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Abstract

We show that, for every set of \( n \) points in \( d \)-dimensional unit cube, there is an empty axis-parallel box of volume at least \( \Omega(d/n) \). In the opposite direction, we give a construction without an empty axis-parallel box of volume \( O(d^2 \log d/n) \). These improve on the previous best bounds of \( \Omega(\log d/n) \) and \( O(2^{7d}/n) \) respectively.

1 Introduction

Dispersion. A box is a Cartesian product of open intervals. Given a set \( P \subset [0,1]^d \), we say that a box \( B = (a_1,b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_d,b_d) \) is empty if \( B \cap P = \emptyset \). Let \( m_d(n) \) be the largest number such that every \( n \)-point set \( P \subset [0,1]^d \) admits an empty box of volume at least \( m_d(n) \).

The quantity \( m(P) \) is called the dispersion of \( P \). The motivation for estimating \( m_d(n) \) came independently in several subjects. The earliest occurrence is probably in the work Rote and Tichy [8] who were motivated by the relations to \( \varepsilon \)-nets in discrete geometry on one hand, and with the relations to discrepancy theory on the other. The dispersion also arose in the problem of estimating rank-one tensors [2, 7] and in Marcinkiewicz-type discretizations [10].

The obvious bound \( m_d(n) \geq 1/(n+1) \) was observed in several works, including [4, 2, 8]. The first non-trivial lower bound of \( m_d(n) \geq 5/(4(n+5)) \) for \( d \geq 2 \) is due to Dumitrescu and Jiang [3]. In [4] they proved, for fixed \( b \) and \( d \), that \( (n+1)m_d(n) \geq (b+1)m_d(b) - o(1) \), which implies that the limit \( c_d \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} nm_d(n) \) exists. Indeed, for each \( b \), \( \lim\inf(n+1)m_d(n) \geq (b+1)m_d(b) \), and therefore \( \lim\inf(n+1)m_d(n) \geq \lim\sup(n+1)m_d(n) \).

The best lower bound on \( m_d(n) \) for fixed \( d \) is due to Aistleitner, Hinrichs and Rudolf [1], which is \( c_d \geq \frac{1}{4}\log_2 d \). In the same paper they present a proof, due to Larcher, that \( c_d \leq 2^{7d+1} \). In this note we show that the correct dependence on \( d \) is neither logarithmic nor exponential, but polynomial.

Theorem 1. The dispersion of \( n \)-point sets in \([0,1]^d\) satisfies

\[
m_d(n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2d}{e}(1 - 4dn^{-1/d}) \quad \text{for all } d \text{ and all } n.
\]

∗Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. Supported in part by U.S. taxpayers through NSF CAREER grant DMS-1555149. Email: bbukh@math.cmu.edu, tchao2@andrew.cmu.edu
Theorem 2. For every \( d \geq 3 \) and every \( n \geq 1 \), there is a set of at most \( n \) points in \([0,1]^d\) for which the largest empty box has volume at most \( 8000d^2 \log d/n \).

For very large \( n \), we have a slightly better lower bound.

Theorem 3. Suppose numbers \( 0 < T < R_0 \) satisfy \( R_0 - T < \log \frac{R_0}{T} \). Then

\[
c_d \geq R_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2d}(R_0 - T)\right).
\]

In particular, \( c_d \geq \frac{2d}{e}(1 + e^{-2d}) \) for all \( d \), and \( c_2 \geq 1.50476 \).

This improves on the aforementioned bound of \( c_2 \geq \frac{5}{4} \) by Dumitrescu–Jiang. Very recently the upper bound of \( c_2 \leq 1.8945 \) was proved by Kritzinger and Wiart [6].

2 Proofs of the lower bounds (Theorems 1 and 3)

Averaging argument. We first give a simple argument for Theorem 1. We will then show how to modify that argument to get Theorem 3. We start with the common part of the two arguments.

Let \( R_0 > 0 \) be a parameter to be chosen later, and set \( \delta \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(R_0/n)^{1/d} \). Let \( f : [0,R_0] \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) be some weight function. We postpone the actual choice of \( f \) until later. We adopt the convention that \( f(R_0) = 0 \) if \( R \geq R_0 \).

