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Abstract

We study finite non-linearizable subgroups of the plane Cremona group which potentially could be stably linearizable.

1 Introduction

Let \( k \) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Recall that by definition the Cremona group \( \text{Cr}_n(k) \) is the group of birational transformations of the projective space \( \mathbb{P}^n \) over \( k \). This group is isomorphic to the \( k \)-linear automorphism group of the field \( k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). Also note that for \( n \leq N \) there is an embedding \( \text{Cr}_n(k) \subset \text{Cr}_N(k) \) which is induced by a birational map \( \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{N-n} \). This leads us to the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** Finite subgroups \( G_1 \subset \text{Cr}_n(k) \) and \( G_2 \subset \text{Cr}_m(k) \) are stably conjugate if there exists an integer \( N \geq n, m \) such that \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are conjugate in \( \text{Cr}_N(k) \) under the embedding described above.

Recall that any embedding of a finite subgroup \( G \subset \text{Cr}_n(k) \) is induced by a biregular action on a rational variety \( X \).

**Definition 1.2.** The group \( G \) is linearizable if the embedding \( G \subset \text{Cr}_n(k) \) is induced by a linear action on \( \mathbb{P}^n \).

**Definition 1.3.** The group \( G \) is stably linearizable if \( G \) is stably conjugate to a linear action on \( \mathbb{P}^N \) for some \( N \). Equivalently, there exist a linear action of \( G \) on \( \mathbb{P}^N \), integers \( n, m \) and a \( G \)-equivariant birational map

\[
X \times \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^m
\]

such that \( G \) acts trivially on \( \mathbb{P}^n \) and \( \mathbb{P}^m \).
Remark 1.4. There are no generally accepted agreements about the definition of stable linearization and one can define it in several ways. We refer to [Pro15, Remark 2.3.3] for more definitions and details.

If \( G \) is linearizable, then it is stably linearizable. However, the converse is not true, which shows the following example suggested by V. Popov.

Example 1.5. Let \( G_1 \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \) act on a surface \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) which is defined by the equation

\[
x_0y_0z_0 = x_1y_1z_1,
\]

where \( x_i, y_i, z_i \) are homogeneous coordinates of each \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) respectively. Let the subgroup \( \mathfrak{S}_3 \) permute \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s and the subgroup \( \mathfrak{C}_2 \) permute homogeneous coordinates of each \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). On the other hand, let \( G_2 \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \subset \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C}) \) act linearly on \( Y = \mathbb{P}^2 \). V. Iskovskikh showed [Isk08] that there are no equivariant birational maps between \( X \) and \( Y \), thus the group \( \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \) has a non-linearizable embedding into \( \text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C}) \). However, in [LPR06] it is proved that the varieties \( X \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) and \( Y \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) are equivariantly birationally equivalent. The question remains open whether it is possible to multiply only by \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). Moreover, other examples are currently unknown.

Recall that a smooth projective algebraic surface \( X \) is called a del Pezzo surface if its anticanonical sheaf is ample.

In this paper we classify up to conjugation non-linearizable finite subgroups \( G \) of \( \text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) which potentially could be stably linearizable assuming that the embedding \( G \subset \text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) is induced by a biregular action on a del Pezzo surface \( X \) such that the invariant Picard number \( \rho(X)^G = 1 \). This work also contributes to the open questions from [DI09, Section 9]. Without loss of generality, we assume that \( \mathbb{k} \) is the field of complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \). The main result is the following.

**Theorem.** Let \( X \) be a del Pezzo surface and \( G \) be a finite group acting biregularly on \( X \) such that \( \rho(X)^G = 1 \). Then the group \( G \) is non-linearizable if and only if the pair \( (X, G) \) satisfies one of the following conditions:

