Polariton-assisted control over relaxation pathways in a FRET pair of organic dyes strongly coupled to the modes of tuneable microcavity.
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Resonant interaction between excitonic transitions of molecules and localized electromagnetic field allows formation of hybrid polaritonic states which combine properties of both light and matter states. Such hybridization of states has shown to be able to significantly alter the intrinsic properties of molecular ensembles placed inside the optical cavity. Here, we achieved strong coupling between excitonic transition in oligonucleotide molecular beacons labelled with organic dye molecules and tuneable open-access cavity mode. We characterize the photoluminescence of such a hybrid system under non-resonant laser excitation and the dependence of relative population of hybrid states on cavity detuning. Furthermore, by analysing the dependence of the relaxation pathways between energy states in such system we have demonstrated that strong coupling of cavity photon predominantly to donor can lead to large energy shift and energy transfer from acceptor to donor, thus yielding chromophore role-reversal or “carnival effect”.

1. Introduction

Strong light-matter coupling is a quantum electrodynamics phenomenon that takes place when the rate of resonant energy exchange (i.e. coupling strength) between the exciton transition in matter and resonant localized electromagnetic field is higher than competing decay and decoherence process. It leads to the formation of two new hybrid light-matter (polaritonic) states with different energies instead of two original resonant energy states. Once strong
coupling regime is reached, coupled system exhibits new properties possessed by neither sample nor cavity\textsuperscript{[1]}. Hence, by controlling coupling strength it is possible to modulate various system properties including eigenenergies, lifetimes, localization, energy transfer, conductivity, etc.\textsuperscript{[2]} It leads to a wide spectrum of possible practical applications such as modification of chemical reactivity\textsuperscript{[3]}, enhanced conductivity\textsuperscript{[4]}, development of low-threshold sources of coherent emission\textsuperscript{[5]}, and even polariton simulators and logic\textsuperscript{[6,7]}.

Recently it was demonstrated that strong coupling can modulate both distance and efficiency of Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)\textsuperscript{[8,9]}. FRET is a process of non-radiative energy transfer from one fluorophore (donor) to another (acceptor). FRET only occurs when several conditions are satisfied: first, donor emission spectrum should overlap with acceptor absorption spectrum, second, donor and acceptor fluorophores should be in favorable mutual orientation, and third, donor and acceptor should be located in range of 1-10 nm from each other since energy transfer efficiency inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance between fluorophores. When these conditions are satisfied, energy transfer results in decreased donor emission with simultaneous increase in acceptor fluorescence. Under the strong coupling regime, both donor and acceptor could be coupled to a microcavity optical mode, which acts as mediator. Thus it becomes possible not only to transfer energy to distances more than 100 nm\textsuperscript{[9]} which is ten times beyond Forster limit but also to increase the rate of energy transfer up to seven-fold.\textsuperscript{[8]} As a result, energy transfer efficiency, which depends on the ratio of donor fluorescence in presence and absence of acceptor, is increased since increase in energy transfer rate leads to significant decrease in donor fluorescence in presence of acceptor. It was reported that under the strong coupling regime, energy transfer efficiency increased from 0.55 to 0.90.\textsuperscript{[8]}

It is indeed a very promising result for development of FRET applications because energy transfer-based techniques are widely applied in biomedical research. In this regard, one of the most potentially powerful instruments are oligonucleotide molecular beacons, which are used in biosensing\textsuperscript{[10]}, RNA imaging\textsuperscript{[11]}, and nanomedicine\textsuperscript{[11]}.. All these approaches are based
on the same principle – specifically designed molecular beacon binds to its target and binding event is reported by induction of FRET signal. Hence, improving FRET efficiency is a crucial factor for implementation of such techniques. One promising way to modify FRET efficiency is to use light-matter interaction in various regimes\cite{8,12}.

Polariton assisted energy transfer between spatially separated molecules has been extensively studied in different configurations\cite{8,9,13}. In\cite{13} hybrid polaritonic states were demonstrated to be efficient energy transfer pathway between two spatially J-aggregates initially having negligible direct energy transfer via dipole-dipole coupling. However, for many practical applications as we have shown above it is even more intriguing to have a way to alternate the energy relaxation in mixed media, where donor and acceptor molecules are placed in a close distance one to each other and have FRET via direct dipole-dipole coupling. In\cite{14} hybridization in a microcavity filled with the blend of two fluorescent BODIPY dyes having similar properties was investigated. In this case light-matter coupling occurred with both donor and acceptor exciton transitions in a similar manner. In such case direct dipole-dipole coupling was shown to be more efficient than energy transfer via strong coupling. Interesting that strong coupling to only one of the excitons in systems is also shown to be promising for control over relaxation pathways in FRET systems. In\cite{15} authors have theoretically demonstrated that exclusive coupling of cavity photon to donor states can enhance transfer efficiency but reversed turned out not to be true. On the other hand there has been shown that sufficiently strong coupling exclusively to acceptor can modify the energy levels of the system in a way that transfer from acceptor to the donor states mediated by the polariton states can occur, leading to the chromophore role-reversal or “carnival effect”. We believe that it is interesting to investigate possibility of combining of these effects by strong coupling of exclusively donor state to the cavity photon with large coupling strength leading to the formation of polariton state with relatively high fraction of donor exciton and negligible fraction of acceptor exciton, with the energy lower than that of bare acceptor state. However, such modification will require large
values of coupling strength to ensure significant energy levels alteration. Most up to date FRET studies in a strong coupling regime were limited by the use of simple Fabry-Perot microcavities, having relatively large mode volumes and hence low coupling strengths in the system. This restricted experimental realization of proposed theoretically effects. Here we use tuneable microcavity with lateral mode localization leading to the drastic decrease in mode volumes allowing to achieve much larger coupling strength for media placed inside\(^{[16]}\). On the other hand, to ensure strong enough exclusive coupling to the donor state we used 6-Carboxyfluorescein (FAM) having large dipole moment and high quantum yield as the donor and rhodamine derivative Carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) with much lower dipole moment and quantum yield (see Sample preparation section and SI for details). In order to have close stable distance between the dye molecules to ensure direct dipole-dipole coupling between them we used oligonucleotide-based molecular beacons where the diameter of the DNA double helix of 2 nm fixes the distance between the labelling molecules.

