

GLOBAL LIPSCHITZ STABILITY FOR INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR RADIATIVE TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

MANABU MACHIDA ¹ AND MASAHIRO YAMAMOTO ^{2,3,4}

ABSTRACT. We consider inverse problems of determining coefficients or time independent factors of source terms in radiative transport equations by means of Carleman estimate. We establish global Lipschitz stability results with an additional condition which requires some strict positivity for initial value or given factor of source, but we need not any extra conditions on domains of velocities, which is the main achievement of this article compared with the existing work by Machida and Yamamoto (*Inverse Problems* **30** 035010, 2014). The proof relies on a Carleman estimate with a piecewise linear weight function according to the partition of the velocity domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$ with C^1 -boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let V be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \notin \bar{V}$, where \bar{V} is the closure of V . We use symbols $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nabla = {}^t(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})$. Moreover, $v \cdot v'$ denotes the scalar product of vectors v, v' . Let $u(x, v, t)$ be the solution to the following radiative transport equation.

$$P_0 u + \sigma(x, v)u - \int_V k(x, v, v')u(x, v', t) dv' = F(x, v, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, v, 0) = a(x, v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, v, t) = g(x, v, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, T), \quad (1.3)$$

where F is a source term and

$$P_0 u(x, v, t) = \partial_t u(x, v, t) + v \cdot \nabla u(x, v, t).$$

Let $\nu(x)$ be the outward unit vector normal to $\partial\Omega$ at $x \in \partial\Omega$. We define Γ_+ and Γ_- by

$$\Gamma_{\pm} = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times V; (\pm \nu(x) \cdot v) > 0\}.$$

¹ INSTITUTE FOR MEDICAL PHOTONICS RESEARCH, HAMAMATSU UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, HAMAMATSU, SHIZUOKA 431-3192, JAPAN

² GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO 153, JAPAN

³ HONORARY MEMBER OF ACADEMY OF ROMANIAN SCIENTISTS, SPLAIUL INDEPENDENTEI STREET, NO 54, 050094 BUCHAREST ROMANIA

⁴ PEOPLES' FRIENDSHIP UNIVERSITY OF RUSSIA (RUDN UNIVERSITY) 6 MIKLUKHO-MAKLAYA ST, MOSCOW, 117198, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

E-mail addresses: machida@hama-med.ac.jp, myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

The coefficients are assumed as follows.

$$\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V), \quad k \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V).$$

In this article, we will consider the inverse problem of determining σ and a time independent factor of the source term $F(x, v, t)$ by u on Γ_+ , $0 < t < T$, assuming that k is known.

For an arbitrary fixed constant $M > 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u \in X; \|u\|_X + \|\nabla u\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega \times V))} \leq M\},$$

where

$$X = H^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times V)) \cap H^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times V)).$$

Here, H^1, H^2 denote usual Sobolev spaces over the specified domains. A solution which satisfies (1.1) - (1.3) can be obtained in \mathcal{U} due to the regularity and compatibility conditions of the initial value a and the boundary value g . As for the direct problems, see Bardos [7], Douglis [16], Prilepko and Ivankov [30], and Ukai [32].

The study of inverse transport problems has started in radiative transfer by Bellman, Kagiwada, Kalaba and Ueno [11] and neutron transport by Case [14]. As for application aspects of related inverse problems, see Arridge [1] and Arridge and Schotland [2].

For the mathematical analysis for inverse problems for radiative transport equations, we can have two main methodologies by (i) albedo operators and (ii) Carleman estimate. Limited to the non-stationary case and not aiming at any comprehensive literature, we refer to works below.

First, the albedo operator can be interpreted as a mapping from boundary input on some subboundary to boundary data of the solution on other part of the boundary. As for the approach by the albedo operator, we first refer to a review article by Bal [3]. Moreover, the uniqueness was studied by Choulli and Stefanov [15] and Stefanov [31]. In general, one can prove the stability of Hölder type. See Bal and Jollivet [4, 5, 6]. This approach does not require strong assumptions such as nonzero initial values, but measurements have to be performed infinitely many times for obtaining the uniqueness and the stability.

Second, as for the approach by Carleman estimate, we refer to Bukhgeim and Klivanov [10] and Klivanov [22, 23] as pioneering works, which apply Carleman estimates to inverse problems for second-order partial differential equations such as hyperbolic equations. Such an approach yields the uniqueness and the stability for inverse problems for partial differential equations with a single measurement. Moreover, as for the inverse problems by Carleman estimates, one can consult Beilina and Klivanov [8], Bellassoued and Yamamoto [9], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [19, 20], Isakov [21], Klivanov [24], Klivanov and Timonov [27], and Yamamoto [33].

