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An imaging system is proposed for matter-wave functions that is based on producing a quadratic phase modulation on the wavefunction of a charged particle, analogous to that produced by a space or time lens. The modulation is produced by co-propagating the wavepacket within an extremum of the harmonic vector and scalar potentials associated with a slow-wave electromagnetic structure. By preceding and following this interaction with appropriate dispersion, characteristic of a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, a system results that is capable of magnifying (i.e., stretching or compressing the space- and timescales) and time-reversing an arbitrary quantum wavefunction.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 42.79.-e, 42.25.-p

As originally proposed by Aharanov and Bohm, the presence of a static potential in the absence of an electric or magnetic field would be detectable owing to its effect on the phase of the wavefunction of a charged particle $\frac{1}{\underline{1}}$. This idea was soon demonstrated ${ }^{2}$ and the principle has spawned a large amount of activity over the decades ${ }^{3}-\underline{5}$. At the heart of the Aharanov-Bohm effect is the notion that the scalar and vector potentials of electromagnetic theory are real, measurable, entities and their effects distinct from the fields to which they are related. However, an essential feature of the mechanism and proof is that the fields and therefore the potentials are static, for if they were not, Faraday's law and the Ampère-Maxwell relation would require the existence of electric and magnetic fields coincident with the potentials which would contaminate the desired effect with forces ${ }^{6}$.

Time-varying potentials, on the other hand, have seldom been considered in terms of their influence on wavefunction phase ${ }^{7}$. The purpose of this Letter is to suggest a mechanism whereby a quadratically-varying potential (scalar and/or vector) can produce an accumulated quadratic phase on the wavefunction of a charged particle in a manner consistent with the action of a lens. By preceding and following this interaction with the normal dispersion inherent in wavefunction propagation, an imaging system for matter waves might be realized that would produce magnified and time-reversed replicas of the original wavefunction (Fig. (1). Note that this mechanism is distinctly different from, and more general than, systems that image the position of charged particles in space ${ }^{3}-\underline{\underline{5}}$ or map their evolution by time of flight ${ }^{\frac{8}{-}}$.

The key component in the proposed system is an electromagnetic slow-wave structure with a longitudinal traveling-wave electric field that co-propagates with the wavepacket under consideration. If the wavepacket coincides with a zero-crossing of the field (Fig. (1b) it is at an extremum of the potential(s) and, as we will show, it will acquire a predominantly quadratic phase shift essential
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FIG. 1. Space-time duality between spatial and matter-wave imaging. (a) Conventional spatial imaging system. Input and output diffraction produce quadratic phase filtering in Fourier spectra of the transverse coordinates. Lens produces quadratic phase modulation directly on transverse coordinates. (b) Imaging system for quantum wavefunctions. Input and output dispersion resulting from free-space propagation distances $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ correspond to the object and image distances in the spatial imaging system. An electromagnetic slow-wave structure provides lens action by producing a quadratic phase on the dispersed wavefunction due to the traveling-wave interaction with the scalar and vector potentials. (Phase relationship shown for a positive charge). The output wavefunction is a rescaled and time-reversed version of the input with magnification $M=-L_{2} / L_{1}$.
for lens action, with minimal force imparted by the field.
Central to the three seemingly disparate phenomena of Fresnel diffraction, narrowband dispersion and the quantum-mechanical description of free-particle propagation is the complex diffusion equation, which in general form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=i \alpha \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the diffusivity term $\alpha$ depends on the particular problem at hand. One can consider the independent variable $t$ as guiding the evolution of the wavefunction $\psi$ while $z$ maps its profile. In quantum mechanics the diffusivity $\alpha=\hbar / 2 m$ while in diffraction $\alpha=1 / 2 k$ and in optical dispersion $\alpha=(1 / 2) d^{2} \beta / d \omega^{2}$. (Here we use the symbols common to diffraction and dispersion analyses; $k=2 \pi / \lambda$ is the wavenumber and $\beta=\omega n(\omega) / c$ is the phase constant. Both are phase shifts per-unit-length.)

Based on the similarity of their governing equations, Fresnel diffraction and narrowband dispersion have been successfully united in the concept of temporal imaging which, as its name suggests, is a system for stretching or compressing electromagnetic waveforms of carrierenvelope form while maintaining the integrity of the envelope profile ${ }^{\underline{9}-13}$.

