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Abstract. Let $A$ be a connected cochain DG algebra, whose underlying
graded algebra $A^\#$ is the quantum affine $n$-space $\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)$. We compute
all possible differential structures of $A$ and show that there exists a one-to-one
correspondence between
\[
\{\text{cochain DG algebra } A | A^\# = \mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)\}
\]
and the $n \times n$ matrices $M_n(k)$. We also study the isomorphism problems of
these non-commutative DG algebras. For the cases $n \leq 3$, we further show
that such DG algebras are all Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebras.

INTRODUCTION

Along this paper, $k$ will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic
zero. Recall that a cochain DG $k$-algebra is a graded $k$-algebra together with a
differential of degree 1, which satisfies the Leibniz rule. Algebras with additional
differential structures provide convenient models for intrinsic and homological infor-
mation from diverse array of areas ranging from representation theory to symplectic
and algebraic geometry. For example, a Gorenstein topological space $X$ in algebraic
topology is characterized by the Gorensteinness of the cochain algebra $C^*(X; k)$ of
normalized singular cochains on $X$ (cf. [FHT1, Gam]). And it is well known that
the rational homotopy type of a simply connected space of finite type is encoded
in its Sullivan model.

In the derived algebraic geometry, a fundamental fact discovered by A. Bondal
and M. Van den Bergh is that any quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme $X$
is affine in the derived sense, i.e. $D_{\text{coh}}(X)$ is equivalent to $D(A)$ for a suitable
DG algebra $A$ (cf. [BV]). For a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme $X$,
the property of smoothness is equivalent to the homologically smoothness of the
corresponding $A$. In the smooth case, the triviality of the canonical bundle for the
scheme is equivalent to the Calabi-Yau properties of the DG algebra $A$. Calabi-
Yau DG algebras are introduced by Ginzburg in [Gin], and have a multitude of
connections to representation theory, mathematical physics and non-commutative
algebraic geometry. Therefore the constructions and studies of Calabi-Yau DG
algebras have become tremendous helpful to people working in different areas of
mathematics.

In [HM], the first author and J.-W. He give a criterion for a connected cochain
DG algebra to be 0-Calabi-Yau, and prove that a locally finite connected cochain
DG algebra is 0-Calabi-Yau if and only if it is defined by a potential. For a $n$-
Calabi-Yau connected cochain DG algebra $A$, one sees that the full triangulated
subcategory $D^b_{\text{lf}}(A)$ of $D(A)$ containing DG $A$-modules with finite dimensional total
cohomology is a $n$-Calabi-Yau triangulated category (cf. [CV]). The notion of
Calabi-Yau triangulated category was introduced by Kontsevich [Kon] in the late
1990s. Calabi-Yau triangulated categories appear in string theory, conformal field theory, Mirror symmetry, integrable system and representation theory of quivers and finite-dimensional algebras. Due to the applications of triangulated Calabi-Yau categories in the categorification of Fomin-Zelevinsky’s cluster algebras, they have become popular in representation theory.

Since the constructions of some interesting families of Calabi-Yau DG algebras are important in DG homological algebra and the studies of Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. In [MH], it is proved that a connected cochain DG algebra are determined by the joint effects of its underlying graded algebra structure and non-trivial DG polynomial algebras and DG free algebras with 2 degree 1 variables are all Calabi-Yau DG algebras. Generally, it is quite complicated to tell whether a given DG algebra is Calabi-Yau, because the properties of a DG algebra are determined by the joint effects of its underlying graded algebra structure and differential structure. In [MHLX], [MGYC] and [MXYA], DG down-up algebras, DG polynomial algebras and DG free algebras are introduced and systematically studied categories. In [MHLX], [MGYC] and [MXYA], DG down-up algebras, DG are important in DG homological algebra and the studies of Calabi-Yau triangulated categories in the categorification of Fomin-Zelevinsky’s cluster algebras, they have finite-dimensional algebras. Due to the applications of triangulated Calabi-Yau 1990s. Calabi-Yau triangulated categories appear in string theory, conformal field theory, Mirror symmetry, integrable system and representation theory of quivers and finite-dimensional algebras. Due to the applications of triangulated Calabi-Yau categories in the categorification of Fomin-Zelevinsky’s cluster algebras, they have become popular in representation theory.

Theorem A. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a connected cochain DG algebra such that $\mathcal{A}^g$ is the $k$-algebra with degree one generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and relations $x_i x_j = -x_j x_i$, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ is determined by a matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{n \times n}$ such that

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_2) \\
\vdots \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n)
\end{pmatrix} = M
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1^2 \\
x_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n^2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

For any $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$, it is reasonable to define a connected cochain DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}}(k^n)(M)$ such that

$$[\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}}(k^n)(M)]^g = \mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)$$

and its differential $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_2) \\
\vdots \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n)
\end{pmatrix} = M
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1^2 \\
x_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n^2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

To consider the homological properties of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}}(k^n)(M)$, it is necessary to study the isomorphism problem. We have the following theorem (see Theorem 3.6).

Theorem B. Let $M$ and $M'$ be two matrixes in $M_n(k)$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}}(k^n)(M) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}}(k^n)(M')$$

if and only if there exists $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \text{QPL}_n(k)$ such that

$$M' = C^{-1} M (c_{ij})_{n \times n}.$$ 

Here QPL$_n(k)$ is the set of quasi-permutation matrixes in GL$_n(k)$. One sees that QPL$_n(k)$ is a subgroup of GL$_n(k)$ (see Proposition 3.4). By Theorem B, one sees
that any DG algebra automorphism group of $A_{O^{-1}(k^n)}(M)$ is QPL$_n(k)$’s subgroup

$$\{C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \text{QPL}_n(k) \mid M = C^{-1}M(c_{ij})_{n \times n}\}$$

for any $M \in M_n(k)$. Theorem B also indicates that one can define a right group action

$$\chi : M_n(k) \times \text{QPL}_n(k) \to M_n(k)$$

of QPL$_n(k)$ on $M_n(k)$ such that $\chi(M, C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}) = C^{-1}M((c_{ij})^2)_{n \times n}$. The set of all orbits of this group action is one to one correspondence with the set of isomorphism classes of DG algebras in $\{A_{O^{-1}(k^n)}(M) \mid M \in M_n(k)\}$.

It is natural for one to ask whether each $A_{O^{-1}(k^n)}(M)$ is a Calabi-Yau DG algebra. For the case $n = 2$, we know it is right by [MIH]. It is worth noting that as $n$ grows large, the classifications, cohomologies and homological properties of $A_{O^{-1}(k^n)}(M)$ become increasingly difficult to compute and study. This increased complexity is, in large part, due to the irregular increase of the number of cases one need to study separately. In this paper, we focus our attentions on the case of $n = 3$. It involves further classifications and complicated matrix analysis.

In general, the cohomology graded algebra $H(A)$ of a cochain DG algebra $A$ usually contains some homological information. One sees that $A$ is a Calabi-Yau DG algebra if the trivial DG algebra $(H(A), 0)$ is Calabi-Yau by [MYY], and it is proved in [MH] that a connected cochain DG algebra $A$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra if $H(A)$ belongs to one of the following cases:

1. $H(A) \cong k$;
2. $H(A) = k[[z]], z \in \ker(\partial_A)$;
3. $H(A) = k[[z_1, z_2]]/(z_1z_2 + [z_2][z_1])$, $z_1, z_2 \in \ker(\partial_A)$.

Recently, it is proved in [MHLX] Proposition 6.5 that a connected cochain DG algebra $A$ is Calabi-Yau if $H(A) = k[[z_1, z_2]]$ where $z_1 \in \ker(\partial_A)$ and $z_2 \in \ker(\partial_A^2)$. For this kind of DG algebras whose Calabi-Yau properties one can’t judge from their cohomologies.

1.1. Some conventions. For any $k$-vector space $V$, we write $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$. Let $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a basis of a finite dimensional $k$-vector space $V$. We denote the dual basis of $V$ by $\{e_i^* \mid i \in I\}$, i.e., $\{e_i^* \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of $V^*$ such that $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. For any graded vector space $W$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $j$-th suspension $\Sigma^jW$ of $W$ is a graded vector space defined by $(\Sigma^jW)^j = W^{i+j}$.
1.2. Notations on DG algebras. For any cochain DG algebra $A$, we denote $A^p$ as its opposite DG algebra, whose multiplication is defined as $a \cdot b = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ for all graded elements $a$ and $b$ in $A$. A cochain DG algebra $A$ is called non-trivial if $\partial A \neq 0$, and $A$ is said to be connected if its underlying graded algebra $A^0$ is a connected graded algebra.

Given a cochain DG algebra $A$, we denote by $A^i$ its $i$-th homogeneous component. The differential $\partial_A$ is a family of linear maps $\partial_A^i : A^i \to A^{i+1}$ with $\partial_A^{i+1} \circ \partial_A^i = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The cohomology graded algebra of $A$ is the graded algebra

$$H(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ker(\partial_A^i) / \text{im}(\partial_A^{i+1}).$$

For any cocycle element $z \in \ker(\partial_A^i)$, we write $[z]$ as the cohomology class in $H(A)$ represented by $z$. One sees that $H(A)$ is a connected graded algebra if $A$ is a connected cochain DG algebra.

For any connected cochain DG algebra $A$, we denote by $m_A$ its maximal DG ideal

$$\cdots \to 0 \to A^1 \xrightarrow{\partial^1} A^2 \xrightarrow{\partial^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-1}} A^n \xrightarrow{\partial^n} \cdots.$$

Clearly, $k$ has a structure of DG $A$-module via the augmentation map

$$\varepsilon : A \to A/m_A = k.$$

One sees that the enveloping DG algebra $A^e = A \otimes A^p$ of $A$ is also a connected cochain DG algebra with $H(A^e) \cong H(A)^e$, and

$$m_{A^e} = m_A \otimes A^{op} + A \otimes m_A^{op}.$$

1.3. Derived categories. A morphism $f : A \to A'$ of DG algebras is a chain map of complexes which respects multiplication and unit; $f$ is said to be a DG algebra isomorphism (resp. quasi-isomorphism) if $f$ (resp. $H(f)$) is an isomorphism. A DG algebra isomorphism $f$ is called a DG automorphism when $A' = A$. The set of all DG algebra automorphisms of $A$ is a group, denoted by $\text{Aut}_{dg}(A)$.

For any cochain DG algebra $A$, we write $D(A)$ as the derived category of left DG modules over $A$ (DG $A$-modules for short). A DG $A$-module $M$ is compact if the functor $\text{Hom}_{D(A)}(M, -)$ preserves all coproducts in $D(A)$. It is worth noticing that a DG $A$-module is compact if and only if it admits a minimal semi-free resolution with a finite semi-basis (see [MW1 Proposition 3.3]). The full subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of compact DG $A$-modules is denoted by $D^c(A)$.

We write $D^b(A)$ as the full subcategories of $D(A)$, whose objects are cohomologically bounded. We say a graded vector space $M = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$ is locally finite, if each $M^i$ is finite dimensional. The full subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of DG modules with locally finite cohomology is denoted by $D_H(A)$.

1.4. Definitions of some homological properties. Let $A$ be a connected cochain DG algebra.

(1) If $\dim_k H(R\text{Hom}_A(k, A)) = 1$, then $A$ is called Gorenstein (cf. [FHT1]);

(2) If $A$ is free, or equivalently $A^1.A$, has a minimal semi-free resolution with a semi-basis concentrated in degree 0, then $A$ is called Koszul (cf. [HW]);

(3) If $A$ is free, or equivalently the DG $A^e$-module $A$ is compact, then $A$ is called homologically smooth (cf. [MW3 Corollary 2.7]);

(4) If $A$ is homologically smooth and $R\text{Hom}_A(A, A^e) \cong \Sigma^{-n}A$ in the derived category $D((A^e)^{op})$ of right DG $A^e$-modules, then $A$ is called an $n$-Calabi-Yau DG algebra (cf. [Gin, VdB]).
2. DG ALGEBRA STRUCTURES

In this section, we study all possible differential structures of a connected cochain 
DG algebra, whose underlying graded algebra is the quantum affine $n$-space $O_n(k^n)$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a connected cochain DG algebra such that $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is the k-
algebra with degree one generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and relations $x_i x_j = -x_j x_i$, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $\partial_\mathcal{A}$ is determined by a matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{n \times n}$ such that

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_\mathcal{A}(x_1) \\
\partial_\mathcal{A}(x_2) \\
\vdots \\
\partial_\mathcal{A}(x_n)
\end{pmatrix} = M
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1^2 \\
x_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n^2
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Proof. Since the differential $\partial_\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a $k$-linear map of degree 1, we may let

$$
\partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t,
$$

where $m_{st}^i \in k$, for any $1 \leq s \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. We have

$$
0 = \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i x_j + x_j x_i) = \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i) x_j + x_i \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_j) + \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_j) x_i - x_j \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i)
$$

$$
= \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i) x_j - x_j \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_i) + \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_j) x_i - x_i \partial_\mathcal{A}(x_j)
$$

$$
= \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right] x_j - x_j \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right] + \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right] x_j - x_j \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right]
$$

$$
- x_j \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right] + \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n} m_{st}^i x_s x_t \right] x_j
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ji} x_j
$$

$$
= m_{ij} x_j + m_{ji} x_j
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

This completes the proof.
for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. This implies that

$$
\begin{cases}
m_{s,j}^i = 0, \text{ for all } s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j - 1\} \\
m_{j,t}^i = 0, \text{ for all } t \in \{j + 1, j + 2, \ldots, n\} \\
m_{s,i}^j = 0, \text{ for all } s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, i - 1\} \\
m_{t,i}^j = 0, \text{ for all } t \in \{i + 1, i + 2, \ldots, n\}.
\end{cases}
$$

Hence $\partial_A(x_i) = \sum_{s=1}^n m_{s,s}^i x_s^2$. One sees that

$$
\partial_A^2(x_i) = \partial_A\left(\sum_{s=1}^n m_{s,s}^i x_s^2\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{s=1}^n m_{s,s}^i [\partial_A(x_i)x_i - x_i\partial_A(x_i)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{s=1}^n m_{s,s}^i \sum_{t=1}^n m_{t,t}^i x_t^2 x_i - x_i \sum_{r=1}^n m_{i,r}^r x_r^2
$$

$$
= \sum_{s=1}^n m_{s,s}^i \sum_{q=1}^n m_{q,q}^i (x_q^2 x_i - x_i x_q^2) = 0,
$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\partial_A$ is uniquely determined by the $n \times n$ matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, where $m_{ij} = m_{i,j}^i$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

By Theorem 2.1 one sees that the following definition is reasonable.

**Definition 2.2.** For any $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$, we define a connected cochain DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^n)}(M)$ such that

$$
[\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^n)}(M)]^\# = O_{-1}(k^n)
$$
and its differential $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_2) \\
\vdots \\
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n)
\end{array}\right) = M \left(\begin{array}{c}
x_1^2 \\
x_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n^2
\end{array}\right).
$$

Lemma 2.3. For any $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, each $x_i^{2t}$ is a cocycle central element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)}(M)$.

Proof. For the sake of convenience, we let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)}(M)$. Since

$$
x_i^2 x_j = x_i x_i x_j = -x_i x_j x_i = x_j x_i^2
$$

when $i \neq j$, one sees that $x_i^4$ is a central element of $\mathcal{A}$. This implies that each $x_i^{2t}$ is a central element of $\mathcal{A}$. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i^2) = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i) x_i - x_i \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} x_i^2 x_i - x_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} x_j^2
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} (x_i^2 x_i - x_i x_j^2) = 0.
$$

Using this, we can inductively prove $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i^{2t}) = 0$. \qed

By Lemma 2.3 one sees that the graded ideal $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, \cdots, x_n^2)$ is a DG ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)}(M)$, and the quotient DG algebra

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)}(M)/I = \bigwedge(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)
$$

is the exterior algebra with zero differential. We have the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \to I \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^n)}(M) \to \bigwedge(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \to 0.
$$

3. Isomorphism Problem

It is well known in linear algebra that a square matrix is called a permutation matrix if its each row and each column have only one non-zero element 1. In [AJL], a more general notion is introduced. This is the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A square matrix is called a quasi-permutation matrix if each row and each column has at most one non-zero element.

Remark 3.2. By the definition above, a quasi-permutation matrix is possible to be singular. A quasi-permutation matrix is non-singular if and only if each row and each column have only one non-zero element.

Lemma 3.3. Let $M = (m_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be a matrix in $\text{GL}_n(k)$ such that $m_{ir} m_{jr} = 0$, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $r \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Then each row and each column of $M$ has only one non-zero element. In brief, $M$ is a quasi-permutation matrix.

Proof. Since $M \in \text{GL}_n(k)$, each column of $M$ has at least one non-zero element. If the $r$-th column of $M$ has two non-zero elements $m_{ir}$ and $m_{izr}$, then $m_{ir} m_{izr} \neq 0$, which contradicts with the assumption. Thus each column of $M$ has only one non-zero element. Then we conclude that $M$ has $n$ non-zero elements. By the non-singularity of $M$, we show that each row of $M$ has exactly one non-zero element. \qed

Proposition 3.4. The set of quasi-permutation matrices in $\text{GL}_n(k)$ is a subgroup of the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(k)$. 


