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Abstract

In 2011, Penttila and Williford constructed an infinite new family of primitive $Q$-polynomial 3-class association schemes, not arising from distance regular graphs, by exploring the geometry of the lines of the unitary polar space $H(3,q^2)$, $q$ even, with respect to a symplectic polar space $W(3,q)$ embedded in it.

In a private communication to Penttila and Williford, H. Tanaka pointed out that these schemes have the same parameters as the 3-class schemes found by Hollmann and Xiang in 2006 by considering the action of $\text{PGL}(2,q^2)$, $q$ even, on a non-degenerate conic of $\text{PG}(2,q^2)$ extended in $\text{PG}(2,q^4)$. Therefore, the question arises whether the above association schemes are isomorphic. In this paper we provide the positive answer. As by product, we get an isomorphism of strongly regular graphs.
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1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$ be a (symmetric) association scheme with $d$ classes. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let $A_i$ be the adjacency matrix of the relation $R_i$, and $E_i$ the $i$-th primitive idempotent of the Bose-Mesner algebra of $\mathfrak{X}$ which projects on the $i$-th maximal common eigenspace of $A_0, \ldots, A_d$. The matrices $P$ and $Q$ defined by

$$(A_0 \ A_1 \ldots \ A_d) = (E_0 \ E_1 \ldots \ E_d)P$$

and

$$(E_0 \ E_1 \ldots \ E_d) = |X|^{-1}(A_0 \ A_1 \ldots \ A_d)Q$$

are the first and the second eigenmatrix of $\mathfrak{X}$, respectively.

An association scheme is said to be $P$-polynomial, or metric, if, after a reordering of the relations, there are polynomials $p_i$ of degree $i$ such that $A_i = p_i(A_1)$; an association scheme is called $Q$-polynomial, or cometric, if, after a reordering of the eigenspaces, there are polynomials $q_i$ of degree $i$ such that $E_i = q_i(E_1)$, where multiplication is done entrywise. The reader is referred to [1, 3] for further information on association schemes.

Two association schemes $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$ and $\mathfrak{X}' = (X', \{R'_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$ are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $\varphi$ from $X$ to $X'$ such that for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$ there exists $j \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$ satisfying $\{(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) : (x, y) \in R_i\} = R'_j$; the mapping $\varphi$ is called an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{X}$ to $\mathfrak{X}'$.

The idea of $P$-polynomial and $Q$-polynomial schemes was introduced by Delsarte in [9], who observed a formal duality between the two notions. Delsarte also noted that $\mathfrak{X}$ is $P$-polynomial if and only if, after a proper re-ordering of the relations, $(X, R_1)$ is a distance-regular graph [9, Theorems 5.6 and 5.16]. On the other hand, $Q$-polynomial schemes which are neither $P$-polynomial nor duals of $P$-polynomial schemes seem to be quite rare. In [7] van Dam, Martin and Muzychuk constructed an infinite family of such schemes from hemisystems of the unitary polar space $H(3, q^2)$ provided in [16]. In 2011, Penttila and Williford [14] constructed another infinite family of $Q$-polynomial 3-class association schemes, not $P$-polynomial nor the dual of a $P$-polynomial, by considering a relative hemisystem of $H(3, q^2)$, $q$ even, with respect to a symplectic polar space $W(3, q)$ embedded in it. These schemes differ from all those previously known, they being primitive. The known examples of $Q$-polynomial schemes which are not $P$-polynomial are listed in [13, 16].

We underline that the Penttila-Williford 3-class schemes are obtained by applying [14, Theorem 2] which provides primitive $Q$-polynomial subschemes of $Q$-polynomial $Q$-bipartite schemes defined on certain generalized quadrangles. This result can be
viewed as a reversal of the so-called “extended $Q$-bipartite double” construction given in [13]. On the other hand, looking at the Krein array of the generic Penttila-Williford scheme, we may note that it comes from a strongly regular graph after splitting one of its relations in two.

In a private communication to the authors of [14], H. Tanaka pointed out that their 3-class schemes have the same parameters as the 3-class schemes provided by Hollmann and Xiang in [11]. The latter, which were previously not noticed to be $Q$-polynomial, are obtained as fusion of association schemes constructed from the action of the projective group $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$, $q$ even, on a non-degenerate conic in the Desarguesian projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ extended in $\text{PG}(2, q^4)$.

Therefore the question arises whether there exists an isomorphism that takes the Pentilla-Williford association schemes to the Hollmann-Xiang fusion schemes. In this paper, we provide the answer by proving the following result:

**Main Theorem.** The Penttila-Williford 3-class association schemes and the Hollmann-Xiang fusion association schemes are isomorphic.

The proof essentially uses geometric arguments. We start off with an explicit description of the Penttila-Williford relative hemisystems in terms of coordinates in the projective space $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$. Via the Klein correspondence from the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ to the points of the Klein quadric of $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$, we obtain a geometric representation of the Penttila-Williford association schemes in the orthogonal polar space $Q^{-}(5, q)$ whose points are the image of the lines in $H(3, q^2)$ [10]. Thanks to this representation we are able to find a desired isomorphism.

In [11] it was pointed out that a further fusion scheme of the 3-class Hollmann-Xiang scheme produces a strongly regular graph with parameters $v = q^2(q^2 - 1)/2$, $k = (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$, $\lambda = q^2 + q - 2$, $\nu = 2(q^2 - q)$. These graphs have the same parameters of the ones found by R. Metz [8], which can be also constructed from a fusion of the Penttila-Williford schemes; see also [4, p.189]. These graphs are denoted by $NO^{-}(5, q)$ in Brouwer’s table of strongly regular graphs [2].

