

SOLUTION OF BURGER EQUATION WITH VISCOSITY APPLYING THE BOUNDARY LAYER THEORY

Oscar Martínez Núñez

Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, University of Cartagena,
Campus San Pablo, Avenue of Consulado,
Cartagena de Indias, Bolivar, Colombia
omartinezn@unicartagena.edu.co

Ana Magnolia Marín Ramírez

Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, University of Cartagena,
Campus San Pablo, Avenue of Consulado,
Cartagena de Indias, Bolivar, Colombia
amarinr@unicartagena.edu.co

Rubén Darío Ortíz Ortíz

Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, University of Cartagena,
Campus San Pablo, Avenue of Consulado,
Cartagena de Indias, Bolivar, Colombia
rortizo@unicartagena.edu.co

Abstract

In this article we find the solution of the Burger equation with viscosity applying the boundary layer theory. In addition, we will observe that the solution of Burger's equation with viscosity converges to the solution of Burger's stationary equation in the norm of $L_2([-1, 1])$.

Subject Classification: 35QXX

Keywords: Boundary layer, metastability.

1 Introduction

Most mathematical models derived from real problems in physics, as well as from other sciences, are non-linear, a property that involves difficulty in analyzing and determining solutions. However, many of these problems have by nature the property of integrability, which makes their study attractive.

The Burgers equation with viscosity was first introduced by Bateman [2] in 1915. This (see, [13],[16],[17],[21]) is a balance between time evolution, non-linearity and diffusion. Burgers (1948) first developed this equation primarily to throw light on turbulence described by the interaction of two opposite effects of convection and diffusion. However, turbulence is more complex in the sense that it is both three-dimensional and statistically random in nature. The equation is used as a model in many fields such as acoustics [18], continuous stochastic processes [20], heat conduction [4]. Burgers equation can also be considered as a simplified form of the Navier-Stokes equation [3] due to the form of the nonlinear convection term and the occurrence of a viscosity term. Burgers equation is one of the very few nonlinear partial differential equations that can be solved exactly. At present, there are several texts and articles in which the use and calculation of its solution by different methods are shown, for example in [7] and [21] the exact solution of said equation is shown, using the transformation Cole-Hopf allows to transform it into a linear diffusion equation through a nonlinear transformation.

In this paper, we use a different literature (boundary layer) in order to construct an approximate solution of the burger equation with valid viscosity throughout its domain.

2 Burger equation with viscosity

Burger equation with viscosity takes the form

$$u_t(x, t) + u(x, t)u_x(x, t) = \epsilon u_{xx}(x, t), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$u(-1, t) = \alpha \quad u(1, t) = \beta \quad (2)$$

If $u_t(x, t) = 0$ for fixed t , the equation (1) becomes

$$\epsilon u_{xx}(x, t) - u(x, t)u_x(x, t) = 0 \quad (3)$$

known as the stationary burger equation.

Theorem 2.1. *La solution of (1) subject to (2) is given by:*

$$U(x, \epsilon) = -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]$$

Where $U(x, \epsilon) \in L_2([-1, 1])$.

Proof. Suppose $u_t(x, t) = 0$ for fixed t , transforms to (1) into

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon u_{xx}^+(x, t) - u^+(x, t)u_x^+(x, t) = 0 \\ u^+(1, t) = \beta \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon u_{xx}^-(x, t) - u^-(x, t)u_x^-(x, t) = 0 \\ u^-(-1, t) = \alpha \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Let's solve (4).

Let's find, $u_0^{+,ext}$, taking

$$u^{+,ext} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_n^{+,ext}(x), \quad u_x^{+,ext} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_{nx}^{+,ext}(x), \quad u_{xx}^{+,ext} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_{nxx}^{+,ext}(x)$$

Replacing these expressions in (4), we have:

$$\epsilon \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_{nxx}^{+,ext}(x) \right) - \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_n^{+,ext}(x) \right) \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_{nx}^{+,ext}(x) \right) = 0$$

From here,

$$\begin{aligned} O(\epsilon^0) : -u_0^{+,ext} u_{0x}^{+,ext} &= 0 \\ O(\epsilon) : u_{0xx}^{+,ext} - (u_0^{+,ext} u_{1x}^{+,ext} + u_1^{+,ext} u_{0x}^{+,ext}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $u_0^{+,ext} \neq 0$, so $u_{0x}^{+,ext} = 0$, then $u_1^{+,ext} = k$, thus $u_0^{+,ext} = \beta$.

Let's find $u_0^{+,int}$

Let $u^{+,int}(s)$ an expansion near the source where $s = \frac{x}{\epsilon^\gamma}$, replacing in (4) we have

$$\epsilon^{1-2\gamma} u_{ss}^{+,int} - \epsilon^{-\gamma} u^{+,int} u_s^{+,int} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Balancing (6) $\gamma = 1$.