Here, we have investigated the polariton-assisted energy transfer in tuneable open-access microcavity containing the solution of oligonucleotide molecular beacons with closely spaced pair of organic dye molecules. Namely, molecular beacons with FAM and TAMRA organic dyes have been used due to an efficient FRET between chromophores outside of the cavity. We measured the dependence of photoluminescent properties of the solution in a microcavity and analysed dependence of polaritonic state population on the detuning of the optical microcavity mode. On the base of Jaynes-Cummings model we calculate the eigenfunctions of the strongly coupled three-component system and estimate the mixing of excitons and photon fractions in hybrid states. We estimate the possibility of the alteration of the relaxation pathways by varying the exciton-photon mixing in polaritonic states. Furthermore, we explore the particular situation of donor being much stronger coupled with the optical mode than the acceptor. For the best of our knowledge, we have for the first time demonstrated the experimental realization of the effect
of switching places between donor and acceptor (“carnival effect”), which had been theoretically described by Joel Yuen-Zhou group in[17].

2. Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the ability to control over energy transfer in the FRET pair of closely spaced organic dyes we implemented the tuneable microcavity previously developed in our group and described in detail in [18,19]. Briefly, tuneable microcavity cell is composed of plane and convex mirrors that form unstable $\lambda/2$ Fabry–Perot microcavity, Figure 1(a). Upper mirror was made convex in order to satisfy the plane-parallelism condition in one point and to minimize the mode volume. Plane bottom mirror was mounted on top of a Z-piezopositioner to provide fine tuning of a microcavity length of up to 10 µm with a nanometer precision. Plane-convex composition of tuneable microcavity has relatively high quality factors of up to few hundreds whereas the mode volumes can be as low as tens of $\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^3$ [19] thus combining advantages of both optical and plasmonic cavities. In this study for all the detunings quality factor of the microcavity mode was around 35. Previously[16] we have demonstrated the key advantages of the developed tuneable microcavity such as control over the distance between the mirrors with the accuracy at the few nm level, and low mode volume, which resulted in much higher Rabi splitting energies comparing to the standard optical microcavities. In particular we have demonstrated the strong coupling of the ensemble of Rhodamine 6G molecules with the Rabi splitting as high as 225 meV at room temperature, which has been previously shown only with the use of plasmonic resonances. The drawback of the developed tuneable microcavity is that since the concentration of the molecules inside the cavity was relatively low and the number of photons from the white LED used for measurements of the transmission greatly overcomes the number of generated excitons the effect of strong coupling could not be simply observed in the transmission spectra in our setup. However, we speculate that the use of developed microcavity combining advantages of both optical and plasmonic
microcavities will significantly increase the number of materials suitable for operation in a strong coupling regime and subsequently pave the way to the new practical applications. In this study we implement this technique to achieve large Rabi splitting energy of hybrid states formed by the exciton transitions in donor-acceptor FRET pair and resonant localized electromagnetic field.