The paper [11] announced an alleged isomorphism between the above graphs in a forthcoming paper. To the best of our knowledge, such a paper appears to have never been published. Anyway, the Main Theorem confirms the conjectured isomorphism.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we recall the construction of the Hollmann-Xiang and Penttila-Williford association schemes. In Sections 3 we give a coordinatization of the relative hemisystems of Penttila and Williford together with their representation in $Q^{-}(5, q)$. Finally, Section 4 contains the proof of the Main Theorem.
2 Preliminaries

For any given \( n \)-dimensional vector space \( V = V(n, F) \) over a field \( F \), the **projective geometry defined by** \( V \) is the partially ordered set of all subspaces of \( V \), and it will be denoted by \( \text{PG}(V) \). If \( F \) is the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( q \) elements, then we may write \( V = V(n, q) \) and \( \text{PG}(n - 1, q) \) instead of \( \text{PG}(V) \). The 1-dimensional subspaces are called **points**, the 2-dimensional subspaces are called **lines**, and the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional subspaces are called **hyperplanes** of \( \text{PG}(V) \). For a nonzero \( v \in V \), \( \langle v \rangle \) will denote the point of \( \text{PG}(V) \) spanned by \( v \). In order to simplify notation, for each subspace \( U \) of \( V \), that is an element of \( \text{PG}(V) \), we will use the same letter for the projective geometry defined by \( U \). If \( V \) is endowed with a non-degenerate alternating, quadratic or hermitian form of Witt index \( m \), the set \( \mathcal{P} \) of totally isotropic (or singular, in the case of quadratic form) subspaces of \( V \) is a **polar space** of rank \( m \) of \( \text{PG}(V) \), which is called **symplectic**, **orthogonal** or **unitary**, respectively.

Our principal reference on projective geometries and polar spaces is [15].

2.1 The Hollmann-Xiang association schemes

A **non-degenerate conic** \( \mathcal{C} \) of \( \text{PG}(2, q^2) \) is an orthogonal polar space (of rank 1) arising from a non-degenerate quadratic form \( Q \) on \( V(3, q^2) \). A line \( \ell \) of \( \text{PG}(2, q^2) \) is called a **passant**, **tangent** or **secant** of \( \mathcal{C} \) according as \(|\ell \cap \mathcal{C}| = 0, 1 \) or 2.

Embed \( \text{PG}(2, q^2) \) in \( \text{PG}(2, q^4) \). Concretely this can be done by extending the scalars in \( V(3, q^2) \). It follows that each point of \( \text{PG}(2, q^2) \) extends to a point of \( \text{PG}(2, q^4) \). Similarly, each line \( \ell \) of \( \text{PG}(2, q^2) \) extends to a line \( \overline{\ell} \) of \( \text{PG}(2, q^4) \). The extension \( \overline{Q} \) of \( Q \) in \( V(3, q^4) \) is a non-degenerate quadratic form, and it defines a (non-degenerate) conic \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} \) in \( \text{PG}(2, q^4) \). While the extension \( \overline{\ell} \) of a tangent (or secant) line \( \ell \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) is a tangent (or secant) of \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} \), the extension of a passant line of \( \mathcal{C} \) is a secant of \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} \). Such a line is called an **elliptic** line of \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} \), and we will denote by \( \mathcal{E} \) the set of these lines. Note that \( \mathcal{E} \) has size \((q^4 - q^2)/2\).

Since all non-degenerate quadratic forms on \( V(3, q^2) \) are equivalent, we may assume

\[
\overline{Q} : V(3, q^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^4}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto y^2 - xz.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \{ \langle (1, t, t^2) \rangle : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \} \cup \{ \langle (0, 0, 1) \rangle \}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{ \langle (1, t, t^2) \rangle : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \cup \{ \langle (0, 0, 1) \rangle \}.
\]
Therefore, for every elliptic line \( \overline{\ell} \) of \( C \) we have \( \overline{\ell} \cap C = \{(1, t, t^2), (1, t^{q^2}, t^{2q^2})\} \), for some \( t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \). The reader is referred to [11] for more details.

Under the identification of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \cup \{\infty\} \) with \( C \) given by

\[
\xi : \quad t \leftrightarrow (1, t, t^2), \quad \infty \leftrightarrow (0, 0, 1),
\]

the pair \( t = \{t, t^{q^2}\} \), with \( t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), may be associated with the elliptic line intersecting \( C \) at \( \{(1, t, t^2), (1, t^{q^2}, t^{2q^2})\} \). We will use \( \overline{\ell}_t \) to denote this line.