With the Carleman-estimate technique for the radiative transport equations, Klivanov and Pamyatnykh [26] proved the Lipschitz stability in determining σ provided that $a(x, v) := u(x, v, 0) \neq 0$ for all $(x, v) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{V}$ and

$$(a(x, v)\sigma(x, v))^2 = (a(x, -v)\sigma(x, -v))^2 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ and } v \in V \quad (1.4)$$

in the case of $V = \{v; |v| = 1\}$. The extra condition (1.4) is required because in [26], the extension of u to the time interval $(-T, T)$ is necessary for the Carleman estimate, and (1.4) is essential for the regularity of the extension. The condition (1.4) is concerned also with unknown σ , and so restrictive. See also Klivanov and

Pamyatnykh [25] and Klibanov and Yamamoto [28] as for related problems on a radiative transport equation.

After [26], we refer to Machida and Yamamoto [29]: it established a Carleman estimate with a linear weight function which is different from [26] and the global Lipschitz stability for the inverse problems without any extension of the solution u to $(-T, T)$. In particular, any extra conditions for $\sigma(x, v)$ such as (1.4) are not required. However, it must be assumed in [29] that V is a sectional domain, which means that v is confined in narrow directions. More precisely, $v \in V$ must satisfy

$$(\gamma \cdot v) > 0 \quad \text{for an arbitrary fixed } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.5)$$

The main purpose of this article is to remove (1.5) and improve [29]. More precisely, we prove the global Lipschitz stability results for the inverse problems with any bounded domain V with $0 \notin \overline{V}$, not necessarily satisfying (1.5).

In this article, the weight function for the Carleman estimate is linear in x, t , similar to [29], but the main difference is that we make choices of the weight according to suitably partitioned subdomains of V for deleting (1.5), so that the weight function can be understood as piecewise linear function in v .

As for similar inverse problems for transport equations with $k \equiv 0$ in (1.1), we refer to Gaitan-Ouzzane [17]. Moreover one can consult Cannarsa, Floridia, Gölgeleyen and Yamamoto [12], Cannarsa, Floridia and Yamamoto [13] and Gölgeleyen and Yamamoto [18], where a linear weight function is used.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. We state our main results in Section 2. The inverse coefficient problem reduces to an inverse source problem. Section 3 is devoted to the introduction of coupled radiative transport equations. In Section 4, we prove our key Carleman estimate. The energy estimate for the coupled radiative transport equations is established in Section 5. The proof for the main theorem is given in Section 6. Finally, we give concluding remarks in Section 7.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let us choose $\tilde{V} = \{v_0 < |v| < v_1\}$ with sufficiently small v_0 and large v_1 such that $V \subset \tilde{V}$. Then we take the zero extension for $\sigma(x, v)$ in v and $k(x, v, v')$ in (v, v') , i.e., $\sigma = 0$ for $v \in \tilde{V} \setminus \overline{V}$, and $k = 0$ if $v \in \tilde{V} \setminus \overline{V}$ or $v' \in \tilde{V} \setminus \overline{V}$. Moreover we set $a = g = 0$ for $v \in \tilde{V} \setminus \overline{V}$. Then we can replace V in (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) with \tilde{V} . The integral term can be further expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv' &= \int_{\tilde{V}} k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv' \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{V_j} k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv', \end{aligned}$$

where m subdomains V_j ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$) are set as follows. We note that in spherical coordinates $v \in \tilde{V}$ is specified by $(r, \theta, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-2})$, where $v_0 < r < v_1$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, $0 \leq \phi_i \leq \pi$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-2$) ((r, θ) in the case of $n = 2$). We define subdomains V_j ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$) as

$$V_j = \left\{ v \in \tilde{V}; v_0 < r < v_1, \frac{2\pi(l_0 - 1)}{L_0} \leq \theta < \frac{2\pi l_0}{L_0}, \right.$$

$$\frac{\pi(l_i - 1)}{L_i} \leq \phi_i < \frac{\pi l_i}{L_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $l_0 = 1, \dots, L_0$, $l_i = 1, \dots, L_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-2$), $m = L_0 L_1 \dots L_{n-2}$ and

$$j = l_0 + (l_1 - 1)L_0 + (l_2 - 1)L_0 L_1 + \dots + (l_{n-2} - 1)L_0 \dots L_{n-3}.$$

