

THE NUMBER OF TRAVELING WAVE FAMILIES IN A RUNNING WATER WITH CORIOLIS FORCE

ZHIWU LIN, DONGYI WEI, ZHIFEI ZHANG, AND HAO ZHU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the number of traveling wave families near a shear flow $(u, 0)$ under the influence of Coriolis force, where the traveling speeds lie outside the range of u . Let β be the Rossby number. If the flow u has at least one critical point at which u attains its minimal (resp. maximal) value, then a unique transitional β value exists in the positive (resp. negative) half-line such that the number of traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ changes suddenly from finite one to infinity when β passes through it. If u has no such critical points, then the number is always finite for positive (resp. negative) β values. This is true for general shear flows under a technical assumption, and for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ unconditionally. The sudden change of the number of traveling wave families indicates that nonlinear dynamics around the shear flow is much richer than the non-rotating case, where no such traveling waves exist.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear long time dynamical behavior near a shear flow is closely related to the existence (or not) of non-trivial invariant structures (non-parallel steady flows, traveling waves, etc.). Non-existence of non-trivial invariant structures near a shear flow is necessary for nonlinear inviscid damping. Conversely, their existence means nonlinear inviscid damping is not true, and long time dynamics near the shear flow maybe richer, as some initial data near the shear flow might asymptotically converge to a non-trivial invariant structure, or converge to the superpositions of several invariant structures in a function space, which predicts complicated nonlinear dynamics near the shear flow.

Existence of embedding or isolated real eigenvalues of the linearized Euler operator is crucial in constructing non-parallel steady flows or traveling waves near a shear flow. Based on the existence of an embedding eigenvalue for a class of monotone shear flows near Couette, Lin and Zeng [11] constructed cat's eyes flows by bifurcation at these flows. When the Coriolis force is involved, Lin, Yang and Zhu constructed a set of traveling waves near the Sinus flow on account of the existence of an isolated real eigenvalue in [10]. The traveling speeds lie outside the range of the basic flow u and are contiguous to the isolated real eigenvalue. These traveling waves have fluid trajectories moving in one direction, and thus have no cat's eyes structure. If there is no earth's rotation, then it is well-known that the linearized Euler operator has no isolated real eigenvalues (e.g. [18]), and thus no such traveling waves exist. Therefore, their existence is purely due to the Coriolis effects. Barcilon and Drazin [1] studied the bifurcation of nontrivial waves using an embedding eigenvalue for some classes of special flows.

In this paper, we study the number of traveling wave families near a general shear flow u with Coriolis force, where the traveling speeds lie outside the range of u . The number of traveling wave families traces back to the study of isolated real eigenvalues of the linearized Euler operator, see Theorem 5.5. Thus, we first study the number of isolated real eigenvalues

of the linearized Euler operator for fixed wave number in Theorems 1.1–1.2. If u has a critical point at which u attains its minimal (resp. maximal) value, then we call it a critical-minimal (resp. critical-maximal) point of u . Our theory depends crucially on these points. Let β be the Rossby number. Under the β -plane approximation of the Coriolis force, we prove that if the flow u has at least one critical-minimal (resp. critical-maximal) point, then a unique transitional β value β_+ (resp. β_-) exists in the positive (resp. negative) half-line, through which the number of traveling wave families changes suddenly from finite one to infinity. Here, β_{\pm} are defined in (1.17)–(1.18). If the flow u has no critical-minimal (resp. critical-maximal) point, then the number of traveling wave families is always finite for positive (resp. negative) β values. This is true for general flows under a technical condition, see Theorem 1.6. Based on Hamiltonian structure and index theory, we can prove Theorem 1.6 for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ unconditionally. For the flow with Sinus profile, we calculate the explicit number of isolated real eigenvalues of the linearized Euler operator (and traveling wave families) on the whole (α, β) -plane, which plays an important role in further study of its long time dynamics.

The earth's rotation influences dynamics of large scale flows significantly. As indicated in [13], the study of the dynamics of large scale oceanic or atmospheric motions must include the Coriolis force to be geophysically relevant, and once the Coriolis force is included a host of subtle and fascinating dynamical phenomena are possible. Partial dynamics of large scale flows has been studied in [3, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19] and references therein. The motion for a large scale flow could be described by 2-D incompressible Euler equation with rotation

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} = -\nabla P - \beta y J \vec{v}, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0,$$

where $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ is the fluid velocity, P is the pressure, and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the rotation matrix. Here we study the fluid in a finite channel $\Omega = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{T}, y \in [y_1, y_2]\}$ with non-permeable boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$:

$$(1.2) \quad v_2 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad y = y_1, y_2.$$

The vorticity form of (1.1) takes

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t \omega + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \omega + \beta v_2 = 0,$$

where $\omega = \partial_x v_2 - \partial_y v_1$. Let $\vec{v} = \nabla^\perp \psi = (\partial_y \psi, -\partial_x \psi)$. Consider the shear flow $(u(y), 0)$, which is a steady solution of (1.3). The linearized equation of (1.3) around $(u(y), 0)$ takes

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t \omega + u \partial_x \omega - (\beta - u'') \partial_x \psi = 0.$$

In terms of the stream function ψ , (1.4) can be written as $\partial_t \Delta \psi + u \partial_x \Delta \psi + (\beta - u'') \partial_x \psi = 0$. By taking Fourier transform in x , we have

$$(1.5) \quad (\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2) \partial_t \hat{\psi} = i\alpha((u'' - \beta) - u(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)) \hat{\psi}.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta} \hat{\psi} := -(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)^{-1}((u'' - \beta) - u(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)) \hat{\psi}.$$

Then (1.5) becomes $-\frac{1}{i\alpha} \partial_t \hat{\psi} = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta} \hat{\psi}$. Let $\sigma(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta})$, $\sigma_e(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta})$ and $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta})$ be the spectrum, essential spectrum and discrete spectrum of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$, respectively. $c \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta})$ if and only if its corresponding eigenfunction ψ_c satisfies the Rayleigh-Kuo equation with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_c \phi := -\phi'' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \phi = \lambda \phi, \quad \phi(y_1) = \phi(y_2) = 0,$$

where $\phi \in H_0^1 \cap H^2(y_1, y_2)$ and $\lambda = -\alpha^2$. Recall that $\sigma_e(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) = \text{Ran}(u)$. Then the set of isolated real eigenvalues $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R} \subset (-\infty, u_{\min}) \cup (u_{\max}, \infty)$. Consider a class of shear flows, which satisfy

$$\text{(H1)} \quad u \in H^4(y_1, y_2), \quad u'' \neq 0 \text{ on } u\text{'s critical level } \{u' = 0\}.$$

We use the following notation.

$$(1.7) \quad \kappa_+ = \begin{cases} \min u''(\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\}) > 0, & \text{if } \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \kappa_- = \begin{cases} \max u''(\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\}) < 0, & \text{if } \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty, & \text{if } \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.9) \quad m_\beta = \begin{cases} \#\{a \in (y_1, y_2) : u(a) = u_{\min}, u''(a) - \beta < 0\}, & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \\ \#\{a \in (y_1, y_2) : u(a) = u_{\max}, u''(a) - \beta > 0\}, & \text{if } \frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.10) \quad M_\beta = \begin{cases} -\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_{m_\beta+1}(c), & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \\ -\inf_{c \in (u_{\max}, \infty)} \lambda_{m_\beta+1}(c), & \text{if } \frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.11) \quad \mu_+ = \min u''(\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2)), \quad \text{if } \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \mu_- = \max u''(\{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2)), \quad \text{if } \{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset.$$

Here, $\#(S)$ denotes the cardinality of the set S and $\lambda_{m_\beta+1}(c)$ is the $(m_\beta + 1)$ -th eigenvalue of (1.6). If $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $0 < \kappa_+ \leq \mu_+ < \infty$. If $\{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $-\infty < \mu_- \leq \kappa_- < 0$. For convenience, we denote

$$\text{(E}_+) \quad u_{\min} \text{ is not an embedding eigenvalue of } \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ for } 0 < \alpha^2 \leq M_\beta,$$

$$\text{(E}_-) \quad u_{\max} \text{ is not an embedding eigenvalue of } \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ for } 0 < \alpha^2 \leq M_\beta.$$

In the first part of this paper, we study the number of isolated real eigenvalues of the linearized Euler operator in Theorems 1.1–1.2, which plays an important role in counting the traveling wave families, see Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that u satisfies (H1) and $\alpha > 0$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R} = \emptyset \text{ if } \beta = 0; \quad \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty) = \emptyset \text{ if } \beta > 0; \quad \text{and } \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min}) = \emptyset \text{ if } \beta < 0.$$

$$(2) \quad \text{If } 0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+ \text{ and (E}_+) \text{ holds, then}$$

$$(1.13) \quad m_\beta \leq \#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty.$$

If $0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$, then $M_\beta < \infty$ and (1.13) holds for $\alpha^2 > M_\beta$.

$$(3) \quad \text{If } \frac{9}{8}\kappa_- < \beta < 0 \text{ and (E}_-) \text{ holds, then}$$

$$(1.14) \quad m_\beta \leq \#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty)) < \infty.$$

If $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0$, then $M_\beta < \infty$ and (1.14) holds for $\alpha^2 > M_\beta$.

$$(4) \quad \text{If } \beta > \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \text{ then } \#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) = \infty.$$

$$(5) \quad \text{If } \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \text{ then } \#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty)) = \infty.$$

The condition (\mathbf{E}_\pm) for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$ in Theorem 1.1 (2)–(3) can be dropped for a flow u in class \mathcal{K}^+ , see Theorem 6.2. Here, a flow in class \mathcal{K}^+ is specified in Definition 6.1.

The transitional β values $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_\pm$ are essentially due to the variational expression (2.1) for the k -th eigenvalue of (1.6) and the optimal Hardy type inequality (2.2), as is indicated in (2.6) and (3.3). The first step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (2)–(3) is to study the distribution

for eigenvalues of (1.6), see Theorem 2.3. The main idea is to control $\int \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 dy$ using the L^2 norm of ϕ' near a singular point, which involves very dedicate and careful localized analysis. The second step is to rule out the possibility that the negative eigenvalues of (1.6) oscillate in a faster way as $c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$ or $c \rightarrow u_{\max}^+$. We prove uniform H^1 bound for corresponding eigenfunctions in Proposition 2.5 and rule out it using the condition that u_{\min} or u_{\max} is not an embedding eigenvalue of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$. The idea in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (4)–(5) is to construct suitable test functions such that the functional in (2.1) converges to $-\infty$ as $c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$ or $c \rightarrow u_{\max}^+$, see (3.1). This is inspired by the “eigenfunction” $y^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the optimal Hardy type equality and a support-separated technique.

Our next result is complementary to Theorem 1.1 for flows with “good” endpoints.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$, u satisfy **(H1)** and $u(y_1) \neq u(y_2)$. Assume that for $i = 1, 2$, there exist $\delta > 0$, $C > 0$ and $m_i > 0$ such that (i) $u''(y) = \beta_i$ for $y \in (y_i - \delta, y_i + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2]$ or (ii) $C^{-1}|y - y_i|^{m_i} \leq |u''(y) - \beta_i| \leq C|y - y_i|^{m_i}$ for $y \in (y_i - \delta, y_i + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2]$ or (iii) $\beta_i u'(y_i)(-1)^i \geq 0$, where $\beta_i = u''(y_i)$. Then*

(1) $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for all $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ if and only if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset$;

(2) $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for all $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$ if and only if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \emptyset$.

Consequently, $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for all $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \emptyset$.

The conditions in Theorem 1.2 are rather generic and cover most flows. For these flows, Theorem 1.2 indicates that no transitional β value exists in the positive half-line if u has no critical-minimal point. By Theorem 1.1, under the assumption **(E₊)** for $0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$, a unique transitional β value $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$ exists in the positive half-line if u has at least one critical-minimal point. Similar conclusions are valid for the negative half-line. In this sense, Theorem 1.2 supplements Theorem 1.1. We give the combination of Theorems 1.1–1.2 in Corollary 4.4, and get the sharp criteria for the first eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_c to be uniformly bounded from below in Corollary 3.1. Corollaries 3.1 and 4.4 are crucial in studying the number of traveling wave families near the shear flow.

For the second part of this paper, we first establish the relationship between an isolated real eigenvalue of the linearized Euler operator and a traveling wave family near the shear flow in Lemmas 1.3–1.4, and then obtain the transitional β value for the number of nearby traveling wave families in Theorem 1.6.

First, we determine the asymptotic behavior of traveling speeds and L^2 normalized vertical velocities for nearby traveling waves. For convenience, we denote $D_T = (0, T) \times (y_1, y_2)$ with $T = 2\pi/\alpha$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \neq 0$ and $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$. Assume that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exists a traveling wave solution $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y) = (u_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y), v_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y))$ to (1.1)–(1.2) which has period $T = 2\pi/\alpha$ in x ,*

$$\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$, $c_\varepsilon \notin \text{Ran}(u)$ and $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow c_0$. Then $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) \cup \{u_{\min}, u_{\max}\}$. Moreover, if $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$, then there exists $\varphi_{c_0} \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0})$ such that

$$(1.15) \quad \vec{v}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \varphi_{c_0} \text{ in } H^2(D_T),$$

where the operator \mathcal{G}_{c_0} is defined by

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{G}_{c_0} = -\Delta - \frac{\beta - u''(y)}{u(y) - c_0} : H^2(D_T) \rightarrow L^2(D_T)$$

with periodic boundary condition in x and Dirichlet boundary condition in y , and $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$.

The limit function φ_{c_0} in Lemma 1.3 is a superposition of finite normal modes, see Remark 5.1. If $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ in Lemma 1.3, the vertical velocities of the nearby traveling waves have simple asymptotic behavior as seen in (1.15). However, if $c_0 \in \{u_{\min}, u_{\max}\}$, then the asymptotic behavior of vertical velocities might be complicated, see Remark 5.2.

Then we study the converse of Lemma 1.3. In fact, using an isolated real eigenvalue of the linearized Euler operator, we can construct nearby traveling waves.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \neq 0$ and $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$. Assume that $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exists a traveling wave solution $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y) = (u_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y), v_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y))$ to (1.1)–(1.2) which has period $T = 2\pi/\alpha$ in x ,*

$$\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow c_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have $u_\varepsilon(x, y) - c_\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$.

Here, we mention some differences from the construction of traveling waves in the literature. Recall that there exist $n_0, k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{n_0}(c_0) = -(k_0\alpha)^2$, where λ_{n_0} is the n_0 -th eigenvalue of (1.6). First, we can construct traveling waves even if c_0 is a local minimum or maximum point of λ_{n_0} , and thus remove the local monotone assumption of λ_{n_0} near c_0 in Theorem 7 of [10]. Second, it is possible that $c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$, which makes it subtle to guarantee that the bifurcated solutions near the flow u is not a shear flow. To overcome the difficulty, we carefully modify the flow u to a suitable shear flow, which satisfies that λ_{n_0} is locally monotone near c_0 and $c_0 \notin \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$, and then study the bifurcation at the suitable shear flow. Finally, the minimal periods of constructed traveling waves in x are possibly less than $2\pi/\alpha$ if $c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$. In fact, the operator \mathcal{L}_{c_0} could indeed have more than one negative eigenvalues (e.g., if $\kappa_+ < \infty$, $\beta > 9\kappa_+/8$ and c_0 is close to u_{\min}). In this case, we give sufficient condition to guarantee that the minimal period is $2\pi/\alpha$ in Lemma 5.3. In contrast, the minimal period must be $2\pi/\alpha$ in Theorem 5.1 of [9] and Theorem 1 of [11], since the corresponding Sturm-Liouville operator has only one negative eigenvalue.

By Lemma 1.3, for any set of traveling waves near the flow u , their traveling speeds cluster at an isolated real eigenvalue of the linearized Euler operator (besides u_{\min} and u_{\max}). By Lemma 1.4, every isolated real eigenvalue is contiguous to the speeds of nearby traveling waves. As the minimal periods of traveling waves in x can be less than $2\pi/\alpha$, there might be two or more sets of nearby traveling waves with traveling speeds clustering at a same isolated real eigenvalue. This suggests us to define a traveling wave family near $(u, 0)$ by an equivalence class as follows.

Definition 1.5. *A traveling wave family near $(u, 0)$ is defined by an equivalence class under \sim , where if $\{\vec{u}_{i, \varepsilon}(x - c_{i, \varepsilon}t, y) = (u_{i, \varepsilon}(x - c_{i, \varepsilon}t, y), v_{i, \varepsilon}(x - c_{i, \varepsilon}t, y)), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_i)\}$, $i = 1, 2$, are two sets of traveling wave solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) which have period $T = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}$ in x such that $\|(u_{i, \varepsilon}, v_{i, \varepsilon}) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \varepsilon$, $\|v_{i, \varepsilon}\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$, $c_{i, \varepsilon} \notin \text{Ran}(u)$ and $c_{i, \varepsilon} \rightarrow c_i \notin \text{Ran}(u)$, then the relation \sim is given by*

$$\{\vec{u}_{1, \varepsilon}(x - c_{1, \varepsilon}t, y), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)\} \sim \{\vec{u}_{2, \varepsilon}(x - c_{2, \varepsilon}t, y), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2)\} \Leftrightarrow c_1 = c_2,$$

and simultaneously, there exists $\varphi_i \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_i})$ such that $\tilde{v}_{i, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \varphi_i$ in $H^2(D_T)$, where $\tilde{v}_{i, \varepsilon} = v_{i, \varepsilon} / \|v_{i, \varepsilon}\|_{L^2(D_T)}$.

By Lemmas 1.3–1.4, we obtain the exact number of traveling wave families near the flow u , see Theorem 5.5. Now, we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \neq 0$ and u satisfy **(H1)**.*

- (1) *Assume that $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$ and **(E₊)** holds for $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$. Then there exists $\beta_+ \in (0, \infty)$ such that there exist at most finitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (0, \beta_+)$, and infinitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (\beta_+, \infty)$. Moreover,*

$$(1.17) \quad \beta_+ = \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\}, & \text{if } \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset, \\ \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, & \text{if } \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

- (2) *Assume that $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} \neq \emptyset$ and **(E₋)** holds for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, 0)$. Then there exists $\beta_- \in (-\infty, 0)$ such that there exist at most finitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (\beta_-, 0)$, and infinitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, \beta_-)$. Moreover,*

$$(1.18) \quad \beta_- = \begin{cases} \max\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \mu_-\}, & \text{if } \{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset, \\ \frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, & \text{if } \{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

If $u(y_1) \neq u(y_2)$, then under one of the conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 1.2, we have

- (3) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset$, then there exist at most finitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (0, \infty)$;*
(4) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \emptyset$, then there exist at most finitely many traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$.*

Let us give some remarks on the shape of the traveling waves and long time dynamics near the flow u .

- By (5.15), the traveling waves constructed in Lemma 1.4 have fluid trajectories moving in one direction, and thus unlike the steady flow near Couette flow in [11], the streamlines here have no cat's eyes structure.
- Let $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta > \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. The argument in Remark 5.2 shows that the directions of vertical velocities of the nearby traveling waves might change more frequently (even though) with small amplitude as the traveling speeds converge to u_{\min}^- . The case for $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_-$ is similar.
- When β passes through β_{\pm} , the sudden change of the number of traveling wave families indicates that the long time dynamics near a shear flow is richer. In particular, quasi-periodic solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) can be expected near the shear flow for $\beta \in (\beta_-, \beta_+)$, while almost periodic solutions are expectable for $\beta \notin [\beta_-, \beta_+]$.

