})} \leq C$, where $C$ is independent on $h$. This, combined with the fact $\delta^h_{\beta} \partial_i^2 u = \partial_i^2 g^{ij} \delta^h_{\beta} u$ and the assumption that $g$ is smooth up to $\Sigma$ on both sides, then shows the right side of (3.6) is uniformly bounded in $L^p(\tilde{V})$. Hence, by Theorem 9.11 in [12], $||\delta^h_{\beta} \partial_\alpha u||_{W^{2,p}(\tilde{V})}$ is uniformly bounded and consequently $\partial^2_\beta \partial_\alpha u \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(V)$. By Sobolev embedding, $\partial^2_\beta \partial_\alpha u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(V)$, and this implies $u|_{\Sigma} \in C^{3,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. Repeating these arguments, we conclude $u|_{\Sigma} \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$.

The remaining claim that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$ ($C^{\infty}$) up to $\Sigma$ on the two sides of $\Sigma$ follows directly from the standard elliptic boundary regularity theory, see Theorem 6.19 in [12].

**Remark 3.1.** Proposition 3.1 is a local result that holds for any $W^{2,p}$ harmonic function in a neighborhood of the corner hypersurface $\Sigma$. It continues to hold in higher dimensions as the proof does not require $\Sigma$ to be 2-dimensional. The proof technique also works for more general linear, elliptic PDEs on manifolds with corner type singularity.

**Remark 3.2.** Let $H$ and $H_{\Omega}$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ in $(E, g)$ and $(\Omega, g)$, respectively. Evaluating $\Delta u = 0$ along $\Sigma$ in its both sides in $(M, g)$, one has

\[0 = \Delta_\Sigma u + H \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}\]

and

\[0 = \Delta_\Sigma u + H_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},\]

where $\Delta_\Sigma u$ is the Hessian of $u|_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma$ and $\partial_t = \nu$ is the $\infty$-pointing unit normal in $(M, g)$. This implies, if $H = H_{\Omega}$, $u$ is $C^2$ across $\Sigma$ in the given coordinates $\{x_a, t\}$.

### 3.2. Mass of manifolds with corner surface

We analyze the mass of $(M, g)$ following the method of Bray-Kazaras-Khrui-Stern [5]. For this purpose, we impose the following topological assumption on $M = E \cup \Omega$:

**Condition (T):** Assume $M$ contains no non-separating two-spheres.

As $u \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M) \subset C^1(M)$, $\Sigma_t = u^{-1}(t)$ is a $C^1$ surface for every regular value $t$. Moreover, $u$ satisfies the maximum principle (see Theorem 8.1 in [12] for instance). Thus, it follows from condition (T) and the maximum principle that $\Sigma_t$ consists of a single connected component and therefore $\chi(\Sigma_t) \leq 1$ (see Section 6 in [5]).
By Proposition 3.1 we can apply Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.2 to \((E, g)\) and \((\Omega, g)\), respectively, to obtain

\[
8\pi m + 2\pi \int_{-T}^{T} \left( \chi(\Sigma_{t,E}) - 1 \right) dt
\]

and

\[
2\pi \int_{\max_{\Omega} u}^{\min_{\Omega} u} \chi(\Sigma_{t,\Omega}) dt
\]

Here \(t\) is chosen to be a regular value of \(u\) and \(u|_{\Sigma}, \Sigma_{t,E} = \Sigma_t \cap E, \Sigma_{t,\Omega} = \Sigma_t \cap \Omega\). Since \(u\) is \(C^1\) on \(M\) and \(C^2\) on \(\Sigma\), adding (3.7) and (3.8) gives

\[
8\pi m + 2\pi \int_{-T}^{T} \left[ \chi(\Sigma_{t,E}) + \chi(\Sigma_{t,\Omega}) - 1 \right] dt
\]

Note that, for each chosen \(t\), \(\Sigma_{t,E} \cup \Sigma_{t,\Omega} = \Sigma_t\) if \(\Sigma_t \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\); and \(\Sigma_{t,E} = \Sigma_t\) if \(\Sigma_t \cap \Omega = \emptyset\). In either case, \(\chi(\Sigma_{t,E}) + \chi(\Sigma_{t,\Omega}) = \chi(\Sigma_t) \leq 1\). Therefore, it follows from (3.9) that

\[
8\pi m \geq \int_{E} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right] dt + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right] dt + \int_{\Sigma} (H_\Omega - H_E)|\nabla u|,
\]

and equality holds only if \(\chi(\Sigma_t) = 1\) for all \(t\). This proves Theorem 1.2.

**Remark 3.3.** If the gradient \(|\nabla u|\) never vanishes on \(M\), it is clear from its proof that equality holds in (3.10).

**Remark 3.4.** The proof allows \(E\) and \(\Omega\) to have additional boundary components if those components have zero mean curvature. In this case, one can impose a Neumann boundary condition at the minimal boundary portion (see [5]) or impose a suitable Dirichlet boundary condition (see [15]).

### 3.3. Positivity of the mass

We give a proof of Corollary 1.3 using (1.4). We keep the same notations as in the previous subsection, that is \(E\) denotes the asymptotically flat piece, and metrics on both \(E\) and \(\Omega\) are denoted by \(g\).

