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Abstract

In this article, we study connections between components of the Cayley graph \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \), where \( A \) is an arbitrary subset of a group \( G \), and cosets of the subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( A \). In particular, we show how to construct generating sets of \( G \) if \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) has finitely many components. Furthermore, we provide an algorithm for finding minimal generating sets of finite groups using their Cayley graphs.
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1 Introduction

The problem of determining (minimal) generating sets of groups has been studied widely; see, for instance, [2, 4, 6, 15, 18–20]. Although the existence of a generating set of a certain group is known, it might be a difficult task to explore it. In fact, there is no general effective method to determine a generating set of a given group. From a complexity point of view, MIN-GEN—finding a minimum size generating set—for groups is in DSPACE \((\log^* n)\); see Proposition 3 of [1].

Cayley graphs prove useful in studying various mathematical structures [3, 8–10, 12, 16, 17, 21] and have many applications in several fields [7, 11, 14, 23, 24]. It is clear that a Cayley graph of any group \( G \) encodes a large amount of information about algebraic, combinatorial, and geometric structures of \( G \). In addition, Cayley graphs allow us to
visualize groups and then to examine properties of groups in a convenient way. Often, a Cayley graph of a (finite) group $G$ relative to $A$ is drawn with the condition that $A$ be a generating set of $G$ (and even if $A = A^{-1}$; e.g., in geometric group theory). In this case, the Cayley graph is strongly connected. In fact, it is a standard result in the literature that a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ is connected if and only if $A$ generates $G$. In the present article, we investigate Cayley graphs in a more general setting by relaxing the requirement that $A$ be a generating set of $G$. In particular, we count the number of components of a Cayley graph in terms of the index of a subgroup. As a consequence of this result, we are able to construct a generating set of a certain group whenever its Cayley graph has finitely many components. This leads to an algorithm for finding some minimal generating sets of finite groups. We remark that some results presented in the next section are known in algebraic graph theory; see, for instance, [9, Lemma 5.1], [13, p.1], and [16, pp. 302–303].

2 Main results

2.1 Definitions and basic properties

Let $G = (V,E)$ be a graph. The equivalence relation $p$ on $V$ is defined by $u \mathrel{p} v$ if and only if either $u = v$ or there is a path from $u$ to $v$ in $G$. We say that $C$ is a component of $G$ if and only if there is an equivalence class $X$ of the relation $p$ such that $C$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $X$, that is, $C = G[X]$. Note that $u \mathrel{p} v$ if and only if $u$ and $v$ are in the same component of $G$.

Let $G$ be a (finite or infinite) group and let $A$ be an arbitrary subset of $G$. Recall that the Cayley digraph of $G$ with respect to $A$, denoted by $\text{Cay}(G,A)$, is a directed graph with the vertex set $G$ and the arc set $\{(g,ga) : g \in G, a \in A \text{ and } a \neq e\}$. Remark that we exclude the identity $e$ in order to avoid loops in Cayley digraphs. The (undirected) Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to $A$, denoted by $\text{Cay}(G,A)$, is defined as a graph with the vertex set $G$ such that $\{u,v\}$ is an edge if and only if $u = va$ or $v = ua$ for some $a \in A \setminus \{e\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ is the underlying graph of $\text{Cay}(G,A)$; that is, the vertex sets of $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ and $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ coincide and $\{u,v\}$ is an edge in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ if and only if $(u,v)$ or $(v,u)$ is an arc in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$. To nonidentity elements of $A$, we can associate distinct colors, labeled by their names. The Cayley color digraph of $G$ with respect to $A$, denoted by $\text{Cay}_c(G,A)$, consists of the digraph $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ in which any arc $(g,ga)$ is given color $a$ for all $a \in A \setminus \{e\}$.

Next, we mention some basic properties of Cayley graphs and Cayley digraphs.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $G$ be a group and let $A$ be a finite subset of $G$. Then

$$
\text{indeg} v = \text{outdeg} v = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } e \notin A; \\ |A| - 1 & \text{if } e \in A 
\end{cases}
$$

for all vertices $v$ in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$. Therefore, $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ is regular.
Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a group and let $A$ be a finite subset of $G$ not containing $e$. Then
\[
\deg v = 2|A| - |A \cap A^{-1}|,
\]
where $A^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A\}$, for all vertices $v$ in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$. Therefore, $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ is regular.