Finally, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.6 for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ unconditionally, and apply them to the flow with Sinus profile. In particular, we calculate the number of isolated real eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and traveling wave families on the whole (α, β) -plane for this flow.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 (1)–(3). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 (4)–(5). In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we give the proofs of Lemmas 1.3–1.4 and Theorem 1.6. In Section 6, we study the flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ and the Sinus flow.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 (1)–(3)

Let $\alpha > 0$, $c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \text{Ran}(u)$, ψ_c be an eigenfunction of $\lambda = -\alpha^2$ for (1.6) and $F_c = \frac{\psi_c}{u-c}$. Then

$$((u-c)^2 F_c')' + (-\alpha^2(u-c)^2 + \beta(u-c))F_c = 0.$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} (u-c)^2 (|F_c'|^2 + \alpha^2 |F_c|^2) dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \beta(u-c) |F_c|^2 dy.$$

Thus, $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty) = \emptyset$ if $\beta \geq 0$, and $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min}) = \emptyset$ if $\beta \leq 0$. Moreover, $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,0}) \cap \mathbf{R} = \emptyset$. This proves Theorem 1.1 (1). Therefore, we only need to study $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min}))$ for $\beta > 0$ and $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty))$ for $\beta < 0$.

It follows from [15] that the k -th eigenvalue of (1.6) with $c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \text{Ran}(u)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(c) &= \sup_{\dim V = k-1} \inf_{\phi \in H_0^1, \phi \in V^\perp, \|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \\ (2.1) \quad &= \inf_{\dim V_k = k} \sup_{\phi \in H_0^1, \phi \in V_k, \|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Following [4, 12], the first lemma is a Hardy type inequality.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\phi \in H^1(a, b)$ and $\phi(y_0) = 0$ for some $y_0 \in [a, b]$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} \right\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2 + \frac{\int_a^b |y-y_0|^{-1} \phi(y)^2 dy}{\max(b-y_0, y_0-a)} \leq 4 \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2.$$

Here the constant 4 is optimal.

Proof. Suppose that ϕ is real-valued without loss of generality. Let $\varepsilon = 1/\max(b-y_0, y_0-a)$. First, we consider the integration on $[y_0, b]$ (if $y_0 < b$). Since

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} \right| \leq \frac{\|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_0,y)}^2 (y-y_0)}{y-y_0} = \|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_0,y)}^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } y \rightarrow y_0^+,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} \right\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 = - \int_{y_0}^b \phi(y)^2 d \left(\frac{1}{y-y_0} \right) = - \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} \Big|_{y_0}^b + \int_{y_0}^b \frac{2\phi(y)\phi'(y)}{y-y_0} dy, \\ &\int_{y_0}^b 2\phi(y)\phi'(y) dy = \phi(y)^2 \Big|_{y_0}^b = \phi(b)^2, \\ &\left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} \right\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} - \varepsilon\phi - 2\phi' \right\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 \\ &= -2 \frac{\phi(b)^2}{b-y_0} + 2\varepsilon\phi(b)^2 - 2\varepsilon \int_{y_0}^b \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} dy + \varepsilon^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 + 4\|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{y_0}^b \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} dy + 4\|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{b-y_0}$ and $\frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} \Big|_{y_0}^b = \frac{\phi(b)^2}{b-y_0}$. Thus, $\left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} \right\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2 + \varepsilon \int_{y_0}^b \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y-y_0} dy \leq 4\|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_0,b)}^2$.

Similarly, $\left\| \frac{\phi}{y-y_0} \right\|_{L^2(a,y_0)}^2 + \varepsilon \int_a^{y_0} \frac{\phi(y)^2}{y_0-y} dy \leq 4\|\phi'\|_{L^2(a,y_0)}^2$. This gives (2.2). Letting $y_0 = a$, $\phi(y) = (y-a)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_1}$ and sending $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0^+$, we see that the constant 4 is optimal. \square

To prove Theorem 1.1 (2)–(3), we first study the distribution for eigenvalues of (1.6). We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $a \in [y_1, y_2]$, $u(a) = u_{\min}$, $\phi \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$, $c < u_{\min}$ and $\beta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ (depending only on u and a) such that for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$,*

(1) *if (i) $u'(a) \neq 0$ or (ii) $u'(a) = 0$, $\beta \leq 9u''(a)/8$, $\phi(a) = 0$, then*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq 0;$$

(2) *if (iii) $a \in (y_1, y_2)$, $u'(a) = 0$, $\beta \leq u''(a)$, then*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq -C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2([a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2])}^2.$$

Here C_δ is a positive constant depending only on u, a and δ .

Proof. First, we assume (i). Then we have $a \in \{y_1, y_2\}$ and thus $\phi(a) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a = y_1$. In this case, $u'(y_1) > 0$. Choose $\delta_1 \in (0, y_2 - y_1)$ small enough such that $u'(y) > \frac{u'(y_1)}{2}$ for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta_1)$, and thus, there exists $\xi_y \in (y_1, y)$ such that $u(y) - c > u(y) - u_{\min} = u'(\xi_y)(y - y_1) > \frac{u'(y_1)}{2}(y - y_1) > 0$ for $c < u_{\min}$. Note that for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta)$,

$$|\phi(y)|^2 = \left| \int_{y_1}^y \phi'(s) ds \right|^2 \leq \|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_1, y)}^2 (y - y_1).$$

Now we take $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$ to be small enough such that $\int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta_0} \frac{2|u'' - \beta|}{u'(y_1)} dy \leq 1$. Then for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$\left| \int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta} \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 dy \right| \leq \|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta)}^2 \int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta} \frac{2|u'' - \beta|}{u'(y_1)} dy \leq \|\phi'\|_{L^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta)}^2,$$

which implies (2.4) since $[a - \delta, a + \delta] \cap [y_1, y_2] = [y_1, y_1 + \delta]$.

Now we assume (ii), then $u''(a) > 0$. Let $\delta_1 \in (0, \max(y_2 - a, a - y_1))$ be small enough such that $u''(y) > \frac{u''(a)}{2} > 0$ for $y \in [a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1] \cap [y_1, y_2]$. Since $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2) \subset C^3([y_1, y_2])$, we have $|u''(y) - u''(a)| \leq C|y - a|$ and $|1/u''(y) - 1/u''(a)| \leq C|y - a|$ for $y \in [a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1] \cap [y_1, y_2]$. Then there exists $\xi_y \in \{z : |z - a| < |y - a|\}$ such that $u(y) - c > u(y) - u_{\min} = \frac{u''(\xi_y)}{2}(y - a)^2 > \frac{2\beta}{9}(y - a)^2 > 0$ for $c < u_{\min}$ and $y \in [a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1] \cap [y_1, y_2]$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \frac{1}{u(y) - c} < \frac{2}{u''(\xi_y)(y - a)^2} \leq \frac{2 + C|\xi_y - a|}{u''(a)(y - a)^2} \leq \frac{2 + C|y - a|}{u''(a)(y - a)^2}, \\ u''(y) - \beta &\geq u''(a) - C|y - a| - 9u''(a)/8 = -u''(a)/8 - C|y - a|, \\ \frac{u''(y) - \beta}{u(y) - c} &\geq \frac{-u''(a)/8 - C|y - a|}{u(y) - c} \geq -\frac{u''(a)}{8} \frac{2 + C|y - a|}{u''(a)(y - a)^2} - \frac{C|y - a|}{(2\beta/9)(y - a)^2} \\ &\geq -\frac{1 + C_0|y - a|}{4(y - a)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we take $\delta_0 = \min(\delta_1, C_0^{-1}) > 0$. For $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2.6) \quad - \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 dy \leq \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{1 + C_0|y - a|}{4(y - a)^2} |\phi|^2 dy$$

$$\leq \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{1 + \delta^{-1}|y-a|}{4(y-a)^2} |\phi|^2 dy \leq \|\phi'\|_{L^2([a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2])}^2,$$

which implies (2.4).

Next, we assume (iii). In this case, $u'(a) = 0$. Let $\tilde{\beta} = u''(a) > 0$. Then $\tilde{\beta} \geq \beta$. Let $\delta_1 \in (0, \min(y_2 - a, a - y_1))$ be small enough such that $u''(y) > \frac{u''(a)}{2} > 0$ and $|u(y) - u_{\min}| \leq 1/2$ for $y \in [a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1]$. Then $0 < u(y) - c < 1$ for $y \in [a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1]$ and $c \in (u_{\min} - 1/2, u_{\min})$. Now we assume $0 < \delta \leq \delta_1$. Direct computation implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u - c} |\phi|^2 dy = \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 d(\ln(u - c)) \\ & = \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 (\ln(u - c)) \right) \Big|_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} - \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \ln(u - c) \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right)' dy = I_{c,\delta}(\phi) + II_{c,\delta}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\beta} - u''(a) = 0$, it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.7 in [19] that $\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} \in H^1(a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1)$. By interpolation, we have $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \leq C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)} + \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right) (a + \delta) - \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right) (a - \delta) \right| \leq C \left\| \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right)' \right\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left\| \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} \right)' |\phi|^2 + \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} \right) 2\phi\phi' \right\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \right) \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and $\left| \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right) (a - \delta) \right| \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 \leq C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{c,\delta}(\phi) & = \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right) \Big|_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \ln(u(a + \delta) - c) + \left(\frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right) \Big|_{a-\delta} \ln(u - c) \Big|_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \\ & \leq (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) (\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} |\ln(u(a + \delta) - c)| + |\ln(u - c)|_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $C'(y-a)^2 \leq |u(y) - u(a)| \leq C''(y-a)^2$ for $y \in [a - \delta, a + \delta]$. Then $|\ln(u(y) - c)| = -\ln(u(y) - c) \leq -\ln(u(y) - u(a)) \leq -\ln(C'(y-a)^2)$ and $|\ln(u(a + \delta) - c)| \leq -\ln(C'\delta^2) \leq C(|\ln \delta| + 1)$ for $|c - u_{\min}| < 1/2$ and $y \in [a - \delta, a + \delta]$. Let $u_+ = \max(u(a + \delta), u(a - \delta))$ and $u_- = \min(u(a + \delta), u(a - \delta))$. Then $u_+ \geq u_- > u(a)$, and

$$|\ln(u - c)|_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} = \ln \frac{u_+ - c}{u_- - c} \leq \ln \frac{u_+ - u(a)}{u_- - u(a)} = \left| \ln \frac{u(a + \delta) - u(a)}{u(a - \delta) - u(a)} \right|$$

for $c < u_{\min} = u(a)$. Thus,

$$(2.7) \quad |I_{c,\delta}(\phi)| \leq (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) \Psi(\delta)$$

for $c \in (u_{\min} - 1/2, u_{\min})$, where

$$\Psi(\delta) = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (|\ln \delta| + 1) + \left| \ln \frac{u(a + \delta) - u(a)}{u(a - \delta) - u(a)} \right|.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \ln \frac{u(a+\delta) - u(a)}{u(a-\delta) - u(a)} \right| = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \ln \frac{u''(\xi_{a+\delta})}{u''(\xi_{a-\delta})} \right| = 0,$$

where $\xi_{a+\delta} \in (a, a+\delta)$ and $\xi_{a-\delta} \in (a-\delta, a)$. Therefore $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(\delta) = 0$.

Next, we claim that $\ln(u-c)$, $c \in (u_{\min} - 1/2, u_{\min})$, is uniformly bounded in $L^p(a-\delta, a+\delta)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. The proof is similar as that in Lemma 3.7 of [19]. Note that $|\ln(u(y)-c)| \leq -\ln(C'(y-a)^2)$ for $|c - u_{\min}| < 1/2$ and $y \in [a-\delta, a+\delta]$. Therefore,

$$\int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} |\ln(u-c)|^p dy \leq C \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} (|\ln(y-a)|^2)^p dy \leq C \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (|\ln|z||^2)^p dz \leq C.$$

Now, we consider $II_{c,\delta}(\phi)$.

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |II_{c,\delta}(\phi)| &\leq (2\delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\ln(u-c)\|_{L^4(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \left\| \left(\frac{u'' - \beta}{u'} |\phi|^2 \right)' \right\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)} \\ &\leq (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.8), we get for $c \in (u_{\min} - 1/2, u_{\min})$,

$$\left| \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u-c} |\phi|^2 dy \right| \leq (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C_1 \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) (\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + \Psi(\delta)).$$

Since $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(\delta) = 0$, we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + \Psi(\delta)) = 0$, and there exists $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that $C_1(\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + \Psi(\delta)) < 1$. Then for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $c \in (u_{\min} - 1/2, u_{\min})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\phi|^2 dy &\geq \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u-c} |\phi|^2 dy \\ &\geq - (C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 + C_1 \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2) (\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + \Psi(\delta)) \\ &\geq - C_\delta \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2 (\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + \Psi(\delta)) - \|\phi'\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.5) since $[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2] = [a-\delta, a+\delta]$. On the other hand, for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $c \leq u_{\min} - 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\phi|^2 dy &\geq \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \frac{u'' - \tilde{\beta}}{u-c} |\phi|^2 dy \\ &\geq - 2 \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} |u'' - \tilde{\beta}| |\phi|^2 dy \geq - C \|\phi\|_{L^2(a-\delta, a+\delta)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.5). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.3. (1) Let $0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. Then

- (i) $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$, $1 \leq n \leq m_\beta$;
- (ii) $M_\beta < \infty$;
- (iii) there exists an integer $N_\beta > m_\beta$ such that $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_{N_\beta}(c) \geq 0$.

(2) Let $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0$. Then

- (i) $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\max}^+} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$, $1 \leq n \leq m_\beta$;
- (ii) $M_\beta < \infty$;

(iii) there exists an integer $N_\beta > m_\beta$ such that $\inf_{c \in (u_{\max}, \infty)} \lambda_{N_\beta}(c) \geq 0$.

Here, κ_\pm , m_β and M_β are defined in (1.7)–(1.10).

Proof. We only give the proof of (1), since (2) can be proved similarly. First, we consider $1 \leq n \leq m_\beta$. It suffices to show that $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_{m_\beta}(c) = -\infty$. Let

$$(2.9) \quad \{a \in (y_1, y_2) : u = u_{\min}, u''(a) - \beta < 0\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{m_\beta}\},$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \eta(x) = \begin{cases} \mu \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1-x^2}\right), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ 0, & x \notin (-1, 1), \end{cases}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a constant such that $\int_{-1}^1 \eta(x)^2 dx = 1$. Then $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Define

$$\varphi_i(y) = \delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta\left(\frac{y - a_i}{\delta_0}\right), \quad y \in [y_1, y_2],$$

where $1 \leq i \leq m_\beta$, and $\delta_0 > 0$ is small enough such that $(a_i - \delta_0, a_i + \delta_0) \cap (a_j - \delta_0, a_j + \delta_0) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $u''(y) - \beta < 0$ for all $y \in \cup_{1 \leq i \leq m_\beta} (a_i - \delta_0, a_i + \delta_0) \subset (y_1, y_2)$. Then $\|\varphi_i\|_{L^2(y_1, y_2)} = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\varphi_i) = (a_i - \delta_0, a_i + \delta_0)$. Thus, $\varphi_i \perp \varphi_j$ in the L^2 sense for $i \neq j$. Let $V_{m_\beta} = \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m_\beta}\}$. Then $V_{m_\beta} \subset H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$. By (2.1), there exist $b_{i,c} \in \mathbf{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m_\beta$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{m_\beta} |b_{i,c}|^2 = 1$ such that $\varphi_c = \sum_{i=1}^{m_\beta} b_{i,c} \varphi_i \in V_{m_\beta}$ with $\|\varphi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{m_\beta}(c) &\leq \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2}=1, \phi \in V_{m_\beta}} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\varphi_c'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_c|^2 \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_\beta} |b_{i,c}|^2 \int_{a_i - \delta_0}^{a_i + \delta_0} \left(|\varphi_i'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_i|^2 \right) dy \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m_\beta} \int_{a_i - \delta_0}^{a_i + \delta_0} \left(|\varphi_i'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_i|^2 \right) dy \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove (ii). Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant such that $(a - \delta_1, a + \delta_1) \subset [y_1, y_2]$ for $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}$, and $|a - b| > 2\delta_1$ for $a, b \in \{u = u_{\min}\}$ and $a \neq b$. There are four cases for zeros of $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}$ as follows:

Case 1. $a \in \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $u'(a) \neq 0$;

Case 2. $a \in \{y_1, y_2\}$, $u'(a) = 0$ (thus $\beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+ \leq 9u''(a)/8$);

Case 3. $a \in (y_1, y_2)$ and $\beta \leq u''(a)$;

Case 4. $a \in (y_1, y_2)$ and $u''(a) < \beta \leq 9u''(a)/8$.

Then we divide our proof into four cases as above. In fact, for Cases 1–2, by Lemma 2.2 (1) there exists $\delta(a) > 0$ such that for $0 < \delta \leq \delta(a)$, $c < u_{\min}$ and $\phi \in H_0^1$,

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq 0.$$

For Case 3, by Lemma 2.2 (2) there exists $\delta(a) > 0$ such that for $0 < \delta \leq \delta(a)$, $c < u_{\min}$ and $\phi \in H_0^1$,

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq -C(\delta, a) \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} |\phi|^2 dy.$$

Here $C(\delta, a)$ depends only on u, a, δ . Moreover, if $\phi(a) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.2 (1),

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq 0.$$

For Case 4, we have $a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{m_\beta}\}$. By Lemma 2.2 (1), there exists $\delta(a) > 0$ such that for $0 < \delta \leq \delta(a)$, $c < u_{\min}$, $\phi \in H_0^1$ and $\phi(a) = 0$,

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{[a-\delta, a+\delta] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \geq 0.$$

Now let $\delta_0 = \min(\{\delta(a) : a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}\} \cup \{\delta_1\})$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} (q_1(y), q_1^0(y)) &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{u''(y) - \beta}{u(y) - c}, 1 \right) & y \in [y_1, y_2] \setminus \cup_{a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}} (a - \delta_0, a + \delta_0), \\ (0, 0) & y \in \cup_{a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}} ((a - \delta_0, a + \delta_0) \cap [y_1, y_2]), \end{cases} \\ (q_2(y), q_2^0(y)) &= \left(\frac{u''(y) - \beta}{u(y) - c} - q_1(y), 1 - q_1^0(y) \right) \quad y \in [y_1, y_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for $c < u_{\min}$,

$$|q_1(y)| \leq C_0 \quad \text{for } y \in [y_1, y_2].$$

For $\phi \in H_0^1$ and $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \\ &= \int_{y_1}^{y_2} (q_1^0 |\phi'|^2 + q_1 |\phi|^2) dy + \int_{y_1}^{y_2} (q_2^0 |\phi'|^2 + q_2 |\phi|^2) dy = I_c(\phi) + II_c(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider $I_c(\phi)$. For $\phi \in H_0^1$ and $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$, we have

$$(2.16) \quad I_c(\phi) \geq \int_{y_1}^{y_2} (-C_0 |\phi|^2) dy \geq -C_0$$

for $c < u_{\min}$. We proceed to consider $II_c(\phi)$.

