NON-SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF K3 SURFACES WITH ONE-DIMENSIONAL MODULI SPACE
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Abstract. The moduli space of K3 surfaces $X$ with a purely non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma$ of order $n \geq 2$ is one dimensional exactly when $\varphi(n) = 8$ or $10$. In this paper we classify and give explicit equations for the very general members $(X, \sigma)$ of the irreducible components of maximal dimension of such moduli spaces. In particular we show that there is a unique one-dimensional component for $n = 20, 22, 24$ and two irreducible components in the remaining cases.
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Introduction

An automorphism $\sigma$ of finite order $n \geq 2$ of a complex K3 surface $X$ is purely non-symplectic if $\sigma^*(\omega_X) = \zeta_n \omega_X$, where $\omega_X$ is a nowhere vanishing
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holomorphic 2-form of \( X \) and \( \zeta_n \) is a primitive \( n \)th root of unity. By [MO98, Main Theorem 3] there exists one such pair \((X, \sigma)\) if and only if \( n \) belongs to the set \( TV_{K3} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} - \{60\} | \varphi(n) \leq 20 \} \).

The structure of the moduli space of such K3 surfaces can be described by means of the Global Torelli theorem and the surjectivity theorem for periods of K3 surfaces (see [DK07, §11]). In particular it is known that an irreducible component of the moduli space of pairs \((X, \sigma)\) for \( n \geq 3 \) is an arithmetic quotient of a Zariski open subset of a complex ball \( D^\sigma \) of dimension \( \dim(V^\sigma) - 1 \), where \( V^\sigma \) is the \( \zeta_n \)-eigenspace of \( \sigma^* \) in \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \).

In this paper we consider all orders \( n \) such that the moduli space of K3 surfaces carrying a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order \( n \) is one dimensional. We show that the orders \( n \) with such property are those \( n \in TV_{K3} \) with \( \varphi(n) = 8 \) or \( 10 \), i.e. \( 11, 15, 16, 20, 22, 24 \) and \( 30 \) (see [MO98]). For each such \( n \) we classify a very general pair \((X, \sigma)\) in the one dimensional components of the moduli space (i.e. those pairs such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \)), i.e. we identify the fixed locus of \( \sigma \) and of its powers, the dimensions of the eigenspaces of \( \sigma^* \) in \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \) and the Néron-Severi lattice. In case \( n = 15 \) and \( n = 22 \) we classify all possible pairs \((X, \sigma)\). The orders \( n = 11 \) and \( n = 16 \) had been previously studied in [OZ11, AST11] and [ATST16] respectively. The main result in the paper is the following.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let \( X \) be a complex K3 surface and \( \sigma \) be a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order \( n \geq 2 \) such that \( \varphi(n) = 8 \) or \( 10 \) and \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \), then up to isomorphism \((X, \sigma)\) is described in Table 1, where \( a \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta_n \) denotes a primitive \( n \)th root of unity and \((*)\) means: minimal resolution of a degree 11 covering of a principal homogeneous space of order 11 of the rational elliptic surface \( y^2 = x^3 + x + t \) (see Example 2.3).

Moreover, Table 12 at the end of the paper provides for each case the vector \( d \) describing the dimensions of the eigenspaces of \( \sigma^* \) in \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \), the invariants describing the fixed locus of powers of \( \sigma \) (see Section 1 for the notation) and the Néron-Severi lattice of a very general K3 surface in each family.

The structure of the paper is the following. In the first section we give preliminaries on non-symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces and we fix the notation. In the second section we prove Theorem 0.1 for each order \( n \in \{11, 22, 15, 30, 16, 20, 24\} \). In the third and fourth section we give the full classification for \( n = 22 \) and \( n = 15 \) respectively.

**Acknowledgements:** We warmly thank Alice Garbagnati for her valuable suggestions and for sharing with us a private note on non-symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces. We also thank Antonio Laface and Alessandra Sarti for several interesting discussions.
1. Background and preliminary results

We will work over the complex numbers and we will denote by $\zeta_i$ a primitive $i$th root of unity. Let $X$ be a K3 surface over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\sigma$ be a purely non-symplectic automorphism of $X$ of order $n \geq 3$, i.e. $\sigma^*(\omega_X) = \zeta_n \omega_X$, where $\omega_X$ is a generator of the complex vector space $H^{2,0}(X)$.

**Notation 1.1.** In what follows we will denote by $\sigma_k$ an element of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ whose order is $k$.

1.1. Fixed locus and invariant lattices. We start describing the fixed locus of $\sigma$. The local action of $\sigma$ in a neighborhood of one of its fixed points can be linearized and can be described by a matrix of the form

$$A_{i,n} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_i & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

see [Nik79a, §5]. When $i = 0$ the fixed point belongs to a fixed curve, otherwise it is an isolated fixed point. This description implies that the fixed locus of $\sigma$ is the union of isolated points and disjoint smooth curves. Moreover, by the Hodge index theorem, the fixed locus contains at most one curve of genus $g \geq 2$. In what follows we will use the following notation for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>a) $y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1)$ b) (*)</td>
<td>$(x, y, \zeta_{11}t)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>a) $y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a)$ b) $y^2 = x_0^6 + x_0x_1^5 + x_2^6 + ax_0^3x_2^3$</td>
<td>$(\zeta_3x, y, \zeta_5t)$ $(x_0, \zeta_5x_1, \zeta_4x_2, y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>a) $y^2 = x^3 + t^2x + at^3(t^8 + 1)$ b) $y^2 = x_0(x_0^3x_2 + x_1^2x_2^4 + ax_0^3x_2^2)$</td>
<td>$(\zeta_8^2x, \zeta_8^3y, \zeta_8^7t)$ $(x_0, \zeta_8^7x_1, \zeta_8^3x_2, \zeta_8^3y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$y^2 = x_0(x_1^5 + x_2^2 + x_0^2x_3^3 + ax_0^4x_2^2)$</td>
<td>$(-x_0, \zeta_5x_1, x_2, iy)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1)$</td>
<td>$(x, -y, \zeta_{11}t)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$y^2 = x^3 + t(t^4 - 1)(t^4 - a)$</td>
<td>$(it, \zeta_{12}x, \zeta_8y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>a) $y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a)$ b) $y^2 = x_0^6 + x_0x_1^5 + x_2^6 + ax_0^3x_2^3$</td>
<td>$(\zeta_3x, -y, \zeta_5t)$ $(x_0, \zeta_5x_1, \zeta_3x_2, -y)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** One dimensional families of K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms
the fixed locus of $\sigma$:

$$\text{Fix}(\sigma) = C_g \sqcup R_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R_k \sqcup \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\},$$

where $C_g$ is a smooth curve of genus $g$, $R_1, \ldots, R_k$ are smooth rational curves and $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ are isolated fixed points. The fixed points such that the local action is given by the matrix $A_{i,n}$ will be called points of type $A_{i,n}$ and the number of such points will be denoted by $a_{i,n}$.

We now recall the holomorphic Lefschetz formula [AS68], which relates these numbers with the action of $\sigma^*$ on the cohomology groups $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} \text{tr}(\sigma^*_i H^{i}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)) = \sum_i \frac{a_{i,n}}{(1 - \zeta_n^i)(1 - \zeta_n^{n-i})} + \alpha \frac{1 + \zeta_n}{(1 - \zeta_n)^2},$$

where $\alpha := \sum_{C \subseteq \text{Fix}(\sigma)} (1 - g(C))$. Observe that

$$H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = H^3(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \{0\}$$

since $X$ is a K3 surface, $\sigma^* = \text{id}$ on $H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma^*$ acts as multiplication by $\zeta_n$ on $H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^{0,2}(X) = \mathbb{C} \omega_X$. Thus the left hand side of the formula is equal to $1 + \zeta_n$.

We now consider the action of $\sigma^*$ in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$. We will denote by $S(\sigma^i) \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ the invariant lattice of $\sigma^i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Moreover, for any divisor $k$ of $n$ let

$$H^2(X,\mathbb{C})^k_{\sigma} := \{ x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) : \sigma^k x = \zeta_k x \}$$

and let $d_k$ be its dimension. In particular $d_1$ is the rank of $S(\sigma)$ and $d_n$ is the dimension of $V^\sigma = H^2(X,\mathbb{C})^n_\sigma$. In what follows we will denote by $d$ the vector whose entries are the numbers $d_k$, as $k$ varies in the set of divisors of $n$ in decreasing order.

**Remark 1.2.** Observe that, since $\sigma$ is purely non symplectic, then $S(\sigma^i)$ is contained in the Néron-Severi lattice of $X$ for any $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. In fact, given $x \in S(\sigma^i)$ we have

$$(x,\omega_X) = ((\sigma^i)^*x, (\sigma^i)^*\omega_X) = (x, \zeta_n^i \omega_X) = \zeta_n^i (x,\omega_X),$$

which implies $(x,\omega_X) = 0$ and thus $x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cap \omega_X^2 = \text{NS}(X)$.

We also recall the topological Lefschetz formula [AS68, Theorem 4.6], for simplicity we state it only for $\sigma$:

$$\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma)) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} (-1)^i \text{tr} \left( \sigma^* \big|_{H^i(X,\mathbb{R})} \right),$$

where the right side is equal to $2 + \text{tr}(\sigma^*_3 |_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})})$ since $H^i(X,\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ for $i = 1, 3$ and $\sigma^* = \text{id}$ on $H^i(X,\mathbb{R})$ for $i = 0, 4$.
1.2. Moduli spaces. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with an order $n$ automorphism $\sigma$ such that $\sigma^*(\omega_X) = \zeta_n \omega_X$. The period line $\mathbb{C} \omega_X$ belongs to the domain

$$D^\sigma = \{ Cz \in \mathbb{P}(V^\sigma) : (z, \bar{z}) > 0, (z, z) = 0 \},$$

where $V^\sigma$ is the $\zeta_n$-eigenspace of $\sigma^*$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. Observe that, for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$(z, z) = (\sigma^* z, \sigma^* z) = \zeta_n^2 (z, z),$$

thus the condition $(z, z) = 0$ is not necessary and $D^\sigma$ can be easily proved to be isomorphic to a complex ball. On the other hand, if $n = 2$, then $D^\sigma$ is a type IV Hermitian symmetric space. By [DK07, Theorem 11.3] an arithmetic quotient of a Zariski open subset of $D^\sigma$ parametrizes isomorphism classes of $(\rho, M)$-polarized K3 surfaces, where $\rho : C_n \rightarrow O(L_{K3})$ is a representation induced by the isometry $\sigma^*$ of $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ and the choice of an isometry $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_{K3}$, and $M \subseteq L_{K3}$ is the invariant lattice of $\operatorname{Im}(\rho)$. In particular such moduli space has dimension $\dim(D^\sigma) = \dim(V^\sigma) - 1$ if $n \geq 3$ and $\dim(V^\sigma) - 2$ if $n = 2$.

On the other hand, if $T_X$ is the transcendental lattice of $X$, it is known that the eigenvalues of $\sigma^*$ in $T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are the primitive $n$th roots of unity [Nik79a, Section 3], thus $\operatorname{rank}(T_X) = \dim(V^\sigma) \phi(n)$. Since $\operatorname{rank}(T_X) \leq 21$, this implies that

$$\dim(D^\sigma) \leq \gamma(n) := \left\lfloor \frac{21}{\phi(n)} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

In particular the dimension of $D^\sigma$ is at most one if $\gamma(n) = 1$. We show that the converse also holds.

Lemma 1.3. Let $n \neq 60$ be a positive integer with $\phi(n) \leq 20$ and $\gamma(n) > 1$, then there exist a K3 surface $X$ and a purely non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma$ of $X$ of order $n$ such that $\dim(D^\sigma) > 1$.

Proof. We will denote by $d(n)$ the dimension of the moduli space of K3 surface carrying a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order $n$. The orders $n \geq 2$ with $\phi(n) \leq 20$ and $\gamma(n) > 1$ are $n = 7, 9, 14, 18$ with $\gamma(n) = 2$, $n = 5, 8, 10, 12$ with $\gamma(n) = 4, n = 3, 4, 6$ with $\gamma(n) = 9$, and $n = 2$.

For prime orders $n = 3, 5, 7$ it is known by [AST11] that $d(n) = \gamma(n)$. Moreover, the same is true for orders $n = 6, 10, 14$ by Proposition 1.5 and [AST11].

For order $n = 9$ it is known by [ACV20] that $d(n) = 2$. Moreover, the general member of one of its components of maximal dimension is an elliptic K3 surface with Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + t(t^3 - a)(t^3 - b)(t^3 - c), \ a, b, c \in \mathbb{C},$$

which carries the order nine automorphism $\sigma(x, y, t) = (\zeta_9^4 x, \zeta_9^6 y, \zeta_9 t)$. This surface also admits the non-symplectic involution $\tau(x, y, t) = (x, -y, t)$ which commutes with $\sigma$, so it carries the non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma \tau$ of order 18. This shows that $d(18) = 2$ as well.
When \( n = 4 \), [AS15, Example 6.3] is a 9-dimensional family of K3 surfaces with a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 4.

When \( n = 8 \), [ATS18, Example 4.1] is a 2-dimensional family of K3 surfaces with a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 8.

When \( n = 12 \) the family of elliptic K3 surfaces defined by the Weierstrass equation
\[
y^2 = x^3 + t \prod_{i=1}^{5}(t^2 - a_i), \ a_i \in \mathbb{C}
\]
is 4-dimensional and has an order 12 automorphism, \( \sigma(x, y, t) = (-\zeta_3 x, iy, -t) \) which can be easily checked to be purely non-symplectic.

