

# On backward uniqueness for parabolic equations when Osgood continuity of the coefficients fails at one point

Daniele Del Santo      Martino Prizzi

## Abstract

We prove the uniqueness for backward parabolic equations whose coefficients are Osgood continuous in time for  $t > 0$  but not at  $t = 0$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the following backward parabolic operator

$$L = \partial_t + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (a_{jk}(t, x) \partial_{x_k}) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t, x) \partial_{x_j} + c(t, x).$$

We assume that all coefficients are defined in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ , measurable and bounded;  $(a_{jk}(t, x))_{j,k}$  is a real symmetric matrix for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$  and there exists  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(t, x) \xi_j \xi_k \geq \lambda_0 |\xi|^2$$

for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$ .

Given a functional space  $\mathcal{H}$  we say that the operator  $L$  has the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property if, whenever  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $Lu = 0$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$  and  $u(0, x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ , then  $u = 0$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ . Our choice for  $\mathcal{H}$  is the space of functions

$$\mathcal{H} = H^1((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)) \cap L^2((0, T), H^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)).$$

This choice is natural, since it follows from elliptic regularity results that the domain of the operator  $-\sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (a_{jk}(t, x) \partial_{x_k})$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

In our previous papers [5, 6] we investigated the problem of finding the minimal regularity assumptions on the coefficients  $a_{jk}$  ensuring the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property to  $L$ . Namely, we proved the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property

for the operator  $L$  when the coefficients  $a_{jk}$  are Lipschitz continuous in  $x$  and the regularity in  $t$  is given in terms of a modulus of continuity  $\mu$ , i. e.

$$\sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [0, T], \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|a_{j,k}(s_1, x) - a_{j,k}(s_2, x)|}{\mu(|s_1 - s_2|)} \leq C,$$

where  $\mu$  satisfies the so called Osgood condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(s)} ds = +\infty.$$

Suitable counterexamples show that Osgood condition is sharp for backward uniqueness in parabolic equations: given any non-Osgood modulus of continuity  $\mu$ , it is possible to construct a backward parabolic equation, whose coefficients are  $C^\infty$  in  $x$  and  $\mu$ -continuous in  $t$ , for which the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property does not hold. In the mentioned counterexamples the coefficients are in fact  $C^\infty$  in  $t$  for  $t \neq 0$ , and Osgood continuity fails only at  $t = 0$ .

In this paper we show that if the loss of Osgood continuity is properly controlled as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , then we can recover the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property for  $L$ . Our hypothesis reads as follows: given a modulus of continuity  $\mu$  satisfying the Osgood condition, we assume that the coefficients  $a_{jk}$  are Hölder continuous with respect to  $t$  on  $[0, T]$ , and for all  $t \in ]0, T]$

$$\sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [t, T], \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|a_{j,k}(s_1, x) - a_{j,k}(s_2, x)|}{\mu(|s_1 - s_2|)} \leq Ct^{-\beta}, \quad (1)$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$ . The coefficients  $a_{jk}$  are assumed to be globally Lipschitz continuous in  $x$ . Under such hypothesis we prove that the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property holds for  $L$ . As in our previous papers [5, 6], the uniqueness result is consequence of a Carleman estimate with a weight function shaped on the modulus of continuity  $\mu$ . The weight function is obtained as solution of a specific second order ordinary differential equation. In the previous results cited above, the corresponding o.d.e. is autonomous. Here, on the contrary, the time dependent control (1) yields to a non-autonomous o.d.e.. Also, the "Osgood singularity" of  $a_{jk}$  at  $t = 0$  introduces a number of new technical difficulties which are not present in the fully Osgood-regular situation considered before.

