The Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal superalgebra

Haibo Chen*, Xiansheng Dai and Yanyong Hong

arXiv:2009.06853v4 [math.RT] 29 May 2023

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a finite Lie conformal superalgebra called the Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ by using a class of Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal modules. The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type $\mathfrak{S}$ is defined by the formal distribution Lie superalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$. Then we construct a class of simple $\mathfrak{S}$-modules, which are induced from simple modules of some finite dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras. These modules are isomorphic to simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules, and include the highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules. As a byproduct, we present a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{S}$, which is isomorphic to the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Neveu-Schwarz type.

Key words: Lie conformal superalgebra, super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, simple module.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 17B10, 17B65, 17B68.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **4**
2.1 Lie conformal superalgebra 4
2.2 Lie superalgebra 5

3 The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra **5**
3.1 The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$ 5
3.2 The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Neveu-Schwarz type 8

4 Construction of simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules **8**
4.1 Some notations and definitions 8
4.2 Simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules 9

5 Characterization of simple $\mathfrak{S}$-modules **11**

6 Examples **14**
6.1 Simple induced $\mathfrak{S}$-modules 14
6.2 Highest weight modules 14
6.3 Whittaker modules 15
6.4 High order Whittaker modules 15

1 Introduction

Throughout the present paper, we denote by $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the sets of complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers, integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively.

*Corresponding author: H. Chen (Hypo1025@163.com).

All vector superspaces (resp. superalgebras, supermodules) and spaces (resp. algebras, modules) are considered to be over $\mathbb{C}$.

The concept of Lie conformal (super)algebras was introduced by Kac, which encodes an axiomatic description of the operator product expansion (or rather its Fourier transform) of chiral fields in conformal field theory (see [21, 23]). The theory of Lie conformal (super)algebras gives us a powerful tool for the study of infinite dimensional Lie (super)algebras satisfying the locality property (see [22]). Lie (super)algebras and Lie conformal (super)algebras have been studied extensively by researchers in recent years (see, e.g. [10, 11, 36, 38]).

As an important infinite dimensional Lie algebra, *the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra* $\mathcal{H}$ is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} := \left\{ f(t)\frac{d}{dt} + g(t) \,\middle|\, f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \right\}$$

of differential operators of order at most one, which was studied in [1]. They established a connection between the second cohomology of certain moduli spaces of curves and the second cohomology of the Lie algebra of differential operators of order at most one.

Highest weight modules and Whittaker modules are two classes of important modules in representation theory. In [1], the authors precisely determined the determinant formula of the Shapovalov form for the Verma modules, and showed any simple highest weight module for $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of a simple module for the Virasoro algebra and a simple module for the infinite dimensional Heisenberg algebra. In [5], Billig obtained the character formula for simple highest weight modules under some conditions of trivial actions. The simple weight modules with finite dimensional weight spaces (namely, Harish-Chandra modules) over $\mathcal{H}$ were completely classified in [29], which turn out to be modules of intermediate series or highest (lowest) weight modules. Subsequently, a simpler and more conceptual proof of the classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{H}$ were presented in [24].

Whittaker modules were first introduced for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ by Arnal and Pinczon in [4]. Meanwhile, Whittaker modules of finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras were defined in [20]. From then on, they have been studied on different kinds of subjects, especially for affine Lie algebra, infinite dimensional Lie algebra and Lie superalgebra (see, e.g. [2,6–9,14,26–28,31]). Furthermore, Whittaker modules have also been investigated in the framework of vertex operator algebra theory (see [2, 3, 19, 37]).

Recently, the representation theory of super Virasoro algebra was widely researched (see e.g. [15, 27, 40]), which inspires us to study the super case of twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. Now we present the definition of *super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type*

$\mathfrak{S}$. It is an infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{S} = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_m \oplus \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}G_m \oplus \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}I_m,$$

which satisfies the following super-brackets

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n},\ [L_m, G_n] = nG_{m+n},$$
$$[L_m, I_n] = nI_{m+n},\ [G_m, G_n] = 2I_{m+n},\ [I_m, I_n] = [I_m, G_n] = 0$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By its definition, we have the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{1}},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{0}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_m, I_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{1}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{G_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Notice that the even part $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{0}}$ is isomorphic to twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra with some trivial center elements. The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type has a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of L_0. Then $\mathfrak{S}$ possesses the following triangular decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{S}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_-,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_+ = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_m, I_m, G_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_- = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_m, I_m, G_m \mid -m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_0, I_0, G_0\}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}I_0$ is the center of $\mathfrak{S}$.

The actions of elements in the positive part of the algebra are locally finite, which is the same property of highest weight modules and Whittaker modules (see, e.g. [33, 35]). Mazorchuk and Zhao in [34] proposed a very general construction of simple Virasoro modules which generalizes and includes both highest weight modules and various versions of Whittaker modules. This construction enabled them to classify all simple Virasoro modules that are locally finite over a positive part. Inspired by this, new simple modules over the Virasoro algebra and its some (super)extended cases have been investigated (see [9,12,13,18,27,30,32]). The high order Whittaker modules were studied in [25] by generalized some known results on Whittaker modules of the Virasoro algebra. They obtained concrete bases for all irreducible Whittaker modules (instead of a quotient of modules). Observe that highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules over $\mathfrak{S}$ are restricted modules. This makes us to study restricted modules for the the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some notations and definitions of Lie conformal superalgebra and Lie superalgebra. In Section 3, a super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra is introduced from the view of the formal distribution Lie superalgebra of the Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal superalgebra. In Section 4, a class of simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules are constructed, which generalize and include the highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules. In Section 5, we give a

characterization of simple restricted modules for the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type. More precisely, it reduces the problem of classification of simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules to classification of simple modules over some finite dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras. At last, we show some examples of restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules, such as the highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules and so on.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will make some preparations for later use.