When \( n = 2 \) it is well known that \( d(2) = 19 \) and there is a unique component of maximal dimension whose general element is a double cover of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) branched along a smooth plane sextic.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 1.4.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 0.1, since \( T_X \) has the structure of a \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \)-module by [Nik79a, Section 3] and \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \) we have that \( \text{rk} N\text{S}(X) \geq 22 - 2\varphi(n) \). The generality assumption in the statement of the theorem means that the Néron-Severi lattice of \( X \) has the minimal rank.

Finally, we recall a result contained in [GS13, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5] and in [Dil12].

**Proposition 1.5.** Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order \( n \). If either

i. \( n = 5, 13, 17, 19 \),

ii. \( n = 7, 11 \) and the fixed locus of \( \sigma \) contains a curve,

iii. \( n = 3 \) and the fixed locus of \( \sigma \) contains at least two curves,

iv. \( n = 3 \) and the fixed locus of \( \sigma \) contains a curve and two points,

then \( X \) admits a non-symplectic automorphism \( \tau \) of order \( 2n \) with \( \tau^2 = \sigma \).

Moreover, if \( n = 11 \) and the fixed locus of \( \sigma \) consists of only isolated fixed points, then \( X \) does not admit a non-symplectic automorphism \( \tau \) of order 22 with \( \tau^2 = \sigma \).

2. Proof of the main Theorem

2.1. Order 11. Non-symplectic automorphisms of order 11 have been classified in [OZ11] and [AST11, Sec. 7]. In particular the proof of Theorem 0.1 for order 11 follows from the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 11 such that \( \text{rank} S(\sigma) = 2 \) (or equivalently \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \)). Then two cases can occur:

a) \( \text{Fix}(\sigma) = C_1 \sqcup \{p_1, p_2\} \) and \( S(\sigma) = N\text{S}(X) \cong U \),

b) \( \text{Fix}(\sigma) = \{p_1, p_2\} \) and \( S(\sigma) = N\text{S}(X) \cong U(11) \).

where \( C_1 \) is a smooth curve of genus one. In both cases \( d = (2, 2) \). Moreover, up to isomorphism, \( (X, \sigma) \) belongs to the family in Example 2.2 in case a) and to the family in Example 2.3 in case b).
Example 2.2. Given $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $X_{11a}$ be the elliptic fibration with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1). \]
For general $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the fibration has one fiber of Kodaira type $II$ over $t = \infty$ and 22 fibers of type $I_1$. Observe that $X_{11a}$ carries the order 11 automorphism
\[ \sigma_{11a}(x, y, t) = (x, y, \zeta_{11} t), \]
which fixes the smooth fiber over $t = 0$ and two points in the fiber over $t = \infty$.

Example 2.3. Consider the extremal rational elliptic surface $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + x + t. \]
The fibration has a fiber of type $II^*$ over $t = \infty$ and two fibers of type $I_1$ over the zeroes of $\Delta = 4 + 27t^2$ thus it is extremal. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ is smooth, let $\phi_{\alpha,e} : Y_{\alpha,e} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the principal homogeneous space of $\phi$ associated to a non-trivial 11-torsion element $e$ in $\phi^{-1}(\alpha)$. We recall that $\phi_{\alpha,e}$ has the same configuration of singular fibers as $\phi$ and it has a fiber $F = 11F_0$ of multiplicity 11 over $\alpha$ such that $(F_0)|_{F_0} = e \in \text{Pic}^0(F_0)$. Let $\psi_{\alpha,e} : Z_{\alpha,e} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the degree 11 base change of $\phi_{\alpha,e}$ branched along $t = \infty$ and $t = \alpha$. A minimal resolution of $Z_{\alpha,e}$ is a K3 surface $X_{\alpha,e}$ carrying an elliptic fibration $\pi_{\alpha,e}$ induced by $\psi_{\alpha,e}$ which has 22 fibers of type $I_1$ over the two fibers of type $I_1$ of $\psi_{\alpha,e}$ and a fiber of type $II$ over $t = \infty$. The covering automorphism of $Z_{\alpha,e} \to Y_{\alpha,e}$ induces an order 11 automorphism $\sigma_{11b}$ of $X_{\alpha,e}$.

We will denote by $(X_{11b}, \sigma_{11b})$ the family of K3 surfaces with automorphism obtained with this construction. The automorphism $\sigma_{11b}$ fixes exactly two points in the fiber of $\pi_{\alpha,e}$ of type $II$.

2.2. Order 22. In this section we will give the classification of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 22 with $\dim(V^\sigma) = 2$. The full classification will be given in Section 3.

Proposition 2.4. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma$ of order 22 such that $\dim(V^\sigma) = 2$. Then the fixed loci of $\sigma = \sigma_{22}$ and of its powers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fix($\sigma_{22}$)</th>
<th>Fix($\sigma_{11}$)</th>
<th>Fix($\sigma_2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${p_1, \ldots, p_6}$</td>
<td>$C_1 \sqcup {p_5, p_6}$</td>
<td>$C_{10} \sqcup R$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $g(C_1) = 1$, $g(C_{10}) = 10$ and $g(R) = 0$. Moreover $d = (2, 0, 0, 2)$ and $\text{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{U}$ for a very general K3 surface with such property.
Proof. Decomposing $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $\sigma^*$ we obtain, with the notation in Section 1:

$$\dim H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = 22 = 10d_{22} + 10d_{11} + d_2 + d_1 = 20 + 10d_{11} + d_2 + d_1.$$ 

Since $d_{22} = 2$, thus $d_1 + d_2 = 2$ and $d_{11} = 0$, so either $d = (2,0,1,1)$ or $(2,0,0,2)$.

Let $\chi_i := \chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)), i \in \{2,11,22\}$. By the topological Lefschetz formulas we have

$$\begin{align*}
\chi_{22} &= d_{22} - d_{11} - d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_{11} &= -d_{22} - d_{11} + d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_2 &= -10d_{22} + 10d_{11} - d_2 + d_1 + 2.
\end{align*}$$

This implies $\chi_{11} = 2$. By Proposition 1.5, if a K3 surface admits a non-symplectic automorphism of order 11 without fixed curves, it does not admit a non-symplectic automorphism of order 22. This result and Theorem 2.1 imply that $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{11})$ is the union of a smooth genus one curve $C$ and two points $p,q$ of types $A_{11}$ and $A_{4,11}$. On the other hand the same equations give that $\chi_{22} = 4$ if $d = (2,0,1,1)$ and $= 6$ if $d = (2,0,0,2)$. This implies that $\sigma_{22}$ has 4 fixed points on $C$ and either exchanges or fixes $p$ and $q$. Holomorphic Lefschetz formula for $\sigma_{22}$ implies that the first case does not occur (observe that the fixed points of $\sigma_{22}$ on $C$ are of type $A_{10,22}$). Finally $\chi_2 = -16$. By [Nik79a] this implies that the fixed locus of $\sigma_2$ is either a genus 9 curve or the union of a genus 10 curve and a rational curve. The first case is not possible since a curve of genus 9 has no order 11 automorphisms by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

Finally, observe that for a very general $K3$ surface as in the statement $\text{rk NS}(X) = 22 = 2\varphi(22) = 2$ (see Remark 1.4) and $S(\sigma_{11}) \subseteq \text{NS}(X)$ by Remark 1.4, thus $\text{NS}(X) = S(\sigma_{11}) \cong U$ by Theorem 2.1. 

Example 2.5. The elliptic K3 surface in Example 2.2

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1), \ a \in \mathbb{C}$$

admits the order 22 automorphism

$$\sigma_{22}(t,x,y) = (\zeta_{11}t,x,-y),$$

which fixes four points in the smooth fiber over $t = 0$ and two points in the fiber of type $II$ over $t = \infty$. The involution $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{22}^{11}$ fixes the curve $y = 0$, which has genus 10, and the sections at infinity. Since $\sigma_2$ has fixed curves and since there exist no symplectic automorphism of a K3 surface of order 11 [Nik79a], then $\sigma$ is purely non-symplectic.

Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 22. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma = \sigma_{22}$ of order 22. By Proposition 2.4 $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{11})$ contains an elliptic curve $C_1$ and two points. Thus by Theorem 2.1 $(X,\sigma_{11})$
belongs to the family in Example 2.2 up to isomorphism, i.e. it carries an elliptic fibration \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) with Weierstrass equation
\[
y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1), \quad a \in \mathbb{C}
\]
and \( \sigma_{11}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_{11}t, x, y) \). The lattice generated by the class of a fiber and the class of a section of \( \pi \) is isometric to the lattice \( U \) and is fixed by the automorphism \( \sigma_{11}^* \), thus it coincides with \( S(\sigma_{11}) \) by Theorem 2.1. Since \( \sigma_{22}^* \) preserves the lattice \( S(\sigma_{11}) \) and this contains a unique class of elliptic fibration and a unique class of smooth rational curve, then \( \sigma_{22}^* \) preserves both. By Proposition 2.4 the fixed locus of the involution \( \sigma_2 \) is the disjoint union of a smooth curve \( C_{10} \) of genus 10 and a smooth rational curve \( R \).

The curve \( C_{10} \) is clearly transverse to the fibers of \( \pi \), thus each fiber of \( \pi \) contains fixed points of \( \sigma_2 \). This implies that the action induced by \( \sigma_2 \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the identity, i.e. each fiber of \( \pi \) is preserved by \( \sigma_2 \). Moreover, the unique section \( S \) of \( \pi \) must be pointwise fixed by \( \sigma_2 \), so that \( R = S \). Since \( \sigma_2 \) is an involution which preserves each fiber of \( \pi \) and fixes \( S \), then it is defined by \((t, x, y) \mapsto (t, x, -y)\). This shows that the action of \( \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2 \) on \( \pi \) is the one described in the statement of Theorem 0.1, concluding the proof. \( \square \)

2.3. Order 15. In this section we will give the classification of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 15 with \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). The full classification will be given in Section 4.

Proposition 2.6. Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 15 such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). Then the fixed loci of \( \sigma = \sigma_{15} \) and its powers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
<th>( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) )</th>
<th>( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) )</th>
<th>( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>( {p_1, \ldots, p_5} )</td>
<td>( C_2 \sqcup {p_1} )</td>
<td>( C'_2 \sqcup {p_2, p_3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>( {p_1, \ldots, p_7} )</td>
<td>( C_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_4} )</td>
<td>( C_4 \sqcup R \sqcup {p_1} ),</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( g(C_1) = 1, g(C_2) = g(C'_2) = 2, g(C_4) = 4 \) and \( g(R) = 0 \). Moreover, \( d = (2, 1, 0, 2) \) in case a) and \( d = (2, 0, 1, 4) \) in case b).

Finally \( \text{NS}(X) \cong U(3) \oplus A_2 \oplus A_2 \) for a very general K3 surface \( X \) in case a) and \( \text{NS}(X) \cong H_5 \oplus A_4 \) for a very general K3 surface \( X \) in case b), where \( H_5 \) is the lattice defined in [AST11, Section 1].

Proof. Decomposing \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \) as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of \( \sigma^* \) we obtain, with the notation in Section 1:

\[
22 = 8d_{15} + 4d_5 + 2d_3 + d_1 = 16 + 4d_5 + 2d_3 + d_1,
\]

thus \( d \in \{(2, 1, 0, 2), (2, 0, 2, 2), (2, 0, 1, 4), (2, 0, 0, 6)\} \). Let \( \chi_i := \chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)), i \in \{3, 5, 15\} \). By the topological Lefschetz fixed point formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{15} &= d_{15} - d_5 - d_3 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_5 &= -2d_{15} - d_5 + 2d_3 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_3 &= -4d_{15} + 4d_5 - d_3 + d_1 + 2.
\end{align*}
\]
We will show that \( d = (2,1,0,2) \) and \( d = (2,0,1,4) \) are the only possible cases.

Assume that \( d = (2,1,0,2) \). Thus \((\chi_5, \chi_3) = (5, -1, 0)\). By \[\text{AST11}\] we have that \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is the union of a curve \( C_2 \) of genus 2 and one point. Since \( \chi_5 = 5 \) the action of \( \sigma \) on \( C_2 \) has order 3 with 4 fixed points by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. In particular \( \text{Fix}(\sigma) \) is the union of 5 points. Finally by \[\text{AS08}\] \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) is either the union of a genus 2 curve and 2 points or contains a curve of genus three. The second case is not possible since there is no genus 3 curve with an order five automorphism by \[\text{Bro91}, \text{Table 5}\].

If \( d \neq (2,1,0,2) \), then \( \chi_5 = 4 \) and \( \chi_3 = -6, -3, 0 \) if \( d = (2,0,2,2), (2,0,1,4) \) or \( (2,0,0,6) \) respectively. By \[\text{AST11}\] \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is either the union of an elliptic curve \( C_1 \) and 4 points, or the union of 4 points. Observe that \( C_1 \) cannot be contained in \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) since by \[\text{AS08}\] this would imply \( \chi_3 \geq 3 \). Thus, looking at the possible actions of \( \sigma \) on \( C_1 \) and the 4 points we find that \( \chi_5 \) is either 1, 4 or 7.

If \( d = (2,0,0,6) \), then \( \chi_5 = 10 \) by \( (2) \), giving a contradiction.

If \( d = (2,0,1,4) \), then \( \chi_5 = 7 \) by \( (2) \). Thus \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is the union of an elliptic curve \( C_1 \) and 4 points and \( \text{Fix}(\sigma) \) consists of 7 points, 3 of them on \( C_1 \). Moreover \( \chi_3 = -3 \), thus by \[\text{AS08}\] \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) is either the union of a genus 4 curve, a rational curve and one point, or it contains a curve of genus 3. The last case is not possible by \[\text{Bro91}, \text{Table 5}\].