Theorem 3.6. \( n \times n \) Definition 3.5. has only one non-zero element. Hence the set of quasi-permutation matrixes in \( \text{BD} \) So \( C \) that we assume that Proof. \( x \) for some \( \text{GL} \) and \( r \) \( \sigma, \tau \) there exist \( s \) \( f \) \( \tau \) for any \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). Since \( \text{char} k \neq 2 \), we get \( c_{ir} c_{jr} = 0 \) for any \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) and \( r \in \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \). By Lemma 5.3 each row and each column of \( C \) have only
one non-zero element. Hence $C$ is a quasi-permutation non-singular matrix. Since $f$ is a chain map, we have $f \circ \partial_A = \partial_{A'} \circ f$. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we have

\begin{align*}
\partial_{A'} \circ f(x_i) &= \partial_{A'} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x'_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} \sum_{l=1}^{n} m'_{jl}(x'_j)^2 \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} m'_{jl} \right) (x'_j)^2
\end{align*}

and

\begin{align*}
f \circ \partial_A(x_i) &= f \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij}(x_j)^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \left[ f(x_j) \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} c_{jl} x_l \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( c_{jl} \right)^2 (x'_l)^2 \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \left( c_{jl} \right)^2 (x'_l)^2.
\end{align*}

Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} m'_{jl} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} (c_{jl})^2$ for any $i, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then we get

$$CM' = M\left( (c_{ij})^2 \right)_{n \times n}.$$ 

Since $C \in \text{GL}_n(k)$, we have $M' = C^{-1}M\left( (c_{ij})^2 \right)_{n \times n}$.

Conversely, if there exists a quasi-permutation matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ such that

$$M' = C^{-1}M\left( (c_{ij})^2 \right)_{n \times n}.$$ 

Then we have

$$CM' = M\left( (c_{ij})^2 \right)_{n \times n},$$

which implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} m'_{jl} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} (c_{jl})^2$ for any $i, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Define a linear map $f : A^1 \rightarrow A'^1$ by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
f(x_1) \\
f(x_2) \\
\vdots \\
f(x_n)
\end{pmatrix} = C
\begin{pmatrix}
x'_1^2 \\
x'_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
x'_n^2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
Obviously, $f$ is invertible since $C \in \text{GL}_n(k)$. Since $C$ is a quasi-permutation matrix, we have

$$f(x_i)f(x_j) + f(x_j)f(x_i) = \left(\sum_{s=1}^n c_{is}x_s^t\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^n c_{ts}x_t^i\right) + \left(\sum_{t=1}^n c_{jt}x_t^j\right)\left(\sum_{s=1}^n c_{st}x_t^s\right) = 2\sum_{t=1}^n c_{it}c_{jt}(x_t^i)^2 = 0,$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Hence $f : A^1 \to A^1$ can be extended to a morphism of graded algebras between $A^#$ and $A'^#$. We still denote it by $f$. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, we still have [Eq1] and [Eq2]. Since $CM' = M(c_{ij}')_{n \times n}$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}'m_{j\ell}' = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij}(c_{j\ell}')^2$ for any $i, \ell \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. This implies

$$\partial_A' \circ f(x_i) = f \circ \partial_A(x_i),$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Hence, $f : A \to A'$ is an isomorphism of DG algebras.

**Corollary 3.7.** For any $M \in M_n(k)$, we have

$$\text{Aut}_{dg}A_{\mathbb{Q}L_{-1}^n}(k)(M) = \{C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \text{QPL}_n(k)|M = C^{-1}M(c_{ij}')_{n \times n}\}.$$

**Proof.** This is immediate from Theorem 3.6. \qed

**Definition 3.8.** Theorem 3.6 indicates that we can define a map

$$\chi : M_n(k) \times \text{QPL}_n(k) \to M_n(k)$$

such that $\chi([M, C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}]) = C^{-1}M(c_{ij})^2_{n \times n}$.

Let $X$ be a set and $G$ a group. A right action of $G$ on $X$ is a map $X \times G \to X$, denoted $(x, g) \mapsto x \cdot g$ such that $x \cdot 1_G = x$ for all $x \in X$ and $(x \cdot g) \cdot g' = x \cdot (gg')$ for all $x \in X$ and $g', g \in G$. A left action of $G$ on $X$ is defined similarly. We write $X/G$ for the set of $G$-orbits.

**Proposition 3.9.** The map $\chi$ defined in Definition 3.8 is a right group action of $\text{QPL}_n(k)$ on $M_n(k)$.

**Proof.** Obviously, $I_n$ is the identity element in $\text{QPL}_n(k)$. For any $M$ in $M_n(k)$, we have $\chi([M, I_n]) = I_n^{-1}M I_n = M$. For any $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $C' = (c_{ij}')_{n \times n}$ in $\text{QPL}_n(k)$, we have

$$\chi([\chi([M, C]), C']) = \chi([C^{-1}M((c_{ij})^2)_{n \times n}, C']) = (C')^{-1}C^{-1}M((c_{ij})^2)_{n \times n}$$

and

$$\chi([M, CC']) = (C')^{-1}C^{-1}M((\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}c_{ij}')^2)_{n \times n}.$$

It remains to show that $((c_{ij})^2)_{n \times n}((c_{ij}')^2)_{n \times n} = ((\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}c_{ij}')^2)_{n \times n}$. Since $C, C' \in \text{QPL}_n(k)$, there exist $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ such that

$$c_{i\sigma(i)} \neq 0, c_{ij} = 0, \text{ if } j \neq \sigma(i) \quad c_{i\tau(i)}' \neq 0, c_{ij}' = 0, \text{ if } j \neq \tau(i),$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. One sees that $C$ and $C'$ can be written by

$$C = (E_1E_{r-1} \cdots E_1 \text{diag}(c_{1r(1)}, c_{2r(2)}, \cdots, c_{nr(n)})),$$

$$C' = \text{diag}(c_{1r(1)}', c_{2r(2)}', \cdots, c_{nr(n)}')(E_1E_2' \cdots E_n'),$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Hence, $f : A \to A'$ is an isomorphism of DG algebras.
where $E_i$ and $E'_i$ are elementary matrixes obtained by swapping two rows of the identity matrix $I_n$. Then

$$CC' = E_0 E_{s-1} \cdots E_1 \text{diag}(c_{1\sigma(1)} c'_{1\tau(1)}) c_{2\sigma(2)} c'_{2\tau(2)} \cdots c_{n\sigma(n)} c'_{n\tau(n)}) E'_1 E'_2 \cdots E'_s,$$

and hence

$$((\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}(c'_{ij})^2)_{n \times n} = E_r \cdots E_1 \text{diag}((c_{1\sigma(1)})^2 (c'_{1\tau(1)})^2, \cdots, (c_{n\sigma(n)})^2 (c'_{n\tau(n)})^2) E'_1 \cdots E'_s$$

$$= E_r \cdots E_1 \text{diag}((c_{1\sigma(1)})^2, \cdots, (c_{n\sigma(n)})^2) \text{diag}((c'_{1\tau(1)})^2, \cdots, (c'_{n\tau(n)})^2) E'_1 \cdots E'_s$$

$$= (((c_{ij})^2 (c'_{ij})^2)_{n \times n},$$

which implies $\chi([M, CC']) = \chi([\{M, C\}, C'])$. Therefore, the map $\chi$ defined in Definition 3.10 is a right group action of $QPL_n(k)$ on $M_n(k)$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.10.** In $M_n(k)$, there is a natural equivalence relation $\sim_R$ defined by

$$M \sim_R M' \iff \exists C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n} \in QPL_n(k) \text{ such that } M' = \chi(M, C).$$

Hence the set of isomorphism classes of DG algebras in $\{A_{O_{-1}(k^s)}(M) | M \in M_n(k)\}$ is the quotient set

$$\{A_{O_{-1}(k^s)}(M) | M \in M_n(k)\}/QPL_n(k).$$

4. SOME USEFUL LEMMAS

The cohomology graded algebra $H(\mathcal{A})$ of a cochain DG algebra $\mathcal{A}$ usually contains a lot of homological informations. In some cases, it is possible to detect the Calabi-Yau properties of $\mathcal{A}$ from $H(\mathcal{A})$. For example, It is proved in [MYY], that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Calabi-Yau DG algebra if the trivial DG algebra $(H(\mathcal{A}), 0)$ is Calabi-Yau. And we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** [MH Theorem A] Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a connected cochain DG algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra if $H(\mathcal{A})$ belongs to one of the following cases:

(a) $H(\mathcal{A}) \cong k$;

(b) $H(\mathcal{A}) = k[[z]], z \in \ker(\partial^1_{\mathcal{A}})$;

(c) $H(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{k[[z_1, z_2]]}{([z_1] [z_2])}, z_1, z_2 \in \ker(\partial^1_{\mathcal{A}})$.

In the rest of section, we will give another useful criterion to detect the Calabi-Yau properties of $\mathcal{A}$. For this, we need the following interesting lemma first.

**Lemma 4.2.** For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \mu, \nu} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & \lambda b + \nu c & \nu b + \mu c & a \end{pmatrix} \right\} | a, b, c, d \in k \right\}$$

is a commutative symmetric $k$-algebra of 4-dimension under the usual multiplication of matrices.
Proof. We claim that $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is closed under the usual multiplication of matrices and the multiplication in $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is commutative. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & \lambda b + \nu c & \nu b + \mu c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b' & a' & 0 & 0 \\ c' & 0 & a' & 0 \\ d' & \lambda b' + \nu c' & \nu b' + \mu c' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a a' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a b' + a' b & a a' & 0 & 0 \\ a c' + a' c & 0 & a a' & 0 \\ d'' & \lambda(a b' + a' b) + \nu(a' c + a c') & \nu(a b' + a' b) + \mu(a' c + a c') & a a' \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b' & a' & 0 & 0 \\ c' & 0 & a' & 0 \\ d' & \lambda b' + \nu c' & \nu b' + \mu c' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a a' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a b' + a' b & a a' & 0 & 0 \\ a c' + a' c & 0 & a a' & 0 \\ d'' & \lambda(a b' + a' b) + \nu(a' c + a c') & \nu(a b' + a' b) + \mu(a' c + a c') & a a' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $d'' = a' d + a d' + (\lambda b + \nu c)b' + \nu b + \mu c$.

Clearly, $1_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_{i}$. Let $e_{1} = E_{21} + \nu E_{43} + \lambda E_{42}, e_{2} = E_{31} + \nu E_{42} + \mu E_{43}$ and $e_{3} = E_{41}$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = k e_{1} \oplus k e_{2} \oplus k e_{3}$. One sees that the map

$$\theta : \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \to \text{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, k)$$

defined by

$$\begin{align*}
1 & \mapsto \{ e_{1} \} \\
e_{1} & \mapsto \{ e_{1} \} \\
e_{2} & \mapsto \{ e_{2} \} \\
e_{3} & \mapsto \{ e_{3} \}
\end{align*}$$

is an isomorphism of left $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$-modules. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Since any commutative Frobenius algebra is symmetric, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ is a commutative symmetric algebra. \hfill \Box

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a connected cochain DG algebra such that

$$H(\mathcal{A}) = k(\{ [y_{1}], [y_{2}] \} / (t_{1} [y_{1}]^{2} + t_{2} [y_{2}]^{2} + t_{3}([y_{1}] [y_{2}] + [y_{2}] [y_{1}]))),$$

where $(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}) \in \mathbb{P}_{k}^{2} - \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \}$. Then the Ext-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

Proof. The graded module $H_{(\mathcal{A})}k$ has the following minimal graded free resolution:

$$0 \to H(\mathcal{A}) e_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} H(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} k e_{i} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} H(\mathcal{A}) \to k \to 0,$$

where $e, d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are defined by $e|_{H^{0}(\mathcal{A})} = 0, e|_{H^{0}(\mathcal{A})} = \text{id}_{k}, d_{1}(e_{i}) = y_{i}$ and $d_{2}(e_{j}) = t_{1} y_{1} e_{1} + t_{2} y_{2} e_{2} + t_{3} y_{1} e_{2} + t_{3} y_{2} e_{1}$. Applying the constructing procedure of Eilenberg-Moore resolution, we can construct a minimal semi-free resolution $F$ of the DG $\mathcal{A}$-module $k$. We have

$$F^{#} = \mathcal{A}^{#} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{#} \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} k \Sigma e_{i} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{#} \Sigma^{2} e_{i}$$
and $\partial_F$ is defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_F(1) \\
\partial_F(\Sigma e_1) \\
\partial_F(\Sigma e_2) \\
\partial_F(\Sigma^2 e_r)
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_1 y_1 + t_3 y_2 & t_2 y_2 + t_3 y_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\Sigma e_1 \\
\Sigma e_2 \\
\Sigma^2 e_r
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence $A$ is a Koszul and homologically smooth DG algebra. By the minimality of $F$, we have
\[
\text{Hom}_A(F, k) = \{ k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k(\Sigma e_{y_i})^* \oplus k(\Sigma^2 e_r)^* \}.
\]

So the Ext-algebra $E = H(\text{Hom}_A(F, F))$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,
\[
\text{Hom}_A(F, F)^\# \cong \{ k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k(\Sigma e_{y_i})^* \oplus k(\Sigma^2 e_r)^* \} \otimes_k F^\#
\]
is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F, F))$. Since $F^\#$ is a free graded $A^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, \Sigma e_{y_1}, \Sigma e_{y_2}, \Sigma^2 e_r\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_A(F, F)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_4(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F, F)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
f(1) \\
f(\Sigma e_1) \\
f(\Sigma e_2) \\
f(\Sigma^2 e_r)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\Sigma e_1 \\
\Sigma e_2 \\
\Sigma^2 e_r
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

And $f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F, F)]$ if and only if $\partial_F \circ f = f \circ \partial_F$, if and only if
\[
A_f 
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_1 y_1 + t_3 y_2 & t_2 y_2 + t_3 y_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_1 y_1 + t_3 y_2 & t_2 y_2 + t_3 y_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
A_f,
\]
which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{cases}
a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
a_{32} = 0 \\
a_{42} = a_{21} t_1 + a_{31} t_3 \\
a_{43} = a_{21} t_3 + a_{31} t_2
\end{cases}
\]
by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra
\[
E \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & a & 0 & 0 \\
c & 0 & a & 0 \\
d & t_1 b + t_3 c & t_3 b + t_2 c & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in k \right\} = \mathbb{E}_{t_1, t_2, t_3}.
\]

By Lemma 1.2, $E$ is a symmetric algebra and hence $\text{Tor}_4(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}) \cong E^*$ is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM] Theorem A], $\mathbb{A}$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

\[\square\]
5. Cohomology and Calabi-Yau Properties

From this section, we will do research on homological properties of $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)$. For the case $n = 2$, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** [MH, Proposition 3.3] For $M = (m_{ij})_{2 \times 2} \in M_2(k)$, we have

$$H[\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)] = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } |M| \neq 0 \\
\{x_2\}, & \text{if } m_{11} \neq 0 \text{ and } m_{12} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0 \\
\{x_2, x_3\}/\langle x_2^2 \rangle, & \text{if } m_{12} \neq 0, m_{11} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0 \\
\{x_2, x_3\}/\langle x_2^2 - \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} x_i x_j \rangle, & \text{if } m_{11} \neq 0, m_{12} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0 \\
\{x_2, x_3\}/\langle x_2^2 - \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} x_i x_j \rangle, & \text{if } m_{11} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Remark 5.2.** By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.7, one sees that $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra in the following cases:

1. $|M| \neq 0$;
2. $m_{11} \neq 0$ and $m_{12} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0$;
3. $m_{11} \neq 0, m_{12} \neq 0$ and $m_{21} = m_{22} = 0$;
4. $m_{11} \neq 0, m_{21} \neq 0$ and $m_{12} = m_{22} = 0$;
5. $m_{11} \neq 0, \forall i, j, m_{11} \neq m_{12} m_{21}, |M| = 0$.

For the other cases, the statement that $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra also holds by [MH, Theorem C].

It is natural for us to ask whether each $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M), M \in M_3(k)$ is also a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. By [MY, Proposition 3.2], one sees that $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)$ is a Calabi-Yau DG algebra when $M = 0$. Note that each $\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)$ is actually a 3-dimensional DG Sklyanin algebra in [MY]. When $M$ is not a zero matrix, we have the following proposition on $H[\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)]$. We refer the reader to [MY, Section 6] for detailed computations.

**Proposition 5.3.** [MY] Assume that $M$ is a matrix in $M_3(k)$. Then we have the following statements on $H[\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)]$.