2.1 Lie conformal superalgebra

Some definitions and results related to Lie conformal superalgebras and conformal modules are recalled (see [17, 21, 23]).

A Lie conformal superalgebra is called *finite* if it is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module, or else it is called *infinite*.

Definition 2.1. (1) *A Lie conformal superalgebra* $S = S_{\bar{0}} \oplus S_{\bar{1}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a λ-bracket $[a\,{}_\lambda\, b]$ which defines a linear map $S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes S_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and λ is an indeterminate, and satisfies the following axioms:

$$[\partial a\,{}_\lambda\, b] = -\lambda[a\,{}_\lambda\, b],\ \ [a\,{}_\lambda\, \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda)[a\,{}_\lambda\, b],$$
$$[a\,{}_\lambda\, b] = -(-1)^{|a||b|}[b\,{}_{-\lambda-\partial}\, a],$$
$$[a\,{}_\lambda\, [b\,{}_\mu\, c]] = [[a\,{}_\lambda\, b]\,{}_{\lambda+\mu}\, c] + (-1)^{|a||b|}[b\,{}_\mu\, [a\,{}_\lambda\, c]]$$

for $a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta, c \in S_\gamma$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

(2) *A conformal module* $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ over a Lie conformal superalgebra S is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a λ-action $S_\alpha \otimes M_\beta \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes M_{\alpha+\beta}$ such that

$$(\partial a)\,{}_\lambda\, v = -\lambda a\,{}_\lambda\, v,\ \ a\,{}_\lambda\, (\partial v) = (\partial + \lambda) a\,{}_\lambda\, v,$$
$$a\,{}_\lambda\, (b\,{}_\mu\, v) - (-1)^{|a||b|} b\,{}_\mu\, (a\,{}_\lambda\, v) = [a\,{}_\lambda\, b]\,{}_{\lambda+\mu}\, v$$

for all $a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta, v \in M_\gamma$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

In particular, there is an important Lie superalgebra associated with the Lie conformal superalgebra. Let S be a Lie conformal superalgebra. Assume that $\mathrm{Lie}(S)$ is the quotient of the vector space with basis a_n $(a \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by the subspace spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by elements:

$$(\alpha a)_n - \alpha a_n, \quad (a+b)_n - a_n - b_n, \quad (\partial a)_n + n a_{n-1}, \quad \text{where} \ \ a, b \in S, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that if $a \in S_\alpha$ then $a_n \in \mathrm{Lie}(S)_\alpha$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(S)$ is given as follows:

$$[a_m, b_n] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)} b)_{m+n-j}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $a_{(j)}b$ is called the *j-th product*, given by $[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}(a_{(n)}b)$. Then Lie$(S)$ is a Lie superalgebra and it is called *a formal distribution Lie superalgebra* of S (see [21]).

2.2 Lie superalgebra

Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space. Then any element $v \in V_{\bar{0}}$ is said to be *even* and any element $v \in V_{\bar{1}}$ is said to be *odd*. Define $|v| = 0$ if v is even and $|v| = 1$ if v is odd. Elements in $V_{\bar{0}}$ or $V_{\bar{1}}$ are called *homogeneous*. Throughout the present paper, all elements in superalgebras and modules are homogenous unless specified.

Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie superalgebra. A *$\mathcal{G}$-module* is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space V together with a bilinear map $\mathcal{G} \times V \to V$, denoted $(x, v) \mapsto xv$ such that

$$x(yv) - (-1)^{|x||y|}y(xv) = [x, y]v$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{i}}V_{\bar{j}} \subseteq V_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}, v \in V$. Thus there is a parity-change functor Π on the category of $\mathcal{G}$-modules to itself. In other words, for any module $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, we have a new module $\Pi(V)$ with the same underlining space with the parity exchanged, i.e., $\Pi(V_{\bar{0}}) = V_{\bar{1}}$ and $\Pi(V_{\bar{1}}) = V_{\bar{0}}$. We use $U(\mathcal{G})$ to denote the universal enveloping algebra. All modules considered in this paper are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded and all irreducible modules are non-trivial.

Definition 2.2. Let V be a module of a Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}$.

(1) If for any $v \in V$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $x^n v = 0$, then we call that the action of x on V is *locally nilpotent*. Similarly, the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on V is *locally nilpotent* if for any $v \in V$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\mathcal{G}^n v = 0$.

(2) If for any $v \in V$ we have $\dim(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}x^n v) < +\infty$, then we call that the action of x on V is *locally finite*. Similarly, the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on V is *locally finite* if for any $v \in V$ we have $\dim(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{G}^n v) < +\infty$.

We note that the action of x on V is locally nilpotent implies that the action of x on V is locally finite. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a finitely generated Lie superalgebra, the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on V is locally nilpotent implies that the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on V is locally finite.