We assume \( q \) is even. For any given pair of distinct elliptic lines \( \overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t \), let

\[
\hat{\rho}(\overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t) = \hat{\rho}(s, t) = \frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + \rho(s, t)^{-1}},
\]

where

\[
\rho(s, t) = \frac{(s + t)(s^{q^2} + t^{q^2})}{(s + t^{q^2})(t + t^{q^2})}.
\]

It is evident that \( \text{Im} \hat{\rho} \) is a subset of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \). The following result is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** [11, Lemma 5.1]

\[
\hat{\rho}(s, t) = \frac{(s + t)(s^{q^2} + t^{q^2})(s + t^{q^2})(s^{q^2} + t)}{(s + s^{q^2})^2(t + t^{q^2})^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + 1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + 1}\right).
\]

Set \( q = 2^h \). For \( r \in \{1, 2\} \), let \( T_0(q^r) \) be the set of elements of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) with absolute trace zero:

\[
T_0(q^r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} : \sum_{i=0}^{rh-1} x^{2^i} = 0 \right\}.
\]

In [11] Hollmann and Xiang consider the following sets to construct a 3-class association scheme:

\[
T_0 = T_0(q^2), \quad S_0^* = T_0(q) \setminus \{0\}, \quad S_1 = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_0.
\]

Note that \( T_0 = \{\alpha + \alpha^2 : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} \) as \( q \) is even. By Lemma 2.1 \( \text{Im} \hat{\rho} \) is contained in \( T_0 \).

**Theorem 2.2.** [11] On the set of the elliptic lines \( \mathcal{E} \) define the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 : & \quad (\overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t) \in R_1 \text{ if and only } \hat{\rho}(\overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t) \in S_0^*; \\
R_2 : & \quad (\overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t) \in R_2 \text{ if and only } \hat{\rho}(\overline{\ell}_s, \overline{\ell}_t) \in S_1;
\end{align*}
\]
Then the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^3)$, where $R_0$ is the identity relation, is a 3-class association scheme.

The first eigen-matrix of the scheme is

\[
P = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & (q-2)(q^2+1)/2 & q(q^2+1)/2 & q(q - 2)(q^2 + 1)/2 \\
1 & -(q-1)(q-2)/2 & -q(q-1)/2 & q(q - 2) \\
1 & -(q^2 - q + 2)/2 & q(q + 1)/2 & -q \\
1 & q-1 & 0 & -q
\end{pmatrix};
\]

see [11, Section 7].

Remark 2.3. By identification [11], the set $\mathcal{E}$ may be replaced by the set $\mathcal{X} = \{t = \{t, t^q\} : t \in F_{q^4} \setminus F_{q^2}\}$ and the relations $R_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, replaced by

\[
R'_1: (s, t) \in R'_1 \text{ if and only if } \tilde{\rho}(s, t) \in S_0^*; \\
R'_2: (s, t) \in R'_2 \text{ if and only if } \tilde{\rho}(s, t) \in S_1; \\
R'_3: (s, t) \in R'_3 \text{ if and only if } \tilde{\rho}(s, t) \in T_0 \setminus F_{q};
\]

here $\tilde{\rho}(s, t)$ is the quantity defined in [2]. Hence, $\mathcal{X}$ is an association scheme isomorphic to $(\mathcal{E}, \{R'_i\}_{i=0}^3)$.

Remark 2.4. Actually, the scheme $\mathcal{X}$ arises as a fusion of the one given by the following result [11].

**Theorem.** Under the identification $\xi$, the action of $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$ on $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$ gives rise to an association scheme on $\mathcal{X}$ with $q^2/2 - 1$ classes $R_{\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}}$, $\lambda \in F_{q^2} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, where $(s, t) \in R_{\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}}$ if and only if $\{\rho(s, t), \rho(s, t)^{-1}\} = \{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$.

### 2.2 The Penttila-Williford association schemes

Up to isometries, the vector space $V(4, q^2)$ has precisely one non-degenerate hermitian form, and its Witt index is $2$. As usual, $H(3, q^2)$ denotes the unitary polar space of rank $2$ defined by it. A point (resp. line) of $H(3, q^2)$ is a 1-dimensional (resp. 2-dimensional) subspace in $H(3, q^2)$.

Assume $q$ even for the rest of the current section. In $V(4, q^2)$ there is a 4-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space $\widehat{V}$ such that the restriction of the hermitian form on
it induces a non-degenerate alternating form $\hat{b}$ which defines a symplectic polar space $W(3, q)$ of rank 2 of $\text{PG}(\hat{V})$ \[^5\]. In addition, $\hat{b}$ is the polar of a non-degenerate quadratic form $\hat{Q}$ of Witt index 1, whose set of singular point is denoted by $Q^-(3, q)$. By $\hat{W}$ (resp. $\hat{Q}$) we denote the set of all the totally isotropic (resp. singular) subspaces of $W(3, q)$ (resp. $Q^-(3, q)$) extended over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. As a consequence, for every point of $H(3, q^2)$ not in $\hat{W}$ there are exactly $q$ lines of $H(3, q^2)$ disjoint from $\hat{W}$ and one in $\hat{W}$. Note that $\hat{W}$ is an embedding of $W(3, q)$ in $H(3, q^2)$.

The following definition was introduced in \[^{14}\]. A relative hemisystem of $H(3, q^2)$ with respect to $W(3, q)$ is a set $\mathcal{H}$ of lines of $H(3, q^2)$ disjoint from $\hat{W}$ such that every point of $H(3, q^2)$ not in $\hat{W}$ lies on exactly $q/2$ lines of $\mathcal{H}$. For any given line $l$ of $H(3, q^2)$ disjoint from $\hat{W}$, let $\mathcal{S}_l$ denote the set of lines of $H(3, q^2)$ which meet both $l$ and $\hat{W}$. We stress the fact that $\mathcal{S}_l$ consists of the lines of $\hat{W}$ that extend elements of a regular spread of $W(3, q)$\[^1\], and refer to $\mathcal{S}_l$ as the spread subtended by $l$.