Let $\gamma_j \in V_j$ be arbitrarily chosen vectors ($j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$). We take sufficiently large m such that if $v \in V_j$, then $\gamma_j \cdot v \geq \kappa$ for an arbitrary fixed constant $\kappa > 0$. That is, we have

$$\min_{v \in V_j} (\gamma_j \cdot v) > 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u^i(x, v, t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be solutions to the radiative transport equation for σ^i, a^i , i.e.,*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla + \sigma^i(x, v)) u(x, v, t) - \int_V k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv' = 0, \\ \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V, 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, v, 0) = a^i(x, v), \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V, \\ u(x, v, t) = g(x, v, t), \quad (x, v) \in \Gamma_-, 0 < t < T. \end{cases}$$

Let $u^i \in \mathcal{U}$ and assume $\|\sigma^i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)}, \|k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)} \leq M$. Suppose that T is large enough to satisfy

$$T > \frac{\max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} (\gamma_j \cdot x) - \min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} (\gamma_j \cdot x)}{\min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \min_{v \in \overline{V_j}} (\gamma_j \cdot v)}. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume that there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$ such that

$$a^1(x, v) \geq a_0 \quad \text{or} \quad a^2(x, v) \geq a_0, \quad \text{a.e. in } (x, v) \in \Omega \times V. \quad (2.3)$$

Then there exists a constant $C = C(M, a_0) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^1 - \sigma^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} &\leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu(x) \cdot v) |\partial_t(u^1 - u^2)(x, v, t)|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C (\|a^1 - a^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a^1 - \nabla a^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu(x) \cdot v) |\partial_t(u^1 - u^2)(x, v, t)|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|\sigma^1 - \sigma^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|a^1 - a^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a^1 - \nabla a^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have $C(M, a_0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$ or $a_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Thus we have removed extra conditions (1.4) and (1.5) to prove the global Lipschitz stability for the inverse coefficient problem. Condition (2.2) means that the critical length T of the time interval depends on the partition of V .

Corollary 2.2. *If we assume $a^1 = a^2$ in $\Omega \times V$, then we have the following both-sided estimate.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu(x) \cdot v) |\partial_t(u^1 - u^2)(x, v, t)|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\sigma^1 - \sigma^2\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu(x) \cdot v) |\partial_t(u^1 - u^2)(x, v, t)|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the choice of the norm for the boundary data $\Gamma_+ \times (0, T)$ is the best possible for our inverse problem.

Remark 2.3. Positive initial values in (2.3) can be set up by a combination of some control procedure. More precisely, let us assume that Ω is strictly convex. Suppose σ^2 is known and we consider the radiative transport equation for σ^2 during the time interval $(-T_0, T)$ with some $T_0 > 0$. We extend g such that g belongs to some weighted L^2 -space in $\Gamma_- \times (-T_0, 0)$. The value $u^2(x, v, -T_0)$ may be either zero or nonzero. By the exact controllability result [28], we can have $u^2(x, v, 0) = a^2(x, v) \geq a_0$, a.e. in $(x, v) \in \Omega \times V$, by adjusting the boundary value g for sufficiently large $T_0 > 0$.

In the case of optical tomography [1, 2], the boundary value g is the incident laser beam of near-infrared light. We can prepare positive initial values by turning on the laser at $t = -T_0$ before starting to detect the out-going light on the surface of biological tissue at $t = 0$.

Remark 2.4. It is also possible to determine the scattering coefficient σ_s if we write k as $k(x, v, v') = \sigma_s(x, v)p(x, v, v')$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. We refer the reader to [29].

Next we state the second main result for an inverse source problem. We consider the following radiative transport equation with an internal source term $F(x, v, t)$.

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla + \sigma(x, v)) u(x, v, t) - \int_V k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv' = F(x, v, t), \\ \quad \quad \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V, 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, v, 0) = a(x, v), \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V, \\ u(x, v, t) = g(x, v, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Let us assume that $F(x, v, t)$ has the following form.

$$F(x, v, t) = f(x, v)R(x, v, t),$$

By subtraction for the equations in Theorem 2.1, we obtain (2.4) with

$$u(x, v, t) = u^1(x, v, t) - u^2(x, v, t), \quad \sigma(x, v) = \sigma^1(x, v), \quad (2.5)$$

$$a(x, v) = a^1(x, v) - a^2(x, v), \quad g(x, v, t) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$f(x, v) = \sigma^1(x, v) - \sigma^2(x, v), \quad R(x, v, t) = -u^2(x, v, t). \quad (2.7)$$