3 The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra

3.1 The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$

The Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra $\mathfrak{v}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module generated by L and I satisfying

$$[L\,{}_\lambda\, L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L,\ [L\,{}_\lambda\, I] = (\partial + \lambda)I,\ [I\,{}_\lambda\, I] = 0.$$

It is well known that all non-trivial free $\mathfrak{v}$-modules of rank one over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are defined as follows (see [39]):

$$V(a,b,c)=\mathbb{C}[\partial]v,\ L_{\,\lambda}\,v=(\partial+a\lambda+b)v,\ I_{\,\lambda}\,v=cv,$$

where $a,b,c\in\mathbb{C}$. The module $V(a,b,c)$ is irreducible if and only if $(a,c)\neq(0,0)$. It follows from this that we consider a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi,\varphi,a,b,c)=\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}}\oplus\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}}$$

with $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]L\oplus\mathbb{C}[\partial]I,\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]G$ and satisfying

$$[L_{\,\lambda}\,G]=(\partial+a\lambda+b)G,\ [I_{\,\lambda}\,G]=cG,\ [G_{\,\lambda}\,G]=\phi(\partial,\lambda)L+\varphi(\partial,\lambda)I, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\phi(\partial,\lambda),\varphi(\partial,\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$ without $\phi(\partial,\lambda)=\varphi(\partial,\lambda)=0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a,b,c\in\mathbb{C}$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module $\mathcal{S}(\phi,\varphi,a,b,c)$ becomes a Lie conformal superalgebra if and only if $a=1$, $\phi(\partial,\lambda)=b=c=0$, $\varphi(\partial,\lambda)=\Delta\in\mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. First we prove the necessity. Assume that $\mathcal{S}(\phi,\varphi,a,b,c)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra. Using the Jacobi identity for triple (I,G,G), we have

$$c(\phi(\partial,\lambda+\mu)+\phi(\partial,\mu))=0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\lambda\phi(\partial+\lambda,\mu)=c(\varphi(\partial,\lambda+\mu)+\varphi(\partial,\mu)). \tag{3.3}$$

Letting $\lambda=0$ in (3.2) and (3.3), we have $2c\phi(\partial,\mu)=2c\varphi(\partial,\mu)=0$. If $c\neq0$, one can see that $\phi(\partial,\mu)=\varphi(\partial,\mu)=0$. If $c=0$, by (3.3), one has $\phi(\partial,\lambda)=0$. Then the third relations of (3.1) can be rewritten as $[G_{\,\lambda}\,G]=\varphi(\partial,\lambda)I$. By the Jacobi identity for triple (L,G,G), we get

$$(\partial+\lambda)\varphi(\partial+\lambda,\mu)=(-\mu+(a-1)\lambda+b)\varphi(\partial,\lambda+\mu)+(\partial+\lambda a+\mu+b)\varphi(\partial,\mu). \tag{3.4}$$

If $b\neq0$, putting $\lambda=0$ into (3.4), we have $\varphi(\partial,\mu)=0$. This contradicts the hypothesis. Consider $b=0$. Setting $\partial=0$ in (3.4), we have

$$\mu\frac{\varphi(0,\mu+\lambda)-\varphi(0,\mu)}{\lambda}=a\varphi(0,\mu)+(a-1)\varphi(0,\lambda+\mu)-\varphi(\lambda,\mu). \tag{3.5}$$

Taking $\lambda\to0$, we have $\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}\varphi(0,\mu)=2(a-1)\varphi(0,\mu)$. If $2(a-1)\notin\mathbb{Z}_+$, one has $\varphi(0,\mu)=0$. Inserting this into (3.5), we get $\varphi(\partial,\lambda)=0$. Then consider $2(a-1)\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $\varphi(0,\mu)=\Delta\mu^{2(a-1)}$ for $\Delta\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Putting this into (3.5), we obtain

$$\varphi(\lambda,\mu)=a\Delta\mu^{2(a-1)}+(a-1)\Delta(\lambda+\mu)^{2(a-1)}-\mu\Delta\frac{(\lambda+\mu)^{2(a-1)}-\mu^{2(a-1)}}{\lambda} \tag{3.6}$$

Letting $\partial = -\lambda$ in (3.4), one can see that

$$((a-1)\lambda - \mu)\varphi(-\lambda, \lambda+\mu) + ((a-1)\lambda + \mu)\varphi(-\lambda, \mu) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then using (3.6) in (3.7) and comparing the highest degree of λ, we check that $a = 1$. Hence, $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

In a word, by the definition of Lie conformal superalgebra, we get $a = 1$, $b = c = 0$, $\phi(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Based on Definition 2.1, the sufficiency is clear. □

Up to isomorphism, we may assume that $\Delta = 2$ in Lemma 3.1. We can define a finite Lie conformal superalgebra called *the Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal superalgebra* $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{1}}$ with $\mathfrak{s}_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]I, \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]G$, which satisfies the following non-trivial λ-brackets

$$[L\,{}_\lambda\, L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L,\ [L\,{}_\lambda\, I] = (\partial + \lambda)I,\ [L\,{}_\lambda\, G] = (\partial + \lambda)G,\ [G\,{}_\lambda\, G] = 2I.$$

In the rest of this section, an infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra associated with the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra is presented.

Lemma 3.2. *A formal distribution Lie superalgebra of* $\mathfrak{s}$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathfrak{s}) = \Big\{ L_m, I_m, G_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\}$$

with non-vanishing relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n},\ [L_m, I_n] = nI_{m+n}, \\ &[L_m, G_n] = nG_{m+n},\ [G_m, G_n] = 2I_{m+n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By the definition of the k-th product and $\mathfrak{s}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &L\,{}_{(0)}L = \partial L,\ L\,{}_{(1)}L = 2L,\ L\,{}_{(0)}I = \partial I,\ L\,{}_{(1)}I = I, \\ &L\,{}_{(0)}G = \partial G,\ L\,{}_{(1)}G = G,\ G\,{}_{(0)}G = 2I, \\ &L\,{}_{(i)}L = L\,{}_{(i)}I = L\,{}_{(i)}G = G\,{}_{(i-1)}G = I\,{}_{(i-2)}I = G\,{}_{(i-2)}I = 0,\ \forall\ i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_{(m)}, L_{(n)}] = (m-n)L_{(m+n-1)},\ [L_{(m)}, I_{(n)}] = nI_{(m+n-1)}, \\ &[L_{(m)}, G_{(n)}] = nG_{(m+n-1)},\ [G_{(m)}, G_{(n)}] = 2I_{(m+n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then the lemma is proved by making the shift

$$L_m \to L_{(m+1)},\ I_m \to I_{(m)},\ G_m \to G_{(m)}$$

in (3.8) where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Note that the formal distribution Lie superalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathfrak{s})$ is exactly the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type.