1. $H(\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)) = k$, when $r(M) = 3$;
2. $H(\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)) = [t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3]/\langle t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 \rangle$, if $r(M) = 2$, $s_1 t_1^2 + s_2 t_2^2 + s_3 t_3^2 = 0$, where $k(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $k(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ are the solutions spaces of homogeneous linear equations $MX = 0$ and $MTX = 0$, respectively;
3. $H(\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)) = k\langle t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, s_1 x_1^2 + s_2 x_2^2 + s_3 x_3^2 \rangle/\langle t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3 \rangle^2$, if $r(M) = 2$, $s_1 t_1^2 + s_2 t_2^2 + s_3 t_3^2 = 0$, where $k(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $k(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ are the solutions spaces of homogeneous linear equations $MX = 0$ and $MTX = 0$, respectively;
4. $H(\mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^3)}(M)) = k\langle t_1 x_1 - x_2, l_2 x_1 - x_3 \rangle/(m_{12} x_1 - x_2)^2 + m_{13} (l_2 x_1 - x_3)^2 - \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} x_i x_j \rangle$.

When $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11} & l_{12} & l_{13} \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & l_{23} \end{pmatrix}$, $(m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0$ with $l_1 l_2 \neq 0$ and $m_{12} l_1^2 + m_{13} l_2^2 \neq m_{11}$.
Remark 5.4. For the case \( r(M) = 1 \), we only need to consider (4-9) in Proposition

Indeed, we can see the reasons by applying Theorem 5.6 and the following fact. For any \((a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)\) and \(l_1, l_2 \in k\), let

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11}m_{11} & l_{12}m_{12} & l_{13}m_{13} \\ l_{21}m_{11} & l_{22}m_{12} & l_{23}m_{13} \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \((m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0, m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 \neq m_{11} \) and \(l_1 l_2 = 0;\)

\[
H[M] = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11}m_{11} & l_{12}m_{12} & l_{13}m_{13} \\ l_{21}m_{11} & l_{22}m_{12} & l_{23}m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0,
\]

with \(m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 \neq 0 \) and \(l_2 = 0;\)

\[
H[M] = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11}m_{11} & l_{12}m_{12} & l_{13}m_{13} \\ l_{21}m_{11} & l_{22}m_{12} & l_{23}m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0,
\]

with \(m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_2 \neq 0 \) and \(l_1 = 0;\)

\[
H[M] = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11}m_{11} & l_{12}m_{12} & l_{13}m_{13} \\ l_{21}m_{11} & l_{22}m_{12} & l_{23}m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0,
\]

with \(m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 = 0 \) and \(l_2 = 0;\)

\[
H[M] = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_{11}m_{11} & l_{12}m_{12} & l_{13}m_{13} \\ l_{21}m_{11} & l_{22}m_{12} & l_{23}m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0,
\]

with \(m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 = 0 \) and \(l_2 = 0;\)
Then
\[ \chi(M, C) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ l_1a & l_1b & l_1c \\ l_2a & l_2b & l_2c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_1b & l_1a & l_1c \\ b & a & c \\ l_2b & l_2a & l_2c \end{pmatrix} \]

and
\[ \chi(M, C') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ l_1a & l_1b & l_1c \\ l_2a & l_2b & l_2c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_2c & l_2b & l_2a \\ l_1c & l_1b & l_1a \\ c & b & a \end{pmatrix}. \]

By Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.3, one sees that \( A_{\mathcal{O}_x(k^3)}(M) \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra in the following cases:

1. \( r(M) = 3; \)
2. \( r(M) = 2, s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2 + s_3t_3^2 \neq 0, \) where \( k(s_1, s_2, s_3)^T \) and \( k(t_1, t_2, t_3)^T \) be the solution spaces of homogeneous linear equations \( MX = 0 \) and \( M^TX = 0, \) respectively;
3. \[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0 \]
   with \( l_1l_2 \neq 0 \) and \( m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 \neq m_{11}; \)
4. \[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0, \]
   with \( m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 \neq m_{11} \) and \( l_1l_2 = 0; \)
5. \[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0 \]
   with \( m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11} \) and \( l_1l_2 \neq 0. \)

It remains to consider the Calabi-Yau properties of \( A_{\mathcal{O}_x(k^3)}(M) \) in the following 4 cases:

1. Case 1: \( r(M) = 2 \) and \( s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2 + s_3t_3^2 = 0 \) where \( k(s_1, s_2, s_3)^T \) and \( k(t_1, t_2, t_3)^T \) be the solution spaces of homogeneous linear equations \( MX = 0 \) and \( M^TX = 0, \) respectively;
2. Case 2: \[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0, \]
   with \( m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 \neq 0 \) and \( l_2 = 0; \)
3. Case 3: \[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0, \]
   with \( m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 = 0 \) and \( l_2 \neq 0; \)
(4) Case 4: \( M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix} \), \( (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0 \), with
\[ m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, \ l_1 = 0 \text{ and } l_2 = 0. \]
The proof of each case involves further classifications and complicated analysis. The main ideas of our proof is to construct the minimal semi-free resolution of \( _A k \) in each case and compute the corresponding Ext-algebras. In the rest of this paper, we will allocate Section \( \ref{section5.3} \) and Section \( \ref{section6} \) to discuss the homological properties of \( A_{O,-1(k^n)}(M) \) for Case 1 and Case 2 – 4 separately.

6. CASE 1

By Proposition \( \ref{proposition5.3} \) \( H(A_{O,-1(k^n)}(M)) \) in Case 1 is not homologically smooth since it is \( k[[t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3], [s_1x_1^2 + s_2x_2^2 + s_3x_3^2]]/([t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3]^2) \). From \( H(A_{O,-1(k^n)}(M)) \) we can’t judge the Calabi-Yau properties (resp. homologically smoothness) of \( A_{O,-1(k^n)}(M) \). We turn to construct the minimal semi-free resolution of \( _A k \). According to the constructing procedure of [MW1, Proposition 2.4], we will construct the resolution as follows.

Let \( F_0 = A \) and \( e_0 = e : F_0 = A \to k \). Define \( F_1 \) as an extension of the DG \( A \)-module \( F_0 \) by \( F_1^\# = F_0^\# \oplus A^\#e_1 \) and \( \partial F_1(e_1) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3 \). Since \( t_1^2x_1^2 + t_2^2x_2^2 + t_3^2x_3^2 \in B^2(A) \), there exist \( \sigma = q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3 \in A^1 \) such that
\[ \partial_A(\sigma) = t_1^2x_1^2 + t_2^2x_2^2 + t_3^2x_3^2 \]for some \( \sigma \in A^1 \).

Case 1. If \( q_1t_1x_1^2 + q_2t_2x_2^2 + q_3t_3x_3^2 \notin B^2(A) \), then \( q_1t_1x_1^2 + q_2t_2x_2^2 + q_3t_3x_3^2 = \partial_A(b) + s_1x_1^2 + s_2x_2^2 + s_3x_3^2 \) for some \( b \in A^1 \). For any cocycle element \( a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 \in Z^1(F_2) \), we have
\[ 0 = \partial F_2(a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2) = \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) + \partial_A(a_2)e_2 - a_2((t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_1 + \sigma) \]
\[ = \partial_A(a_2)e_2 + [\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_1 + \partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3). \]
This implies that
\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_A(a_2) &= 0 \\
\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) &= 0 \\
\partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) &= 0.
\end{align*} \]
(1)

Since \( q_1t_1x_1^2 + q_2t_2x_2^2 + q_3t_3x_3^2 \notin B^2(A) \), one can easily check that (1) implies that
\[ \begin{align*}
a_2 &= 0 \\
a_1 &= b_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a &= b_1(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + b_0(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)
\end{align*} \]
for some \( b_1, b_0 \in k \). So \( a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 = \partial F_2(b_1e_1 + b_0e_1) \in B^1(F_2) \). Hence
\[ H^1(F_2) = 0. \]
Furthermore, we can show that \( F_2 \) is the minimal semi-free resolution of \( _A k \). Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of \( F_2 \), the Ext-algebra
\[ E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k, k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_2, k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_2, k) \]
\[ = k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* . \]
we can divide it into the following two series:

\[ \text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_2)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \} \otimes_k F_2^\# \]

is concentrated in degree \( \geq 0 \). This implies that \( E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_2)) \). Since \( F_2^\# \) is a free graded \( A^\# \)-module with a basis \( \{1, e_1, e_2\} \) concentrated in degree 0, the elements in \( \text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_2)^0 \) is one to one correspondence with the matrixes in \( M_3(k) \). Indeed, any \( f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_2)^0 \) is uniquely determined by a matrix \( A_f = (a_{ij})_{3 \times 3} \in M_3(k) \) with

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & e_1 \\
1 & e_2 
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
1 & e_1 \\
1 & e_2 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

And \( f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_2)] \) if and only if \( \partial_{F_2} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_2} \), if and only if

\[
A_f \begin{pmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} A_f,
\]

which is also equivalent to

\[
\begin{cases}
   a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} \\
a_{32} = a_{21}
\end{cases}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra

\[
E \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 \\ c & b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in k \right\} \cong k[x]/(x^3).
\]

So \( E \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_A(k_A, \cdot k) \cong E^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) in Case 1.1 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following examples for Case 1.1.

**Example 6.1.** The DG algebra \( \mathcal{A}_{G_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \) belongs to Case 1.1, when \( M \) is one of the following matrices:

\[
(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
(4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (5) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (6) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
(7) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (8) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (9) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now, let us study the case that \( q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}) \). We claim that we can divide it into the following two series:

- Case 1.2.* \(, \) when \( (q_1 t_1, q_2 t_2, q_3 t_3)^T = 0; \)
- Case 1.3.* \(, \) when \( (q_1 t_1, q_2 t_2, q_3 t_3)^T \) and \( (t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2)^T \) are linearly independent.
Indeed, when \( 0 \neq (q_1 t_1, q_2 t_2, q_3 t_3)^T \) and \((t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2)^T\) are linearly dependent, we may as well let \((q_1 t_1, q_2 t_2, q_3 t_3)^T = c(t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2)^T\) for some \(c \in k^\times\). Let \(q_1' = q_1 - ct_1, q_2' = q_2 - ct_2, q_3' = q_3 - ct_3\). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1't_1 + q_2't_2 + q_3't_3 &= 0 \\
\partial_A(q_1'x_1 + q_2'x_2 + q_3'x_3) &= t_1^2x_1^2 + t_2^2x_2^2 + t_3^2x_3^2.
\end{align*}
\]

We can replace \(q_1, q_2, q_3\) by \(q_1', q_2', q_3'\) in the construction.

6.1. Case 1.2.∗. Since \(q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0\), we may choose \(τ = r_1 x_1 + r_2 x_2 + r_3 x_3 = 0\), equivalently each \(r_i = 0\), such that \(∂_A(τ) = 0\). We label it “Case 1.2.1” when \(q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2 + q_3^2 x_3^2 \notin B^2(A)\). We extend \(F_2\) to a semi-free DG module \(F_3\) with \(F_3^\# = F_2^\# \oplus A^\#\) and \(∂_{F_3}(e_3) = (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_2 + σ e_1\). We claim \(H^1(F_3) = 0\). Indeed, for any cocycle element \(a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 \in Z^1(F_3)\), we have

\[
0 = \partial_{F_3}(a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3)
\]

\[
= \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) + \partial_A(a_2)e_2 + \partial_A(a_3)e_3
\]

\[
- a_2(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_1 - a_3[(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_2 + σ e_1]
\]

\[
= \partial_A(a_3) + \partial_A(a_2) - a_3(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_2
\]

\[
+ [∂_A(a_1) - a_3σ - a_2(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_1]
\]

\[
+ ∂_A(a) - a_1(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) - a_2σ.
\]

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
∂_A(a_3) &= 0 \\
∂_A(a_2) - a_3(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) &= 0 \\
∂_A(a_1) - a_3σ - a_2(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) &= 0 \\
∂_A(a) - a_1(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) - a_2σ &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \(q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2 + q_3^2 x_3^2 \notin B^2(A)\), it is easy to check that (2) implies

\[
\begin{align*}
a_3 &= 0 \\
a_2 &= c_2(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) \\
a_1 &= c_2(q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2 + q_3 x_3) + c_1(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) \\
a &= c_1σ + c_0(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3),
\end{align*}
\]

for some \(c_0, c_1, c_2 \in k\). Then \(a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 = ∂_A(c_2 e_3 + c_1 e_2 + c_0 e_1)\). So \(H^1(F_3) = 0\). Furthermore, we can show that \(F_3\) is the minimal semi-free resolution of \(A k\). Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of \(F_3\), the Ext-algebra

\[
E = H(RHom_A(k, k)) = H(Hom_A(F_3, k)) = Hom_A(F_3, k)
\]

\[
= k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^*.
\]

So \(E\) is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

\[
Hom_A(F_3, F_3)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^*\} \otimes_k F_3^\#
\]

is concentrated in degree \(\geq 0\). This implies that \(E = Z^0(Hom_A(F_3, F_3))\). Since \(F_3^\#\) is a free graded \(A^\#\)-module with a basis \(\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}\) concentrated in degree 0, the elements in \(Hom_A(F_3, F_3)^\#\) is one to one correspondence with the matrixes in \(M_3(k)\). Indeed, any \(f \in Hom_A(F_3, F_3)^0\) is uniquely determined by a matrix
$A_f = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  f(1) \\
  f(e_1) \\
  f(e_2) \\
  f(e_3)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

And $f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_3} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_3}$, if and only if

$$
A_f \begin{pmatrix}
  \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \begin{pmatrix}
  \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

which is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}
  a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
  a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
  a_{43} = a_{32} = a_{21}, a_{42} = a_{31}
\end{cases}
$$

by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra

$$
E \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & a & 0 \\ d & c & b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in k \right\} \cong k[x]/(x^4).
$$

So $E$ is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that $\text{Tor}_A(k, k) \cong E^* \cong E^*$ is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra $A$ in Case 1.2.1 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following examples for Case 1.2.1.

**Example 6.2.** The DG algebra $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^2)}(M)$ belongs to Case 1.2.1, when $M$ is one of the following matrices:

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
(1) & (2) & (3) \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
(4) & (5) & (6)
\end{array}
$$

If $q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0$ and $q_1^2 x_1^4 + q_2^2 x_2^4 + q_3^2 x_3^4 \in B^2(A)$, then things will be different from Case 1.2.1. We must proceed our construction. Let $\lambda = u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3$ such that $\partial_A(\lambda) = q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2 + q_3^2 x_3^2$. We label it “Case 1.2.2” when $u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 \not\in B^2(A)$. We extend $F_3$ in Case 1.2.1 to a semi-free DG module $F_1$ with $F_1^0 = F_3^0 \oplus A^# e_4$ and $\partial_{F_1}(e_4) = (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_3 + \sigma e_2 + \lambda$. We claim $H^1(F_4) = 0$. Indeed, for any cocycle element $a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 + a e_4 \in$
$Z^1(F_4)$, we have

$$0 = \partial_{F_4}(a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4)$$

$$= \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) + \partial_A(a_2)e_2$$

$$- a_2[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_1 + \sigma] + \partial_A(a_3)e_3 - a_3[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_2 + \sigma e_1]$$

$$+ \partial_A(a_4)e_4 - a_4[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_3 + \sigma e_2 + \lambda]$$

$$= \partial_A(a_4)e_4 + [\partial_A(a_3) - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_3$$

$$+ [\partial_A(a_2) - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_2$$

$$+ [\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_3e_1]$$

$$+ \partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - a_4\lambda.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} 
\partial_A(a_3) - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_2) - a_4\sigma - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_3\sigma = 0 \\
\partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - a_4\lambda = 0.
\end{cases}$$

(3)

Since $u_1t_1x_1^2 + u_2t_2x_2^2 + u_3t_3x_3^2 \not\in B^2A$, (3) implies

$$\begin{cases} 
a_4 = 0 \\
a_3 = c_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_2 = c_3(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_1 = c_2(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a = c_1(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_3(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + c_0(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3),
\end{cases}$$

for some $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in k$. Then

$$a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 = \partial_A(c_3e_4 + c_2e_3 + c_1e_2 + c_0e_1).$$

Hence $H^1(F_4) = 0$. Furthermore, we can show that $F_4$ is the minimal semi-free resolution of $A_k$. Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of $F_4$, the Ext-algebra

$$E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k, k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_4, k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_4, k)$$

$$= k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^* \oplus ke_4^*.$$

So $E$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

$$\text{Hom}_A(F_4, F_4)^\# \cong \{ k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^* \oplus ke_4^* \} \otimes_k F_4^\#$$

is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_4, F_4))$. Since $F_4^\#$ is a free graded $A^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_A(F_4, F_4)^\#$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_5(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_4, F_4)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{5 \times 5} \in M_5(k)$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 
f(1) \\
f(e_1) \\
f(e_2) \\
f(e_3) \\
f(e_4)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4
\end{pmatrix}.$$
And \( f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_4, F_4)] \) if and only if \( \partial_{F_3} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_4} \), if and only if
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2r & \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda & 2r & \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} \\
a_{54} = a_{43} = a_{32} = a_{21} = a_{53} = a_{42} = a_{31} = a_{52} = a_{41}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra
\[
E \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
d & c & b & a & 0 \\
e & d & c & b & a \\
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e \in k \right\} \cong k[x]/(x^5).
\]

So \( E \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_A(k_A, k) \cong E^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra \( A \) in Case 1.2.2 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following examples for Case 1.2.2.