The result is sharp in the following sense: we exhibit a counterexample in which the coefficients  $a_{jk}$  are Hölder continuous with respect to  $t$  on  $[0, T]$ , for all  $t \in ]0, T]$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [t, T], \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|a_{j,k}(s_1, x) - a_{j,k}(s_2, x)|}{|s_1 - s_2|} \leq Ct^{-(1+\epsilon)}, \quad (2)$$

and the operator  $L$  does not have the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property. The borderline case  $\epsilon = 0$  in (2) is considered in paper [7]. In such a situation only a very particular uniqueness result holds and the problem remains essentially open.

## 2 Main result

We start with the definition of modulus of continuity.

**Definition 1.** A function  $\mu : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a modulus of continuity if it is continuous, concave, strictly increasing and  $\mu(0) = 0$ ,  $\mu(1) = 1$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity. Then

- for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu(s) \geq s$ ;
- on  $(0, 1]$ , the function  $s \mapsto \frac{\mu(s)}{s}$  is decreasing;
- the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(s)}{s}$  exists;
- on  $[1, +\infty[$ , the function  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{\sigma})$  is increasing;
- on  $[1, +\infty[$ , the function  $\sigma \mapsto \frac{1}{\sigma^2\mu(\frac{1}{\sigma})}$  is decreasing.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity and let  $\varphi : I \rightarrow B$ , where  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $B$  is a Banach space.  $\varphi$  is a function in  $C^\mu(I, B)$  if  $\varphi \in L^\infty(I, B)$  and

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^\mu(I, B)} = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(I, B)} + \sup_{\substack{t, s \in I \\ 0 < |t-s| < 1}} \frac{\|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)\|_B}{\mu(|t-s|)} < +\infty.$$

**Remark 2.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\mu(s) = s^\alpha$ . Then  $C^\mu(I, B) = C^{0, \alpha}(I, B)$ , the space of Hölder-continuous functions. Let  $\mu(s) = s$ . Then  $C^\mu(I, B) = \text{Lip}(I, B)$ , the space of bounded Lipschitz-continuous functions.

We introduce the notion of Osgood modulus of continuity.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity.  $\mu$  satisfies the Osgood condition if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(s)} ds = +\infty. \quad (3)$$

**Remark 3.** Examples of moduli of continuity satisfying the Osgood condition are  $\mu(s) = s$  and  $\mu(s) = s \log(e + \frac{1}{s} - 1)$ .

We state our main result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $L$  be the operator

$$L = \partial_t + \sum_{j, k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (a_{j, k}(t, x)) \partial_{x_k} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t, x) \partial_{x_j} + c(t, x), \quad (4)$$

where all the coefficients are supposed to be complex valued, defined in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , measurable and bounded. Let  $(a_{j, k}(t, x))_{j, k}$  be a real symmetric matrix and suppose there exists  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\sum_{j, k=1}^n a_{j, k}(t, x) \xi_j \xi_k \geq \lambda_0 |\xi|^2, \quad (5)$$

for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Under this condition  $L$  is a backward parabolic operator. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the space of functions such that

$$\mathcal{H} = H^1((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)) \cap L^2((0, T), H^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)). \quad (6)$$

Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity satisfying the Osgood condition. Suppose that there exist  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $C > 0$  such that,

i) for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$a_{j,k} \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^n)) \cap L^\infty([0, T], Lip(\mathbb{R}_x^n)); \quad (7)$$

ii) for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$  and for all  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [t, T], \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|a_{j,k}(s_1, x) - a_{j,k}(s_2, x)|}{\mu(|s_1 - s_2|)} \leq Ct^{\alpha-1}. \quad (8)$$

Then  $L$  has the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness property, i.e. if  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $Lu = 0$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$  and  $u(0, x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ , then  $u = 0$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

### 3 Weight function and Carleman estimate

We define

$$\phi(t) = \int_{\frac{1}{t}}^1 \frac{1}{\mu(s)} ds. \quad (9)$$

The function  $\phi : [1, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  is a strictly increasing  $C^1$  function and, from Osgood condition, it is bijective. Moreover, for all  $t \in [1, +\infty[$ ,