3.2 The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Neveu-Schwarz type

The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Neveu-Schwarz type $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as an infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{L_m, I_m, G_r \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying the following non-trivial relations

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n},\ [L_m, I_n] = nI_{m+n},$$
$$[L_m, G_r] = rG_{m+r},\ [G_r, G_s] = 2I_{r+s}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, r, s \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\bar{1}}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} = \{L_m, I_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{1}} = \{G_r \mid r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\}$.

We know that $\mathcal{T}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $\psi : \mathcal{T} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}L_{2m} \\ I_m &\mapsto I_{2m} \\ G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} &\mapsto G_{2m+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to verify that ψ is injective.

4 Construction of simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules

In this section, we will study a class of simple restricted modules over the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Ramond type.

4.1 Some notations and definitions

We denote by $\mathbf{M}$ the set of all infinite vectors of the form $\mathbf{i} := (\ldots, i_2, i_1)$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_+$, satisfying the condition that the number of nonzero entries is finite and $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{M} \mid i_k = 0, 1,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Write $\mathbf{0} = (\ldots, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$) and $\mathbb{Y} = \{0, 1\}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $\epsilon_i = (\ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$), where 1 is in the i'th position from the right. For any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$), we write

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} s \cdot i_s,$$

which is non-negative integer. For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$), assume that p is the smallest integer such that $i_p \neq 0$, and define $\mathbf{i}' = \mathbf{i} - \epsilon_p$.

Definition 4.1. Denote by $\succ$ the *reverse lexicographical total order* on $\mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$), defined as follows: $\mathbf{0}$ is the minimum element; for different nonzero $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$)

$$\mathbf{j} \succ \mathbf{i} \iff \text{there exists } r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (j_s = i_s,\ \forall 1 \leq s < r) \text{ and } j_r > i_r.$$

Clearly, we can restrict the reverse lexicographic total order on $\mathbf{M}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$) to $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ (resp. $\mathbb{Y}^n$) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can induce a *principal total order* on $\mathbf{M} \times \widehat{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathbf{M}$, still denoted by $\succ$: for $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{m} \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ and $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \neq (\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$, set

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \succ (\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})\\ &\iff \big(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j}), \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k})\big) \succ \big(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{l}), \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{n})\big).\end{aligned}$$

For any $\alpha, \beta, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\alpha \geq 2\beta$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta} &= \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathbb{C}L_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathbb{C}I_{i-\alpha} \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathbb{C}G_{i-\beta},\\ \mathfrak{S}^{(r,s,t)} &= \bigoplus_{i\geq r} \mathbb{C}L_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq s} \mathbb{C}I_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq t} \mathbb{C}G_i.\end{aligned}$$

Let V be a simple $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$-module. Then we have the induced $\mathfrak{S}$-module

$$\mathrm{Ind}(V) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta})} V.$$

Considering simple modules of the superalgebra $\mathfrak{S}$ or one of its subalgebras containing the central elements I_0, we always assume that the action of I_0 is a scalar c_0.

For $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{j} \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$, we denote

$$I^{\mathbf{i}} G^{\mathbf{j}} L^{\mathbf{k}} = \cdots I_{-2-\alpha}^{i_2} I_{-1-\alpha}^{i_1} \cdots G_{-2-\beta}^{j_2} G_{-1-\beta}^{j_1} \cdots L_{-2}^{k_2} L_{-1}^{k_1} \in U(\mathfrak{S}).$$

From the PBW Theorem (see [16]) and $G_i^2 = I_{2i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, every element of $\mathrm{Ind}(V)$ can be uniquely written as follow

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{M},\mathbf{j}\in\widehat{\mathbf{M}}} I^{\mathbf{i}} G^{\mathbf{j}} L^{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where all $v_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \in V$ and only finitely many of them are nonzero. For any $v \in \mathrm{Ind}(V)$ as in (4.1), we denote by $\mathrm{supp}(v)$ the set of all $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbf{M} \times \widehat{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathbf{M}$ such that $v_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$. For a nonzero $v \in \mathrm{Ind}(V)$, we write $\deg(v)$ the maximal element in $\mathrm{supp}(v)$ by the principal total order on $\mathbf{M} \times \widehat{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathbf{M}$, which is called the *degree* of v. Note that $\deg(v)$ is defined only for $v \neq 0$.

Definition 4.2. An $\mathfrak{S}$-module W is called *restricted* if for any $w \in W$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $L_i w = G_i w = I_i w = 0$ for $i > k$.

4.2 Simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules

The purpose of this section is to obtain some simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules.

Theorem 4.3. *Let V be a simple $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$-module. Assume that there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that V satisfies the following two conditions:*

(a) *the action of I_z on V is injective,*

(b) $L_iV = G_jV = I_kV = 0$ *for all $i > z+\alpha, j > z+\beta$ and $k > z$.*

Then we obtain that $\mathrm{Ind}(V)$ *is a simple $\mathfrak{S}$-module.*

Proof. For any $v \in \mathrm{Ind}(V) \setminus V$, suppose that v as the form (4.1), i.e.,

$$v = \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}\in\mathbf{M},\mathbf{y}\in\widehat{\mathbf{M}}} I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}.$$

Denote

$$\deg(v) = (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k})$$

for $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{j} \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$, and let $\tilde{i} = \min\{s : i_s \neq 0\}$ if $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\tilde{j} = \min\{s : j_s \neq 0\}$ if $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\tilde{k} = \min\{s : k_s \neq 0\}$ if $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$. To prove this theorem, we have three steps as follows.