1. \( X \) is a del Pezzo surface of degree \( \leq 3 \). ([Pro15, Theorem 1.2]);
2. \( X \) is a del Pezzo surface of degree \( 4 \) and \( G \cong \mathfrak{C}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_4 \) ([Proposition 3.18]);
3. \( X \) is a del Pezzo surface of degree \( 5 \) and \( G \cong \mathfrak{C}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{S}_5 \) (Theorem 3.20);
(4) \( X \) is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6. Then either \( G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \neq 0 \) or \( \psi (G) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \), where \( \psi: \text{Aut}(X) \to \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \) is a projection homomorphism to the discrete part of \( \text{Aut}(X) \) (Theorem 3.31);

(5) \( X \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). Then the following sequence is exact:

\[
1 \longrightarrow A \times_D A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_2 \longrightarrow 1,
\]

where \( A \cong \mathfrak{D}_n, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5 \) and \( D \) is some group (Theorem 3.25).

**Remark 1.6.** Actually if \( X \) is the del Pezzo surface of degree 3, then \( G \) is not even stably linearizable by [Pro15, Theorem 1.2].
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## 2 Preliminaries

**Notation.**

- \( \rho(X) := \text{rk} \text{Pic}(X) \) is the Picard number of an algebraic variety \( X \);
- \( \text{Aut}(X) \) is the automorphism group of \( X \);
- \( \text{Bir}(X) \) is the group of birational transformations of \( X \);
- \( \mathfrak{C}_n \) is the cyclic group of order \( n \);
- \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) is the symmetric group on \( n \) elements;
- \( \mathfrak{A}_n \) is the alternating group on \( n \) elements;
- \( \mathfrak{D}_n \) is the dihedral group of order \( 2n \);
- \( G \times_D H \) is the fiber product of groups \( G \) and \( H \) over a group \( D \), i.e.

\[
G \times_D H := \{(g,h) \in G \times H \mid \phi(g) = \psi(h)\},
\]

where \( \phi: G \to D \) and \( \psi: H \to D \) are epimorphisms.
2.1 $G$-varieties

**Definition 2.7.** Let $X$ be an algebraic variety and $G$ be a group. According to Yu. Manin [Man67] we will say that $X$ is a $G$-variety if $G$ is finite and its action on $X$ is defined by the homomorphism $\theta: G \to \text{Aut}(X)$.

Usually $G$-variety are denoted as $(X, \theta)$, $(X, G)$ or simply $X$ when it does not cause confusion.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $(X, \theta)$, $(X', \theta')$ be $G$-varieties. A morphism $f: X \to X'$ (resp. a rational map $f: X \dasharrow X'$) is a $G$-morphism (resp. a $G$-rational map) between the $G$-varieties if $\theta'(g) \circ f = f \circ \theta(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

**Definition 2.9.** We say that the $G$-varieties $(X, \theta)$ and $(X', \theta')$ are $G$-stably birationally equivalent if there exist integers $n, m$ and a $G$-birational map $X \times \mathbb{P}^n \dasharrow X' \times \mathbb{P}^m$, where $G$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^m$.

One can easily see that two subgroups $G$ and $G' \cong G$ of $\text{Aut}(X)$ define isomorphic (resp. birationally equivalent) $G$-varieties, if and only if these subgroups are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(X)$ (resp. in $\text{Bir}(X)$).

**Definition 2.10.** Let $X$ and $X_{\text{min}}$ be $G$-varieties. We say that $X_{\text{min}}$ is a $G$-minimal model of $X$ if there is a $G$-birational morphism $X \to X_{\text{min}}$ and any $G$-birational morphism $X_{\text{min}} \to Y$ is a $G$-isomorphism.

Let $G \subset \text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup. After a $G$-equivariant resolution of indeterminacy we may assume that $G$ acts biregularly on some rational smooth projective surface $X$.

**Theorem 2.11** ([Isk79]). Let $X$ be a rational smooth projective $G$-surface and let $X_{\text{min}}$ be its $G$-minimal model. Then $X_{\text{min}}$ is one of the following:

1. $X_{\text{min}}$ is a del Pezzo surface with $\rho(X_{\text{min}})^G = 1$;
2. $X_{\text{min}}$ admits a structure of $G$-conic bundle, i.e. there exists a surjective $G$-equivariant morphism $f: X_{\text{min}} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f_*, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{min}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, the divisor $-K_{X_{\text{min}}}$ is $f$-ample and $\rho(X_{\text{min}})^G = 2$.