Let \( B \) be the cube of volume \( R_0/n \) centered at the origin, i.e., \( B \overset{\text{def}}{=} [-\delta, \delta]^d \). Using \( f \), we define a function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) by

\[
F(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} f(2^d r^d n) \quad \text{for} \quad \|x\|_\infty = r.
\]

Because \( f \) vanishes outside \([0,R_0]\), the function \( F \) is supported on \( B \). Put \( M \overset{\text{def}}{=} n \int F \). Note that

\[
\int_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{p \in P'} F(p) = \sum_{p \in P} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(p - t) = \sum_{p \in P} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) = M,
\]

it follows that there exists \( t \in [\delta,1-\delta]^d \) such that

\[
\sum_{p \in P' - t} F(p) \leq M/(1 - 2\delta)^d. \tag{2}
\]

It suffices to find a large box inside \( B \) that is empty with respect to the set \( P' \overset{\text{def}}{=} (P - t) \cap B \), for then we may obtain an empty box of the same volume inside \([0,1]^d\) after translating by \( t \).

To find the empty box, we trim the sides off \( B \). Namely, for each point \( p \in P' \) there is a coordinate of largest absolute value. If there is more than one such direction, break the tie arbitrarily. Call this coordinate dominant for \( p \). For each \( i \in [d] \), put

\[
a_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \min\{-p_i : i \text{ is dominant for } p \in P' \text{ and } p_i \leq 0\},
\]

\[
b_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \min\{ p_i : i \text{ is dominant for } p \in P' \text{ and } p_i \geq 0\}.
\]
Should the set in the definition of \(a_i\) be empty, we put \(a_i = -\delta\). Similarly, should the set in the definition of \(b_i\) be empty, we put \(b_i = \delta\). The box

\[ B' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (-a_i, b_i) \]

is evidently disjoint from \(P - t\) and is contained in \(B\).

**Lemma 4.** The volume of \(B'\) is at least \(\frac{R_0}{n} \prod_{p \in P'} \sqrt{\frac{\|p\|_\infty}{\delta}}\).

**Proof.** Fix any coordinate \(i \in [d]\).

Suppose first that the two sets in definitions of \(a_i\) and \(b_i\) are non-empty. Let \(p, q \in P - t\) be the points such that \(a_i = p_i\) and \(b_i = q_i\). By the AM–GM inequality

\[ \frac{a_i + b_i}{2\delta} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\delta}} = \sqrt{\frac{\|p\|_\infty}{\delta}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\|q\|_\infty}{\delta}}. \]

(3)

Suppose next that only one of the two sets in the definitions of \(a_i\) and \(b_i\) is non-empty. Say \(a_i = p_i\) for some \(p \in P - t\) and \(b_i = \delta\) (the other case being symmetric). Then by the similar application of the AM–GM inequality we obtain

\[ \frac{a_i + b_i}{2\delta} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\|q\|_\infty}{\delta}}. \]

(4)

By taking the product of (3) and (4) as appropriate over all \(i \in [d]\), and noting that every point has only one dominant coordinate, we obtain

\[ \text{vol } B' = (2\delta)^d \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{a_i + b_i}{2\delta} \geq (2\delta)^d \prod_{p \in P'} \sqrt{\frac{\|p\|_\infty}{\delta}}. \]

\(\square\)

**Simple weight function (proof of Theorem 1).** The simplest choice of the constant \(R_0\) and weight function \(f\) is

\[ R_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2d, \]

\[ f(R) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \log \frac{R_0}{R}. \]

With this choice, \(M = \int_0^{R_0} f(R) \, dR = R_0\) and \(F(x) = d \log \frac{\delta}{\|x\|_\infty}\) on \(B\). So, we may combine (2) with Lemma 4 to obtain

\[ \text{vol } B' \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{p \in P'} \log \frac{\delta}{\|p\|_\infty} \right) = \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{p \in P'} F(p) \right) \]

\[ \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2d} M (1 - 2\delta)^{-d} \right) = \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -\left( 1 - (R_0/n)^{1/d} \right)^{-d} \right) \]

\[ \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -(1 - 2n^{-1/d})^{-d} \right) \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left( -(1 - 2dn^{-1/d})^{-1} \right). \]