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} II_c(\phi) &= \sum_{a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}} \int_{[a-\delta_0, a+\delta_0] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy \\ &= \left(\sum_{\text{Case 1}} + \dots + \sum_{\text{Case 4}} \right) \int_{[a-\delta_0, a+\delta_0] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a_1, \dots, a_{m_β} are defined in (2.9). For any $(m_\beta + 1)$ -dimensional subspace $V = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m_\beta+1}\}$ in $H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$, there exists $0 \neq (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m_\beta+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{m_\beta+1}$ such that $\xi_1 \psi_1(a_i) + \dots + \xi_{m_\beta+1} \psi_{m_\beta+1}(a_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m_\beta$. Define $\tilde{\psi} = \xi_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \xi_{m_\beta+1} \psi_{m_\beta+1}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}(a_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m_\beta$. We normalize $\tilde{\psi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(y_1, y_2)} = 1$. Then by (2.12), (2.13), (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_c(\tilde{\psi}) &\geq \sum_{\text{Case 3}} \int_{[a-\delta_0, a+\delta_0] \cap [y_1, y_2]} \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy \\ &\geq - \sum_{\text{Case 3}} C(\delta_0, a) \int_{[a-\delta_0, a+\delta_0] \cap [y_1, y_2]} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dy \geq - \max_{\text{Case 3}} C(\delta_0, a). \end{aligned}$$

This, along with (2.1) and (2.16), yields that $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_{m_\beta+1}(c) \geq -\max_{\text{Case 3}} C(\delta_0, a) - C_0$.

This proves (ii).

Finally, we prove (iii). Let $q_1, q_2, I_c(\phi), II_c(\phi)$ and C_0 be defined as in (ii). Let $\mu_1([a, b])$ be the principal eigenvalue of

$$-\phi'' = \lambda\phi, \quad \phi(a) = \phi(b) = 0.$$

Then we have $\mu_1([a, b]) = |\pi/(b-a)|^2$, and

$$(2.18) \quad \int_a^b |\phi'|^2 dy \geq \mu_1([a, b]) \int_a^b |\phi|^2 dy \quad \text{for } \phi \in H_0^1(a, b).$$

Let $\delta_2 = \pi/C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have $\mu_1([a, b]) \geq C_0$ for $0 < b-a \leq \delta_2$. Let

$$M = (\{n\delta_2 : n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{a+b : a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}, b \in \{-\delta_0, 0, \delta_0\}\} \cup \{y_1, y_2\}) \cap [y_1, y_2].$$

Then M is a finite set, and we can write its elements in the increasing order

$$M = \{a'_0, \dots, a'_{N_\beta}\}, \quad y_1 = a'_0 < \dots < a'_{N_\beta} = y_2.$$

Then $0 < a'_{k+1} - a'_k \leq \delta_2$ and $\mu_1([a'_k, a'_{k+1}]) \geq C_0$ for $0 \leq k < N_\beta$. Let

$$M_1 = \{k \in \mathbf{Z} : 0 \leq k < N_\beta, [a'_k, a'_{k+1}] \cap (a - \delta_0, a + \delta_0) = \emptyset, \forall a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}\}.$$

Then we have $[y_1, y_2] \setminus (\cup_{a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}} (a - \delta_0, a + \delta_0)) = \cup_{k \in M_1} [a'_k, a'_{k+1}]$.

For any N_β -dimensional subspace $V = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N_\beta}\}$ in $H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$, there exists $0 \neq (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N_\beta}) \in \mathbf{R}^{N_\beta}$ such that $\xi_1 \psi_1(a'_i) + \dots + \xi_{N_\beta} \psi_{N_\beta}(a'_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, N_\beta - 1$. Define $\tilde{\psi} = \xi_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \xi_{N_\beta} \psi_{N_\beta}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}(a'_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, N_\beta - 1$. We normalize $\tilde{\psi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(y_1, y_2)} = 1$. Since $\tilde{\psi} \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$, we also have $\tilde{\psi}(a'_0) = \tilde{\psi}(y_1) = 0, \tilde{\psi}(a'_{N_\beta}) = \tilde{\psi}(y_2) = 0$, and thus $\tilde{\psi}(a'_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, N_\beta$, i.e. $\tilde{\psi}|_M = 0$. By (2.18), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{a'_k}^{a'_{k+1}} |\tilde{\psi}'|^2 dy \geq \mu_1([a'_k, a'_{k+1}]) \int_{a'_k}^{a'_{k+1}} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dy \geq C_0 \int_{a'_k}^{a'_{k+1}} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dy, \quad k = 0, \dots, N_\beta - 1.$$

First, we consider $I_c(\tilde{\psi})$. By (2.19), we have

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} I_c(\tilde{\psi}) &\geq \int_{[y_1, y_2] \setminus (\cup_{a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}} (a - \delta_0, a + \delta_0))} (|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - C_0 |\tilde{\psi}|^2) dy \\ &= \sum_{k \in M_1} \int_{a'_k}^{a'_{k+1}} (|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - C_0 |\tilde{\psi}|^2) dy \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider $II_c(\tilde{\psi})$. For $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\}$, we have $a \in M$ and $\tilde{\psi}(a) = 0$. Then by (2.12), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.17), we have $II_c(\tilde{\psi}) \geq 0$. This, along with (2.1) and (2.20), yields that $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_{N_\beta}(c) \geq 0$. This proves (iii). \square

We only consider $0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$ in the sequel, since the analysis for $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_- < \beta < 0$ is similar. From Theorem 2.3 (1), we get that for $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq n \leq m_\beta$, $\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2$ has only finite number of solutions c on $(-\infty, u_{\min})$. Moreover, if $n \geq N_\beta$, no solutions exist for $\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2$ on $c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})$. Next, we consider the eigenvalues $\lambda_n(c), c \in (-\infty, u_{\min}), m_\beta < n < N_\beta$, with the property $\lambda_n(c) \leq 0$. We need the information whether $\#\{\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2, c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})\} < \infty$ for $m_\beta < n < N_\beta$. To this end, we first consider the compactness near a class of singular points.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $c \in \text{Ran}(u)$, $y_0 \in u^{-1}\{c\} \cap (y_1, y_2)$, $u'(y_0) = 0$ and $\delta > 0$ so that $(u''(y_0) - \beta)(u''(y) - \beta) > 0$ on $[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta] \subset [y_1, y_2]$ and $[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta] \cap u^{-1}\{c\} = \{y_0\}$. Assume that $\beta/u''(y_0) < 9/8$. Let $\phi_n, \omega_n \in H^1(y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$ and $c_n \in \mathbf{C}$ so that $c_n^i > 0$, $c_n \rightarrow c$, $\phi_n \rightarrow 0, \omega_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$ and*

$$(u - c_n)(\phi_n'' - \alpha^2 \phi_n) - (u'' - \beta)\phi_n = \omega_n$$

holds on $[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]$. Then $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$.

Here $c_n^i = \text{Im}(c_n)$. The proof of Lemma 2.4 is the same as that of Lemma 3.4 in [19], where we only used the condition $\beta/u''(y_0) < 9/8$ rather than the stronger condition:

$$\text{(H)} \quad u \in H^4(y_1, y_2), \quad u''(y_c) \neq 0, \quad \beta/u''(y_c) < 9/8 \text{ at critical points } u'(y_c) = 0.$$

Since otherwise, we can construct \tilde{u} such that $\tilde{u} \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$, $\tilde{u}|_{[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]} = u|_{[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]}$ and $(\tilde{u}')^{-1}\{0\} = \{y_0\}$. Recall that all the conditions and conclusions depend only on $u|_{[y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]}$. Then we prove the uniform H^1 bound for the eigenfunctions. More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. Assume that $m_\beta < n < N_\beta$, $\{c_k\} \subset (-\infty, u_{\min})$, $c_k \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$ and $-\lambda_n(c_k) = \alpha^2 > 0$, where m_β and N_β are given in (1.9)–(1.10), and $\lambda_n(c_k)$ is the n -th eigenvalue of*

$$(2.21) \quad -\psi_k'' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_k} \psi_k = \lambda_n(c_k) \psi_k, \quad \psi_k(y_1) = \psi_k(y_2) = 0$$

with the L^2 normalized eigenfunction ψ_k . Then

$$(2.22) \quad \|\psi_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)} \leq C, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that (2.22) is not true. Up to a subsequence, we can assume that $\|\psi_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)} \geq k$. Let $\hat{\psi}_k = \frac{\psi_k}{\|\psi_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)}}$ on $[y_1, y_2]$. Then $-\hat{\psi}_k'' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_k} \hat{\psi}_k = -\alpha^2 \hat{\psi}_k$ on $[y_1, y_2]$, $\|\hat{\psi}_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)} = 1$ and $\|\hat{\psi}_k\|_{L^2(y_1, y_2)} = 1/\|\psi_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)} \leq 1/k \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(y_1, y_2)$.

Similar to Lemma 3.1 in [19], we have $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1((a - \delta, a + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2])$ for $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap \{u' \neq 0\}$. Similar to Lemma 3.5 and Remark 3.6 in [19], we have $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1((a - \delta, a + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2])$ for $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap \{y_1, y_2\} \cap \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u'' \neq \beta\}$. Similar to Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.8 in [19], we have $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1((a - \delta, a + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2])$ for $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap \{u' = 0\} \cap \{u'' = \beta\}$. The main difference is that $c_k \in \mathbf{R}$ rather than $\text{Im}(c_k) > 0$, and we can overcome this difficulty by perturbation of c_k as in the next case.

If $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \cap \{u'' \neq \beta\}$, then $0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+ \leq 9u''(a)/8$. Take $\delta \in (0, \min(y_2 - a, a - y_1))$ small enough so that $(u''(a) - \beta)(u''(y) - \beta) > 0$ on $[a - \delta, a + \delta] \subset [y_1, y_2]$ and $[a - \delta, a + \delta] \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \{a\}$. Noting that $\hat{\psi}_k, \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_k} \in H^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)$, we have $\hat{\psi}_k'' - \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}_k = \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_k} \hat{\psi}_k \in H^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)$, and there exists $\epsilon_k > 0$ such that $\epsilon_k(1 + \|\hat{\psi}_k'' - \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}_k\|_{H^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)}) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\tilde{c}_k = c_k + i\epsilon_k$ and $\omega_k = -i\epsilon_k(\hat{\psi}_k'' - \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}_k)$. Then we have $(u - \tilde{c}_k)(\hat{\psi}_k'' - \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}_k) - (u'' - \beta)\hat{\psi}_k = \omega_k$, $\|\omega_k\|_{H^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)} \rightarrow 0$, $\tilde{c}_k \rightarrow u_{\min}$, $\text{Im}(\tilde{c}_k) > 0$ and $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1((a - \delta, a + \delta) \cap [y_1, y_2])$. Note that $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{loc}^2([y_1, y_2] \setminus \{u = u_{\min}\})$. Therefore, $\hat{\psi}_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(y_1, y_2)$, which contradicts $\|\hat{\psi}_k\|_{H^1(y_1, y_2)} = 1$. Thus, (2.22) is true. \square

Following Definition 3.10 in [19], we call u_{\min} (or u_{\max}) to be an embedding eigenvalue of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$ if there exists a nontrivial $\psi \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$ such that for any $\varphi \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$ and

$\text{supp } \varphi \subset (y_1, y_2) \setminus \{y \in (y_1, y_2) : u(y) = u_{\min}, u''(y) \neq \beta\},$

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} (\psi' \varphi' + \alpha^2 \psi \varphi) dy + p.v. \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi \varphi}{u - u_{\min}} dy = 0.$$

Equivalently, u_{\min} (or u_{\max}) is an embedding eigenvalue of the linearized operator of (1.1) (in velocity form) defined on $L^2 \times L^2$. In fact, $\vec{v} = (\psi', -i\alpha\psi) \neq 0$ is the corresponding eigenfunction.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1 (2).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (2). By Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty$ for $0 < \alpha^2 \leq M_\beta$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. Suppose $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) = \infty$. Then by Theorem 2.3, there exist $m_\beta < n < N_\beta$ and $\{c_k\} \subset (-\infty, u_{\min})$ with $c_k \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$ such that $\lambda_n(c_k) = -\alpha^2$ is the n -th eigenvalue of (2.21) with the L^2 normalized eigenfunction ψ_k . By Proposition 2.5, up to a subsequence, there exists $\psi_0 \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$ such that $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi_0$ in $H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$. Similar to (3.28) in [19],

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_k \varphi}{u - c_k} dy = p.v. \int_{a-\delta}^{a+\delta} \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_0 \varphi}{u - u_{\min}} dy$$

for any $a \in \{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \cap \{u'' = \beta\}$ and $\varphi \in H_0^1(a - \delta, a + \delta)$. Since $\frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_k \varphi}{u - c_k} \rightarrow \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_0 \varphi}{u - u_{\min}}$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^0((y_1, y_2) \setminus \{u = u_{\min}\})$, taking limits in

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} (\psi_k' \varphi' + \alpha^2 \psi_k \varphi) + \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_k \varphi}{u - c_k} dy = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (y_1, y_2) \setminus \{y \in (y_1, y_2) : u(y) = u_{\min}, u''(y) \neq \beta\}$, we get

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} (\psi_0' \varphi' + \alpha^2 \psi_0 \varphi) dy + p.v. \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta) \psi_0 \varphi}{u - u_{\min}} dy = 0.$$

If ψ_0 is nontrivial, u_{\min} is an embedding eigenvalue of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi_0 \equiv 0$ in $H^1(y_1, y_2)$, which contradicts that $\|\psi_k\|_{L^2(y_1, y_2)} = 1$, $k \geq 1$. Thus, $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 (4)–(5)

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 (4)–(5).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (4)–(5). We only prove Theorem 1.1 (4), since (5) can be proved similarly. Let $\beta > \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. Then there exists $a \in [y_1, y_2]$ such that $\beta/u''(a) > 9/8$, $u'(a) = 0$ and $u(a) = u_{\min}$. If $a \in [y_1, y_2)$, our analysis is completely on $[a, a + \delta] \subset [y_1, y_2)$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough. If $a = y_2$, the analysis is only on $[a - \delta, a]$ and the proof is similar as $a \in [y_1, y_2)$. Now we assume that $a = 0 \in [y_1, y_2)$. Then $u''(0) > 0$ and there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $u''(z) > 0$ and

$$\frac{2(u''(y) - \beta)}{u''(z)} < -\frac{1}{4 - \varepsilon_0}$$

for $y, z \in [0, \delta] \subset [y_1, y_2)$ and $\delta > 0$ small enough. Let $\nu_0 = \min_{z \in [0, \delta]} \{u''(z)\} > 0$ and $J(x) = \eta(2x - 1)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, where η is defined in (2.10). Define

$$\varphi_{i,R}(y) = \begin{cases} y^{\frac{1}{2}} J\left(\frac{\ln y}{R} + i + 1\right), & y \in (0, y_2], \\ 0, & y \in [y_1, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, k$, and R is large enough such that $e^{-R} < \delta$. Then $\varphi_{i,R} \in H_0^1(y_1, y_2)$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_{i,R} = [e^{-(i+1)R}, e^{-iR}]$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus, $\varphi_{i,R} \perp \varphi_{j,R}$ in the L^2 sense for $i \neq j$. Note that $u''(y) - \beta < 0$ for $y \in [0, \delta]$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{i,R} = \frac{1}{\|\varphi_{i,R}\|_{L^2}} \varphi_{i,R}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_{k,R} = \text{span}\{\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{k,R}\}.$$

Choose $R > 0$ such that $2(u_{\min} - c) = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \nu_0 e^{-2(k+1)R}}{8}$. Then $c \rightarrow u_{\min}^- \Leftrightarrow R \rightarrow \infty$. We shall show that for $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\tilde{\varphi}'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\tilde{\varphi}_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy = -\infty.$$

Assume that (3.1) is true. Similar to (2.11), there exist $d_{i,c} \in \mathbf{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, with $\sum_{i=1}^k |d_{i,c}|^2 = 1$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_k(c) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |d_{i,c}|^2 \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\tilde{\varphi}'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\tilde{\varphi}_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-.$$

Now we prove (3.1). Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u''(y) - \beta}{u(y) - c} &= \frac{u''(y) - \beta}{u(y) - u_{\min} + u_{\min} - c} = \frac{2(u''(y) - \beta)}{u''(\xi_y)y^2 + 2(u_{\min} - c)} < \frac{2(u''(y) - \beta)}{\left(u''(\xi_y) + \frac{\varepsilon_0 u''(\xi_y)}{8}\right) y^2} \\ &< \frac{-\frac{1}{4-\varepsilon_0} u''(\xi_y)}{\left(u''(\xi_y) + \frac{\varepsilon_0 u''(\xi_y)}{8}\right) y^2} = -\frac{1}{(4-\varepsilon_0)\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{8}\right) y^2} = -\frac{1}{(4-\varepsilon_1)y^2} \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in [e^{-(i+1)R}, e^{-iR}]$ and $2(u_{\min} - c) = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \nu_0 e^{-2(k+1)R}}{8}$, where $\xi_y \in (0, y)$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{8}$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\varphi'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy &= \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \left(|\varphi'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy \\ &< \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \left(|\varphi'_{i,R}|^2 - \frac{1}{(4-\varepsilon_1)y^2} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in [e^{-(i+1)R}, e^{-iR}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi'_{i,R}(y)|^2 &= \left| J(x) \frac{-(2x-1)}{4x^2(x-1)^2} \frac{1}{Ry} y^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} J(x) \right|^2 \\ &= J(x)^2 \frac{(2x-1)^2}{16x^4(x-1)^4} \frac{1}{R^2 y} - J(x)^2 \frac{2x-1}{4x^2(x-1)^2} \frac{1}{Ry} + \frac{1}{4y} J(x)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $x = \frac{\ln y}{R} + i + 1$. Since $\left| J(x)^2 \frac{(2x-1)^2}{16x^4(x-1)^4} \right| \leq C$ and $\left| J(x)^2 \frac{2x-1}{4x^2(x-1)^2} \right| \leq C$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \left(J(x)^2 \frac{(2x-1)^2}{16x^4(x-1)^4} \frac{1}{R^2 y} - J(x)^2 \frac{2x-1}{4x^2(x-1)^2} \frac{1}{Ry} \right) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \frac{1}{y} dy + \frac{C}{R} \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \frac{1}{y} dy = \frac{C}{R} + C \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then we infer from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\varphi'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy \leq C + \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \left(\frac{1}{4y} J(x)^2 - \frac{1}{(4 - \varepsilon_1)y^2} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy$$

$$= C + \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} \frac{-\varepsilon_1}{4(4 - \varepsilon_1)} J(x)^2 \frac{1}{y} dy = C - \frac{\varepsilon_1 R}{8(4 - \varepsilon_1)} < 0$$

when R is large enough. Direct computation gives

$$(3.5) \quad \|\varphi_{i,R}\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{e^{-(i+1)R}}^{e^{-iR}} y J \left(\frac{\ln y}{R} + i + 1 \right)^2 dy = \int_0^1 R e^{2R(x-i-1)} J(x)^2 dx$$

$$\leq CR \int_0^1 e^{2R(x-i-1)} dx = C(e^{-2iR} - e^{-2(i+1)R}) \leq C e^{-2iR}.$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\tilde{\varphi}'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\tilde{\varphi}_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy = \frac{1}{\|\varphi_{i,R}\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(|\varphi'_{i,R}|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_{i,R}|^2 \right) dy$$

$$\leq \left(C - \frac{\varepsilon_1 R}{8(4 - \varepsilon_1)} \right) \frac{1}{\|\varphi_{i,R}\|_{L^2}^2} \leq \left(C - \frac{\varepsilon_1 R}{8(4 - \varepsilon_1)} \right) \frac{e^{2iR}}{C} \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (3.1). \square

Finally, we give the the sharp criteria for the first eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_c to be uniformly bounded from below, which is a consequence of Theorem 2.3 and (3.2).