Suppose there are vector fields \(X, Y\) on \(\Omega, E\), respectively, satisfying

\[
R \geq \frac{2}{3} |X|^2 - 2 \text{ div } X \quad \text{and} \quad R \geq \frac{2}{3} |Y|^2 - 2 \text{ div } Y,
\]

where \(Y = O(|x|^{-1-2\tau})\) for some \(\tau > \frac{1}{2}\). Here we consider the case \(C_1 = C_2 = \frac{2}{3}\).
Let \((M, g)\), \(u\) be the glued manifold, the harmonic function as in the preceding proof of Theorem 1.2. On \((\Omega, g)\), \(|\nabla u|\) is Lipschitz, integrating by parts gives

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R|\nabla u| \right) \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \frac{1}{3} |X|^2 |\nabla u| + \langle X, \nabla |\nabla u| \rangle - \int_{\Sigma} \langle X, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|.
\]

(3.12)

To see the sign of the above integral on \(\Omega\), we use a refined Kato inequality for harmonic functions (see Lemma 3.1 in [15] for instance).

**Lemma 3.2.** If \(u\) is a harmonic function on a Riemannian manifold \((M^n, g)\), then

\[
|\nabla^2 u|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1} |\nabla|\nabla u||^2.
\]

(3.13)

**Proof.** Let \(u;_i = \partial_i u\) and \(Z = \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\nabla u|^2\), then

\[
|Z|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (u;_j Z_i + u;_i Z_j)(\nabla^2 u)^{ij}
\]

(3.14)

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (u;_j Z_i + u;_i Z_j) - \frac{1}{n} \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)g_{ij},
\]

where \(\Delta u = 0\) was used in the second step. Define

\[
W_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (u;_j Z_i + u;_i Z_j) - \frac{1}{n} \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)g_{ij},
\]

(3.15)

then \(g^{ij} W_{ij} = 0\) and

\[
|W|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |Z|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \langle Z, \nabla u \rangle^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} |Z|^2 |\nabla u|^2.
\]

(3.16)

By (3.14) and (3.16),

\[
|Z|^2 = \langle W, \nabla^2 u \rangle \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n} |Z| |\nabla u||\nabla^2 u|},
\]

which implies

\[
|Z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n} |\nabla u||\nabla^2 u|}.
\]

(3.18)

This readily shows

\[
|\nabla|\nabla u||^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} |\nabla^2 u|^2,
\]

(3.19)

away from a measure zero set \(D\) at which \(\nabla u = 0\) and \(|\nabla u|\) is non-differentiable. \(\square\)

By (3.12) and Lemma 3.2

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R|\nabla u| \right) \geq - \int_{\Sigma} \langle X, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|.
\]

(3.20)
Similarly, on $E$,
\begin{equation}
(3.21) \quad \int_E \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R|\nabla u| \right) \geq \int_{\Sigma} \langle Y, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|.
\end{equation}
These combined with Theorem 1.2 give
\begin{equation}
(3.22) \quad 16\pi m \geq \int_{\Sigma} (H_\Omega - \langle X, \nu \rangle - H + \langle Y, \nu \rangle) |\nabla u| \geq 0,
\end{equation}
where we apply assumption c) in the last step.

Next suppose $m = 0$, then (3.20) and (3.21) both become equality. If $C_i > \frac{2}{3}, i = 1, 2$, tracing the equalities in the above proof gives $|X||\nabla u| = 0, |Y||\nabla u| = 0, \text{ and } |\nabla^2u| = 0$, a.e. on $\Omega$ and $E$. Consequently, $|\nabla u|$ is a constant that is the same on $\Omega$ and $M$ (as $|\nabla u|$ is $C^0$ on $M = E \cup \Omega$). Moreover, the constant is not zero as $u$ is asymptotic to $x_1$ near infinity. Therefore, $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$, and the curvature assumptions reduce to $R \geq 0$ and $H_\Omega \geq H$. Theorems 1.2 and $m = 0$ then imply $\nabla^2 u = 0, R = 0$ on $M$ away from $\Sigma$ and $H_\Omega = H$ at $\Sigma$.

Let $u_i$ denote the harmonic function $u$ with $x_1$ replaced by $x_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The preceding proof shows that $\{\nabla u_1, \nabla u_2, \nabla u_3\}$, being linearly independent near infinity, are parallel on $(E, g)$ and $(\Omega, g)$. Hence, $(E, g)$ and $(\Omega, g)$ are flat.

We claim that $\Sigma$ has the same second fundamental form in $(E, g)$ and $(\Omega, g)$. To see this, on each side of $\Sigma$ in $(M, g)$, we have
\begin{equation}
(3.23) \quad 0 = \nabla^2 u_i(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta) = \nabla^2 u_i(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta) + \llbracket(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta)\rrbracket \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu},
\end{equation}
where $\nabla^2_\Sigma$ is the Hessian on $\Sigma$ and $\llbracket$ is the corresponding second fundamental form of $\Sigma$. As $\{\nabla u_1, \nabla u_2, \nabla u_3\}$ form a basis for $T_p M$ at each $p \in \Sigma$, one of $u_i$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
(3.24) \quad \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu}(p) \neq 0.
\end{equation}
It follows from (3.23) and (3.24) that $\llbracket$ are the same from both sides of $\Sigma$ in $(M, g)$. This combined with the fact $g$ is flat near $\Sigma$ implies that $g$ is $C^2$ across $\Sigma$ (see [29] for instance).