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{t^2 \mu(\frac{1}{t})}.$$

We remark that  $\phi'(1) = 1$  and  $\phi'$  is decreasing in  $[1, +\infty[$ , so that  $\phi$  is a concave function. We remark also that  $\phi^{-1} : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$  and, for all  $s \in [0, +\infty[$ ,

$$\phi^{-1}(s) \geq 1 + s.$$

We define

$$\psi_\gamma(\tau) = \phi^{-1}(\gamma \int_0^\tau (T-s)^{\alpha-1} ds), \quad (10)$$

where  $\tau \in [0, \gamma T]$ .

$$\phi(\psi_\gamma(\tau)) = \gamma \int_0^\tau (T-s)^{\alpha-1} ds$$

and

$$\phi'(\psi_\gamma(\tau)) \psi'_\gamma(\tau) = (T - \frac{\tau}{\gamma})^{\alpha-1}.$$

Then

$$\psi'_\gamma(\tau) = (T - \frac{\tau}{\gamma})^{\alpha-1} \cdot (\psi_\gamma(\tau))^2 \mu(\frac{1}{\psi_\gamma(\tau)}),$$

i. e.  $\psi_\gamma$  is a solution to the differential equation

$$u'(\tau) = (T - \frac{\tau}{\gamma})^{\alpha-1} u^2(\tau) \mu(\frac{1}{u(\tau)}).$$

Finally we set, for  $\tau \in [0, \gamma T]$ ,

$$\Phi_\gamma(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \psi_\gamma(\sigma) d\sigma. \quad (11)$$

Remark that, with this definition,  $\Phi'(\tau) = \psi_\gamma(\tau)$  and

$$\Phi''_\gamma(\tau) = (T - \frac{\tau}{\gamma})^{\alpha-1} (\Phi'_\gamma(\tau))^2 \mu(\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\tau)}). \quad (12)$$

In particular, for  $t \in (0, \frac{T}{2}]$ ,

$$\Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) = t^{\alpha-1} \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \frac{\mu(\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))})}{\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))}} \geq t^{\alpha-1} \geq (\frac{T}{2})^{\alpha-1}, \quad (13)$$

since  $\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) = \psi_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \geq 1$  and  $\frac{\mu(s)}{s} \geq 1$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

We can now state the Carleman estimate.

**Theorem 2.** *In the previous hypotheses there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}\Phi_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))} \|\partial_t u + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(a_{j,k}(t,x))\partial_{x_k} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq C\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}\Phi_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))} (\|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2) dt \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all  $\gamma > \gamma_0$  and for all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that  $\text{Supp } u \subseteq [0, \frac{T}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

The way of obtaining the  $\mathcal{H}$ -uniqueness from the inequality (14) is a standard procedure, the details of which can be found in [5, Par. 3.4].

## 4 Proof of the Carleman estimate

### 4.1 Littlewood-Paley decomposition

We will use the so called Littlewood-Paley theory. We refer to [2], [3], [9] and [1] for the details. Let  $\psi \in C^\infty([0, +\infty[, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\psi$  is non-increasing and

$$\psi(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{11}{10}, \quad \psi(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{19}{10}.$$

We set, for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\chi(\xi) = \psi(|\xi|), \quad \varphi(\xi) = \chi(\xi) - \chi(2\xi). \quad (15)$$

Given a tempered distribution  $u$ , the dyadic blocks are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= \Delta_0 u = \chi(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)), \\ u_j &= \Delta_j u = \varphi(2^{-j}D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)) \quad \text{if } j \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  the inverse of the Fourier transform. We introduce also the operator

$$S_k u = \sum_{j=0}^k \Delta_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-k}\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)).$$

We recall some well known facts on Littlewood-Paley demposition.