The following global Lipschitz stability is obtained for the inverse source problem for (2.4).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $u(x, v, t)$ be the solution to (2.4). Suppose $u \in \mathcal{U}$. We assume that $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)}, \|k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)} \leq M$. Suppose that T satisfies (2.2). We assume $R, \partial_t R \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times V))$. For an arbitrary fixed constant $a_0 > 0$, we further assume that $R(x, v, 0) > a_0$ almost all $(x, v) \in \Omega \times V$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(M, a_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_V (\nu \cdot v) |\partial_t u|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} + C (\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)})$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_V (\nu \cdot v) |\partial_t u|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\Omega \times V)$. If $g = 0$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu \cdot v) |\partial_t u|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} + C (\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (\nu \cdot v) |\partial_t u|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} \quad (2.9)$$

for any $f \in L^2(\Omega \times V)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is reduced to Theorem 2.5 by substituting (2.5) - (2.7) in (2.8) and (2.9). Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 2.5. The proof of Theorem 2.5 relies on Lemma 4.1, which is a Carleman estimate proved in Section 4.

3. COUPLED RADIATIVE TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

We recall (2.1). Then we can construct mappings $\mathcal{R}_j : V_0 \rightarrow V_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m-1$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_j v &= \mathcal{R}_j(r, \theta, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-2}) \\ &= \left(r, \theta + \frac{2\pi(l_0 - 1)}{L_0}, \phi_1 + \frac{\pi(l_1 - 1)}{L_1}, \dots, \phi_{n-2} + \frac{\pi(l_{n-2} - 1)}{L_{n-2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1$. Then for $v \in V_0$,

$$\mathcal{R}_1 v \in V_1, \quad \mathcal{R}_2 v \in V_2, \dots, \quad \mathcal{R}_{m-1} v \in V_{m-1}, \quad \mathcal{R}_m v \in V_0.$$

Let us define

$$u_i(x, v, t) = u(x, \mathcal{R}_i v, t), \quad v \in V_0, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1. \quad (3.1)$$

We introduce

$$P_i u_i(x, v, t) = \partial_t u_i(x, v, t) + w_i \cdot \nabla u_i(x, v, t),$$

where

$$w_i = \mathcal{R}_i v.$$

Let us define

$$\Gamma_{\pm}^i = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times V_0; (\pm\nu(x) \cdot \mathcal{R}_i v) > 0\}.$$

Furthermore we define $a_i(x, v) = a(x, \mathcal{R}_i v)$, $g_i(x, v, t) = g(x, \mathcal{R}_i v, t)$, and

$$\sigma_i(x, v) = \sigma(x, \mathcal{R}_i v), \quad k_{ij}(x, v, v') = k(x, \mathcal{R}_i v, \mathcal{R}_j v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v, v' \in V_0.$$

Thus (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} P_i u_i(x, v, t) + \sigma_i(x, v) u_i(x, v, t) - \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') u_j(x, v', t) dv' = F_i(x, v, t), \\ x \in \Omega, v \in V_0, 0 < t < T, \\ u_i(x, v, 0) = a_i(x, v), \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V_0, \\ u_i(x, v, t) = g_i(x, v, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_-^i \times (0, T) \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Here we defined

$$F_i(x, v, t) = f_i(x, v) R_i(x, v, t), \quad f_i(x, v) = f(x, \mathcal{R}_i v), \quad R_i(x, v, t) = R(x, \mathcal{R}_i v, t).$$

4. KEY CARLEMAN ESTIMATE FOR COUPLED EQUATIONS

Let us introduce weight functions as

$$\varphi_j(x, t) = (\gamma_j \cdot x) - \beta t, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where

$$0 < \beta < \min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \min_{v \in \overline{V_j}} (\gamma_j \cdot v). \quad (4.1)$$

We set

$$\mathcal{P}_i u_i(x, v, t) = P_i u_i(x, v, t) + \sigma_i(x, v) u_i(x, v, t) - \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') u_j(x, v', t) dv'$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Then the following inequality holds.

Proposition 4.1. *We assume that $\sigma_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V_0)$, $k_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V_0 \times V_0)$ ($i, j = 0, \dots, m-1$). Furthermore we assume $u_i \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times V_0))$, $\nabla u_i \in L^2(\Omega \times V_0 \times (0, T))$, $i = 0, \dots, m-1$. Suppose $u_i(\cdot, \cdot, T) = 0$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{V_0}$. Then there exist constants $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & s \int_{V_0} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |u_i(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dx dv + s^2 \int_0^T \int_{V_0} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i} dx dv dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \int_{V_0} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\mathcal{P}_i u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i} dx dv dt + s \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i} dS dv dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for $s \geq s_0$.