The following lemma gives a characterization of the existence of paths in Cayley graphs, which is quite well known in the literature.

Lemma 2.3. Let $g$ and $h$ be distinct elements in a group $G$ and let $A \subseteq G$. Then there is a path from $g$ to $h$ in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ if and only if $g^{-1}h = a_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}a_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}\cdots a_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ for some $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$, $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} \in \{\pm 1\}$.

2.2 Components of Cayley graphs and Cayley digraphs

In what follows, if $A$ is a subset of a group $G$, then $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $G$ generated by $A$. That is, $\langle A \rangle$ is the smallest subgroup of $G$ containing $A$. Henceforward, $A$ is a subset of a (finite or infinite) group $G$ unless stated otherwise.

An equivalence class of the relation $p$ induced by the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G,A)$, defined in the beginning of Section 2.1, turns out to be a coset of $\langle A \rangle$ in $G$, as shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $u, v \in G$. Then $u$ and $v$ are in the same coset of $\langle A \rangle$ in $G$ if and only if $u \mathbin{p} v$, where $p$ is the equivalence relation induced by $\text{Cay}(G,A)$.

\textbf{Proof.} ($\Rightarrow$) Let $X$ be a coset of $\langle A \rangle$ in $G$. Then $X = g\langle A \rangle$ for some $g \in G$. Let $u, v \in X$. Then $u = ga_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}a_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}\cdots a_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ and $v = gb_{1}^{\delta_{1}}b_{2}^{\delta_{2}}\cdots b_{m}^{\delta_{m}}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m} \in A$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{m} \in \{\pm 1\}$. In the case when $u \neq v$,
\[
u^{-1}v = a_{n}^{-\varepsilon_{n}} \cdots a_{2}^{-\varepsilon_{2}} a_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{1}} b_{1}^{\delta_{1}} b_{2}^{\delta_{2}} \cdots b_{m}^{\delta_{m}}
\]
implies that there is a path from $u$ to $v$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $u \mathbin{p} v$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $u, v \in G$ and suppose that $u \mathbin{p} v$. If there exists a path from $u$ to $v$ in $\text{Cay}(G,A)$, then $u^{-1}v = a_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}a_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}\cdots a_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$, $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Suppose that $u \in g\langle A \rangle$ for some $g \in G$. Then $u = gb_{1}^{\delta_{1}} b_{2}^{\delta_{2}} \cdots b_{m}^{\delta_{m}}$ with $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m} \in A$, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{m} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Thus $v = gb_{1}^{\delta_{1}} b_{2}^{\delta_{2}} \cdots b_{m}^{\delta_{m}} a_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} a_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \cdots a_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \in g\langle A \rangle$. \hfill $\square$

Corollary 2.5. Let $X \subseteq G$. Then $X$ is an equivalence class of the relation $p$ induced by $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ if and only if $X$ is a coset of $\langle A \rangle$ in $G$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $G$ be a group and let $A \subseteq G$.

(1) $C$ is a component of $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ if and only if there is a unique coset $X$ of $\langle A \rangle$ such that $C = \text{Cay}(G,A)[X]$.

(2) $C$ is a component of $\text{Cay}(G,A)$ if and only if there is a unique coset $X$ of $\langle A \rangle$ such that $C = \text{Cay}(G,A)[X]$. 

In general, finding the subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( A \) might be a complicated and tedious task. Corollary 2.6 enables us to find this subgroup by looking at the component of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) that contains the identity of \( G \) (see Theorem 2.7). Furthermore, it indicates that another component of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) is simply a left translation of the identity component (see the proof of Theorem 2.8). We remark that part of Corollary 2.6 (1) is known in the literature; see, for instance, [13, p. 1].