If \( d = (2,0,2,2) \), then \( \chi_5 = 4 \) and \( \chi_3 = -6 \) by \( (2) \). Observe that \( \sigma \) has 7 types of isolated fixed points. The fixed points of type \( A_{1,15}, A_{4,15}, A_{7,15} \) are isolated fixed points for \( \sigma_3 \) too, while points of type \( A_{2,15}, A_{3,15}, A_{5,15}, A_{6,15} \) lie on a curve fixed by \( \sigma_3 \). Thus it has to be

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{1,15} + a_{4,15} + a_{7,15} &\leq a_{1,3}, \\
a_{1,15} + a_{4,15} + a_{7,15} &\equiv a_{1,3} \mod 5.
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, points of type \( A_{4,15}, A_{5,15} \) lie on a curve fixed by \( \sigma_5 \), while points of type \( A_{1,15}, A_{2,15}, A_{3,15}, A_{6,15}, A_{7,15} \) are isolated fixed points for \( \sigma_5 \) too. Checking types one has

\[
(3) \quad a_{1,15} + a_{3,15} + a_{6,15} \leq a_{1,5}, \quad a_{2,15} + a_{7,15} \leq a_{2,5}.
\]

Since \( \chi_3 = -6 \), then \( a_{1,3} = 0 \) by \[\text{AS08}\]. Applying the holomorphic Lefschetz formula to \( \sigma \) with this condition and using the fact that \( \alpha = 0 \), we find that \( a = (0,1,0,0,0,3,0,0) \). Since \( a_{5,15} = 3 \), then we find that \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) contains an elliptic curve and \( \sigma \) fixes three points on it.

For \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) there are two possibilities by \[\text{AS08}\]: it is either a curve of genus four or the union of a genus five curve and a rational curve. We will now exclude both cases by geometric arguments.

Assume that the fixed locus of \( \sigma_3 \) is the union of a curve \( C_5 \) of genus 5 and a rational curve \( R \). By \[\text{AS08}, \text{Proposition 4.2}\], a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism of order 3 with this fixed locus admits an elliptic fibration \( \pi \) with Weierstrass equation

\[
y^2 = x^3 + p_{12}(t), \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_3 : (x,y,t) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y, t),
\]
where \( p_{12}(t) \) is a polynomial of degree 12. Observe that the section at infinity of \( \pi \) is pointwise fixed, thus it is the rational curve \( R \). Since \( \sigma_3 \) has no other smooth rational fixed curves, an easy analysis of the possible singular fibers shows that the elliptic fibration has no reducible singular fibers and has exactly 12 fibers of type \( II \). By [AS15, Lemma 5], since \( C_3^2 = 8 \) and \( C_5 \cdot f = 2 \), where \( f \) denotes the class of a fiber of \( \pi \), we obtain that \( f \cdot \sigma^*(f) = 0 \). Thus \( \pi \) is invariant for \( \sigma \). Since the section at infinity is invariant but not fixed by \( \sigma_5 \), then \( \sigma_5 \) induces an automorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) of order 5 on the basis \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) of the fibration. This implies that the elliptic curve \( C_1 \) in \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is a fiber of the elliptic fibration. On the other hand \( \sigma_5 \) must permute the 12\( II \) fibers, thus at least two of them should be preserved. This contradicts the fact that \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) has two fixed points in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

Assume now that the fixed locus of \( \sigma_3 \) is a genus four curve. By [AST11] the invariant lattice of \( \sigma_3 \) is isometric to \( U(3) \). Thus the quotient of the K3 surface by \( \sigma_3 \) is a smooth quadric \( Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and the branch locus is a curve of genus 4 of type \( (3, 3) \). The automorphism \( \sigma_5 \) descends to an automorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) which has a fixed curve, thus up to a coordinate change we can assume

\[
\bar{\sigma}_5 : (x_0 : x_1), (y_0 : y_1) \mapsto (x_0 : x_1), (\zeta_5 y_0 : y_1).
\]

However there is no curve of type \( (3, 3) \) which is invariant for this automorphism, giving a contradiction. Thus we have proved that the only admissible cases are those with \( d = (2, 1, 0, 2) \) and \( d = (2, 0, 1, 4) \).

We now compute the Néron-Severi lattice of a very general \( X \) in both cases. Observe that since \( d_{15} = 2 \) and \( \varphi(15) = 8 \), the Néron-Severi lattice of \( X \) has rank \( 22 - 2 \cdot 8 = 6 \). In the first case the invariant lattice \( S(\sigma^5) = S(\sigma_3) \) has rank \( d_1 + 4d_5 = 6 \), thus \( \text{NS}(X) = S(\sigma_3) \cong U(3) \oplus A_2 \oplus A_2 \), where the last isomorphism is by \([AST11]\). In the second case the invariant lattice \( S(\sigma^3) = S(\sigma_5) \) has rank \( d_1 + 2d_3 = 6 \), thus we conclude as before that \( \text{NS}(X) = S(\sigma_5) \cong H_5 \oplus A_4 \) by \([AST11]\).

\[\Box\]

**Example 2.7.** Let \( X \) be a double cover of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) defined by the following equation in \( \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1) \):

\[
y^2 = x_0^6 + x_0x_1^5 + x_2^6 + ax_0^3x_2^3
\]

with general \( a \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( X \) is a K3 surface carrying an order 15 automorphism \( \sigma_{15}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (x_0, \zeta_5 x_1, \zeta_3 x_2, y) \) whose fixed locus is the union of 5 points, which project to the 3 fundamental points of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Observe that \( \sigma_5 \) fixes the genus two curve defined by \( x_1 = 0 \) and the point (0, 1, 0, 0), while \( \sigma_3 \) fixes the genus two curve \( x_2 = 0 \) and the points (0, 0, 1, ±1). Since both \( \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_5 \) fix curves, then none of them is symplectic by \([Nik79a]\), thus \( \sigma_{15} \) is purely non-symplectic. This is an example of case \( a) \) in Proposition 2.6.
Example 2.8. Consider the elliptic surface with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a), \]
with general \( a \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( X \) is a K3 surface with the automorphism of order 15:
\[ \sigma_{15}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_5 t, \zeta_3 x, y). \]
The elliptic fibration has one fiber of type IV over \( t = \infty \) and 10 fibers of type II. The automorphism \( \sigma_3 \) fixes the genus 4 curve defined by \( x = 0 \), the section at infinity and the center of the fiber of type IV. The automorphism \( \sigma_5 \) fixes the smooth fiber over \( t = 0 \) and four points in the fiber of \( t = \infty \). As in the previous Example, \( \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_5 \) fix curves, thus they are non-symplectic and \( \sigma_{15} \) is purely non-symplectic. This is an example of case b) in Proposition 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 15. Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma_{15} \) of order 15. By Proposition 2.6, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) \) contains either 5 or 7 isolated fixed points.

In the first case, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) \) consists of 5 fixed points, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is the union of a curve \( C_1 \) of genus 2 and one point and \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) is the union of a genus 2 curve \( C'_2 \) and 2 points. Let \( \varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) be the morphism associated to the linear system \( |C'_2| \), which is a degree two morphism branched along a plane sextic which possibly contracts the smooth rational curves disjoint from \( C'_2 \) [SD74]. Since \( [C'_2] \) is fixed by \( \sigma_{15}^* \), the automorphism \( \sigma_{15} \) descends to an automorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_{15} \) of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Let \( \bar{\sigma}_3 = \bar{\sigma}_{15}^3 \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_5 = \bar{\sigma}_{15}^5 \). Up to a projectivity we can assume that \( \bar{\sigma}_{15} \), and thus \( \bar{\sigma}_3 \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) are diagonal. Observe that both \( \bar{\sigma}_3 \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) must fix pointwise a line and a point in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), since both \( \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_5 \) fix pointwise a curve. Moreover, by the previous description, the two lines must be distinct. Thus we can assume that
\[ \bar{\sigma}_3(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (x_0 : x_1 : \zeta_3 x_2) \quad \bar{\sigma}_5(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (x_0 : \zeta_5 x_1 : x_2). \]
The branch sextic \( B \) of \( \varphi \) is invariant for \( \bar{\sigma}_{15} \). Observe that \( B \) cannot contain a line fixed by either \( \bar{\sigma}_3 \) or \( \bar{\sigma}_5 \) since otherwise \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) and \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) would contain a smooth rational curve. This implies, up to rescaling the variables, that \( B \) is defined by an equation of the form:
\[ x_0^6 + x_0 x_1^5 + x_0^5 + a x_0^3 x_2^3 = 0, \]
with \( a \in \mathbb{C} \). Observe that this is a smooth curve. This implies that an equation for \( X \) is the one given in Example 2.7.

We now consider the second case, i.e. when \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) \) consists of 7 points, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is the union of an elliptic curve \( C_1 \) and 4 points and \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) is the union of a genus 4 curve \( C_4 \), a rational curve \( R \) and one point. By [AS08, Prop. 4.2] \( X \) admits a jacobian elliptic fibration \( \pi \) with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + p_{12}(t) \]
with \( \sigma_3(x, y, t) = (\zeta_3 x, y, t) \), where \( p_{12}(t) \) has degree 12 and has exactly one double root. Observe that in these coordinates \( C_4 \) is defined by \( x = 0 \) and the section at infinity is the curve \( R \). By [AS15, Lemma 5], since \( C_4^2 = 6 \) and \( C_4 \) intersects a general fiber at two points, we obtain that \( f \cdot \sigma_{15}^*(f) \leq 1 \), where \( f \) denotes the class of a fiber of \( \pi \). This implies that \( f = \sigma_{15}^*(f) \), i.e. the elliptic fibration is invariant for \( \sigma_{15} \). Since \( R \) is invariant for \( \sigma_5 \) but not pointwise fixed, the action induced by \( \sigma_5 \) on the basis of the fibration has order 5.

This description implies that, up to a coordinate change, the fibration \( \pi \) has Weierstrass equation of the form

\[
y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a),
\]

with \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \sigma_{15}(x, y, t) = (\zeta_3 x, y, \zeta_5 t) \), as in Example 2.8.

\[\square\]

2.4. Order 30. We first recall the following result, as suggested by Alice Garbagnati in a private communication.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \( X \) be a K3 surface admitting a purely non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 15. Then \( X \) admits a purely non symplectic automorphism \( \psi \) of order 30 with \( \psi^2 = \sigma \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma_5 = \sigma^3 \) and \( \sigma_3 = \sigma^5 \). By Proposition 1.5, \( X \) admits a non-symplectic automorphism \( \tau \) of order 10 such that \( \tau^2 = \sigma_5 \). Let

\[
1 \rightarrow \ker(\alpha) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu_{I(G)} \rightarrow 1
\]

be the exact sequence described in [MO98], where \( G \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(X) \), \( \alpha \) is the character of the natural representation of \( G \) on \( H^{2,0}(X) \) and \( \mu_{I(G)} \) is the multiplicative group of \( I(G) \)-th roots of unity. If \( G = \langle \tau, \sigma_3 \rangle \), the action of any non trivial element of \( G \) on \( \omega_X \) is the multiplication by a 30th root of unity \( \mu \neq 1 \), since the orders of \( \tau \) and \( \sigma_3 \) are coprime. Thus \( I(G) = 30 \), \( \ker(\alpha) \) is trivial, \( G \cong \mu_{30} \) and the composition \( \psi = \tau \circ \sigma_3 \) is an automorphism of order 30 of \( X \).

\[\square\]

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma_{30} \) of order 30 such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). Then there are two possibilities for the fixed locus of \( \sigma_{30} \) and of its powers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fix((\sigma_{30}))</th>
<th>Fix((\sigma_{15}))</th>
<th>Fix((\sigma_5))</th>
<th>Fix((\sigma_3))</th>
<th>Fix((\sigma_2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>{(p_1)}</td>
<td>{(p_1, \ldots, p_5)}</td>
<td>(C_2 \sqcup {p_1})</td>
<td>(C_2' \sqcup {p_2, p_3})</td>
<td>(C_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}</td>
<td>{(p_1, \ldots, p_7)}</td>
<td>(C_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_4})</td>
<td>(C_4 \sqcup R \sqcup {p_1})</td>
<td>(C_9 \sqcup R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( C_g, C'_g \) have genus \( g \) and \( g(R) = 0 \). Moreover, \( d = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1) \) in case a) and \( d = (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 3) \) in case b).

Finally \( \text{NS}(X) \cong U(3) \oplus A_2 \oplus A_2 \) for a very general K3 surface \( X \) in case a) and \( \text{NS}(X) \cong H_5 \oplus A_4 \) for a very general K3 surface \( X \) in case b).

**Proof.** Let \( \chi_i = \chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)), i = 30, 15, 5, 3, 2 \).

First observe that given a one dimensional family of K3 surfaces admitting a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 30, every element in the
family admits a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 15. Thus this
corresponds to one of the two families in Proposition 2.6 and the vector
\((\chi_{15}, \chi_5, \chi_3)\) is either \((5, -1, 0)\) or \((7, 4, 3)\).

Decomposing \(H^2(X, \mathbb{C})\) as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of \(\sigma^*\) we obtain:
\[
(4) \quad 22 = 8d_{30} + 8d_{15} + 4d_{10} + 2d_6 + 4d_5 + 2d_3 + d_2 + d_1.
\]
Assuming \(d_{30} = 2\), this gives \(d_{15} = 0\).