We will call the surfaces with the described conditions above as $G$-minimal or just minimal if the group is clear from the context.

As was mentioned above, the pair $(X, G)$ up to a $G$-birational equivalence corresponds to a conjugacy class of $G$ in $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$. By Theorem 2.11 we may assume that $(X, G)$ is the $G$-minimal del Pezzo surface or the $G$-minimal conic bundle. In this paper we will study the case of $G$-minimal del Pezzo surfaces only, i.e. the case when $\rho(X)^G = 1$. 
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2.2 \textit{G}-Sarkisov links

From now on, let \((X, G)\) be a smooth projective \(G\)-variety and the action of the group \(G\) is faithful. Our main tool in this paper will be \textit{G-Sarkisov links}. Recall that the \(G\)-Sarkisov links or the \(G\)-links are elementary \(G\)-birational transformations of four types. Any \(G\)-link from the \(G\)-minimal surface \(X\) is defined by its center which is a 0-dimensional \(G\)-orbit of length \(d < K^2_X\). We refer to \cite{Isk96} for more details. Also note that all the \(G\)-Sarkisov links are classified \cite[Theorem 2.6]{Isk96}. We will use the following important result.

\textbf{Theorem 2.12} (\cite[Theorem 2.5]{Isk96}). Any \(G\)-birational map between rational \(G\)-minimal projective surfaces can be factorized in a sequence of the \(G\)-Sarkisov links.

2.3 \textit{G}-stable birational invariants

2.3.1 Amitsur subgroup

Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be a line bundle on \(X\) with total space \(L\) and \(\pi : L \to X\) be a structure morphism.

\textbf{Definition 2.13.} A \textit{G-linearization} of \(\mathcal{L}\) is an action of \(G\) on \(\mathcal{L}\) such that:

1. the structure morphism \(\pi\) is \(G\)-equivariant;
2. the action is linear on its fibers, i.e. for any \(g \in G\) and \(x \in X\) the map on the fibers \(L_x \to L_{g \cdot x}\) is linear.

Denote by \(\text{Pic} (X,G)\) the group of the \(G\)-linearized line bundles on \(X\) up to isomorphism. Then one has the following exact sequence (see \cite[Section 6]{BCDP18} for more details):

\[
1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom} (G, \mathbb{C}^*) \longrightarrow \text{Pic} (X,G) \longrightarrow \text{Pic} (X)^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2 (G, \mathbb{C}^*) .
\]

According \cite{BCDP18} we define the \textit{Amitsur subgroup} of \(X\) as follows

\[
\text{Am} (X,G) := \text{im} (\delta : \text{Pic} (X)^G \to H^2 (G, \mathbb{C}^*)) .
\]

The Amitsur subgroup is a \(G\)-birational invariant \cite[Theorem 6.1]{BCDP18}. Using this fact it is easy to prove that \(\text{Am} (X,G)\) is actually a \(G\)-stable birational invariant.

\textbf{Theorem 2.14.} Let \(X, Y\) be smooth projective \(G\)-stably birationally equivalent \(G\)-varieties. Then

\[
\text{Am} (X,G) \cong \text{Am} (Y,G) .
\]
Proof. By assumption, there exist integers \(n, m\) and a \(G\)-birational map \(X \times \mathbb{P}^n \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^m\). So, \(\text{Am}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n, G) \cong \text{Am}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^m, G)\). Also,

\[
\text{Pic}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n)^G \cong \text{Pic}(X)^G \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)]^G.
\]

Consequently, \(\text{Am}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n, G) \cong \text{Am}(X, G) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)])\). Since the action of \(G\) is trivial on \(\mathbb{P}^n\) by assumption, we have \(\delta([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)]) = 0\). Therefore, \(\text{Am}(X, G \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cong \text{Am}(X, G)\).

2.3.2 The \(H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))\) group

The action of \(G\) on \(X\) induces an action on \(\text{Pic}(X)\). Hence, one can consider the first cohomology group \(H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))\). As in the arithmetic case, it is an obstruction to the stable linearization.