Using \(\exp(-(1 - x)^{-1}) = e^{-1} \cdot \exp(-x - x^2 - \cdots) \geq e^{-1} \cdot (1 - x - x^2 - \cdots) \geq e^{-1}(1 - 2x)\) for \(x \in [0, 1/2]\), we may deduce that

\[ \text{vol } B' \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2d}{e} (1 - 4dn^{-1/d}). \]
**Better weight function (proof of Theorem 3).** Let $T$ and $R_0$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3. Since the aim is to prove a bound on $c_d$, we may assume that $n$ is sufficiently large. Define

$$f(R) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \log \frac{R_0}{R} & \text{if } R \leq T, \\ \log \frac{R_0}{T} & \text{if } T < R \leq R_0. \end{cases}$$ (5)

It is readily computed that $M = R_0 - T$. Since $(1 - 2\delta)^d \to 1$, it follows that $M/(1 - 2\delta)^d \to \log \frac{R_0}{T}$, for large enough $n$. Because of (2), this implies that for no point $x \in P'$ does it hold that $R \leq T$, where $R = 2^dn\|x\|_{\infty}$. So, $F(x) = d\log \frac{\delta}{\|x\|_{\infty}}$ for all $x \in P' \cap B$. So, we may proceed as before to obtain

$$\text{vol } B' \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{p \in P'} F(p)\right) \geq \frac{R_0}{n} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2d} M(1 - 2\delta)^{-d}\right).$$

Taking the limit $n \to \infty$, the bounds on $c_d$ follows.

The bound $c_d \geq \frac{2d}{d}(1 + e^{-2d})$ is obtained by choosing $R_0 = 2d$ and $T = R_0 \exp(-R_0)$. The bound $c_2 \geq 1.50476$ is obtained by choosing $R_0 = 3.69513$ and $T_0 = 0.101622$.

### 3 Proof of the upper bound (Theorem 2)

**Construction outline.** Our construction is a modification of the Hilton–Hammerseley construction. As in the Hilton–Hammerseley construction, we will select primes $p_1, \ldots, p_d$, each of which is associated to respective coordinate direction. As in the Hilton–Hammerseley construction we will be interested in canonical boxes, which are the boxes$^1$ of the form

$$B = \prod_{i=1}^d \left[ \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}}, \frac{a_i + 1}{p_i^{k_i}} \right).$$

for some integers $0 \leq a_i < p_i^{k_i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$.

For a prime $p$ and a nonnegative integer $x$, consider the base-$p$ expansion of the number $x$, say $x = x_0 + x_1p + \cdots + x_{\ell}p^\ell$. Put $r_p(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} x_0p^{-1} + x_1p^{-2} + \cdots + x_\ell p^{-\ell-1}$; note that $r_p(x)$ is the number in $[0,1)$ obtained by reversing the base-$p$ digits of $x$. Define the function $r: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to [0,1]^d$ by

$$r(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (r_{p_1}(x), \ldots, r_{p_d}(x)).$$

Our construction is broken into two stages. The set that we construct in the first stage is an $r$-image of a certain subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (Note that the usual Hilton–Hammerseley construction is the $r$-image of the interval of length $n$.) This set has $O(nd \log d)$ elements and intersects almost all the boxes of volume about $1/n$. In the second stage of the construction, we show that $d + 1$ suitably chosen translates of the first set meet all the boxes of volume $1/n$.

$^1$Here and elsewhere in this section we work with half-open boxes. Since every half-open box contains an open box of the same volume, this does not impair the strength of our constructions, but doing so will be technically advantageous.
**First stage.** To simplify the proof, we will discretize the boxes we work with. We will do so by shrinking them slightly, so that i’th coordinates have terminating base-$p_i$ expansion.