Corollary 3.1. *Let u satisfy (H1).*

- (1) *If $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset$, then a transitional β value $\min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\}$ exists in $(0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$ such that $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_1(c) > -\infty$ for $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\})$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_1(c) = -\infty$ for $\beta \in (\min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\}, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$.*
- (2) *If $\{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset$, then a transitional β value $\max\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \mu_-\}$ exists in $[\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, 0)$ such that $\inf_{c \in (u_{\max}, \infty)} \lambda_1(c) > -\infty$ for $\beta \in [\max\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \mu_-\}, 0)$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\max}^+} \lambda_1(c) = -\infty$ for $\beta \in [\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \max\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \mu_-\})$.*
- (3) *If $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset$, then $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_1(c) > -\infty$ for $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$.*
- (4) *If $\{u = u_{\max}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset$, then $\inf_{c \in (u_{\max}, \infty)} \lambda_1(c) > -\infty$ for $\beta \in [\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, 0)$.*
- (5) *If $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$ for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \infty)$ and $n \geq 1$.*
- (6) *If $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\max}^+} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_-)$ and $n \geq 1$.*

Here, κ_{\pm} and μ_{\pm} are defined in (1.7)–(1.8) and (1.11)–(1.12).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in this section. To this end, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u \in C^2([y_1, y_2])$, $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$ and $u'(y_1) \neq 0$. For fixed $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, y_2 - y_1)$ such that if $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$, $z = y_1 + \delta_1$, $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$, $\phi \in C^2([y_1, z])$ and $\phi'' = F$, then*

$$(4.1) \quad |\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(u-c)^{1-\gamma}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} + \delta_1^\gamma |(u-c)^{2-2\gamma}\phi'|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ & \leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u-c)^{3-2\gamma}F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(u-c)^{\gamma-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ & \leq C(|(u-c)^{\gamma+1}F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min}-c)^{\gamma-1}\phi(y_1)| + |\phi(z)| + |\phi'(z)|), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad |(u-c)^{-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u-c)^{1-\gamma}F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min}-c)^{-1}\phi(y_1)| + |\phi'(z)|),$$

where $|f|_{L^\infty(z)} := \sup_{y \in [y_1, z]} |f(y)|$.

Proof. Since $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$ and $-C \leq u_{\min} \leq u(y) \leq C$, we have $0 < u(y) - c \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Let $A_\mu = |(u-c)^\mu F|_{L^\infty(z)}$ and $B_\mu = |(u-c)^\mu \phi'|_{L^\infty(z)}$ for $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough such that $u'(y) > \frac{u'(y_1)}{2} > 0$ for $y \in [y_1, z] \subset [y_1, y_1 + \delta] \subset [y_1, y_2]$. Then

$$\int_y^z (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} ds \leq \frac{2}{u'(y_1)} \int_y^z u'(s)(u(s)-c)^{-\mu} ds = \frac{2(u(s)-c)^{1-\mu}|_{s=y}^{s=z}}{u'(y_1)(\mu-1)} \leq \frac{2(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu}}{u'(y_1)(\mu-1)}$$

for fixed $\mu > 1$ and $y \in [y_1, z]$, and thus

$$(4.5) \quad \int_y^z (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} ds \leq \frac{2(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu}}{u'(y_1)(\mu-1)} \leq C(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu}.$$

Similarly, for fixed $\mu < 1$ and $y \in [y_1, z]$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{y_1}^y (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} ds \leq \frac{2(u(s)-c)^{1-\mu}|_{s=y_1}^{s=y}}{u'(y_1)(1-\mu)} \leq C(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu}.$$

Since $u(s)-c \geq u(s)-u_{\min} \geq u'(y_1)(s-y_1)/2$ and $u(s)-c \geq u(y)-c$ for $y_1 \leq y \leq s \leq z$, we have for fixed $\mu \geq 1-\gamma$,

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_y^z (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} ds \leq \int_y^z (u'(y_1)(s-y_1)/2)^{\gamma-1} (u(y)-c)^{1-\gamma-\mu} ds \\ & \leq C(u(y)-c)^{1-\gamma-\mu} \int_y^z (s-y_1)^{\gamma-1} ds \leq C(u(y)-c)^{1-\gamma-\mu} (z-y_1)^\gamma \\ & = C\delta_1^\gamma (u(y)-c)^{1-\gamma-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $\mu > 1$, using (4.5) and the definition of A_μ , we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|\phi'(y) - \phi'(z)| \leq \int_y^z |\phi''(s)| ds = \int_y^z |F(s)| ds \leq \int_y^z (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} A_\mu ds \leq C(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu} A_\mu,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi'(y)| & \leq |\phi'(z)| + C(u(y)-c)^{1-\mu} A_\mu, \\ |(u(y)-c)^{\mu-1}\phi'(y)| & \leq (u(y)-c)^{\mu-1} |\phi'(z)| + CA_\mu \leq C|\phi'(z)| + CA_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the definition of B_μ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad B_{\mu-1} = \sup_{y \in [y_1, z]} |(u(y)-c)^{\mu-1}\phi'(y)| \leq CA_\mu + C|\phi'(z)| \quad \text{for fixed } \mu > 1.$$

Similarly, for fixed $\mu \geq 1-\gamma$, using (4.7) and the definition of A_μ , we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|\phi(y) - \phi(z)| \leq \int_y^z |\phi'(s)| ds \leq \int_y^z (u(s)-c)^{-\mu} B_\mu ds \leq C\delta_1^\gamma (u(y)-c)^{1-\gamma-\mu} B_\mu.$$

This implies

$$(4.9) \quad |(u-c)^{\mu+\gamma-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C\delta_1^\gamma B_\mu + C|\phi(z)| \quad \text{for fixed } \mu \geq 1 - \gamma.$$

Using (4.9) for $\mu = 1 - \gamma$ and (4.8) for $\mu = 2 - \gamma$, we have

$$|\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C\delta_1^\gamma B_{1-\gamma} + C|\phi(z)| \leq C\delta_1^\gamma (A_{2-\gamma} + |\phi'(z)|) + C|\phi(z)|,$$

which implies (4.1) by recalling the definition of A_μ . Using (4.9) for $\mu = 2 - 2\gamma$ and (4.8) for $\mu = 3 - 2\gamma$, we have

$$|(u-c)^{1-\gamma}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} + \delta_1^\gamma B_{2-2\gamma} \leq C\delta_1^\gamma B_{2-2\gamma} + C|\phi(z)| \leq C\delta_1^\gamma (A_{3-2\gamma} + |\phi'(z)|) + C|\phi(z)|,$$

which implies (4.2) by recalling the definition of A_μ and B_μ .

For fixed $\mu < 1$, using (4.6) and the definition of A_μ , we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|\phi(y) - \phi(y_1)| \leq \int_{y_1}^y |\phi'(s)| ds \leq \int_{y_1}^y (u(s) - c)^{-\mu} B_\mu ds \leq C(u(y) - c)^{1-\mu} B_\mu,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(y)| &\leq |\phi(y_1)| + C(u(y) - c)^{1-\mu} B_\mu, \\ |(u(y) - c)^{\mu-1}\phi(y)| &\leq (u(y) - c)^{\mu-1} |\phi(y_1)| + CB_\mu \leq (u_{\min} - c)^{\mu-1} |\phi(y_1)| + CB_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $u(y) - c \geq u_{\min} - c > 0$ and $\mu - 1 < 0$. Thus,

$$(4.10) \quad |(u-c)^{\mu-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq (u_{\min} - c)^{\mu-1} |\phi(y_1)| + CB_\mu \quad \text{for fixed } \mu < 1.$$

Using (4.10) for $\mu = \gamma$ and (4.8) for $\mu = 1 + \gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(u-c)^{\gamma-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} &\leq (u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} |\phi(y_1)| + CB_\gamma \\ &\leq (u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} |\phi(y_1)| + C(A_{1+\gamma} + |\phi'(z)|), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.3) by recalling the definition of A_μ . Using (4.7) for $\mu = 1 - \gamma$ and the definition of A_μ , we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|\phi'(y) - \phi'(z)| \leq \int_y^z |\phi''(s)| ds = \int_y^z |F(s)| ds \leq \int_y^z (u(s) - c)^{\gamma-1} A_{1-\gamma} ds \leq C\delta_1^\gamma A_{1-\gamma}.$$

Then by the definition of B_μ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad B_0 = \sup_{y \in [y_1, z]} |\phi'(y)| \leq C\delta_1^\gamma A_{1-\gamma} + C|\phi'(z)|.$$

Using (4.10) for $\mu = 0$ and (4.11), we have

$$|(u-c)^{-1}\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq (u_{\min} - c)^{-1} |\phi(y_1)| + CB_0 \leq (u_{\min} - c)^{-1} |\phi(y_1)| + C(\delta_1^\gamma A_{1-\gamma} + |\phi'(z)|),$$

which implies (4.4) by recalling the definition of A_μ . \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u \in C^2([y_1, y_2])$, $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$ and $u'(y_1) \neq 0$. For fixed $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $z = y_1 + \delta_1$, $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$, $\phi \in C^2([y_1, z])$ and*

$$(4.12) \quad -\phi'' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \phi = -\alpha^2 \phi - F \quad \text{on } [y_1, z],$$

then the inequalities (4.1)–(4.4) are still true.

Proof. Let $\tilde{F} = \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \phi + \alpha^2 \phi + F$ and $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ be given in Lemma 4.1. Then $\phi'' = \tilde{F}$ on $[y_1, z]$. By Lemma 4.1, (4.1)–(4.4) are still true with F replaced by \tilde{F} . As $|u'' - \beta| \leq C$ and $|u - c| \leq C$, we have $|F - \tilde{F}| \leq C|\phi/(u - c)|$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Thus, for $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |(u - c)^\mu \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} &\leq |(u - c)^\mu F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u - c)^\mu (F - \tilde{F})|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq |(u - c)^\mu F|_{L^\infty(z)} + C|(u - c)^{\mu-1} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1) with F replaced by \tilde{F} and (4.13) for $\mu = 2 - \gamma$, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} + C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C_1 \delta_1^\gamma |\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} + C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.2) with F replaced by \tilde{F} and (4.13) for $\mu = 3 - 2\gamma$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} + \delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-2\gamma} \phi'|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{3-2\gamma} \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{3-2\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) + C\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-2\gamma} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{3-2\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) + C_1 \delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.4) with F replaced by \tilde{F} and (4.13) for $\mu = 1 - \gamma$, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |(u - c)^{-1} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{-1} \phi(y_1)| + |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{-1} \phi(y_1)| + |\phi'(z)|) + C\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{-\gamma} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{1-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{-1} \phi(y_1)| + |\phi'(z)|) + C_1 \delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{-1} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $C_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on $\gamma, \alpha, \beta, u, \delta$ (and independent of δ_1). Taking $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ small enough such that $C_1 \delta_1^\gamma \leq 1/2$ in (4.14)–(4.16), we obtain (4.1), (4.2) and (4.4).

Note that $\gamma > 0$ and $2 - \gamma \geq \gamma + 1$. Using (4.13) for $\mu = \gamma + 1$ and (4.1), we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |(u - c)^{\gamma+1} \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} &\leq |(u - c)^{\gamma+1} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + C|(u - c)^\gamma \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq |(u - c)^{\gamma+1} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + C|\phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq |(u - c)^{\gamma+1} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C(|(u - c)^{\gamma+1} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\phi(z)| + |\phi'(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3) with F replaced by \tilde{F} and (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(u - c)^{\gamma-1} \phi|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(|(u - c)^{\gamma+1} \tilde{F}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} \phi(y_1)| + |\phi(z)| + |\phi'(z)|) \\ &\leq C(|(u - c)^{\gamma+1} F|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} \phi(y_1)| + |\phi(z)| + |\phi'(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.3) is also true. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We only prove (1), and the proof of (2) is similar. If $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$, then $0 < \kappa_+ < \infty$. By Theorem 1.1 (4), $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \infty$ for $\beta > \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. If $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset$, then $\{u = u_{\min}\} \subset \{y_1, y_2\}$ (i.e. $u = u_{\min}$ can be achieved

only at the endpoints). We assume that $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$. Then $u(y_2) > u(y_1)$ and $u'(y_1) > 0$. By taking $\delta \in (0, y_2 - y_1)$ smaller, we can assume that $u' > \frac{u'(y_1)}{2}$ on $y \in [y_1, y_1 + \delta]$. Let $\psi_c, c \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \text{Ran}(u)$, be the solution of

$$(4.18) \quad -\partial_y^2 \psi_c + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \psi_c = -\alpha^2 \psi_c \quad \text{on } [y_1, y_2], \quad \psi_c(y_2) = 0, \quad \partial_y \psi_c(y_2) = 1.$$

Note that for $c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})$, $c \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta})$ if and only if $\psi_c(y_1) = 0$. Suppose that $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min}) = \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then $c_k \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$. Note that if (iii) is true for $i = 1$, then $\beta_1 \leq 0$ and thus $\beta \neq \beta_1$ for all $\beta > 0$. So we divide the discussion into two cases.

Case 1. $\beta = \beta_1$ and (i) holds for $i = 1$.

Case 2. $\beta = \beta_1$ and (ii) holds for $i = 1$; or $\beta \neq \beta_1$.

If Case 1 is true, then $u'' - \beta = 0$ on $[y_1, y_1 + \delta]$. By (4.18), ψ_c can be extended to an analytic function in $\mathbf{C} \setminus u([y_1 + \delta, y_2])$. Since $u_{\min} \notin u([y_1 + \delta, y_2])$, $\psi_c(y_1)$ has a finite number of zeros in a neighborhood of $c = u_{\min}$, which contradicts that $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) = \infty$.

Now, we assume Case 2 is true. If $\beta \neq \beta_1$, define $m = 0$; if $\beta = \beta_1$ and (ii) is true, define $m = m_1$. Then $m \geq 0$, by taking $\delta > 0$ smaller, we can assume that

$$(4.19) \quad C^{-1}|y - y_1|^m \leq |u''(y) - \beta| \leq C|y - y_1|^m \quad \text{for } y \in [y_1, y_1 + \delta].$$

As $|u''(y) - \beta|/|y - y_1|^m \in C([y_1 + \delta, y_2])$, $|u''(y) - \beta| \leq C|y - y_1|^m$ is also true for $y \in [y_1 + \delta, y_2]$. Since $u \in C^1([y_1, y_2])$, we have $u(y) - u_{\min} = u(y) - u(y_1) = \int_{y_1}^y u'(z) dz = (y - y_1)v(y)$, here $v(y) = \int_0^1 u'(y_1 + s(y - y_1)) ds$ and $v \in C([y_1, y_2])$. If $y \in (y_1, y_2]$, we have $u(y) > u_{\min}$ and $v(y) > 0$. If $y = y_1$, we have $v(y) = u'(y_1) > 0$. Thus, $v(y) > 0$ in $[y_1, y_2]$ and there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1} \leq v(y) \leq C$, which implies

$$(4.20) \quad C^{-1}|y - y_1| \leq u(y) - u_{\min} \leq C|y - y_1|,$$

$$(4.21) \quad C^{-1}|y - y_1| \leq u(y) - c \quad \text{for } c \leq u_{\min}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\psi_{c,n} = \partial_c^n \psi_c$. By Rolle's Theorem, there exists $\{c_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset (-\infty, u_{\min})$ such that $\psi_{c_{k,n},n}(y_1) = 0$ and $c_{k,n} \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For fixed $c < u_{\min}$, let $k > 0$ be large enough such that $c_{k,n} \in (c, u_{\min})$. Then $\psi_{c,n}(y_1) = \int_{c_{k,n}}^c \partial_s \psi_{s,n}(y_1) ds = \int_{c_{k,n}}^c \psi_{s,n+1}(y_1) ds$, and

$$(4.22) \quad |\psi_{c,n}(y_1)| \leq \int_c^{c_{k,n}} |\psi_{s,n+1}(y_1)| ds \leq \int_c^{u_{\min}} |\psi_{s,n+1}(y_1)| ds.$$

Moreover, $\psi_{c,n}$ satisfies

$$(4.23) \quad -\partial_y^2 \psi_{c,n} + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \psi_{c,n} = -\alpha^2 \psi_{c,n} - F_{c,n}, \quad F_{c,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \frac{u'' - \beta}{(u-c)^{k+1}} \psi_{c,n-k},$$

where $\psi_{c,0} = \psi_c$ and $F_{c,0} = 0$. Note that $\psi_c(y)$ is continuous on $(\mathbf{C} \setminus \text{Ran}(u)) \times [y_1, y_2]$, and analytic in c . Let $u_+(y) = \inf u([y, y_2])$. Then $\psi_c(y)$ can be extended to a continuous function on $D_1 := \{(c, y) : c < u_+(y), y \in [y_1, y_2]\}$, still satisfying (4.18) in D_1 . Moreover, u_+ is increasing and continuous on $[y_1, y_2]$, $u_+(y_1) = u_{\min}$ and $u_+(y) > u_{\min}$ for $y \in (y_1, y_2]$. By standard theory of ODE, $\partial_c^n \psi_c = \psi_{c,n} \in C^2(D_1)$ and $\psi_{c,n}|_{D_1}$ is real-valued for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Using this extension, $\psi_c|_{c=u_{\min}}$ is well-defined and satisfies (4.18) for $y \in (y_1, y_2]$. For fixed $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$(4.24) \quad |\psi_{c,n}(y)| + |\partial_y \psi_{c,n}(y)| \leq C(n, \delta_1) \quad \text{for } y \in [y_1 + \delta_1, y_2] \text{ and } 0 \leq u_{\min} - c \leq 1,$$

since a continuous function is bounded in a compact set. Let $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $m_0 - 1 < m \leq m_0$ and $\gamma = (m + 1 - m_0)/2$. Then $m_0 \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$. We claim that the following uniform bounds

$$(4.25) \quad |\psi_{c,n}| \leq C, \quad |\psi_{c,0}| \leq C|u - c|, \quad |\psi_{c,1}| \leq C|u - c|^{1-\gamma}, \quad |\partial_y \psi_{c,m_0+2}| \leq C|u - c|^{2\gamma-2}$$

hold for $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$, $y \in [y_1, y_2]$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [0, m_0 + 1]$. Assume that the uniform bounds (4.25) are true, which will be verified later. Let $W_{c,n} = \partial_y \psi_{c,n} \psi_c - \partial_y \psi_c \psi_{c,n}$. Then we get by (4.23) that $\partial_y W_{c,n} = F_{c,n} \psi_c$. By (4.25) and using $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$, we have for $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$,

$$|\psi_c(y_1)| \leq \int_c^{u_{\min}} |\psi_{s,1}(y_1)| ds \leq C \int_c^{u_{\min}} |u_{\min} - s|^{1-\gamma} ds \leq C|u_{\min} - c|^{2-\gamma},$$

and thus

$$|\partial_y \psi_{c,m_0+2} \psi_c|(y_1) = |\partial_y \psi_{c,m_0+2}(y_1)| |\psi_c(y_1)| \leq C|u_{\min} - c|^{2\gamma-2} |u_{\min} - c|^{2-\gamma} = C|u_{\min} - c|^\gamma,$$

which implies $\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \partial_y \psi_{c,m_0+2} \psi_c(y_1) = 0$. Since $\psi_{c_k, m_0+2, m_0+2}(y_1) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $c_k, m_0+2 \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$, we have $\liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} |\partial_y \psi_c \psi_{c,m_0+2}|(y_1) = 0$, and thus $\liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} |W_{c,m_0+2}|(y_1) = 0$.