Proposition 2.15 ([BP13, Proposition 2.5]). Let \(X, Y\) be smooth projective \(G\)-stably birational \(G\)-varieties. Then there exist permutation \(G\)-modules \(\Pi_1, \Pi_2\) such that the following isomorphism of \(G\)-modules holds

\[
\text{Pic}(X) \oplus \Pi_1 \cong \text{Pic}(Y) \oplus \Pi_2.
\]

Consequently,

\[
H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \cong H^1(G, \text{Pic}(Y)).
\]

Corollary 2.16 ([BP13, Corollary 2.5.2]). In the above notation if \(G\) is stably linearizable, then \(H^1(G', \text{Pic}(X)) = 0\) for any subgroup \(G' \subseteq G\).

Theorem 2.17 ([Pro15, Theorem 1.2]). Let \((X, G)\) be a minimal del Pezzo surface. Then the following are equivalent:

1. \(H^1(G', \text{Pic}(X)) = 0\) for any subgroup \(G' \subseteq G\);

2. any nontrivial element of \(G\) does not fix a curve of positive genus;

3. either

   a. \(K_X^2 \geq 5\), or
   b. \(X \subset \mathbb{P}^4\) is a quartic del Pezzo surface given by

   \[
x_0^3 + \zeta_3 x_1^2 + \zeta_3^2 x_2^2 + x_3^2 = x_0^2 + \zeta_3 x_1^2 + \zeta_3^2 x_2^2 + x_4^2 = 0,
   \]

   where \(\zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3)\) and \(G \cong \mathbb{C}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{C}_4\) is generated by

   \[
   \gamma: (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4) \mapsto (x_1 : x_2 : x_0 : \zeta_3 x_3 : \zeta_3^2 x_4);
   \]

   \[
   \beta: (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4) \mapsto (x_0 : x_2 : x_1 : -x_4 : x_3).
   \]
3 G-minimal del Pezzo surfaces

Let us start to prove the main result. The proof will be divided into four parts. We will discuss the G-minimal del Pezzo surfaces of each degree separately. Due to a proof’s structure the cases will be considered in the following order: degree 4, degree 5, degree 8 and degree 6.

As was noted, if the group $G$ admits regularization on a del Pezzo surface of degree $\leq 3$, then $G$ is not stably linearizable by Theorem 2.17. Also, a del Pezzo surface of degree 7 and the blowup of a point on $\mathbb{P}^2$ are never $G$-minimal.

3.1 Del Pezzo surface of degree 4

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the quartic del Pezzo surface from Theorem 2.17 and the group $G \cong \mathcal{C}_3 \rtimes \mathcal{C}_4$.

Proposition 3.18. In the above notation $G$ is non-linearizable.

Proof. We will describe all $G$-birational models of $X$ using the $G$-links. Firstly, we find the $G$-orbits of length $< 4$.

There is a unique $G$-fixed point $(1 : 1 : 1 : 0 : 0)$, the blowup of which is a cubic surface with a $G$-minimal conic bundle structure. Then there exist only the inverse $G$-link to $X$ and birational transformations of the conic bundle structure which preserve the degree of the surface by [Isk96, Theorem 2.6]. Consequently, the $G$-link from the $G$-fixed point does not yield a $G$-birational map from $X$ to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

There are no orbits of length 2. An orbit of length 3 does exist, it is unique and has the following form:

$$\{(−1 : 1 : 1 : 0 : 0), (1 : 1 : −1 : 0 : 0) (1 : −1 : 1 : 0 : 0)\}.$$

The $G$-link corresponding to this orbit is the Bertini involution, which brings us again to the del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4.

Thus, any sequence of $G$-links from $X$ leads us either to the $G$-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4 or to the $G$-minimal conic bundle of degree 3. Hence, the group $G$ is non-linearizable.