**Theorem 2.5.** \[^{14}\] Theorem 4] Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a relative hemisystem of $H(3, q^2)$ with respect to $W(3, q)$. Then a primitive $Q$-polynomial 3-class association scheme can be constructed on $\mathcal{H}$ by the defining the following relations:

\[\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}_1: & \quad (l, m) \in \tilde{R}_1 \text{ if and only if } |l \cap m| = 1; \\
\tilde{R}_2: & \quad (l, m) \in \tilde{R}_2 \text{ if and only if } l \cap m = \emptyset \text{ and } |\mathcal{S}_l \cap \mathcal{S}_m| = 1; \\
\tilde{R}_3: & \quad (l, m) \in \tilde{R}_3 \text{ if and only if } l \cap m = \emptyset \text{ are } |\mathcal{S}_l \cap \mathcal{S}_m| = q + 1.
\end{align*}\]

Let $\text{PO}^-(\hat{V})$ be the stabilizer of $\hat{Q}$ in the projective unitary group $\text{PGU}(4, q^2)$. By looking at the action of the commutator subgroup $\text{PO}^-(\hat{V})$ of $\text{PO}^-(\hat{V})$ on the lines of $H(3, q^2)$, the following result was proved in \[^{14}\].

**Theorem 2.6.** $\text{PO}^-(\hat{V})$ has two orbits on the lines of $H(3, q^2)$ disjoint from $\hat{W}$, and each orbit is a relative hemisystem with respect to $W(3, q)$.

We consider an association scheme $(\mathcal{H}, \{\tilde{R}_i\}_{i=0}^3)$ as in Theorem 2.5 by using the hemisystems from Theorem 2.6. As expected, the first eigen-matrix of the scheme is precisely the matrix in \[^{14}\].

\[^1\] A spread of $W(3, q)$ in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is a set $\mathcal{S}$ of totally isotropic lines which partition the pointset of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. $\mathcal{S}$ is regular if for any three distinct lines of $\mathcal{S}$ there is a set $R$ of $q + 1$ lines of $\mathcal{S}$ containing them, with the following property: any line of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ intersecting three lines in $R$ meets all the lines of $R$. 

7
3 The explicit construction of the relative hemisystem of Penttila-Williford

Let \( G \) and \( H \) be groups acting on the sets \( \Omega \) and \( \Delta \), respectively. The two actions are said to be permutationally isomorphic if there exist a bijection \( \theta : \Omega \to \Delta \) and an isomorphism \( \chi : G \to H \) such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times \Omega & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Omega \\
\downarrow{\chi} & & \downarrow{\theta} \\
H \times \Delta & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \Delta 
\end{array}
\]

Here \( \phi \) and \( \tilde{\phi} \) are the maps defining the action of \( G \) and \( H \) on \( \Omega \) and \( \Delta \), respectively.

Let \( Q^-(3, q) \) be the orthogonal polar space (of rank 1) defined by \( \hat{Q} \) on the 4-dimensional \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-vector space \( \hat{V} \) introduced in Section 2.2. It is known that \( (\text{PSL}(2, q^2), \text{PG}(1, q^2)) \) and \( (\text{P} \Omega^-(\hat{V}), Q^-(3, q)) \) are permutationally isomorphic for all prime powers \( q \). For sake of completeness, we give an explicit description of the above isomorphism which is more suitable for our computation.

In \( V(4, q^2) = \{(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) : X_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} \), let \( \hat{V} \) be the set of all vectors \( v = (\alpha, x^q, x, \beta) \) with \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q, x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \). With the usual sum and multiplication by scalars from \( \mathbb{F}_q \), \( \hat{V} \) is a 4-dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

As usual we identify \( \text{PG}(1, q^2) \) with \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \cup \{\infty\} \) and we consider the following injective map:

\[
\theta : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \cup \{\infty\} \longrightarrow \text{PG}(\hat{V}) \\
t & \mapsto \langle (1, t^q, t, t^{q+1}) \rangle \\
\infty & \mapsto \langle (0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle
\]

**Proposition 3.1.** The image of \( \theta \) is an orthogonal polar space of rank 1 of \( \text{PG}(\hat{V}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( Q \) be the quadratic form on \( V(4, q^2) \) defined by

\[
Q(X) = X_1X_4 - X_2X_3,
\]

which has \( b(X, Y) = X_1Y_4 + X_2Y_1 - X_2Y_3 - X_3Y_2 \) as the associated non-degenerate bilinear form. The restriction \( \hat{Q} = Q|_{\hat{V}} \) is the quadratic form given by

\[
\hat{Q}(v) = \alpha\beta - x^{q+1},
\]
which has
\[ \hat{b}(v, v') = \alpha \beta' + \beta \alpha' - xx'^q - x^q x' \] (6)
as the associated bilinear form. Let \( v = (\alpha, x^q, x, \beta) \in \text{Rad}(\hat{V}) \), that is \( \hat{b}(v, v') = 0 \), for all \( v' \in \hat{V} \). If \( \alpha' = \beta' = 0 \), a necessary condition for \( v \in \text{Rad}(\hat{V}) \) is
\[ xx'^q + xx'^q = 0, \]
for all \( x' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \). This shows that the polynomial in \( x' \) of degree \( q \) on the left hand side has at least \( q^2 \) roots. Therefore, it must be the zero polynomial, and \( x = 0 \). We repeat the above argument for \( \alpha' = x' = 0 \) and for \( x' = \beta' = 0 \) to show that \( v = 0 \).