Proposition 4.1 is proved using Lemma 4.2 below. For a fixed $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j = P_0 u + \sigma u - \int_{V_j} k(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) dv', \quad x \in \Omega, v \in V_j, t \in (0, T),$$

where $\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V_j)$, $k \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V_j \times V_j)$. Furthermore we set

$$Q = \Omega \times (0, T).$$

The following Carleman estimate is obtained in [29].

Lemma 4.2. *For a fixed $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, there exist constants $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & s \int_{V_j} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, 0)} dx dv + s^2 \int_Q \int_{V_j} |u(x, v, t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dx dv dt \\ & \leq C \int_Q \int_{V_j} |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j u|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dx dv dt + s \int_0^T \int_{V_j} \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{(v \cdot \nu) > 0\}} (v \cdot \nu) |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dS dv dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$ and $u \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times V))$ satisfying $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega \times V \times (0, T))$ and $u(\cdot, \cdot, T) = 0$ in $\Omega \times V_j$.

Proof. In the proof below we write $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi_j(x, t)$. See also [29].

Let us set $z(x, v, t) = e^{s\varphi(x, t)} u(x, v, t)$ and $Lz(x, v, t) = e^{s\varphi(x, t)} P_0(e^{-s\varphi(x, t)} z(x, v, t))$. That is, we have

$$Lz(x, v, t) = P_0 z(x, v, t) - sBz(x, v, t),$$

where

$$B = (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla) \varphi(x, t) = -\beta + v \cdot \gamma_j > 0.$$

We note that

$$\int_Q |P_0 u(x, v, t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, t)} dx dt = \int_Q |Lz(x, v, t)|^2 dx dt, \quad v \in V_j.$$

The following calculation holds for almost all $v \in V_j$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q |P_0 u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\ & = \int_Q |\partial_t z + v \cdot \nabla z|^2 dx dt + \int_Q |sB|^2 z^2 dx dt - 2s \int_Q Bz(\partial_t z + v \cdot \nabla z) dx dt \\ & \geq -2s \int_Q Bz(\partial_t z + v \cdot \nabla z) dx dt + s^2 \int_Q B^2 z^2 dx dt \\ & = -sB \int_Q (\partial_t(z^2) + v \cdot \nabla(z^2)) dx dt + s^2 B^2 \int_Q z^2 dx dt \\ & = sB \int_{\Omega} |z(x, v, 0)|^2 dx - sB \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (v \cdot \nu) z^2 dS dt + s^2 B^2 \int_Q z^2 dx dt \\ & \geq sB \int_{\Omega} |z(x, v, 0)|^2 dx - sB \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{(v \cdot \nu(x)) > 0\}} (v \cdot \nu) z^2 dS dt + s^2 B^2 \int_Q z^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $z = e^{s\varphi}u$ and integrating over v in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_Q \int_{V_j} |P_0 u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dv dx dt &\geq s \int_\Omega \int_{V_j} |u(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \\ &- s \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{(v \cdot \nu(x)) > 0\}} \int_{V_j} (v \cdot \nu) |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dv dS dt + s^2 \int_Q \int_{V_j} |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dv dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to replace $|P_0 u|^2$ in the above inequality with $|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j u|^2$ and the proof is complete. \square

We can rewrite the inequality in Lemma 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned} &s \int_{V_0} \int_\Omega |u_j(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, 0)} dx dv + s^2 \int_Q \int_{V_0} |u_j(x, v, t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dx dv dt \\ &\leq C \int_Q \int_{V_0} |\mathcal{P}_j u_j|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dx dv dt + s \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+^j} (v \cdot \nu) |u_j|^2 e^{2s\varphi_j(x, v)} dS dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

By summing up the inequalities from $j = 0$ through $j = m - 1$, we obtain the inequality (4.2). Thus Proposition 4.1 is proved.

5. ENERGY ESTIMATES

Henceforth in this section, $C > 0$ denotes generic constants which are independent of f_i .