Taking

$$u^{+,int} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_n^{+,int}(x), \quad u_s^{+,int} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_{ns}^{+,int}(x) \quad \text{y} \quad u_{ss}^{+,int} \sim \sum \epsilon^n u_{nss}^{+,int}(x)$$

Replacing these expressions in (6), we have:

$$\epsilon^{-1} \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_{nss}^{+,int}(x) \right) - \epsilon^{-1} \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_n^{+,int}(x) \right) \left(\sum \epsilon^n u_{ns}^{+,int}(x) \right) = 0$$

From here,

$$O(\epsilon^{-1}) : u_{0ss}^{+,int} - u_0^{+,int} u_{0s}^{+,int} = 0 \quad (7)$$

Let's take the substitution $w = u_{0s}^{+,int}$, $w_s = w_{u_0^{+,int}} w$, replacing in (7) we have $w \left(w_{u_0^{+,int}} - u_0^{+,int} \right) = 0$ if and only if $w = 0$ o $w_{u_0^{+,int}} - u_0^{+,int} = 0$.

Let's suppose $w \neq 0$, so $w_{u_0^+,int} - u_0^{+,int} = 0$, using variable separation and integrating:

$$w = \frac{(u_0^{+,int})^2}{2} + c_0.$$

Then

$$u_{0s}^{+,int} = \frac{(u_0^{+,int})^2}{2} + c_0$$

Applying variable separation again, integrating and replacing c_0 por $-c_0$, we have

$$\frac{-\sqrt{2c_0}}{c_0} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{u_0^{+,int}}{\sqrt{2c_0}} \right) + c_1 = s + c_2$$

Thus,

$$u_0^{+,int} = -\sqrt{2c_0} \tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{2c_0}}{2} (s + c_3) \right]$$

Using prandtl's glue condition

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} u_0^{+,int} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} u_0^{+,ext}$$

we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ -\sqrt{2c_0} \tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{2c_0}}{2} (s + c_3) \right] \right\} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \beta$$

Given the $\tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{2c_0}}{2} (s + c_3) \right] \rightarrow 1$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$, so

$$u_0^{+,int} = -\beta \tanh \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]$$

Doing an analogous process to u^- , we have

$$u_0^{-,ext} = \alpha \quad y \quad u_0^{-,int} = -\sqrt{2c_0} \tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{2c_0}}{2} (s + c_3) \right]$$

As $\tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{2c_0}}{2} (s + c_3) \right] \rightarrow -1$, when $s \rightarrow -\infty$, it follows that $\alpha = -\beta$.

Thus

$$\begin{cases} u_0^{-,ext} = \alpha \\ u_0^{int} = -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right] \\ u_0^{+,ext} = -\alpha \end{cases}$$

Let's find U^{comp}

i.

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{comp} &= u_0^{int} + u_0^{-,ext} - u_0^{mach} \\
&= -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right] + \alpha - \alpha \\
&= -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{comp} &= u_0^{int} + u_0^{+,ext} - u_0^{mach} \\
&= -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right] + (-\alpha) - (-\alpha) \\
&= -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$U(x, \epsilon) = -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]$$

Show that $U(x, \epsilon) \in L_2([-1, 1])$.

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
|U(x, \epsilon)|^2 &= \left| -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right] \right|^2 \\
&= |\alpha|^2 \left| \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right] \right|^2 \\
&< |\alpha|^2
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\int_{-1}^1 |U(x, \epsilon)|^2 dx < \int_{-1}^1 |\alpha|^2 dx = 2|\alpha|^2 < \infty.$$

□

3 Metastability of $U(x, \epsilon)$

The unique equilibrium solution $U(x, \epsilon)$ metastable, for the problem (1), is given asymptotically by

$$U(x, \epsilon) = -\alpha \tanh \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} + k \right) \right]$$

Let us determine the stability of this solution by linealizing the Burger equation with viscosity, having regard to the expression

$$u(x, t) = U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t} \Phi(x), \quad \nu \ll 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x) &= \epsilon \left(U_{xx}(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_{xx}(x) \right) - \left(U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x) \right) \left(U_x(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_x(x) \right) \\ &= \left(\epsilon\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_{xx}(x) - U(x, \epsilon)\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_x(x) - \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)u_x(x, \epsilon) \right) + \Gamma(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \Gamma(x, t) = \epsilon U_{xx}(x, \epsilon) - U(x, \epsilon)u_x(x, \epsilon) - \nu^2 e^{-2\lambda t}\Phi(x)\Phi_x(x).$$