The FRET pair used in our experiments consisted of two molecules of organic dyes labelling the oligonucleotide-based molecular beacon. Namely, 6-Carboxyfluorescein (FAM) was used as a donor molecule, while Tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) served as acceptor molecule. Details on the chemical structure of oligonucleotide-based molecular beacon and dye molecules as well as their optical properties could be found in the Supporting information. The use of molecular beacons allowed to fix the distance between the molecules to be of the order of the diameter of the DNA double helix, which is 2 nm, to ensure efficient resonant energy transition due to dipole-dipole interaction. Firstly, we consider the PL emission of the samples outside of the cavity. Figure 1(b) shows the PL spectra of molecular beacons labelled with FAM, TAMRA, and FRET pair placed on the bottom mirror of the setup measured without the upper one. Concentration of dye molecules in the sample solutions was around 100 µM for all the experiments.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Tuneable microcavity schematics. (b) PL emission spectra of the solutions containing molecular beacons labelled with FAM (blue), TAMRA (red), FAM and TAMRA (black) outside of the cavity.
FAM is a well-known organic dye having high quantum yield of up to 97%\textsuperscript{[20]} with the main exciton transition, which has relatively large transition dipole moment measured to be in a range of 7 to 12 D giving the main emission peak maximum of around 2.36 eV. While TAMRA molecules have much lower quantum yield of around 22\%\textsuperscript{[21]} and lower values of the transition dipole moment as well. PL emission maxima of bare TAMRA molecules was at around 2.13 eV. However, it is noteworthy that the actual values of the transition dipole moments and quantum yields can be changed upon the attachment of the dye molecules to the oligonucleotide sequence due to the possibility of intermolecular interactions in conjugated samples. As could be seen from the Figure 1(b) the PL spectra of the FRET pair excited at 450 nm could be obtained by the linear superposition of the separate dyes PL spectra indicating the absence of direct interaction between the molecules in a single beacon. Despite of the low quantum yield emission intensity from TAMRA is higher than that from the FAM molecules for a FRET pair. The efficiency of the resonance energy transfer from FAM to TAMRA molecules was estimated to be around 80\% (see Supporting information for details).

We now consider the PL spectra of the dyes separately and a FRET pair when placed inside the tuneable microcavity at different cavity detunings. Cavity mode tuning has been performed by changing the distance between the microcavity mirrors from 735 to 945 nm with 15 nm step. Corresponding PL emission spectra are shown in Figure 2(a) to (c). Firstly, we should notice that the specific properties of our microcavity lead to detection of the emission from molecules coupled to the transverse modes of the both lowest and higher orders of the cavity in a weak coupling regime. It results in arising enhanced emission peak following the cavity mode slightly blue shifted from the cavity photon spectral position, corresponding to the lowest-order transverse mode of the cavity (see Supporting information for the details). Resulting emission from this peak could be well fitted using weak coupling approximation. In Figure 2(d) we plotted the experimentally measured dependence of the emission from the weakly coupled molecules on the cavity mode from Figure 2(c) and corresponding weak-
coupling model calculations. Qualitatively the blue shift of this peak relative to the cavity mode could be understood considering the different signal collection for transmission and PL measurements (see Supporting materials for details). It could be seen that the calculated dependence is in a good agreement with experimental data. The discrepancy between them does not exceed the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the cavity mode. It is also important to note that large shift of the emission peak from the cavity mode in the region of low mode energy is due to the poor spectral overlap of the bare molecules emission spectra with the lowest order transverse cavity mode. Similar emission of weakly coupled molecules has been observed for FAM and TAMRA separately. It is noteworthy that due to the non-resonant excitation through the lower mirror of the microcavity the power of the excitation inside the cavity depends on the cavity detuning. In Figure 2 we did not calibrate the intensity of measured PL spectra on the excitation power. However, in the following analysis using the intensity of the observed emission we have made required corrections.

When we placed molecular beacons labelled with TAMRA molecules separately only the emission from the weakly coupled molecules has been observed due to the higher losses and lower transition dipole moments of the rhodamine derivative dye\[^{22}\], Figure 2(a). However, it is noteworthy that the alteration of the emission intensity from the weakly coupled states was in a much higher range than in case of FAM-only beacons due to the stronger influence of the Purcell enhancement on the emitters with lower quantum yield.

PL of molecular beacons label with FAM is shown in Figure 2(b). Considering the impact of weakly coupled part of the molecules ensemble in Figure 2(b) we can clearly observe the anticrossing behaviour of the PL emission peaks for cavity energies larger than 2.25 eV. Low energy peaks at the emission spectra corresponding to the lower polaritonic branch allow to estimate the Rabi splitting energy to be around 457 meV, which is relatively large for this type of a cavity. It is well known that the observation of the emission from the upper polariton is difficult due to the fast relaxation of the upper polariton to the exciton reservoir\[^{23}\]. In PL
experiments we have observed emission peak that could be associated with the upper polariton for deeply negative detuning, which is marked in Figure 2(b). However, it is difficult to estimate the exact position of associated emission for most of the detunings due to the emission from the bare donor molecules, large spectral broadening, and limited spectral range of detection in our setup.

Figure 2. PL spectra taken at different distances between the mirrors from 735 to 945 nm with 15 nm step for cavities filled with (a) TAMRA, (b) FAM, (c) FRET pair. The solid black line indicates the cavity mode, grey solid and dashed lines represent the acceptor and donor exciton respectively; dashed black lines mark the calculated lower polaritonic branches. (d) Experimental (blue dots) and calculated (red dots) spectral positions of the emission peak corresponding to the emission from the weakly coupled states.