**Theorem 2.7.** The subgroup \( \langle A \rangle \) is equal to the set of vertices in the component of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) containing the identity of \( G \). In general, \( C \) is a component of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) containing a vertex \( v \) if and only if the vertex set of \( C \) equals \( v\langle A \rangle \).

**Proof.** Let \( C \) be the component of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) containing the identity of \( G \). By Corollary 2.6, \( C = \text{Cay}(G,A)[g\langle A \rangle] \) for some \( g \in G \). Since \( C \) contains the identity of \( G \), that is, \( e \in g\langle A \rangle \), it follows that \( g \in \langle A \rangle \) and so \( g\langle A \rangle = \langle A \rangle \). The remaining statement can be proved in a similar fashion.

**Theorem 2.8.** If \( B \) and \( C \) are components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \), then \( B \) and \( C \) are isomorphic as graphs.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( B \) and \( C \) are components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \). From Corollary 2.6, we obtain \( B = \text{Cay}(G,A)[g_1\langle A \rangle] \) and \( C = \text{Cay}(G,A)[g_2\langle A \rangle] \) for some \( g_1, g_2 \in G \). Let \( \varphi \) be a map defined by \( \varphi(x) = g_2g_1^{-1}x \) for all \( x \in g_1\langle A \rangle \). It is straightforward to check that \( \varphi \) is a graph isomorphism from \( B \) to \( C \). So \( B \) and \( C \) are isomorphic.

Another application of Corollary 2.6 reveals a geometric aspect of Cayley digraphs and Cayley graphs: they are disjoint unions of smaller Cayley digraphs (or graphs).

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( A \subseteq G \). If \( C_i, i \in I \), are all the components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) and if \( v_i \) is a vertex in \( C_i \) for all \( i \in I \), then

\[
\text{Cay}(G,A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Cay}(G,A)[v_i\langle A \rangle],
\]

where the dot notation indicates that the union is disjoint.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( A \subseteq G \). If \( C_i, i \in I \), are all the components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) and if \( v_i \) is a vertex in \( C_i \) for all \( i \in I \), then

\[
\text{Cay}(G,A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Cay}(G,A)[v_i\langle A \rangle].
\]

Next, we show that the number of components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) is indeed the number of cosets of \( \langle A \rangle \) in \( G \). This result refines the well known fact that the Cayley graph \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) is connected if and only if \( A \) generates \( G \).

**Lemma 2.11.** The numbers of components of \( \text{Cay}(G,A) \) and \( \text{Cay}(G,\langle A \rangle) \) are equal.

**Proof.** Set \( E = \{ X : X \text{ is a coset of } \langle A \rangle \} \), \( S = \{ C : C \text{ is a component of } \text{Cay}(G,A) \} \), and \( T = \{ C : C \text{ is a component of } \text{Cay}(G,\langle A \rangle) \} \). By Corollary 2.6, \( |S| = |E| = |T| \). \( \square \)
Theorem 2.12. The number of components of Cay \((G, A)\) equals \([G : \langle A \rangle]\), the index of \(\langle A \rangle\) in \(G\).

Proof. The theorem follows directly from Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.11. 

As a consequence of Theorem 2.12, we immediately obtain a few properties of Cayley graphs related to algebraic properties of groups.

Corollary 2.13. If \(G\) is a finite group, then the number of components of Cay \((G, A)\) divides \(|G|\).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.12 and the fact that \([G : \langle A \rangle]\) divides \(|G|\).

Corollary 2.14. The Cayley graph Cay \((G, A)\) is connected if and only if \(G = \langle A \rangle\).

Proof. This follows from the fact that \([G : \langle A \rangle] = 1\) if and only if \(\langle A \rangle = G\).

Corollary 2.15. Let \(G\) be a group. Then \(G\) is cyclic if and only if there exists an element \(a \in G\) such that Cay \((G, \{a\})\) is connected.

The next theorem shows how to construct a generating set of \(G\) from an arbitrary subset \(A\) of \(G\) whenever Cay \((G, A)\) has a finite number of components (e.g., \(G\) is finite or \(G\) has a subgroup of finite index).