A feature common to both diffraction and dispersion is the quadratic phase that is introduced into the frequency spectrum of the envelope, either of the cross-sectional profile of a beam in diffraction or the longitudinal pulse profile in dispersion. Although quadratic in frequency, this effect also acquires strength linearly with the evolution variable. The same must be true, of course, for the Schrödinger equation.

To realize imaging in both space and time, it is also necessary to produce quadratic phase in the real-space domain of the profile variable. Thus a space lens, which produces a quadratic phase transformation in the transverse (profile) variable has its counterpart in a time lens which produces quadratic phase in the local time coordinate,$\underline{14}$ To obtain corresponding lens action for the wavefunction of a particle, we look to the governing p.d.e. (11) and recognize that a quadratic phase imparted to the $z$-coordinate would suffice. As we will see, this can be accomplished by interaction between the particle and an electromagnetic potential, either vector, scalar or both.

The general solution to (11) as an initial-value problem in one dimension, assuming a carrier-envelope type of wavepacket and a relatively narrow momentum spectrum centered about $k_{0}$, can be written for the quantummechanical case as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(z, t)= & e^{i\left(k_{0} z-\omega_{0} t\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{0}(k, 0) \\
& \times \exp \left[-i\left(k v_{g}+k^{2} \frac{\hbar}{2 m}\right) t\right] e^{i k z} \frac{d k}{2 \pi} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}(k, 0)$ is the initial spectrum of the wavefunction $\psi(z, 0)$ shifted to baseband (i.e. $\left.\psi_{0}(k, 0)=\psi\left(k+k_{0}, 0\right)\right)$ and $v_{g}$ is the group velocity.

It will be especially useful in the context of this exposition to convert the solution (2) to a traveling-wave coordinate system moving at the group velocity of the wavepacket; $\xi \equiv z-v_{g} t$ and $\tau \equiv t$. Introducing these variables transforms (2) into

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(\xi, \tau)=e^{i\left(k_{0} \xi+\omega_{0} \tau\right)} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{0}(k, 0) \\
& \times \exp \left(-i \frac{\hbar \tau}{2 m} k^{2}\right) e^{i k \xi} \frac{d k}{2 \pi} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

which represents a stationary envelope in the $(\xi, \tau)$ reference frame superimposed upon a backward-propagating carrier with phase velocity $\omega_{0} / k_{0}$. This interpretation can also be seen from the nature of the dispersion relation for (11). For a spectrum centered at $k_{0}$,

$$
\omega(k)=\frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2 m}, \quad v_{g}=\left.\frac{d \omega}{d k}\right|_{k=k_{0}}=\frac{\hbar k_{0}}{m}, \quad v_{0}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{k_{0}}=\frac{\hbar k_{0}}{2 m}
$$

and thus $v_{g} / v_{0}=2$. The quadratic phase term in (3) will be seen to be an essential feature of the space-time imaging process.

To produce lens action on the quantum-mechanical wavefunction, we must generate a quadratic phase over the dispersed envelope. One mechanism that will accomplish this is interaction with an electromagnetic potential. Consider a slow-wave structure designed to interact with charged particles which has an electric field component along the axis of particle motion. Such structures have long been studied and developed for use in electron accelerators and vacuum tubes 15,16 .

A transverse magnetic (TM) field mode in a slow-wave guide can have an electric field on axis that is directed exclusively along the axis of the guide. Assuming a monochromatic wave propagating in such a slow-wave structure we can write the longitudinal component of the vector potential and the scalar potential as traveling waves

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{z}(z, t)=A_{0} e^{i\left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t\right)}, \Phi(z, t)=\Phi_{0} e^{i\left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts " $m$ " on the wavenumber and angular frequency indicate that these are associated with a modulating field. The two peak potentials can be related using the Lorentz gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}=-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{k_{m} c^{2}}{\omega_{m}} A_{0}=\Phi_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the potentials (4) become

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{z}(z, t) & =A_{0} e^{i\left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t\right)}  \tag{6}\\
\Phi(z, t) & =\frac{k_{m} c^{2}}{\omega_{m}} A_{0} e^{i\left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From a practical standpoint, guided wave structures are generally analyzed in terms of fields rather than potentials and thus it will be useful to relate the peak potential
$A_{0}$ to the peak electric field from the defining relation (using real-valued functions)

$$
\mathbf{E}(z, t)=-\nabla \Phi(z, t)-\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}=E_{0} \sin \left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=\frac{E_{0}}{\omega_{m}\left(c^{2} / v_{p}^{2}-1\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{p}=\omega_{m} / k_{m}$ is the phase velocity of the fields and potentials within the slow-wave structure.