Where,

$$O(\nu) : -\lambda e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x) = \epsilon e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_{xx}(x) - U(x, \epsilon)e^{-\lambda t}\Phi_x(x) - U_x(x, \epsilon)e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)$$

$$-\lambda\Phi(x) = \epsilon\Phi_{xx}(x) - U(x, \epsilon)\Phi_x(x) - \Phi(x)U_x(x, \epsilon)$$

So,

$$\epsilon\Phi_{xx}(x) - (U(x, \epsilon)\Phi(x))_x + \lambda\Phi(x) = 0$$

Taking,

$$\text{i. } x = -1, \alpha = 1 \text{ y } k = 0, \quad U(-1, \epsilon) = \tanh\left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\right) \longrightarrow 1, \text{ when } \epsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{ii. } x = 1, \alpha = 1 \text{ y } k = 0, \quad U(1, \epsilon) = -\tanh\left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\right) \longrightarrow -1, \text{ when } \epsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now,

$$\text{i. } 1 = u(-1, t) = U(-1, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(-1) = 1 + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(-1), \quad \Phi(-1) = 0.$$

$$\text{ii. } -1 = u(1, t) = U(1, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(1) = -1 + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(1), \quad \Phi(1) = 0.$$

Consequently Φ satisfies the eigenvalue problem

$$\epsilon\Phi_{xx}(x) - (U(x, \epsilon)\Phi(x))_x + \lambda\Phi(x) = 0, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad \Phi(\pm 1) = 0.$$

Defining $\phi(x) \equiv \exp\left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds\right] \Phi(x)$ con $\Phi(\pm 1) = 0$, we observe that ϕ satisfies the own value problem

$$\epsilon\phi_{xx}(x) + U(x, \epsilon)\phi_x(x) + \lambda\phi(x) = 0, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad \phi(\pm 1) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Indeed:

$$\epsilon\Phi_{xx}(x) - (U(x, \epsilon)\Phi(x))_x + \lambda\Phi(x) = 0.$$

$$\epsilon\Phi_{xx}(x) - U(x, \epsilon)\Phi_x(x) - U_x(x, \epsilon)\Phi(x) + \lambda\Phi(x) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Multiplying (9) by

$$\exp\left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds\right].$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_{xx}(x) - U(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_x(x) - \\ & - U_x(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x) + \lambda \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_{xx}(x) - 2U(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_x(x) + \\ & + \left(\epsilon^{-1} U^2(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] - U_x(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \right) \Phi(x) + \\ & + U(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_x - \epsilon^{-1} U^2(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x) + \\ & + \lambda \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

From here,

$$\epsilon \phi_{xx}(x) + U \phi_x(x) + \lambda \phi(x) = 0$$

Where,

- i. $\phi(x) \equiv \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x)$
- ii. $\phi_x(x) \equiv -\epsilon^{-1} U(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(x) + \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_x(x)$
- iii. $\phi_{xx}(x) \equiv \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_{xx}(x) - 2\epsilon^{-1} U(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi_x(x) + \left(\epsilon^2 U^2(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] - \epsilon^{-1} U_x(x, \epsilon) \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^x u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \right) \Phi(x)$

We calculate $\phi(\pm 1)$

- i. $\phi(-1) \equiv \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^{-1} u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(-1) = 0$
- ii. $\phi(1) \equiv \exp \left[-\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^1 u(s, \epsilon) ds \right] \Phi(1) = 0.$

Since U is monotonically decreasing by x and zero at the turning point $x = 0$, the nature of the turning point for (8) is closely related to the eigenvalue problem $\epsilon\phi'' - x\phi' + \lambda\phi = 0$, $-1 < x < 1$, $\phi(\pm 1) = 0$, which has an exponentially small eigenvalue. In (see, [11]), it was shown that (8) has an asymptotically exponentially small principal eigenvalue and in (see, [12]) it was obtained asymptotic formula for this function eigenvalue, in turn in these articles demonstrated the existence of ϕ .

On the other hand, let us see that $u(x, t) = U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)$ with $\nu \ll 1$ converge to $U(x, \epsilon)$ in the norm of L_2 when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Indeed:

Applying the derivation rule under the integral (see, [6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-1}^1 (\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x))^2 dx &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x))^2 dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 2 (\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)) (-\lambda)\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 -2\lambda\nu^2 e^{-2\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t}\Phi^2(x) dx \\ &= -2\lambda\nu^2 e^{-2\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{-1}^1 \Phi^2(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Si $\lambda \geq 0$, $-2\lambda\nu^2 e^{-2\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{-1}^1 \Phi^2(x) dx \leq 0$, then $\|\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, $\nu \ll 1$.

