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1 Introduction
Throughout the present paper, we denote by \( \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( \mathbb{N} \) the sets of complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers, integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively. All vector superspaces (resp. superalgebras, supermodules) and spaces (resp. algebras, modules) are considered to be over \( \mathbb{C} \).

The concept of Lie conformal (super)algebras was introduced by Kac, which encodes an axiomatic description of the operator product expansion (or rather its Fourier transform) of chiral fields in conformal field theory (see [18, 20]). The theory of Lie conformal (super)algebras gives us a powerful tool for the study of infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras satisfying the locality property (see [19]).

As an important infinite-dimensional Lie algebra, the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra

\[
\mathcal{H} := \left\{ f(t) \frac{d}{dt} + g(t) \bigg| f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \right\}
\]

of differential operators of order at most one, which was studied by Arbarello et al. in [1]. They established a connection between the second cohomology of certain moduli spaces of curves and the second cohomology of the Lie algebra of differential operators of order at most one.

The highest weight modules and Whittaker modules are two classes of important modules in representation theory. In [1], the authors precisely determined the determinant formula of the Shapovalov form for the Verma modules, and showed any simple highest weight module for \( \mathcal{H} \) is isomorphic to the tensor product of a simple module for the Virasoro algebra and a simple module for the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra. In [5], Billig obtained the character formula for simple highest weight modules under some conditions of trivial
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actions. The simple weight modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces (namely, Harish-Chandra modules) over $\mathcal{H}$ were completely classified in [26], which turn out to be modules of intermediate series or highest (lowest) weight modules. A simpler and more conceptual proof of the classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{H}$ were presented in [21].

The Whittaker modules were first introduced for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ by Arnal and Pinczon in [4]. Meanwhile, the Whittaker modules of finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras were defined in [17]. From then on, these non-weight modules have been studied subsequently in a variety of different settings, especially for affine Lie algebra and Lie superalgebra (see, e.g. [2, 3, 12, 23, 24]). Moreover, Whittaker modules have been investigated in the framework of vertex operator algebra theory (see [2, 3, 16, 32]). Whittaker modules for $\mathcal{H}$ were investigated in [25]. In [9], Chen and Guo constructed a large class of simple modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. In particular, the known simple modules such as the highest weight modules and Whittaker modules were included. Furthermore, the high order Whittaker modules of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra were clearly defined in [28] by using generalized oscillator representations.

Recently, the representation theory of super Virasoro algebra was widely researched (see e.g. [13, 24, 34]), which inspires us to study some super cases of twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. Now we present the definition of super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. It is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra

$$
\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} L_m \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} I_m \oplus \mathbb{C} C,
$$

which satisfies the following super-brackets

$$
[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3-m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}C,
$$

$$
[L_m, I_n] = nI_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, G_r] = rG_{m+r},
$$

$$
[G_r, G_s] = 2I_{r+s}, \quad [I_m, I_n] = [I_m, G_r] = 0
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. By definition, we have the following decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1,
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0 = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{L_m, I_m, C \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{G_r \mid r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$. Notice that the even part $\mathcal{G}_0$ is isomorphic to twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra with some trivial center elements. The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra has a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$-grading by the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of $L_0$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ possesses the following triangular decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_+ \oplus \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_-,
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. By definition, we have the following decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1,
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0 = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{L_m, I_m, C \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{G_r \mid r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$. Notice that the even part $\mathcal{G}_0$ is isomorphic to twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra with some trivial center elements. The super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra has a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$-grading by the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of $L_0$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ possesses the following triangular decomposition:
The actions of elements in the positive part of the algebra are locally finite, which is the same property of highest weight modules and Whittaker modules. Mazorchuk and Zhao in [31] proposed a very general construction of simple Virasoro modules, which generalizes and includes both highest weight modules and various versions of Whittaker modules. This construction enabled them to classify all simple Virasoro modules that are locally finite over a positive part. Motivated by this, new simple modules over the Virasoro algebra and its some (super)extended cases have been investigated (see [9–11, 24, 27, 29]). The high order Whittaker modules were studied in [22] by generalized some known results on Whittaker modules of the Virasoro algebra. They obtained concrete bases for all irreducible Whittaker modules (instead of a quotient of modules). Observe that simple highest weight modules, simple Whittaker modules and simple high order Whittaker modules over the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra are restricted modules. This makes us to study restricted modules for the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we make some preparations for later use, which are associated with the Lie conformal superalgebra and Lie superalgebra. In Section 3, a class of superalgebras of twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro is introduced by the point of view of Lie conformal superalgebra. In Section 4, a class of simple restricted $\mathfrak{G}$-modules is constructed, which generalizes and includes the highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules. In Section 5, we give a characterization of simple restricted modules for super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. More precisely, it reduces the problem of classification of simple restricted $\mathfrak{G}$-modules to classification of simple modules over a class of finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras.

At last, we show some examples of restricted $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, such as the highest weight modules, Whittaker modules and high order Whittaker modules.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will make some preparations for later use.

### 2.1 Lie conformal superalgebra

Some definitions and results related to Lie conformal superalgebras and conformal modules are recalled (see [15,18,20]).