Now, assume a charged particle of mass $m$ moving at the group velocity $v_{g}=\hbar k_{0} / m$ co-propagates with the electromagnetic field in the slow-wave structure and, furthermore, assume that it is synchronized with a peak of the potentials (Fig. 1 ) where the variation is essentially quadratic. Then, in a manner similar to the AharonovBohm effect for stationary fields, the wavefunction will accumulate an additional phase due to the scalar (electric) and vector (magnetic) potentials given by

$$
\Delta \phi_{E}=-\frac{q}{\hbar} \int \Phi d t, \quad \Delta \phi_{M}=\frac{q}{\hbar} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot d \mathbf{s}
$$

The combined effect of interacting with both potentials can be written as one integral over the time coordinate using $z^{\prime}=v_{g} t^{\prime}$. Assuming an interaction length $L$ and traveling-wave potentials given by (6) and (7) the accumulated phase shift in the absence of dispersion becomes, using real-valued functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(z, L / v_{g}\right)=\frac{q A_{0}}{\hbar} & \left(v_{g}-\frac{c^{2}}{v_{p}}\right) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{L / v_{g}} \cos \left(k_{m} z-\omega_{m} t+\theta\right) d t \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta$ is an initial phase offset between the potentials and the wavepacket and determines whether the matterwave lens has a positive or negative focal length.

Since the wavepacket is co-propagating with the modulating potentials, it will be useful to transform this into a traveling-wave coordinate system moving with the group velocity of the wavepacket as was done for the dispersion problem. Integral (9) is readily evaluated,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\xi, L / v_{g}\right)= & \frac{q A_{0} L}{\hbar}\left(1-\frac{c^{2}}{v_{p} v_{g}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{\sin \Delta \phi / 2}{\Delta \phi / 2} \cos \left(k_{m} \xi+\Delta \phi / 2+\theta\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi \equiv \omega_{m} L\left(\frac{1}{v_{p}}-\frac{1}{v_{g}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the phase slip produced by the walkoff between the wavepacket and the modulating potentials. We now substitute (8) for the peak vector potential in terms of the
electric field,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\xi, L / v_{g}\right)= & -\frac{q E_{0} L}{\hbar \omega_{m}} \frac{\left(c^{2} / v_{p} v_{g}-1\right)}{\left(c^{2} / v_{p}^{2}-1\right)} \\
& \times \frac{\sin \Delta \phi / 2}{\Delta \phi / 2} \cos \left(k_{m} \xi+\Delta \phi / 2+\theta\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for the case of perfect velocity matching (i.e., $v_{p}=v_{g} ; \Delta \phi=0$, (12) simplifies considerably,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\xi, L / v_{g}\right) & =-\frac{q E_{0} L}{\hbar \omega_{m}} \cos \left(k_{m} \xi+\theta\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =-\Gamma_{0} \cos \left(k_{m} \xi+\theta\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0} \equiv q E_{0} L / \hbar \omega_{m} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the peak phase deviation. The initial phase offset $\theta$ is chosen according to the sign of the charge $q$ in order that $\Gamma\left(\xi, L / v_{g}\right)>0$ in the vicinity of $\xi=0$, thus ensuring a positive focal length (see below),

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}\pi, & q=+|q|  \tag{16}\\ 0, & q=-|q|\end{cases}
$$

The process of quadratic phase modulation by copropagating the wavefunction with a sinusoidal potential is but a specific example of any general modulation scheme which may result in a quadratic term. As with space and time lenses, it will be very useful to define an equivalent focal length and focal-length-to-aperture ratio, or $f^{\#}$ of a matter-wave lens ${ }^{17}$. We can draw an analogy between the focal length of a space lens ${ }^{18}$ and our matter-wave lens by comparing the phase variation with respect to the profile variables,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { SPACE: } & \exp \left[-i \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(x, y)\right] & =\exp \left[-i \frac{k}{2 f_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right] \\
\text { MATTER: } & \exp \left[-i \phi_{\mathrm{M}}(\xi)\right] & =\exp \left[-i \frac{k_{0}}{2 f_{\mathrm{M}}} \xi^{2}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