**Proposition 1.** ([4, Prop. 3.1]) *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . A temperate distribution  $u$  is in  $H^s$  if and only if, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta_j u \in L^2$  and*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty.$$

Moreover there exists  $C > 1$ , depending only on  $n$  and  $s$ , such that, for all  $u \in H^s$ ,

$$\frac{1}{C} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (16)$$

**Proposition 2.** ([8, Lemma 3.2]). *A bounded function  $a$  is a Lipschitz-continuous function if and only if*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\nabla(S_k a)\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty.$$

Moreover there exists  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $n$ , such that, for all  $a \in Lip$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|\Delta_k a\|_{L^\infty} \leq C 2^{-k} \|a\|_{Lip} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla(S_k a)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|a\|_{Lip}, \quad (17)$$

where  $\|a\|_{Lip} = \|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}$ .

## 4.2 Modified Bony's paraproduct

**Definition 4.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in L^\infty$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $u \in H^s$ . We define*

$$T_a^m u = S_{m-1} a S_{m+1} u + \sum_{k=m-1}^{+\infty} S_k a \Delta_{k+3} u.$$

We recall some known facts on modified Bony's paraproduct.

**Proposition 3.** ([9, Prop. 5.2.1 and Th. 5.2.8]). *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in L^\infty$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Then  $T_a^m$  maps  $H^s$  into  $H^s$  and there exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $s$ , such that, for all  $u \in H^s$ ,*

$$\|T_a^m u\|_{H^s} \leq C \|a\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^s}. \quad (18)$$

*Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in Lip$ .*

*Then  $a - T_a^m$  maps  $L^2$  into  $H^1$  and there exists  $C' > 0$  depending only on  $n$ ,  $m$ , such that, for all  $u \in L^2$ ,*

$$\|au - T_a^m u\|_{H^1} \leq C' \|a\|_{Lip} \|u\|_{L^2}. \quad (19)$$

**Proposition 4.** ([4, Cor. 3.12]) *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in Lip$ . Suppose that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a(x) \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ .*

*Then there exists  $m$  depending on  $\lambda_0$  and  $\|a\|_{Lip}$  such that for all  $u \in L^2$ ,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle T_a^m u, u \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (20)$$

*A similar result remains valid for valued functions when  $a$  is replaced by a positive definite matrix  $(a_{j,k})_{j,k}$ .*

**Proposition 5.** ([4, Prop. 3.8 and Prop. 3.11] and [6, Prop. 3.8]) *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in Lip$ . Let  $(T_a^m)^*$  be the adjoint operator of  $T_a^m$ .*

*Then there exists  $C$  depending only on  $n$  and  $m$  such that for all  $u \in L^2$ ,*

$$\|(T_a^m - (T_a^m)^*) \partial_{x_j} u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|a\|_{Lip} \|u\|_{L^2}. \quad (21)$$

We end this subsection with a property which will be needed in the proof of the Carleman estimate.

**Proposition 6.** ([6, Prop. 3.8]) *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \in Lip$ . Denote by  $[\Delta_k, T_a^m]$  the commutator between  $\Delta_k$  and  $T_a^m$ .*

*Then there exists  $C$  depending only on  $n$  and  $m$  such that for all  $u \in H^1$ ,*

$$\left( \sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} \|\partial_{x_j} ([\Delta_k, T_a^m] \partial_{x_k} u)\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|a\|_{Lip} \|u\|_{H^1}. \quad (22)$$

### 4.3 Approximated Carleman estimate

We set

$$v(t, x) = e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \Phi_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))} u(t, x).$$

The Carleman estimate (14) becomes: there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (a_{j,k}(t, x) \partial_{x_k} v) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq C \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\|\nabla_x v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all  $\gamma > \gamma_0$  and for all  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that  $\text{Supp } u \subseteq [0, \frac{T}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

First of all, using Proposition 4, we fix a value for  $m$  in such a way that

$$\text{Re} \sum_{j,k} \langle T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v, \partial_{x_j} v \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}, \quad (24)$$

for all  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that  $\text{Supp } u \subseteq [0, \frac{T}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ . Next we consider Proposition 3 and in particular from (19) we deduce that (23) will be a consequence of

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq C\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\|\nabla_x v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

since the difference between (23) and (25) is absorbed by the right side part of (25) with possibly a different value of  $C$  and  $\gamma_0$ . With a similar argument, using (16) and (22), (25) will be deduced from

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq C\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} (\|\nabla_x v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we have denoted by  $v_h$  the dyadic block  $\Delta_h v$ .