On the other hand, $H_\Omega = H$ implies $u$ is $C^2$ across $\Sigma$ by Remark 3.2. The smoothness of $u$ is further promoted to be $C^{2,\alpha}$ via the regularity of $g$. We then proceed as in [5] to conclude that the map $F = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ isometry between $(M, g)$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 3.5. In the setting of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 established the positive mass theorem with corner along $\Sigma$ (c.f. [21, 29, 23]). However, at this stage, Corollary 1.3 does not seem to be a full replacement of the later results due to the dimension restriction and the topological condition (T).

3.4. Fill-in of $(M, g)$ by its conformal deformation. Given an asymptotically flat $(E^3, g)$ with boundary $\Sigma$, consider its Green function $G$, which is determined by
\begin{equation}
(3.25) \quad \Delta_g G = 0 \text{ on } E, \quad G = 1 \text{ at } \Sigma, \quad \text{and } G \to 0 \text{ at } \infty.
\end{equation}
Let $g_* = G^4 g$. Let $\Omega = E \cup \{q\}$ denote the one-point compactification of $M$ by including a point $q$ representing $\infty$. It follows from the asymptotically flatness of $g$ and the asymptotic expansion of $G$ that $g_*$ is continuously extendable across $q$, and moreover, $g_* \in W^{1,p}(B)$ for some $p > 6$ in a neighborhood $B$ of $q$ (see Lemma 6.1 in [22] for instance).

This $(\Omega, g_*)$ serves as a fill-in of $(E, g)$. The metric on $(E, g) \cup (\Omega, g_*)$, glued along $\Sigma$, is a $W^{1,p}_{loc}$ metric on $E \cup \Omega$. In particular, the function $u$ in Section 3.1 exists on $(E, g) \cup (\Omega, g_*)$. 

Moreover, at most one regular level set of \( u \) passes through the point \( q \). If \( E \) is topologically \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) minus a ball, \( E \cup \Omega \) is homeomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.2 applies to show

\[
8\pi m \geq \int_E \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right] + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right] + \int_\Sigma (H_* - H)|\nabla u|.
\]

Here \( H_* \) is the mean curvature of \( \Sigma \) in \((\Omega, g_*)\) with respect to the outward normal and is given by

\[
H_* = -H - 4 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu},
\]

where \( \nu \) is the \( \infty \)-pointing unit normal to \( \Sigma \) in \((M, g)\) and \( H \) is the mean curvature of \( \Sigma \) in \((M, g)\) with respect to \( \nu \). As a result,

\[
H_* - H = -2H - 4 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}.
\]

Now let \( u \) denote the restriction of \( u \) on \( E \) and let \( u_\Omega \) be the restriction of \( u \) to \( \Omega \). On \( \Omega = M \cup \{q\} \), let \( v = u_\Omega G \), then

\[
\Delta_g v = 0, \quad v \to 0 \text{ at } \infty, \quad v = u \text{ at } \Sigma,
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u_\Omega}{\partial \nu} + u \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}.
\]

As \( u \) is \( C^1 \) on \((E, g) \cup (\Omega, g_*)\),

\[
\frac{\partial u_\Omega}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}.
\]

(Here \( \nu \) points inward in the conformal fill-in \((\Omega, g_*)\).) Set \( w = u - v \), which satisfies

\[
\Delta_g w = 0 \text{ on } E, \quad w = 0 \text{ at } \Sigma, \quad w \text{ is asymptotic to } x_i \text{ at } \infty.
\]

By \((3.30)\), \((3.31)\) and the fact \( u = v \) at \( \Sigma \), we have

\[
2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = v \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}.
\]

Corollary 1.4 then follows from \((3.26)\) and \((3.33)\).

4. CONVERGENCE OF THE BROWN-YORK MASS

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.2 to study convergence of the Brown-York mass of surfaces approaching infinity in an asymptotically flat 3-manifold.

Recall that the Brown-York mass of a surface \( \Sigma \) with positive Gauss curvature, with mean curvature \( H \) in a 3-manifold \((M, g)\), is

\[
m_{BY} (\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (H_0 - H) d\sigma,
\]

where \( H_0 \) is the mean curvature of the isometric embedding of \( \Sigma \) in the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

The fact \( m_{BY} (\cdot) \) converges to the mass of an asymptotically flat manifold \((M, g)\) along large coordinate spheres was demonstrated by Fan, Shi and Tam [11]. The convergence was later established for large nearly round surfaces by Shi, Wang and Wu [30]. Similar results for surfaces of revolution were obtained by Fan and Kwong [9, 10] in asymptotically Schwarzschild manifolds.
Using Theorem 1.2, we demonstrate the convergence of $m_{BY}(\cdot)$ for a large class of surfaces, which in particular includes surfaces obtained by scaling any fixed convex surface in the background Euclidean space.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $(M^3, g)$ be an asymptotically flat manifold with a coordinate chart $\{x_i\}$ in which the metric coefficients $g_{ij}$ satisfies the asymptotically flat condition [2.33] with $k \geq 4$ and $p > 3$. Let $\{\Sigma_r\}_{r \geq r_0}$ be a 1-parameter family of surfaces approaching the infinity with $r = \min_{\Sigma_r} \{|x| \mid x \in \Sigma_r\}$. Suppose the rescaled surfaces