This yields that \( \hat{b} \), and hence \( \hat{Q} \), is non-degenerate. Let \( u \) be a singular vector for \( \hat{Q} \). Without loss of generality we may take \( u = (1, 0, 0, 0) \). Therefore, the subspace \( U = \{v \in \hat{V} : \hat{b}(v, u) = 0\} \) coincides with \( \{ (\alpha, x^q, x, 0) : \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q, x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \). It is easily seen that \( U \cap \ker \hat{Q} = \{ \alpha u : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \} \). Thus, \( \hat{Q} \) is a quadratic form of Witt index 1 giving rise to the orthogonal polar space \( Q^- (3, q) = \{ ((1, t^q, t, t^{q+1})) : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \cup \{ (0, 0, 0, 1) \} \), which is precisely \( \text{Im} \theta \).

Let \( \chi \) be the monomorphism defined by
\[ \chi : \text{SL}(2, q^2) \to \text{SL}(4, q^2) \quad \quad g \mapsto g \otimes g^q, \]
where \( \otimes \) is the Kronecker product and \( g^q \) denotes the matrix \( g \) with its entries raised to the \( q \)-th power. It is straightforward to check that \( \chi(g) \) is a \( \hat{Q} \)-isometry, for every \( g \in \text{SL}(2, q^2) \). Therefore, \( \chi \) can be regarded as a monomorphism from \( \text{PSL}(2, q^2) \) to \( \text{PO}^- (\hat{V}) \). It is actually an isomorphism from \( \text{PSL}(2, q^2) \) to \( \text{PO}^- (\hat{V}) \), as it will be shown below.

Let \( t_a \) be the transvection in \( \text{SL}(2, q^2) \) with matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), for some \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \).

The isometry \( \chi(t_a) \) maps \((\alpha, x^q, x, \beta)\) to \((\alpha, a^q \alpha + x^q, a \alpha + x, a^{q+1} \alpha + ax^q + a^q x + \beta)\). Its restriction on the hyperplane of all \( \hat{b} \)-orthogonal vectors to \( u = (0, 0, 0, 1) \) is the map
\[ \eta_{u,y}(w) = w + \hat{b}(w, y)u, \]
where \( y = (0, -a^q, -a, 0) \). This yields that \( \chi(t_a) \) is actually the unique Siegel transformation \( \rho_{u,y} \) which extends \( \eta_{u,y} \) [15, Theorem 11.18]. By using [15] Theorem 11.19 (ii) it is possible to show that as \( a \) varies in \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \), \( \rho_{u,y} \) describes all the Siegel transformations centered at \( u \).
Every transvection $g$ is conjugate in $\text{SL}(2,q^2)$ to a transvection of type $t_a$. This implies that $\chi(g)$ is also a Siegel transformation [13, Theorem 11.19 (iii)]. Therefore, $\chi$ gives rise to a bijection from the set of all transvections in $\text{SL}(2,q^2)$ to all Siegel transformations of $\hat{V}$. Since transvections generate $\text{SL}(2,q^2)$, and Siegel transformations generate $\Omega^-(\hat{V})$, we achieve $|\chi(\text{PSL}(2,q^2))| = |\text{P}\Omega^-(\hat{V})|$. As $|\text{PSL}(2,q^2)| = |\text{P}\Omega^-(\hat{V})|$, $\chi$ is actually the desired isomorphism.

For the rest of this section, assume $q$ is even. The bilinear form $\hat{b}$ defined by (6) is a (non-degenerate) alternating form on $\hat{V}$. Let $h$ be the non-degenerate hermitian form on $V(4,q^2)$ given by

$$h(X,Y) = X_1Y_4^q + X_2Y_2^q + X_3Y_3^q + X_4Y_1^q,$$

with associated unitary polar space $H(3,q^2)$. It is evident that $h|_{\hat{V}} = \hat{b}$. Therefore, the symplectic polar space $W(3,q)$ defined by $\hat{b}$, as well as the orthogonal polar space $Q^-(3,q)$, can be embedded in $H(3,q^2)$ by extending the scalars, so getting $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{Q}$ introduced in Section 2.2. This also implies that $\text{P}\Omega^-(\hat{V})$ is a subgroup of the projective symplectic group $\text{PSp}(\hat{V})$ which is in turn a subgroup of the projective unitary group $\text{PGU}(4,q^2)$.

The semilinear involutional transformation $\tau$ of $V(4,q^2)$ given by

$$\tau : \quad V(4,q^2) \rightarrow V(4,q^2)$$

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \mapsto (X_1^q, X_3^q, X_2^q, X_4^q).$$

fixes $H(3,q^2)$ and acts as the identity on $\hat{W}$.

If we embed $V(4,q^2)$ in $V(4,q^4)$ by extending the scalars, then $\text{PG}(3,q^4)$ embeds $\text{PG}(\hat{V})$. Therefore, $\theta$ defined by (5) can be naturally thought as the restriction of the following map:

$$\theta : \quad \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \text{PG}(3,q^4)$$

$$t \quad \mapsto \quad \langle (1, t^q, t, t^{q+1}) \rangle$$

$$\infty \quad \mapsto \quad \langle (0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle.$$
For each \( t \theta \) spanned by \( \theta(t) \) is not the span of a vector of \( V(4, q^2) \).

Moreover,
\[
\theta(t^n) = \langle (1, t^{q^3}, t^{q^2+t^3}) \rangle = \theta(t)^{q^2} \neq \theta(t).
\]

For each \( t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), we associate the pair \( t = \{ t, t^{q^2} \} \) with the line \( M_t \) of \( \text{PG}(3, q^4) \) spanned by \( \theta(t) \) and \( \theta(t^{q^2}) \), which is distinct from \( M_t^\perp \).