Since $\psi_c(y_2) = 0$, $\partial_y \psi_c(y_2) = 1$ and recall that $\psi_{c,n} = \partial_c^n \psi_c$, we have $\psi_{c,n}(y_2) = 0$, $\partial_y \psi_{c,n}(y_2) = 0$ and $W_{c,n}(y_2) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Thus, $-W_{c,n}(y_1) = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \partial_y W_{c,n}(y) dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} F_{c,n} \psi_c(y) dy$. Note that

$$F_{c,n} - n! \frac{u'' - \beta}{(u - c)^{n+1}} \psi_c = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \frac{u'' - \beta}{(u - c)^{k+1}} \psi_{c,n-k}.$$

If $n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [2, m_0 + 2]$, by (4.25) and (4.19), we have for $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$ and $y \in [y_1, y_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F_{c,n} - \frac{n!(u'' - \beta)\psi_c}{(u - c)^{n+1}} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \frac{|u'' - \beta|}{(u - c)^{k+1}} |\psi_{c,n-k}| \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{(u - c)^{k+1}} + C \frac{|y - y_1|^m |u - c|^{1-\gamma}}{(u - c)^n} \leq C \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{|u - c|^{n-1+\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| F_{c,n} \psi_c - \frac{n!(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{n+1}} \right| \leq C \frac{|y - y_1|^m |\psi_c|}{|u - c|^{n-1+\gamma}} \leq C \frac{|y - y_1|^m |u - c|}{|u - c|^{n-1+\gamma}} = C \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{|u - c|^{n-2+\gamma}},$$

and thus using $m - m_0 = 2\gamma - 1$ and (4.21), we have

$$\left| F_{c,m_0+2} \psi_c - \frac{(m_0 + 2)!(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} \right| \leq C \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{|u - c|^{m_0+\gamma}} \leq C \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{|y - y_1|^{m_0+\gamma}} = C|y - y_1|^{\gamma-1}.$$

Integrating it on $[y_1, y_2]$ and using $-W_{c,n}(y_1) = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} F_{c,n} \psi_c(y) dy$, we have for $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(m_0 + 2)!(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| &\leq \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} F_{c,m_0+2} \psi_c dy \right| + C \int_{y_1}^{y_2} |y - y_1|^{\gamma-1} dy \\ &\leq |W_{c,m_0+2}|(y_1) + C, \end{aligned}$$

and as $\liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} |W_{c,m_0+2}|(y_1) = 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(m_0 + 2)!(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| \leq C, \quad \liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| \leq C.$$

Since $u'' - \beta$ is continuous and real-valued, and $C^{-1}|y - y_1|^m \leq |u''(y) - \beta|$, it does not change sign on $y \in [y_1, y_1 + \delta]$. Then for $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$,

$$\left| \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| = \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|u'' - \beta|\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \geq C^{-1} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy.$$

As $|\psi_c| \leq C$, $|u'' - \beta| \leq C$, $u - c \geq u - u_{\min} \geq C^{-1}$ for $y \in [y_1 + \delta, y_2]$, and $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{y_1+\delta}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| \leq \int_{y_1+\delta}^{y_2} C dy \leq C.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy &\leq C \liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| \\ &\leq C \liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| + C \limsup_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \left| \int_{y_1+\delta}^{y_2} \frac{(u'' - \beta)\psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \right| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi_c \in C(D_1)$, we have for fixed $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta]$,

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \psi_c(y) = \psi_{u_{\min}}(y), \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} = \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{(u - u_{\min})^{m_0+3}}.$$

Thus, by Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{(u - u_{\min})^{m_0+3}} dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \leq \liminf_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_c^2}{(u - c)^{m_0+3}} dy \leq C.$$

By (4.20), we have

$$\frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{(u - u_{\min})^{m_0+3}} \geq \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{(C(y - y_1))^{m_0+3}} \geq \frac{C^{-1} \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{|y - y_1|^{m_0-m+3}} \geq \frac{C^{-1} \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{|y - y_1|^3}$$

for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta]$, where we used $m \leq m_0$. Thus,

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{\psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{|y - y_1|^3} dy \leq C \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{|y - y_1|^m \psi_{u_{\min}}^2}{(u - u_{\min})^{m_0+3}} dy \leq C.$$

Now we take $\varphi = \psi_{u_{\min}}$. Then φ is real-valued and for $y \in (y_1, y_2]$, it satisfies

$$(4.26) \quad -\varphi'' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - u_{\min}} \varphi = -\alpha^2 \varphi, \quad \varphi(y_2) = 0, \quad \varphi'(y_2) = 1, \quad \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta} \frac{\varphi^2}{|y - y_1|^3} dy \leq C.$$

Thus, $\varphi, \varphi/|y - y_1| \in L^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta)$. By (4.20), we have for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta)$,

$$\left| \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - u_{\min}} \varphi \right| \leq \frac{C|\varphi|}{u - u_{\min}} \leq \frac{C|\varphi|}{|y - y_1|}, \quad \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - u_{\min}} \varphi \in L^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta).$$

Thus, $\varphi'' \in L^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta)$, $\varphi \in H^2(y_1, y_1 + \delta)$ and $\varphi \in C^1([y_1, y_1 + \delta])$ by defining $\varphi(y_1) = \lim_{y \rightarrow y_1^+} \varphi(y)$. If $\varphi(y_1) \neq 0$, then there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ such that $|\varphi(y)| \geq |\varphi(y_1)|/2 \geq C^{-1}|y - y_1|$ for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta_1]$. If $\varphi(y_1) = 0$ and $\varphi'(y_1) \neq 0$, then there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ such that $|\varphi'(y)| \geq |\varphi'(y_1)|/2$ for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta_1]$, and $|\varphi(y)| = |\varphi(y) - \varphi(y_1)| = |(y - y_1)\varphi'(\xi_y)| \geq |y - y_1| |\varphi'(y_1)|/2$ for $\xi_y \in (y_1, y)$ and $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta_1]$. Therefore, if $\varphi(y_1) \neq 0$ or $\varphi'(y_1) \neq 0$,

then there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ and $C > 0$ such that $|\varphi(y)| \geq C^{-1}|y - y_1|$ for $y \in (y_1, y_1 + \delta_1]$, and

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta} \frac{\varphi^2}{|y - y_1|^3} dy \geq C^{-2} \int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta_1} \frac{|y - y_1|^2}{|y - y_1|^3} dy = +\infty,$$

which contradicts (4.26). Thus, we must have $\varphi(y_1) = \varphi'(y_1) = 0$. Then by the proof of Lemma 3 in [10], we have $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $[y_1, y_2]$, which contradicts $\varphi'(y_2) = 1$. This proves (1) for Case 2.

It remains to prove (4.25). Let $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$ be fixed such that Lemma 4.2 is true. Recall that $z = y_1 + \delta_1$ and $|f|_{L^\infty(z)} = \sup_{y \in [y_1, z]} |f(y)|$. By (4.24) we know that (4.25) is true for $y \in [z, y_2]$. Now we assume that $y \in [y_1, z]$, $0 < u_{\min} - c < 1$, and that (4.1)–(4.4) are used for F satisfying (4.12) (i.e. the condition in Lemma 4.2). The proof of (4.25) for $y \in [y_1, z]$ is divided into 7 steps as follows.

Step 1. $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [0, m_0]$.

For $n = 0$, by (4.23), (4.1), (4.24) and $F_{c,0} = 0$, we have $|\psi_{c,0}|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(|\psi_{c,0}(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\psi'_{c,0}(z)|) \leq C$, and thus $|\psi_{c,0}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Now, we prove the result by induction. Assume that $n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap (0, m_0]$ and $|\psi_{c,k}| \leq C$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [0, n]$ and $y \in [y_1, z]$. Then by (4.23), (4.19), (4.21) and $m - m_0 = 2\gamma - 1$, we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$(4.27) \quad |F_{c,n}| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|y - y_1|^m |\psi_{c,n-k}|}{(u - c)^{k+1}} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{(u - c)^{k+1}} \leq C \frac{(u - c)^m}{(u - c)^{n+1}},$$

$$(4.28) \quad |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F_{c,n}| \leq C(u - c)^{2-\gamma+m-n-1} \leq C(u - c)^{m-m_0+1-\gamma} = C(u - c)^\gamma \leq C.$$

By (4.1), (4.24) and (4.28), we have

$$(4.29) \quad |\psi_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{2-\gamma} F_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\psi_{c,n}(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\psi'_{c,n}(z)|) \leq C,$$

which means $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Thus, the result in Step 1 is true.

Step 2. $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C|u - c|^{\gamma-1}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$ and $n = m_0 + 1$.

Let $n = m_0 + 1$. By Step 1, we know that $|\psi_{c,k}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [0, n]$. Thus, (4.27) is still true and for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|(u - c)^{3-2\gamma} F_{c,n}| \leq C(u - c)^{3-2\gamma+m-n-1} = C(u - c)^{m-m_0+1-2\gamma} = C,$$

which, along with (4.2) and (4.24), implies that

$$|(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \psi_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(\delta_1^\gamma |(u - c)^{3-2\gamma} F_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\psi_{c,n}(z)| + \delta_1^\gamma |\psi'_{c,n}(z)|) \leq C.$$

Then $|(u - c)^{1-\gamma} \psi_{c,n}| \leq C$, and thus $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C|u - c|^{\gamma-1}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

Step 3. $|\psi_{c,0}| \leq C|u - c|^{1-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

If $m_0 = 0$, then $m = 0$ and $\gamma = 1/2$. By Step 2, we have $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C|u - c|^{\gamma-1} = C|u - c|^{-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. If $m_0 > 0$, then by Step 1, we have $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C \leq C|u - c|^{-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Thus, $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C|u - c|^{-\gamma}$ is always true for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Then by (4.22) and $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$, we have $|\psi_{c,0}(y_1)| \leq \int_c^{u_{\min}} |\psi_{s,1}(y_1)| ds \leq C \int_c^{u_{\min}} |u_{\min} - s|^{-\gamma} ds \leq C|u_{\min} - c|^{1-\gamma}$. By (4.3), (4.24) and $F_{c,0} = 0$, we have $|(u - c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,0}|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(|(u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,0}(y_1)| + |\psi_{c,0}(z)| + |\psi'_{c,0}(z)|) \leq C$. Then $|(u - c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,0}| \leq C$, and thus $|\psi_{c,0}| \leq C|u - c|^{1-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

Step 4. $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$ and $n = m_0 + 1$.

Let $n = m_0 + 1$. By (4.23), (4.19), (4.20), Step 1 and Step 3, we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$|F_{c,n}| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|y - y_1|^m |\psi_{c,n-k}|}{(u - c)^{k+1}} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|y - y_1|^m}{(u - c)^{k+1}} + C \frac{|y - y_1|^m |\psi_{c,0}|}{(u - c)^{n+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \frac{(u-c)^m}{(u-c)^n} + C \frac{|y-y_1|^m |u-c|^{1-\gamma}}{(u-c)^{n+1}} \leq C(u-c)^{m-\gamma-n}, \\ &|(u-c)^{2-\gamma} F_{c,n}| \leq C(u-c)^{2-2\gamma+m-n} = C(u-c)^{m-m_0+1-2\gamma} = C. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used $n = m_0 + 1$ and $m - m_0 = 2\gamma - 1$. Thus, (4.29) is still true for $n = m_0 + 1$, i.e. $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

Step 5. $|\psi_{c,0}| \leq C|u-c|$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

By Step 1 and Step 4, we have $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Then by (4.22), we have $|\psi_{c,0}(y_1)| \leq \int_c^{u_{\min}} |\psi_{s,1}(y_1)| ds \leq C \int_c^{u_{\min}} ds \leq C|u_{\min} - c|$. By (4.4), (4.24) and $F_{c,0} = 0$, we have $|(u-c)^{-1}\psi_{c,0}|_{L^\infty(z)} \leq C(|(u_{\min} - c)^{-1}\psi_{c,0}(y_1)| + |\psi'_{c,0}(z)|) \leq C$, which gives $|(u-c)^{-1}\psi_{c,0}| \leq C$ and $|\psi_{c,0}| \leq C|u-c|$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

Step 6. $|\partial_y \psi_{c,n}| \leq C|u-c|^{2\gamma-2}$ and $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C|u-c|^{\gamma-1}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$ and $n = m_0 + 2$.

Since $n = m_0 + 2$ and $m - m_0 = 2\gamma - 1$, we have by (4.23), (4.19), (4.21), Step 1 and Steps 4-5 that for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{c,n}| &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|y-y_1|^m |\psi_{c,n-k}|}{(u-c)^{k+1}} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|y-y_1|^m}{(u-c)^{k+1}} + C \frac{|y-y_1|^m |\psi_{c,0}|}{(u-c)^{n+1}} \\ &\leq C \frac{(u-c)^m}{(u-c)^n} + C \frac{|y-y_1|^m |u-c|}{(u-c)^{n+1}} \leq C(u-c)^{m-n} = C(u-c)^{m-m_0-2}, \\ |(u-c)^{3-2\gamma} F_{c,n}| &\leq C(u-c)^{1-2\gamma+m-m_0} = C. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.2) and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(u-c)^{1-\gamma} \psi_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} + \delta_1^\gamma |(u-c)^{2-2\gamma} \partial_y \psi_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(|(u-c)^{3-2\gamma} F_{c,n}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |\psi_{c,n}(z)| + |\partial_y \psi_{c,n}(z)|) \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|\partial_y \psi_{c,n}| \leq C\delta_1^{-\gamma}|u-c|^{2\gamma-2} \leq C|u-c|^{2\gamma-2}$ and $|\psi_{c,n}| \leq C|u-c|^{\gamma-1}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

Step 7. $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C|u-c|^{1-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

If $m_0 = 0$, then $m = 0$ and $\gamma = 1/2$. By Step 6, we have $|\psi_{c,2}| \leq C|u-c|^{\gamma-1} = C|u-c|^{-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. If $m_0 > 0$, then by Step 1 and Step 4, we have $|\psi_{c,2}| \leq C \leq C|u-c|^{-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Thus, $|\psi_{c,2}| \leq C|u-c|^{-\gamma}$ is always true for $y \in [y_1, z]$. Then by (4.22) and $u(y_1) = u_{\min}$, we have $|\psi_{c,1}(y_1)| \leq \int_c^{u_{\min}} |\psi_{s,2}(y_1)| ds \leq C \int_c^{u_{\min}} |u_{\min} - s|^{-\gamma} ds \leq C|u_{\min} - c|^{1-\gamma}$. By (4.23), (4.19) and Step 5, we have for $y \in [y_1, z]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{c,1}| &\leq C \frac{|y-y_1|^m |\psi_{c,0}|}{(u-c)^2} \leq C \frac{|y-y_1|^m |u-c|}{(u-c)^2} = C \frac{|y-y_1|^m}{u-c}, \\ |(u-c)^{\gamma+1} F_{c,1}| &\leq C(u-c)^\gamma |y-y_1|^m \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.3) and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(u-c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,1}|_{L^\infty(z)} \\ &\leq C(|(u-c)^{\gamma+1} F_{c,1}|_{L^\infty(z)} + |(u_{\min} - c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,1}(y_1)| + |\psi_{c,1}(z)| + |\partial_y \psi_{c,1}(z)|) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $|(u-c)^{\gamma-1} \psi_{c,1}| \leq C$ and $|\psi_{c,1}| \leq C|u-c|^{1-\gamma}$ for $y \in [y_1, z]$.

By Step 1 and Steps 4-7 we know that (4.25) is true for $y \in [y_1, z] = [y_1, y_1 + \delta_1]$. This completes the proof of (4.25) and thus Case 2. \square

As a corollary, we get the following result, which can also be deduced by the method used in Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 of [16].