First, consider those $v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}$ with $I_{\tilde{k}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} \neq 0$. It is easy to see that

$$I_{\tilde{k}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} = I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}[I_{\tilde{k}+z}, L^{\mathbf{z}}]v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}.$$

Clearly, $I_z v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} \neq 0$. Consider the following two cases.

If $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{k}$, one can get

$$\deg(I_{\tilde{k}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}) = (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}') \preceq (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}'),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{j}$.

Suppose $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}),\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z})) \prec (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{i}),\mathbf{j},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j}),\mathbf{k},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}))$ and denote

$$\deg(I_{\tilde{k}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}) = (\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{z}_1) \in \mathbf{M}\times\widehat{\mathbf{M}}\times\mathbf{M}.$$

If $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) < \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k})$, then we obtain $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}_1) \leq \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) - \tilde{k} < \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}) - \tilde{k} = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}')$, which implies $(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{z}_1) \prec (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}')$. Then suppose $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{z} \prec \mathbf{k}$. Let $\tilde{z} := \min\{s : z_s \neq 0\} > 0$. If $\tilde{z} > \tilde{k}$, one can see that $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}_1) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) - \tilde{z} < \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) - \tilde{k} = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}')$. If $\tilde{z} = \tilde{k}$, by the similar method, we deduce that $(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{z}_1) = (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}')$. Then by $\mathbf{z}' \prec \mathbf{k}'$, one can see that $\deg(I_{\tilde{k}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}L^{\mathbf{z}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}) = (\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{z}_1) \prec (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}')$ in both cases.

Combining all the arguments above we conclude that $\deg(I_{\tilde{k}+z}v) = (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}')$.

Now we consider $v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}}$ with $G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$. According to $I_z v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$ for any $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}) \in \mathrm{supp}(v)$, we check that

$$G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}} = I^{\mathbf{x}}[G_{\tilde{j}+z}, G^{\mathbf{y}}]v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}}.$$

If $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{j}$, one can get that

$$\deg(G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}})=(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{0})\preceq(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{0}),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{i}$.

Suppose $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}),\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\prec(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{i}),\mathbf{j},\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j}),\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$. Then we have

$$\deg(G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}})=(\mathbf{x_1},\mathbf{y_1},\mathbf{0})\in\mathbf{M}\times\widehat{\mathbf{M}}\times\mathbf{M}.$$

If $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})<\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j})$, then we have $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}_1)\leq\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})-\tilde{j}<\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j})-\tilde{j}=\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j}')$, which gives $(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{0})\prec(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{0})$.

Then we suppose $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j})$ and $\mathbf{y}\prec\mathbf{j}$. Let $\tilde{y}:=\min\{s:y_s\neq 0\}>0$. If $\tilde{y}>\tilde{j}$, we obtain $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}_1)=\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})-\tilde{y}<\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j})-\tilde{j}=\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{j}')$. If $\tilde{y}=\tilde{j}$, we can similarly check that $(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{0})=(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{0})$. Now from $\mathbf{y}'\prec\mathbf{j}'$, we have $\deg(G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}})=(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{0})\prec(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{0})$. Therefore, we conclude that $\deg(G_{\tilde{j}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}G^{\mathbf{y}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{0}})=(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{0})$.

At last, we consider $v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}}$ with $L_{\tilde{i}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}}\neq 0$. By the similar arguments as above, we have $\deg(L_{\tilde{i}+z}I^{\mathbf{x}}v_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}})=(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$.

Thus, from any $0\neq v\in\mathrm{Ind}(V)$ we can reach a nonzero element in $U(\mathfrak{S})v\cap V\neq 0$, which gives the simplicity of $\mathrm{Ind}(V)$. We complete the proof. □

Remark 4.4. We note that the induced modules $\mathrm{Ind}(V)$ are simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-modules.

5 Characterization of simple $\mathfrak{S}$-modules

Proposition 5.1. *Let P be a simple $\mathfrak{S}$-module. Suppose that there exists $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the action of I_k on P is injective. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *There exists $z\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the actions of $L_i,G_i,I_i,i\geq z$ on P are locally finite.*

(2) *There exists $z\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the actions of $L_i,G_i,I_i,i\geq z$ on P are locally nilpotent.*

(3) *There exists $r,s,t\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that P is a locally finite $\mathfrak{S}^{(r,s,t)}$-module.*

(4) *There exists $r,s,t\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that P is a locally nilpotent $\mathfrak{S}^{(r,s,t)}$-module.*

(5) *There exists a simple $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$-module V satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4.3 such that $P\cong\mathrm{Ind}(V)$.*

Proof. Note that (5) ⇒ (3) ⇒ (1), (5) ⇒ (4) ⇒ (2) and (2) ⇒ (1) are easy to get.

Now we prove (1) ⇒ (5). Assume that P is a simple $\mathfrak{S}$-module and there exists $z\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the actions of $L_i,G_i,I_i,i\geq z$ on P are locally finite. Then we can choose a $0\neq v\in P$ such that $L_zv=\gamma v$ for some $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$.