Using the topological Lefschetz fixed point formulas we compute the topological Euler characteristic of the fixed loci of powers of \(\sigma_{30}\) by:
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{30} &= d_{10} + d_6 - d_5 - d_3 - d_2 + d_1 \\
\chi_{15} &= d_{10} + d_6 - d_5 - d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 4 \\
\chi_5 &= d_{10} - d_6 + 2d_5 + 2d_3 - d_2 + d_1 - 2 \\
\chi_3 &= 4d_{10} - d_6 + 4d_5 - d_3 + d_2 + d_1 - 6 \\
\chi_2 &= -d_{10} - 2d_6 + 4d_5 + 2d_3 - d_2 + d_1 - 14.
\end{align*}
\]

We first assume to be in case a) of Proposition 2.6, i.e. \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)\) is the union of a smooth curve \(C_2\) of genus 2 and a point \(p_1\), \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)\) is the union of a smooth curve \(C_2'\) of genus 2 and two isolated points and \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})\) consists of 5 isolated points \(p_1, \ldots, p_5\). In particular \(\chi_{15}, \chi_5, \chi_3 = (5, -1, 0)\). Moreover, since the fixed locus of \(\sigma_{15}\) only contains isolated points, the same holds for \(\sigma_{30}\). Thus \(\chi_{30} \geq 0\). By (4) and (5) we get the possibilities in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(d_{30})</th>
<th>(d_{15})</th>
<th>(d_{10})</th>
<th>(d_6)</th>
<th>(d_5)</th>
<th>(d_3)</th>
<th>(d_2)</th>
<th>(d_1)</th>
<th>(\chi_{30})</th>
<th>(\chi_{15})</th>
<th>(\chi_5)</th>
<th>(\chi_3)</th>
<th>(\chi_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

In particular \(\chi_{30}\) is either 3 or 1, thus \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{30})\) is either the union of \(p_1\) and two of the \(p_i\)’s with \(i \geq 2\) (and the other two are exchanged) or \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{30}) = \{p_1\}\) and \(\sigma_{30}\) has no fixed points on \(C_2\). By the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the case \(n = 15\), the linear system associated to \(C_2\) defines a double cover \(\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2\) which can be defined in \(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)\) by an equation of the form
\[
y^2 = x_0^6 + x_0x_1^5 + x_2^5 + ax_0^3x_2^3,
\]
where \(a \in \mathbb{C}\) and in these coordinates \(\sigma_{15}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (x_0, \zeta_5x_1, \zeta_3x_2, y)\). Since \(\sigma_{30}\) preserves \(C_2\), then it induces an automorphism \(\bar{\sigma}_{30}\) of \(\mathbb{P}^2\). The involution \(\sigma_2\) either induces the identity or an involution of \(\mathbb{P}^2\). The latter is not possible since the fixed locus of \(\sigma_2\) would contain a curve of genus at most 2, while \(\chi_2 \leq -8\) by Table 2. Thus \(\sigma_2\) coincides with the (automorphism induced by) the covering involution of \(\varphi\), which fixes a smooth genus 10 curve, so that \(\chi_2 = -18\) and \(\chi_{30} = 1\) by Table 2. Thus \(\sigma_{30}\) fixes a unique point. Since \(C_2\) is invariant for \(\sigma_{30}\), then \(\varphi(C_2)\) is a line which contains two
fixed points for \( \bar{\sigma}_{30} \). Since \( \chi_{30} = 1 \), their preimages by \( \varphi \) are four points exchanged in pairs by \( \sigma_2 \).

Assume now to be in case b) of Proposition 2.6, i.e. \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \) is the union of a curve \( C_4 \) of genus 4, a rational curve \( R \) and a point, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) is union of an elliptic curve \( C_1 \) and four points, and \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) \) is the union of 7 points (3 on \( C_1 \)). In particular \( (\chi_{15}, \chi_5, \chi_3) = (7, 4, -3) \). Moreover, \( \chi_{30} \geq 0 \) since \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15}) \) only contains isolated points, and thus the same holds for \( \sigma_{30} \).

There are five possible vectors \( d \) such that \( (\chi_{15}, \chi_5, \chi_3) = (7, 4, -3) \) (see Table 3). By the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the case \( n = 15 \), \( X \) admits an elliptic fibration \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) with Weierstrass equation

\[
y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a),
\]

with \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \sigma_{15}(x, y, t) = (\zeta_5 x, y, \zeta_5 t) \). By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the case \( n = 15 \), using [AS15, Lemma 5], one concludes that the elliptic fibration is invariant for \( \sigma_{30} \). Since \( \chi_2 \leq -8 \), then \( \sigma_2 \) fixes a curve of genus > 1. Such curve is clearly transverse to all fibers of \( \pi \), thus \( \sigma_2 \) induces the identity on the basis of the fibration. Moreover, \( \sigma_2 \) must fix the section at infinity \( R \) of the fibration, since it preserves \( R \) and each fiber of \( \pi \). This implies that \( \sigma_2(x, y, t) = (x, -y, t) \). In particular \( \sigma_2 \) fixes \( R \) and the curve defined by \( y = 0 \), which has genus 9, so that \( \chi_2 = -14 \). Moreover \( \sigma_{30} \) fixes three points: two points on \( R \) and the center of the fiber of type IV over \( t = \infty \).

The Néron-Severi lattice of a very general \( X \) in cases a) and b) is the same as in Proposition 2.6.

\[\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
d_{30} & d_{15} & d_{10} & d_5 & d_4 & d_2 & d_1 & \chi_{30} & \chi_{15} & \chi_5 & \chi_3 & \chi_2 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 7 & 4 & -3 & -16 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 4 & -3 & -14 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 7 & 4 & -3 & -10 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 4 & -3 & -12 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 4 & -3 & -8 \\
\end{array}\]

Table 3.

Example 2.11. Consider the double cover \( X \) of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) in Example 2.7:

\[
y^2 = x_0^6 + x_0 x_1^5 + x_2^6 + a x_0^3 x_2^3.
\]

Then \( X \) carries the order 30 automorphism

\[
\sigma_{30}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (x_0, \zeta_5 x_1, \zeta_3 x_2, -y).
\]

Observe that for general \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) the fixed locus of \( \sigma_2 \) is the smooth plane sextic defined by curve \( y = 0 \), which has genus 10. Moreover, the fixed locus of \( \sigma_{30} \) consists of the point \((0, 1, 0, 0)\). This is an example of case a) in Proposition 2.10.
Example 2.12. The elliptic K3 surface in Example 2.8
\[ y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)(t^5 - a), \]
carries the order 30 automorphism
\[ \sigma_{30}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_5 t, \zeta_3 x, -y). \]
Observe that for general \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) the fixed locus of \( \sigma_2 \) is the curve \( y = 0 \), which has genus 9. Moreover, as observed in the proof of Proposition 2.10, the fixed locus of \( \sigma_{30} \) consists of two points in the section at infinity (over \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \infty \)) and the center of the fiber of type IV over \( t = \infty \). This is an example of case b) in Proposition 2.10.

Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 30. Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 30 such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). It is straightforward from the proof of Proposition 2.10 that, up to isomorphism, \((X, \sigma)\) belongs to one of the families in Examples 2.11 and 2.12. \( \square \)

2.5. Order 16. Purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 16 on K3 surfaces have been classified in [ATST16]. The following result has the same statement as [ATST16, Theorem 4.1], but we provide a slightly different proof since we use the weaker hypothesis \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \).

Proposition 2.13. Let \( \sigma_{16} \) be a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 16 of a K3 surface \( X \) and assume that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \) (or equivalently \( S(\sigma_2) \) has rank 6). Then there are two possibilities for the fixed locus of \( \sigma_{16} \) and of its powers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \sigma_{16} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_8 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_4 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) {p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) {p_1,p_2,p_3,p_6}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
<td>{p_1,\ldots,p_6} \sqcup \mathbb{C}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( g(C_6) = 6 \), \( g(C_7) = 7 \) and \( g(R) = g(R') = 0 \). Moreover, \( d = (2,0,0,0,6) \) in case a) and \( d = (2,0,0,2,4) \) in case b).

Finally, \( \text{NS}(X) \cong U \oplus D_4 \) for a very general \( X \) in case a) and \( \text{NS}(X) \cong U(2) \oplus D_4 \) for a very general \( X \) in case b).

Proof. Decomposing \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \) as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of \( \sigma^1_{16} \) we obtain:

\[ 22 = 8d_{16} + 4d_8 + 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1. \]

Since \( d_{16} = 2 \), this implies that \( d_8 \) is either 0 or 1 and gives the 14 possibilities for the vector \( d \) in Table 4. Let \( N_i \) be the number of isolated fixed points of \( \sigma_i \), \( \chi_i = \chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)) \) and

\[ \alpha_i = \sum_{C \subset \text{Fix}(\sigma_i)} (1 - g(C)). \]
for $i \in \{2, 4, 8, 16\}$. By the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{16} &= -d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_8 &= -d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_4 &= -4d_8 + 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_2 &= -8d_{16} + 4d_8 + 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2.
\end{align*}
$$

Table 4 shows the values of $(\chi_{16}, \chi_8, \chi_4, \chi_2)$ for each possible vector $d$.

Observe that $\chi_2 = -8$. By [Nik79a], $N_2 = 0$ and Fix($\sigma_2$) is the union of a curve of genus $g$ and $k$ rational curves with $(g, k) = (5, 0), (6, 1)$ or $(7, 2)$.

Moreover, $\chi_4 = 0$ or $8$. By [AS15, Proposition 1] we have that $N_4 = 2\alpha_4 + 4$. Since $\chi_4 = 2\alpha_4 + N_4$ one has

$$
\chi_4 = 4\alpha_4 + 4.
$$

If $\chi_4 = 0$, then $\alpha_4 = -1$, but this is not possible since Fix($\sigma_4$) $\subseteq$ Fix($\sigma_2$) and it is not compatible with the aforementioned possibilities for Fix($\sigma_2$).

Thus $\chi_4 = 8$, $\alpha_4 = 1$ and Fix($\sigma_4$) contains a rational curve (and no more curves) and 6 points. This implies that the case $(g, k) = (5, 0)$ is impossible.

Let us assume now that Fix($\sigma_2$) is the union of a smooth curve $C_6$ of genus 6 and a rational curve $R$. By the previous analysis we know that $\sigma_4$ fixes pointwise $R$ and has 6 isolated fixed points $p_1, \ldots, p_6$ on $C_6$.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for $\sigma_8$ on $C_6$, we observe that either a) 2 of the $p_i$’s are fixed and the other four are permuted in pairs by $\sigma_8$ or b) the points $p_1, \ldots, p_6$ are fixed points for $\sigma_8$. Observe that case a) is not possible since $\chi_4 = 8$ and $\chi_8 = 4$ does not appear in Table 4.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for $\sigma_{16}$ on $C_6$, we obtain that $\sigma_{16}$ fixes 2 of the $p_i$’s and exchanges the other four in pairs. Thus $(\chi_{16}, \chi_8, \chi_4, \chi_2) = (4, 8, 8, -8)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d_{16}$</th>
<th>$d_8$</th>
<th>$d_4$</th>
<th>$d_2$</th>
<th>$d_1$</th>
<th>$\chi_{16}$</th>
<th>$\chi_8$</th>
<th>$\chi_4$</th>
<th>$\chi_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.
Observe that six of the fixed points of $\sigma_8$ lie on a curve fixed pointwise by $\sigma_2$ and not by $\sigma_4$, thus the local action of $\sigma_8$ at such points is either of type $A_{2,8}$ or $A_{3,8}$. By [ATS18, Proposition 2.2] we have that $6 = 2 + 4\alpha_8$, thus $\alpha_8 = 1$. This implies that $N_8 = 6$ and the curve $R$ is pointwise fixed by $\sigma_8$. On the other hand, by [ATST16, Proposition 2], $N_{16}$ is bigger or equal to $2\alpha_{16} + 1$. This implies that $\alpha_{16} = 0$, i.e. $R$ is not pointwise fixed by $\sigma_{16}$.

Let us assume now that $\text{Fix}(\sigma_2)$ is the union of a smooth curve $C_7$ of genus 7 and two rational curves $R, R'$. We already know that one rational curve is fixed by $\sigma_4$, say $R$. Thus $\sigma_4$ fixes 2 points $q_1, q_2$ on $R'$ and 4 points $p_1, \ldots, p_4$ on $C_7$. This implies that the curves $R$ and $R'$ cannot be exchanged by $\sigma_{16}$ nor by $\sigma_8$ and that $\chi_{16} \geq 4$ and $\chi_8 \geq 4$.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for $\sigma_8$ on $C_7$, either the four $p_i$’s are fixed by $\sigma_8$ or none of them is fixed by $\sigma_8$. This implies that either $\chi_8 = 4$ or $\chi_8 = 8$. Looking at Table 4, we find that we are left with the three possibilities of Table 5. In particular $\chi_8 = 8$ and $\{p_1, \ldots, p_4, q_1, q_2\} \subset \text{Fix}(\sigma_8)$.