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_r := \left\{ \frac{1}{r} x \mid x \in \Sigma_r \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

satisfy curvature condition

$$0 < k_1 < \kappa_\alpha < k_2, \ \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where $\{\kappa_\alpha\}$ are the principal curvatures of $\Sigma_r$ with respect to the background Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $k_1, k_2$ are two positive constants independent on $r$. Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} m_{BY}(\Sigma_r) = m,$$

where $m$ is the mass of $(M, g)$.

Note that condition [4.2] is equivalent to

$$\tilde{K} > C_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \tilde{H} < C_2$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2$ independent on $r$. Here $\tilde{K}, \tilde{H}$ denotes the Gauss curvature, the mean curvature of $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$ with respect to the background Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^3$, respectively.

We first outline the idea behind the proof of Theorem 4.1. By condition [4.2] and the asymptotically flatness of $g$, $\Sigma_r$ has positive Gauss curvature for large $r$. Thus $\Sigma_r$ isometrically embeds in the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)$ as a convex surface $\Sigma_r(0)$ [25, 26]. Let $\Omega_r^{(0)}$ be the domain enclosed by $\Sigma_r^{(0)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $E_r$ be the exterior region of $\Sigma_r$ in $M$. The Euclidean domain $(\Omega_r^{(0)}, g_0)$ provides a natural fill-in of $\Sigma_r = \partial E_r$. Consider the manifold $(N_r, g_r)$ obtained by gluing $(\Omega_r^{(0)}, g_0)$ and $(E_r, g)$ along $\Sigma_r$. Intuitively, $(N_r, g_r)$ approaches $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)$ in a suitable sense as $r \to \infty$. Hence applying Theorem 1.2 to $(N_r, g_r)$, we would expect the harmonic function $u$ on $(N_r, g_r)$ to approach a standard coordinate function on $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)$. In particular [1.4] would be an equation, the bulk integral on its right side would tend to zero, and the surface integral would be $m_{BY}(\Sigma_r) + o(1)$.

To implement this idea, we need to have uniform control on the isometric embedding and to impose a uniform gauge when identifying $(E_r, g) \cup (\Omega_r^{(0)}, g_0)$ with $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_r)$ so that a fixed background is available when estimating $u$. We use condition [4.2] for achieving both these purposes.

**Proof.** For each large $r$, let $M_r = \{|x| \geq r\} \subset M$. Consider the diffeomorphism

$$\Phi_r : M_r \to E = \{|x| \geq 1 \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^3\},$$

where $\Phi_r(x) = r^{-1} x$. Define a metric $\tilde{g}_r$ on $E$ by $\tilde{g}_r = r^{-2} (\Phi_r^{-1})^*(g)$, then

$$\tilde{g}_{r,ij}(x) = g_{ij}(rx), \ x \in E.$$

By definition, $\tilde{\Sigma}_r = \Phi_r(\Sigma_r) \subset E$. Let $g_0$ denote the background Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\tilde{g}_r$ be the induced metric on $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)$ and $\tilde{K}$ be the Gauss curvature of $(\tilde{\Sigma}_r, \tilde{g}_r)$. By condition [4.2], $\tilde{K} \geq k_1^2 > 0$. This shows the intrinsic diameter of $(\tilde{\Sigma}_r, \tilde{g}_r)$ is bounded
above by a constant independent on \( r \). Thus there exists a constant \( L \geq 1 \) so that \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \) lies between \( \{ |x| = L \} \) and \( \{ |x| = 1 \} \) for all large \( r \).

In \( E \), let \( T \) be the closed annular region bounded by \( \{ |x| = L \} \) and \( \{ |x| = 1 \} \). By the asymptotically flatness condition (2.33) and weighted Sobolev inequalities,

\[
\| \tilde{g}_r - g_0 \|_{C^{3,\alpha}(T)} = O(r^{-\tau})
\]

for some \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). As a result,

\[
\| \tilde{\sigma}_r - \sigma_r \|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}),
\]

\[
\| \tilde{\nu} - \nu \|_{C^{3}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}),
\]

and

\[
\| \tilde{H} - H \|_{C^0(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]

Here \( \tilde{\sigma}_r, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{H} \) denote the induced metric, the inward unit normal, the mean curvature of \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \) in \( (T, \tilde{g}_r) \), and \( \nu, H \) denote the inward unit normal, the mean curvature of \( \Sigma_r \) in \( (T, g_0) \).