In the following, we always assume that $X \in \{L, I, G\}$ for simplicity. Choose $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $j > z$ and we denote

$$S_X = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}L_z^m X_j v = U(\mathbb{C}L_z)X_j v,$$

which are all finite dimensional. From the definition of $\mathfrak{S}$ and any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we check that

$$X_{j+mz}v \in S_X \Rightarrow X_{j+(m+1)z}v \in S_X,$$

where $j > z$. According to induction on $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $X_{j+mz}v \in S_X$. As a matter of fact, we know that $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}X_{j+mz}v$ are finite dimensional for $j > z$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}X_{z+i}v = \mathbb{C}X_z v + \sum_{j=z+1}^{2z} \big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}X_{j+mz}v\big)$$

are all finite dimensional. Now we can choose $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}X_{z+i}v = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \mathbb{C}X_{z+i}v.$$

Then denote $V' = \sum_{x_0,\ldots,x_l,y_0,\ldots,y_l\in\mathbb{N},z_0,\ldots,z_l\in\mathbb{Y}} \mathbb{C}L_z^{x_0}\cdots L_{z+l}^{x_l} I_z^{y_0}\cdots I_{z+l}^{y_l} G_z^{z_0}\cdots G_{z+l}^{z_l} v$. It is easy to see that V' is a (finite dimensional) $\mathfrak{S}^{(z,z,z)}$-module.

It follows that we can take a minimal $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(L_m + a_1 L_{m+1} + \cdots + a_n L_{m+n})V' = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

for some $m > z$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Applying L_m to (5.1), one gets

$$(a_1[L_m, L_{m+1}] + \cdots + a_n[L_m, L_{m+n}])V' = 0,$$

which shows $n = 0$, that is, $L_m V' = 0$ for $m > z$. Therefore, for $m, i > z$, we have

$$0 = G_i L_m V' = [G_i, L_m]V' + L_m(G_i V') = -iG_{m+i}V',$$

namely, $G_{m+i}V' = 0$. Similarly, we have $I_{m+i}V' = L_{m+i}V' = 0$ for all $i > z$.

For any $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{V}_{a',b',c'} = \{v \in P \mid L_i v = G_j v = I_k v = 0 \quad \text{for any } i > a', j > b', k > c'\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{V}_{a',b',c'} \neq 0$ for sufficiently large $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{V}_{a',b',c'} = 0$ for all $c' < 0$ since there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the action of I_k on P is injective. Thus we can find a smallest non-negative integer c, and choose some $a, b > c$ with $a + c > 2b$ such that

$\mathcal{V}_{a,b,c} \neq 0$. Denote $\alpha = a - c, \beta = b - c$ and $V := \mathcal{V}_{a,b,c}$. Take $r_1 > a, r_2 > b, r_3 > c$. For $s \geq 0$, it follows from $r_1 + s - \beta > b$ and $r_2 + s - \beta > c$ that we can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{r_1}(G_{s-\beta}v) = (s-\beta)G_{r_1+s-\beta}v = 0, \\ &G_{r_2}(G_{s-\beta}v) = 2I_{r_2+s-\beta}v = 0,\ I_{r_3}(G_{s-\beta}v) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $G_{s-\beta}v \in V$ for all $s \geq 0$. Considering $d \geq 0$, we have

$$L_{r_1}(I_{d-\alpha}v) = (d-\alpha)I_{r_1+d-\alpha}v = 0,\ G_{r_2}(I_{d-\alpha}v) = I_{r_3}(I_{d-\alpha}v) = 0,$$

which shows $I_{d-\alpha}v \in V$. Similarly, we can also obtain $L_d v \in V$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, V is an $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$-module, and defined in Theorem 4.3.

For $c \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by the definition of V, we get that the action of I_c on V is injective. Note that if $c = 0$ we have $c_0 \neq 0$. Since P is simple and generated by V, there exists a canonical surjective map

$$\pi : \mathrm{Ind}(V) \to P, \quad \pi(1 \otimes v) = v, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Next, we only need to prove that π is also injective, i.e., π as the canonical map is bijective. Denote $K = \ker(\pi)$. Obviously, $K \cap V = 0$. If $K \neq 0$, we can choose $0 \neq v \in K \setminus V$ such that $\deg(v) = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k})$ is minimal possible. Note that K is an $\mathfrak{S}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Ind}(V)$. By the similar proof in Theorem 4.3, we can create a new vector $u \in K$ with $\deg(u) \prec (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k})$, which leads to a contradiction. This forces $K = 0$, i.e., $P \cong \mathrm{Ind}(V)$. Then we see that V is a simple $\mathfrak{S}$-module. This completes the proof. □

Now we present the main results of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let W be a simple restricted $\mathfrak{S}$-module. Suppose that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the action of I_k on W is injective. Then $W \cong \mathrm{Ind}(V)$, where V is a simple $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}^{(\alpha,\beta,z)}$-module, and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}^{(\alpha,\beta,z)} = \mathfrak{S}_{(\alpha,\beta)}/\mathfrak{S}^{(z+\alpha+1,z+1,z+\beta+1)}$ is a quotient algebra for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. Assume $0 \neq w \in W$. We see that there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $L_i w = G_i w = I_i w = 0$ for all $i \geq t$. Every element $\widehat{w}$ in W can be uniquely written as follow

$$\widehat{w} = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{j} \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}} I^{\mathbf{i}} G^{\mathbf{j}} L^{\mathbf{k}} w.$$

Thus, for $i \geq t$, there exists a sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$L_i^k W = G_i^k W = I_i^k W = 0.$$

This shows that there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the actions of L_i, I_i, G_i for all $i \geq t$ on W are locally nilpotent. Then based on Proposition 5.1, we have the results. □

6 Examples

For convenience, we write $T(r) = \mathfrak{S}^{(r,r,r)}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that $T(0) = \mathfrak{S}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_+$.