Moreover, by [ATS18, Proposition 2.2] we obtain that $2 + 4\alpha_8 = 6$, thus $\alpha_8 = 1$. This implies that $\sigma_8$ fixes pointwise the curve $R$.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for $\sigma_{16}$ on $C_7$, either a) $\sigma_{16}$ fixes the four $p_i$’s and thus $\chi_{16} = 8$, or b) it does not fix any of them and $\chi_{16} = 4$. By [ATST16, Proposition 2, Remark 1.3] the cases $(N_{16}, \alpha_{16}) = (2, 1)$ and $(N_{16}, \alpha_{16}) = (8, 0)$ are impossible. Thus in case a) $\alpha_{16} = 1$ and $N_{16} = 4$, i.e. the fixed locus of $\sigma_{16}$ contains $p_1, \ldots, p_4, q_1, q_2$ and the curve $R$. On the other hand in case b) we have that $\alpha_{16} = 0$, i.e. $\sigma_{16}$ fixes exactly $q_1, q_2$ and two points on $R$. We now show that this case can not appear. By [ATST16, Remark 1.3] if $N_{16} = 4$, then $n_{3,16} = n_{7,16} = 1$ and $n_{8,16} = 2$. Observe that the points of type $A_{8,16}$ lie on a curve fixed by $\sigma_8$, thus they must be the two points on $R$. This implies that the points of type $A_{3,16}$ and $A_{7,16}$ are $q_1, q_2$. However, two isolated fixed points of $\sigma_{16}$ lying on an invariant smooth rational curve can not be of these types by the proof of [AS15, Lemma 4].

Observe that both in case a) and b) we have that $d_4 = d_8 = 0$ and $d_1 + d_2 = 6$. This implies that $S(\sigma_8) = S(\sigma_4) = S(\sigma_2)$ has rank 6. If $X$ is very general, then rank NS$(X) = 22 - 2\varphi(20) = 6$ and thus by Remark 1.4 NS$(X) = S(\sigma_2)$. This implies that NS$(X) = S(\sigma_2) \cong U \oplus D_4$ in case a) and NS$(X) = S(\sigma_2) \cong U(2) \oplus D_4$ in case b), see [ATST16].

For the following examples, see [ATST16, Example 4.2].
Example 2.14. Consider the elliptic fibration defined by
\[ y^2 = x^3 + t^2x + ax^3 + t^{11}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \]
with the order 16 automorphism \( \sigma_{16}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_8 t, \zeta_8 x, \zeta_8^3 y) \). The action of \( \sigma_{16}^* \) on the holomorphic two form \( \omega_X = (dx \wedge dt)/2y \) is the multiplication by \( \zeta_{16} \), thus \( \sigma_{16} \) is purely non-symplectic. The fibration has a fiber of type \( I_0^* \) over \( t = 0 \) and a fiber of type \( II \) over \( t = \infty \). The automorphism \( \sigma_{16} \) fixes the central component of the fiber of type \( I_0^* \), four points in the other components of the same fiber and two more fixed points in the fiber over \( t = \infty \). This is an example of case a) in Proposition 2.13.

Example 2.15. Consider the double cover \( Y \) of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) defined by the following equation in \( \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3) \):
\[ y^2 = x_0(x_0^4x_2 + x_1^5 + x_1x_2^4 + ax_3^3x_2) = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \]
Observe that for general \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) the branch curve is the union of a smooth plane quintic \( C \) and a line \( L \). The surface \( Y \) has the order 16 automorphism \( \sigma_{16}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (x_0, \zeta_8^2 x_1, \zeta_8^3 x_2, \zeta_8^3 y) \).

The surface \( Y \) has 5 singular points of type \( A_1 \) over the intersection points of \( C \) and \( L \). Its minimal resolution \( X \) is a K3 surface and \( \sigma_{16} \) lifts to an automorphism \( \tilde{\sigma}_{16} \) of \( X \). The automorphism \( \tilde{\sigma}_{16} \) has 4 fixed points: two of them over the points \((1, 0, 0, 0)\) and \((0, 1, 0, 0)\) and the other two in the exceptional divisor over \((0, 0, 1, 0)\) (which is a singular point of \( Y \)). Thus this is an example of case b) in Proposition 2.13.

Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 16. Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 16 such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). By Proposition 2.13, \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_{16}) \) is either the union of a rational curve and 6 points or the union of 4 isolated points.

In case a), we have that \( S(\sigma_2) \cong U \oplus D_4 \) and \( S(\sigma_2) = \text{NS}(X) \) for a very general K3 surface by Remark 1.4. In what follows we assume \( X \) to be very general. Observe that if we prove that \( X \) belongs to a certain projective family under this condition, then the same holds for its specializations. By [Kon92, Lemma 2.1] or [PSS71, §3, proof of Corollary 3], \( X \) has an elliptic fibration \( \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \) with a section \( S \) and a reducible fiber of type \( D_4 = I_0^* \). The curve \( C_7 \) fixed by the involution \( \sigma_2 \) has to be transverse to the fibers of \( \pi \), since its genus is bigger than 1. Thus \( \sigma_2 \) induces the identity on the basis of the fibration. Since \( \text{NS}(X) = S(\sigma_2), \sigma_2^* \) is the identity on \( \text{NS}(X) \), hence each smooth rational curve is invariant for \( \sigma_2 \). This implies that the section \( S \) and the central component of the fiber of type \( I_0^* \) are pointwise fixed by \( \sigma_2 \). Since a smooth fiber of \( \pi \) must contain four fixed points for \( \sigma_2 \) and one of them is on \( S \), then \( C_7 \) intersects it in 3 points. Applying [AS15, Lemma 5] with \( x = [C_7] \), one concludes that the elliptic fibration \( \pi \) is invariant under \( \sigma_{16} \). The section \( S \) corresponds to the curve \( R' \) (see notation of Proposition 2.13) i.e. it is not fixed pointwise by \( \sigma_{16} \), otherwise each fiber of \( \pi \), including the smooth ones, would have an order 16 automorphism with
a fixed point, which is impossible for an elliptic curve [Har77]. Thus \(\sigma_{16}\) induces an automorphism of order 8 on the basis of \(\pi\). This implies that \(\sigma_8\) preserves each fiber of \(\pi\) and acts on it as an involution with a fixed point.

Consider a Weierstrass equation for \(\pi\) with respect to the section \(S\):

\[
y^2 = x^3 + A(t)x + B(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{P}^1.
\]

We can assume that the two invariant fibers of \(\sigma_{16}\) are over \(t = 0\) and \(t = \infty\), and that the fiber of type \(I_0\) is over \(t = 0\). Since \(\text{NS}(X) \cong U \oplus D_4\) the fiber \(F_0\) over 0 is the only reducible fiber of \(\pi\), moreover \(24 - \epsilon(F_0)\) is divisible by 8. This implies that the fiber over \(t = \infty\) is of type \(III\). By [Mir89, Table IV.3.1], this implies that the vanishing order \(\nu(\Delta)\) of \(\Delta(t)\) at \(t = 0\) is 6 and at \(t = \infty\) is 2. Thus \(\Delta(t) = t^6 P(t)\) with \(P(0) \neq 0\), \(\deg(P(t)) = 16\). Moreover \(v(B(\infty)) = 1\), thus \(B(t) = t^2 Q(t)\) with \(\deg(Q(t)) = 8\). Since the action of \(\sigma_{16}\) on the basis of \(\pi\) has order 8 and the fibers over \(t = 0, \infty\) are preserved by \(\sigma_8\), then \(Q(t) = t^8 + a\) with \(a \in \mathbb{C}\). By [Mir89, Table IV.3.1], we have that \(A(t) = t^2\). Moreover, \(\sigma_{16}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_8 t, \zeta_8 x, \zeta_8^3 y)\) and \(X\) belongs to the family in Example 2.14.

Now consider \(X\) as in case b), i.e. \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{16})\) is the union of 4 isolated points, and \(S(\sigma_2) = U(2) \oplus D_4\). As before we assume \(X\) to be very general, i.e. that \(\text{NS}(X) = S(\sigma_2)\). It is known that the surface \(X\) has a degree two morphism \(\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2\) which is the minimal resolution of a double cover ramified along the union of a line \(\ell\) and a quintic curve \(C\) [ATST16, Section 4]. In particular, \(X\) has six \((-2)\)-curves, i.e. 5 exceptional divisors \(E_1, \ldots, E_5\) over the points \(\ell \cap C\) and the proper transform \(E\) of (the double cover) of \(\ell\). It follows from Vinberg’s algorithm (see [Rou]) that these are the only \((-2)\)-curves of \(X\). This implies that the linear system of the divisor \(2E + \sum_{i=1}^{5} E_i\), which is the one defining the morphism \(\pi\), is invariant for \(\sigma^*\). Thus the automorphism \(\sigma\) induces an automorphism \(\bar{\sigma}\) of \(\mathbb{P}^2\) preserving the branch curve \(\ell \cup C\).

The involution \(\sigma_2\) fixes a genus 6 curve and a rational curve \(R\). If the induced automorphism \(\bar{\sigma}_2\) were an involution, it would fix a line and a point. This would imply that in \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_2)\) the maximum possible genus is 2, giving a contradiction. Thus \(\bar{\sigma}_2\) is the identity on \(\mathbb{P}^2\), \(\sigma_2\) is the covering involution and \(\bar{R}\) is the transform of the line \(\ell\) in the branch locus. Moreover, since \(\bar{R}\) is contained in \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_4)\) and \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_8)\), \(\ell\) is fixed by \(\bar{\sigma}_4\) and \(\bar{\sigma}_8\). In addition, \(\bar{\sigma}_{16}\) has order 8 on \(\mathbb{P}^2\) and it only fixes points, \(\bar{\sigma}_8\) has order 4 and \(\bar{\sigma}_4\) has order 2.

Assume that \(\ell\) is defined by \(x_0 = 0\), thus

\[
\bar{\sigma}_8(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (ix_0, x_1, x_2), \quad \bar{\sigma}_4(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (-x_0, x_1, x_2).
\]

Since \(\bar{\sigma}_{16}\) only fixes points in \(\mathbb{P}^2\), it is \(\bar{\sigma}_{16}(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (\zeta_8 x_0, x_1, -x_2)\) and the equation of \(X\) is obtained taking invariant monomials and recalling that we need the quintic to be smooth, otherwise \(\text{Fix}(\sigma_2)\) would not contain a genus 6 curve. Thus the equation of \(X\) is as in Example 2.15

\[
y^2 = x_0(x_0^4 x_2 + x_1^5 + x_1 x_2^4 + ax_1^3 x_2^2) = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}
\]
and
\[ \sigma_{16}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (\zeta^{8}x_0, x_1, -x_2, \zeta_{16}y) = (x_0, \zeta_{8}^7 x_1, \zeta_{8}^3 x_2, \zeta_{16}^{11} y), \]
where \( \zeta_{16} \) is a primitive 16-th root of unity with \( \zeta_{16}^2 = \zeta_8 \). Observe that \( \sigma_{16}^9 \) is the automorphism in Example 2.15. \( \square \)

2.6. Order 20.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let \( X \) be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism \( \sigma_{20} \) of order 20 such that \( \dim(V^\sigma) = 2 \). Then the fixed loci of \( \sigma_{20} \) and of its powers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fix(( \sigma_{20} ))</th>
<th>Fix(( \sigma_{10} ))</th>
<th>Fix(( \sigma_5 ))</th>
<th>Fix(( \sigma_4 ))</th>
<th>Fix(( \sigma_2 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{p_1, p_2, p_3}</td>
<td>{p_1, \ldots, p_7}</td>
<td>( C_2 \sqcup {p_1} )</td>
<td>( {p_1, \ldots, p_6} \sqcup R )</td>
<td>( C_6 \sqcup R )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( g(C_i) = i \) for \( i = 2, 6 \) and \( g(R) = 0 \). Moreover \( d = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2) \) and \( \NS(X) = S(\sigma_2) \) for a very general such K3 surface \( X \).

**Proof.** Decomposing \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \) as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of \( \sigma_{20}^2 \) we obtain:
\[ 22 = 8d_{20} + 4d_{10} + 4d_5 + 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1. \]
Assuming \( d_{20} = 2 \), thus \( d_{10} \) is either 0 or 1 and this gives 16 possibilities for the vector \( d \). Let \( \chi_i = \chi(\Fix(\sigma_i)), i \in \{2, 4, 5, 10, 20\} \). By the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{20} &= d_{10} - d_5 - d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_{10} &= 2d_{20} - d_{10} - d_5 - 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_{5} &= -2d_{20} - d_{10} - d_5 + 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_{4} &= -4d_{10} + 4d_5 - d_2 + d_1 + 2 \\
\chi_{2} &= -8d_{20} + 4d_{10} + 4d_5 - 2d_4 + d_2 + d_1 + 2
\end{align*}
\]

By (8) we compute \( \chi_5 \) for the 16 possible \( d \)'s and find that it is either \(-1\) or \(4\).

Assume first that \( \chi_5 = -1 \), so that \( \Fix(\sigma_5) \) is the union of a smooth curve \( C \) of genus two and one point \( p \) by [AST11]. This corresponds to the cases in Table 6. In all these cases \( \chi_{10} = 7 \), so that \( \Fix(\sigma_{10}) \) is the union of \( p \) and

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\chi_{20} & \chi_{10} & \chi_{5} & \chi_{4} & \chi_{2} \\
3 & 7 & -1 & 8 & -8 \\
1 & 7 & -1 & 6 & -8 \\
5 & 7 & -1 & 0 & -8 \\
3 & 7 & -1 & -2 & -8
\end{array}
\]

Table 6.

6 points on \( C \). By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, this implies that \( \sigma_{20} \) has two fixed points on \( C \), so that \( \Fix(\sigma_{20}) \) consist of the union of three points. Moreover, in all these cases \( \chi_2 = -8 \) by Table 6, so that by [Nik79a] \( \Fix(\sigma_2) \) is a) the union of a curve of genus 7 and two rational curves, or b) the union
of a curve of genus six and a rational curve, or c) a genus 5 curve. In all cases \( \sigma_{20} \) acts with order 10 on the curve of positive genus, since otherwise either \( \sigma_{10} \) of \( \sigma_4 \) should contain such curve in its fixed locus, contradicting the previous remarks for \( \sigma_{10} \) and [AS15, Theorem 0.1].