We fix our attention on each of the terms

$$\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & = \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \|\partial_t v_h\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \text{Re} \langle \partial_t v_h, \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Let consider the last term in (27). We define, for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{T}{2}]$ ,

$$\tilde{a}_{j,k,\varepsilon}(t, x) = \begin{cases} a_{j,k}(t, x), & \text{if } t \geq \varepsilon, \\ a_{j,k}(\varepsilon, x), & \text{if } t < \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$



and

$$a_{j,k,\varepsilon}(t, x) = \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \rho_\varepsilon(s) \tilde{a}_{j,k,\varepsilon}(t-s, x) ds,$$

where  $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{Supp } \rho \subseteq [-1, 1]$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(s) ds = 1$ ,  $\rho(s) \geq 0$  and  $\rho_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})$ . With a straightforward computation, from (7) and (8), we obtain

$$|a_{j,k}(t, x) - a_{j,k,\varepsilon}(t, x)| \leq C \min\{\varepsilon^\alpha, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$|\partial_t a_{j,k,\varepsilon}(t, x)| \leq C \min\{\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, t^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\}, \quad (29)$$

for all  $j, k = \dots, n$  and for all  $(t, x) \in [0, \frac{T}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ . We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_t v_h, \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h, T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h, (T_{a_{j,k}}^m - T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m) \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \\ &\quad - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h, T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $T_{a_{j,k}}^m - T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m = T_{a_{j,k} - a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m$  and, from (18) and (28),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_{a_{j,k}}^m - T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m) \partial_{x_k} v_h\|_{L^2} &= \|T_{a_{j,k} - a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \min\{\varepsilon^\alpha, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(\varepsilon)\} \|\partial_{x_k} v_h\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\|\partial_{x_j} v_h\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{h+1} \|v_h\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|\partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{h+1} \|\partial_t v_h\|_{L^2}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} & |2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h, (T_{a_{j,k}}^m - T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m) \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt| \\ &\leq 2C \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \min\{\varepsilon^\alpha, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(\varepsilon)\} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \|\partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_{x_k} v_h\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt + CN 2^{4(h+1)} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \min\{\varepsilon^\alpha, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(\varepsilon)\} \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $n, m$  and  $\|a_{j,k}\|_{L^\infty}$  and  $N > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} \partial_t v_h, T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} v_h, T_{\partial_t a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} v_h, (T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m - (T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m)^*) \partial_{x_k} \partial_t v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (18) and (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} v_h, T_{\partial_t a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \right| \\ & \leq C 2^{2(h+1)} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \min\{\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, t^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\} \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

and, from (21),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \partial_{x_j} v_h, (T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m - (T_{a_{j,k,\varepsilon}}^m)^*) \partial_{x_k} \partial_t v_h \rangle_{L^2, L^2} dt \right| \\ & \leq C \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\nabla v_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t v_h\|_{L^2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{C}{N} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt + CN 2^{2(h+1)} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $\|a_{j,k}\|_{Lip}$  and  $N > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily.