**Example 6.3.** The DG algebra \( A_{\mathcal{O}_z(k^3)}(M) \) belongs to Case 1.2.2, when \( M \) is either one of the following matrices:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

If
\[
\begin{cases}
q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0 \\
q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2 + q_3^2 x_3^2 \in B^2(A) \\
u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 \in B^2(A),
\end{cases}
\]

then we must continue the process after the construction of \( F_4 \) in Case 1.2.2. Let \( \omega = v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2 + v_3 x_3 \) such that \( \partial_A(\omega) = u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 \). We label it “Case 1.2.3” when
\[
(4v_1 t_1 + 2q_1 u_1) x_1^2 + (4v_2 t_2 + 2q_2 u_2) x_2^2 + (4v_3 t_3 + 2q_3 u_3) x_3^2 \notin B^2 A.
\]

We extend \( F_4 \) in Case 1.2.2 to a semi-free DG module \( F_5 \) with \( F_5^\# = F_4^\# \otimes A^\# e_5 \) and
\[
\partial_{F_5}(e_5) = (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)c_4 + \sigma c_3 + \lambda c_1 + 2\omega.
\]
We claim $H^1(F_3) = 0$. Indeed, for any $a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5 \in Z^1(F_3)$, we have

$$0 = \partial_{F_3}(a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5)$$
$$= \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) + \partial_A(a_2)e_2$$
$$- a_2[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_1 + \sigma + \partial_A(a_3)] - a_3[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_2 + \sigma e_1]$$
$$+ \partial_A(a_4)e_4 - a_4[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_3 + \sigma e_2 + \lambda] + \partial_A(a_5)e_5$$
$$- a_5[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_4 + \sigma e_3 + \lambda e_1 + 2\omega]$$
$$= \partial_A(a_5)e_5 + [\partial_A(a_4) - a_5(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_4$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_3) - a_5\sigma - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_3$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_2) - a_4\sigma - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_2$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)] - a_3\sigma - a_5\lambda]e_1$$
$$+ \partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - a_4\lambda - 2a_5\omega.$$ 

Then

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_A(a_5) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_4) - a_5(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_3) - a_5\sigma - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_2) - a_4\sigma - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_3\sigma - a_5\lambda = 0 \\
\partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - a_4\lambda = 2a_5\omega.
\end{cases}$$

Since $(4v_1t_1 + 2q_1u_1)x_1^2 + (4v_2t_2 + 2q_2u_2)x_2^2 + (4v_3t_3 + 2q_3u_3)x_3^2 \not\in B^2A$, (1) implies

$$a_5 = 0$$
$$a_4 = c_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)$$
$$a_3 = c_4(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)$$
$$a_2 = c_3(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)$$
$$a_1 = c_2(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_1(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + c_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)$$
$$a = c_1(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + c_3(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + 2c_1(v_1x_1 + v_2x_2 + v_3x_3)$$
$$+ c_0(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)$$

for some $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in k$. Then

$$a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5 = \partial_A(c_4e_5 + c_3e_4 + c_2e_3 + c_1e_2 + c_0e_1).$$

Hence $H^1(F_3) = 0$. Furthermore, we can show that $F_3$ is the minimal semi-free resolution of $A_k$. Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of $F_3$, the Ext-algebra

$$E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k,k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_5,k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_5,k)$$

$$= k1^* + ke_1^* + ke_2^* + ke_3^* + ke_4^* + ke_5^*.$$ 

So $E$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

$$\text{Hom}_A(F_5, F_5)^\# \cong \{k1^* + ke_1^* + ke_2^* + ke_3^* + ke_4^* + ke_5^*\} \otimes_k F_5^\#$$

is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_5, F_5))$. Since $F_5^\#$ is a free graded $A^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_A(F_5, F_5)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_6(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_5, F_5)^0$ is uniquely determined by a
matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{6 \times 6} \in M_6(k)$ with

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  f(1) & f(e_1) & f(e_2) & f(e_3) & f(e_4) & f(e_5) \\
  e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_5
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot 
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 \\
  e_1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

And $f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_2, F_5)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_2} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_5}$, if and only if

$$
A_f = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \lambda & 2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  2\omega \lambda & 2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

which is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}
  a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
  a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} = a_{66} \\
  a_{65} = a_{54} = a_{43} = a_{32} = a_{21}, \\
  a_{64} = a_{53} = a_{42} = a_{31}, \\
  a_{63} = a_{52} = a_{41}, a_{62} = a_{51}
\end{cases}
$$

by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra

$$
E \cong \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc}
  a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  c & b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
  e & d & c & b & a & 0 \\
  f & e & d & c & b & a
\end{array} \right) \right\} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f \in k \right\} \cong k[x]/(x^6).
$$

So $E$ is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that $\text{Tor}_A(k_A, \Lambda k) \cong E^*$ is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra $A$ in Case 1.2.3 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following example for Case 1.2.3.
Example 6.4. The DG algebra $A_{\mathcal{O}_-(k^n)}(M)$ belongs to Case 1.2.3, when

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

If

$$\begin{cases} q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0 \\ q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2 + q_3^2 x_3^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}) \\ u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}) \end{cases}$$

then we must continue the process after the construction of $F_3$ in Case 1.2.3. Since $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \neq 0$ and $\partial_A(q_1 t_1 + q_2 t_2 + q_3 t_3) = t_1^2 x_1^4 + t_2^2 x_2^4 + t_3^2 x_3^4 \neq 0$, we have $(q_1, q_2, q_3) \neq 0$. Hence $q_1 t_1 x_1^2 + q_2 t_2 x_2^2 + q_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0$ implies that there exist one or two nonzero elements in $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$. By symmetry, we only need to consider the following three cases:

Case A: $t_1 \neq 0, q_1 \neq 0$

Case B: $t_2 \neq 0, q_2 \neq 0$

Case C: $t_3 \neq 0, q_3 \neq 0$.

If Case A happens, then $\partial_A(t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) = 0$, $\partial_A(q_1 x_1) = t_2^2 x_2^2 + t_3^2 x_3^2$ and $\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2$. So $B^2(\mathcal{A}) = k(t_2^2 x_2^2 + t_3^2 x_3^2) \oplus k x_1^2$. Since

$$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}),$$

we have $u_2 = u_1 t_2, u_3 = u_1 t_3$, for some $l \in k$. If $u_1 = 0$, then

$$\partial_A(u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = l \partial_A(t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) = 0$$

which contradicts with $\partial_A(u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2 \neq 0$. Hence $u_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = u_1 \partial_A(x_1) + l \partial_A(t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) = \frac{u_1}{q_1} (t_2^2 x_2^2 + t_3^2 x_3^2),$$

which contradicts with the assumption $\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2$. Hence Case A is impossible to occur.

If Case B happens, then we have $\partial_A(x_3) = 0$, $\partial_A(q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2) = t_3^2 x_3^2$ and

$$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = \partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) = q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2.$$ 

So $B^2(\mathcal{A}) = k x_3^2 \oplus k(q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2)$. We have $\partial_A(v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2 + v_3 x_3) = u_3 t_3 x_3^2$. Since $\partial_A(x_3) = 0$, we may choose $v_3 = 0$. If $u_3 \neq 0$, then $(v_1, v_2) \neq 0$ and $(v_1, v_2) = (\frac{q_1}{u_3}, \frac{q_2}{u_3})$ since $Z^1(\mathcal{A}) = k x_3$. Then

$$(4v_1 t_1 + 2q_1 u_1)x_1^2 + (4v_2 t_2 + 2q_2 u_2)x_2^2 + (4v_3 t_3 + 2q_3 u_3)x_3^2 = 2q_1 u_1 x_1^2 + 2q_2 u_2 x_2^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}).$$

So $u_1 = cq_1, u_2 = cq_2$ for some $c \in k$. But then we have

$$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = \partial_A(cq_1 x_1 + cq_2 x_2) + u_3 \partial_A(x_3) = ct_3^2 x_3^2,$$

which contradicts with the assumption that $\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2$. Hence $u_3 = 0$. So $u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0$. We may choose $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 0$. Then

$$2q_1 u_1 x_1^2 + 2q_2 u_2 x_2^2$$

$$= (4v_1 t_1 + 2q_1 u_1)x_1^2 + (4v_2 t_2 + 2q_2 u_2)x_2^2 + (4v_3 t_3 + 2q_3 u_3)x_3^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A}).$$
Since $B^2(A) = kx_2^3 \oplus k(q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2)$, we get $u_1 = lq_1$, $u_2 = lq_2$ for some $l \in k$. Then 
$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) = l\partial_A(q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2) = lt^2 x_3^2$, and we reach a contradiction with
the assumption that $\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) = \partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2$. Therefore, Case B is also impossible to occur.

If Case C happens, then we have $\partial_A(x_3) = 0$, $\partial_A(q_2 x_2) = t_2^2 x_3^2$, and

$$\partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_1^2 x_1^2 + q_2^2 x_2^2.$$ 

So $B^2(A) = kx_2^3 \oplus kx_3^3$. Since $\partial_A(x_3) = 0$, we may choose $u_3 = 0$ in construction.
We claim that $u_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $u_1 = 0$, then $\partial_A(u_2 x_2) = \partial_A(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3) = q_2 x_1^2$, which contradicts with the assumption that $\partial_A(q_2 x_2) = t_2^2 x_3^2$. So $u_1 \neq 0$. In
the construction, we can choose $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 0$ since $u_1 t_1 x_1^2 + u_2 t_2 x_2^2 + u_3 t_3 x_3^2 = 0$.
Then $(4v_1 t_1 + 2q_1 u_1)x_1^2 + (4v_2 t_2 + 2q_2 u_2)x_2^2 + (4v_3 t_3 + 2q_3 u_3)x_3^2 = 2q_2 u_2 x_2^2$. We may choose

$$w_1 = \frac{2u_2 u_1}{q_2}, w_2 = \frac{2u_2^3}{q_2}, w_3 = 0$$

and $\eta = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3$ such that $\partial_A(\eta) = 2q_2 u_2 x_2^2$. We extend $F_5$ in Case
1.2.3 to a semi-free DG module $F_6$ with $F_6^0 = F_5^0 \oplus A^\# e_6$ and

$$\partial_{F_5^0}(e_6) = (t_3 x_3) e_5 + \sigma e_4 + \lambda e_2 + \eta.$$ 

It is straightforward for one to show that $\partial_{F_5^0}[(t_3 x_3) e_6 + \sigma e_5 + \lambda e_3 + \eta e_1] = 0$. So
$H^1(F_6) \neq 0$. We extend $F_6$ to a semi-free DG module $F_7$ with $F_7^0 = F_6^0 \oplus A^\# e_7$
and $\partial_{F_7^0}(e_7) = (t_3 x_3) e_6 + \sigma e_5 + \lambda e_3 + \eta e_1$. We claim $H^1(F_7) = 0$. Indeed, for any
cocycle element $a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 + a_4 e_4 + a_5 e_5 + a_6 e_6 + a_7 e_7 \in Z^1(F_7)$, we have

$$0 = \partial_{F_7^0}(a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 + a_4 e_4 + a_5 e_5 + a_6 e_6 + a_7 e_7)$$

$$= \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1) e_1 - a_1 t_3 x_3) + \partial_A(a_2) e_2 - a_2 [(t_3 x_3) e_1 + \sigma + \partial_A(a_3) e_3$$

$$- a_3 [(t_3 x_3) e_2 + \sigma e_1] + \partial_A(a_4) e_4 - a_4 [(t_3 x_3) e_3 + \sigma e_2 + \lambda] + \partial_A(a_5) e_5$$

$$- a_5 [(t_3 x_3) e_4 + \sigma e_3 + \lambda e_1 + \partial_A(a_6) e_6 - a_6 [(t_3 x_3) e_5 + \sigma e_4 + \lambda e_2 + \eta]$$

$$+ \partial_A(a_7) e_7 - a_7 [(t_3 x_3) e_6 + \sigma e_5 + \lambda e_3 + \eta e_1]$$

$$= \partial_A(a) e_7 + [\partial_A(a_6) - a_7 (t_3 x_3)] e_6 + [\partial_A(a_5) - a_6 (t_3 x_3)] - a_7 \sigma e_5$$

$$+ [\partial_A(a_4) - a_5 (t_3 x_3) - a_6 \sigma] e_4 + [\partial_A(a_3) - a_5 \sigma - a_4 (t_3 x_3) - a_7 \lambda] e_3$$

$$+ [\partial_A(a_2) - a_3 \sigma - a_3 (t_3 x_3) - a_6 \lambda] e_2 + [\partial_A(a_1) - a_2 (t_3 x_3) - a_3 \sigma - a_5 \lambda] e_1$$

$$+ \partial_A(a) - a_1 (t_3 x_3) - a_2 \sigma - a_4 \lambda - a_6 \eta.$$ 

Then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_A(a_7) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_6) - a_7 (t_3 x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_5) - a_7 \sigma - a_6 (t_3 x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_4) - a_6 \sigma - a_5 (t_3 x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_3) - a_5 \sigma - a_4 (t_3 x_3) - a_7 \lambda = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_2) - a_4 \sigma - a_3 (t_3 x_3) - a_6 \lambda = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_1) - a_3 \sigma - a_2 (t_3 x_3) - a_5 \lambda = 0 \\
\partial_A(a) - a_1 (t_3 x_3) - a_2 \sigma - a_4 \lambda - a_6 \eta = 0. \end{cases}$$
Since $u_1 \neq 0$, we have $u_1^2 x_1^2 + 3u_2^2 x_2^2 \notin B^3(A)$. Then (3) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
a_7 = 0 \\
a_6 = c_6 t_3 x_3 \\
a_5 = c_6 q_2 x_2 + c_5 t_3 x_3 \\
a_4 = c_5 q_2 x_2 + c_4 t_3 x_3 \\
a_3 = c_4 q_2 x_2 + c_6(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) + c_3 t_3 x_3 \\
a_2 = c_5(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) + c_3 q_2 x_2 + c_2 t_3 x_3 \\
a_1 = c_4(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) + c_2 q_2 x_2 + c_6 \eta + c_1 t_3 x_3 \\
a = c_3(u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2) + c_1 q_2 x_2 + c_5 \eta + c_0 t_3 x_3,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6 \in k$.

$$
\begin{align*}
a + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 + a_4 e_4 + a_5 e_5 + a_6 e_6 + a_7 e_7 \\
= \partial_{F_7}(c_0 e_7 + c_3 e_6 + c_4 e_5 + c_3 e_4 + c_2 e_3 + c_1 e_2 + c_0 e_1).
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $H^1(F_7) = 0$. Furthermore, we can show that $F_7$ is the minimal semi-free resolution of $A^k$. Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of $F_7$, the Ext-algebra

$$
E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k, k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_7, k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_7, k)
= k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^* \oplus ke_4^* \oplus ke_5^* \oplus ke_6^* \oplus ke_7^*.
$$

So $E$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

$$
\text{Hom}_A(F_7, F_7)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^* \oplus ke_4^* \oplus ke_5^* \oplus ke_6^* \oplus ke_7^*\} \otimes_k F_7^\#
$$

is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_7, F_7))$. Since $F_7^\#$ is a free graded $A^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_A(F_7, F_7)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_8(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_7, F_7)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{8 \times 8} \in M_8(k)$ with

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
f(1) \\
f(e_1) \\
f(e_2) \\
f(e_3) \\
f(e_4) \\
f(e_5) \\
f(e_6) \\
f(e_7)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4 \\
e_5 \\
e_6 \\
e_7
\end{pmatrix}.$$
And \( f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_7, F_7)] \) if and only if \( \partial_{F_7} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_7} \), if and only if

\[
A_f = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_3x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma & t_3x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma & t_3x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & \sigma & t_3x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & 0 & \sigma & t_3x_3 & 0 & 0 \\
\eta & 0 & \lambda & 0 & \sigma & t_3x_3 & 0 \\
0 & \eta & 0 & \lambda & 0 & \sigma & t_3x_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which is also equivalent to

\[
a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j
\]

\[
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} = a_{66} = a_{77} = a_{88} \\
a_{87} = a_{76} = a_{65} = a_{54} = a_{43} = a_{32} = a_{21}, \\
a_{86} = a_{75} = a_{64} = a_{53} = a_{42} = a_{31}, \\
a_{85} = a_{74} = a_{63} = a_{52} = a_{41}, \\
a_{84} = a_{73} = a_{62} = a_{51}, a_{83} = a_{72} = a_{61}, a_{82} = a_{71}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra

\[
E \cong \begin{pmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c & b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
e & d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
f & e & d & c & b & a & 0 \\
g & f & e & d & c & b & a \\
h & g & f & e & d & c & b & a
\end{pmatrix} \cong k[x]/(x^8).
\]

So \( E \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_A(k, _A(k)) \cong E^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra \( A \) this case is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. For the convenience of future talk, we rename Case C to Case 1.2.4. We can list the following two examples for Case 1.2.4.