Lemma 5.1. *The following inequalities hold for the solutions $u_i(x, v, t)$, $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$, which satisfy (3.2).*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)|^2 dv dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right) \\ &+ C \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} |(w_i \cdot \nu)| |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \leq \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} |(w_i \cdot \nu)| |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. We differentiate the coupled transport equation in (3.2) with respect to t and obtain

$$\partial_t(\partial_t u_i) + w_i \cdot \nabla(\partial_t u_i) + \sigma_i(\partial_t u_i) - \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(\partial_t u_j) dv' = f_i \partial_t R_i.$$

By multiplying $2\partial_t u_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\partial_t u_i)^2 + w_i \cdot \nabla(\partial_t u_i)^2 + 2\sigma_i(\partial_t u_i)^2 - 2(\partial_t u_i) \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)) dv' \\ = 2(\partial_t u_i) f_i \partial_t R_i. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating over $\Omega \times V_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} w_i \cdot \nabla(|\partial_t u_i|^2) dv dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sigma_i |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx \\ - 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} (\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)) \left(\int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') \partial_t u_j(x, v', t) dv' \right) dv dx \\ = 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} (\partial_t u_i) f_i (\partial_t R_i) dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $E(t) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)|^2 dv dx$ and integrating the second term on the left-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t E(t) = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_i |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)) \left(\int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)) dv' \right) dv dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\partial_t u_i) f_i (\partial_t R_i) dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then by integrating over t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) - E(0) \\ = - \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{\Gamma_+^i} + \int_{\Gamma_-^i} \right) (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_i |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx dt \\ + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\partial_t u_i) \left(\int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)) dv' \right) dv dx dt \\ + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\partial_t u_i) f_i (\partial_t R_i) dv dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We note that by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)) \left(\int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') (\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)) dv' \right) dv \right| \\
& \leq C \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)| \left(\int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)| dv' \right) dv \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{V_0} |\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)|^2 dv \right)^{1/2} |V_0|^{1/2} \left(\int_{V_0} |\partial_t u_j(x, v', t)|^2 dv' \right)^{1/2} |V_0|^{1/2} \\
& \leq C |V_0| \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)|^2 dv,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|V_0| = \int_{V_0} dv$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |(\partial_t u_i) f_i(\partial_t R_i)| dv dx & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |f_i(\partial_t R_i)|^2 dv dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |f_i|^2 dv dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(t) - E(0) & \leq - \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{\Gamma_+^i} + \int_{\Gamma_-^i} \right) (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt + C \int_0^t E(\eta) d\eta \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We note that from (3.2),

$$\partial_t u_i(x, v, 0) + w_i \cdot \nabla a_i(x, v) + \sigma_i a_i(x, v) - \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij} a_j(x, v') dv' = f_i R_i(x, v, 0),$$

and hence

$$E(0) \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right).$$

Using the Gronwall inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
E(t) & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(- \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_+^i} + \int_{\Gamma_-^i} \right) (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Noting that $\int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \geq 0$, the first inequality (5.1) in Lemma 5.1 is proved from (5.4).

Equation (5.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\
& \leq -\frac{E(T)}{C} - \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right) \\
& \leq -\int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the second inequality (5.2) in Lemma 5.1 is proved. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

Hereafter $C > 0$ denotes generic constants which are independent of $s > 0$.

We set

$$r_{\max} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} (\gamma_j \cdot x), \quad r_{\min} = \min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} (\gamma_j \cdot x).$$

Since T satisfies

$$T > \frac{r_{\max} - r_{\min}}{\min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \min_{v \in \overline{V_j}} (\gamma_j \cdot v)},$$

We can choose β such that (4.1) and

$$r_{\max} - \beta T < r_{\min}.$$

Then we have

$$\varphi_i(x, T) \leq r_{\max} - \beta T < r_{\min} \leq \varphi_i(x, 0), \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Therefore there exist $\delta > 0$ and r_0, r_1 such that $r_{\max} - \beta T < r_0 < r_1 < r_{\min}$,

$$\varphi_i(x, t) > r_1, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta,$$

and

$$\varphi_i(x, t) < r_0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad T - 2\delta \leq t \leq T$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Let us introduce a cut-off function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq T - 2\delta, \\ 0, & T - \delta \leq t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Let us set

$$z_i(x, v, t) = (\partial_t u_i(x, v, t)) \chi(t), \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Then we have $z_i(x, v, T) = 0$. By differentiating the equation in (3.2), we obtain

$$\tilde{P}_i z_i(x, v, t) - \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') z_j(x, v', t) dv' = \chi f_i(\partial_t R_i) + (\partial_t \chi) \partial_t u_i \quad (6.1)$$

for $(x, t) \in Q$, $v \in V_0$, where

$$\tilde{P}_i u_i(x, v, t) = P_i u_i(x, v, t) + \sigma_i u_i(x, v, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q, \quad v \in V_0.$$