As a conclusion, from (27), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \|\sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_h\|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - C(2^{4(h+1)} \min\{\varepsilon^\alpha, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(\varepsilon)\} + 2^{2(h+1)} (\min\{\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, t^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\} + 1)) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

#### 4.4 End of the proof

We start considering (30) for  $h = 0$ . We fix  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Recalling (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_0) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ & \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) - C') \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\gamma (\frac{T}{2})^{\alpha-1} - C') \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing a suitable  $\gamma_0$ , we have that, for all  $\gamma > \gamma_0$ ,

$$\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_0) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \tag{31}$$

We consider (30) for  $h \geq 1$ . We fix  $\varepsilon = 2^{-2h}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\
& \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) - C(2^{4h} \min\{2^{-2h\alpha}, t^{\alpha-1}\mu(2^{-2h})\} + 2^{2h})) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \\
& \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \left( \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \right\|_{L^2} - \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \|v_h\|_{L^2} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) - C(2^{4h} \min\{2^{-2h\alpha}, t^{\alpha-1}\mu(2^{-2h})\} + 2^{2h})) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From (24) it is possible to deduce that

$$\left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \right\|_{L^2} \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{8} 2^{2h} \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (32)$$

Suppose first that

$$\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{16} 2^{2h}.$$

From (24) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \right\|_{L^2} - \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{16} 2^{2h} \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2$$

and then, using also (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\
& \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \left( \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) \right\|_{L^2} - \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \|v_h\|_{L^2} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\gamma \Phi''_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) - C(2^{4h} \min\{2^{-2h\alpha}, t^{\alpha-1}\mu(2^{-2h})\} + 2^{2h})) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \\
& \geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left( \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{16} 2^{2h} \right)^2 + \gamma \left( \frac{T}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} - C(2^{(4-2\alpha)h}) \right) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $h \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\
& \geq C \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\gamma + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2h}) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose finally that

$$\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{16} 2^{2h}.$$

From (12), the fact that  $\lambda_0 \leq 1$  and the properties of the modulus of continuity  $\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi''(\gamma(T-t)) &= t^{\alpha-1} (\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)))^2 \mu\left(\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))}\right) \\ &\geq t^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{16}\right)^2 2^{4h} \mu\left(\frac{16}{\lambda_0} 2^{-2h}\right) \geq t^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{16}\right)^2 2^{4h} \mu(2^{-2h}). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi''(\gamma(T-t)) &= t^{\alpha-1} (\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)))^2 \mu\left(\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))}\right) \\ &= t^{\alpha-1} \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) \frac{\mu\left(\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))}\right)}{\frac{1}{\Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t))}} \geq \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\gamma \Phi''(\gamma(T-t)) - C(2^{4h} \min\{2^{-2h\alpha}, t^{\alpha-1} \mu(2^{-2h})\} + 2^{2h})) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \frac{\gamma}{2} (t^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{16}\right)^2 2^{4h} \mu(2^{-2h}) + \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}) - C(t^{\alpha-1} 2^{4h} \mu(2^{-2h}) + 2^{2h}) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $h \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ &\geq C\gamma \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (1 + 2^{2h}) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

As a conclusion, from (31), (33) and (34), there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \|\partial_t v_h + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (T_{a_{j,k}}^m \partial_{x_k} v_h) + \Phi'_\gamma(\gamma(T-t)) v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}^2 dt \\ &\geq C \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} (\gamma + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2h}) \|v_h\|_{L^2}^2 dt \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and (26) follows. The proof is complete.

## 5 A counterexample

**Theorem 3.** *There exists*

$$l \in \left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in [0,1[} C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}) \right) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$

with

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq l(t) \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (36)$$

$$|l'(t)| \leq C_\varepsilon |t|^{-(1+\varepsilon)}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (37)$$

and there exist  $u, b_1, b_2, c \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2)$ , with

$$\text{Supp } u = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2 \mid t \geq 0\},$$

such that

$$\partial_t u + \partial_{x_1}^2 u + l \partial_{x_2}^2 u + b_1 \partial_{x_1} u + b_2 \partial_{x_2} u + cu = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2.$$

**Remark 4.** *Actually the function  $l$  will satisfy*

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} \left( \frac{|t|}{1 + |\log |t||} \right) |l'(t)| < +\infty. \quad (38)$$

From (38) it is easy to obtain (37).