In Figure 2(c) we have plotted the PL spectra from cavity filled with molecular beacons labelled with both FAM and TAMRA at different detunings. As in the previous two cases there is a peak corresponding to the weakly coupled molecules, which spectral position follows the
cavity photon energy with the small blueshift. Experimental and calculated dependence of this peak spectral position is plotted in Figure 2(d). However, the relative intensity of this peak is changed due to the resonance energy transfer between bare donor and acceptor states. Indeed, its intensity is lower than FAM-only case in the donor spectral region and higher than the TAMRA-only case in the spectral region of acceptor, which is due to the conservation of the highly efficient resonance energy exchange through dipole-dipole interaction upon the placement of the FRET pair inside the cavity. It is important to note that in contrary to the FAM-only case we did not observe any signal from bare donor states for negative detunings in a cavity photon energy range of 2.0 to 2.3 eV. This fact also points that the ratio between donor-acceptor energy transfer rate and donor radiative relaxation rate was increased when placed into a cavity, since donor-acceptor pair outside of the cavity demonstrated emission from both donor and acceptor with FRET efficiency around 20%. It could be described by taking into account the suppression of spontaneous emission of bare donor states when the cavity mode was shifted from the donor emission bandwidth. Low density of photonic states outside from the cavity mode relative to the density in the free space leads to the decrease of the spontaneous emission rate and consequently to the effective increase in the FRET efficiency.

However, at positive detunings we observed red shifted relative to the bare molecules PL emission peak associated with emission from the lower polariton similar to the case of the cavity filled with molecular beacons labelled only with donor. As well as in previous cases we were unable to detect any emission that could have been attributed to the upper polariton branch due to the typically low population of such polaritonic state and fast relaxation to the acceptor reservoir due dipole-dipole coupling. Thus, we omit the upper polaritonic branch from most of the discussion for simplicity. Nevertheless, strong coupling is evidenced by anticrossing of the lower polariton branch at the cavity detunings where the cavity photon mode and donor excitons would have been degenerate. As our cavity could possibly couple together three oscillators, FAM, TAMRA, and the cavity mode, we characterized the observed dispersion by three
polariton branches. For negatively detuned cavity we have observed emission peak which could be attributed to the middle polariton branch (MPB). However, due to the observed emission from the weakly coupled states and large broadening of the emission spectra it is hard to use this part of emission spectra to quantitatively analyse spectral position of the MPB.

To confirm strong coupling, we have plotted in Figure 3 the dependence of the polariton branches on the cavity photon energy. Experimental data was derived from the peak energies in PL spectra for different cavity mirrors separation distances from Figure 2(c). In order to get a proper fitting we implemented the Jaynes-Cummings model suggesting the coupling between cavity mode and donor and acceptor excitonic states resulting in formation of three polaritonic branches and varied the coupling strength between cavity photon and both excitonic transitions (see SI for details on fitting). The best fit had been achieved for coupling strengths with the cavity mode of 41 meV and 435 meV for acceptor and donor excitons respectively. Much higher value of coupling strength for donor molecule is due to the larger transition dipole moment. In Figure 3 we also plot the energy of uncoupled cavity photon, bare exciton transitions for both donor and acceptor, and energies of emission maxima from the weakly coupled part of molecular ensemble.

For the given coupling strengths, we have calculated the eigenfunctions of the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian and represented each of them as a superposition of initial pure photon and excitons states in order to determine the Hopfield coefficients (see SI for details). Figure 4 shows Hopfield coefficients, which describe the donor exciton, acceptor exciton, and photon mixing for each polariton branch and their dependence on the cavity detuning. In the spectral region of our experimentally measured PL emission polariton branches demonstrate quite different behaviour.
Figure 3. Experimentally derived from the PL spectra (dots) and calculated (solid lines) energies of the lower (red), middle (green), and upper (dark blue) polariton branches at different cavity detunings. Black dots correspond to the emission from the weakly coupled dye molecules. Black dashed lines mark the position of donor and acceptor excitons and cavity mode energy.

Figure 4. Hopfield coefficients of the lower (a), middle (b), and upper (c) polaritonic branches calculated using Jaynes-Cummings model for microcavity filled with molecular beacons labeled with both FAM and TAMRA. Grey area marks the range of the cavity mode detuning measured experimentally.

It could be seen that upper and lower polaritons mainly consist of donor exciton and photon fractions, which ratio reverses for both branches upon cavity tuning. On the other hand, in the middle polariton branch contribution from acceptor exciton strongly dominates the other components. However, it decreases with the increase in the cavity mode energy the same way as the contribution of acceptor exciton increase significantly in relative terms in LPB. Such
distribution of fractions in polariton branches is in accordance with exciton-photon coupling strengths, which differ significantly for donor and acceptor excitons, as we have mentioned above.

In order to quantitatively relate the polariton population dependence on the detuning to the PL intensity measured experimentally we needed to correct it for both photon fraction and excitation intensity\(^{[13,14]}\). Finite elements method was used to calculate the dependence of excitation field intensity inside the cavity on the detuning (see SI for details). For the corrections to be made we have divided the measured PL intensity of the lower polariton branch by the integrated intensity of the non-resonant excitation at 450 nm over the volume of the microcavity in the region of cavity detuning. Finally, the relative polariton population (Figure 5) was obtained using the following formula\(^{[13]}\):

\[
N_{LPB}(\hbar \omega_{cav}) \propto \frac{I_{LPB}(\hbar \omega_{cav})}{C_{LPB}^cav(\hbar \omega_{cav})},
\]

where \(I_{LPB}\) is the experimentally observed intensity of PL from the lower polariton branch corrected by the excitation intensity, and \(C_{LPB}^cav\) is Hopfield coefficient for LPB, defining the fraction of cavity photon. The latter is due the linear dependence of the emission intensity from polariton state on the cavity photon fraction.