By the openness of solutions to the Weyl embedding problem [25, 16], it follows from the conditions \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \subset T \) and \( k_1^2 < K < k_2^2 \) that, for large \( r \), there is an isometric embedding \( \tilde{X}_r : (\tilde{\Sigma}_r, \tilde{\sigma}_r) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) so that

\[
\| \tilde{X}_r - \text{Id} \|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)} \leq C \| \tilde{\sigma}_r - \sigma_r \|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)}.
\]

Here \( \text{Id} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) denotes the identity map and \( C \) is a constant independent on \( r \). (This estimate was stated for \( C^{2,\alpha} \) in [25], but examining sections 5-9 in [25] shows higher order derives estimate hold as well.) Moreover, \( \tilde{X}_r \) has the same regularity as the metric \( \tilde{\sigma}_r \) (see section 3 in [25].)

In what follows, we let \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} = \tilde{X}_r(\tilde{\Sigma}_r) \) and let \( \tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)} \) be the region enclosed by \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Let \( \tilde{\nu}_0 \) be the unit inward normal to \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} \) in \( (\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)}, \tilde{g}_0) \). By (4.10),

\[
\| \tilde{\nu}_0 - \tilde{\nu} \|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}),
\]

and

\[
\| \tilde{H}_0 - \tilde{H} \|_{C^0(\tilde{\Sigma})} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]

Here \( \tilde{H}_0 \) denote the mean curvature of \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} \) in \( (\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{g}_0) \).

The Euclidean domains \( (\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)}, \tilde{g}_0) \) provides a natural fill-in of \((\tilde{E}_r, \tilde{g}_r)\), where \( \tilde{E}_r \) denotes the exterior of \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \) in \( E \). To derive estimates on solutions to the corresponding Laplacian equation, we need a gauge to identify \( \tilde{E}_r \cup \tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)} \) with \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), which can be estimated via \( r \). For this purpose, let \( \tilde{\Omega}_r \) be the region enclosed by \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). If we could extend \( \tilde{X}_r : \tilde{\Sigma}_r \to \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} \) to a diffeomorphism \( F_r : \tilde{\Omega}_r \to \tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)} \), such that \((F_r)_*(\tilde{\nu}) = \tilde{\nu}_0 \) and \( F_r \) remains close to \( \text{Id} \), then \( F_r^*(\tilde{g}_0) \) on \( \tilde{\Omega}_r \) and \( \tilde{g}_r \) on \( \tilde{E}_r \) would form a locally Lipschitz metric \( \tilde{g}_r \) on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), which is close to \( g_0 \). We may then carry out analysis on this fixed \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) equipped with \( \{ \tilde{g}_r \} \). We do not know how to construct such an map \( F_r : \tilde{\Omega}_r \to \tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)} \). Instead, we produce an alternative map \( \tilde{F}_r : \tilde{\Omega}_r \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) below, which suffices for the purpose of analyzing \( m_{\tilde{g}_r}(\tilde{\Sigma}_r) \).

By condition (4.2), the second fundamental forms of \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \) are uniformly bounded in \( (\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{g}_0) \), and so are the second fundamental forms of \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r^{(0)} \) in \( (\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{g}_0) \) by (4.10). It follows that \( \tilde{\Sigma}_r \),
\( \Sigma_r^{(0)} \) have Gaussian tubular neighborhoods in \((\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)\) which have a fixed width independent on \(r\). For small \(s \geq 0\), consider the map
\[
\Phi_r(\omega + s\tilde{\nu}) = \tilde{X}_r(\omega) + s\tilde{\nu}_0.
\]
By (4.6), there exists a small \(\epsilon > 0\), independent on \(r\), such that
- \(U_{r,\epsilon} = \{\omega + s\tilde{\nu} \mid \omega \in \Sigma_r, 0 \leq s < 2\epsilon\}\) is an open neighborhood of \(\Sigma_r\) in \(\tilde{\Omega}_r\), diffeomorphic to \(\Sigma_r \times [0, 2\epsilon]\); and
- \(\Phi_r\) is a diffeomorphism from \(U_{r,\epsilon}\) to a Gaussian neighborhood of \(\Sigma_r^{(0)}\) in \((\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)}, g_0)\).

Let \(\eta\) be a fixed smooth function on \([0, \infty)\), independent on \(r\), satisfying 0 ≤ \(\eta \leq 1\), \(\eta = 1\) on \([0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon]\), and \(\eta = 0\) on \([\epsilon, \infty)\). Define \(\tilde{F}_r : \tilde{\Omega}_r \to \mathbb{R}^3\), which interpolates \(\Phi_r\) and Id, by
- \(\tilde{F}_r(x) = \text{Id}(x), \) if \(x \notin U_{r,\epsilon}\);
- \(\tilde{F}_r(x) = \Phi_r(x)\eta(s) + \text{Id}(x)(1 - \eta(s)), \) if \(x = \omega + s\tilde{\nu}_r \in U_{r,\epsilon}\).