**Example 6.5.** The DG algebra \( A_{\text{CD-1}(k)}(M) \) belongs to Case 1.2.4, when \( M \) is either one of the following matrices:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

6.2. Case 1.3.*. By assumption, \( q_1t_1x_1^2 + q_2t_2x_2^2 + q_3t_3x_3^2 \) and \( t_1^2x_1^2 + t_2^2x_2^2 + t_3^2x_3^2 \) constitute a basis of \( B^2(A) \). Let \( \tau = r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + r_3x_3 \in A^1 \) such that \( \partial_A(\tau) = q_1t_1x_1^2 + q_2t_2x_2^2 + q_3t_3x_3^2 \). We label it “Case 1.3.1” when \( \sum_{i=1}^3 (4r_i + q_i) x_i^2 \not\in B^2(A) \).

We extend \( F_2 \) to a semi-free DG module \( F_3 \) with

\[ F_3^\# = F_2^\# \oplus A^\# e_3 \text{ and } \partial_{F_3}(e_3) = (t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_2 + \sigma e_1 + 2\tau. \]
We claim $H^1(F_3) = 0$. Indeed, for any $a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 \in Z^1(F_3)$, we have

$$0 = \partial F_3(a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3)$$

$$= \partial A(a) + \partial A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) + \partial A(a_2)e_2 + \partial A(a_3)e_3$$

$$= \partial A(a) + [\partial A(a_1) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_1$$

$$+ [\partial A(a_2) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_2$$

$$+ [\partial A(a_3) - a_3e_3]e_3$$

$$= \partial A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_3e_3.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases}
\partial A(a_3) = 0 \\
\partial A(a_2) - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial A(a_1) - a_3\sigma - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - 2a_3\tau = 0.
\end{cases}$$

Since $(4r_1t_1 + q_1^2)x_1^2 + (4r_2t_2 + q_2^2)x_2^2 + (4r_3t_3 + q_3^2)x_3^2 \notin B^2(A)$, it is easy to check that (6) implies

$$\begin{align*}
a_3 &= 0 \\
a_2 &= c_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_1 &= c_2(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a &= 2c_2\tau + c_1\sigma + c_0(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3),
\end{align*}$$

for some $c_0, c_1$ and $c_2 \in k$. Then $a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 = \partial A(c_2e_2 + c_1e_2 + c_0e_1)$. Hence $H^1(F_3) = 0$. Furthermore, we can show that $F_3$ is the minimal semi-free resolution of $A_k$. Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of $F_3$, the Ext-algebra

$$E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k, k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_3, k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_3, k) = k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^*.$$

So $E$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

$$\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)^# \cong \{k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^*\} \otimes_k F_3^#$$

is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E = Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3))$. Since $F_3^#$ is a free graded $A^#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_4(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
f(1) \\
f(e_1) \\
f(e_2) \\
f(e_3)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

And $f \in Z^0(\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3))$ if and only if $\partial F_3 \circ f = f \circ \partial F_3$, if and only if

$$A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
2\tau & 2\tau & 2\tau & 2\tau
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i x_i \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma & \sigma
\end{pmatrix} A_f.$$
which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
    a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
    a_{43} &= a_{32} = a_{21}, a_{42} = a_{31}.
\end{align*}
\]
by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra
\[
E \cong \begin{cases}
    \begin{pmatrix}
        a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
        b & a & 0 & 0 \\
        c & b & a & 0 \\
        d & c & b & a
    \end{pmatrix} & | \quad a, b, c, d \in k
\end{cases} \cong k[x]/(x^4).
\]
So \(E\) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \(\text{Tor}_{\mathcal{A}}(k, \mathcal{A}) \cong E^*\) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) in Case 1.3.1 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following examples for Case 1.3.1.

**Example 6.6.** The DG algebra \(\mathcal{A}_{(k^3)}(M)\) belongs to Case 1.3.1, when \(M\) is one of the following matrices:

- (1) \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\)
- (2) \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\)
- (3) \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\).

We label it “Case 1.3.2” when \(\sum_{i=1}^{3} (4r_i t_i + q_i^2) x_i^2 \in B^2(\mathcal{A})\). Then things will be different from Case 1.3.1. We must proceed our process after constructing \(F_3\) in Case 1.3.1. Let \(\lambda = u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3\) such that \(\partial_3(\lambda) = (4r_1 t_1 + q_1^2) x_1^2 + (4r_2 t_2 + q_2^2) x_2^2 + (4r_3 t_3 + q_3^2) x_3^2\).

We extend \(F_3\) in Case 1.3.1 to a semi-free DG module \(F_4\) with \(F_4^\# = F_3^\# \oplus A^\# e_4\) and \(\partial_3(e_4) = (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3) e_3 + \sigma e_2 + \lambda\). In order to get a minimal semi-free resolution of \(k\), we should proceed our construction by extending \(F_4\). For this, we need some analysis first.

**Proposition 6.7.** Let \(M = (m_{ij})_{3 \times 3}\) be a matrix which satisfies the following conditions:

1. \(r(M) = 2, \exists \vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0\) and \(\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0\) such that \(M \vec{s} = 0, M^T \vec{t} = 0,\) and \(s_1 t_1^2 + s_2 t_2^2 + s_3 t_3^2 = 0;\)
2. \(\exists \vec{q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix}\) such that \(M^T \vec{q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \\ t_3^2 \end{pmatrix},\) which is linearly independent from \(\begin{pmatrix} q_1 t_1 \\ q_2 t_2 \\ q_3 t_3 \end{pmatrix};\)
3. \(\exists \vec{r}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix}\) such that \(M^T \vec{r}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 t_1 \\ q_2 t_2 \\ q_3 t_3 \end{pmatrix};\)
4. \(\exists \vec{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix}\) such that \(M^T \vec{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4r_1 t_1 + q_1^2 \\ 4r_2 t_2 + q_2^2 \\ 4r_3 t_3 + q_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.)
Then $M$ belongs to one of the following 3 types:

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \lambda a \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & \lambda c \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & e \\ 0 & a & \lambda a \\ 0 & c & \lambda c \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & \lambda a & 0 \\ c & \lambda c & 0 \\ b & e & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, c, \lambda \in k^\times, e \neq \lambda b$ and $a^2 = \lambda e^2$.

The proof of Proposition 6.7 concerns tedious computations and complicated matrix analysis. To make it more readable, I would like to divide it into some parts. For simplicity, we write

\[
\overrightarrow{t^2} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \\ t_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overrightarrow{q} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \\ t_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overrightarrow{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 4r_1t_1 + q_1^2 \\ 4r_2t_2 + q_2^2 \\ 4r_3t_3 + q_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We show the following lemmas first.

**Lemma 6.8.** Assume that $M = (m_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Proposition 6.7. Then there is at least one zero in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2, t_3\}$.

**Proof.** If the elements in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ are all non-zero, then $s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2 + s_3t_3^2 \neq 0$ since $s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2 + s_3t_3^2 = 0$. Since $M^T \overrightarrow{q} = 0$ and $M^T \overrightarrow{r} = 0$, $M$ can be written by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \\ t_1(a_1 + t_2b_1)t_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \\ t_1(a_1 + t_2b_2)t_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_3 \lambda a_1 + s_3 \lambda a_2 \\ s_3 \lambda b_1 + s_3 \lambda b_2 \\ s_3 \lambda t_1(s_1a_1 + s_2a_2) + t_3(s_1b_1 + s_2b_2) \end{pmatrix}
\]

By $M^T \overrightarrow{q} = \overrightarrow{t^2}$, we have $2 = r(M^T) = r(M^T, \overrightarrow{r})$, which implies

(7) \[ t_3^2 = -\frac{s_1}{s_3}t_1^2 - \frac{s_2}{s_3}t_2^2. \]

Similarly, $M^T \overrightarrow{r} = \overrightarrow{q}$ implies $2 = r(M^T) = r(M^T, \overrightarrow{r})$ and hence

(8) \[ q_3t_3 = \frac{s_1}{s_3}t_1q_1 - \frac{s_2}{s_3}t_2q_2 \iff q_3 = \frac{s_1}{s_3}q_1 - \frac{s_2}{s_3}q_2. \]

Since $\overrightarrow{q}$ and $\overrightarrow{t^2}$ are linearly independent, the vectors \( \begin{pmatrix} q_1t_1 \\ q_2t_2 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \) are linearly independent. Indeed, if \( \begin{pmatrix} q_1t_1 \\ q_2t_2 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \) are linearly dependent, then there exist $\lambda \in k$ such that $\lambda t_1^2 = q_1t_1, \lambda t_2^2 = q_2t_2$, which implies $q_1 = \lambda t_1, q_2 = \lambda t_2$. And hence

\[ q_3 = \frac{s_1}{s_3}t_1q_1 - \frac{s_2}{s_3}t_2q_2 = -\lambda s_1t_1^2 - \lambda s_2t_2^2 = s_3t_3 \lambda t_3. \]

But then $\overrightarrow{q} = \lambda \overrightarrow{t^2}$ and $\overrightarrow{t^2}$ are linearly dependent. We reach a contradiction. So the vectors \( \begin{pmatrix} q_1t_1 \\ q_2t_2 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \) are linearly independent and hence $q_1t_2 \neq q_2t_1$.

On the other hand, $M^T \overrightarrow{q} = \overrightarrow{t^2}$ implies

(9) \[ a_1q_1 + b_1q_2 + \frac{(t_1a_1 + t_2b_1)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)}{t_3s_3t_3} = t_1^2 \]

\[ a_1q_1 + b_1q_2 + \frac{(t_1a_1 + t_2b_1)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)}{t_3s_3t_3} = t_1^2 \]
Similarly, (9) is equivalent to

\[ a_2q_1 + b_2q_2 + \frac{(t_1a_2 + t_2b_2)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)}{t_3s_3t_3} = t_2^2, \]

which are respectively equivalent to

\[ (a_1q_1 + b_1q_2)s_3t_3^2t_2^2 + (t_1a_1 + t_2b_1)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)t_2^2 = t_2^2s_3^2t_3^2 \]

and

\[ (a_2q_1 + b_2q_2)s_3t_3^2t_1^2 + (t_1a_2 + t_2b_2)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)t_1^2 = t_2^2s_3^2t_1^2. \]

Then

\[ (a_1q_1t_2^3 + b_1q_2t_2^3 - a_2q_1t_2^3 - b_2q_2t_2^3)(s_1t_1^3 + s_2t_2^3) \]

\[ = (s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2)(t_1t_2^2a_1 + t_1^2b_1 - t_1^3a_2 - t_1^2t_2b_2) \]

\[ \Rightarrow s_1b_1q_1t_1^2t_2^2 - s_1b_2q_2t_1^2t_2^2 - s_2a_1q_1t_1^2t_2^2 \]

\[ = s_1b_1q_1t_1^2t_2 - s_1b_2q_2t_1^2t_2 + s_2a_1q_1t_1^3t_2 - s_2a_2q_2t_1^3t_2 \]

\[ \Rightarrow q_2t_1[b_1s_1t_1t_2^2 - b_2s_1t_1^3 - a_1s_2t_2^2 + a_2s_2t_1^2t_2] \]

\[ = q_2t_2[b_1s_1t_1t_2^2 - a_1s_2t_2^3 + a_2s_2t_1^2t_2 - b_2s_2t_1^3]. \]

If \( b_1s_1t_1t_2^2 - a_1s_2t_2^3 + a_2s_2t_1^2t_2 - b_2s_2t_1^3 \neq 0 \), then we get \( q_1t_2 = q_2t_1 \), which contradicts with \( q_1t_2 \neq q_2t_1 \).

If \( b_1s_1t_1t_2^2 - a_1s_2t_2^3 + a_2s_2t_1^2t_2 - b_2s_2t_1^3 = 0 \), then

\[ (11) \quad s_1t_1b_2 - s_2t_2a_2 = (s_1t_1b_1 - s_2t_2a_1)\frac{t_2^2}{t_1^2} \]

and we can show as follows that (9) and (10) are equivalent. Indeed, (10) is equivalent to

\[ (a_2q_1 + b_2q_2)(-s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2) + (t_1a_2 + t_2b_2)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2) = t_2^2 \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow -a_2q_1s_2t_2^2 - b_2q_2s_2t_1t_2 + b_2q_1s_1t_2 = t_2^2 \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow \frac{(q_1t_2 - q_2t_1)(s_1t_1b_2 - s_2t_2a_2)}{s_3t_3^2} = t_2^2 \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow \frac{(q_1t_2 - q_2t_1)(s_1t_1b_1 - s_2t_2a_1)t_1^2}{s_3t_3^2} = t_2^2 \]

Similarly, (9) is equivalent to

\[ (a_1q_1 + b_1q_2)(-s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2) + (t_1a_1 + t_2b_1)(s_1t_1q_1 + s_2t_2q_2) = t_1^2 \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow -a_1q_1s_2t_2^2 - b_1q_2s_2t_1t_2 + b_1q_1s_1t_2 = t_1^2 \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow \frac{(q_1t_2 - q_2t_1)(s_1t_1b_1 - s_2t_2a_1)}{s_3t_3^2} = t_1^2. \]
So (10) and (11) are equivalent. Then \( r \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_1 a_1 + t_2 b_1}{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2} \\ \frac{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2}{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2} \end{pmatrix} = 1 \) and hence
\[
r(M^T) = r \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2}{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2} \\ \frac{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2}{t_1 a_1 t_2 b_2} \end{pmatrix} = 1,
\]
which contradicts with \( r(M) = 2 \).

Then we reach a conclusion that there are at least one zero in \( \{ s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2, t_3 \} \).

**Lemma 6.9.** Assume that \( M = (m_{ij})_{3 \times 3} \) satisfies all the conditions in Proposition 6.7. Then there is at least one zero in \( \{ t_1, t_2, t_3 \} \). Furthermore, there are exactly one zero in \( \{ t_1, t_2, t_3 \} \).

**Proof.** We will give a proof of the first part of the statement by contradiction. Assume that each \( t_i \) is nonzero. Then there exists at least one zero in \( \{ s_1, s_2, s_3 \} \) by Lemma 6.8. Furthermore, there is exactly one zero \( s_i \) since \( s_1 t_1^2 + s_2 t_2^2 + s_3 t_3^2 = 0 \). Without the loss of generality, we assume \( s_1 = 0 \). Then \( s_2 t_2^2 + s_3 t_3^2 = 0 \), and \( s_2, s_3 \in k^\times \). By \( M s = 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} m_{12} s_2 + m_{13} s_3 = 0 \\
m_{22} s_2 + m_{23} s_3 = 0 \\
m_{32} s_2 + m_{33} s_3 = 0
\end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow
\begin{pmatrix} m_{13} \\
m_{23} \\
m_{33}
\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{s_2}{s_3}
\begin{pmatrix} m_{12} \\
m_{22} \\
m_{32}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Moreover, \( M^T t = 0 \) and \( r(M) = 2 \) imply that \( M \) can be written by
\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 t_2 b_1 \\ s_2 t_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } r \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2.
\]
By \( M^T \gamma = qt \), we have \( r(M^T) = r(M^T, qt) \), which implies \( \frac{t_1}{s_2} s_2 t_2 = q_3 t_3 \) or equivalently \( q_2 = \frac{-s_3 q_1 t_1}{s_2 t_2} \). Substitute it into \( M^T \gamma = t^2 \). We have
\[
(12) \quad a_1 q_1 = \frac{s_3 t_3 b_1 q_3}{s_2 t_2} = \frac{a_1 t_1 q_3 + b_1 t_2 q_3}{t_3} = t_1^2
\]
and
\[
(13) \quad a_2 q_1 = \frac{s_3 t_3 b_2 q_3}{s_2 t_2} = \frac{a_2 t_1 q_3 + b_2 t_2 q_3}{t_3} = t_2^2.
\]
By computations, (12) and (13) are respectively equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
& a_1 q_1 s_2 t_2 t_3 - b_1 q_3 s_3 t_2^2 - s_2 t_2 (a_1 t_1 q_3 + b_1 t_2 q_3) = s_2 t_1^2 t_2 t_3 \\
\Leftrightarrow & a_1 q_1 s_2 t_2 t_3 - b_1 q_3 s_3 t_2^2 - a_1 q_3 s_2 t_1 t_2 - b_1 q_3 s_2 t_2^2 = s_2 t_1^2 t_2 t_3 \\
\Leftrightarrow & a_1 q_1 t_3 - a_1 q_3 t_1 = t_1^2 t_3 \Leftrightarrow a_1 (q_1 t_3 - q_3 t_1) = t_1^2 t_3
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
& a_2 q_1 s_2 t_2 t_3 - s_3 t_3^2 b_2 q_3 - (a_2 t_1 q_3 + b_2 t_2 q_3) s_2 t_2 = s_2 t_1^3 t_2 t_3 \\
\Leftrightarrow & a_2 q_1 s_2 t_2 t_3 - b_2 q_3 s_3 t_3^2 - a_2 q_3 s_2 t_1 t_2 - b_2 q_3 s_2 t_2^2 = s_2 t_1^3 t_2 t_3 \\
\Leftrightarrow & a_2 q_1 t_3 - a_2 q_3 t_1 = t_2^2 t_3 \Leftrightarrow a_2 (q_1 t_3 - q_3 t_1) = t_2^2 t_3.
\end{align*}
\]
Since each $t_i$ is non-zero, we have $a_1, a_2$ and $q_1t_3 - q_3t_1$ are all non-zeros. Hence $a_2 = \frac{t_2}{t_1}a_1$. Then

$$M^T = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{t_2}{t_1}a_1 & b_1 & \frac{a_1t_1 + b_2t_2}{t_1} \\
\frac{t_2}{t_1}a_2 & b_2 & \frac{a_2t_1 + b_3t_2}{t_1} \\
\frac{t_2}{t_1}a_3 & -s_3b_3 & \frac{s_3b_2t_1 + t_2}{t_1} - ta_1
\end{array} \right).$$