To assign the concept of focal length to an arbitrary phase modulation process we expand the phase induced by that process in a Taylor series and equate the coefficient on the second-order term to the form in (17). For the matter-wave lens phase described by (14) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{M}} \equiv \frac{k_{0}}{\Gamma_{0} k_{m}^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption of an accumulated phase that is generally quadratic will be valid if the extent of the wavefunction is confined predominantly to a maximum of the propagating cosine potential, perhaps with a time gate or shutter. We may consider this region as defining an effective aperture for the matter-wave lens and this suggests
the concept of $f^{\#}$. A reasonable estimate is approximately $1 / 2 \pi$ of the period. In space, in the travelingwave coordinate system, this corresponds to a window $\Delta \xi=\lambda_{m} / 2 \pi=1 / k_{m}$. Let us then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{M}}^{\#} \equiv \frac{f_{\mathrm{M}}}{\Delta \xi}=\frac{f_{\mathrm{M}}}{1 / k_{m}}=\frac{k_{0}}{\Gamma_{0} k_{m}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now equate the classical to the quantum-mechanical momentum, $k_{0}=m v_{g} / \hbar$, and substitute into (19) along with the definition for $\Gamma_{0}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{M}}^{\#}=\frac{m v_{g} v_{p}}{q E_{0} L}=\frac{m c^{2}}{n^{2} q E_{0} L} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, owing to the assumption of perfect velocity matching, $v_{g} v_{p}=(c / n)^{2}$ and $n$ is the slowing factor for the electromagnetic slow wave. We see that the numerator is the rest mass energy of the particle, while in the denominator, $q E_{0} L$ would be the kinetic energy acquired by the particle in a uniform field $E_{0}$ accelerated from rest through a distance $L$. However, in the traveling-wave frame of the particle, the electric field is in phase quadrature with the potentials, going through a zero-crossing where the potentials are maximized, and thus the particle feels no net force if its center of mass is aligned with the zero-field point.

As an example, let's assume an electron is propagating in a slow-wave structure where $n=10$ (corresponding to a kinetic energy of 3 keV ) and the interaction length $L=1 \mathrm{~cm}$. We find $f_{\mathrm{M}}^{\#}=5 \times 10^{5} / E_{0}=5$ for an electric field of $E_{0}=10^{5} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}(1 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm})$.

Imaging of matter waves is accomplished by the concatenation of dispersion, lens action and more dispersion (Fig. 11). Mathematically we see from (3) and (17) that this corresponds to quadratic phase filtering in wavenumber space for dispersion and quadratic phase modulation in coordinate space for the matter-wave lens. To simplify expressing this three-step process, we introduce the following functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { INPUT DISPERSION: } & \mathscr{G}_{1}\left(k, \tau_{1}\right) & =\exp \left[-i a k^{2}\right]  \tag{21}\\
\text { MATTER-WAVE LENS: } & H(\xi) & =\exp \left[-i \xi^{2} / 4 c\right]  \tag{22}\\
\text { OUTPUT DISPERSION: } & \mathscr{G}_{2}\left(k, \tau_{2}\right) & =\exp \left[-i b k^{2}\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $\quad a=\frac{\hbar \tau_{1}}{2 m}, \quad b=\frac{\hbar \tau_{2}}{2 m}, \quad c=\frac{f_{\mathrm{M}}}{2 k_{0}}$,
$\tau_{1,2}$ are the propagation times in the input and output dispersive regions, respectively, and $f_{\mathrm{M}}$ is given by (18).

Carrying out the forward and inverse Fourier transforms (indicated by $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{-1}$, respectively) of the three-step process of imaging gives the wavefunction fol-
lowing the second (output) dispersive region,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(\xi, \tau_{2}\right)= e^{i\left[k_{0} \xi+\omega_{0}\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}+\tau_{l}\right)+\Gamma_{0}\right]} \\
& \times \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left\{\mathscr { F } \left\{\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left\{\psi_{0}(k, 0) \mathscr{G}_{1}\left(k, \tau_{1}\right)\right\}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\times H(\xi)\} \mathscr{G}_{2}\left(k, \tau_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{l}$ is the propagation time through the matter-wave lens. Neglecting multiplicative phase and amplitude constants, the final inverse Fourier transform contains the essential features of the imaging problem and expresses the wavefunction envelope in the local traveling-wave system,

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(\xi, \tau_{2}\right) & \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{0}(k, 0) \\
& \exp \left[i\left(\frac{1}{1 / c-1 / b}-a\right) k^{2}+i \frac{c \xi}{c-b} k\right] d k \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