From the coupled radiative transport equation in (3.2), we have at $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_i(x, v, 0) &= f_i(x, v) R_i(x, v, 0) - w_i \cdot \nabla a_i(x, v) - \sigma_i(x, v) a_i(x, v) \\ &\quad + \int_{V_0} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{ij}(x, v, v') a_j(x, v') dv', \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V_0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Using the Carleman estimate in Theorem 4.1, we obtain for z_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} s \int_{V_0} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |z_i(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dx dv &\leq C \int_Q \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\chi f_i(\partial_t R_i)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dv dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_Q \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |(\partial_t \chi) \partial_t u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dv dx dt \\ &\quad + C s \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |z_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dS dv dt. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Let us set

$$d_0 = \left(\int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

The last term in (6.3) is estimated as

$$C s \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |z_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dS dv dt \leq C e^{C_1 s} d_0^2,$$

where we used $se^{Cs} \leq e^{(C+1)s}$ for $s > 0$ and set $C_1 = C + 1$. Since $\partial_t \chi = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T - 2\delta$ or $T - \delta \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |(\partial_t \chi) \partial_t u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dv dx dt &= \int_{T-2\delta}^{T-\delta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |(\partial_t \chi) \partial_t u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dv dx dt \\ &\leq C e^{2sr_0} \int_{T-2\delta}^{T-\delta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\partial_t u_i|^2 dv dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Thus from (6.4) with the help of (5.1) in Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |(\partial_t \chi) \partial_t u_i|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dv dx dt \\
& \leq C e^{2sr_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right) \\
& \quad - C e^{2sr_0} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (6.2) holds for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $v \in \overline{V_0}$, and recall the fact that $R_i(\cdot, \cdot, 0) \neq 0$ in $\overline{\Omega \times V_0}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |z_i(x, v, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dv dx + C e^{Cs} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right) \\
& \geq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |f_i(x, v)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (6.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |f_i(x, v)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dv dx \leq C \int_Q \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |f_i(x, v)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, t)} dv dx dt \\
& \quad + C e^{2sr_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 - C e^{2sr_0} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt + C e^{C_1 s} d^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we defined

$$d = \left(\int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right).$$

Since $\varphi_i(x, t) \leq \varphi_i(x, 0)$ for $(x, t) \in Q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (s - CT) \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |f_i(x, v)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_i(x, 0)} dv dx \leq C e^{2sr_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \\
& \quad - C e^{2sr_0} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt + C e^{C_1 s} d^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\varphi_i(x, 0) > r_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s e^{2sr_1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{V_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |f_i|^2 dv dx \leq C e^{2sr_0} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \\
& \quad - C e^{Cs} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt + C e^{C_1 s} d^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for sufficiently large s ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \\
& \leq C e^{-2s(r_1-r_0)} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 - C e^{Cs} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_-^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\
& + C e^{Cs} \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_+^i} (w_i \cdot \nu) |\partial_t u_i|^2 dS dv dt \\
& + C e^{Cs} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 + \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V_0)}^2 \right). \tag{6.5}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (6.5) will vanish as s becomes large. We can rewrite (6.5) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}^2 & \leq -C e^{Cs} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_V |(v \cdot \nu)| |\partial_t u|^2 dv dS dt \\
& + C e^{Cs} \left(\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}^2 + \|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large s . Hence the first inequality in Theorem 2.5 is proved.

The second inequality in Theorem 2.5 is immediately obtained from (5.2) in Lemma 5.1. Thus the proof is complete. \square

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In [29], the velocity v must satisfy $(v \cdot \gamma) > 0$ with some fixed vector $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for which there are limited applications in transport phenomena.

In the present article, we deleted such an extra assumption and our global stability results Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 require only the positivity (2.3) of initial values and $R(x, v, 0) > 0$ on $(x, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{V}$ respectively.

Moreover, it is understood that such positivity is essential for the methodology by Carleman estimate, in general.

With the partition by choosing multiple fixed vectors γ_j , $j = 0, \dots, m-1$, which are dependent on v , we construct the weight functions in the form $\varphi_i(x, t) := (\gamma_j \cdot x) - \beta t$ to derive the key Carleman estimate Proposition 4.1. Such dependence of the weight on v still admits to prove the relevant Carleman estimate for u .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author acknowledges support from Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 17K05572, 18K03438 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The second author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771270, 91730303). This work was prepared with the support of the "RUDN University Program 5-100".