3.2 Del Pezzo surface of degree 5

Let $X$ be a del Pezzo surface of degree 5. Recall that points $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are in a general position on a del Pezzo surface $S$ if the blowup of $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ is also a del Pezzo surface.
Theorem 3.19 ([DI09, Theorem 6.4]). Let \((X, G)\) be minimal. Then
\[
G \cong \mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{D}_5, \mathcal{C}_5 \times \mathcal{C}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{S}_5.
\]

Theorem 3.20. Let \((X, G)\) be minimal. Then \(G\) is non-linearizable if and only if \(G \cong \mathcal{C}_5 \times \mathcal{C}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{S}_5\).

Proof. The groups \(\mathcal{C}_5 \times \mathcal{C}_4, \mathfrak{S}_5\) do not act linearly on \(\mathbb{P}^2\), consequently they are non-linearizable.

Let \(G \cong \mathfrak{A}_5\). The action of \(G\) has no fixed points on \(X\), otherwise \(\mathfrak{A}_5\) would act faithfully on the tangent space at the \(G\)-fixed point, which is impossible since \(\mathfrak{A}_5\) does not have a 2-dimensional faithful representations. Also, there are no orbits of length \(\leq 4\), since \(\mathfrak{A}_5\) has no subgroups of index \(\leq 4\). Thus, there are no \(G\)-Sarkisov links from \(X\). Hence, \(\mathfrak{A}_5\) is non-linearizable.

Let \(G \cong \mathcal{D}_5\). We will construct a \(G\)-birational map from \(\mathbb{P}^2\) to \(X\). Recall that \(\mathcal{D}_5 \cong \mathcal{C}_5 \times \mathcal{C}_2\). Let us consider such action of \(G\) on \(\mathbb{P}^2\) that a \(\mathcal{C}_5\)-orbit of a \(\mathcal{C}_2\)-fixed point is a set of five points in a general position. The blowup of these five points is a del Pezzo surface \(X_4\) of degree 4 with \(\rho(X_4)^G = 2\). Notice that a proper transform of a conic passing through the \(\mathcal{C}_5\)-orbit of the \(\mathcal{C}_2\)-fixed point is a \(G\)-invariant \((-1)\)-curve. So, it can be \(G\)-equivariantly contracted and as a result we get \(X\) with \(\rho(X)^G = 1\). Since all subgroups which are isomorphic to \(\mathcal{D}_5\) are conjugated in \(\text{Aut}(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5\), we are done. Similar arguments show that \(\mathcal{C}_5\) is linearizable too. \(\square\)

3.3 Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 8

Let us consider \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) with an action of \(G\) such that \(\rho(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G = 1\). It is well known that
\[
\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong (\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \times \mathcal{C}_2,
\]
where two copies of \(\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})\) act on each \(\mathbb{P}^1\) and \(\mathcal{C}_2\) swaps factors in \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\). Denote by \(\pi\) a projection homomorphism:
\[
\pi: \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathcal{C}_2.
\]

We will use the following result from the group theory.

Theorem 3.21 (Goursat’s Lemma). Let \(A_1, A_2, B\) be finite groups such that \(B \subseteq A_1 \times A_2\) and projection maps to each \(A_i\) are surjective. Then
\[
B \cong A_1 \times_D A_2,
\]
for some group \(D\).
According [?] we prove the following.

**Theorem 3.22.** Let \((\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, G)\) be minimal. Then the following sequence is exact:

\[
1 \longrightarrow A \times_D A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow 1,
\]

where \(A \cong \mathfrak{C}_n, \mathfrak{D}_n, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{S}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5\) and \(D\) is some group.

**Proof.** Consider a subgroup

\[G_0 = G \cap (\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})).\]

It acts naturally on \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) and does not swap the factors. Let \(A_i\) be an image of \(G\) under the projection of \(\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})\) on the \(i\)-th factor. If the groups \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are not isomorphic, then \(G = G_0\) and \(\rho(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G = 2\); a contradiction with minimality. So, \(A_1 \cong A_2 \cong A\), where \(A\) is a finite subgroup of \(\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})\), i.e. \(A \cong \mathfrak{C}_n, \mathfrak{D}_n, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{S}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5\). Now we are done by Goursat’s Lemma.