It follows from (4.8), (4.10) and (4.11) that
\[
||\tilde{F}_r - \text{Id}||_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(0)})} \leq C(||\tilde{X}_r - \text{Id}||_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_r)} + ||\tilde{\nu} - \tilde{\nu}_0||_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_r)}) = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]

In particular, for large \(r\), \(\tilde{F}_r : \tilde{\Omega}_r \to \mathbb{R}^3\) is an immersion. Define \(\tilde{g}_r = \tilde{F}_r^*(g_0)\) on \(\tilde{\Omega}_r\). By construction, \((\tilde{\Omega}_r, \tilde{g}_r)\) satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a) } & \text{the induced metric from } \tilde{g}_r \text{ on } \Sigma_r \text{ is } \tilde{\sigma}_r; \\
\text{b) } & \tilde{\nu} \text{ is the inward unit normal to } \Sigma_r \text{ in } (\tilde{\Omega}_r, \tilde{g}_r), \text{ since } (\tilde{F}_r)_*(\tilde{\nu}) = \tilde{\nu}_0; \\
\text{c) } & ||\tilde{g}_r - g_0||_{C^{1,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, the mean curvature of \(\Sigma_r\) in \((\tilde{\Omega}_r, \tilde{g}_r)\) equals \(\tilde{H}_0\), which is the mean curvature of \(\Sigma_r^{(0)}\) in \((\mathbb{R}^3, g_0)\).

We now write \((\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{g}_r) = (\tilde{E}_r, \tilde{g}_r) \cup (\tilde{\Omega}_r, \tilde{g}_r)\), where \(\tilde{g}_r\) is a \(C^{0,1}_{\text{loc}}\) metric on \(\mathbb{R}^3\). Moreover, by properties a), b), c) above,
\[
||(\tilde{g}_r - g_0)_{ij}||_{W^{2,p}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(E_r)} = r^{-\tau}||g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}||_{W^{2,p}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(E_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}),
\]
where \(E_r\) is the exterior of \(\Sigma_r\) in \((M, g)\), and
\[
||\tilde{g}_r - g_0||_{C^{1}(\tilde{\Omega}_r)} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
Consider the operator
\[
\Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} : W^{2,p}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to W^{0,p}_{-1-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]
Here \(W^{k,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^3)\) is the weighted Sobolev space on \(\mathbb{R}^3\), consisting of functions \(v \in W^{k,p}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) such that
\[
||v||_{W^{k,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} ||\partial^\beta u (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p})}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.
\]
By (4.15) and (4.16), \(\Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} x_1 \in W^{0,p}_{-1-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) and
\[
||\Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
By the usual construction of harmonic coordinates (see Section 3 in [2] for instance), there exists \(w_r \in W^{2,p}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) so that
\[
\Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} w_r = \Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} x_1.
\]
Let \(u_r = x_1 - w_r\), then \(u_r\) satisfies \(\Delta_{\tilde{g}_r} u_r = 0\) and \(u_r\) is asymptotic to \(x_1\).
We estimate \( w_r \) by applying Corollary 1.16 of \([2]\). Shrinking \( \tau \) if needed, we may assume \( \tau \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \). By (4.15) and (4.16),
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \| \hat{g}_r - g_0 \|_{W^{1,p}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0.
\]
By Corollary 1.16 in \([2]\),
\[
||w_r - \text{Ker}(\Delta_{\hat{g}_r})||_{W^{2,p}_1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}w_r||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\]
Here \( \text{Ker}(\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}) \) is the Kernel of \( \Delta_{\hat{g}_r} : W^{2,p}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), and \( C \) is some constant independent on \( r \). Since \( 1 - \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), we have
\[
\dim \text{Ker}(\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}) = \dim \text{Ker}(\Delta_{g_0}) = 1
\]
(see Proposition 1.15 and Corollary 1.9 of \([2]\)). Thus,
\[
\text{Ker}(\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}) = \{ \text{constant functions} \}.
\]
This, combined with (4.22), gives
\[
\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} ||w_r - c||_{W^{2,p}_{1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}w_r||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = C||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\]
We pick \( c_r \in \mathbb{R} \) as follows: if \( ||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > 0 \), pick \( c_r \in \mathbb{R} \) so that
\[
||w_r - c_r||_{W^{2,p}_{1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2C||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\]
If \( ||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0 \), we simply pick \( c_r = 0 \), in which case we also let \( w_r = 0 \). For these choices of \( w_r \) and \( c_r \), we have
\[
||w_r - c_r||_{W^{2,p}_{1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2C||\Delta_{\hat{g}_r}x_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\]
Together with (4.19), this shows
\[
||\partial w_r||_{W^{1,p}_{1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
We now estimate the gradient of \( u_r = x_1 - w_r \). By (4.28) and Sobolev embedding,
\[
|\nabla u_r - \nabla x_1|_{\hat{g}_r} = |\nabla w_r|_{\hat{g}_r} = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
As \( |\nabla x_1|_{\hat{g}_r} = 1 + O(r^{-\tau}) \), this gives
\[
|\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r} = 1 + O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
In particular, \( |\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r} > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), for large \( r \). By Theorem 1.2 and Remark 3.3, we have
\[
m(\hat{g}_r) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \frac{|\nabla^2 u_r|_{\hat{g}_r}}{|\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r}} + R_{\hat{g}_r} \nabla u_r |_{\hat{g}_r} \right] dV_{\hat{g}_r} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_r} (\tilde{H}_0 - \tilde{H}) |\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r} d\sigma_r,
\]
where
\[
m(\hat{g}_r) = r^{-1}m(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (\tilde{H}_0 - \tilde{H}) d\sigma_r = r^{-1}m_{BY}(\Sigma_r).
\]
We estimate the other terms in the right side of (4.31). By (4.30),
\[
\int_{\Sigma_r} (\tilde{H}_0 - \tilde{H})(|\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r} - 1) d\sigma_r = O(r^{-2\tau}).
\]
Here we used (4.9) and (4.12), which together imply
\[
|\tilde{H} - \tilde{H}_0| = O(r^{-\tau}).
\]
For the integral involving $R_{\hat{g}_r}$, we have