Since $s_2t_2^2 = -s_3t_3^2$ and $s_3q_2t_2 = -s_3q_3t_3$, we have

$$s_2q_2t_2^2 = s_2q_3t_3^2 = s_3q_1t_3^2 = s_3q_2s_3t_2^2 = s_3q_3s_3t_3^2,$$

which implies $s_2q_2^2 = -s_3q_3^2$. On the other hand, $r(M^T) = r(M^T, 4rt + q^2)$ since $M^T \vec{u} = 4rt + q^2$. Then we have

$$-\frac{s_2}{s_3}(4r_2t_2 + q_2^2) = 4r_3t_3 + q_3^2 \iff -4s_2r_2t_2 - s_2q_2^2 = 4s_3r_3t_3 + s_3q_3^2 \iff r_2 = \frac{-s_3r_3t_3}{s_2t_2^2}.$$

Substitute it into $M^Tr = qt$. We get

$$a_1r_1 - b_1\frac{s_3r_3t_3}{s_2t_2} = \frac{a_1t_1 + b_1t_2}{t_3}r_3 = qt_1 \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{t_2}{t_1}a_1r_1 - b_2\frac{s_3r_3t_3}{s_2t_2} = \frac{a_1t_2^2 + b_2t_1t_2}{t_1t_3}r_3 = qt_2 \quad (15).$$

By computations, (14) and (15) are respectively equivalent to

$$a_1s_2r_1t_2t_3 - b_1s_3r_3t_3^2 = (a_1t_1 + b_1t_2)s_2r_2t_2t_3 = q_1s_2t_1t_2t_3 \iff a_1s_2r_1t_2t_3 - b_1r_3(s_3t_3^2 + s_2t_2^2) - a_1r_3s_2t_1t_2 = q_1s_2t_1t_2t_3 \iff a_1r_1t_3 - a_1r_3t_1 = qt_1t_3 \iff qt_2 = a_1r_1t_3 - a_1r_3t_1 \frac{t_1t_3}{t_1t_3}$$

and

$$a_1s_2^2t_2^2t_3 - b_2s_3r_3t_3^2t_3^2 = (a_1t_2^2 + b_2t_1t_2)s_2t_1t_2r_3 = q_2s_2^2t_2^2t_3 \iff a_1r_1s_2^2t_2^2t_3 - b_2r_3(s_3t_3^2 + s_2t_2^2) - a_1s_2r_1t_1t_2^2 = q_2s_2^2t_2^2t_3 \iff a_1r_1t_3 - a_1r_3t_1 = qt_1t_3 \iff qt_2 = a_1r_1t_3 - a_1r_3t_1 \frac{t_1t_3}{t_1t_3}.$$
Since $Ms = 0$ and $r(M) = 2$, we have $s_1 = -us_2, s_2 = 0$. Then $s_1t_1^2 + s_2t_2^2 = 0$, and $s_1, s_2 \in k^\times$ since $u \neq 0$. Since $M^T \vec{q} = \vec{t}^2$ and $\vec{q} \neq 0$, we have $r(M^T) = r(M^T, \vec{t}^2)$, which implies $t_2^2 = ut_1^2$. Similarly, $M^T \vec{r} = \vec{q}t$ implies $r(M^T) = r(M^T, \vec{q}t)$, and hence $q_2t_2 = uq_1t_1$. We claim $t_3 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $t_3 = 0$, then $\vec{q}t = \begin{pmatrix} q_1t_1 \\ uq_1t_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and $\vec{t}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ ut_1^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly dependent, which contradicts with the assumption.

Since $t_2^2 = ut_1^2$, we have $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ or $t_1 \neq 0, t_2 \neq 0$. However, both cases contradict with the statement of Lemma 6.9. Then we complete our proof. \qed

**Lemma 6.11.** Assume that $M = \langle m_{ij} \rangle_{3 \times 3}$ satisfies all the conditions in Proposition 6.7. Then there is at least one zero in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Furthermore, there are exactly two zeros in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$.

**Proof.** Assume that each $s_i$ is non-zero. It suffices to reach a contradiction. By Lemma 6.9, there is exactly one zero in $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$. Without the loss of generality, we let $t_1 = 0$ and $t_2, t_3 \in k^\times$. Then $M$ can be written as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & s_1b_1 + s_2b_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 & s_2b_2 + s_3b_3 \\ \frac{b_1b_2}{s_3} & \frac{b_2b_2}{s_3} & \frac{b_2s_2b_2}{s_3^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Since $M^T \vec{q} = \vec{t}^2$ and $M^T \vec{r} = \vec{q}t$, we have $r(M^T, \vec{t}^2) = r(M^T)$ and $r(M^T, \vec{q}t) = r(M^T)$ respectively. Then we get $t_2^2 = -\frac{a_1}{a_2}t_1^2$ and $q_3t_3 = -\frac{a_2}{s_3}q_2t_2$. This implies that $\vec{q}t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q_2t_2 \\ -\frac{a_2}{s_3}q_2t_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{t}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_2}t_1^2 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly dependent. This contradicts the condition (2) in Proposition 6.7. So there is at least one zero in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Since $r(M) = 2$ and $M\vec{s} = 0$, we can conclude that there are exactly two zeros in $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. \qed

Now, let us come to the proof of Proposition 6.7.

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 6.11, one sees that $M$ admits one zero column. More precisely, $s_i \neq 0$ if and only if the $i$-th column of $M$ is zero. Without the loss of generality, we may let $s_2 \neq 0$. Then we can write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We have $\vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ 0 \\ t_3 \end{pmatrix}$, with $t_1, t_3 \in k^\times$. Since $M^T \vec{r} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} at_1 + ct_3 = 0 \\ dt_1 + ft_3 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies $\begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 \\ d & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \iff af = cd.$
There is at least one non-zero element in \{a, f, c, d\}. Otherwise, \(r(M) = 1\). On the other hand, \(t_1\) and \(t_3\) are both non-zeros. Hence (10) implies that

\[
\begin{cases}
a = 0, c = 0 \\
d \neq 0, f \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a \neq 0, c \neq 0 \\
d = 0, f = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a \neq 0, c \neq 0 \\
d \neq 0, f \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

If \(a = 0, c = 0\), then \(M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}\). We have \(b \neq 0\) since \(r(M) = 2\).

We can take \(\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \\ -d \end{pmatrix}\), \(\vec{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d - \frac{a^2}{e}}{f} \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{d} \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(\vec{q}^t = \begin{pmatrix} f d - \frac{a^2}{e} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\).

Since \(\vec{q}^t \) and \(\vec{t}^2 \) are linearly independent, we have \(d^2 b \neq f^2 e\). By \(M^T \vec{q}^t = \vec{q}^t\), we can take \(\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 f^2 - d^2 e f}{d^2 a^2 - d^2 e c} \\ \frac{a^2 b - b a c}{f^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(4 r t + q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2 f - ab e}{f^2} \\ \frac{a^2 c - c b e}{f^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\) and hence

\[3 = r(M^T, 4 rt + q^2) \neq r(M^T) = 2,\]
which contradicts with \(M^T \vec{q}^t = 4 rt + q^2\). So this case is impossible to occur.

If \(a \neq 0, c \neq 0\), then \(M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\). We have \(e \neq 0\) since \(r(M) = 2\).

We can take \(\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 0 \\ -a \end{pmatrix}\), \(\vec{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 - b c}{a^2} \\ \frac{a^2 - b a c}{e} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(\vec{q}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 - b c}{a^2} \\ \frac{a^2 - b a c}{e} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\).

Since \(\vec{q}^t \) and \(\vec{t}^2 \) are linearly independent, we have \(a^2 b \neq c^2 e\). By \(M^T \vec{r} = \vec{q}^t\), we can take \(\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 a^2 - b c a}{c^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(4 r t + q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2 f - ab e}{c^2} \\ \frac{a^2 c - c b e}{c^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\) and hence

\[3 = r(M^T, 4 rt + q^2) \neq r(M^T) = 2,\]
which contradicts with \(M^T \vec{u}^t = 4 rt + q^2\). So this case is impossible to occur.

Now, let\(\text{s consider the cases } \begin{cases} a \neq 0, c \neq 0 \\
d \neq 0, f \neq 0. \end{cases}\]

Since \(a f = c d\), there exists \(\lambda \in k\times\)
such that \(d = \lambda a, f = \lambda c\). We have \(M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \lambda a \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & \lambda c \end{pmatrix}\) with \(e \neq \lambda b\) since \(r(M) = 2\). By computations, we can choose

\[\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 0 \\ -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 f^2 - d^2 e f}{c^2 a^2 - d^2 e c} \\ \frac{a^2 b - b a c}{e^2} \\ \frac{a^2 c - c b e}{e^2 - \lambda b} \end{pmatrix}.)\]
Then \( q^l = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2e-a^2bc}{e\lambda - \alpha \lambda b} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Since \( q^l \) and \( t^2 \) are linearly independent, we have

\[ a^2b \neq c^2e. \]

By \( M^T q^l = q^l \), we can choose \( q^r = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2e-a^2bc}{a(e-\alpha \lambda b)^2} \\ \alpha \lambda (c-a^2e) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Then

\[ -4rt + q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(5a^2e-a^2b)(c^2e-a^2b)}{a^2-\alpha \lambda b^2} \\ \frac{(a^2-\alpha \lambda b^2)}{\lambda^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Since \( M^T q^l = 4rt + q^2 \), we have \( r(M^T, 4rt + q^2) = r(M^T) = 2 \), which implies \( a^2 = \lambda c^2 \). Note that one get \( a^2b \neq c^2e \) when \( \lambda b \neq e \) and \( a^2 = \lambda c^2 \). Therefore,

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \lambda a \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & \lambda c \end{pmatrix} \]

with \( a, c, \lambda \in k^\times \), \( e \neq \lambda b \) and \( a^2 = \lambda c^2 \).

Conversely, if \( M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \lambda a \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & \lambda c \end{pmatrix} \) with \( a, c, \lambda \in k^\times \), \( e \neq \lambda b \) and \( a^2 = \lambda c^2 \), then it is straightforward to show that \( M \) satisfies the conditions (1),(2),(3),(4) in Proposition 6.7 and \( s_2 \neq 0 \).

Similarly, \( M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & e \\ 0 & a & \lambda a \\ 0 & c & \lambda c \end{pmatrix} \) (resp. \( M = \begin{pmatrix} a & \lambda a & 0 \\ c & \lambda c & 0 \\ b & e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \)) with \( a, c, \lambda \in k^\times \), \( e \neq \lambda b \) if and only if \( M \) satisfies the conditions (1),(2),(3),(4) in Proposition 6.7 and \( s_1 \neq 0 \) (resp. \( s_3 \neq 0 \)).

**Proposition 6.12.** Assume that \( M = (m_{ij})_{3 \times 3} \) satisfies all the conditions in Proposition 6.7. Then there is \( \overrightarrow{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \) such that

\[ M^T \overrightarrow{v} = ut + 2r^q = \begin{pmatrix} u_1t_1 + 2r_1q_1 \\ u_2t_2 + 2r_2q_2 \\ u_3t_3 + 2r_3q_3 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Furthermore,

\[ r(M^T, 4vt + 2uq + 4r^2) = 3 \neq r(M^T) = 2, \]

where

\[ 4vt + 2uq + 4r^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4v_1t_1 + 2u_1q_1 + 4r_1^2 \\ 4v_2t_2 + 2u_2q_2 + 4r_2^2 \\ 4v_3t_3 + 2u_3q_3 + 4r_3^2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

**Proof.** By Proposition 6.7 \( M \) belongs to three different types. Since the proofs for them are similar, we only need to give a detailed proof for the first case. Let

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \lambda a \\ b & 0 & e \\ c & 0 & \lambda c \end{pmatrix} \]

with \( a, c, \lambda \in k^\times \), \( e \neq \lambda b \) and \( a^2 = \lambda c^2 \). By the proof of
Proposition 6.7, we can choose
\[
\vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 0 \\ -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2 + a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{c^2 + a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{c} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{c} \\ \frac{2}{c} \\ \frac{2}{c} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence
\[
\frac{4r + q^2}{4r + q^2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

By $M^T \vec{u} = 4r + q^2$, we can choose \( \vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Then we have
\[
\vec{u} + 2rq = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 t_1 + 2r_1 q_1 \\ u_2 t_2 + 2r_2 q_2 \\ u_3 t_3 + 2r_3 q_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence $r(M^T, \vec{u} + 2rq) = r(M^T) = 2$ and there exists \( \vec{v} \) such that $M^T \vec{v} = \vec{u} + 2rq$. More precisely, we can choose \( \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Then
\[
\frac{4v + 2aq + 4r^2}{4v + 2aq + 4r^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 4v_1 t_1 + 2u_1 q_1 + 4r_1^2 \\ 4v_2 t_2 + 2u_2 q_2 + 4r_2^2 \\ 4v_3 t_3 + 2u_3 q_3 + 4r_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ \frac{5c^2}{a^2 + a^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have $r(M^T, 4v + 2aq + 4r^2) = 3 \neq r(M^T).$ \[\square\]

By Proposition 6.12, \( (u_1 t_1 + 2r_1 q_1)x_1^2 + (u_2 t_2 + 2r_2 q_2)x_2^2 + (u_3 t_3 + 2r_3 q_3)x_3^2 \in B^2(A) \). There exists \( \omega = v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2 + v_3 x_3 \) such that \( \partial_A(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (u_i t_i + 2r_i q_i)x_i^2 \).

It is straightforward for one to see that
\[
(t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_4 + \sigma e_3 + 2\tau e_2 + \lambda e_1 + 2\omega \in Z^1(F_3).
\]

We extent $F_3$ to a semi-free DG module $F_5$ with $F_5^\# = F_5^\# \oplus A^\# e_5$ and \( \partial_{F_5}(e_5) = (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3)e_4 + \sigma e_3 + 2\tau e_2 + \lambda e_1 + 2\omega \). One sees that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} (4v_i t_i + 2u_i q_i + 4r_i^2)x_i^2 \notin B^2(A).
\]
We claim $H^1(F_5) = 0$. Indeed, for any $a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5 \in Z^1(F_5)$, we have

$$0 = \partial_A(a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5) = \partial_A(a) + \partial_A(a_1)e_1 - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) + \partial_A(a_2)e_2$$
$$- a_2[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_1 + \sigma] + \partial_A(a_3)e_3$$
$$- a_3[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_2 + \sigma e_1 + 2\tau] + \partial_A(a_4)e_4$$
$$- a_4[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_3 + \sigma e_2 + 2\tau e_1 + \lambda] + \partial_A(a_5)e_5$$
$$- a_5[(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)e_4 + \sigma e_3 + 2\tau e_2 + \lambda e_1 + 2\omega] = \partial_A(a_5)e_5 + [\partial_A(a_4) - a_5(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_4$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_3) - a_5\sigma - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_3$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_2) - 2a_5\tau - a_4\sigma - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)]e_2$$
$$+ [\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_3\sigma - 2a_4\tau - a_5\lambda]e_1$$
$$+ \partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - 2a_3\tau - a_4\lambda - 2a_5\omega.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_A(a_5) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_4) - a_5(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_3) = a_5\sigma - a_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_2) - 2a_5\tau - a_4\sigma - a_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a_1) - a_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) = 0 \\
\partial_A(a) - a_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) - a_2\sigma - 2a_3\tau - a_4\lambda = 0 \tag{17}
\end{cases}$$

Since $(4v_1t_1 + 2q_1u_1)x_1^2 + (4v_2t_2 + 2q_2u_2)x_2^2 + (4v_3t_3 + 2q_3u_3)x_3^3 \notin B^2A$, (17) implies

$$\begin{cases}
a_5 = 0 \\
a_4 = c_4(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_3 = c_4(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_3(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_2 = c_3(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_2(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a_1 = c_2(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_1(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + c_1(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3) \\
a = c_1(q_1x_1 + q_2x_2 + q_3x_3) + c_0(u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3) + 2c_4(v_1x_1 + v_2x_2 + v_3x_3) + c_0(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3)
\end{cases}$$

for some $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in k$. Then

$$a + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5 = \partial_A(c_4e_5 + c_3e_4 + c_2e_3 + c_1e_2 + c_0e_1).$$

Hence $H^1(F_5) = 0$. Furthermore, we can show that $F_5$ is the minimal semi-free resolution of $A/k$. Since the proof is routine, straightforward and tedious, we omit the proof here. By the minimality of $F_5$, the Ext-algebra

$$E = H(R\text{Hom}_A(k,k)) = H(\text{Hom}_A(F_5,k)) = \text{Hom}_A(F_5,k)$$
$$= k1^* \oplus ke_1^* \oplus ke_2^* \oplus ke_3^* \oplus ke_4^* \oplus ke_5^*.$$
matrixes in $M_6(k)$. Indeed, any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{6 \times 6} \in M_6(k)$ with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  f(1) \\
  f(e_1) \\
  f(e_2) \\
  f(e_3) \\
  f(e_4) \\
  f(e_5)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot 
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 \\
  e_1 \\
  e_2 \\
  e_3 \\
  e_4 \\
  e_5
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
And $f \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_3, F_3)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_3} \circ f = f \circ \partial_{F_3}$, if and only if
\[
A_f = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \lambda & 2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0 & 0 \\
  2\omega & \lambda & 2\tau & \sigma & \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i x_i & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
  a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} = a_{66} \\
  a_{65} &= a_{54} = a_{43} = a_{32} = a_{21}, \\
  a_{64} &= a_{53} = a_{42} = a_{31}, \\
  a_{63} &= a_{52} = a_{41}, a_{62} = a_{51}
\end{align*}
\]
by direct computations. Hence the the Ext-algebra
\[
E \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
  a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  c & b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
  e & d & c & b & a & 0 \\
  f & e & d & c & b & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f \in k \right\} \cong k[x]/(x^6).
\]
So $E$ is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that $\text{Tor}_A(k_A, k) \cong E^*$ is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM Theorem 4.2], the DG algebra $A$ in Case 1.3.2 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. We can list the following examples for Case 1.3.2. We can list the following examples for Case 1.3.2.
Example 6.13. The DG algebra \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \) belongs to Case 1.3.2, when \( M \) is one of the following matrixes:

\[
(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
(2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
(3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
(4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now, we have proved that each \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \) of Case 1 is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

7. CASE 2, CASE 3 AND CASE 4

In this section, we study homological properties of \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \) for case 2, case 3 and case 4. By Theorem 5.6 we have the following lemmas on its isomorphism classes.