In case a), \( \sigma_{20} \) must fix exactly three points on the curve \( C \) of genus 7 and exchange the two rational curves. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula this implies that \( \sigma_5 \) fixes the same points on \( C \) and \( \sigma_4 \) has exactly 8 fixed points on \( C \) and exchanges the two rational curves. This is not possible since by [AS15, Theorem 0.1] the number of fixed points equals \( 2\alpha + 4 \), where \( \alpha = \sum_{C \subset \text{Fix}(\sigma_4)} (1 - g(C)) \).

In case b), \( \sigma_{20} \) has exactly one fixed point on the genus six curve and two points on the rational curve \( R \), while \( \sigma_5 \) has exactly five fixed points on the curve by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. By the same formula \( \sigma_4 \) has 6 fixed points on \( C \). By [AS15, Theorem 0.1] \( R \) is fixed by \( \sigma_4 \).

Case c) is impossible since, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, a curve of genus 5 can not have an order five automorphism with more than two fixed points (and \( \sigma_5 \) would have this property).

Assume now that \( \chi_5 = 4 \). By [AST11], \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) contains either four isolated points or an elliptic curve and four isolated points. In both cases \( a_{1.5} = 3, a_{2.5} = 1 \). Observe that points of type \( A_{4.20}, A_{5.20}, A_{9.20} \) lie on a curve fixed by \( \sigma_5 \) while points of type \( A_i,20 \) with \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8\} \) are isolated fixed points for \( \sigma_5 \). Since the action of \( \sigma_{20} \) on \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) has order 2 or 4, in both cases the point of type \( A_{2.5} \) is fixed by \( \sigma_{20} \) and \( a_{2.20} + a_{7.20} = 1 \) and \( a_{1.20} + a_{3.20} + a_{6.20} + a_{8.20} \) is either 1 or 3.

If \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) consists of four isolated points, \( a_{4.20} + a_{5.20} + a_{9.20} = 0 \) since there are no curves in \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \). A Magma computation shows that the holomorphic Lefschetz formula has no solutions satisfying these conditions.

If \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \) consists of four isolated points and an elliptic curve \( E \), by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula \( E \) contains 0, 2 or 4 isolated points for \( \sigma_{20} \) thus \( a_{4.20} + a_{5.20} + a_{9.20} \in \{0, 2, 4\} \). The holomorphic Lefschetz formula has no solutions with these restrictions. Thus the case with \( \chi_5 = 4 \) is not possible.

Observe that, since \( d_{20} = 2 \) and \( \varphi(20) = 8 \), the Néron-Severi lattice of a very general \( X \) has rank \( 22 - 2 \cdot 8 = 6 \). Moreover \( S(\sigma_2) \subseteq \text{NS}(X) \) by Remark 1.4. On the other hand, since the fixed locus of \( \sigma_2 \) is the union of a curve of genus 6 and a rational curve, then \( \text{rk} S(\sigma_2) = 6 \) by [Nik79a], thus \( S(\sigma_2) = \text{NS}(X) \).

**Example 2.17.** Consider the double cover \( Y \) of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) defined by the following equation in \( \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3) \):

\[
y^2 = x_0(x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_0^2x_2^2 + ax_0^4x_2) = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

Observe that the branch curve is the union of a smooth plane quintic \( C \) and a line \( L \). The surface \( Y \) has the order 20 automorphism

\[
\sigma_{20}(x_0, x_1, x_2, y) = (-x_0, \zeta_5 x_1, x_2, iy).
\]
The surface $Y$ has 5 singular points of type $A_1$ over the intersection points of $C$ and $L$. Its minimal resolution $X$ is a K3 surface and $\sigma_{20}$ lifts to an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}_{20}$ of $X$. The automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}_{20}$ has 3 fixed points over the fundamental points of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

**Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 20.** Let $X$ be a K3 surface with a purely nonsymplectic automorphism $\sigma_{20}$ of order 20. By Proposition 2.16, $\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)$ contains a curve $C_2$ of genus 2 and one point.

By Theorem 2.16 the fixed locus of $\sigma_5$ is the union of a curve $C_2$ of genus two and one point. The linear system $|C_2|$ defines a morphism $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree two which contracts all smooth rational curves orthogonal to $C_2$. Since $\sigma$ leaves $C_2$ invariant, then it induces an automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Up to a projectivity, we can assume $\bar{\sigma}$ to be diagonal.

Let $\bar{\sigma}_2 = \bar{\sigma}^{10}$ and assume it has order two. Thus its fixed locus is the union of a line and one point, so that $\text{Fix}(\bar{\sigma}_2)$ contains a fixed curve of genus at most 2, contradicting the fact that $\sigma_2$ fixes a curve of genus 6. Thus $\sigma_2$ coincides with the covering involution of $\varphi$.

Now consider the automorphism $\bar{\sigma}_4 = \bar{\sigma}^5$, whose order is equal to two. Its fixed locus contains a line, we can assume it to be $L = \{x_0 = 0\}$ up to projectivities. By Theorem 2.16 the line $L$ must be a component of the branch curve $B$ of $\varphi$.

Finally, let $\bar{\sigma}_5 = \bar{\sigma}^4$. Since $\sigma_5$ has a fixed curve, then $\bar{\sigma}_5$ must fix a line $L'$ which is not equal to the line fixed by $\bar{\sigma}_4$, thus up to projectivities we can assume $L' = \{x_1 = 0\}$. In these coordinates

$$\bar{\sigma}(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (-x_0, \zeta_5 x_1, x_2).$$

The branch curve $B$ is reduced, invariant for $\bar{\sigma}$ and must contain the line $L$ as a component. This implies that its equation is of the form:

$$x_0(x_2^5 + ax_0^2x_2^3 + bx_0^4x_2 + cx_0^5) = 0,$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular $B$ has 5 singular points in the intersection of the line $L$ and the quintic curve $Q$ (i.e. $\varphi$ contracts 5 disjoint smooth rational curves). All the above implies that $(X, \sigma_{20})$ can be defined by an equation as in Example 2.17. \qed

**Remark 2.18.** It follows from the proof of Theorem 0.1, $n = 20$, that there are five smooth rational curves $R_1, \ldots, R_5$ in $X$, each intersecting at one point the two fixed curves $C_6$ and $R$ of $\sigma_2$. The classes of the curves $R, R_1, \ldots, R_5$ all belong to the invariant lattice $S(\sigma_2)$. Observe that the classes of

$$2R + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4, \ 2R + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_5, \ R, \ R_1, \ R_2, \ R_3,$$

generate a lattice $S$ isometric to $U(2) \oplus D_4$. Since $S$ is contained in $S(\sigma_2)$ and $\det(S(\sigma_2)) = \det(S) = -2^4$ by [AST11, Theorem 0.1], then $S = S(\sigma_2)$. 

2.7. Order 24.

Proposition 2.19. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism $\sigma = \sigma_{24}$ of order 24 such that $\dim(V^\sigma) = 2$. Then the fixed loci of $\sigma_{24}$ and of its powers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_{24})$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_{12})$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_6)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${p_1, p_2, p_3, p_{12}, p_{13}}$</td>
<td>${p_1, p_2, p_3, p_{12}, p_{13}}$</td>
<td>$R_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_{11}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_4 \sqcup R_1 \sqcup {p_1}$</td>
<td>$C_7 \sqcup R_1 \sqcup R_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $g(C_i) = i$ for $i = 4, 7$ and $g(R_1) = g(R_2) = 0$. Moreover $d = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2)$ and $\text{NS}(X) \cong U \oplus D_4$ for a very general such K3 surface $X$.

Proof. Decomposing $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $\sigma_{24}^\ast$ we obtain:

$$22 = 8d_{24} + 4d_{12} + 4d_8 + 2d_6 + 2d_4 + 2d_3 + d_2 + d_1.$$ 

Let $\chi_i = \chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)), i \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$. By the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula we get

$$\chi_{24} = d_6 - d_3 - d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_{12} = 2d_{12} - d_6 - 2d_4 - d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_8 = -2d_6 + 2d_3 - d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_6 = 4d_{24} - 2d_{12} - 4d_8 - d_6 + 2d_4 - d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_4 = -4d_{12} + 2d_6 - 2d_4 + 2d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_3 = -4d_{24} - 2d_{12} + 4d_8 - d_6 + 2d_4 - d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 2$$
$$\chi_2 = -8d_{24} + 4d_{12} - 4d_8 + 2d_6 + 2d_4 + 2d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + 2$$

(9)

Computing all possible values of the vector $d$ one can see that $\chi_3 \in \{0, -3, -6\}$.

Assume $\chi_3 = 0$. By [AS08] $\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$ is either the union of genus two curve and two isolated points or the union of a genus three curve, a smooth rational curve and two isolated points. Clearly $\text{Fix}(\sigma_6) \subseteq \text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$ and in this case $\chi_6 = 16$ or 8. The first case is incompatible with the structure of the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$. If $\chi_6 = 8$, then the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ must be the union of a genus three curve $C$, a smooth rational curve $R$ and two isolated points $p, q$. The automorphism $\sigma_6$ fixes 4 points on $C$ and $p, q$. Moreover, it either fixes pointwise $R$ or it has two isolated fixed points on it. Both cases are incompatible with [Dil12, Theorem 4.1] since the fixed points of $\sigma_6$ contained in the fixed curve of $\sigma_3$ are those of type $A_{2,6}$ (of type $\frac{1}{6}(3,4)$ in [Dil12]).

If $\chi_3 = -6$ we have $\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_6)) = 10$ and this can be seen to be incompatible with [Dil12, Theorem 4.1] with an argument similar to the previous one.

If $\chi_3 = -3$ and by [AS08] $\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$ is either the union of a curve of genus 3 and one point, or the union of a curve of genus 4, a smooth rational curve
and one point. In these cases we have $\chi_6 = 13$, which excludes the first possibility for $\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$. Thus $\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$ is the union of a curve $C$ of genus 4, a smooth rational curve $R$ and one point $p$. Using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for $\sigma_6$ and the fact that $\chi_6 = 13$ we obtain that $\sigma_6$ fixes $p$ and 10 points on $C$. Moreover, by [Dil12, Theorem 4.1] the curve $R$ is pointwise fixed by $\sigma_6$. In this case one computes that $\chi_{12}$ is either 5 or 1, but the second case is not possible since $\sigma_{12}$ either fixes pointwise or has two fixed points on $R$. Thus $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{12})$ fixed $p$, two points on $C$ and it either fixes pointwise or has two fixed points on $R$. A computation using the holomorphic Lefschetz formula shows that the first case does not occur. In this case one computes that $\chi_{24} \in \{-1, 1, 3, 5, 7\}$. The only cases compatible with the structure of $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{12})$ are $\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{24})) = 3$ or 5. The first case is impossible by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

Assuming $\chi_3 = -3$, $\chi_6 = 13$, $\chi_{12} = 5$ and $\chi_{24} = 5$ we find two possible vectors $d = (2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 4), (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 3)$. For these cases $\chi_2 = -8$, $\chi_4 = 8$. Moreover $\chi_8 \geq \chi_{24} = 5$, which excludes the case $d = (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 3)$.

Finally, by [Nik79a, Theorem 4.2.2] or [AST11, Figure 1] the invariant lattice of $\sigma_2$ is isometric to $U \oplus D_4$. For a very general $K3$ surface we have $\text{rk NS}(X) = 22 - 2\varphi(24) = 6$. Moreover $S(\sigma_2) \subseteq \text{NS}(X)$ by Remark 1.4, thus $S(\sigma_2) = \text{NS}(X)$.

**Example 2.20.** Consider the elliptic surface with equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + t(t^4 - 1)(t^4 - a), \ a \in \mathbb{C}.$$ 

For general $a \in \mathbb{C}$ it is a $K3$ surface and carries the order 24 automorphism

$$\sigma_{24}(t, x, y) = (it, \zeta_{12}x, \zeta_{12}y).$$ 

The action of $\sigma^*$ on the holomorphic two form $\omega_X = (dx \wedge dt)/2y$ is the multiplication by $\zeta_{12} \zeta_{12}^{-1}$, thus $\sigma_{24}$ is purely non-symplectic. For general $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the elliptic fibration has a singular fiber $F_\infty$ of type $I_0^6$ over $t = \infty$ and 9 fibers of type II. The automorphism $\sigma_2$ fixes the section at infinity $R_1$, the genus 7 curve defined by $y = 0$ and the central component $R_2$ of the fiber $F_\infty$. The automorphism $\sigma_3$ fixes $R_1$, the curve of genus 4 defined by $x = 0$ and the intersection point $p_1$ between $R_2$ and the component of $F_\infty$ intersecting $R_1$. Observe that the remaining three components of $F_\infty$ are permuted by $\sigma_3$. The automorphism $\sigma_6$ fixes the 9 singular points $p_3, \ldots, p_{11}$ of the fibers of type II, the point $p_1$ and the intersection point $p_2$ between the fiber $F_\infty$ and the curve $x = 0$. Finally, the automorphisms $\sigma_{12}$ and $\sigma_{24}$
fix the singular point $p_3$ of the fiber $F_0$ of type II over $t = 0$, the intersection points of $R_1$ with the fibers $F_0, F_\infty, p_1$ and $p_2$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1, order 24. Since the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ is the union of a curve $C_4$ of genus 4, a smooth rational curve $R$ and one point, it follows from [AS08] that $X$ has an elliptic fibration with Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + p_{12}(t),$$

where $\deg(p_{12}) = 12$ and $\sigma_3(t, x, y) = (t\zeta_3 x, y)$. Observe that $R$ is the section at infinity and $C_4$ is the 2-section defined by $x = 0$. Using [AS15, Lemma 5] with $C_4$ we obtain that the elliptic fibration is invariant for $\sigma_{24}$.