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega_r} R_{\hat{g}_r} |\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r}^2 dV_{\hat{g}_r} = 0
\end{equation}

because, $\hat{g}_r = \hat{F}_{r}^2(g_0)$ on $\bar{\Omega}_r$, which is flat. On $\bar{E}_r$, by (4.30),

\begin{equation}
\int_{E_r} R_{\hat{g}_r} |\nabla u_r|_{\hat{g}_r}^2 dV_{\hat{g}_r} \leq C \int_{E_r} R_{\hat{g}_r} dV_{\hat{g}_r} = Cr^{-1} \int_{M_r} R g dV_g = o(r^{-1}),
\end{equation}

where in the last step, we used the assumption $R(g)$ is integrable on $(M, g)$.

For the integral involving $\nabla^2 u_r$, we have

\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u_r|_{\hat{g}_r}^2 dV_{\hat{g}_r} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2 u_r|_{g_0}^2 dV_{g_0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k|_{g_0}^2 |\nabla u_r|_{g_0}^2 dV_{g_0} \right).
\end{equation}

Here $\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ is the connection coefficients of $\hat{g}_r$. The right side can be handled by Hölder’s inequality. Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, then

\begin{align}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k|^2 dV_{g_0} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \hat{g}_r|^2 dV_{g_0} \\
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \hat{g}_r|^2 \rho^{2(\tau + 1) - \frac{6}{q}} \rho^{-2(\tau + 1) + \frac{6}{q}} dV_{g_0} \\
&\leq C \left( |\partial \hat{g}_r|^2 \right)_{W^{0,p}_{-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^{\left[ -2(\tau + 1) + \frac{6}{q} \right] \frac{2}{q-2}} dx \right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \\
&= O(r^{-2\tau}),
\end{align}

where we used (4.15), (4.16) and the assumption $\tau > \frac{1}{2}$.

Similarly, using the fact $\partial^2 u_r = \partial^2 u_r$, we have

\begin{align}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2 u_r|^2 dV_{g_0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2 u_r|^2 \rho^{2(\tau + 1) - \frac{6}{q}} \rho^{-2(\tau + 1) + \frac{6}{q}} dV_{g_0} \\
&\leq |\partial^2 u_r|^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^{\left[ -2(\tau + 1) + \frac{6}{q} \right] \frac{2}{q-2}} dV_{g_0} \right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \\
&= O(r^{-2\tau}),
\end{align}

where we used (4.28) in the last step.

It follows from (4.31) - (4.39) that

\begin{equation}
m(g) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_r} (H_0 - H) d\sigma_r + O(r^{1-2\tau}) + o(1),
\end{equation}

which proves (4.3). \hfill \Box

Remark 4.1. In the statement of Theorem 4.1, we formulate the asymptotically flatness of $g$ via weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}_{-\tau}$ with $k \geq 4$ and $p > 3$. It is clear from the proof that one can also use a weighted Hölder space $C^{k,\alpha}_{-\tau} (\text{see [2]})$, with $k \geq 3$, to specify the asymptotic of $g$. The fact we need a Hölder decay condition on the third order derivative of $g$ comes from estimate (4.10) which is needed for the estimate of $\nu - \tilde{\nu}_0$ in (4.14).
APPENDIX A. THE DESINGULARIZATION APPROACH

We give another proof of [1.4] by combining the mass formula in [5] with the mollification method in [24]. Let \((E, g), (\Omega, g), (M, g)\) and \(\Sigma\) be given as in the beginning of Section 3. Applying Proposition 3.1 in [24] (and its proof therein) to \((M, g)\) near \(\Sigma\), we have

**Proposition A.1.** There exists a family of \(C^2\) metrics \(\{g_\delta\}_{\delta > 0}\) on \(M\) with the properties:

1. \(g_\delta = g\) outside \(\Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta); g_\delta\) converges to \(g\) in \(C^0\) on \(M\);
2. \(\|g_\delta\|_{C^{0,1}(M)}\) and thus \(\|\Gamma_\delta\|_{L^\infty(M)}\) are bounded uniformly with respect to \(\delta\). Here \(\Gamma_\delta\) denotes the Christoffel symbol of \(g_\delta\);
3. \(R_\delta(x, t) = O(1)\) in \(\Sigma \times \{\delta^2 < |t| < \delta\}\), and
4. \(R_\delta(x, t) = O(1) + 2(H_{\Omega} - H)(x) \frac{1}{\delta^2} \phi \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\delta} \right)\) in \(\Sigma \times (-\delta^2, \delta^2)\).