With hindsight we choose $p_i$ to be the $(d + i)$th smallest prime, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. Put $\gamma \overset{\text{def}}{=} p_1 p_2 \ldots p_d$, and let $n$ be an arbitrary integer divisible by $2\gamma^{11}$.

**Definition 5.** We say that a box $\beta$ is a good box if it is of the form

$$\beta = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[ \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}} + \frac{b_i}{p_i^{k_i+3}}, \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}} + \frac{c_i}{p_i^{k_i+3}} \right],$$

for some integers $0 \leq b_i < c_i \leq p_i^3$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, and whose volume is $1/4n \leq \text{vol}(\beta) \leq 1/n$. Let $B = \prod_i \left[ a_i/p_i^{k_i}, (a_i + 1)/p_i^{k_i} \right]$. We call $(B, \beta)$ a good pair.

Note that $\text{vol}(B) \leq \gamma^3/n$. In other words, a (discretized) box $\beta$ is good if it is contained in a canonical box $B$ that is not much larger than $\beta$. Note that the choice of $B$ in the definition of a good pair is, in general, not unique.

Suppose $B$ is a canonical box. Write it as $B = \prod_i \left[ a_i/p_i^{k_i}, (a_i + 1)/p_i^{k_i} \right]$, and consider $r^{-1}(B)$. The set $r^{-1}(B)$ consists of the solutions to the system

$$x \equiv a_1' \pmod{p_1^{k_1}},$$

$$x \equiv a_2' \pmod{p_2^{k_2}},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \equiv a_d' \pmod{p_d^{k_d}},$$

where $a_i' \overset{\text{def}}{=} r_{p_i}(a_i)p_i^{k_i}$, i.e., $a_i'$ is the integer obtained from $a_i$ by reversing its base-$p_i$ expansion.

By the Chinese Remainder theorem, the set $r^{-1}(B)$ is an infinite arithmetic progression with step $D(B) \overset{\text{def}}{=} p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_d^{k_d} = 1/\text{vol}(B)$. Let $A(B)$ be the least element of $r^{-1}(B)$, so that

$$r^{-1}(B) = \{ A(B) + kD(B) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}.$$

Given a good pair $(B, \beta)$, define

$$L_B(\beta) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : r(A(B) + kD(B)) \in \beta \}.$$

**Claim 1.** The set $L_B(\beta) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ (B, \beta) : (B, \beta) \text{ is a good pair} \}$ is of size at most $\gamma^{12}$.

**Proof.** Let $(B, \beta)$ be a good pair. Write $B$ and $\beta$ in the form

$$B = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[ \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}}, \frac{a_i + 1}{p_i^{k_i}} \right], \quad \beta = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[ \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}}, \frac{b_i}{p_i^{k_i+3}}, \frac{a_i}{p_i^{k_i}}, \frac{c_i}{p_i^{k_i+3}} \right].$$

We know that $r(A(B) + kD(B)) \in \beta$ is equivalent to

$$A(B) + kD(B) \in a_1' + p_1^{k_1}J_1 \pmod{p_1^{k_1+3}},$$

$$A(B) + kD(B) \in a_2' + p_2^{k_2}J_2 \pmod{p_2^{k_2+3}},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$A(B) + kD(B) \in a_d' + p_d^{k_d}J_d \pmod{p_d^{k_d+3}},$$

where $J_i$'s are integers.
Proof. For such determined by (there are at most \(\gamma\) for some \(\alpha\)

In view of \(k\) long in base \(p\).