Corollary 4.3. *Let u be an analytic monotone flow and $\alpha > 0$. Then $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for all $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$.*

Finally, combining Theorems 1.1–1.2, we get the following consequence.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and u satisfy **(H1)**. Then*

- (1) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$ and **(E₊)** holds for $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$, then a unique transitional β value $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$ exists in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$ and $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \infty$ for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \infty)$;*
- (2) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} \neq \emptyset$ and **(E₋)** holds for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, 0)$, then a unique transitional β value $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-$ exists in $(-\infty, 0)$ such that $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, 0)$ and $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \infty$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_-)$.*

If $u(y_1) \neq u(y_2)$, then under one of the conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 1.2, we have

- (3) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset$, then $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for $\beta \in (0, \infty)$;*
- (4) *if $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \emptyset$, then $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$.*

5. PROOFS OF LEMMAS 1.3–1.4 AND THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we assume $k, k_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$. First, we prove Lemma 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. It suffices to show that if $c_0 \notin \{u_{\max}, u_{\min}\}$, then $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ and (1.15) holds. Note that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ solves

$$(5.1) \quad (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\partial_x \omega_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \partial_y \omega_\varepsilon + \beta v_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

By taking $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ smaller,

$$(5.2) \quad |u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon| \geq |u - c_\varepsilon| - |u - u_\varepsilon| \geq C^{-1}$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $y \in [y_1, y_2]$. Note that $\frac{\pi}{y_2 - y_1} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \leq \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$. By Sobolev embedding, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} \leq C\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(D_T)} \leq C\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)},$$

$$(5.4) \quad \|\partial_y(\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^4(D_T)} \leq C\|\partial_y(\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{H^1(D_T)} \leq C\|\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Since $\partial_x \omega_\varepsilon = \partial_x(\partial_x v_\varepsilon - \partial_y u_\varepsilon) = \Delta v_\varepsilon$, we get by (5.1) that

$$(5.5) \quad \Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\partial_y(\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon = 0,$$

where $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$. By (5.2), we have $\left| \frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \right| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, y_2]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} &\leq C\|\partial_y(\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^4(D_T)} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} + C\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(D_T)} + C\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)}^{1/2} + C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.3)–(5.4) and $\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(D_T)} \leq C\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)}^{1/2} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}^{1/2}$. Since $v_\varepsilon(x, y_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C\|\Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$. Thus, $\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C$ and

$$(5.6) \quad \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{C^0([0, T] \times [y_1, y_2])} \leq C\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C,$$

$$(5.7) \quad \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} + \|\partial_y \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} \leq C\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(D_T)} \leq C.$$

Up to a subsequence, there exists $\tilde{v}_0 \in H^2(D_T)$ such that $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}_0$ in $H^2(D_T)$, $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{v}_0$ in $H^1(D_T)$ and $\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{L^2(D_T)} = 1$. Taking derivative in (5.5) with respect to x and y , we get by (5.4) and (5.6)–(5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_x \Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial_x \partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \partial_x \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \partial_x \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^2(D_T)} \\ & \leq C \|\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0\|_{H^2(D_T)} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{C^0([0,T] \times [y_1, y_2])} + C \|\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^4(D_T)} \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} \\ & \quad + C \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_y \Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \\ & \leq \left\| \partial_y \left(\frac{\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \right) \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \partial_y \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \right)' \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \partial_y \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^2(D_T)} \\ & \leq C (\|\partial_y^2 (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^2(D_T)} + \|\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^2(D_T)}) \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{C^0([0,T] \times [y_1, y_2])} + \\ & \quad C \|\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^4(D_T)} \|\partial_y \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} + C \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} + C \|\partial_y \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq C$ and thus, $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{v}_0$ in $H^2(D_T)$. For any $\phi \in H^1(D_T)$ with periodic boundary condition in x and Dirichlet boundary condition in y , we have

$$(5.8) \quad \int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(-\nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \phi + \frac{\beta - u''}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \phi \right) dy dx = 0.$$

Since $\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} \leq C \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(D_T)} \leq C$, we have by (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)}{u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \phi dy dx \right| \leq C \int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} |\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)| |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon| |\phi| dy dx \\ & \leq C \|\partial_y (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega_0)\|_{L^4(D_T)} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(D_T)} \|\phi\|_{L^2(D_T)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^2(D_T)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{v}_0$ in $H^2(D_T)$ and sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (5.8), we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left(-\nabla \tilde{v}_0 \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c_0} \tilde{v}_0 \phi \right) dy dx = 0.$$

Thus, $\tilde{v}_0 \in H^2(D_T)$ is a weak solution of

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{G}_{c_0} \tilde{v}_0 = -\Delta \tilde{v}_0 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c_0} \tilde{v}_0 = 0.$$

Since $c_0 \notin \text{Ran}(u)$, we have $\left| \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c_0} \right| \leq C$ for $y \in [y_1, y_2]$. Then by elliptic regularity theory, we have \tilde{v}_0 is a classical solution of (5.9). Thus, $\varphi_{c_0} := \tilde{v}_0 \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0})$. Since $-\Delta \phi = 0$ has no nontrivial solutions satisfying the boundary conditions, we have $|c_0| < \infty$. Since $\varphi_{c_0} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k}(y) e^{ik\alpha x} \neq 0$ solves (5.9), there exists $k_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0} \neq 0$ solves

$$-\hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0}'' + (k_0 \alpha)^2 \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0} - \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c_0} \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0} = 0$$

with $\hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0}(y_1) = \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_0}(y_2) = 0$. Now we show that $k_0 \neq 0$. Let $P_0 f(x, y) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x, y) dx$ for $f \in L^2(D_T)$. Then P_0 is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(D_T)$. Since $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} = -\partial_x \psi_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$, we have $P_0 \tilde{v}_\varepsilon = P_0 v_\varepsilon = 0$. Taking limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have $P_0 \varphi_{c_0} = P_0 \tilde{v}_0 = 0$ and thus, $\hat{\varphi}_{c_0, 0}(y) = P_0 \varphi_{c_0}(x, y) \equiv 0$, which implies that $k_0 \neq 0$. Thus, $c_0 \in$

$\bigcup_{k \neq 0} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$, where we used the fact that $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) = \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{-k\alpha, \beta})$. \square

We give two remarks to Lemma 1.3: the first is to study the Fourier expansion of the limit function φ_{c_0} , and the second is to show that the asymptotic behavior of L^2 normalized vertical velocities \tilde{v}_ε might be complicated if $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow c_0 \in \{u_{\min}, u_{\max}\}$.

Remark 5.1. *The function φ_{c_0} in Lemma 1.3 is a superposition of finite normal modes. In fact, since $0 \neq \varphi_{c_0}(x, y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k}(y) e^{ik\alpha x} \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0})$ and $\inf \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0}) > -\infty$, we have $n_* := \#\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : -(k\alpha)^2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})\} \in [1, \infty)$. Let $\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : -(k\alpha)^2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})\} = \{k_n : 1 \leq n \leq n_*\}$. Then $\varphi_{c_0}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_*} \hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_n}(y) e^{ik_n \alpha x}$ and $\hat{\varphi}_{c_0, k_n}$ is an eigenfunction of $-(k_n \alpha)^2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$.*

Remark 5.2. *Consider a flow u satisfying (H1), $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. By Corollary 3.1 (5), there exists $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$ such that $c_n \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$, $c_{n+1} > c_n$ and $\alpha^2 = -\lambda_n(c_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 1.4, we can choose $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and nearby traveling waves $\vec{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(x - c_{\varepsilon_n} t, y) = (u_{\varepsilon_n}(x - c_{\varepsilon_n} t, y), v_{\varepsilon_n}(x - c_{\varepsilon_n} t, y))$ with period $2\pi/\alpha$ in x such that $\|(u_{\varepsilon_n}, v_{\varepsilon_n}) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \varepsilon_n$, $|c_{\varepsilon_n} - c_n| \rightarrow 0^+$, $\|v_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$ and there exists $\varphi_{c_n} \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_n})$ such that $\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_n} - \varphi_{c_n}\|_{C^0}$ is small enough for large n , where $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_n} = v_{\varepsilon_n} / \|v_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^2(D_T)}$. Then $c_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$. A possible case is that there exists a subsequence $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $c_{n_j} \notin \bigcup_{k > 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ for $j \geq 1$. In this case, $\varphi_{c_{n_j}}(x, y) = \sqrt{\alpha/\pi} \phi_{c_{n_j}}(y) \sin(\alpha x)$ since it is odd in x (see the construction in Lemma 1.4), where $\phi_{c_{n_j}}$ is a L^2 normalized eigenfunction of $\lambda_{n_j}(c_{n_j}) = -\alpha^2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_{n_j}})$. Since $\phi_{c_{n_j}}$ has $n_j - 1$ sign-changed zeros in (y_1, y_2) , $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_{n_j}}$ oscillates frequently in the y -direction for large j .*

Next, we give the proof of Lemma 1.4.

Proof of Lemma 1.4. We assume that $\beta > 0$, and the case for $\beta < 0$ is similar. Since $c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k_0\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$ for some $k_0 \geq 1$, we have $c_0 < u_{\min}$ by Theorem 1.1 (1) and we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $c_0 + \delta_0 < u_{\min}$. By (1.3), $\vec{u}(x - ct, y)$ is a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) if and only if (ψ, c) solves

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\partial(\omega + \beta y, \psi - cy)}{\partial(x, y)} = 0$$

and ψ takes constant values on $\{y = y_i\}$, where $i = 1, 2$, $\omega = \text{curl } \vec{u}$ and $\vec{u} = (\partial_y \psi, -\partial_x \psi)$. Let ψ_0 be a stream function associated with the shear flow $(u, 0)$, i.e., $\psi'_0 = u$. Since $u - c > 0$ for $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$, $\psi_0 - cy$ is increasing on $[y_1, y_2]$. Let $I_c = \{\psi_0(y) - cy : y \in [y_1, y_2]\}$ for $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$, and then we can define a function $\tilde{f}_c \in C^2(I_c)$ such that

$$(5.11) \quad \tilde{f}_c(\psi_0(y) - cy) = \omega_0(y) + \beta y = -\psi_0''(y) + \beta y.$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{f}'_c(\psi_0(y) - cy) = \frac{\beta - u''(y)}{u(y) - c} =: \mathcal{K}_c(y)$$

for $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$. We extend \tilde{f}_c to $f_c \in C^2_0(\mathbf{R})$ such that $f_c = \tilde{f}_c$ on I_c and $\partial_z \partial_c f_c(z)$ is continuous for $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$ and $z \in \mathbf{R}$. Taking c as the bifurcation parameter, we now construct steady solutions $\vec{u} = (\partial_y \psi, -\partial_x \psi)$ near $(u, 0)$ by solving the elliptic equations

$$(5.12) \quad -\Delta \psi + \beta y = f_c(\psi - cy)$$

with the boundary conditions that ψ takes constant values on $\{y = y_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Define the perturbation of the stream function by

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(x, y) - \psi_0(y).$$

Then by (5.11)–(5.12), we have

$$-\Delta\phi - (f_c(\phi + \psi_0 - cy) - f_c(\psi_0 - cy)) = 0.$$

Define the spaces

$$B = \{\varphi(x, y) \in H^4(D_T) : \varphi(x, y_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, \varphi \text{ is even and } T\text{-periodic in } x\}$$

and

$$C = \{\varphi(x, y) \in H^2(D_T) : T\text{-periodic in } x\},$$

where $T = 2\pi/\alpha$. Consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} F : B \times [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0] &\longrightarrow C, \\ (\phi, c) &\longmapsto -\Delta\phi - (f_c(\phi + \psi_0 - cy) - f_c(\psi_0 - cy)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $F(0, c) = 0$ for $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$. We study the bifurcation near the trivial solution $(0, c_0)$ of the equation $F(\phi, c) = 0$ in B , whose solutions give steady flows of (5.10).

For fixed $c \in [c_0 - \delta_0, c_0 + \delta_0]$, by linearizing F around $\phi = 0$, we have

$$\partial_\phi F(0, c) = -\Delta - f'_c(\psi_0 - cy) = -\Delta - \mathcal{K}_c = \mathcal{G}_c|_B,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_c|_B$ is the restriction of \mathcal{G}_c in B and \mathcal{G}_c is defined in (1.16). Then we divide the discussion of bifurcation near $(0, c_0)$ of the equation $F(\phi, c) = 0$ into three cases. Since $c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k_0\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$, there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $(k_0\alpha)^2 = -\lambda_{n_0}(c_0)$, where $\lambda_{n_0}(c_0)$ is the n_0 -th eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_{c_0} and \mathcal{L}_{c_0} is defined in (1.6). Let

$$(5.13) \quad k_* = \max_{k \geq 1} \{k : \text{there exists } n_k \geq 1 \text{ such that } -(k\alpha)^2 = \lambda_{n_k}(c_0)\}.$$

Then k_* exists by our assumption and $1 \leq k_0 \leq k_* < \infty$. Now we denote $n_* = n_{k_*}$.

Case 1. $\lambda'_{n_*}(c_0) \neq 0$ (the transversal crossing condition) and $c_0 \notin \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$.

In this case, we have $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$. Let $B_* = \{\varphi \in B : \frac{2\pi}{k_*\alpha}\text{-periodic in } x\}$ and $C_* = \{\varphi \in C : \frac{2\pi}{k_*\alpha}\text{-periodic in } x\}$. Consider the restriction $F|_{B_*}$ and $\mathcal{G}_c|_{B_*}$. Then by the definition of k_* , we have

$$(5.14) \quad \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0}|_{B_*}) = \text{span}\{\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0}|_{B_*})) = 1,$$

where ϕ_{c_0, n_*} is a real-valued eigenfunction of $\lambda_{n_*}(c_0) \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$. Note that

$$\partial_c \partial_\phi F(0, c_0) (\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x)) = -\frac{\beta - u''}{(u - c_0)^2} \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x).$$

Then by Lemma 11 in [10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x) [\partial_c \partial_\phi F(0, c_0) (\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x))] dy dx \\ &= -\int_0^T \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{\beta - u''}{(u - c_0)^2} |\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y)|^2 \cos^2(k_*\alpha x) dy dx = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \lambda'_{n_*}(c_0) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that ϕ_{c_0, n_*} is real-valued. By (5.14), we have $\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x) \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0}|_{B_*})$ and thus, $\partial_c \partial_\phi F(0, c_0) (\phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_*\alpha x)) \notin \text{Ran}(\mathcal{G}_{c_0}|_{B_*})$. Then by Theorem 1.7 in [2], there

exist $\delta > 0$ and a nontrivial C^1 bifurcating curve $\{(\phi_\gamma, c(\gamma)), \gamma \in (-\delta, \delta)\}$ of $F(\phi, c) = 0$, which intersects the trivial curve $(0, c)$ at $c = c_0$, such that

$$\phi_\gamma(x, y) = \gamma \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_* \alpha x) + o(|\gamma|).$$

So the stream functions take the form

$$\psi_\gamma(x, y) = \psi_0(y) + \phi_\gamma(x, y) = \psi_0(y) + \gamma \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_* \alpha x) + o(|\gamma|).$$

Let the velocity $\vec{u}_\gamma = (u(\gamma), v(\gamma)) = (\partial_y \psi_\gamma, -\partial_x \psi_\gamma)$. Since $c_0 < u_{\min}$, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} u(\gamma)(x, y) - c(\gamma) &= \partial_y \psi_\gamma(x, y) - c(\gamma) \\ &= u(y) - c(\gamma) + \gamma \phi'_{c_0, n_*}(y) \cos(k_* \alpha x) + o(|\gamma|) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.16) \quad v(\gamma)(x, y) = -\partial_x \psi_\gamma(x, y) = k_* \alpha \gamma \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \sin(k_* \alpha x) + o(|\gamma|) \neq 0$$

when γ is small. Moreover, $\|(u(\gamma), v(\gamma)) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} + |c(\gamma) - c_0| \leq C_0 \gamma$ for some constant $C_0 > 0$ large enough. Thus, we can take $\delta > 0$ smaller and $\varepsilon_0 = C_0 \delta$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon) := (u(\gamma), v(\gamma), c(\gamma))|_{\gamma=\varepsilon/C_0}$ satisfies that $\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \varepsilon$, $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow c_0$, $u_\varepsilon(x, y) - c_\varepsilon > 0$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$. By (5.16), $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha/\pi} \phi_{c_0, n_*}(y) \sin(k_* \alpha x)$ in $H^2(D_T)$, where $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon / \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$.

Case 2. $\lambda'_{n_*}(c_0) = 0$ and $c_0 \notin \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$.

In this case, there exist $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $a \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $a\lambda_{n_*}$ is increasing in $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$, and thus,

$$(5.17) \quad a\lambda_{n_*}(c) > a\lambda_{n_*}(c_0) = -a(k_* \alpha)^2, \quad \forall c \in (c_0, c_0 + \delta_1].$$

Let $\zeta_1 \in C^4([y_1, y_2])$ be a positive function, u_1 be a solution of the regular ODE

$$(5.18) \quad u_1''(u - c_0) - (u'' - \beta)u_1 = \zeta_1 \quad \text{on} \quad [y_1, y_2],$$

and $\tau_0 > 0$ be such that $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \cap \text{Ran}(u + \tau u_1) = \emptyset$ for $\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$. Since $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$ and $\zeta_1 \in C^4([y_1, y_2])$, we have $u_1 \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$. Let $\lambda_n(c, \tau)$ denote the n -th eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau} : H^2 \cap H_0^1(y_1, y_2) \rightarrow L^2(y_1, y_2)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau} \phi = -\phi'' + \frac{u'' + \tau u_1'' - \beta}{u + \tau u_1 - c} \phi$$

for $c \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$ and $\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$. Then by (5.18) and the fact that ζ_1 is a positive function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) &= \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{u'' + \tau u_1'' - \beta}{u + \tau u_1 - c_0} \right) \Big|_{\tau=0} \phi_{n_*, c_0}^2 dy \\ &= \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{u_1''(u - c_0) - (u'' - \beta)u_1}{(u - c_0)^2} \phi_{n_*, c_0}^2 dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{\zeta_1}{(u - c_0)^2} \phi_{n_*, c_0}^2 dy > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_{n_*, c_0} is a L^2 normalized eigenfunction of $\lambda_{n_*}(c_0) \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$. By the definition of k_* , $-(k\alpha)^2 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0, 0})$ for $k > k_*$. Since $c_0 \notin \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$, we have $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0, 0})$. By the continuity of $\partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}$ and the small perturbation of $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau})$, we can take $\tau_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ smaller such that $\partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c, \tau) > 0$ and

$$(5.19) \quad 0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau}) \quad \text{and} \quad -(k\alpha)^2 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau}), \quad \forall k > k_*$$

for $(c, \tau) \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \times [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$. By taking $\delta_1 > 0$ smaller and the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists $\tilde{\gamma} \in C^1([c_0, c_0 + \delta_1])$ such that $\lambda_{n_*}(c, \tilde{\gamma}(c)) = \lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) = -(k_* \alpha)^2$, $\tilde{\gamma}(c_0) = 0$ and $|\tilde{\gamma}(c)| \leq \tau_0$ for $c \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$. By (5.17), we have $\lambda_{n_*}(c, \tilde{\gamma}(c)) = \lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) \neq \lambda_{n_*}(c, 0)$

and $\tilde{\gamma}(c) \neq 0$ for $c \in (c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$. Then for fixed $\tau \in (0, \tau_0]$, there exists $c_\tau \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}'(c_\tau) \neq 0$ and $|\tilde{\gamma}(c_\tau)| \leq \tau$. Note that $0 = \frac{d}{dc}[\lambda_{n_*}(c, \tilde{\gamma}(c))] = \partial_c \lambda_{n_*}(c, \tilde{\gamma}(c)) + \tilde{\gamma}'(c) \partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c, \tilde{\gamma}(c))$. Let $\tau_1 = \tilde{\gamma}(c_\tau)$. Then we have $\partial_c \lambda_{n_*}(c_\tau, \tau_1) = -\tilde{\gamma}'(c_\tau) \partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c_\tau, \tau_1) \neq 0$.

Fix any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then we can choose $\tau \in (0, \tau_0]$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ smaller such that for $\tau_1 = \tilde{\gamma}(c_\tau)$,

$$(5.20) \quad \|(u + \tau_1 u_1, 0) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(y_1, y_2)} \leq \tau \|u_1\|_{H^3(y_1, y_2)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{\tau_1} - c_0| < \delta_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By (5.19), $\lambda_{n_*}(c_\tau, \tau_1) = -(k_* \alpha)^2$ and $\partial_c \lambda_{n_*}(c_\tau, \tau_1) \neq 0$, we can apply Case 1 to the shear flow $(u + \tau_1 u_1, 0)$: there exists a traveling wave solution $(u_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y), v_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y))$ to (1.1)–(1.2) which has period $T = 2\pi/\alpha$ in x ,

$$(5.21) \quad \|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u + \tau_1 u_1, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |c_\varepsilon - c_{\tau_1}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$u_\varepsilon(x, y) - c_\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)} \neq 0$. Then by (5.20)–(5.21), we have $\|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - (u, 0)\|_{H^3(D_T)} < \varepsilon$ and $|c_\varepsilon - c_0| < \varepsilon$.

Case 3. $c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{0, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$.

In this case, $0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$ and there exists $j_0 > n_0 \geq n_*$ such that $\lambda_{j_0}(c_0) = 0$. There exist $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $a, b \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that both $a\lambda_{n_*}$ and $b\lambda_{j_0}$ are decreasing in $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$.