REFERENCES

- [1] Arridge S R 1999 Optical tomography in medical imaging *Inverse Problems* **15** R41–R93
- [2] Arridge S R and Schotland J C 2009 Optical tomography: forward and inverse problems *Inverse Problems* **25** 123010

- [3] Bal G 2009 Inverse transport theory and applications *Inverse Problems* **25** 053001
- [4] Bal G and Jollivet A 2008 Stability estimates in stationary inverse transport *Inverse Problems Imaging* **2** 427–454
- [5] Bal G and Jollivet A 2009 Time-dependent angularly averaged inverse transport *Inverse Problems* **25** 075010
- [6] Bal G and Jollivet A 2010 Stability for time-dependent inverse transport *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42** 679–700
- [7] Bardos C 1970 Problèmes aux limites pour les équations aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre à coefficients réels; théorèmes d’approximation; applications à l’équations de transport *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm Sup.* **3** 185–233
- [8] Beilina L and Klibanov M V 2012 *Approximate Global Convergence and Adaptivity for Coefficient Inverse Problems* (New York: Springer)
- [9] Bellassoued M and Yamamoto M 2017 *Carleman Estimates and Applications to Inverse Problems for Hyperbolic Systems* (Tokyo: Springer)
- [10] Bukhgeim A L and Klibanov M V 1981 Global uniqueness of a class of multidimensional inverse problems *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **24** 244–247
- [11] Bellman R E, Kagiwada H, Kalaba R E and Ueno S 1965 Inverse problems in radiative transfer – Layered geometry *Icarus* **4** 119–126
- [12] Cannarsa P, Floridia G, Gölgeleyen F, and Yamamoto M 2019 Inverse coefficient problems for a transport equation by local Carleman estimate *Inverse Problems* **35** 105013, 22 pp
- [13] Cannarsa P, Floridia G and Yamamoto M 2019 Observability inequalities for transport equations through Carleman estimates equations through Carleman estimates Springer INdAM Series **32** 69–87
- [14] Case K M 1973 Inverse problem in transport theory *Phys. Fluids* **16** 1607–1611
- [15] Choulli M and Stefanov P 1996 Inverse scattering and inverse boundary value problems for the linear Boltzmann equation *Comm. P. D. E.* **21** 763–85
- [16] Douglis A 1966 The solutions of multidimensional generalized transport equations and their calculation by difference methods *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations* (New York: Academic Press) pp 197–256
- [17] Gaitan P and Ouzzane H 2014 Inverse problem for a free transport equation using Carleman estimates *Appl. Anal.* **93**(5) 1073–1086.
- [18] Gölgeleyen F and Yamamoto M 2016 Stability for some inverse problems for transport equations *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **48** 2319–2344
- [19] Imanuvilov O and Yamamoto M 1998 Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate *Inverse Problems* **14** 1229–1245
- [20] Imanuvilov O and Yamamoto M 2001 Global Lipschitz stability in an inverse hyperbolic problem by interior observations *Inverse Problems* **17** 717–28
- [21] Isakov V 2006 *Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [22] Klibanov M V 1984 Inverse problems in the “large” and Carleman bounds *Diff. Eq.* **20** 755–60
- [23] Klibanov M V 1992 Inverse problems and Carleman estimates *Inverse Problems* **8** 575–96
- [24] Klibanov M V 2013 Carleman estimates for global uniqueness, stability and numerical methods for coefficient inverse problems, *J. Inverse and Ill-posed Problems* **21** 477–560
- [25] Klibanov M V and Pamyatnykh S E 2006 Lipschitz stability of a non-standard problem for the non-stationary transport equation via a Carleman estimate *Inverse Problems* **22** 881–90
- [26] Klibanov M V and Pamyatnykh S E 2008 Global uniqueness for a coefficient inverse problem for the non-stationary transport equation via Carleman estimate *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** 352–65
- [27] Klibanov M V and Timonov A 2004 *Carleman Estimates for Coefficient Inverse Problems and Numerical Applications* (Utrecht: VSP)
- [28] Klibanov M V and Yamamoto M 2007 Exact controllability for the time dependent transport equation *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **46** 2071–2195
- [29] Machida M and Yamamoto M 2014 Global Lipschitz stability in determining coefficients of the radiative transport equation *Inverse Problems* **30** 035010
- [30] Prilepko A I and Ivankov A L 1985 Inverse problems for the determination of a coefficient and the right side of a nonstationary multivelocity transport equation with overdetermination at a point *Differential Equations* **21** 88–96.
- [31] Stefanov P 2003 Inverse problems in transport theory *Inside Out: Inverse Problems and Applications* ed G Uhlmann (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 111–131

- [32] Ukai S 1986 Solutions of Boltzmann equations *Patterns and Waves* (Amsterdam: Elsevier) pp 37–96
- [33] Yamamoto M 2009 Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications *Inverse Problems* **25** 123013