On the other hand, we know that

\[
A(B) + kD(B) \equiv a_1' + (\alpha_1 + k\delta_1)p_1^{k_1} \pmod{p_1^{k_1+3}},
\]

\[
A(B) + kD(B) \equiv a_2' + (\alpha_2 + k\delta_2)p_2^{k_2} \pmod{p_2^{k_2+3}},
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
A(B) + kD(B) \equiv a_d' + (\alpha_d + k\delta_d)p_d^{k_d} \pmod{p_d^{k_d+3}}
\]

for some \(\alpha_i, \delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p_i^2\mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, d\). There are at most \(\gamma^6\) different choices for \((\alpha_i, \delta_i)_{i=1}^d\). Also, there are at most \(\gamma^6\) different choices for \((b_i, c_i)_{i=1}^d\) satisfying \(0 \leq b_i < c_i \leq p_i^3\). Since \(L_B(\beta)\) is determined by \((\alpha_i, \delta_i, b_i, c_i)_{i=1}^d\), the claim is true. \(\square\)

To each canonical box \(B\) of volume between \(1/4n\) and \(\gamma^3/n\) we assign a type, so that boxes of the same type behave similarly. Formally, let \(A(B)\) be the unique multiple of \(n/\gamma^4\) satisfying \(0 \leq A(B) - A(B) < n/\gamma^4\). Similarly, let \(D(B)\) be the unique multiple of \(n/\gamma^{11}\) satisfying \(0 \leq D(B) - D(B) < n/\gamma^{11}\). The type of \(B\) is then the pair \(T(B) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (A(B), D(B))\).

Note that, from \(1/4n \leq \text{vol}(B) \leq \gamma^3/n\) and \(D(B) = 1/\text{vol}(B)\) it follows that

\[
n/\gamma^3 - n/\gamma^{11} < D(B) \leq 4n.
\]

Claim 2. The number of types is at most \(\gamma^{16}\).

Proof. Since \(A(B) < D(B) \leq 4n\), the number of types is at most \((\frac{4n}{n/\gamma^4})(\frac{4n}{n/\gamma^{11}}) = 16\gamma^{15} \leq \gamma^{16}\). \(\square\)

For a type \(T = (A, D)\), let \(Y(T) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{A + kD : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}\) be the arithmetic progression generated by \(A\) and \(D\). Note that if \(T = T(B)\), then \(Y(T)\) is an approximation to \(r^{-1}(B)\). In particular, \(Y(T)\) and \(r^{-1}(B)\) intersect any long interval that is not too far from the origin in approximately the same way.

For integers \(a, b\), denote by \([a, b]\) the integer interval consisting of integers \(x\) satisfying \(a \leq x < b\). Our construction will be a union of intervals of length \(n/\gamma^3\) whose left endpoints are in \([0, n\gamma^4]\).

We first estimate the difference between respective terms in \(Y(T)\) and \(r^{-1}(B)\) inside \([0, n\gamma^4]\).

Claim 3. Suppose \(T(B) = (A(B), D(B))\). Then for any integer \(x \in [0, n\gamma^4]\) and any integer \(k\), \(A(B) + kD(B) \in [x, x + n/2\gamma^3]\) implies \(A(B) + kD(B) \in [x, x + n/\gamma^3]\).

Proof. For such \(k\), since \(A(B) + kD(B) < n\gamma^4 + n/\gamma^3\), from (6) we deduce that

\[
k < \frac{n\gamma^4 + n/\gamma^3}{n/\gamma^3 - n/\gamma^{11}} \leq 2\gamma^7.
\]

In view of \(k \geq 0\), this implies that

\[
0 \leq (A(B) + kD(B)) - (A(B) + kD(B)) \leq \frac{n}{\gamma^4} + 2\gamma^7 \cdot \frac{n}{\gamma^{11}} = \frac{3n}{\gamma^4},
\]

and hence \(A(B) + kD(B) \in [x, x + n/2\gamma^3 + 3n/\gamma^4] \subseteq [x, x + n/\gamma^3]\). \(\square\)
For a type $T$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ that satisfy $T = T(B)$ and $L = L_B(\beta)$ for some good pair $(B, \beta)$, define $$\mathcal{Y}_T(L) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{A + kD : k \in L\}.$$ With this definition, $\mathcal{Y}_T(L)$ is the approximation to $r^{-1}(\beta)$ induced by the approximation $\mathcal{Y}(B)$ to $r^{-1}(B)$.

**Claim 4.** The set $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) = \mathcal{Y}_T(L) \cap [0, n\gamma^4]$ is of size at least $\gamma^4/16 + 1$.