It could be found from the Figure 5 that the population of the LPB non-linearly increases with the increase in cavity photon energy. According to the Hopfield coefficient distributions this is accompanied by the linear decrease in the donor exciton fraction from around 0.3 to 0.6 approximately and drastic increase of acceptor exciton fraction in LPB by almost an order of magnitude. Now we analyse the population and depopulation mechanisms for LPB using an approach described in detail in\(^{[13]}\). The simplified consideration is based on the assumptions of fast relaxation from UPB to the donor excitonic reservoir (which typically occurs at the fs timescale\(^{[23]}\)) and efficient FRET of most of the energy to the acceptor reservoir as it has been demonstrated above. Therefore, the number of states in acceptor excitonic reservoir could be
considered constant. In principal there are three different mechanisms of LPB population: scattering with vibrations from both excitonic reservoirs of donor and acceptor and direct radiative pumping. The efficiency of the first two mechanisms strongly depends on the corresponding exciton fraction in LPB and should be altered with the detuning. The radiative pumping mechanism is direct absorption of photon emitted by the weakly coupled exciton transitions, which is due to the photonic fraction in LPB. However, it is almost negligible for lossy cavities with small cavity photon lifetime filled with ensemble of molecules with low optical density. The depopulation of LPB occurs by radiative and non-radiative relaxation, which depends on photon and exciton lifetimes respectively. Thus, the mean polariton population \(N_{\text{LPB}}\) in steady state \(\left(\frac{dN_{\text{LPB}}}{dt} = 0\right)\) can be described by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dN_{\text{LPB}}}{dt} = A_1 C^A_{\text{LPB}} (B_A + 1) + A_2 C^D_{\text{LPB}} (B_D + 1) + A_3 C^\text{cap}_{\text{LPB}} - A_4 N_{\text{LPB}} C^\text{cap}_{\text{LPB}} - A_5 N_{\text{LPB}} = 0, \tag{2}
\]

where \(A_{1,2,3}\) are the proportionality constants for LPB population terms through vibration scattering from acceptor and donor reservoirs, and direct radiative pumping respectively; \(A_{4,5}\) are the proportionality constant for the depopulation terms via radiative \((A_4)\) and non-radiative \((A_5)\) relaxation. The first two terms describe the processes accompanied by the emission of molecular vibration, which depend on the Bose-Einstein distribution \(B_j = \left(\exp\left[\frac{E_j - E_{\text{LPB}}}{kT}\right] - 1\right)^{-1}\) \((j = A,D)\), where \(E_j\) is bare exciton energy , \(E_{\text{LPB}}\) is the energy of LPB, \(T\) is the temperature in Kelvins, and \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant). For the approximation of the population of LPB in the steady state (Figure 5) we used the following equation, which could be easily obtained from equation (2) under some reasonable assumptions described below:

\[
N_{\text{LPB}} = \frac{A_1 C^A_{\text{LPB}} (B_A + 1) + A_2 C^D_{\text{LPB}} (B_D + 1) + A_3 C^\text{cap}_{\text{LPB}}}{A_4 C^\text{cap}_{\text{LPB}} + A_5} \approx \frac{A_1 C^A_{\text{LPB}} + A_2 C^D_{\text{LPB}}}{A_4 C^\text{cap}_{\text{LPB}}} \tag{3}
\]

Firstly, we suggested that the radiative pumping of LPB is negligible comparing to the vibrational scattering due to the low optical density of media inside the cavity and low cavity
Q-factor. Secondly, cavity photon lifetime is much smaller (less than 10 fs) than the acceptor exciton lifetime (around 2 ns). Thus, radiative decay through the photonic fraction becomes predominant depopulation mechanism leading to \( A_4 \gg A_5 \). Finally, for our experimental conditions \( (B_{A,B} + 1) \approx 1 \). In order to get the best fit we minimized the standard deviation by varying the ratio between parameters \( A_{1,2,4} \). We have plotted the best fit obtained with the use of this model in Figure 5 together with experimentally observed relative population of LPB.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Experimentally observed (black dots) and modelled (red line) dependence of the relative population of the lower polaritonic branch on the cavity detuning.

It is important that the best fit was obtained with \( A_2 \) tending to be zero. It corresponds to the low efficiency of LPB population by scattering from donor exciton reservoir. This fact could be due to the relatively low rate of this process comparing to the depopulation of donor excitonic reservoir through dipole-dipole interaction with acceptor bare states, which was previously shown to be dominant over polariton assisted energy transfer in mixed donor-acceptor ensembles\(^{[14]}\). Thus, energy relaxation pathways in our system could be described as follows (Figure 6).
Figure 6. Schematic energy-level diagram showing the energy relaxation pathways in the system with predominant strong coupling to the donor excitonic transition. The thickness of the horizontal lines denotes the density of bare donor and acceptor states as well as three new hybrid light–matter states: the upper (UPB), middle (MPB), and lower (LPB) polariton branches.