6.1 Simple induced $\mathfrak{S}$-modules

Proposition 6.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and V be a simple $\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}$-module. Assume that there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $z \geq s$ such that I_z on V is injective, $L_iV = I_jV = G_kV = 0$ and $I_0V = c_0V$ for all $i > z, j > z, k > z$ and $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we obtain that*

$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)$ is a simple $T(0)$-module, I_z acts injectively on $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)$ and

$$L_i(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)) = I_j(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)) = G_k(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)) = 0,$$

where $i > z, j > z, k > z$.

Proof. Let $\succ$ be the reverse lexicographic total order on $\mathbb{Z}_+^s$ (see Definition 4.1). For any $v \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)$, by PBW Theorem we may write v in the form

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s} I^{\mathbf{x}} v_{\mathbf{x}},$$

where $I^{\mathbf{x}} = I_{s-1}^{x_{s-1}} I_{s-2}^{x_{s-2}} \cdots I_1^{x_1}$. Let $\mathrm{supp}(v)$ be the set of all $\mathbf{i}$ with $v_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0$, and let $\deg(v)$ be the maximal element of $\mathrm{supp}(v)$ with respect to reverse lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}_+^s$. We can check that

$$L_iv = I_jv = G_kv = 0$$

for $i > z, j > z, k > z$.

Now for any $v \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V) \setminus V$, let $\deg(v) = \mathbf{i}$ and $\widehat{s} = \min\{s : x_s \neq 0\}$. We only need to show that $\deg(L_{z-\widehat{s}} I^{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}) = \mathbf{k}' \preceq \mathbf{i}'$. Then we can get that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)$ is a simple $T(0)$-module. □

It is clear that the induced module $W = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}^{(0,s,0)}}^{T(0)}(V)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.3 with $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Then we obtain simple $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\mathrm{Ind}(W)$.

6.2 Highest weight modules

For $h, c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, let V_h be a 1-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ spanned by v_h, namely, $V_h = \mathbb{C}v_h$. Regard V_h as an $\mathfrak{S}_0$-module such that $L_0v = hv, I_0v = c_0v$. Then V_h is a $T(0)$-module by setting $\mathfrak{S}_+ \cdot V_h = 0$. The Verma module $\mathfrak{V}(h, c_0)$ over $\mathfrak{S}$ can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{V}(h, c_0) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{S}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_+)} V_h,$$

and has the highest weight (h, c_0). It is straightforward to check that $\mathfrak{V}(h, c_0)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{S}$-module if $c_0 \neq 0$. These simple modules are exactly the highest weight modules in Theorem 4.3 for $\alpha = \beta = z = 0$.

6.3 Whittaker modules

We recall the definition of the classical Whittaker modules.

Definition 6.2. Assume that $\phi : T(1) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism. For $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, an $\mathfrak{S}$-module $\mathcal{W}$ is called *a Whittaker module of type* (ϕ, c_0) if
(1) $\mathcal{W}$ is generated by a homogeneous vector u,
(2) $xu = \phi(x)u$ for any $x \in T(1)$,
(3) $I_0 u = c_0 u$,
where u is called *a Whittaker vector of* $\mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let V be the finite dimensional simple $T(1)$-module. Then* $\dim(V) = 1$.

Proof. In fact, there exists $m \gg 0$ such that $L_m V = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \gg 0$, it is easy to get

$$0 = [L_m, I_n]V = nI_{m+n}V \text{ and } 0 = [L_m, G_n]V = nG_{m+n}V.$$

Then for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we know that V can be viewed as a module of quotient algebra $T(1)/T(m)$. By Lemma 1.33 of [16], we immediately obtain $\dim(V) = 1$. □

Let ϕ be a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\phi : T(1) \to \mathbb{C}$. Then $\phi(L_i) = \phi(I_j) = \phi(G_j) = 0$ for $i \geq 3, j \geq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_\phi = \mathbb{C}v$ be a 1-dimensional vector space with

$$xv = \phi(x)v,\ I_0 v = c_0 v$$

for all $x \in T(1)$. Clearly, if $\phi(I_1) \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{t}_\phi$ is a simple $T(1)$-module and $\dim(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) = 1$. Now we consider the induced module

$$V_\phi = U(T(0)) \otimes_{U(T(1))} \mathfrak{t}_\phi = \mathbb{C}[L_0]v \oplus G_0\mathbb{C}[L_0]v.$$

It is easy to see that V_ϕ is a simple $T(0)$-module if $\phi(I_1) \neq 0$. Then the simple induced $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\mathrm{Ind}(V_\phi)$ in Theorem 4.3 are so-called Whittaker modules.

6.4 High order Whittaker modules

Let ϕ_k be a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\phi_k : T(k) \to \mathbb{C}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $\phi_k(L_i) = \phi_k(I_j) = \phi_k(G_j) = 0$ for $i \geq 2k+1, j \geq 2k$. Assume that $\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_k} = \mathbb{C}v$ is a 1-dimensional vector space with

$$xv = \phi(x)v,\ I_0 v = c_0 v,$$

for all $x \in T(k)$. If $\phi(I_{2k-1}) \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_k}$ is a simple $T(k)$-module and $\dim(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_k}) = 1$. Consider the induced module

$$V_{\phi_k} = U(T(0)) \otimes_{U(T(k))} \mathfrak{t}_{\phi}.$$

Clearly, V_{ϕ_k} is a simple $T(k)$-module if $\phi(I_{2k-1}) \neq 0$ and $\dim(V_{\phi_k}) = 1$. Then the corresponding simple $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\mathrm{Ind}(V_{\phi_k})$ in Theorem 4.3 are exactly the high order Whittaker modules.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.11801369, 11871421, 12171129), the Overseas Visiting Scholars Program of Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance (No. 2021161), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No.LY20A010022) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Hangzhou Normal University (No.2019QDL012). The authors would like to thank the referee for extensive suggestions to improve the paper.