One can easily see that \(\text{Am}(\mathbb{P}^2, G)\) is either \(\mathfrak{C}_3\) or trivial [BCDP18, Proposition 6.7].

**Lemma 3.23.** In the above notation if \(A\) has no 2-dimensional faithful representations, then \(G\) is non-linearizable.

**Proof.** Notice that \(G\) has a subgroup

\[\{(a, a) \in A \times_D A \mid a \in A\} \cong A.\]

Consider \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) with a diagonal action of \(A\). Since \(A\) has no 2-dimensional faithful representations, then \(\text{Am}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, A) \cong \mathfrak{C}_2\). Consequently, \(A\) is non-linearizable by Theorem 2.14, so \(G\) too.

Therefore, it remains to consider only cases when \(A\) is isomorphic either to \(\mathfrak{C}_n\) or \(\mathfrak{D}_n\). Let us first discuss which \(G\)-links exist from \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\).

Any \(G\)-link with center at \(d \neq 1, 3, 5\) points is either a \(G\)-birational self-map of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) or a transformation of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) to a \(G\)-minimal conic bundle by [Isk96, Theorem 2.6]. If the \(G\)-link transforms \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) to the \(G\)-minimal conic bundle, then all the following \(G\)-links are either the transformations of the \(G\)-minimal conic bundle structure, i.e. do not change the degree of the surface, or bring us back to \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) with \(\rho(X)^G = 1\). Thus, the \(G\)-links with such centers do not lead us to a \(G\)-birational map to \(\mathbb{P}^2\).

The \(G\)-link with center at one point is a \(G\)-birational map to \(\mathbb{P}^2\). Indeed, it is a composition the blowup of the \(G\)-fixed point and a \(G\)-contraction of \((-1)\)-curves.
The $G$-link with center at three points determines a $G$-birational map to a del Pezzo surface $X_6$ of degree 6. More precisely, let $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1,1)|$ be a curve passing through the $G$-orbit of length 3. We blowup this $G$-orbit and $G$-contract a proper transform of $C$. Thereby we get a $G$-birational map from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to $X_6$. But the $G$-links from $X_6$ are either $G$-birational selfmaps or bring us back to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by [Isk96, Theorem 2.6]. Thus, the $G$-link with center at three point does not lead us to a $G$-birational map to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Finally, the $G$-link with center at five points is a $G$-birational map to the del Pezzo surface of degree 5. Thus, for linearizability of $G$ it is necessary either the $G$-fixed point or the orbit of length five.

Lemma 3.24. Let $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, G)$ be minimal. Then $G$ is linearizable if and only if $A \cong \mathfrak{C}_n$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Let $A \cong \mathfrak{C}_n$. Choose $\tau \in G \setminus (\mathfrak{C}_n \times D \mathfrak{C}_n)$. By definition $\tau$ swaps projection morphisms

$$\pi_i: \mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{(i)},$$

i.e.

$$\pi_2 \circ \tau = F \circ \pi_1,$$

where $F: \mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{(2)}$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$\pi_1 \circ \tau = F^{-1} \circ \pi_2 \circ \tau^2.$$

Notice that $\tau^2 \in \mathfrak{C}_n \times D \mathfrak{C}_n$, i.e. $\tau^2 (x, y) = (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(y))$, where $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_{(i)}$. Consequently,

$$\tau (x, y) = (F^{-1} \circ \xi_2(y), F(x)).$$

Thereby,

$$\tau^2 (x, y) = (F^{-1} \circ \xi_2 \circ F(x), \xi_2(y));$$

$$\xi_1 = F^{-1} \circ \xi_2 \circ F.$$

Identify $\mathbb{P}^1_{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{(2)}$ by $F$. Then $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi$, so $\tau (x, y) = (\xi(y), x)$. By the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem there exist a $\mathfrak{C}_n$-fixed point $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore, the point $(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is fixed by $\tau$. Consequently, $(\alpha, \alpha)$ is a $G$-fixed point and the $G$-link with center at this point yields the $G$-birational map between $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^2$. Thus, $G$ is linearizable.