Non-radiatively pumped excitation of UPB decays rapidly to the donor exciton reservoir[23]. Then due to the fixed close distance between the donor and acceptor molecules in molecular beacon direct dipole-dipole FRET occurs with the efficiency close to unity. It was increased comparing to the FRET in donor-acceptor pairs outside of the cavity, which was of around 80%, since we didn’t observe any emission from bare donor states for negative detuning as in donor-only case. It could be due to the suppressed rate of radiative relaxation of bare states for negative detunings leading to the increase in FRET efficiency. The most interesting is that once the energy transferred to the acceptor excitonic reservoir it starts to populate the LPB which is dominantly mixture of donor and cavity photon fractions due to the much higher coupling strength between donor and cavity photon. Thus, the main population mechanism of lower polariton state with dominant donor exciton fraction over acceptor one was shown to be vibration scattering from acceptor reservoir. It had been shown previously that small absolute
value of specific exciton fraction in polariton branch still allows the energy transfer with corresponding excitonic reservoir\[^{13}\]. In our experiment the population of LPB depends on the relative variation of small fraction of acceptor exciton in polariton state despite of the much higher absolute value of Hopfield coefficient corresponding to the donor exciton. Finally, we can state that we have realized the strongly coupled system with donor-acceptor role-reversal, or “carnival effect”\[^{15}\]. Indeed, we have managed the system with dominant coupling between donor and acceptor leading to the formation of donor-like polaritonic state with the lowest energy in the system. This allowed realization of energy transfer firstly from donor exciton reservoir to the acceptor exciton reservoir via standard FRET and secondly from acceptor reservoir to donor-like lower polariton state.

3. Conclusions.

Here, we have investigated strong coupling between the optical modes of tuneable microcavity and excitonic transition of two closely spaced organic dye molecules in oligonucleotide molecular beacons. The anticrossing dependence of the emission spectra of FRET pair on the detuning of the microcavity mode has been demonstrated by varying the distance between cavity mirrors. We have estimated the dependence of the polaritonic state population on the detuning and photon-exciton mixing, which has been calculated by the fitting of experimental results with three-level Jaynes-Cummings model and rate equations. Despite of the strong dipole-dipole interaction between bare exciton states, significant alteration of the relaxation pathways has been shown by changing the photon and exciton mixing in lower polariton state.

We have confirmed for our system of molecular beacons labelled with organic dyes inside the tuneable open access microcavity that the resonance energy transfer via direct dipole–dipole coupling (FRET) remains to be the dominant process despite of the strong coupling of dye excitons to the cavity mode, as have been previously demonstrated in\[^{14}\]. However, we showed that the PL emission of the systems with strong dipole-dipole interaction could nevertheless be altered by strong coupling of their exciton transitions to the cavity photon. Firstly, we have
demonstrated significant increase in the efficiency of energy transfer from donor to acceptor exciton reservoir, which tends to be unity inside the microcavity. Secondly, despite the efficient energy transfer between the donor and acceptor exciton reservoir, we have observed emission occurring from the lower polariton branch, which was shown to have much higher donor fraction relative to the acceptor one. Furthermore, we have proved that the population of LPB occurs mostly through the scattering from acceptor reservoir, despite of the much larger absolute value of donor exciton fraction than acceptor fraction in lower polariton. Thus, on realizing the strong coupling of photonic mode with exciton transition predominantly in donor we have shown so-called “carnival effect” when donor and acceptor reverse roles.[17] Consequently, energy transfer occurs firstly from donor to acceptor exciton by means of resonant dipole-dipole interaction and secondly from excitonic states in acceptor reservoir to mainly-donor lower polariton branch. We speculate that our findings with further investigations of the interplay between the polaritonic states and excitonic reservoirs as well as control of the relaxation pathways will pave the way towards new applications of strong coupling in chemistry, light harvesting, and opto-electronic devices.

Experimental section:

Sample preparation: All components of the oligonucleotide-based molecular beacon labelled with donor and acceptor separately as well as with the whole FRET pair were obtained from Evrogen (Evrogen Joint Stock Company, Moscow, Russia) and diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to obtain concentration of 100 µM. Detailed information on absorption and photoluminescence spectra of the solutions as well as chemical structure of all three types of the samples can be found in Supporting information. For experiments, 10 µL of each sample were placed on the bottom flat mirror and then covered with the curved upper one. During the experiments the surface exposed to the air was small enough to ensure negligible rate of evaporation and constant concentration.
Tuneable microcavity: Schematic figure of the experimental setup consisting of tuneable microcavity and optical excitation/collection system could be found in the Supporting information and previous studies\[^{18,19}\]. The alignment of the plane-parallelism point and a sample is made by moving convex mirror in lateral direction by means of the XY precision positioner. The curvature radius of the upper convex mirror equalled 77.3 mm. A sample was deposited directly onto the plane mirror that consists of standard (18x18 mm) glass coverslips covered with ca 35 nm layer of aluminum and 20 nm SiO\(_2\) protective layer.