Lemma 7.1. Let \( M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1 m_{11} & l_1 m_{12} & l_1 m_{13} \\ l_2 m_{11} & l_2 m_{12} & l_2 m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, (m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0, m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}, l_1 = l_2 = 0. \) Then

1. \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{12} + E_{13}) \) if \( M_{12} \neq 0 \) and \( M_{13} \neq 0; \)
2. \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{12}) \) if \( M_{13} = 0, M_{12} \neq 0 \) or \( M_{12} \neq 0, M_{13} = 0. \)

Proof. (1) By the assumption, we have \( m_{11} = 0 \) and \( M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \) Let \( C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{12}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{13}}} \end{pmatrix}. \) Then \( C \in GL_3(k), \) and

\[
\chi(M, C) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{12}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{13}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{12} + E_{13}.
\]

By Theorem 5.6 \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{12} + E_{13}). \)

(2) If \( m_{12} \neq 0 \) and \( m_{13} = 0, \) then \( M = m_{12}E_{12}. \) Let \( C' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{12}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \) Then

\[
\chi(M, C') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{12}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{12}.
If $m_{12} = 0$ and $m_{13} \neq 0$, then $M = m_{13}E_{13}$. Let $C'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{13}}} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$
\chi(M, C'') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{13}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13}} \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{13}.
$$

On the other hand, let $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\chi(E_{12}, Q) = E_{13}$ and so $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{12}) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{13})$ by Theorem 3.6.

Lemma 7.2. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1m_{11} & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ l_2m_{11} & l_2m_{12} & l_2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}$, $(m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0$, $m_{12}l_1^2 + m_{13}l_2^2 = m_{11}$, $l_1 \neq 0$ and $l_2 = 0$. Then

1. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23})$ if $m_{12} \neq 0$ and $m_{13} \neq 0$;
2. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{13} + E_{23})$ if $m_{12} = 0$ and $m_{13} \neq 0$;
3. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22})$ if $m_{12} \neq 0$ and $m_{13} = 0$.

Proof. (1)By the assumption, $m_{11} = m_{12}l_1^2$ and $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{12}l_1^2 & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{12}l_1^2 & l_1m_{12} & l_1m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12}l_1^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{12}l_1^2m_{13}}} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$
\chi(M, C) = C^{-1}M(c_7^2)
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{12}l_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{m_{12}l_1^2m_{13}} \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23}.
$$

So $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23}$ by Theorem 3.6.
Thus $A_{l_1} = \frac{1}{l_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{l_1 m_{13}} \end{pmatrix}$.

By the assumption, $m_{11} = m_{12} l_1^2 = 0$ and $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & l_1 m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $C' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{l_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{l_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{l_1 m_{13}} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\chi(M, C') = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{l_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & l_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{l_1 m_{13}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & l_1 m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{l_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{l_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{l_1 m_{13}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{13} + E_{23}.$$  

Thus $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{13} + E_{23})$ by Theorem 5.6.

(3) By assumptions, $m_{11} = m_{12} l_1^2 \neq 0$ and $m_{13} = 0$.

Let $C'' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\chi(M, C'') = \begin{pmatrix} m_{12} l_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{12} l_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{12} l_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{12} l_1^2 & m_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & l_1 m_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{12} l_1^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22}.$$  

Therefore, $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22})$ by Theorem 5.6.

By a similar proof, we can show the following proposition.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ l_1 m_{11} & l_1 m_{12} & l_1 m_{13} \\ l_2 m_{11} & l_2 m_{12} & l_2 m_{13} \end{pmatrix}$, $(m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}) \neq 0$, $m_{12} l_1^2 + m_{13} l_2^2 = m_{11}$, $l_1 = 0$ and $l_2 \neq 0$.

Then

1. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33})$ if $m_{12} \neq 0$ and $m_{13} \neq 0$;
2. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{31} + E_{33})$ if $m_{12} = 0$ and $m_{13} \neq 0$;
3. $A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(M) \cong A_{\mathcal{O}_{-1}(k^3)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{31} + E_{32})$ if $m_{12} \neq 0$ and $m_{13} = 0$.  


Proof. (1) By the assumption, \( m_{11} = m_{13} l_2^2 \) and \( M = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{13} l_2^2 & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{13} l_2^2 & l_2 m_{12} & l_2 m_{13} \end{array} \right) \).

Let \( C = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} \end{array} \right) \). Then

\[
\chi(M, C) = C^{-1} M(c_2^2) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{13} l_2^2 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} \end{array} \right) = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}.
\]

So \( \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(M) \cong \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}) \) by Theorem 3.6.

(2) By the assumption, \( m_{11} = m_{13} l_2^2 \) and \( M = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{13} l_2^2 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{13} l_2^2 & 0 & m_{13} l_2 \end{array} \right) \). Let

\[
C' = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2} \end{array} \right).
\]

Then

\[
\chi(M, C') = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{13} l_2^2 & 0 & m_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{13} l_2} \end{array} \right) = E_{11} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{33}.
\]

Thus \( \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(M) \cong \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(E_{13} + E_{33}) \) by Theorem 3.6.

(3) By assumptions, \( m_{11} = m_{13} l_2^2 = 0, m_{13} = 0 \) and \( M = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 m_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & l_2 m_{12} \end{array} \right) \). Let

\[
C'' = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & l_2 m_{12} \end{array} \right).
\]

Then

\[
\chi(M, C'') = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} m_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & l_2 m_{12} \end{array} \right) = E_{12} + E_{32}.
\]

Therefore, \( \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(M) \cong \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(E_{12} + E_{32}) \) by Theorem 3.6.

\[\square\]

Lemma 7.4. We have \( \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(M) \cong \mathcal{A}_{O_{-1}(k^2)}(N) \) if \( M \) and \( N \) belong to the following cases:

1. \( M = E_{12} + E_{32}, N = E_{13} + E_{23}; \)
Remark 7.5. By Theorem 3.6, we finish the proof. □

(2) \( M = E_{11} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{33} \), \( N = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22} \);
(3) \( M = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23} \), \( N = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33} \).

Proof. (1) Let \( C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Then

\[
\chi(E_{12} + E_{32}, C) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{13} + E_{23},
\]

\[
\chi(E_{11} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{33}, C) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22}
\]

and

\[
\chi(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}, C) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23}.
\]

By Theorem 3.6, we finish the proof.

Remark 7.5. By Lemma 7.4, Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.3, and Lemma 7.4, it remains to study the homological properties of \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k^n)}}(M) \) when \( M \) belongs to one of the following six specific matrices:

\[
M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Proposition 7.6. For any \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \), the connected cochain DG algebra \( A_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k^n)}}(M_i) \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

Proof. For brevity, we denote \( A_i = A_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k^n)}}(M_i) \), \( i = 1, 2, \cdots , 6 \). We will prove one by one that each \( A_i \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

1) We have \( \partial_{A_i}(x_1) = x_1^2 + x_2^2, \partial_{A_i}(x_2) = \partial_{A_i}(x_3) = 0 \). According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MW1 Proposition 2.4], we get a minimal semi-free resolution \( f_i : F_1 \to k \), where \( F_1 \) is a semi-free DG \( A_i \)-module such that

\[
F_i^0 = A_i \oplus A_i e_1 \oplus A_i e_2 \oplus A_i e_3 \oplus A_i e_4 \oplus A_i e_5 \oplus A_i e_6 \oplus A_i e_7,
\]
with a differential $\partial_{F}$ defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{F_{1}}(1) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{1}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{2}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{3}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{4}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{5}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{6}) \\
\partial_{F_{1}}(e_{7})
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{3} & x_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{1} & 0 & x_{3} & x_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & 0 & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4} \\
e_{5} \\
e_{6} \\
e_{7}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let
\[
D_{1} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{3} & x_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{1} & 0 & x_{3} & x_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & 0 & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By the minimality of $F_{1}$, we have
\[
H(\text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, k)
\]
\[
= k^{1*} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7} k(e_{i})^{*}.
\]

So the Ext-algebra $E_{1} = H(\text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, F_{1}))$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,
\[
\text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, F_{1})^{\#} \cong \{k^{1*} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7} k(e_{i})^{*}\} \otimes_{k} F_{1}^{\#}
\]
is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E_{1} = Z^{0}(\text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, F_{1}))$. Since $F_{1}^{\#}$ is a free graded $A_{1}^{\#}$-module with a basis $\{1, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, F_{1})^{0}$ is one to one correspondence with the matrixes in $M_{8}(k)$. Indeed, any $f_{1} \in \text{Hom}_{A_{1}}(F_{1}, F_{1})^{0}$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_{f_{1}} = (a_{ij})_{8 \times 8} \in M_{8}(k)$ with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
f_{1}(1) \\
f_{1}(e_{1}) \\
f_{1}(e_{2}) \\
f_{1}(e_{3}) \\
f_{1}(e_{4}) \\
f_{1}(e_{5}) \\
f_{1}(e_{6}) \\
f_{1}(e_{7})
\end{pmatrix} = A_{f_{1}} \cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4} \\
e_{5} \\
e_{6} \\
e_{7}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
And \( f_1 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_A(F_1, F_1)] \) if and only if \( \partial F_1 \circ f_1 = f_1 \circ \partial F_1 \), if and only if \( A_f D_1 = D_1 A_{f_1} \), which is also equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
  a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} = a_{66} = a_{77} = a_{88} \\
  a_{21} &= a_{43} = a_{52} = a_{64} = a_{75} = a_{86} \\
  a_{31} &= a_{42} = a_{53} = a_{65} = a_{74} = a_{87} \\
  a_{41} &= a_{62} = a_{73} = a_{85} \\
  a_{51} &= a_{63} = a_{72} = a_{84} \\
  a_{61} &= a_{82}, a_{71} = a_{83} \\
  a_{62} &= a_{54} = a_{76} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the algebra

\[
E_1 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
  a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  c & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  e & b & c & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  f & d & e & b & c & a & 0 & 0 \\
  g & e & d & c & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
  h & f & g & e & d & b & c & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in k \right\} = \mathcal{E}_1.
\]

Set

\[
\begin{align*}
  \xi_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{8} E_{ii}, \\
  \xi_2 &= E_{21} + E_{43} + E_{52} + E_{64} + E_{75} + E_{86}, \\
  \xi_3 &= E_{31} + E_{42} + E_{53} + E_{65} + E_{74} + E_{87}, \\
  \xi_4 &= E_{41} + E_{62} + E_{73} + E_{85}, \\
  \xi_5 &= E_{51} + E_{63} + E_{72} + E_{84}, \\
  \xi_6 &= E_{61} + E_{82}, \\
  \xi_7 &= E_{71} + E_{83}, \\
  \xi_8 &= E_{81}.
\end{align*}
\]

One sees that \( \{\xi_i \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, 8\} \) is a \( k \)-linear basis of \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
  &\xi_i \xi_i = \xi_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, 8; \\
  &\xi_i^2 = \xi_5, \xi_2 \xi_3 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = \xi_4, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = \xi_6, \xi_2 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_2 = \xi_7, \\
  &\xi_2 \xi_6 = \xi_6 \xi_2 = \xi_8, \xi_2 \xi_7 = \xi_7 \xi_2 = 0, \xi_2 \xi_8 = \xi_8 \xi_2 = 0; \\
  &\xi_i^2 = \xi_5, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_3 = \xi_7, \xi_3 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_3 = \xi_6, \\
  &\xi_3 \xi_6 = \xi_6 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_3 \xi_7 = \xi_7 \xi_3 = \xi_8, \xi_3 \xi_8 = \xi_8 \xi_3 = 0; \\
  &\xi_5 \xi_3 = \xi_5 \xi_4 = \xi_8, \xi_5^2 = 0, \xi_5 \xi_1 = \xi_1 \xi_5 = 0, \forall i \in \{6, 7, 8\}; \\
  &\xi_i \xi_j = \xi_j, \xi_j = 0, \forall i, j \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that the map

\[
\varepsilon_1 : \mathcal{E}_1 \to \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_1, k)
\]
defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow \xi_8^* \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \xi_6^* \\
\xi_3 &\rightarrow \xi_7^* \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \xi_5^* \\
\xi_5 &\rightarrow \xi_4^* \\
\xi_6 &\rightarrow \xi_2^* \\
\xi_7 &\rightarrow \xi_3^* \\
\xi_8 &\rightarrow \xi_1^*. 
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism of left \( E_1 \)-modules. Thus \( E_1 \) is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Actually, the morphism \( \theta_1 : E_1 \rightarrow k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^2, x^4) \) of \( k \)-algebras defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow 1 \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \bar{x} \\
\xi_3 &\rightarrow \bar{y} \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \bar{x}\bar{y} \\
\xi_5 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^2 \\
\xi_6 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^2\bar{y} \\
\xi_7 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^3 \\
\xi_8 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^3\bar{y}.
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism. Hence \( E_1 \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_{A_1}(k_{A_1}, A_1 k) \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], \( A_1 \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

(2) We have \( \partial_{A_2}(x_1) = x_2^2, \partial_{A_2}(x_2) = \partial_{A_2}(x_3) = 0 \). According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MW1, Proposition 2.4], we get a minimal semi-free resolution \( f_2 : F_2 \rightarrow k \), where \( F_2 \) is a semi-free DG \( A_2 \)-module such that

\[ F_2^\# = A_2 \oplus A_2 e_1 \oplus A_2 e_2 \oplus A_2 e_3 \oplus A_2 e_4, \]

with a differential \( \partial F_2 \) defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_{F_2}(1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\partial_{F_2}(e_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\partial_{F_2}(e_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\partial_{F_2}(e_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\partial_{F_2}(e_4) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{align*} \]

Let

\[ D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

By the minimality of \( F_2 \), we have

\[ H(\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, k) = k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} k(e_i)^*. \]
So the Ext-algebra \( E_2 = H(\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)) \) is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

\[
\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} k(e_i)^*\} \otimes_k F_2^\#
\]

is concentrated in degree \( \geq 0 \). This implies that \( E_2 = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)) \). Since \( F_2^\# \) is a free graded \( A_2^\# \)-module with a basis \( \{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \) concentrated in degree 0, the elements in \( \text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)^0 \) is one to one correspondence with the matrices in \( M_5(k) \). Indeed, any \( f_2 \in \text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)^0 \) is uniquely determined by a matrix \( A_{f_2} = (a_{ij})_{5 \times 5} \in M_5(k) \) with

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 f_2(1) \\
f_2(e_1) \\
f_2(e_2) \\
f_2(e_3) \\
f_2(e_4)
\end{pmatrix}
= A_{f_2} \cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
 1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

And \( f_2 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_2, F_2)] \) if and only if \( \partial_{F_2} \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ \partial_{F_2} \), if and only if \( A_{f_2}D_2 = D_2A_{f_2} \), which is also equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} \\
a_{21} &= a_{42} = a_{54} \\
a_{32} &= a_{43} = a_{53} = 0 \\
a_{41} &= a_{52}
\end{align*}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the algebra

\[
E_2 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
 a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\
d & b & 0 & a & 0 \\
e & d & 0 & b & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e \in k \right\} = \mathcal{E}_2.
\]