Let $A \cong \mathfrak{D}_n$. Since the action of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ has no fixed points on $\mathbb{P}^1$, the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has no too. Hence, for linearizability of $G$ it is necessary to have the orbit of length 5 which defines the $G$-link to the del Pezzo surface.
of degree 5. So, $G$ is isomorphic either to $C_5$ or $D_5$ by Theorem 3.20. But the group $G$ is non-abelian, consequently $G$ is not isomorphic to $C_5$.

We claim that $G$ is also not isomorphic to $D_5$. Indeed, by Theorem 3.22 the following sequence is exact:

$$1 \rightarrow D_n \times_D D_n \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow 1.$$ 

We see that $D_n \times_D D_n$ must be isomorphic to $C_5$. However, it is impossible, since $D_n \times_D D_n$ contains a diagonal subgroup $D_n$.

Finally, combining Theorem 3.22, Lemma 3.23 and Lemma 3.24, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.25.** Let $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, G)$ be minimal. Then the following sequence is exact:

$$1 \rightarrow A \times_D A \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow 1,$$

where $A \cong C_n$, $D_n$, $A_4$, $S_4$, $A_5$ and $D$ is some group. Moreover, $G$ is non-linearizable if and only if $A \cong D_n$, $A_4$, $S_4$, $A_5$.

### 3.4 Del Pezzo surface of degree 6

Let $X$ be a del Pezzo surface of degree 6. Without loss of generality, we regard $X$ as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in points

$$p_1 = (1 : 0 : 0), p_2 = (0 : 1 : 0), p_3 = (0 : 0 : 1).$$

Exceptional curves and proper transforms of lines passing through pairs of the blownup points combinatorially form a hexagon. These are exactly all the lines on $X$. Recall that $X$ can be defined in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by the equation

$$x_0y_0z_0 = x_1y_1z_1,$$

where $x_i$, $y_i$, $z_i$ are homogeneous coordinates of each $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively.

Let $W \cong S_3 \times C_2$ be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, where $S_3$ acts as a lift of permutations of coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $C_2$ acts as a lift of the standard quadratic involution on $\mathbb{P}^2$ which is defined as follows

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \rightarrow [x_1x_2 : x_0x_2 : x_0x_1].$$

**Theorem 3.26** ([?], Theorem 6.4.2). In the above notation

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \rtimes W.$$
Denote by $\psi$ a projection homomorphism:

$$\psi: \text{Aut}(X) \to W.$$ 

A straightforward computation yields the following.

**Proposition 3.27.** Let $(X, G)$ be minimal. Then

$$\psi(G) \cong C_6, \, S_{3}^{tw}, \, S_3 \times C_2,$$

where $S_{3}^{tw}$ is $S_3$ twisted by the standard quadratic involution in $W$.

**Lemma 3.28.** Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup such that $\psi(G) \cong C_6$ and $G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 = 0$. Then $G$ is linearizable.

**Proof.** We will construct a $G$-birational map between $X$ and $\mathbb{P}^2$ as a composition of two $G$-links. By the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed-point formula the action of $G$ has a fixed point on $X$. We claim that this fixed point is in a general position. Indeed, if not, then the $G$-fixed point must lie on an intersection of all $(-1)$-curves on $X$, since $C_6$ cyclically permutes the hexagon of the $(-1)$-curves. However, this intersection is empty. Contradiction. Then denote by $x$ an image of the $G$-fixed point on $\mathbb{P}^2$ under the blowup $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$.

The blowup of the point $x$ and a $G$-contraction of proper transforms of each line passing through $x$ and $p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ yield a $G$-link from $X$ to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Again by the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed-point formula we there exists a $G$-fixed point on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. However, the $G$-link from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with center at the point gives a $G$-birational map to $\mathbb{P}^2$ as we discussed earlier. Thus, the composition of two built $G$-links gives the $G$-birational map from $X$ to $\mathbb{P}^2$. So, $G$ is linearizable. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3.29.** Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup such that $\psi(G) \cong S_{3}^{tw}$ and $G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 = 0$. Then the following holds:

1) all such the subgroups are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(X)$;

2) $G$ is linearizable.