The involution $\sigma_2$ leaves invariant each fiber of $\pi$, since it fixes a curve of genus $>1$. Moreover, since it preserves the curve $R$, it must fix it pointwisely. This implies that $\sigma_2(t, x, y) = (t, x, -y)$. Since $\sigma_8$ preserves $R$ but neither $\sigma_8$ nor $\sigma_8^2$ fixes it pointwise, then it induces an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}_8$ of order 4 on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Up to a coordinate change, we can assume that $\tilde{\sigma}_8(t) = it$. Moreover, since $\sigma_8^4 = \sigma_2$ we can assume that $\sigma_8(t, x, y) = (it, x, \zeta_8 y)$.

3. Classification for order 22

We now prove a complete classification theorem of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 22 on a K3 surface, according to their fixed locus.

**Remark 3.1.** As in [ACV20, Lemma 1.3], a straightforward computation using the holomorphic Lefschetz formula shows that a non-symplectic automorphism of order 22 is purely non-symplectic.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\sigma = \sigma_{22}$ be a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 22 on a K3 surface $X$. Then the fixed loci of $\sigma_{22}$ and of its powers $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22}^2$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{22}^{11}$ are described in one of the rows of the following table,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_{22}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_{22})$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_{11})$</th>
<th>$\text{Fix}(\sigma_2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>${p_1, \ldots, p_6}$</td>
<td>$C_1 \sqcup {p_5, p_6}$</td>
<td>$C_{10} \sqcup R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$R \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_{11}}$</td>
<td>$R_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_{11}}$</td>
<td>$C_5 \sqcup R_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup R_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$R \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_9}$</td>
<td>$R_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_{11}}$</td>
<td>$C_5 \sqcup R_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup R_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>${p_1, \ldots, p_5}$</td>
<td>$R_1 \sqcup {p_1, \ldots, p_{11}}$</td>
<td>$C_5 \sqcup R_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Order 22

where $g(C_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 5, 10$ and $g(R_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 5$.

Moreover, all cases exist.

**Proof.** Let $\sigma_{11}$ be the square of $\sigma_{22}$. According to [AST11, Table 4], the fixed locus of $\sigma_{11}$ is either a) the union of a smooth elliptic curve and 2 points, or b) the union of a rational curve and 11 points. In the first case $m := \frac{22 - \text{rank}(\sigma_{11})}{10}$ is 2, while in the second case $m = 1$. 

Recall that fixed points of type $A_{10,22}$ lie on a curve in $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{11})$, while points of type $A_{i,22}, A_{10-i,22}$ correspond to isolated points for $\sigma_{11}$ of type $A_{i,11}, i = 1, \ldots, 4$. The Lefschetz holomorphic formula with the restrictions

$$a_{5,22} \leq a_{5,11}, \quad a_{i,22} + a_{10-i,22} \leq a_{i,11}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

gives the solutions as in Table 8, where we compute $\chi_{22}$ and $\chi_2$ by (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a_{1,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{2,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{3,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{4,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{5,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{6,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{7,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{8,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{9,22}$</th>
<th>$a_{10,22}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\chi_{22}$</th>
<th>$\chi_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.

In case A1 we have $d_1 + d_2 = 2$ and $d_{11} + d_{22} = 2$ by [AST11, Table 4]. Since $\chi_{22} = 6$, then $(d_{22}, d_{11}, d_2, d_1) = (2, 0, 0, 1)$ by (1). The description of the fixed locus of $\sigma_2$ is thus obtained as in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

We now study the possibilities for $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{22})$ when $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{11})$ is the union of a rational curve and 11 points. By [Nik79a] the fixed locus of the involution $\sigma_2$ is the union of a curve of genus $g_2$ and $k_2$ rational curves and $\chi_2 = 2(1 - g_2 + k_2)$. Thus in case B1 one has $(g_2, k_2) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5)\}$. The only admissible one is $(g_2, k_2) = (5, 5)$, since otherwise, recalling that isolated points of $\sigma_{22}$ lie on fixed curves for $\sigma_2$, one gets a contradiction with the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

As for case B2, one has $(g_2, k_2) \in \{(1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 2), (4, 3), (5, 4), (6, 5)\}$. The first four cases give a contradiction to the Riemann Hurwitz formula. Case $(g_2, k_2) = (6, 5)$ is not admissible since by [FK92, Proposition V.2.14] a curve of genus 6 does not admit an automorphism of order 11 acting on it.

Similarly, in case B3 the possibilities are $(g_2, k_2) \in \{(4, 0), (5, 1), (6, 2)\}$ and the only admissible one is $(g_2, k_2) = (5, 1)$. The vector $d = (d_{22}, d_{11}, d_2, d_1)$ is obtained in all cases by means of (1). An example for case A1 has been given in Section 2.2. We now provide examples for the cases B1, B2, B3. □

**Example 3.3.** (Case B1) Let $X$ be the elliptic K3 surface whose elliptic fibration is given by

$$y^2 = x^3 + t^7x + t^5.$$

The singular fibers of the fibration are $II^*$ over $t = 0$, $III$ over $t = \infty$ and 11 fibers of type $I_1$. The automorphism

$$\sigma_{22} : (x, y, t) \mapsto (\zeta_{22}^2x, \zeta_{22}^3y, \zeta_{22}^{10}t)$$

is purely non-symplectic of order 22 since its action on the two form $\frac{dx \wedge dt}{2y}$ is the multiplication by $-\zeta_{11}$. The automorphism $\sigma_{22}$ preserves the fibers over $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$. In the fiber over $t = 0$, which is of type $II^*$, it must fix the component of multiplicity 6 and has 8 isolated fixed points in
the other components. In the fiber over \( t = \infty \) it fixes three isolated points. The involution \( \sigma_2 \) preserves each fiber of the elliptic fibration, thus it must fix \( R \), three more components of the fiber over \( t = 0 \), the section at infinity and the 3-section \( y = 0 \), which has genus 5. This corresponds to case B1.

**Example 3.4.** (Case B2) Let us consider the elliptic fibration

\[
y^2 = x^3 + t^5 x + t^2,
\]

The fibration has a fiber of type IV over \( t = 0 \), a fiber of type III* over \( t = \infty \) and 11 fibers of type I. The automorphism

\[
\sigma_{22}(x, y, t) = (\zeta_8^8 x, -\zeta_11 y, \zeta_11 t)
\]

is purely non-symplectic of order 22 since its action on the two form \( \frac{dx \wedge dt}{2y} \) is the multiplication by \( -\zeta_8^8 \). By [AST11, Example 7.4], \( \sigma_{11} \) has fixed locus \( R \cup \{ p_1, \ldots, p_{11} \} \), where \( R \) is the central component of the fiber of type III*. The involution \( \sigma_2 \) maps \( (x, y, t) \) to \( (x, -y, t) \), thus it preserves each fiber. This implies that it fixes \( R \) and two more rational components of the fiber of type III*, as well as the section at infinity and the 3-section \( y = 0 \), whose genus is 5. This corresponds to case B2.

**Example 3.5.** (Case B3) We already observed in Section 2.2 that the elliptic K3 surface defined by

\[
y^2 = x^3 + ax + (t^{11} - 1), \ a \in \mathbb{C}^* \]

with the automorphism \( \sigma_{22} : (x, y, t) \mapsto (x : -y : \zeta_11 t) \), is an example of case A. If \( a^3 = -\frac{27}{1} \), thus the fibration admits a singular fiber of type II over \( t = 0 \), \( I_{11} \) over \( t = \infty \) and 11 fibers of type \( I_1 \). The fixed locus of the automorphism \( \sigma_{11} \) is contained in the fibers over \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \infty \). Since it fixes 11 isolated points and one rational curve, then it must fix one of the components of the fibre of type \( I_{11} \), say \( R \), has 9 fixed points in the other components of the same fibers and two more fixed points in the fiber of type II. The involution \( \sigma_2 \) fixes the section at infinity and the curve \( y = 0 \), which has genus 5. Moreover, \( \sigma_2 \) can not preserve each component of the fiber of type \( I_{11} \) by [AS15, Lemma 4]. Thus \( \sigma_2 \) acts on the fiber of type \( I_{11} \) as a reflection, without fixed components and with a unique invariant component. This corresponds to case B3.

4. **Classification for order 15**

In this section we prove a complete classification theorem of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 15 on a K3 surface, according to their fixed locus.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \sigma = \sigma_{15} \) be a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 15 of a K3 surface \( X \). The fixed locus of \( \sigma_{15} \) is either the union of \( N_{15} \) points or the disjoint union of a smooth rational curve and \( N_{15} \) points. All possibilities, according to the fixed locus of the powers \( \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_5 \) of \( \sigma_{15} \) are listed in Table 9. Moreover, all cases exist.
Proof. According to [AST11], the fixed locus of the cube of $\sigma_{15}$, i.e. $\sigma_5$, is the union of a smooth curve of genus $g_5$, $k_5$ rational curves and $a_{1,5} + a_{2,5}$ isolated points, with $g_5, k_5, a_{1,5}, a_{2,5}$ as in one of the lines of Table 10.

We recall that $\alpha = \sum_{C \subset \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})} (1 - g(C))$. In order to find all possibilities for $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})$, we will look for a solution $a := (a_{1,5}, a_{2,5}, \ldots, a_{7,15}, \alpha)$ of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula compatible with the system of equations (2).

Remark 4.2. We recall that points of type $A_4, A_5$ lie on a curve fixed by $\sigma_5$ and not by $\sigma_{15}$. Thus if $a_{4,15} + a_{5,15} > 0$, there is at least a curve in $\text{Fix}(\sigma_5) \setminus \text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})$.

Remark 4.3. Observe that by [Bro91], a curve of genus 3 does not admit an automorphism of order 5. Thus if $\text{Fix}(\sigma_3)$ contains a curve of genus 3, such curve is also fixed by $\sigma_{15}$.

We now analyze each line of the previous table separately.

• Case A: corresponds to $\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)) = -1$. By equations (3) it follows that $a_{2,15} = a_{7,15} = 0$. The only solution of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula with this property is $a = (0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. In particular $\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})) = 5$. It follows from equations (2) that $d = (2, 1, 0, 2)$. The proof thus follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.6.
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{Case B:} corresponds to $\chi(\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)) = 4$, i.e. \text{Fix}(\sigma_5) is the disjoint union of a smooth curve of genus one and 4 points. By \cite[Example 5.6]{AST11} $X$ has an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which can be defined by a Weierstrass equation of the form
  \[ y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 + \alpha)x + (t^{10} + \beta t^5 + \gamma), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \]
  where $\sigma_5(x, y, t) = (x, y, \zeta_5 t)$. The automorphism $\sigma_5$ fixes pointwise the smooth fiber $F_0$ over $t = 0$ and leaves invariant the fiber $F_\infty$ over $t = \infty$, which contains 4 fixed points. This property and the fact that $24 - e(F_\infty)$ must be divisible by 5, imply that $F_\infty$ is of Kodaira type $IV$, i.e. the union of three smooth rational curves intersecting transversally at one point. Observe that the elliptic fibration $\pi$ is invariant for $\sigma_3$, since the smooth fiber over $t = 0$ is invariant for $\sigma_3$, and thus the same holds for the associated linear system. Moreover $\sigma_3$ must preserve all fibers of $\pi$, since otherwise $15$ should divide $24 - e(F_\infty) = 20$, a contradiction. The remaining singular fibers of $\pi$, considering the fact that they are preserved by $\sigma_3$ (thus $J = 0$) and that $24 - e(F_\infty) = 20$, are either 5 fibers of type $IV$ or 10 fibers of type $II$.

  By the holomorphic Lefschetz formula and equations (3) we find that either $a = (0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0)$ or $a = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0)$.

  If $a = (0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0)$, then it follows from equations (2) that either $d = (2, 0, 2, 2)$ or $d = (1, 2, 1, 4)$. The first case has been excluded in the proof of Proposition 2.6. In the second case by (2) and \cite[Table 1]{AS08}, $\chi_3 = 9$ and the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ contains at least two curves. We now exclude this case as well.

  The automorphism $\sigma_{15}$ fixes four points: three of them lie on the unique curve $F_0$ fixed by $\sigma_5$ and the other one is an isolated fixed point for $\sigma_5$. By the previous description, it follows that $\sigma_3$ must fix the center of the fiber $F_\infty$ and permutes the other three fixed points of $\sigma_5$ on it (and thus the three components of the fiber $F_\infty$). Moreover, being of types $A_{2, 15}$ and $A_{5, 15}$, the fixed points of $\sigma_{15}$ are all contained in a curve $C$ fixed by $\sigma_3$. Since $C$ passes through the center of the fiber $F_\infty$, then it is connected and by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula it is the unique fixed curve of $\sigma_3$ which is transversal to the fibers of $\pi$. On the other hand, $\sigma_3$ can not fix a curve $R$ contained in a fiber of $\pi$, since the other singular fibers are either of type $II$, or of type $IV$, and in both cases $R$ would intersect $C$, a contradiction. Thus $\sigma_3$ fixes at most one (connected) curve, so that the case $d = (1, 2, 1, 4)$ is not possible.