Set

\[
\xi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} E_{ii}, \\
\xi_2 = E_{21} + E_{42} + E_{54}, \\
\xi_3 = E_{31}, \\
\xi_4 = E_{41} + E_{52}, \\
\xi_5 = E_{51}.
\]

One sees that \( \{\xi_i | i = 1, 2, \cdots, 5\} \) is a \( k \)-linear basis of \( \mathcal{E}_2 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_i \xi_i &= \xi_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, 5; \\
\xi_i^2 &= \xi_4, \xi_2 \xi_3 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = 0, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = \xi_5, \xi_2 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_2 = 0, \\
\xi_i^3 &= 0, \xi_3 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_3 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_3 = 0, \\
\xi_i^4 &= 0, \xi_4 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_4 = 0, \xi_5^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that the map

\[
\varepsilon_2 : \mathcal{E}_2 \to \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_2, k)
\]
defined by
\[\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow \xi_5^* \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \xi_4 \\
\varepsilon_2 : &\quad \xi_3 \rightarrow \xi_3^* \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \xi_2 \\
\xi_5 &\rightarrow \xi_1^*.
\end{align*}\]
is an isomorphism of left \(E_2\)-modules. Thus \(E_2\) is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Actually, the morphism \(\theta_2 : E_2 \rightarrow k[x,y]/(x^3, xy, y^2)\) of \(k\)-algebras defined by
\[\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow 1 \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \bar{x} \\
\xi_3 &\rightarrow \bar{y} \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^2 \\
\xi_5 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^3.
\end{align*}\]
is an isomorphism. Hence \(E_2\) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that Tor\(_{E} (k, A_3, k) \cong E_2^1\) is an isomorphism of \(k\)-algebras. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], \(A_2\) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

(3) We have \(\partial_{A_3}(x_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i^2 = \partial_{A_3}(x_2), \partial_{A_3}(x_3) = 0\). According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MW1, Proposition 2.4], we get a minimal semi-free resolution \(f_3 : F_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} k\), where \(F_3\) is a semi-free DG \(A_3\)-module such that
\[F_3^\# = A_3 \oplus A_3 e_1 \oplus A_3 e_2 \oplus A_2 e_3,\]
with a differential \(\partial_{F_3}\) defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{F_3}(1) \\
\partial_{F_3}(e_1) \\
\partial_{F_3}(e_2) \\
\partial_{F_3}(e_3)
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
x_1 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_1 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_2 \\
x_1 & x_1 - x_2 & x_3 & 0 & e_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Let
\[D_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 & x_1 - x_2 & x_3 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
By the minimality of \(F_3\), we have
\[H(\text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, k)
= k^{1*} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*].\]
So the Ext-algebra \(E_3 = H(\text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, F_3))\) is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,
\[\text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, F_3)^\# \cong \{k^{1*} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*]\} \otimes_k F_3^\#
\]
is concentrated in degree \(\geq 0\). This implies that \(E_3 = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{A_2}(F_3, F_3))\). Since \(F_3^\#\) is a free graded \(A_3^\#\)-module with a basis \(\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}\) concentrated in degree 0, the elements in \(\text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, F_3)^0\) is one to one correspondence with the matrixes
in $M_4(k)$. Indeed, any $f_3 \in \text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, F_3)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_{f_3} = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
f_2(1) \\
f_2(e_1) \\
f_2(e_2) \\
f_2(e_3)
\end{pmatrix} = A_{f_3} \cdot 
\begin{pmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

And $f_3 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_{A_3}(F_3, F_3)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_3} \circ f_3 = f_3 \circ \partial_{F_3}$, if and only if $A_{f_3}D_3 = D_3A_{f_3}$, which is also equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
a_{21} &= a_{42} \\
a_{32} &= 0 \\
a_{43} &= a_{31}
\end{align*}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the algebra

\[
E_3 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & b & c & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in k \right\} = \mathcal{E}_3.
\]

Set

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_{ii}, \\
\xi_2 &= E_{21} + E_{42}, \\
\xi_3 &= E_{31} + E_{43}, \\
\xi_4 &= E_{41}.
\end{align*}
\]

One sees that \{\xi_i | i = 1, 2, \cdots, 4\} is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathcal{E}_3$ and

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 = \xi_1 \xi_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, 4; \\
\xi_2^2 = \xi_2 \xi_3 = \xi_3 \xi_2 = 0, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = 0, \\
\xi_3^2 = \xi_4 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_3^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that the map

\[
\varepsilon_3 : \mathcal{E}_3 \to \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_3, k)
\]

defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\to \xi_1^* \\
\xi_2 &\to \xi_2^* \\
\xi_3 &\to \xi_3^* \\
\xi_4 &\to \xi_4^*.
\end{align*}
\]

is an isomorphism of left $\mathcal{E}_3$-modules. Thus $\mathcal{E}_3$ is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Actually, the morphism $\theta_3 : \mathcal{E}_3 \to k[x, y]/(x^3, xy, y^3, x^2 - y^2)$ of $k$-algebras defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\to 1 \\
\xi_2 &\to \hat{x} \\
\xi_3 &\to \hat{y} \\
\xi_4 &\to \hat{x}^2
\end{align*}
\]

is an isomorphism of left $\mathcal{E}_3$-modules. Thus $\mathcal{E}_3$ is a commutative Frobenius algebra.
is an isomorphism. Hence $E_3$ is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that $\text{Tor}_{A_4}(k, A_4, k) \cong E_3^*$ is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM] Theorem 4.2, $A_3$ is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

(4) We have $\partial_{A_4}(x_1) = x_2^2 = \partial_{A_4}(x_3), \partial_{A_4}(x_2) = 0$. According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MWB] Proposition 2.4, we get a minimal semi-free resolution $f_4 : F_4 \xrightarrow{\sim} k$, where $F_4$ is a semi-free DG $A_4$-module such that

$$F_4^\# = A_4 \oplus A_4e_1 \oplus A_4e_2 \oplus A_4e_3 \oplus A_4e_4,$$

with a differential $\partial_{F_4}$ defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{F_4}(1) \\
\partial_{F_4}(e_1) \\
\partial_{F_4}(e_2) \\
\partial_{F_4}(e_3) \\
\partial_{F_4}(e_4)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_1 \\
x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_2 \\
x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & e_3 \\
0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let \[ D_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}. \]

By the minimality of $F_4$, we have

$$H(\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, k) = k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} k(e_i)^*].$$

So the Ext-algebra $E_4 = H(\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4))$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,

$$\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} k(e_i)^*\} \otimes_k F_4^\#$$

is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E_4 = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4))$. Since $F_4^\#$ is a free graded $A_4^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrixes in $M_5(k)$. Indeed, any $f_4 \in \text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{5 \times 5} \in M_5(k)$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix}
f_4(1) \\
f_4(e_1) \\
f_4(e_2) \\
f_4(e_3) \\
f_4(e_4)
\end{pmatrix} = A_f \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4
\end{pmatrix}. $$

And $f_4 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_{A_4}(F_4, F_4)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_4} \circ f_4 = f_4 \circ \partial_{F_4}$, if and only if $A_f D_4 = D_4 A_f$, which is also equivalent to

$$\begin{align*}
a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} = a_{55} \\
a_{21} &= a_{42} = a_{54} \\
a_{32} &= a_{43} = a_{53} = 0 \\
a_{41} &= a_{52} \\
\end{align*}$$
by direct computations. Hence the algebra

\[ E_4 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & b & 0 & a \\ e & d & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e \in k \right\} = \mathcal{E}_4. \]

Set

\[ \xi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} E_{5i}, \]
\[ \xi_2 = E_{21} + E_{42} + E_{54}, \]
\[ \xi_3 = E_{31}, \]
\[ \xi_4 = E_{41} + E_{52}, \]
\[ \xi_5 = E_{51}. \]

One sees that \( \{ \xi_i | i = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \} \) is a \( k \)-linear basis of \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 & = \xi_1 \xi_1, \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, 5; \\
\xi_2^2 & = \xi_4, \xi_2 \xi_3 = \xi_3 \xi_2 = 0, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = \xi_5, \xi_2 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_2 = 0, \\
\xi_3^2 & = 0, \xi_3 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_3 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_3 = 0, \\
\xi_4^2 & = 0, \xi_4 \xi_5 = \xi_5 \xi_4 = 0, \xi_5^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that the map

\[ \varepsilon_2 : \mathcal{E}_4 \to \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_2, k) \]

defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 & \to \xi_5^* \\
\xi_2 & \to \xi_4^* \\
\varepsilon_2 : & \quad \xi_3 \to \xi_3^* \\
\xi_4 & \to \xi_2^* \\
\xi_5 & \to \xi_1^*.
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism of left \( \mathcal{E}_4 \)-modules. Thus \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) is a commutative Frobenius algebra.

Actually, the morphism \( \theta_2 : \mathcal{E}_4 \to k[x, y]/(x^4, xy, y^2) \) of \( k \)-algebras defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 & \to 1 \\
\xi_2 & \to \bar{x} \\
\theta_2 : & \quad \xi_3 \to \bar{y} \\
\xi_4 & \to \bar{x}^2 \\
\xi_5 & \to \bar{x}^3.
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism. Hence \( E_4 \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_{1}(k, A_4, k) \cong E_4^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], \( A_4 \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

{(5)We have \( \partial_{A_4}(x_1) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \partial_{A_5}(x_2) = \partial_{A_5}(x_3) = 0 \). According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MW1, Proposition 2.4], we get a minimal semi-free resolution \( f_5 : F_5 \xrightarrow{\sim} k \), where \( F_5 \) is a semi-free DG \( A_5 \)-module such that

\[ F_5^\# = A_5 \oplus A_5e_1 \oplus A_5e_2 \oplus A_5e_3, \]

where \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \in F_5 \) are generators.
with a differential $\partial_{F_5}$ defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{F_5}(1) \\
\partial_{F_5}(e_1) \\
\partial_{F_5}(e_2) \\
\partial_{F_5}(e_3)
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 - x_2 & x_3 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let
\[
D_5 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 - x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 - x_2 & x_3 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By the minimality of $F_5$, we have
\[
H(\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, k)
= k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*.
\]

So the Ext-algebra $E_5 = H(\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5))$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,
\[
\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*\} \otimes_k F_5^\#
\]
is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E_5 = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5))$. Since $F_5^\#$ is a free graded $A_5^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_4(k)$. Indeed, any $f_5 \in \text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_{f_5} = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
f_2(1) \\
f_2(e_1) \\
f_2(e_2) \\
f_2(e_3)
\end{pmatrix} = A_{f_5} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

And $f_5 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_{A_5}(F_5, F_5)]$ if and only if $\partial_{F_5} \circ f_5 = f_5 \circ \partial_{F_5}$, if and only if $A_{f_5}D_5 = D_5A_{f_5}$, which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{cases}
a_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
a_{21} = a_{43} \\
a_{32} = 0 \\
a_{31} = a_{42}
\end{cases}
\]

by direct computations. Hence the algebra
\[
E_5 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & a & 0 & 0 \\
c & 0 & a & 0 \\
d & c & b & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in k \right\} = E_5.
\]
Set
\[ \xi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_{ii}, \]
\[ \xi_2 = E_{21} + E_{43}, \]
\[ \xi_3 = E_{31} + E_{42}, \]
\[ \xi_4 = E_{41}. \]

One sees that \( \{ \xi_i | i = 1, 2, \cdots, 4 \} \) is a \( k \)-linear basis of \( \mathcal{E}_5 \) and
\[
\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= \xi_1, \\
\xi_2 &= E_{21} + E_{43}, \\
\xi_3 &= E_{31} + E_{42}, \\
\xi_4 &= E_{41}.
\end{aligned}
\]

It is easy to check that the map
\[ \varepsilon_5 : \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_5, k) \]
defined by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &\mapsto \xi_1, \\
\xi_2 &\mapsto \xi_2, \\
\xi_3 &\mapsto \xi_3, \\
\xi_4 &\mapsto \xi_4.
\end{aligned}
\]
is an isomorphism of left \( \mathcal{E}_5 \)-modules. Thus \( \mathcal{E}_5 \) is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Actually, the morphism \( \theta_5 : \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow k[x]/(x^4) \) of \( k \)-algebras defined by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &\mapsto 1, \\
\xi_2 &\mapsto \bar{x}, \\
\xi_3 &\mapsto \bar{x}^2, \\
\xi_4 &\mapsto \bar{x}^3.
\end{aligned}
\]
is an isomorphism. Hence \( E_5 \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_{A_6}(k, A_6, k) \cong E_5^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM Theorem 4.2], \( A_5 \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra.

(6) We have \( \partial_{A_6}(x_1) = x_1^2 + x_3^2 = \partial_{A_6}(x_2), \partial_{A_6}(x_3) = 0 \). According to the constructing procedure of the minimal semi-free resolution in [MWT Proposition 2.4], we get a minimal semi-free resolution \( F_6 : F_6 \rightarrow k \), where \( F_6 \) is a semi-free DG \( A_6 \)-module such that
\[ F_6^\# = A_6 \oplus A_6 e_1 \oplus A_6 e_2 \oplus A_6 e_3, \]
with a differential \( \partial_{F_6} \) defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{F_6}(1) \\
\partial_{F_6} (e_1) \\
\partial_{F_6} (e_2) \\
\partial_{F_6} (e_3)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 - x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 - x_3 & x_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let
\[
D_6 =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 - x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_1 - x_3 & x_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
By the minimality of $F_6$, we have
\[ H(\text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, k)) = \text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, k) \]
\[ = k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*. \]
So the Ext-algebra $E_6 = H(\text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6))$ is concentrated in degree 0. On the other hand,
\[ \text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6)^\# \cong \{k1^* \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} k(e_i)^*\} \otimes_k F_6^\# \]
is concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. This implies that $E_6 = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6))$. Since $F_6^\#$ is a free graded $A_6^\#$-module with a basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ concentrated in degree 0, the elements in $\text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6)^0$ is one to one correspondence with the matrices in $M_4(k)$. Indeed, any $f_6 \in \text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6)^0$ is uniquely determined by a matrix $A_{f_6} = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4} \in M_4(k)$ with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  f_2(1) \\
  f_2(e_1) \\
  f_2(e_2) \\
  f_2(e_3)
\end{pmatrix}
= A_{f_6}
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 \\
  e_1 \\
  e_2 \\
  e_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
And $f_6 \in Z^0[\text{Hom}_{A_6}(F_6, F_6)]$ if and only if $\partial F_6 \circ f_6 = f_6 \circ \partial F_6$, if and only if $A_{f_6}D_6 = D_6A_{f_6}$, which is also equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
a_{ij} &= 0, \forall i < j \\
a_{11} &= a_{22} = a_{33} = a_{44} \\
a_{21} &= a_{43} \\
a_{32} &= 0 \\
a_{31} &= a_{42}
\end{align*}
\]
by direct computations. Hence the algebra
\[ E_6 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
  a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  b & a & 0 & 0 \\
  c & 0 & a & 0 \\
  d & c & b & a
\end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in k \right\} = \mathcal{E}_6. \]
Set
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_{ii}, \\
\xi_2 &= E_{21} + E_{43}, \\
\xi_3 &= E_{41} + E_{42}, \\
\xi_4 &= E_{41}.
\end{align*}
\]
One sees that $\{\xi_i | i = 1, 2, \cdots, 4\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathcal{E}_6$ and
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_i \xi_j &= \xi_i \xi_j, \forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, 4; \\
\xi_2^2 &= 0, \xi_2 \xi_3 = \xi_3 \xi_2 = \xi_4, \xi_2 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_2 = 0, \\
\xi_3^2 &= 0, \xi_3 \xi_4 = \xi_4 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_4^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
It is easy to check that the map
\[ \varepsilon_6 : \mathcal{E}_6 \to \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{E}_6, k) \]
defined by

\[ \varepsilon_5 : \begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow \xi_1^* \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \xi_2^* \\
\xi_3 &\rightarrow \xi_3^* \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \xi_4^* .
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism of left \( \mathcal{E}_6 \)-modules. Thus \( \mathcal{E}_5 \) is a commutative Frobenius algebra. Actually, the morphism \( \theta_5 : \mathcal{E}_6 \rightarrow k[x]/(x^4) \) of \( k \)-algebras defined by

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 &\rightarrow 1 \\
\xi_2 &\rightarrow \bar{x} \\
\xi_3 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^2 \\
\xi_4 &\rightarrow \bar{x}^3 .
\end{align*} \]

is an isomorphism. Hence \( \mathcal{E}_6 \) is a symmetric Frobenius algebra concentrated in degree 0. This implies that \( \text{Tor}_A(k_A, A_k) \cong E_6^* \) is a symmetric coalgebra. By [HM, Theorem 4.2], \( A_6 \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra. □

Now, we can reach the following conclusion: \( A_{O_{-1}(k^n)}(M) \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau DG algebra, for any \( M \in M_3(k) \). We finish this section with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 7.7.** For any \( M \in M_n(k) \), \( A_{O_{-1}(k^n)}(M) \) is a Koszul Calabi-Yau connected cochain DG algebra.
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