Here \(\Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)\) denotes a Gaussian tubular neighborhood of \(\Sigma\) in \((M, g)\) which consists of points that are less than \(\delta\) distance to \(\Sigma\); \(t\) is the coordinate in \((-\delta, \delta)\) such that \(\partial_t\) points to the infinity; \(R_\delta(x, t)\) is the scalar curvature of \(g_\delta\) at a point \((x, t) \in \Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)\); \(O(1)\) is a bounded quantity that is independent on \(\delta\), and \(\phi(t)\) is a standard mollifier on \(\mathbb{R}^1\).

Applying equation (6.28) from [5] to \((M, g_\delta)\), using the fact \(g_\delta = g\) near infinity, we have

**Proposition A.2.** Let \(u_\delta\) be the harmonic function on \((M, g_\delta)\) which is asymptotic to \(x_1\). Then

\[
(A.1) \quad m(g) \geq 16\pi \int_M \frac{|\nabla^2 u_\delta|^2}{|\nabla u_\delta|^2} + R_\delta |\nabla u_\delta|.
\]

Here \(\nabla, \nabla^2\) denote the operators with respect to \(g_\delta\).

**Proof of [1.4] using Propositions A.1 and A.2**

On \((M, g)\), let \(g_0 = g\) and let \(\{g_\delta\}\) be given in Proposition A.1. Let \(u_0\) be the harmonic function on \((M, g_0)\), asymptotic to \(x_1\) near infinity. \((u_0\) is precisely the function \(u\) considered in Section 3\). Similar to the start of the proof of Proposition 2.3, we may view this \(u_0\) as one of the asymptotically flat coordinate functions near infinity. In what follows, we define \(\bar{x}_1 = u_0\).

For each \(\delta > 0\), we recall how one finds the harmonic function \(u_\delta\) that is asymptotic to \(\bar{x}_1\). First, we compute \(\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1\), the Laplacian of \(\bar{x}_1\) with respect to \(g_\delta\), which satisfies \(\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1 = 0\) outside \(\Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)\). This shows \(\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1 \in W^{0,p}_{-\eta,-2}(M), \forall \eta > 0\). Consider the operator

\[
(A.2) \quad \Delta_\delta : W^{2,p}_{-\eta}(M) \rightarrow W^{0,p}_{-\eta,-2}(M).
\]

By Proposition 2.2 in [2], \(\Delta_\delta\) is an isomorphism provided \(0 < \eta < 1\). Therefore, for a given \(\eta \in (0, 1)\), there exists a \(w_\delta \in W^{2,p}_{-\eta}(M)\) such that \(\Delta_\delta w_\delta = \Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1\) and

\[
(A.3) \quad \|w_\delta\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\eta}(M)} \leq C \|\Delta_\delta w_\delta\|_{W^{0,p}_{-\eta,-2}(M)} = C \|\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1\|_{W^{0,p}_{-\eta,-2}(M)}.
\]

Here \(C\) can be taken to be independent on \(\delta\) by (i) and (ii) of Proposition A.1.

Since \(\bar{x}_1 = u_0 \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(M)\) and \(\{g_\delta\}\) is uniformly bounded in \(C^{0,1}(M)\), we have

\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1|^p < \Lambda.
\]
where $U$ is a fixed bounded open set containing $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ is a constant independent on $\delta$. This combined with (i) of Proposition $\text{A.1}$ shows, as $\delta \to 0$,
\begin{equation}
||\Delta_\delta \bar{x}_1||_{W^{0,p}_{-q-2}(M)} = o(1),
\end{equation}
Therefore,
\begin{equation}
||w_\delta||_{W^{2,p}_{-r}(M)} = o(1).
\end{equation}
By Sobolev embedding, $w_\delta$ also satisfies $||w_\delta||_{C^{1,\alpha}_{-\eta}(M)} = o(1)$. Set $u_\delta = u_0 - w_\delta$, $u_\delta$ is the harmonic function on $(M, g_\delta)$ that is asymptotic to $\bar{x}_1$.

By Propositions $\text{A.1}$ and $\text{A.2}$, we have
\begin{equation}
m(g) \geq \int_M \frac{\nabla^2 u_\delta}{|\nabla u_\delta|^2} + \int_{M \setminus \{\Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)\}} R_\delta |\nabla u_\delta| + \int_{\Sigma} \int_{-\delta}^\delta R_\delta |\nabla u_\delta|.
\end{equation}
Letting $\delta \to 0$, by ($\text{A.5}$) and Fatou’s Lemma,
\begin{equation}
\liminf_{\delta \to 0} I \geq \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_{M \setminus \{\Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)\}} \frac{\nabla^2 u_\delta}{|\nabla u_\delta|^2} \geq \int_M \frac{\nabla^2 u_0}{|\nabla u_0|^2}.
\end{equation}
By ($\text{A.5}$) and Proposition $\text{A.1}$
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0} II = \int_M R |\nabla u_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \to 0} III = 2 \int_{\Sigma} (H_\alpha - H) |\nabla u_0|.
\end{equation}
Thus (1.4) follows from ($\text{A.6}$) – ($\text{A.8}$).

We remark that though this approach established (1.4), it does not give the regularity of $u$ on $\Sigma$ which is a main component of Theorem 1.2.
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