Since ϕ_{n_*, c_0}^2 is linearly independent of ϕ_{j_0, c_0}^2 , there exists $\xi_1 \in H^2(y_1, y_2)$ such that

$$(5.22) \quad \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \xi_1 \frac{\phi_{n_*, c_0}^2}{(u - c_0)^2} dy = a \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \xi_1 \frac{\phi_{j_0, c_0}^2}{(u - c_0)^2} dy = -b.$$

Let u_1 be a solution of (5.18) with $\zeta_1 = \xi_1$, and $\tau_0 > 0$ be such that $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \cap \text{Ran}(u + \tau u_1) = \emptyset$ for $\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$. Then by (5.22), we have $a\partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) = a^2 > 0$ and $b\partial_\tau \lambda_{j_0}(c_0, 0) = -b^2 < 0$. As in Case 2, we can take $\tau_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ smaller such that

$$a\partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c, \tau) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -(k\alpha)^2 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c, \tau}), \quad \forall k > k_*$$

for $(c, \tau) \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \times [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$. Note that $\lambda_{j_0-1}(c_0, 0) < \lambda_{j_0}(c_0, 0) = 0 < \lambda_{j_0+1}(c_0, 0)$. By the continuity of $\partial_\tau \lambda_{j_0}$, λ_{j_0-1} and λ_{j_0+1} , we can choose $\tau_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ smaller such that

$$(5.23) \quad b\partial_\tau \lambda_{j_0}(c, \tau) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{j_0-1}(c, \tau) < 0 < \lambda_{j_0+1}(c, \tau)$$

for $(c, \tau) \in [c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \times [-\tau_0, \tau_0]$.

As $a\partial_\tau \lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) > 0$ and $a\lambda_{n_*}(\cdot, 0)$ is decreasing in $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$, we can choose $\tau_1 \in (0, \tau_0]$ such that $a\lambda_{n_*}(c_0 + \delta_1, \tau_1) < a\lambda_{n_*}(c_0, 0) = -a(k_* \alpha)^2 < a\lambda_{n_*}(c_0, \tau_1)$. Then there exists $c_{\tau_1} \in (c_0, c_0 + \delta_1)$ such that $\lambda_{n_*}(c_{\tau_1}, \tau_1) = -(k_* \alpha)^2$.

Since $b\partial_\tau \lambda_{j_0}(c, \tau) < 0$ and $b\lambda_{j_0}(\cdot, 0)$ is decreasing in $[c_0, c_0 + \delta_1]$, we have $b\lambda_{j_0}(c, \tau) < b\lambda_{j_0}(c, 0) < b\lambda_{j_0}(c_0, 0) = 0$, which, along with (5.23), gives

$$b\lambda_{j_0+b}(c, \tau) > 0 > b\lambda_{j_0}(c, \tau), \quad (c, \tau) \in (c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \times (0, \tau_0].$$

Since $(c_{\tau_1}, \tau_1) \in (c_0, c_0 + \delta_1] \times (0, \tau_0]$, we have $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_{\tau_1}, \tau_1})$.

Now, we can construct a desired traveling wave solution $(u_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y), v_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y))$ by first perturbing the shear flow $(u, 0)$ to $(u + \tau_1 u_1, 0)$ and then applying Case 1 or Case 2 to $(u + \tau_1 u_1, 0)$ as in (5.20)–(5.21). \square

The minimal period of any nearby traveling wave in x can be determined under the following condition.

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumption of Lemma 1.3, if*

$$(5.24) \quad c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R} \quad \text{and} \quad c_0 \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 2} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}),$$

then $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y)$ has minimal period $2\pi/\alpha$ in x for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

Proof. Let the minimal horizontal period of the traveling wave $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y)$ be T/n_ε for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, where $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $T = 2\pi/\alpha$. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and let $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon/\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_T)}$. Since $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x, y) = \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x + T/n_\varepsilon, y)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $y \in [y_1, y_2]$, we have $\int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x, y) dx = e^{i\alpha T/n_\varepsilon} \int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x, y) dx$. Thus, if $n_\varepsilon > 1$, then $e^{i\alpha T/n_\varepsilon} = e^{2\pi i/n_\varepsilon} \neq 1$ and $\int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x, y) dx = 0$ for $y \in [y_1, y_2]$.

Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k : k \geq 1\} \subset (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\vec{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x - c_{\varepsilon_k} t, y)$ has minimal period $T/n_{\varepsilon_k} < T$ in x for $k \geq 1$. Then $\int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_k}(x, y) dx = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $y \in [y_1, y_2]$. By Lemma 1.3, $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \varphi_{c_0}$ in $H^2(D_T)$, where $\varphi_{c_0} \in \ker(\mathcal{G}_{c_0})$ and \mathcal{G}_{c_0} is defined in (1.16). Then

$$(5.25) \quad \int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \varphi_{c_0}(x, y) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_k}(x, y) dx = 0$$

for $y \in [y_1, y_2]$. By (5.24) and $\widehat{\varphi}_{c_0, 0} = 0$, we have $\varphi_{c_0}(x, y) = \widehat{\varphi}_{c_0, 1}(y)e^{i\alpha x} + \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_{c_0, 1}(y)}e^{-i\alpha x}$, where $\widehat{\varphi}_{c_0, 1} \neq 0$ is an eigenfunction of $-\alpha^2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{c_0})$. On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^T e^{i\alpha x} \varphi_{c_0}(x, y) dx = T \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_{c_0, 1}(y)} \neq 0,$$

which contradicts (5.25). \square

Remark 5.4. (1) Let $c_0 \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ and k_* be defined in (5.13). It follows from Lemma 5.3 that under the assumption of Lemma 1.3, if $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y)$ has period $2\pi/(k_*\alpha)$ in x for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, then the period $2\pi/(k_*\alpha)$ is minimal for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

(2) In Proposition 7 of [10], it should be corrected that the minimal period of constructed traveling waves in x might be less than $2\pi/\alpha_0$, since it is possible that $(c_0, k\alpha_0, \beta, \phi_k)$ is a non-resonant neutral mode for some $k \geq 2$ and $\phi_k \in H_0^1 \cap H^2(y_1, y_2)$. Consequently, the minimal period of constructed traveling waves near the Sinus flow in Theorem 7 (i) of [10] might be less than $2\pi/\alpha_0$, see Example 6.4 for systematic study of traveling wave families near the Sinus flow. If (5.24) holds true for $\alpha = \alpha_0$, then the minimal period of these traveling waves in Proposition 7 and Theorem 7 (i) of [10] is $2\pi/\alpha_0$.

By Lemmas 1.3–1.4, we get the following consequence.

Theorem 5.5. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \neq 0$ and $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$. Then $\sharp(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}))$ is exactly the number of traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let the number of traveling wave families near $(u, 0)$ be denoted by θ . By Theorem 5.5, $\theta = \sharp(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}))$.

Proof of (1): Since $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} \neq \emptyset$, we have $0 < \kappa_+ < \infty$. First, let $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) \neq \emptyset$ and we divide the discussion into two cases.

Case 1a. $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\})$.

By Corollary 3.1 (1),

$$(5.26) \quad \inf_{c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})} \lambda_1(c) > -\infty.$$

Thus, there exists $1 \leq k_0 < \infty$ such that

$$(5.27) \quad \bigcup_{k > k_0} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \emptyset.$$

By Corollary 4.4 (1), we have

$$(5.28) \quad \theta = \# \left(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) \right) \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \# (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \# (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty.$$

Case 1b. $\beta \in (\min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\}, \infty)$.

By Corollary 3.1 (1) for $\beta \in (\min\{\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \mu_+\}, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$ and Corollary 3.1 (5) for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \infty)$, we have

$$(5.29) \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_1(c) = -\infty.$$

Thus, there exists $c_k \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$ such that $c_k < c_{k+1} < u_{\min}$ for every $k \geq 1$, and $c_k \rightarrow u_{\min}^-$. Then $\theta = \#(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})) = \infty$.

Next, let $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset$ and we separate the proof into two cases.

Case 2a. $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$.

By Corollary 3.1 (3), we obtain (5.26). Thus, there exists $1 \leq k_0 < \infty$ such that (5.27) holds. By Corollary 4.4 (1), we obtain (5.28).

Case 2b. $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_+, \infty)$.

By Corollary 3.1 (5), we have

$$(5.30) \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow u_{\min}^-} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Using (5.30) for $n = 1$ and the fact that $\theta = \#(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}))$, it can be proved that $\theta = \infty$ by a similar way as in Case 1b. This completes the proof of (1). The proof of (2) is similar.

Proof of (3): Since $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \emptyset$, we have $\{u = u_{\min}\} \cap (y_1, y_2) = \emptyset$ and $\kappa_+ = \infty$. Fix $\beta \in (0, \infty)$. By Corollary 3.1 (3), we obtain (5.26), and thus, there exists $1 \leq k_0 < \infty$ such that (5.27) holds. By Corollary 4.4 (3), we obtain (5.28). This completes the proof of (3). The proof of (4) is similar. \square

Remark 5.6. *In Cases 1b and 2b of the above proof, the infinitely many traveling wave families are produced by the asymptotic behavior of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(c)$ of \mathcal{L}_c , see (5.29). There could be many other traveling wave families in general, which are produced by the asymptotic behavior of $\lambda_n(c)$ for $n \geq 2$, see (5.30). In fact, for fixed $n \geq 2$, there exists $c_{k,n} \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha, \beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}$ such that $\lambda_n(c_{k,n}) = -(k\alpha)^2$ for every $k \geq 1$. If $\{c_{k,n} : k \geq 1, n \geq 2\} \setminus \{c_k : k \geq 1\} \neq \emptyset$, then a simple application of Theorem 5.5 yields other traveling wave families.*

6. FLOWS IN CLASS \mathcal{K}^+

In this section, we prove that the conditions (\mathbf{E}_{\pm}) for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$ in Theorem 1.1 (2)–(3) can be dropped for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ . Consequently, Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.6 hold true for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ unconditionally. Moreover, we calculate the explicit number of isolated real eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$ on the whole (α, β) -plane for the Sinus flow.

First, we recall the definition of a flow in class \mathcal{K}^+ .

Definition 6.1. *A flow u in class \mathcal{K}^+ means that $u \in H^4(y_1, y_2)$ is not a constant function, and for any $\beta \in \text{Ran}(u'')$, there exists $u_{\beta} \in \text{Ran}(u)$ such that $K_{\beta} = (\beta - u'')/(u - u_{\beta})$ is positive and bounded on $[y_1, y_2]$.*

The assumption **(H1)** is true for a flow u in class \mathcal{K}^+ . In fact, if $0 \notin \text{Ran}(u'')$, the proof is trivial; if $0 \in \text{Ran}(u'')$ and $y_0 \in [y_1, y_2]$ satisfies $u'(y_0) = 0$ and $u''(y_0) = 0$, then $u(y_0) - u_0 = -\frac{1}{K_0(y_0)}u''(y_0) = 0$. Thus, $\varphi \equiv u - u_0$ solves $\varphi'' + K_0\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(y_0) = \varphi'(y_0) = 0$. Then $u \equiv u_0$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 6.2. *Let u be a flow in class \mathcal{K}^+ and $\alpha > 0$. Then $m_\beta \leq \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) < \infty$ for $\beta \in [\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$, and $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \infty$ for $\beta \notin [\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$.*

Remark 6.3. (1) *We provide a precise conclusion here. $m_\beta \leq \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. $m_\beta \leq \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (u_{\max}, \infty)) < \infty$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0$.*

(2) *The conditions **(E $_{\pm}$)** for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{8}\kappa_-, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+)$ in Theorem 1.1 (2)–(3) and Theorem 1.6 (1)–(2) can be dropped for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ . The conditions $u(y_1) \neq u(y_2)$ and (i)–(iii) in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.6 (3)–(4) can be dropped for flows in class \mathcal{K}^+ .*

Proof. By Theorems 1.1 and 2.3, it suffices to prove $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty$ for $0 < \alpha^2 \leq M_\beta$ and $0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+$. Similar proof is valid for $\frac{9}{8}\kappa_- \leq \beta < 0$. First, we consider $\beta \in \text{Ran}(u) \cap (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+]$. Define the non-shear space

$$X := \{\omega \in L^2(D_T) : \int_0^T \omega(x, y) dx = 0, \text{T-periodic in } x\}.$$

The linearized equation (1.4) has a Hamiltonian structure in the traveling frame $(x - u_\beta t, y, t)$:

$$\omega_t = -(\beta - u'')\partial_x(\omega/K_\beta - \psi) = JL\omega,$$

where $J = -(\beta - u'')\partial_x : X^* \rightarrow X$, $L = 1/K_\beta - (-\Delta)^{-1} : X \rightarrow X^*$. Let $J_\alpha = -i\alpha(\beta - u'')$ and $L_\alpha = \frac{1}{K_\beta} - (-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \alpha^2)^{-1}$ on $L^2_{\frac{1}{K_\beta}}$. It follows from Theorem 3 in [10] that

$$k_c + k_r + k_i^{\leq 0} = n^-(L_\alpha),$$

where $n^-(L_\alpha)$ is the Morse index of L_α , k_r is the sum of algebraic multiplicities of positive eigenvalues of $J_\alpha L_\alpha$, k_c is the sum of algebraic multiplicities of eigenvalues of $J_\alpha L_\alpha$ in the first and the fourth quadrants and $k_i^{\leq 0}$ is the total number of non-positive dimensions of $\langle L_\alpha \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ restricted to the generalized eigenspaces of nonzero purely imaginary eigenvalues of $J_\alpha L_\alpha$.

Suppose that $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) = \infty$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that there exists $m_\beta < n < N_\beta$ such that $\sharp(\{\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2, c < u_{\min}\}) = \infty$. Let $c^* < u_{\min}$ be a solution of $\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2$ with eigenfunction ϕ^* . c^* can be chosen sufficiently close to u_{\min} . Then $-i\alpha(c^* - u_\beta)$ is a purely imaginary eigenvalue of $J_\alpha L_\alpha$ with eigenfunction $\omega^* = -\phi^{*'} + \alpha^2\phi^*$. By Theorem 4 in [10],

$$\langle L_\alpha \omega^*, \omega^* \rangle = -(c^* - u_\beta)\lambda'_n(c^*).$$

Note that $c - u_\beta$ does not change sign when $c < u_{\min}$ is sufficiently close to u_{\min} . Then

$$\sharp(\{-(c - u_\beta)\lambda'_n(c) \leq 0, c < u_{\min}\} \cap \{\lambda_n(c) = -\alpha^2, c < u_{\min}\}) = \infty.$$

Hence, $k_i^{\leq 0} = \infty$. This contradicts that

$$k_i^{\leq 0} \leq n^-(L_\alpha) = n^-(\tilde{L}_0 + \alpha^2) \leq n^-(\tilde{L}_0) < \infty,$$

where $\tilde{L}_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - K_\beta : H^2 \cap H^1_0 \rightarrow L^2$. Therefore, $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < \infty$.

Then, we consider $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{8}\kappa_+] \setminus \text{Ran}(u'')$. By Corollary 1 in [10], $\lambda_n(c)$ is decreasing on $c \in (-\infty, u_{\min})$ for any fixed $n \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.3, $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, u_{\min})) < N_\beta$. \square

Finally, we study the number of isolated real eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and traveling wave families for the Sinus flow systematically.

Example 6.4. The flow with Sinus profile is $u(y) = \frac{1+\cos(\pi y)}{2}$, $y \in [-1, 1]$. We determine $\#(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ and the number of traveling wave families for the Sinus flow on the (α, β) -plane. For the Sinus flow, we have $u_{\min} = 0$, $u_{\max} = 1$, $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\min}\} = \{\pm 1\}$, $\{u' = 0\} \cap \{u = u_{\max}\} = \{0\}$, $\kappa_+ = u''(\pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2$ and $\kappa_- = u''(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2$. We divide the plane into nine parts as follows.




Figure 1.

In Figure 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha > 0, \beta < -\frac{9}{16}\pi^2\}, \\
II &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha < \pi\sqrt{-r^2 - r + \frac{3}{4}}, -\frac{9}{16}\pi^2 \leq \beta < -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]\}, \\
III &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \geq \pi\sqrt{-r^2 - r + \frac{3}{4}}, -\frac{9}{16}\pi^2 \leq \beta < -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]\} \cup \\
&\quad \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\pi, \beta = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2\}, \\
IV &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha < \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 < \beta < \beta_l\}, \\
V &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \pi\sqrt{1-r^2} < \alpha < \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\}, \\
VI &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha < \pi\sqrt{-r^2 - r + \frac{3}{4}}, \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{16}\pi^2, r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]\}, \\
VII &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha < \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, 0 < \beta \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2\} \cup \\
&\quad \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 < \alpha \leq \pi\sqrt{1-r^2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\pi^2, r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})\} \cup \\
&\quad \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \pi\sqrt{-r^2 - r + \frac{3}{4}} \leq \alpha < \pi\sqrt{1-r^2}, \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \leq \beta \leq \frac{9}{16}\pi^2, r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]\}, \\
VIII &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha > 0, \beta > \frac{9}{16}\pi^2\}, \\
IX &= \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\pi, \beta = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2\} \cup \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha > \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\} \cup \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, 0 < \beta \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2 \} \cup \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha \geq \pi\sqrt{1-r^2}, \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \leq \beta \leq \frac{9}{16}\pi^2, r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]\},$$

where $\Lambda_\beta = \sup_{c \notin (0,1)} \max\{-\lambda_1(c), 0\}$, $r = \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} + \frac{\beta}{\pi^2}}$ for $-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2 \leq \beta < 0$, $r = \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} - \frac{\beta}{\pi^2}}$ for $0 < \beta \leq \frac{9}{16}\pi^2$, and β_l is given by Theorem 6 of [10]. Moreover, $m_\beta = 0$ and $\max\{M_\beta, 0\} = \Lambda_\beta$ for $\beta \in [-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, 0) \cup (0, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2]$, and $m_\beta = 1$ and $M_\beta = (-r^2 - r + \frac{3}{4})\pi^2$ for $\beta \in [-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2)$.

The explicit number $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R})$ is given as follows:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) \in IX &\implies \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 0; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in III \cup VII &\implies \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 1; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in IV \cup V \cup VI &\implies \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 2; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in II &\implies \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 3; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in I \cup VIII &\implies \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 1$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma := \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha = \sqrt{\Lambda_\beta}, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 < \beta < \beta_l \text{ or } \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2 < \beta < \frac{\pi^2}{2}\}$.

The number (denoted by θ) of traveling wave families near the Sinus flow is given by

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) \in IX &\implies \theta = 0; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in VII &\implies 1 \leq \theta < \infty; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in IV \cup V \cup VI &\implies 2 \leq \theta < \infty; \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in I \cup II \cup III \cup VIII &\implies \theta = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\theta = \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = 1$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma$. Moreover,

$$(6.3) \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in III \cup IV \cup V \cup VII \implies \theta = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sharp(\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}).$$

If $(\alpha, \beta) \in III \cup IV \cup V \cup VII \cup \Gamma$, then

$$(6.4) \quad c_0 \in \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R} \implies \vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y) \text{ has minimal period } 2\pi/\alpha \text{ in } x$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, where $\vec{u}_\varepsilon(x - c_\varepsilon t, y)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 1.3.

To prove (6.1)–(6.4), we need the following asymptotic behavior, signatures and monotonicity of λ_n .