**Proof.** Let $(B, \beta)$ be a good pair such that $T = T(B)$ and $L = L_B(\beta)$. The set $L_B(\beta)$ is $\gamma^3$-periodic, i.e., $k \in L_B(\beta)$ implies $k + \gamma^3 \in L_B(\beta)$. The intersection of any interval of length $\gamma^3$ with $L_B(\beta)$ is of size exactly $\gamma^3 \frac{\text{vol}(\beta)}{\text{vol}(B)}$. Since the preimage of $[0, n\gamma^4)$ under the map $k \mapsto A + kD$ contains 
\[ \left\lfloor \frac{n\gamma^4 - A}{\gamma^3 D} \right\rfloor \geq \frac{n\gamma}{D} - 2 \geq n\gamma \text{vol}(B) - 2 \geq \frac{1}{2} n\gamma \text{vol}(B) \]
non-overlapping intervals of length $\gamma^3$, the size of $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L)$ is at least 
\[ \frac{1}{2} n\gamma \text{vol}(B) \cdot \gamma^3 \frac{\text{vol}(\beta)}{\text{vol}(B)} = \frac{1}{2} n\gamma^4 \text{vol}(\beta) \geq \gamma^4/16 + 1. \]

**Claim 5.** Let $x$ be chosen uniformly from $[0, n\gamma^4)$. Then $\Pr[\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap [x, x + n/2\gamma^3) \neq \emptyset] \geq 1/32\gamma^3$.

**Proof.** Let $y \in \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L)$ be arbitrary. If $y \notin [0, n/2\gamma^3)$, then $\Pr[y \in [x, x + n/2\gamma^3)] = 1/2\gamma^7$. Since $D > n/\gamma^3 - n/\gamma^{11} \geq n/2\gamma^3$, the set $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L)$ contains at most one element in the interval $[0, n/2\gamma^3)$. Hence 
\[ \mathbb{E}[|\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap [x, x + n/2\gamma^3)|] \geq 1/32\gamma^3. \]
Since elements of $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L)$ are at least $D$ apart, $|\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap [x, x + n/2\gamma^3)| \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $x$. Therefore, 
\[ \Pr[\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap [x, x + n/2\gamma^3) \neq \emptyset] = \mathbb{E}[|\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap [x, x + n/2\gamma^3)|] \geq 1/32\gamma^3. \]

Sample $900\gamma^3 \log \gamma$ elements uniformly at random from $[0, n\gamma^4)$, independently from one another. Let $X$ be the resulting set. Then by the preceding claim
\[ \Pr[\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap (X + [0, n/2\gamma^3)) = \emptyset] \leq (1 - 1/32\gamma^3)^{900\gamma^3 \log \gamma} < \gamma^{-28}. \]
From Claims 1 and 2 and the union bound it then follows that there exists a choice of $X$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T(L) \cap (X + [0, n/2\gamma^3))$ is non-empty whenever $T = T(B)$, $L = L_B(\beta)$ and $(B, \beta)$ is a good pair. In other words, for every $(B, \beta)$ there exist $x \in X$ and an integer $k \in L_B(\beta)$ such that $A(B) + kD(B) \in [x, x + n/2\gamma^3)$. By Claim 3 this implies that $A(B) + kD(B) \in [x, x + n/\gamma^3)$ for the same $x$ and $k$, whereas the definition of $L_B(\beta)$ implies that $r(A(B) + kD(B)) \in B$. Because this holds for every good pair $(B, \beta)$, the set $P \overset{\text{def}}{=} r(X + [0, n/\gamma^3))$ meets every good box.

Note that $|P| \leq |X| \cdot \frac{d}{\gamma} \leq 900 \log \gamma \cdot n \leq 3000d \log d \cdot n$ (since $\log \gamma \leq d \log p_d \leq 3d \log d$).
Second stage. So far we have worked with boxes whose coordinates are rational numbers with denominators of the form \(p_i^{k_i}\). Given an arbitrary box, we shall shrink it down to a box of such form. We begin by describing this process.