From here,

$$\|u(x, t) - U(x, \epsilon)\|_2 = \|\nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x)\|_2$$

Thus,

$$\|u(x, t) - U(x, \epsilon)\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ on the norm of } L_2 \text{ when } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$u(x, t) \sim U(x, \epsilon).$$

Show that $u(x, t) = U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t}\Phi(x) \in L_2([-1, 1])$ with $\nu \ll 1$ and $\Phi(x)$ bounded.

Indeed:

To $\lambda \geq 0$, $e^{-\lambda t} < 1$ and there is $M \geq 0$ such that $|\Phi(x)| < \frac{\sqrt{M}}{2}$.

$$|u(x, t)| = |U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t} \Phi(x)| \iff |u(x, t)|^2 = |U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t} \Phi(x)|^2$$

Then,

$$|u(x, t)|^2 = |U(x, \epsilon) + \nu e^{-\lambda t} \Phi(x)|^2 \leq 4 (|U(x, \epsilon)|^2 + |\nu e^{-\lambda t} \Phi(x)|^2) < 4|\alpha|^2 + M$$

So,

$$\int_{-1}^1 |u(x, t)|^2 dx < \int_{-1}^1 (4|\alpha|^2 + M) dx = 8|\alpha|^2 + 2M < \infty.$$

4 Conclusion

After knowing and using a literature other than those already existing to solve nonlinear partial differential equations involving a certain degree of complexity, It was possible to find and establish an approximate solution of the Burger equation with viscosity associated with many physical problems such as sound waves in a viscous, dynamical medium of gases, waves in viscous elastic tubes filled with liquid, modeling of the flow fisco, among others. In addition, it was observed that the solution $u(x; t)$ asi of defined converges to $U(x, \epsilon)$ in the norm L_2 when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

References

- [1] Ali, H. N., *Introduction to Perturbation Techniques*, Wiley, 1993.
- [2] Bateman, H., *Some recent researches on the motion of fluids*, Monthly Weather Review, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 163170, 1915.
- [3] Bell, J.B, Colella, P. and Glaz, H.M., *A second-order projection method for the incompressible navier-stokes equations*, Journal of Computational Physics, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 257283, 1989.
- [4] Bluman, G. W and Cole, J. D., *The general similarity solution of the heat equation*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 18, pp. 10251042, 1969.
- [5] Bush, A.W., *Perturbation Methods for Engineers and Scientists*, CRC Press, Florida, 2000.
- [6] Cañizo, José, A., *Derivación bajo la integral*, 1 de Julio de 2004.
- [7] Debnath, L., *Nonlinear Partial Diferential Equations for Scientists and Engineers*, Birkhauser, 1997.

- [8] Franzini, J. B., y Finnemore, E. J., *Mecánica de Fluidos con Aplicaciones en Ingeniería*. McGraw Hill. Novena Edicin. Madrid, 1999.
- [9] Giles, R. V., *Mecánica de los Fluidos e Hidráulica*, Libros McGraw-Hill Series de Compendios Shaum. Bogotá, 1969.
- [10] Holmes, M. H., *Introduction to the Foundations of Applied Mathematics*, Springer, 2009.
- [11] Kreiss, G., Kreiss H-O., *Convergence to Steady State of Solutions of Burger' Equation*, Appl. Numer. Math, 2, pp. 161 - 179, 1986.
- [12] Laforgue, J.G.L., O'Malley, Jr, R.E., *Shock Layer Movement for Burger' Equation*, SIAM Review 17, pp. 605 - 640, 1975.
- [13] Lokenath, D., *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations for Scientists and Engineers*, Birkhauser, 1997.
- [14] Olver, P. J., *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 2014.
- [15] Olver, F. W. J., *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, New York, Academic Press, 1974.
- [16] O' Malley, Jr., R. E. and Ward M.J. *Exponential Asymptotics Boundary Layer Resonance and Dynamic Metastability*, 1996.
- [17] Sandro, S., *Partial Differential in Action from Modelling to Theory*, Springer, 2008.
- [18] Sugimoto, N., *Burgers equation with a fractional derivative; hereditary effects on nonlinear acoustic waves*, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, vol. 225, pp. 631653, 1991.
- [19] Vega, C., Héctor, R., *Fenómenos Físicos, Modelos Matemáticos y Ecuaciones Diferenciales*, Revista Mexicana de Física, 34 N1, pp 98-106, 1988.
- [20] Weinan, E., Khanin, K., Mazel, A. and Sinai, Y., *Invariant measures for Burgers equation with stochastic forcing*, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 151, no. 3, pp. 877960, 2000.
- [21] Whitham, G. B., *Linear and Non-Linear Waves*, John Wiley, 1974.