Transmission, and PL measurements: The tuneable microcavity was mounted on the inverted confocal microspectrometer consisting of an Ntegra-base (NT-MDT) with a 100X/0.80 MPLAPON lens (Olympus) on a Z-piezo-positioner, an XY scanning piezo-stage and a homemade confocal unit. The MCWHF2 white LED (Thorlabs) with optical condenser was used for transmission measurements. In PL measurements we used emission of 450-nm laser (L450P1600MM (Thorlabs) with LDS5-EC (Thorlabs) power supply) with pump power of around 2.1 mW for excitation. Pump power in all the PL experiments was far from the saturation threshold. The registration system included an Andor Shamrock 750 monochromator equipped with an Andor DU971P-BV CCD (Andor Technology Ltd) camera and two 488-nm RazorEdge\(^\circ\) ultrasteep long-pass edge filters (Semrock).
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**S1 Materials**

6-Carboxyfluorescein (FAM) was selected as donor molecule, Carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) as acceptor molecule. The most common way to fix the required for efficient FRET distance between chromophores is to use oligonucleotide-based molecular beacons as in this case distance between donor and acceptor is of the order of the diameter of the DNA double helix, which is 2 nm. In this study, donor and acceptor were conjugated with self-complementary oligonucleotide, 5’-TGG AGC GTG GGG ACG GCA AGC AGC GAA CTG AGT ACA ACA TGC CGT CCC CAC GCT CCA-3’. Donor- and acceptor-only labeled hairpins were also obtained and studied as controls. (Figure S1). Oligonucleotide sequence of 57 units with 18 base pairs was selected so that to ensure hairpin stability and small distance between donor and acceptor. Molecular weights of donor-only, acceptor-only and both donor and acceptor labeled hairpins are $M_{\text{FAM}}=17477.47$, $M_{\text{TAMRA}}=17568.68$ and $M_{\text{FAM, TAMRA}}=17945$, respectively. All components were obtained from Evrogen (Evrogen Joint Stock Company, Moscow, Russia) and diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to obtain concentration of 100 µM. For experiments, we used 10 µL of each component. Photoluminescence and absorption spectra of the compounds were first studied outside of the cavity (Figure S2). Here we used different excitation wavelength depending on the absorption spectra of each compound.

(Figure S1). Structures under study. Donor (a) and acceptor (c) chemical structures; schematic for the structure of molecular beacons: donor-only labeled hairpin (b), acceptor-only labeled hairpin (d), both donor and acceptor labeled hairpin (e).
Figure S2. Absorption and photoluminescence spectra of solutions a) TAMRA dye; b) FAM dye; c) FAM and TAMRA dyes

In order to estimate FRET efficiency via dipole-dipole interaction we placed solution containing beacons labeled with FAM, TAMRA, and FRET pair alternately on the lower mirror of the microcavity without the upper one and excited it non-resonantly with 450 nm laser. In such way we ensured the same experimental conditions as in experiments with the media placed inside the cavity. The measured PL spectra are shown in Figure S3.

Figure S3. PL emission spectra of the solutions containing molecular beacons labelled with FAM (blue), TAMRA (red), FAM and TAMRA (black) outside of the cavity.
From these spectra it can be seen that FAM-only labeled hairpin shows high fluorescence (probably due to FAM high quantum yield of 97%) intensity with a peak at 525nm while TAMRA-only counterpart shows low intensity. These results correspond to higher efficiency excitation at 450 nm of FAM (~20% of maximum at 495 nm) compared to TAMRA (~2% of maximum at 546 nm). Both donor and acceptor labeled hairpin shows significant decrease in donor intensity and simultaneous increase in acceptor intensity, a result that indicates energy transfer from donor to acceptor. From these data FRET efficiency can be estimated using following expression [s1,s2],

$$E = 1 - \frac{F_{DA}}{F_D},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1)

where $E$ is the efficiency of FRET, $F_{DA}$ and $F_D$ are the donor fluorescence in the presence and absence of acceptor, respectively. These spectra lead to estimated FRET efficiency value of 80%.