Theorem 2.16. If Cay \((G, A)\) has finitely many components \(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\) and if \(v_i\) is a vertex in \(C_i\) for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\), then

\[
S_1 = A \cup \{v_1^{-1}v_2, v_2^{-1}v_3, \ldots, v_{k-1}^{-1}v_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = A \cup \{v_1^{-1}v_2, v_1^{-1}v_3, \ldots, v_1^{-1}v_k\}
\]

form generating sets of \(G\).

Proof. First, we will show that Cay \((G, S_1)\) is connected. Let \(u\) and \(v\) be distinct vertices in Cay \((G, S_1)\). If \(u\) and \(v\) are in the same component of Cay \((G, A)\), then there is a path from \(u\) to \(v\) in Cay \((G, A)\). Since Cay \((G, A)\) is a subgraph of Cay \((G, S_1)\), there is a path from \(u\) to \(v\) in Cay \((G, S_1)\). Therefore, we may suppose that \(u\) and \(v\) are in distinct components of Cay \((G, A)\), namely the \(i^{th}\) and \(j^{th}\) components, respectively. Hence, \(up_1v_i\) and \(vp_1v_j\), where \(p_1\) is the equivalence relation induced by Cay \((G, A)\). It follows that \(up_2v_i\) and \(vp_2v_j\), where \(p_2\) is the equivalence relation induced by Cay \((G, S_1)\). Since \(v_{i+1} = v_i(v_i^{-1}v_{i+1})\) and \(v_i^{-1}v_{i+1} \neq e\) for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\), we obtain that \(\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}\) is an edge in Cay \((G, S_1)\) for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\). This implies that \(v_i p_2 v_j\).

By symmetry and transitivity, \(u p_2 v\) and so there is a path from \(u\) to \(v\) in Cay \((G, S_1)\). Thus Cay \((G, S_1)\) is connected. The verification that \(S_2\) is a generating set of \(G\) is similar to the case of \(S_1\).

Theorem 2.17. Let \(A\) be a finite subset of a group \(G\) not containing \(e\). If Cay \((G, A)\) has \(k\) components, where \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(G\) is generated by \(|A| + k - 1\) elements and so \(\text{rank}(G) \leq |A| + k - 1\).
Proof. Let $S_2$ be the set defined in Theorem 2.16. We claim that $|S_2| = |A| + k - 1$. Let $B = \{v_1^{-1}v_2, v_1^{-1}v_3, \ldots, v_1^{-1}v_k\}$. By the left cancellation law, the elements in $B$ are all distinct. So $|B| = k - 1$. Next, we show that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. If there is an element $a \in A \cap B$, then $a = v_1^{-1}v_i$ for some $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$. Since $v_i = v_1(v_1^{-1}v_i) = v_1a$ and $a \in A \setminus \{e\}$, there is an edge from $v_1$ to $v_i$, a contradiction. Thus $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Hence,

$$|S_2| = |A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B| = |A| + k - 1.$$ 

By Theorem 2.16, $G$ is generated by $S_2$. By definition,

$$\text{rank}(G) = \min \{|X| : X \subseteq G \text{ and } \langle X \rangle = G\} \leq |A| + k - 1,$$

which completes the proof. \(\square\)

Corollary 2.18. Let $G$ be a group and let $a \in G \setminus \{e\}$. If $\text{Cay}(G, \{a\})$ has $k$ components, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $G$ is generated by $k$ elements and so $\text{rank}(G) \leq k$.

3 Examples

In this section, we give some concrete examples in order to clarify the results in the previous section. Remark that we draw only Cayley color digraphs because they give a sufficient amount of information about Cayley graphs. For instance, the digraph $\text{Cay}(G, A)$ is obtained from $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(G, A)$ by removing colors. Also, the graph $\text{Cay}(G, A)$ is obtained from $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(G, A)$ by removing colors and directions and by replacing arcs $(u, v)$ or $(v, u)$ by an edge $\{u, v\}$.

3.1 The quaternion group of order 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>-i</th>
<th>j</th>
<th>-j</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>-k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>-j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-j</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-k</td>
<td>-k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>-j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Cayley table of the quaternion group $Q_8$.