- (1) $\lim_{c \rightarrow \pm\infty} \lambda_n(c) = \frac{n^2}{4}\pi^2 > 0$ for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (2) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$ and λ_n is decreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ for $\beta \in (\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, \infty)$;
- (3) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$, $\lambda_1(0) < \lambda_2(0) \leq 0$, $\lambda_3(0) > 0$ and λ_n is decreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ for $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2]$;
- (4) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$, $\lambda_1(0) < 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, there exist $c_1 < c_2 \in (-\infty, 0)$ such that $\lambda_1(c) \geq \lambda_1(c_1) = 0$ for $c \in (-\infty, c_1)$, λ_1 is decreasing on (c_1, c_2) , $\lambda_1(c_2) = \inf_{c \in (-\infty, 0)} \lambda_1(c)$ and λ_1 is increasing on $(c_2, 0)$ for $\beta \in (\frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2, \frac{1}{2}\pi^2]$;
- (5) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$, $\lambda_1(0) < 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, there exists $c_1 \in (-\infty, 0)$ such that $\lambda_1(c) \geq \lambda_1(c_1) = 0$ for $c \in (-\infty, c_1)$ and λ_1 is decreasing on $(c_1, 0)$ for $\beta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{4}\pi^2]$;
- (6) $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ on $(1, \infty)$ for $\beta \in [\beta_l, 0)$;
- (7) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(1)$, $\lambda_1(1) > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$ on $(1, \infty)$, there exist $c_1 < c_2 < c_3 \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\lambda_1(c) \geq \lambda_1(c_1) = \lambda_1(c_3) = 0$ for $c \in (1, c_1) \cup (c_3, \infty)$, λ_1 is decreasing on (c_1, c_2) , $\lambda_1(c_2) = \inf_{c \in (1, \infty)} \lambda_1(c)$ and λ_1 is increasing on (c_2, c_3) for $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \beta_l)$;

(8) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(1)$, $\lambda_1(1) < 0$, $\lambda_2(1) = 0$ and λ_n is increasing on $(1, \infty)$ for $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2$;

(9) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_1(c) = -\infty$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_{n+1}(c) = \lambda_n(1)$, $\lambda_1(1) = \lambda_2(1) < 0$, $\lambda_3(1) > 0$ and λ_n is increasing on $(1, \infty)$ for $\beta \in [-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2]$;

(10) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_n(c) = -\infty$ and λ_n is increasing on $(1, \infty)$ for $\beta \in (-\infty, -\frac{9}{16}\pi^2)$, where $n \geq 1$, $\lambda_n(0) = \left(r + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2$ for $\beta \in (0, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2]$, $\lambda_n(1) = \left(r - \frac{1}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\right)^2 - 1$ π^2 for $\beta \in [-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2] \cup (-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, 0)$, and $\lambda_n(1) = (\frac{n^2}{4} - 1)\pi^2$ for $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2$ by Proposition 1 in [10].

Assertions (1)–(10) provide pictures of the negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_c for fixed β . Assume that (1)–(10) are true. Note that by Theorem 1.1 (1), we have $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (1, \infty) = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta > 0$, and $\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta < 0$. Then we claim that

$$(6.5) \quad (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) \cap (\sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{j\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R}) = \emptyset,$$

$$(6.6) \quad \sigma_d(\mathcal{R}_{k\alpha,\beta}) \cap \mathbf{R} = \{c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [0, 1] \mid \lambda_1(c) = -(k\alpha)^2\}$$

for $k, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $k \neq j$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in III \cup IV \cup V \cup VII$. In fact, (6.6) implies (6.5). If $(\alpha, \beta) \in III$, then by (9) we have $\lambda_2 > \lambda_2(1) \geq -\alpha^2$ on $(1, \infty)$, which gives (6.6). If $(\alpha, \beta) \in IV$, then by (7) we have $\lambda_2 > 0$ on $(1, \infty)$ and thus, \mathcal{L}_c has at most one negative eigenvalue for $c \in (1, \infty)$, which gives (6.6). Similarly, we can prove (6.6) for $(\alpha, \beta) \in V \cup VII$ with $\beta < \frac{\pi^2}{2}$ by (4)–(5). If $(\alpha, \beta) \in VII$ with $\beta \geq \frac{\pi^2}{2}$, then by (3) we have $\lambda_2 > \lambda_2(0) \geq -\alpha^2$ on $(-\infty, 0)$, which gives (6.6).

By applying Theorem 5.5, we get (6.1)–(6.3). (6.4) is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.3. Here, (6.5) is used in the proof of (6.3)–(6.4).

Now, we prove (1)–(10). (1) and (4)–(7) are a summary of spectral results in Section 4 of [10]. Monotonicity of λ_n for $\beta \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2] \cup [\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \infty)$ is due to Corollary 1 in [10]. Asymptotic behavior of λ_n in (2) and (10) is obtained by Corollary 3.1. Signatures of λ_n in (3) and (8)–(9) are due to Proposition 1 in [10] and simple computation.

The rest is to prove the asymptotic behavior of λ_n in (3) and (8)–(9). First, we consider $\beta = \pm\frac{1}{2}\pi^2$. We only prove that $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$ for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2$ and $n \geq 1$. Note that $\frac{\beta - u''}{u} = \pi^2$ and $\left\| \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c} - \frac{\beta - u''}{u} \right\|_{L^1(-1,1)} = \pi^2 \left\| \frac{c}{u - c} \right\|_{L^1(-1,1)}$ for $c < 0$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then

$$\left\| \frac{c}{u - c} \right\|_{L^1(1-\delta,1)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\delta))} \frac{-c}{z^2 - c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z^2}} dz \leq C_\delta \sqrt{-c} \rightarrow 0$$

as $c \rightarrow 0^-$. Similarly, $\left\| \frac{c}{u - c} \right\|_{L^1(-1,-1+\delta)} \rightarrow 0$. Clearly, $\left\| \frac{c}{u - c} \right\|_{L^1(-1+\delta,1-\delta)} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left\| \frac{c}{u - c} \right\|_{L^1(-1,1)} \rightarrow 0$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 in [6] that $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$ for $n \geq 1$.

We then consider $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2)$. We use the eigenfunctions of $\lambda_n(\beta, 0)$ in Proposition 1 (iii) of [10]. Here, we rewrite $\lambda_n(\beta, c) = \lambda_n(c)$ to indicate its dependence on β if necessary. There exist $\phi_n(y) = \phi_n^{(\beta,0)}(y) = \cos^{2r}(\frac{\pi}{2}y) P_{n-1}(\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y))$, $n \geq 1$, satisfying

$$-\phi_n'' - \frac{\beta - u''}{u} \phi_n = \lambda_n(0) \phi_n \quad \text{on } (-1, 1), \quad \phi_n(\pm 1) = 0.$$

Here, $\lambda_n(\beta, 0) = \left(r + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2$, $r = \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} - \frac{\beta}{\pi^2}}$ and $P_{n-1}(\cdot)$ is a polynomial with order $n - 1$. Moreover, $\phi_n \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$ is real-valued, and we normalize it such that

$\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(-1,1)} = 1$. Then we have for $m, n \geq 1$,

$$\int_{-1}^1 \phi_n \phi_m dy = \delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq m, \end{cases} \quad \int_{-1}^1 \left(\phi'_n \phi'_m + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u} \phi_n \phi_m \right) dy = \lambda_n(0) \delta_{mn}.$$

Note that ϕ_n has $n - 1$ zeros in $(-1, 1)$, and we denote $Z_n := \{y \in (-1, 1) : \phi_n(y) = 0\} = \{a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}\}$. For any n -dimensional subspace $V = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ in $H_0^1(-1, 1)$, there exists $0 \neq (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\xi_1 \psi_1(a_{n,i}) + \dots + \xi_n \psi_n(a_{n,i}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Define $\tilde{\psi} = \xi_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \xi_n \psi_n$. Then $\tilde{\psi}(a_{n,i}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1$, i.e. $\tilde{\psi}|_{Z_n} = 0$. We normalize $\tilde{\psi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(-1,1)} = 1$. Since $\tilde{\psi} \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$, we have $\tilde{\psi}(\pm 1) = 0$. Similar to (2.3), we have $|\tilde{\psi}(y)|^2 \phi'_n(y) / \phi_n(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow a_{n,i}$ or $y \rightarrow -1^+$ or $y \rightarrow 1^-$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Integration by parts gives

$$\left\| \tilde{\psi}' - \tilde{\psi} \frac{\phi'_n}{\phi_n} \right\|_{L^2(-1,1)}^2 = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 + \frac{\phi''_n}{\phi_n} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 - \lambda_n(0) |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy.$$

If $c < 0$, then using $\beta - u'' > 0$ and $u - c > 0$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u - c} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy \geq \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy \geq \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_n(0) |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dy = \lambda_n(0).$$

This, along with (2.1), yields that $\inf_{c \in (-\infty, 0)} \lambda_n(c) \geq \lambda_n(0)$. Now, we consider the upper bound. Let $V_n = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$. Then $V_n \subset H_0^1(-1, 1)$. By (2.1), there exist $b_{i,c} \in \mathbf{R}, i = 1, \dots, n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n |b_{i,c}|^2 = 1$ such that $\varphi_c = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,c} \phi_i \in V_n$ with $\|\varphi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(c) &\leq \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2}=1, \phi \in V_n} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\varphi_c'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\varphi_c|^2 \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |b_{i,c}|^2 \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi'_i|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi_i|^2 \right) dy + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} 2b_{i,c} b_{j,c} \int_{-1}^1 \left(\phi'_i \phi'_j + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \phi_i \phi_j \right) dy \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi'_i|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} |\phi_i|^2 \right) dy + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \int_{-1}^1 \left(\phi'_i \phi'_j + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c} \phi_i \phi_j \right) dy \right| \\ &\rightarrow \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi'_i|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u} |\phi_i|^2 \right) dy + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \int_{-1}^1 \left(\phi'_i \phi'_j + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u} \phi_i \phi_j \right) dy \right| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i(0) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} 0 = \lambda_n(0), \text{ as } c \rightarrow 0^-. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the upper and lower bounds, we have $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(c) = \lambda_n(0)$ for $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2)$.

Now, we consider $\beta = \frac{9}{16}\pi^2$. By Corollary 1 (i) in [10], we have for fixed $c < 0$, $\lambda_n(\beta, c) \leq \lambda_n(\beta', c)$ if $\beta' < \beta$. As $\lambda_n(\beta', c) \geq \lambda_n(\beta', c')$ if $c < c' < 0$ (see Corollary 1 (iv) in [10]) and $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(\beta', c) = \lambda_n(\beta', 0)$, we have for fixed $\beta' \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi^2, \frac{9}{16}\pi^2)$, $\lambda_n(\beta', c) \geq \lambda_n(\beta', 0)$ if $c < 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(\beta, c) &\leq \liminf_{\beta' \rightarrow \beta^-} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(\beta', c) = \liminf_{\beta' \rightarrow \beta^-} \lambda_n(\beta', 0) = \lambda_n(\beta, 0), \\ \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(\beta, c) &= \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lim_{\beta' \rightarrow \beta^-} \lambda_n(\beta', c) \geq \lim_{\beta' \rightarrow \beta^-} \lambda_n(\beta', 0) = \lambda_n(\beta, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the left continuity of $\lambda_n(\cdot, 0)$ at $\beta = \frac{9}{16}\pi^2$. Thus, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_n(\beta, c) = \lambda_n(\beta, 0)$.

Next, we consider $\beta \in (-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2)$. By Proposition 1 (iv) in [10], there exists $\phi_n(y) = \phi_n^{(\beta,1)}(y) = |\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y)|^{2r} P_n(\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y))$ if n is odd; there exists $\phi_n(y) = \phi_n^{(\beta,1)}(y) = \text{sign}(y) |\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y)|^{2r} P_{n-1}(\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y))$ if n is even; and for $n \geq 1$,

$$-\phi_n'' - \frac{\beta - u''}{u-1}\phi_n = \lambda_n(1)\phi_n \quad \text{on } (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \phi_n(\pm 1) = 0.$$

Here, $\lambda_n(\beta, 1) = \left(\left(r - \frac{1}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \right)^2 - 1 \right) \pi^2$ and $r = \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} + \frac{\beta}{\pi^2}}$. Moreover, $\phi_n \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$ is real-valued and we normalize it such that $\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(-1,1)} = 1$. Then for $m, n \geq 1$,

$$\int_{-1}^1 \phi_n \phi_m dy = \delta_{mn}, \quad \int_{-1}^1 \left(\phi_n' \phi_m' + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-1} \phi_n \phi_m \right) dy = \lambda_n(1) \delta_{mn}.$$

If $n \geq 1$ is odd, then ϕ_n has n zeros in $(-1, 1)$, and $0 \in Z_n = \{y \in (-1, 1) : \phi_n(y) = 0\} = \{a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,n}\}$. For any $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace $V = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n+1}\}$ in $H_0^1(-1, 1)$, there exists $0 \neq (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\xi_1 \psi_1(a_{n,i}) + \dots + \xi_{n+1} \psi_{n+1}(a_{n,i}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define $\tilde{\psi} = \xi_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n+1} \psi_{n+1}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}(a_{n,i}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e. $\tilde{\psi}|_{Z_n} = 0$. We normalize $\tilde{\psi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(-1,1)} = 1$. Since $\tilde{\psi} \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$, we have $\tilde{\psi}(\pm 1) = 0$. Integration by parts gives

$$\left\| \tilde{\psi}' - \tilde{\psi} \frac{\phi_n''}{\phi_n} \right\|_{L^2(-1,1)}^2 = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 + \frac{\phi_n''}{\phi_n} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u-1} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 - \lambda_n(1) |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy.$$

If $c > 1$, then using $\beta - u'' < 0$ and $u - c < 0$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u-c} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy \geq \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\tilde{\psi}'|^2 - \frac{\beta - u''}{u-1} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 \right) dy \geq \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_n(1) |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dy = \lambda_n(1).$$

This, along with (2.1), yields that $\inf_{c \in (1, +\infty)} \lambda_{n+1}(c) \geq \lambda_n(1)$. If $n \geq 1$ is even, then $\lambda_{n+1}(c) \geq \lambda_n(c) \geq \lambda_{n-1}(1) = \lambda_n(1)$. Thus, $\inf_{c \in (1, +\infty)} \lambda_{n+1}(c) \geq \lambda_n(1)$ is always true. Now, we consider the upper bound. Let $V_{n+1} = \text{span}\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$, here $\phi_0 = \eta|_{[-1,1]}$ is defined in (2.10), and ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n are L^2 normalized eigenfunctions. Then $V_{n+1} \subset H_0^1(-1, 1)$. By (2.1), for $c > 1$, there exists $\varphi_c \in V_{n+1}$ with $\|\varphi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and

$$\lambda_{n+1}(c) \leq \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2}=1, \phi \in V_{n+1}} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\phi|^2 \right) dy = \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\varphi_c'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u-c} |\varphi_c|^2 \right) dy.$$

Since $V_{n+1} \subset H_0^1(-1, 1)$ is finite dimensional, there exist $\varphi_1 \in V_{n+1}$ and $c_m \rightarrow 1^+$ such that $\varphi_{c_m} \rightarrow \varphi_1$ in $H_0^1(-1, 1)$. Then $\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, $\int_{-1}^1 |\varphi_{c_m}'|^2 dy \rightarrow \int_{-1}^1 |\varphi_1'|^2 dy$ and

$$\lambda_{n+1}(c_m) \leq \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\varphi_{c_m}'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_m} |\varphi_{c_m}|^2 \right) dy.$$

Since $u'' - \beta > 0$ and $u - c < 0$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$ and $c > 1$, by Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_m} |\varphi_{c_m}|^2 dy \leq \int_{-1}^1 \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_m} |\varphi_{c_m}|^2 dy = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - 1} |\varphi_1|^2 dy.$$

In particular, if $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$, then

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - 1} |\varphi_1|^2 dy = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - c_m} |\varphi_{c_m}|^2 dy = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n+1}(c_m) = -\infty.$$

If $\varphi_1(0) = 0$, then $\varphi_1 \in \text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ and

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n+1}(c_m) \leq \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\varphi_1'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - 1} |\varphi_1|^2 \right) dy.$$

As $\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, there exist $b_i \in \mathbf{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 = 1$ such that $\varphi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \phi_i \in V_{n+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\varphi_1'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - 1} |\varphi_1|^2 \right) dy &= \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 \int_{-1}^1 \left(|\phi_i'|^2 + \frac{u'' - \beta}{u - 1} |\phi_i|^2 \right) dy = \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 \lambda_i(1) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i(1) = \lambda_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\varphi_1(0) = 0$, then $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n+1}(c_m) \leq \lambda_n(1)$; if $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$, this is clearly true since the limit is $-\infty$ in this case. By monotonicity of λ_n , we have $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_{n+1}(c) \leq \lambda_n(1)$.

Combining the upper and lower bounds, we have $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_{n+1}(c) = \lambda_n(1)$ for $\beta \in (-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2)$.

For $\beta = -\frac{9}{16}\pi^2$, the limits $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_{n+1}(c) = \lambda_n(1)$, $n \geq 1$, can be proved similarly as in the case $\beta = \frac{9}{16}\pi^2$. Finally, the limit $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_1(c) = -\infty$ for $\beta \in [-\frac{9}{16}\pi^2, -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2)$ follows from Theorem 2.3 (2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Z. Lin is partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-1715201 and DMS-2007457. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11425103.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Barcion, P. G. Drazin, Nonlinear waves of vorticity, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 106 (2001), 437–479.
- [2] M. Crandall, P. Rabinowitz, Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 8 (1971), 321–340.
- [3] T. M. Elgindi, K. Widmayer, Long time stability for solutions of a β -plane equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 70 (2017), 1425–1471.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988); reprint of the 1952 edition.
- [5] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1980.
- [6] Q. Kong, H. Wu, A. Zettl, Dependence of the n -th Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue on the problem, *J. Differential Equations*, 156 (1999), 328–354.
- [7] H. L. Kuo, Dynamic instability of two-dimensional non-divergent flow in a barotropic atmosphere, *J. Meteor.*, 6 (1949), 105–122.
- [8] H. L. Kuo, Dynamics of quasi-geostrophic flows and instability theory, *Adv. Appl. Mech.*, 13 (1974), 247–330.
- [9] C. Y. Li, Z. Lin, A resolution of the Sommerfeld Paradox, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 43 (2011), 1923–1954.
- [10] Z. Lin, J. Yang, H. Zhu, Barotropic instability of shear flows, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 144 (2020), 289–326.
- [11] Z. Lin, C. Zeng, Inviscid dynamic structures near Couette flow, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 200 (2011), 1075–1097.
- [12] N. Masmoudi, About the Hardy inequality. In: Schleicher D., Lackmann M. (eds) *An Invitation to Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [13] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical fluid dynamics*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1987).
- [14] F. Pusateri, K. Widmayer, On the global stability of a β -plane equation, *Anal. PDE*, 11 (2018), 1587–1624.
- [15] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. xv+396 pp.
- [16] S. I. Rosencrans, D. H. Sattinger, On the spectrum of an operator occurring in the theory of hydrodynamic stability, *J. Math. Phys.*, 45 (1966), 289–300.
- [17] C. G. Rossby, Relation between variations in the intensity of the zonal circulation of the atmosphere and the displacements of the semi-permanent centers of action, *J. Mar. Res.*, 2 (1939), 38–55.

- [18] K. K. Tung, Barotropic instability of zonal flows, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 38 (1981), 308–321.
- [19] D. Wei, Z. Zhang, H. Zhu, Linear inviscid damping for the β -plane equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 375 (2020), 127–174.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 30332, ATLANTA, GA, USA
E-mail address: `zlin@math.gatech.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `jnwdyi@163.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `z fzhang@math.pku.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, 210093, NANJING, JIANGSU, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `haozhu@nju.edu.cn`