*Proof.* We will follow the proof of Theorem 1 in [10] (see also Theorem 3 in [5]). Let  $A, B, C, J$  be four  $C^\infty$  functions, defined in  $\mathbb{R}$ , with

$$0 \leq A(s), B(s), C(s) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -2 \leq J(s) \leq 2, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) &= 1, & \text{for } s \leq \frac{1}{5}, & & A(s) &= 0, & \text{for } s \geq \frac{1}{4}, \\ B(s) &= 0, & \text{for } s \leq 0 \text{ or } s \geq 1, & & B(s) &= 1, & \text{for } \frac{1}{6} \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ C(s) &= 0, & \text{for } s \leq \frac{1}{4}, & & C(s) &= 1, & \text{for } s \geq \frac{1}{3}, \\ J(s) &= -2, & \text{for } s \leq \frac{1}{6} \text{ or } s \geq \frac{1}{2}, & & J(s) &= 2, & \text{for } \frac{1}{5} \leq s \leq \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(a_n)_n, (z_n)_n$  be two real sequences such that

$$-1 < a_n < a_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n a_n = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$1 < z_n < z_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n z_n = +\infty. \quad (40)$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= a_{n+1} - a_n, \\ q_1 &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q_n = \sum_{k=2}^n z_k r_{k-1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2, \\ p_n &= (z_{n+1} - z_n) r_n. \end{aligned}$$

We require

$$p_n > 1, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (41)$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(t) &= A\left(\frac{t-a_n}{r_n}\right), & B_n(t) &= B\left(\frac{t-a_n}{r_n}\right), \\ C_n(t) &= C\left(\frac{t-a_n}{r_n}\right), & J_n(t) &= J\left(\frac{t-a_n}{r_n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(t, x_1) &= \exp(-q_n - z_n(t-a_n)) \cos \sqrt{z_n} x_1, \\ w_n(t, x_2) &= \exp(-q_n - z_n(t-a_n) + J_n(t)p_n) \cos \sqrt{z_n} x_2, \end{aligned}$$

$u(t, x_1, x_2)$

$$= \begin{cases} v_1(t, x_1), & \text{for } t \leq a_1, \\ A_n(t)v_n(t, x_1) + B_n(t)w_n(t, x_2) + C_n(t)v_{n+1}(t, x_1), & \text{for } a_n \leq t \leq a_{n+1}, \\ 0, & \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The condition

$$\lim_n \exp(-q_n + 2p_n) z_{n+1}^\alpha p_n^\beta r_n^{-\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \quad (42)$$

implies that  $u \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2)$ .

We define

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } t \leq a_1 \text{ or } t \geq 0, \\ 1 + J'_n(t)p_n z_n^{-1}, & \text{for } a_n \leq t \leq a_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

$l$  is a  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  function. The condition

$$\sup_n \{p_n r_n^{-1} z_n^{-1}\} \leq \frac{1}{2\|J'\|_{L^\infty}} \quad (43)$$

implies (36), i. e. the operator

$$L = \partial_t - \partial_{x_1}^2 - l(t)\partial_{x_2}^2$$

is a parabolic operator. Moreover  $l$  is in  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in [0,1[} C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  if

$$\sup_n \{p_n r_n^{-1-\alpha} z_n^{-1}\} < +\infty, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0, 1[. \quad (44)$$

Finally, we define

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{Lu}{u^2 + (\partial_{x_1} u)^2 + (\partial_{x_2} u)^2} \partial_{x_1} u, \\ b_2 &= -\frac{Lu}{u^2 + (\partial_{x_1} u)^2 + (\partial_{x_2} u)^2} \partial_{x_2} u, \\ c &= -\frac{Lu}{u^2 + (\partial_{x_1} u)^2 + (\partial_{x_2} u)^2} u. \end{aligned}$$