Example 3.1. The quaternion group of order 8, denoted by $Q_8$, consists of the set

$$Q_8 = \{1, -1, i, -i, j, -j, k, -k\}$$

and the binary operation defined by Table 1.
From Figures 1 and 2, $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{a\})$ is not connected for all $a \in Q_8$. Therefore, the quaternion group $Q_8$ is not cyclic. From Figure 1, $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{1\})$ has two components. By Theorem 2.16, $\{i, j\}$ is a generating set of $Q_8$ (set $v_1 = 1$ and $v_2 = j$). The digraph $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{i, j\})$ is depicted in Figure 3. This also implies that $\text{rank}(Q_8) = 2$. 

Figure 1: Cayley digraph of $Q_8$.  
(1) $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{1\})$  
(2) $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{-1\})$  
(3) $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{i\})$  
(4) $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(Q_8, \{-i\})$
Figure 2: Cayley digraph of $Q_8$ (continued).

1. $\text{Cay}(Q_8, \{j\})$
2. $\text{Cay}(Q_8, \{-j\})$
3. $\text{Cay}(Q_8, \{k\})$
4. $\text{Cay}(Q_8, \{-k\})$

Figure 3: $\text{Cay}_c(Q_8, \{i, j\})$; blue arcs are induced by $i$ and red arcs are induced by $j$. 
3.2 The generalized Heisenberg group from \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)

Example 3.2. The generalized Heisenberg group [22] from \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), denoted by \( H(\mathbb{Z}_2) \), consists of the set

\[
H(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{(x, y, t) : x, y, t \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}
\]

and the binary operation defined by

\[
(x_1, y_1, t_1)(x_2, y_2, t_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, t_1 + x_1y_2 + t_2).
\]

Define

\[
\begin{align*}
h_1 &= (0, 0, 0) & h_2 &= (0, 0, 1) & h_3 &= (0, 1, 0) & h_4 &= (0, 1, 1) \\
h_5 &= (1, 0, 0) & h_6 &= (1, 0, 1) & h_7 &= (1, 1, 0) & h_8 &= (1, 1, 1).
\end{align*}
\]

The Cayley table of \( H(\mathbb{Z}_2) \) is given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( h_1 )</th>
<th>( h_2 )</th>
<th>( h_3 )</th>
<th>( h_4 )</th>
<th>( h_5 )</th>
<th>( h_6 )</th>
<th>( h_7 )</th>
<th>( h_8 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_8 )</td>
<td>( h_7 )</td>
<td>( h_5 )</td>
<td>( h_6 )</td>
<td>( h_4 )</td>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cayley table of the generalized Heisenberg group \( H(\mathbb{Z}_2) \).

From Figures 4 and 5, \( \overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_i\}) \) is not connected for all \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\} \). So the generalized Heisenberg group is not cyclic. From Figure 5, \( \overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_7\}) \) has two components. By Theorem 2.16, \( \{h_7, h_3\} \) is a generating set of \( H(\mathbb{Z}_2) \) (set \( v_1 = h_1 \) and \( v_2 = h_3 \)). This also implies that \( \text{rank}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2)) = 2 \).

4 An application to finding minimal generating sets

A generating set \( A \) of a (finite or infinite) group \( G \) is minimal if no proper subset of \( A \) generates \( G \). For example, \( \{i, j\} \) is a minimal generating set of \( Q_8 \) and \( \{h_3, h_7\} \) is a minimal generating set of \( H(\mathbb{Z}_2) \). It is clear that any finitely generated group has a minimal generating set, but finding one is quite difficult in certain circumstances. In this section, we provide an algorithm for finding minimal generating sets of finite groups as an application of Theorem 2.16. Let \( G \) be a finite group. A formal presentation of this algorithm is as follows.
An algorithm for finding minimal generating sets of finite groups

Figure 4: Cayley digraph of $H(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_1\})$
2. $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_2\})$
3. $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_3\})$
4. $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_4\})$
Figure 5: Cayley digraph of $H(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ (continued).