**Proof.** 1) It is sufficient to consider the action of $G$ on the torus $xyz = 1$. Denote by $c_2$ and $c_3$ generators of $G$ of orders 2 and 3 respectively. Firstly, conjugating $G$ we can consider that $c_3$ is as follows

$$c_3: (x; y) \mapsto \left( y; \frac{1}{xy} \right).$$
Since $c_3^{-1} = c_2c_3c_2^{-1}$ holds, $c_2$ is as follows
\[
c_2: \ (x; y) \mapsto \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{y}; \frac{\zeta_4}{x} \right),
\]
where $\zeta_3$ is a cubic root of unity. Then we are done by conjugating $G$ by
\[
(x; y) \mapsto \left( \sqrt[3]{\zeta_3}x; \sqrt[3]{\zeta_3}y \right).
\]

2) Now we can consider that up to conjugation the action of $G$ on $X$ is generated by the lift from $\mathbb{P}^2$ of the following birational transformations
\[
[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \rightarrow [x_0x_2 : x_1x_2 : x_0x_1];
\]
\[
[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \rightarrow [x_1 : x_2 : x_0].
\]
Thus, a preimage of the point $[1 : 1 : 1]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ under the blowup $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a $G$-fixed point on $X$. Thereby, the $G$-link started at this $G$-fixed point determines the $G$-birational map to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as we saw in Lemma 3.28 Then one can easily see that by Theorem 3.22 the following sequence is exact:
\[
1 \longrightarrow C_3 \times C_3 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \pi C_2 \longrightarrow 1.
\]
So, $G$ is linearizable by Theorem 3.25.

**Lemma 3.30.** Let $G \subset \text{Aut} (X)$ be a group such that $\psi (G) \cong W$ and $G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 = 0$. Then there exists a $G$-fixed point in a general position on $X$ if and only if $G$ is conjugate to $W$ in $\text{Aut} (X)$.

**Proof.** As above, we can assume that up to conjugation an action of $G$ on the torus is generated by
\[
c_2: \ (x; y) \mapsto (y; x);
\]
\[
c_3: \ (x; y) \mapsto (y; \frac{1}{xy});
\]
\[
\tau: \ (x; y) \mapsto \left( \frac{\alpha}{x}; \frac{\beta}{y} \right),
\]
where $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Then a straightforward computation shows that the action of $G$ has the fixed point if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \zeta_3$. Thus again, we are done by conjugating $G$ by
\[
(x; y) \mapsto \left( \sqrt[3]{\zeta_3}x; \sqrt[3]{\zeta_3}y \right).
\]
Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section. As was noted in Example 1.5 the group $W$ is non-linearizable.

**Theorem 3.31.** Let $(X, G)$ be minimal. Then $G$ is non-linearizable if and only if either $G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \neq 0$ or $\psi(G) \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{C}_2$.

**Proof.** As we discussed earlier any $G$-link with center at more than one point on $X$ is the $G$-birational selfmap [Isk96, Theorem 2.6], but the $G$-link with center at one point gives the $G$-birational map to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which was constructed in Lemma 3.28. Hence, for the linearizability of $G$ it is necessary to have a $G$-fixed point in a general position.

Let $G_0 = G \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. For each $G$ the following sequence is exact:

$$1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow G \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} H \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $H \cong \mathbb{C}_6, \mathbb{S}_3^{tw}, \mathbb{S}_3 \times \mathbb{C}_2$. Any nontrivial element of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ can have the fixed points only on the exceptional set. But such points are not in the general position, so we cannot start the $G$-links in such centers. Thus, $G_0 = 0$ and consequently $G \cong H$.

If $H \cong \mathbb{C}_6$, then $G$ is linearizable by Lemma 3.28. If $G \cong \mathbb{S}_3^{tw}$, then $G$ is linearizable by Lemma 3.29. If $H \cong \mathbb{S}_3 \times \mathbb{C}_2$, then $G$ is non-linearizable by Lemma 3.30 and Example 1.5. \[\square\]
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