S2 Experimental setup

Experimental setup is shown in Figure S4. In order to enter strong coupling regime, tunable microcavity, originally introduced in [s3], was used. Briefly, our versatile tunable microcavity cell (VTMC) [s4] is composed of plane and convex mirror that form unstable $\lambda/2$ Fabry–Perot microcavity. One mirror is made convex in order to satisfy the plane-parallelism condition and minimize the mode volume. Plane mirror is mounted on top of a Z-piezopositioner to provide fine tuning of a microcavity length in a range up to 10 $\mu$m with a nanometer precision, while landing procedure is carried out by the high precision differential micrometer DRV3 (Thorlabs) which is indirectly connected to the convex mirror. The alignment of the plane-parallelism point and a sample is made by moving convex mirror in lateral direction by means of the XY precision positioner. A sample is deposited directly onto the plane mirror that consists of standard (18x18 mm) glass coverslips with ca 35 nm layer of aluminum metallization (Al) on their upper side. The VTMC is mounted onto inverted confocal microspectrometer consisting of an Ntegra-base (NT-MDT) with a 100X/0.80 MPLAPON lens (Olympus) on a Z-piezo-positioner, an XY scanning piezo-stage and a homemade confocal unit. The fluorescence spectra of each sample were excited by a 2.1 W 450-nm laser (L450P1600MM (Thorlabs) with LDS5-EC (Thorlabs) power supply), while for transmission spectra the MCWHF2 white LED (Thorlabs) with homemade optical condenser was used. It should be noted that in experiments laser power was far from saturation. The registration system includes an Andor Shamrock 750 monochromator equipped with an Andor DU971P-BV CCD (Andor Technology Ltd) and two 488-nm RazorEdge® ultrasteep long-pass edge filters (Semrock).
S3 Accounting for changes in pumping intensity

For correct calculation of the lower polariton branch population it was necessary to account for changes in pumping intensity during tuning the cavity length. When the exciting field is in resonance with one of the cavity eigenmodes, significant rise in field intensity inside the cavity appears. To account this effect we used numerical model \[^{[s5]}\] implemented for calculating spectral and spatial properties of the microcavity electromagnetic modes with the finite elements method \[^{[s6]}\]. It should be noted that higher transverse modes of the microcavity were also taken into account. It was necessary because during the excitation process light of the pumping laser was focused by the objective lens with quite high numerical aperture (NA=0.95) and subsequently pumping radiation excited the higher transverse modes (Figure S5a). In the transmission experiments illuminating light had approximately planar wavefront (Figure S5b), and so there are no appearances of higher transverse modes on the transmission spectra (Figure S6a).
Figure S5. The principal configuration of a) the excitation and b) the transmission experiments.

Using developed model, the spectral distribution of the electromagnetic energy was calculated for experimental set of cavity lengths. Then for all this set of spectra the points corresponding to the excited laser frequency were picked. These values were used as the pumping intensity at certain cavity length (Figure S6b).

Figure S6. a) Calculated spectrum of electromagnetic energy inside the microcavity with (red) and without mode selection (blue). The grey area represents corresponding experimental transmission spectrum; b) The pumping intensity dependence on the cavity mode frequency.

S4 Calculation of the coupling strengths and Hopfield coefficients

Spectral properties of the experimental system based on donor-acceptor pair placed inside the microcavity were researched using the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian that describes interaction between a cavity mode and dipole moments of emitters. For our hybrid system this Hamiltonian is as follows:
where $\hbar \omega_c$, $\hbar \omega_D$ and $\hbar \omega_A$ – energies of microcavity electromagnetic mode, donor and acceptor excitons, respectively; $a(a^\dagger)$- photon annihilation (creation) operator, 

$$g_{f-cav}(f = A,D) = d_f \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_f}{2\varepsilon_0 V}}$$

-coupling strength, where $d_D$ and $d_A$ - dipole moments of energy transition of donor and acceptor, $\varepsilon_0$ – vacuum permittivity, $V$ – volume of electromagnetic mode; $\sigma_i^\pm = |e_i\rangle\langle g_i| - |g_i\rangle\langle e_i|$, $\sigma_i^- = |g_i\rangle\langle e_i|$, $|e_i\rangle$ and $|g_i\rangle$- ground and excited state wavefunctions of emitters. It should be noted here that expression (S1) doesn’t have the term describing direct interaction between dipole moments of chromophores. This is due to this process is much slower than energy exchange between emitters and cavity mode for coupling strengths taking place in the current research $^{[7,8]}$. The Hamiltonian also can be written in matrix representation:

$$H_{fc} = \begin{pmatrix} \hbar \omega_{cav} & \hbar g_{D-cav} & \hbar g_{A-cav} \\ \hbar g_{D-cav} & \hbar \omega_D & 0 \\ \hbar g_{A-cav} & 0 & \hbar \omega_A \end{pmatrix}$$

We diagonalize this matrix using QuTiP $^{[9]}$ what let us obtain eigenstates and eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian. Values of energies of microcavity electromagnetic mode, donor and acceptor excitons were extracted from the experimental data. For finding the coupling strengths of our hybrid system we used differential evolution method $^{[10]}$, stochastic method for obtaining extrema of function of several variables. In order to obtain correct experimental coupling strengths, we minimized the absolute value of difference between Hamiltonian eigenvalues and energies corresponding to experimental spectra maxima. As a result of this procedure we obtain the magnitudes of $g_{D-cav}$ and $g_{A-cav}$ that are equal to 435 meV and 41 meV.

In order to find the Hopfield coefficients, we obtained the eigenfunctions of Hamiltonian with coupling strengths corresponding to our experimental data. Every eigenfunction was represented as superposition of functions of pure photon and exciton states. The coefficients of the decomposition define Hopfield fractions of the polaritonic states.
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