**Lemma 3.2.** For each pair \( t \), \( M_t \cap V(4, q^2) \) is a line of \( H(3, q^2) \), say \( m_t \), which is disjoint from \( \hat{W} \).

**Proof.** A straightforward computation shows that the vectors in \( M_t \cap V(4, q^2) \) are precisely
\[
X_\lambda = (\lambda + t^q, \lambda t^q + \lambda^2 t^{q^3}, \lambda t^q + \lambda t + \lambda^2 t^{q^2}, \lambda t^q + \lambda t^{q^2} + \lambda^2 t^{q^3+q^2}),
\]
for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \), and they form a line \( m_t \) of \( \text{PG}(3, q^2) \). Since \( h(X_\lambda, X_{\lambda'}) = 0 \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \), \( m_t \) is a line of \( H(3, q^2) \). Finally, in order to prove that \( m_t \) is disjoint from \( \hat{W} \), consider the following system:
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha a &= \lambda + \lambda^q \\
\alpha x &= \lambda t^q + \lambda^2 t^{q^3} \\
\alpha x &= \lambda t^q + \lambda^2 t^{q^2} \\
\beta &= \lambda t^{q+1} + \lambda^2 t^{q^3+q^2},
\end{align*}
\]
with \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}, x, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \). The existence of a solution for (7), or rather the existence of \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), makes the system inconsistent. This concludes the proof.

**Proposition 3.3.** The sets \( \{ m_t : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \) and \( \{ m_t^\perp : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \) are precisely the two orbits of \( P\Omega^-(\hat{V}) \) on the lines of \( H(3, q^2) \) disjoint from \( \hat{W} \).

**Proof.** From the proof of [14] Theorem 5], \( P\Omega^-(\hat{V}) \) has two orbits on the lines of \( H(3, q^2) \) disjoint from \( \hat{W} \), and these two orbits are interchanged by \( \tau \). We recall that \( m_t \) is uniquely defined by the line \( M_t \) of \( \text{PG}(3, q^4) \), which is spanned by \( \theta(t) \) and \( \theta(t^{q^2}) \). Hence, it suffices to prove that \( \{ M_t : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \} \) is an orbit of \( P\Omega^-(\hat{V}) \).

Let \( \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) such that \( \omega^q = \omega + 1 \) and \( \omega^2 + \omega = \delta \), with \( \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{T}_0, \delta \neq 1 \). For all \( t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), write \( t = x + y\omega \), with \( x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, y \neq 0 \).

As a group acting on the projective line \( \text{PG}(1, q^2) \) assimilated to the set \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \cup \{ \infty \} \), \( \text{PSL}(2, q^2) \) may be identified with the group of linear fractional transformations
\[
\begin{align*}
z &\rightarrow \frac{az + b}{cz + d},
\end{align*}
\]
where $ad - bc$ is a non-zero square in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ [15]. For any given $t = x + y\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, let $g \in \text{PSL}(2, q^2)$ with matrix $[1, 0; x, y]$. Then, $\chi(g) = g \otimes g^q$ maps $\left(\theta(\omega), \theta(\omega^q)\right)$ to $\left(\theta(t), \theta(t^q)\right)$ by taking into account $\omega q^2 = \omega + 1$. This implies that $\chi(g) \in \text{PGL}(V)$ maps the line $M_{\omega, \omega^q}$ to $M_t$. □

**Corollary 3.4.** The sets \{\(m_t : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\)\} and \{\(m_t^\perp : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\)\} are the Penttila-Williford relative hemisystems.

## 4 The proof of the Main Theorem

Define $T = \{\{t, t^q\} : t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}$, and put $\mathcal{X} = T$. By Remark 2.3, we need to find a bijection between the set $\mathcal{X}$ and the relative hemisystem $\mathcal{H} = \{m_t : t \in T\}$ preserving the relations defined on them.

From the arguments in Section 3, we may associate the pair $t = \{t, t^q\} \in \mathcal{X}$ with the line $m_t \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, Corollary 3.4 gives $|\mathcal{H}| = (q^4 - q^2)/2 = |\mathcal{X}|$, and this contributes to make the mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}, t \mapsto m_t$ a bijection. In order to show that $\varphi$, in fact, preserves the relations, we will move into a different geometric setting. More precisely, we will use the following dual representation of $H(3, q^2)$. Via the Klein correspondence $\kappa$, the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ are mapped to the points of an orthogonal polar space $Q^+(5, q^2)$ of rank 3 of $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$, which is the so-called the *Klein quadric*. In particular, the lines of $H(3, q^2)$ are mapped to the points of an orthogonal polar space $Q^-(5, q)$ of rank 2 in a $\text{PG}(5, q)$ embedded in $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$. When $q$ is even, $\kappa$ maps the lines of any symplectic polar space of rank 2 embedded in $H(3, q^2)$ to the points of an orthogonal polar space of rank 2, which is the intersection of $Q^-(5, q)$ with a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(5, q)$. The reader is referred to [10] for more details on the Klein correspondence.

Assume $q$ even. In $V(6, q^2)$ consider the 6-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\tilde{V} = \{(x, x^q, y, y^q, z, z^q) : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}$. Let $\text{PG}(\tilde{V})$ be the projective geometry defined by $\tilde{V}$.