References

[1] E. Arbarello, C. DeConcini, V. G. Kac, C. Procesi, Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **117** (1988), 1-36.

[2] D. Adamović, R. Lu, K. Zhao, Whittaker modules for the affine algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Adv. Math.,* **289** (2016), 438-479.

[3] D. Adamović, C. Lam, V. Pedic, N. Yu, On irreducibility of modules of Whittaker type for cyclic orbifold vertex algebra, *J. Algebra*, **539** (2019), 1-23.

[4] D. Arnal, G. Pinczon, On algebraically irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$, *J. Math. Phys.,* **15** (1974), 350-359.

[5] Y. Billig, Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **46** (2003), 529-537.

[6] I. Bagci, K. Christodoulopoulou, E. Wiesner, Whittaker categories and Whittaker modules for Lie superalgebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **42** (2014), 4932-4947.

[7] P. Batra, V. Mazorchuk, Blocks and modules for Whittaker pairs, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **215** (2011), 1552-1568.

[8] K. Christodoupoulou, Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, **320** (2008), 2871-2890.

[9] H. Chen, X. Guo, New simple modules for the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *J. Algebra,* **390** (2013), 77-86.

[10] H. Chen, J. Han, Y. Su, Y. Xu, Loop Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, *Internat. J. Math.*, **27**, 1650057 (2016).

[11] H. Chen, Y. Hong, Y. Su, Finite irreducible conformal modules over the Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{S}(p)$, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **166** (2021), 104256.

[12] H. Chen, Y. Hong, Y. Su, A family of new simple modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **222** (2018), 900-913.

[13] G. Chen, J. Han, Y. Su, Some modules over Lie algebras related to the Virasoro algebra, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **72** (2020), 61-72.

[14] X. Chen, C. Jiang, Whittaker modules for the twisted affine Nappi-Witten Lie algebra $\widehat{H}_4[\tau]$, *J. Algebra*, **546** (2020), 37-61.

[15] Y. Cai, D. Liu, R. Lu, Classification of simple Harish-Chandra modules over the $N = 1$ Ramond algebra, *J. Algebra*, **567** (2021), 114-127.

[16] S. Cheng, W. Wang, Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 144. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[17] A. D'Andrea, V. G. Kac, Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, *Sel. Math.*, **4** (1998), 377-418.

[18] D. Gao, Simple restricted modules for the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *J. Algebra*, **574** (2021), 233-251.

[19] J. T. Hartwig, N. Yu, Simple Whittaker modules over free bosonic orbifold vertex operator algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147** (2019), 3259-3272.

[20] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, *Invent. Math.,* **48** (1978), 101-184.

[21] V. G. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners, University Lecture Series Vol. **10**, American Mathematical Society, 1996.

[22] V. G. Kac, The idea of locality, in Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, World Scientific, Singapore, 16-32 (1997).

[23] V. G. Kac, Formal distribution algebras and conformal algebras, XIIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (ICMP'97) (Brisbane), 80-97, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999.

[24] G. Liu, X. Guo, Harish-Chandra modules over generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras, *Isr. J. Math.*, **204** (2014), 447-468.

[25] R. Lu, X. Guo, K. Zhao, Irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra, *Doc. Math.*, **16** (2011), 709-721.

[26] D. Liu, Y. Pei, L. Xia, Whittaker modules for the super-Virasoro algebras, *J. Algebra, Appl.*, **18** (2019), 1950211.

[27] D. Liu, Y. Pei, L. Xia, Simple restricted modules for Neveu-Schwarz algebra, *J. Algebra,* **546** (2020), 341-356.

[28] D. Liu, Y. Wu, L. Zhu, Whittaker modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *J. Math. Phys.,* **51** (2010), 023524.

[29] R. Lu, K. Zhao, Classification of irreducible weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **12** (2010), 183-205.

[30] R. Lu, K. Zhao, A family of simple weight modules over the Virasoro algebra, *J. Algebra*, **479** (2017), 437-460.

[31] R. Lu, K. Zhao, Generalized oscillator representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **23** (2020), 1417-1442.

[32] V. Mazorchuk, E. Weisner, Simple Virasoro modules induced from codimension one subalgebras of the positive part, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), 3695-3703.

[33] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Characterization of simple highest weight modules, *Canad. Math. Bull.,* **56** (2013), 606-614.

[34] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, **20** (2014), 839-854.

[35] M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner, Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **8** (2009), 363-377.

[36] Y. Su, C. Xia, L. Yuan, Classification of finite irreducible conformal modules over a class of Lie conformal algebras of Block type, *J. Algebra*, **499** (2019), 321-336.

[37] K. Tanabe, Simple weak modules for the fixed point subalgebra of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra of rank 1 by an automorphism of order 2 and Whittaker vectors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **145** (2017), 4127-4140.

[38] C. Xia, Classification of finite irreducible conformal modules over Lie conformal superalgebras of Block type, *J. Algebra*, **531** (2019), 141-164.

[39] Y. Xu, X. Yue, $W(a, b)$ Lie conformal algebra and its conformal module of rank one, *Algebra Colloq.*, **22** (2015), 405-412.

[40] H. Yang, Y. Yao, L. Xia, A family of non-weight modules over the super-Virasoro algebras, *J. Algebra*, **547** (2020), 538-555.

Haibo Chen

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, Shanghai 201209, China

Hypo1025@163.com

Xiansheng Dai

School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550001, China
daisheng158@126.com

Yanyong Hong
Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 311121, China
yyhong@hznu.edu.cn