  If $a = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0)$, then it follows from equations (2) that either $d = (2, 0, 1, 4)$ or $d = (1, 2, 0, 6)$. If $d = (2, 0, 1, 4)$, then by (2) and \cite[Table 1]{AS08}, $\chi_3 = -3$ and the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ consists either of the disjoint union of a genus three curve and one point or the disjoint union of a curve of genus four, a rational curve and one point. The first case is not possible by Remark 4.3.

  If $d = (1, 2, 0, 6)$, then by (2) and \cite[Table 1]{AS08}, $\chi_3 = 12$ and the fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ consists either of the union of three disjoint rational curves and 6 points or the disjoint union of a curve of genus one, three rational curves...
and six points. We now exclude the second case. Observe that in this case \( \sigma_{15} \) fixes three points on \( F_0 \) and four isolated points in the fiber \( F_{\infty} \). Six of these points are contained in a curve fixed by \( \sigma_3 \), which will intersect each fiber of \( \pi \) at three points counting multiplicity. The same argument as before shows that \( \sigma_3 \) can not fix a curve contained in a fiber of \( \pi \). Thus \( \sigma_3 \) fixes at most three (connected) curves.

To conclude, the only possible cases have \( a = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0) \) and either \( d = (2, 0, 1, 4) \) with \( \sigma_3 \) fixing a genus four curve, a rational curve and one point (case \( B1 \)), or \( d = (1, 2, 0, 6) \) with \( \sigma_3 \) fixing three smooth rational curves and six points (case \( B2 \)).

- **Case C**: in this case \( \sigma_5 \) fixes exactly four points, more precisely \( a_{1,5} = 3 \) and \( a_{2,5} = 1 \). As before, by the holomorphic Lefschetz formula one obtains that either \( a = (0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0) \) or \( a = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0) \). In both cases \( a_{4,15} + a_{5,15} > 0 \), thus this case is not possible by Remark 4.2.

- **Case D**: in this case the fixed locus of \( \sigma_5 \) contains an elliptic curve, a smooth rational curve \( R \) and 7 isolated fixed points, with \( a_{1,5} = 5, a_{2,5} = 2 \). The holomorphic Lefschetz formula with the restrictions of (3) gives four solutions for the vector \( a \):

\[
(0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0), (3, 2, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0, 1).
\]

The only one compatible with equations in (2) is \( a = (3, 2, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0, 1) \). By Remark 4.2 a solution with \( a = 1 \) means that only \( R \) is fixed by \( \sigma_{15} \). By (2), this gives \( \chi_3 = 9 \). According to [AS08, Table 1], there are two possibilities for \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) \):

- **D1** disjoint union of 3 smooth rational curves and 6 points;
- **D2** disjoint union of an elliptic curve, 3 smooth rational curves and 6 points.

We now show that case D2 is not possible. Let \( \text{Fix}(\sigma_3) = E \cup R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \cup \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_6\} \) and consider the elliptic fibration \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) defined by the linear system \( |E| \). The automorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_3 \) induced by \( \sigma_3 \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is not the identity, since otherwise \( \sigma_3 \) should act on the general fiber of \( \pi \) either as a translation (which is impossible since \( \sigma_3 \) is non-symplectic) or with fixed points (impossible, since otherwise \( \sigma_3 \) should fix a curve which is transverse to all fibers, and thus intersecting \( E \)). Thus \( \bar{\sigma}_3 \) has order three and fixes two points in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), one of them corresponding to the fiber \( E \). The smooth rational curves and the isolated points fixed by \( \sigma_3 \) must be components of the other invariant fiber. This implies that such fiber is of type \( I_9^* = \tilde{D}_{10} \).

Since the curve \( E \) is preserved by \( \sigma_5 \), thus the fibration \( \pi \) is preserved too. The fixed locus of \( \sigma_5 \) contains a curve of genus one \( E' \). The curve \( E' \) can not be transverse to the fibers of \( \pi \), since otherwise the general fiber of \( \pi \) would have an order five automorphism with a fixed point, which is impossible by [Har77, Corollary 4.7, IV]. Thus \( E' \) is one of the fibers of \( \pi \). A similar reasoning to the one used for \( \sigma_3 \) implies that \( \sigma_5 \) induces an order 5 automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), thus it preserves exactly two fibers of \( \pi \). Observe that
σ₅ must preserve both E, since it commutes with σ₃, and the fiber of type \( L^*_6 = \tilde{D}_{10} \), since an elliptic fibration of a K3 surface can not have five fibers of this type (the Euler number of the fiber is 12). This implies that \( E = E' \), thus \( E \) would be a fixed curve of \( σ_{15} \), a contradiction.

- **Case E**: as in the previous case, \( a_{1,5} = 5, a_{2,5} = 2 \) and the holomorphic Lefschetz formula with the restrictions of (3) has the four solutions of (10). Since in each case \( a_{4,15} + a_{5,15} > 0 \), then by Remark 4.2 the only curve fixed by \( σ₅ \) is not fixed by \( σ_{15} \) and \( α = 0 \). For each one of the three possibles \( a's \) with \( α = 0 \), the system (2) has no solutions. Thus there are no \( σ_{15} \) such that \( σ₅ \) has invariants as in case E.

- **Case F**: in this case \( \text{Fix}(σ₅) \) contains two rational curves \( R₁, R₂ \) and ten points with \( a_{1,5} = 7, a_{2,5} = 3 \). The holomorphic Lefschetz formula with the restrictions of (3) gives nine solutions, all of them with \( α = 0 \) or 1. Thus at most one of the two curves \( R_i \) is contained in \( \text{Fix}(σ_{15}) \).

  If \( \text{Fix}(σ_{15}) \) contains a rational curve, then \( α = 1 \) and combining the nine solutions of the Lefschetz formula with (2) one gets the possibilities F1-F7 of Table 11. If \( \text{Fix}(σ_{15}) \) only contains points, then \( α = 0 \) and by (2) we get possibilities F8 and F9. By Remark 4.3 we exclude cases F4 and F9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( a_{1,5} )</th>
<th>( a_{2,5} )</th>
<th>( a_{1,3} )</th>
<th>( g₃ )</th>
<th>( k₃ )</th>
<th>( a_{1,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{2,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{3,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{4,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{5,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{6,15} )</th>
<th>( a_{7,15} )</th>
<th>( α )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11. Case F**

Case F1 has to be excluded for the following reason: the total number of fixed points for \( σ_{15} \) is 9 and \( σ_{15} \) fixes a rational curve. Thus, \( a_{2,15} + a_{3,15} + a_{5,15} + a_{6,15} = 5 \) of the isolated fixed points for \( σ_{15} \) lie on curves fixed by \( σ₃ \). However, \( \text{Fix}(σ₃) \) contains just one rational curve, which is fixed by \( σ_{15} \), giving a contradiction.

Case F2 has to be excluded for the following reason: \( σ_{15} \) acts as an automorphism of order 5 on the elliptic curve in \( \text{Fix}(σ₃) \) and it contains fixed points, which is not possible by [Har77, Corollary 4.7, IV]. Case F6 is analogous.

In case F5, the total number of fixed points for \( σ_{15} \) is 12: 5 of them are isolated for \( σ₃ \), thus 7 points should lie on the rational curve in \( \text{Fix}(σ₃) \backslash \text{Fix}(σ_{15}) \).
This is not possible by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

- **Case G**: in this case $\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)$ contains three rational curves and all solutions of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula with the restrictions of (3) have $\alpha = 0$ or 1. Thus at most one of the three rational curves in $\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)$ is contained in $\text{Fix}(\sigma_{15})$. Checking (2) for all solutions in both cases $\alpha = 0, 1$ we find no solutions. Thus there are no possible $\sigma_{15}$ such that $\text{Fix}(\sigma_5)$ is as in case G.

In the following we will provide Examples for all cases collected in Table 9, thus completing the proof of Theorem 4.1. Examples of cases A1 and B1 can be found in Section 2.3.

**Example 4.4.** (Case B2) The elliptic K3 surface with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + (t^5 - 1)^2 \]
has six fibers of type $IV$, over $t = \infty$ and over the zeroes of $t^5 - 1$. It carries the order 15 automorphism
\[ \sigma_{15} : (x, y, t) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y, \zeta_5 t). \]
The fixed locus of $\sigma_5$ is contained in the union of the smooth fiber over $t = 0$ and in the fiber over $t = \infty$. The fixed locus of $\sigma_3$ contains the section at infinity, the two sections defined by $x = y \pm (t^5 - 1) = 0$ and the six centers of the fibers of type $IV$.

**Example 4.5.** (Case D1) This surface appears in [Bra19]. Let $X$ be the elliptic K3 surface with Weierstrass equation
\[ y^2 = x^3 + t^5 x + 1, \]
The fibration has one fiber of type $III^* = \tilde{E}_7$ over $t = \infty$ and 15 fibers of type $I_1$. It carries the order 15 automorphism
\[ \sigma_{15} : (x, y, t) \mapsto (\zeta_{15}^{10} x, y, \zeta_{15} t). \]
The automorphism $\sigma_5 = \sigma_{15}^3$ fixes the smooth fiber $E$ over $t = 0$, the smooth rational curve of multiplicity 4 of the fiber over $t = \infty$ and 7 isolated points in the same reducible fiber. Thus the invariants of $\sigma_5$ are $(g_5, k_5) = (1, 1)$, which corresponds to case D. The elliptic curve $E$ is not fixed by $\sigma_3 = \sigma_{15}^5 : (x, y, t) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y, \zeta_3 t)$. The automorphism $\sigma_3$ fixes three smooth rational curves and 3 isolated points in the fiber over $t = \infty$, and 3 points in the curve $E$.

**Example 4.6.** (Case F3) Let $Y$ be the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the following equation in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$:
\[ y^2 = x_2(x_0^2 x_3^3 + x_2^5 + x_0^5). \]
The branch sextic $B$ is the union of a line $L$ and a quintic curve $Q$. The surface $Y$ has four rational double points: one point of type $D_7$ at $(0, 1, 0, 0)$.
and three points of type $A_1$ at $(-\zeta_3^2, 1, 0, 0)$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$. The minimal resolution of $Y$ is a K3 surface $X$. The surface has the order 15 automorphism

$$\sigma_{15} : (x_0, x_1, x_2, y) \mapsto (x_0, \zeta_3 x_1, \zeta_5 x_2, \zeta_5^3 y).$$

We will denote by $\tilde{\sigma}_{15}$ the lifting of $\sigma_{15}$ to $X$. The automorphism $\sigma_3$ fixes the genus two curve $C_2$ defined by $x_1 = 0$ and the singular point $(0, 1, 0, 0)$. Thus $\tilde{\sigma}_3$ fixes the proper transform of $C_2$ and the union of three components and four isolated points in the exceptional divisor of type $D_7$. Thus we are in case F3.

**Example 4.7.** (Case F7) Let $Y$ be the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the following equation in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$:

$$y^2 = x_2(x_2^5 + x_1^5 + x_0^3 x_1 x_2).$$

The branch sextic $B$ is the union of a line $L$ and a quintic curve $Q$. The surface $Y$ has a rational double point of type $A_1$. The minimal resolution of $Y$ is a K3 surface $X$. The surface has the order 15 automorphism

$$\sigma_{15} : (x_0, x_1, x_2, y) \mapsto (\zeta_5^2 x_0, \zeta_5^7 x_1, \zeta_5^2 x_2, y).$$

We will denote by $\tilde{\sigma}_{15}$ the lifting of $\sigma_{15}$ to $X$. The automorphism $\sigma_3$ fixes the genus two curve $C_2$ defined by $x_0 = 0$ and the point $(1, 0, 0, 0)$. Thus $\tilde{\sigma}_3$ fixes the proper transform of $C_2$ and the union of two components and five isolated points in the exceptional divisor of type $D_{10}$. Thus we are in case F7.

**Example 4.8.** (Case F8) Let $Y$ be the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the following equation in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$:

$$y^2 = x_0^5 x_1 + (x_1^3 - x_2^3)^2.$$

The surface $Y$ has three rational double points of type $A_4$ at $(0, 1, \zeta_3^2, 0)$, with $i = 0, 1, 2$. The minimal resolution of $Y$ is a K3 surface $X$. The surface has the order 15 automorphism

$$\sigma_{15} : (x_0, x_1, x_2, y) \mapsto (\zeta_5 x_0, x_1, \zeta_3 x_2, y).$$

We will denote by $\tilde{\sigma}_{15}$ the lifting of $\sigma_{15}$ to $X$. The automorphism $\sigma_3$ fixes the genus two curve $C_2$ defined by $x_2 = 0$ and the smooth points $(0, 0, 1, \pm 1)$. Thus we are either in case F8 or in case A1. The automorphism $\sigma_5$ fixes the two smooth rational curves defined by $x_0 = y \pm (x_1^3 - x_2^3) = 0$ and the point $(1, 0, 0, 0)$. Thus its lifting $\tilde{\sigma}_5$ fixes two smooth rational curves, so we are in case F8.

**References**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>gₙ</th>
<th>kₙ</th>
<th>Nᵢ</th>
<th>NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>(2, 0, 0, 2)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>(2, 1, 0, 2)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U(3) ⊕ A₂ ⊕ A₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>(2, 0, 1, 4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H₅ ⊕ A₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30a</td>
<td>(2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U(3) ⊕ A₂ ⊕ A₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30b</td>
<td>(2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H₅ ⊕ A₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16a</td>
<td>(2, 0, 0, 0, 6)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>U ⊕ D₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b</td>
<td>(2, 0, 0, 2, 4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>U(2) ⊕ D₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U(2) ⊕ D₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 4)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U ⊕ D₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. Non-symplectic automorphisms with \( \varphi(n) = 8, 10 \)
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