A \(p\)-interval is an interval of the form \([a/p^k, b/p^k]\) for some integers \(0 \leq a < b < p^k\). A canonical \(p\)-interval is an interval of the form \([a/p^k, (a + 1)/p^k]\) with \(0 \leq a < p^k\). Note that canonical boxes are precisely the boxes that are Cartesian products of canonical intervals in appropriate bases. A \(p\)-interval \([a/p^k, b/p^k]\) is well-shrunk if \(b - a < p^2\).

Claim 6. Every interval \([s, u]\) contains a well-shrunk \(p\)-interval of length at least \((1 - 2/p)\text{len}[s, u]\).

Proof. Let \(k\) be the smallest integer satisfying \(\text{len}[s, u] \geq p^{-k}\). Let \(I\) be the largest interval of the form \(I = [a/p^{k+1}, b/p^{k+1}]\) contained in \([s, u]\). Then \(\text{len}I \geq u - s - 2p^{-k-1} \geq (1 - 2/p)(u - s)\), and \(b - a = p^{k+1}\text{len}I \leq p^{k+1}\text{len}[s, u] < p^2\).

Call an interval \([s, u]\) \(p\)-bad if it contains a rational number with denominator \(p^{k+1}\), where \(\text{len}[s, u] < 2p^{-k-2}\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\).

Claim 7. A box \(\alpha = \prod_i [s_i, u_i] \subset [0, 1]^d\) of volume \(1/n\) fails to contain a good box \(\beta\) only if, for some \(i \in [d]\), the interval \([s_i, u_i]\) is \(p_i\)-bad.

Proof. For each \(i \in [d]\), let \([s'_i, u'_i]\) be a well-shrunk \(p_i\)-interval contained in \([s_i, u_i]\) as above. Let \(\beta \equiv \prod_i [s'_i, u'_i]\). Note that \(\text{vol}(\beta) \geq \text{vol}(\alpha)\prod_i(1 - 2/p_i) \geq 1/4n\).

Let \(B = \prod_i [a_i/p_i^{k_i}, (a_i + 1)/p_i^{k_i}]\) be the smallest canonical box containing \(\beta\). Since the \(p\)-interval \([s'_i, u'_i]\) is contained in \([a_i/p_i^{k_i}, (a_i + 1)/p_i^{k_i}]\), we may write it in the form

\[
[s'_i, u'_i] = [a_i/p_i^{k_i} + b_i/p_i^{\ell_i}, a_i/p_i^{k_i} + c_i/p_i^{\ell_i}]
\]

for some integers \(0 \leq b_i < c_i < p_i^{k_i-\ell_i}\). Since \([s'_i, u'_i]\) is well-shrunk, \(c_i - b_i < p_i^{\ell_i}\).

If \((B, \beta)\) is not a good pair, there exists \(i \in [d]\), such that \(\ell_i \geq k_i + 4\). Fix such an \(i\). By the minimality of \(B\), the interval \([s'_i, u'_i]\) contains a rational number with denominator \(p_i^{k_i+1}\). Since \([s_i, u_i]\) contains \([s'_i, u'_i]\), this rational number is also contained in \([s_i, u_i]\). As \(\text{len}[s_i, u_i] \leq (c_i - b_i + 2)p^{-\ell_i} < (p^2 + 2)p^{-k_i-4} \leq 2p^{-k_i-2}\), the interval \([s_i, u_i]\) is \(p_i\)-bad.

Claim 8. Let \(\Delta = 1/p(p - 1)\). Suppose \([s, u] \subset [1/p, 1]\) is an arbitrary interval. Then at most one of its translates \([s, u], [s, u] - 2\Delta, \ldots, [s, u] - 2d\Delta\) is \(p\)-bad.

Proof. Suppose that, for some \(r\), the interval \([s, u] - 2r\Delta\) contains rational number \(a/p^{k+1}\) and is of length \(\text{len}[s, u] < 2p^{-k-2}\). Then the interval \([s_r, u_r] \equiv [s, u] - 2r\Delta - a/p^{k+1}\) contains 0 and is also of length \(\text{len}I < 2p^{-k-2}\). Hence, \(u_r < 2p^{-k-2}\), and so \((k + 2)\text{nd digit in the base-}p\text{ of } u_r\) is either 0 or 1. Note that it is the same as the \((k + 2)\text{nd digit of } u - 2r\Delta\).