1. $\widetilde{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_5\})$
2. $\widetilde{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_6\})$
3. $\widetilde{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_7\})$
4. $\widetilde{\text{Cay}}(H(\mathbb{Z}_2), \{h_8\})$
An algorithm for finding minimal generating sets of finite groups

(1) Set \(A := \{a_1\}\), where \(a_1 \in G\) and \(a_1 \neq e\).

(2) Set \(v_1 := a_1, i := 1\).

(3) Draw \(\text{Cay}(G,A)\).

(4) If \(\text{Cay}(G,A)\) is connected, skip to step (7). Otherwise, set \(i := i + 1\) and \(v_2 := b_i\), where \(b_i\) is an element of \(G\) not in the component of \(v_1\).

(5) Set \(a_i := v_1^{-1} v_2\) and \(A := A \cup \{a_i\}\).

(6) Return to step (3).

(7) If \(i = 1\), stop. Otherwise, set \(i := i - 1\).

(8) Draw \(\text{Cay}(G,A \setminus \{a_i\})\).

(9) If \(\text{Cay}(G,A \setminus \{a_i\})\) is connected, set \(A := A \setminus \{a_i\}\). Otherwise, go to step (10).

(10) Return to step (7).

Theorem 2.16 ensures that this algorithm must stop at some point and turns \(A\) into a minimal generating set of \(G\). We illustrate how this algorithm works in the next example.

**Example 4.1.** Let \(G\) be the group defined by presentation

\[G = \langle a, b, c : a^2 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = c^3 = acabc^{-1} = abcbc^{-1} \rangle.\]  

(4.1)

Its Cayley table is given by Table 3 (cf. [5]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>·</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>ab</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>ac</th>
<th>bc</th>
<th>abc</th>
<th>ee</th>
<th>acc</th>
<th>bcc</th>
<th>abcc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>ee</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ee</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abc</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>be</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>abcc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>ac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Cayley table of the group \(G\) defined by (4.1) (cf. [5]).

We can use the algorithm mentioned previously to find a minimal generating set of \(G\) as follows:
(1) Set $A := \{b\}$.

(2) Set $v_1 := b, i := 1$.

(3) Draw $\text{Cay}(G, \{b\})$, as shown in Figure 6.

(4) Since $\text{Cay}(G, \{b\})$ is not connected, set $i := 2$ and $v_2 := ab$.

(5) Set $a_2 := b^{-1}(ab) = a$ and $A := \{b, a\}$.

(6) Draw $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, a\})$, as shown in Figure 7.

(7) Since $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, a\})$ is not connected, set $i := 3$ and $v_2 := bc$.

(8) Set $a_3 := b^{-1}(bc) = c$ and $A := \{b, a, c\}$.

(9) Draw $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, a, c\})$, as shown in Figure 8.

(10) Since $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, a, c\})$ is connected and $i = 3$, set $i := 2$.

(11) Draw $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, c\})$, as shown in Figure 9.

(12) Since $\text{Cay}(G, \{b, c\})$ is connected, set $A := \{b, c\}$.

(13) Since $i = 2$, set $i := 1$.

(14) Draw $\text{Cay}(G, \{c\})$, as shown in Figure 10.

(15) Since $\text{Cay}(G, \{c\})$ is not connected, go to the next step.

(16) Since $i = 1$, stop.

This shows that $A = \{b, c\}$ is a minimal generating set of $G$. 

Figure 6: $\text{Cay}_c(G, \{b\})$; blue arcs are induced by $b$. 
Figure 7: $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}_e(G, \{b, a\})$; blue arcs are induced by $b$ and red arcs are induced by $a$.

Figure 8: $\overrightarrow{\text{Cay}}_e(G, \{b, a, c\})$; blue arcs are induced by $b$, red arcs are induced by $a$, and green arcs are induced by $c$. 
Figure 9: $\xrightarrow{\text{Cay}}_c(G, \{b, c\})$; blue arcs are induced by $b$ and green arcs are induced by $c$.

Figure 10: $\xrightarrow{\text{Cay}}_c(G, \{c\})$; green arcs are induced by $c$. 
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