We consider the Klein quadric $Q^+(5, q^2)$ defined by the (non-degenerate) quadratic form $Q(X) = X_1X_6 + X_2X_5 + X_3X_4$ on $V(6, q^2)$. For any given $w = (x, x^q, y, y^q, z, z^q) \in \tilde{V}$,

$$\tilde{Q}(w) = Q|_{\tilde{V}}(w) = xz^q + x^qz + y^qy + 1.$$  

From [12, Proposition 2.4], $\tilde{Q}$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form of Witt index 2 on $\tilde{V}$ with associated alternating form

$$\tilde{b}(w, w') = xz^q + x^qz' + yy^q + y^qy' + z^qy + zy^q + z^qz.$$
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Therefore, $\widetilde{Q}$ gives rise to an orthogonal polar space $Q^{-}(5, q)$ of $\text{PG}(\widetilde{V})$ embedded in $Q^{+}(5, q^2)$.

For any subspace $X$ of $\widetilde{V}$, set

$$X^\perp = \{ w \in \widetilde{V} : \widetilde{b}(w, u) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in X \}. $$

Let $Q(4, q)$ be the polar space whose points are the $\kappa$-image of the lines of $\widetilde{W}$, and $\Gamma$ be the hyperplane of $\text{PG}(\widetilde{V})$ containing $Q(4, q)$. For a complete description of $\Gamma$ observe that the pairs

$$\{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, x, x^q, 0)\}, \quad \{(0, 0, 0, 1), (0, x, x^q, 0)\}, \quad \{(1, 1, 1, 1), (x + x^q, x, x^q, 0)\},$$

with $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, span lines of $\widetilde{W}$ which give three skew lines of $Q(4, q)$ under $\kappa$ generating $\Gamma$. It follows that $\Gamma = \{(x, x^q, c, c, z, z) : x, z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, c \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$.

Under $\kappa$, the line $m_t$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is mapped to the point $P_t = \langle w_t \rangle$ of $\text{PG}(\widetilde{V})$, where

$$w_t = (t^q + t^q^3, t + t^q^2, t^{1+q} + t^q^2 + t^{1+q^2}, t^{1+q^2} + t^q + q^3, t^{1+q+q^2} + t^q + q^2 + q^3, t^{1+q^2+q^3}).$$

Note that $P_t$ is in $Q^{-}(5, q)$, but not in $Q(4, q)$. Let $P'_t = \kappa(m_t^\perp)$. Since $m_t$ and $m_t^\perp$ are disjoint lines of $H(3, q^2)$, the line $L_t$ spanned by $P_t$ and $P'_t$ intersects $Q^{-}(5, q)$ just at $P_t$ and $P'_t$. On the other hand, $m_t$ and $m_t^\perp$ subtend the same spread $S_t = S_{m_t}$ in $\widetilde{W}$. The $\kappa$-image of $S_t$ is an orthogonal polar space of rank 1 contained in $Q(4, q)$ [10], and it turns out this is precisely $Q(4, q) \cap L_t^\perp$. Consequently, $L_t^\perp$ is in $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^\perp = \langle (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0) \rangle = \langle w_0 \rangle$ is a point of $L_t$, for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The symbol $\widetilde{O}_t$ will be used to indicate $Q(4, q) \cap L_t^\perp$.

For any given distinct pairs $s$ and $t$, let $\Pi_{s,t}$ be the plane of $\text{PG}(\widetilde{V})$ spanned by $\Gamma^\perp$, $P_s$ and $P_t$. The restriction of $\widetilde{Q}$ and $\widetilde{b}$ on $\Pi_{s,t}$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{Q}_{s,t}$ and $\widetilde{b}_{s,t}$, respectively. Identifying a triple $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}^3$ with the vector $v = aw_s + bw_0 + cw_t \in \Pi_{s,t}$, we obtain that the action of $\widetilde{b}_{s,t}$ induced on $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ is given by the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix}
\widetilde{b}(w_s, w_s) & \widetilde{b}(w_s, w_0) & \widetilde{b}(w_s, w_t) \\
\widetilde{b}(w_0, w_s) & \widetilde{b}(w_0, w_0) & \widetilde{b}(w_0, w_t) \\
\widetilde{b}(w_t, w_s) & \widetilde{b}(w_t, w_0) & \widetilde{b}(w_t, w_t)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \text{Tr}(s^{q+1}) & \widetilde{b}(w_s, w_t) \\
\text{Tr}(s^{q+1}) & 0 & \text{Tr}(t^{q+1}) \\
\widetilde{b}(w_s, w_t) & \text{Tr}(t^{q+1}) & 0
\end{pmatrix};$$

here $\text{Tr}$ is the trace map from $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\Pi_{s,t}$ is degenerate as $\text{Rad}(\Pi_{s,t}) = \langle v_{s,t} \rangle$, where

$$v_{s,t} = \text{Tr}(t^{q+1})w_s + \widetilde{b}(w_s, w_t)w_0 + \text{Tr}(s^{q+1})w_t.$$
It is easily seen that

\[
\tilde{Q}_{s,t}(v_{s,t}) = \tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) \left( \tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) + \text{Tr}(s^{q+1})\text{Tr}(t^{q+1}) \right) \\
= \tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) \tilde{b}(w_s, w'_t),
\]

where

\[
w'_t = (t^q + t^{q^2}, t + t^{q^2}, t^{q^2} + t^{1+q^3}, t^{1+q^3} + t^{q^3} + t^{q^2+q^3}, t^{1+q+q^2} + t^{1+q^2+q^3}).
\]

Note that \(P'_t = \kappa(m'_t) = \langle w'_t \rangle\).