As in [10] and [5], the functions  $b_1, b_2, c$  are in  $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2)$  if

$$\lim_n \exp(-p_n) z_{n+1}^\alpha p_n^\beta r_n^{-\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0. \quad (45)$$

We choose, for  $j_0 \geq 2$ ,

$$a_n = -e^{-\sqrt{\log(n+j_0)}}, \quad z_n = (n + j_0)^3.$$

With this choice (39) and (40) are satisfied and we have

$$r_n \sim e^{-\sqrt{\log(n+j_0)}} \frac{1}{(n + j_0) \sqrt{\log(n + j_0)}},$$

where, for sequences  $(f_n)_n, (g_n)_n$ ,  $f_n \sim g_n$  means  $\lim_n \frac{f_n}{g_n} = \lambda$ , for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Similarly

$$p_n \sim e^{-\sqrt{\log(n+j_0)}} \frac{n + j_0}{\sqrt{\log(n + j_0)}}$$

and condition (41) is verified, for a suitable fixed  $j_0$ . Remarking that we have, for  $j_0$  suitably large,

$$q_n = \sum_{k=2}^n z_k r_{k-1} \geq z_n r_{n-1} \geq \lambda (n + j_0)^{\frac{7}{4}}$$

and

$$p_n \leq \lambda (n + j_0)^{\frac{5}{4}}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Finally

$$p_n r_n^{-1} z_n^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{n + j_0}.$$

As a consequence (42), (43), (44) and (45) are satisfied for a suitable fixed  $j_0$ . It remains to check (38). We have

$$|l'(t)| \leq \|J''\|_{L^\infty} p_n r_n^{-2} z_n^{-1}, \quad \text{for } a_n \leq t \leq a_{n+1}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \neq 0} \left( \frac{|t|}{1 + |\log |t||} \right) |l'(t)| &= \sup_n \sup_{t \in [a_n, a_{n+1}]} \left( \frac{|t|}{1 + |\log |t||} \right) |l'(t)| \\ &\leq \sup_n \left( \frac{a_n}{1 - \log a_n} \right) \|J''\|_{L^\infty} p_n r_n^{-2} z_n^{-1} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of the theorem is reached simply exchanging  $t$  with  $-t$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bahouri, Hajer; Chemin, Jean-Yves; Danchin, Raphaél “Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations”. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] Bony, Jean-Michel *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 14 (1981), no. 2, 209–246.
- [3] Chemin, Jean-Yves “Fluides parfaits incompressibles”. Astérisque, 230. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1995.
- [4] Colombini, Ferruccio; Métivier, Guy *The Cauchy problem for wave equations with non Lipschitz coefficients; application to continuation of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 41 (2008), no. 2, 177–220.
- [5] Del Santo, Daniele; Prizzi, Martino *Backward uniqueness for parabolic operators whose coefficients are non-Lipschitz continuous in time*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 84 (2005), no. 4, 471–491.
- [6] Del Santo, Daniele; Prizzi, Martino *A new result on backward uniqueness for parabolic operators*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 194 (2015), no. 2, 387–403.
- [7] Del Santo, Daniele; Jäh, Christian *Non-uniqueness and uniqueness in the Cauchy problem of elliptic and backward-parabolic equations*, in "Progress in Partial Differential Equations - Asymptotic Profiles, Regularity and Well-Posedness", M. Ruzhansky, M. Reissig eds., Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics 44, Springer International Publishing, Basel 2013, pp. 27–52.
- [8] Gérard, Patrick; Rauch, Jeffrey *Propagation de la régularité locale de solutions d'équations hyperboliques non linéaires*. (French) [Propagation of the local regularity of solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 37 (1987), no. 3, 65–84.
- [9] Métivier, Guy “Para-differential calculus and applications to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear systems”. Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi (CRM) Series, 5. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2008.
- [10] Pliś, Andrzej *On non-uniqueness in Cauchy problem for an elliptic second order differential equation*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 11 (1963), 95–100.