This integral represents the initial envelope spectrum $\psi_{0}(k, 0)$ multiplied by a quadratic spectral phase and then inverse Fourier-transformed to a re-scaled spacetime coordinate. In order for this wavefunction to be a replica, or "image", of the input waveform, we must eliminate the quadratic phase. Thus we set $1 / c-1 / b=1 / a$ and find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{\hbar \tau_{1}}+\frac{m}{\hbar \tau_{2}}=\frac{k_{0}}{f_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, setting $p=\hbar k_{0}=m v_{g}$ and noting that the propagation distances in the input and output dispersive regions are $L_{1}=v_{g} \tau_{1}$ and $L_{2}=v_{g} \tau_{2}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{L_{1}}+\frac{1}{L_{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the imaging condition, familiar from classical optics.

The re-scaled space coordinate in the Fourier transform kernel takes on an equally significant and familiar form. Substituting from (24) and the imaging condition yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c-b}{c}=-\frac{b}{a}=-\frac{\tau_{2}}{\tau_{1}}=-\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}} \equiv M \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines the magnification. Thus, when the imaging condition is satisfied, the relationship between the input and output wavefunction envelopes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\xi, \tau_{2}\right) \propto \psi(\xi / M, 0) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the magnification $M$ (29) takes on a negative value and therefore produces a space- and time-reversed image of the wavefunction. This implies no violation of causality as it is merely a consequence of the quadratic phase modulation and frequency-domain filtering of the
wavefunction spectrum. Indeed, there is no mechanism producing output prior to input.

To this point we have not included the concept of resolution, or how fine the structure of a wavefunction can be resolved. As in the case of conventional optical imaging systems, limitations will arise due to the aperturing effects at the lens. We hinted at this by assuming that the dispersed wavefunction entered the matter-wave lens and only interacted with $\lambda_{m} / 2 \pi$ of the guided electromagnetic wave in the slow-wave guide. Let's assume we have a mechanism that creates a shutter admitting only a portion of the dispersed wavepacket over this duration. In concert with similar analyses in spatial and temporal imaging systems, the impulse response of the system will be given by the Fourier transform of this aperture function. Then, to a good approximation, the resolution referred to the input space scale for large magnifications can be shown to be ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \xi_{i n} \approx \lambda_{0} f_{\mathrm{M}}^{\#} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}=2 \pi / k_{0}$ is the de Broglie wavelength.
An interesting effect with time apertures that will have an impact on a stream of particles in certain situations is the matter-wave equivalent of edge diffraction due to a semi-infinite opaque screen ${ }^{18}$. This was first pointed out by Moshinsky in a seminal paper in $1952^{\underline{19}}$ which he described as "diffraction in time" and is discussed, along with many other interesting transient phenomena, in the comprehensive review by del Campo, et al. 20 The effect of temporal slits (equivalent to the shutter discussed here) has also been studied experimentally $\frac{8}{}$ and a full threedimensional analysis of diffraction and dispersion from a shutter has been presented by Beau and Dorlas ${ }^{21}$. It should be noted, however, that when the imaging condition (28) is satisfied, the quadratic phase term in (26) is eliminated and the aperture effect is changed from Fresnel to Fraunhofer diffraction.

The assumption that no dispersion occurs within the slow-wave structure is not necessarily valid in all cases. There will be a trade-off between interaction length $L$ and peak potential $A_{0}$ (or peak field amplitude $E_{0}$ ) to maintain a low $f$-number and minimize dispersion. This argues for high fields and short interaction lengths but if the latter cannot be attained, then incorporating dispersion within the slow-wave structure can be accommodated with the definitions of the net input and output dispersions.

Placement of the wavepacket on a cusp, or extremum, of the potential also places it at the zero-crossing of the electric field (Fig. 11). If the group velocity of the particle is not perfectly matched to the phase velocity of the potential wave then it will begin to move and experience a nonzero force $F_{z}=q E_{z}$. However, the sign of the the electric field is such as to produce a restoring force on the charged particle, regardless of the sign of the charge as long as (16) is satisfied.

In summary I have proposed a system for space-time transformation and imaging of matter-wave functions in a fashion entirely analogous to spatial or temporal imaging. It relies on the introduction of a quadratic phase modulation on the envelope of the wavefunction of a charged particle following and preceding regions of normal dispersive spreading. Recent work in ultra-compact electron-optical accelerators ${ }^{22}$ and coherent control of electrons ${ }^{23,24}$ may make ideal candidates for testing some of these ideas.
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