Now two cases are possible according as \(v_{s,t}\) is singular or not.

If \(\tilde{Q}_{s,t}(v_{s,t}) = 0\), then \(\tilde{Q}_{s,t}\) is degenerate, and \(C_{s,t} = \Pi_{s,t} \cap Q^{-}(5, q)\) consists of two distinct lines through \(\langle v_{s,t} \rangle\), as \(P_s, P'_s, P_t\) and \(P'_t\) are distinct points no three of them collinear. This yields that \(L^t_s\) meets \(L^t_t\) in the plane \(\Pi_{s,t}^\perp\) of \(\Gamma\), with \(\tilde{Q}_{\Pi_{s,t}^\perp}\) degenerate, and \(\tilde{O}_s \cap \tilde{O}_t = \Pi_{s,t}^\perp \cap Q^{-}(5, q) = \langle v_{s,t} \rangle\). By taking into account (8), there are two possibilities of obtaining zero for \(\tilde{Q}_{s,t}(v_{s,t})\): either \(\tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) = 0\) or \(\tilde{b}(w_s, w'_t) = 0\).

If \(\tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) = 0\), then \(P_s\) and \(P_t\) are collinear in \(Q^{-}(5, q)\), or equivalently, the lines \(m_s\) and \(m_t\) are concurrent, that is \((m_s, m_t) \in \tilde{R}_1\) (see Theorem 2.5). On the other hand, by taking into account (3),

\[
0 = \tilde{b}(w_s, w_t) = (s^q + s)^q(t^{q^2} + t)(s + t^{q^2})(s^{q^2} + t) + (s^{q^2} + s)(t^{q^2} + t)(s + t^{q^2})^q(s^{q^2} + t)^q
\]

if and only if

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + 1} = \frac{(s + t^{q^2})(s^{q^2} + t)}{(s^{q^2} + s)(t^{q^2} + t)} \in \mathbb{F}_q.
\]

When \(\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q\), \(\tilde{\rho}(s, t) \in S_0^*\) by Lemma 2.1, that is \((s, t) \in R'_1\) (see Remark 2.3). On the other hand, if \(\tilde{\rho}(s, t) = \nu^2 + \nu \in S_0^*\), then there exists \(z \in \mathbb{F}_q\) such that \((z + \nu)^2 + (z + \nu) = 0\), which implies either \(z = \nu\) or \(z + 1 = \nu\). In both cases \(\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q\). Therefore, \((m_s, m_t) \in \tilde{R}_1\) if and only if \((s, t) \in R'_1\).

If \(\tilde{b}(w_s, w'_t) = 0\), then \(P_s\) and \(P'_t\) are collinear in \(Q^{-}(5, q)\), and this leads to the non-collinearity of \(P_s\) and \(P_t\). This means that \((m_s, m_t) \in \tilde{R}_2\) on one side, and \((s, t) \in R'_2\) on the other one. In fact,

\[
0 = \tilde{b}(w_s, w'_t) = (s^q + s)^q(t^{q^2} + t)^q(s + t^{q^2})(s^{q^2} + t) + (s^{q^2} + s)(t^{q^2} + t)(s + t^{q^2})^q(s^{q^2} + t)^q + (s^{q^2} + s)^{q+1}(t^{q^2} + t)^{q+1}
\]
if and only if

\[ \nu^q + \nu = \left( \frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + 1} \right)^q + \frac{1}{\rho(s, t) + 1} = 1. \]

When \( \nu^q + \nu = 1 \), then \( \nu \notin \mathbb{F}_q \). This implies that the equation \( Z^2 + Z = \widehat{\rho}(s, t) \) has no solutions in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), that is \( \widehat{\rho}(s, t) \in S_1 \), i.e. \( (s, t) \in R'_2 \). On the other hand, \( \widehat{\rho}(s, t) = \nu^2 + \nu \in S_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_q \) implies \( \nu \notin \mathbb{F}_q \). As \( \widehat{\rho}(s, t) \in \mathbb{F}_q \), then \( (\nu^q + \nu)^2 + (\nu^q + \nu) = 0 \) holds, whence \( \nu^q + \nu = 1 \).

Finally, if \( \widetilde{Q}_{s,t}(v_{s,t}) \neq 0 \), then \( \widetilde{Q}_{s,t} \) is non-degenerate and \( \langle v_{s,t} \rangle \) is the nucleus of the (non-degenerate) conic \( C_{s,t} \). Therefore, \( \widehat{O}_t \) and \( \widehat{O}_s \) meet in \( q + 1 \) points of \( \Pi_{s,t}^q \cap Q(4,q) \). Then, \( S_{m_t} = \kappa^{-1}(\widehat{O}_t) \) and \( S_{m_s} = \kappa^{-1}(\widehat{O}_s) \) meet in exactly \( q + 1 \) lines in \( \widehat{W} \), that is \( (m_t, m_s) \in \widehat{R}_3 \). It is clear that \( (m_s, m_t) \in \widehat{R}_3 \) if and only if \( (s, t) \in R'_3 \) by exclusion.

Summing up, for each \( i = 0, \ldots, 3 \), we have

\[ (s, t) \in R'_i \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (m_s, m_t) = \varphi(s, t) \in \widehat{R}_i, \]

i.e. \( \varphi \) induces a bijection between \( R'_i \) and \( \widehat{R}_i \), thus achieving our aim.
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