Since the base-\(p\) expansion of \(\Delta\) is 0.011111\cdots and \(2d + 1 < p\), for at most one of the numbers \(u, u - 2\Delta, \ldots, u - 2d\Delta\) is the \((k + 2)\text{nd digits equal to 0 or 1}\). Hence, at most one of the intervals \([s, u], [s, u] - 2\Delta, \ldots, [s, u] - 2d\Delta\) contains a rational number with denominator \(p^{k+1}\).

Let \(P\) be the set constructed in the first stage. Let \(v \in [0, 1]^d\) be the vector whose \(i\)th coordinate is \(v_i = 1/p_i(p_i - 1)\). Let \(P' \equiv \bigcup_{r=0}^d (P + 2rv)\). We claim that \(P'\) meets every subbox of \(\prod_i [1/p_i, 1]\) of volume \(1/n\).
Indeed, suppose \( \alpha = \prod_i [s_i, u_i] \subset \prod_i [1/p_i, 1] \) is an arbitrary box of volume \( 1/n \). Then by the preceding claim, there exists \( r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\} \) such that for no \( i \in [d] \) is the interval \( [s_i, u_i) - 2r\Delta p \)-bad. Claim 7 tells us that the box \( \alpha - 2r\Delta \) contains a good box \( \beta \). Since the set \( P \) meets all good boxes, it follows that the \( P + 2r\Delta \) meets \( \alpha \). As \( P + 2r\Delta \subset P' \), the set \( P' \) indeed meets \( \alpha \).

Finally, we scale the box \( \prod_i [1/p_i, 1] \) onto \([0, 1]^d\). This way, we turn the set \( P' \) into a set that meets every subbox of \([0, 1]^d\) of volume \( \frac{1}{n} \prod p_i/(p_i - 1) \leq 2/n \). This set has size \( |P'| \leq (d + 1) \cdot 3000 d \log d \cdot n \).

This construction shows that \( m_d([3000d(d + 1) \log d \cdot n]) \leq 2/n \) for all \( n \) that are divisible by \( 2^{11} \). Since the limit \( c_d = \lim_{n \to \infty} nm_d(n) \) exists, it then follows that \( c_d \leq 6000d(d + 1) \log d \), which, by the Dumitrescu–Jiang inequality mentioned in the introduction, implies that \( m_d(b) \leq \frac{1}{b+1} \cdot 6000d(d + 1) \log d \) for all \( b \). Because \( 6000d(d + 1) \log d \leq 8000d^2 \log d \) the proof is complete.

4 Problems and remarks

- Because of the \( n^{-1/d} \) term, the bound in Theorem 1 is weak when the number of points \( n \) is small compared to the dimension \( d \). It is likely possible to replace the term \( n^{-1/d} \) with \( O_d(n^{-1}) \) by using a more sophisticated averaging argument. In our argument we considered an average of translates of a function supported on a fixed box \( B \). The error term \( n^{-1/d} \) is due to the points near the boundary of \([0, 1]^d\) receiving less weight than the rest. One can remedy this by using, in addition to the translates of \( B \), also elongated boxes of volume \( \text{vol}(B) \) to add weight in the regions near the boundary of \([0, 1]^d\). In this paper, we decided to sacrifice the slightly stronger bound for a simpler proof.

For constructions of low-dispersion sets with \( \Theta(\log d) \) points, see [9, 11].

- The low-dispersion sets are used in [7, Theorem 11] to give an algorithm to approximate certain one-dimensional tensors. Because of that, it would be useful to derandomize the construction in Theorem 2, as doing so would yield a deterministic algorithm for that problem.

- We suspect that the smallest dispersion of an \( n \)-point set is asymptotic to \( \Theta(d \log d \cdot \frac{1}{n}) \).
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