A Lie conformal superalgebra is called finite if it is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module, or else it is called infinite.
Definition 2.1. (1) A Lie conformal superalgebra \( S = S_0 \oplus S_1 \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \)-module endowed with a \( \lambda \)-bracket \([a, b]_\lambda\) which defines a linear map \( S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes S_{\alpha+\beta} \), where \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( \lambda \) is an indeterminate, and satisfy the following axioms:

\[
[\partial a, b] = -\lambda[a, b], \quad [a, \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda)[a, b], \\
[a, b] = -(-1)^{|a||b|} [b, -\lambda - \partial a], \\
[a, [b, c]] ==[[a, b]_{\lambda + \mu} c] + (-1)^{|a||b|} [b, [a, c]]
\]

for \( a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta, c \in S_\gamma \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

(2) A conformal module \( M = M_0 \oplus M_1 \) over a Lie conformal superalgebra \( S \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \)-module endowed with a \( \lambda \)-action \( S_\alpha \otimes M_\beta \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes M_{\alpha+\beta} \) such that

\[
(\partial a) \lambda v = -\lambda a \lambda v, \quad a \lambda (\partial v) = (\partial + \lambda) a \lambda v, \\
\lambda (b \mu v) = (-1)^{|a||b|} b \mu (a \lambda v) = [a, b]_{\lambda + \mu} v
\]

for all \( a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta, v \in M_\gamma \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

In particular, there is an important Lie superalgebra related to a Lie conformal superalgebra. Let \( S \) be a Lie conformal superalgebra. Assume that \( \text{Lie}(S) \) is the quotient of the vector space with basis \( a_n \) (\( a \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z} \)) by the subspace spanned over \( \mathbb{C} \) by elements:

\[
(aa)_n - a a_n, \quad (a + b)_n - a_n - b_n, \quad (\partial a)_n + n a_{n-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad a, b \in S, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

The operation on \( \text{Lie}(S) \) is given as follows:

\[
[a_m, b_n] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{m}{j} (a(j)b)_{m+n-j}, \quad (2.1)
\]

where \( a(j)b \) is called the \( j \)-th product, given by \( [a, b] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (a_n b) \). Then \( \text{Lie}(S) \) is a Lie superalgebra and it is called a formal distribution Lie superalgebra of \( S \) (see [18]).

2.2 Lie superalgebra

Let \( V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded vector space. Then any element \( v \in V_0 \) is said to be even and any element \( v \in V_1 \) is said to be odd. Define \( |v| = 0 \) if \( v \) is even and \( |v| = 1 \) if \( v \) is odd. Elements in \( V_0 \) or \( V_1 \) are called homogeneous. Throughout the present paper, all elements in superalgebras and modules are homogenous unless specified.

Assume that \( \mathcal{G} \) is a Lie superalgebra. A \( \mathcal{G} \)-module is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded vector space \( V \) together with a bilinear map \( \mathcal{G} \times V \to V \), denoted \( (x, v) \mapsto xv \) such that

\[
x(yv) - (-1)^{|x||y|} y(xv) = [x, y]v
\]
and
\[ \mathcal{G}_i V_j \subseteq V_{i+j} \]
for all \( x, y \in \mathcal{G}, v \in V \). Thus there is a parity-change functor \( \Pi \) on the category of \( \mathcal{G} \)-modules to itself. In other words, for any module \( V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \), we have a new module \( \Pi(V) \) with the same underlining space with the parity exchanged, i.e., \( \Pi(V_0) = V_1 \) and \( \Pi(V_1) = V_0 \). We use \( U(\mathcal{G}) \) to denote the universal enveloping algebra. All modules for Lie superalgebras considered in this paper are \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded and all irreducible modules are non-trivial.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( V \) be a module of a Lie superalgebra \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( x \in \mathcal{G} \).

1. If for any \( v \in V \) there exists \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( x^n v = 0 \), then we call that the action of \( x \) on \( V \) is **locally nilpotent**. Similarly, the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( V \) is **locally nilpotent** if for any \( v \in V \) there exists \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( \mathcal{G}^n v = 0 \).

2. If for any \( v \in V \) we have \( \dim(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{G}^n v) < +\infty \), then we call that the action of \( x \) on \( V \) is **locally finite**. Similarly, the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( V \) is **locally finite** if for any \( v \in V \) we have \( \dim(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{G}^n v) < +\infty \).

We note that the action of \( x \) on \( V \) is locally nilpotent implies that the action of \( x \) on \( V \) is locally finite. If \( \mathcal{G} \) is a finitely generated Lie superalgebra, then we obtain that the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( V \) is locally nilpotent implies that the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( V \) is locally finite.

## 3 Realize Lie superalgebra \( \mathcal{S} \)

In this section, we construct a class of super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras in the point of view of Lie conformal superalgebra.

The Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra \( \mathfrak{v} \) is a free \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \)-module generated by \( L \) and \( I \) satisfying
\[
[L \lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \quad [L \lambda I] = (\partial + \lambda)I, \quad [I \lambda I] = 0.
\]

It is well known that all free non-trivial modules of Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra of rank one over \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \) are as follows (see \[33\]):
\[
V(a, b, c) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v, \quad L \lambda v = (\partial + a\lambda + b)v, \quad I \lambda v = cv,
\]
where \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \). The module \( V(a, b, c) \) is irreducible if and only if \((a, c) \neq (0, 0)\). It follows from this that we consider a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \)-module \( \mathcal{S}(\phi, \varphi, a, b, c) = \mathcal{S}_0 \oplus \mathcal{S}_1 \)
with \( \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]I, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathbb{C}[\partial]G \) and satisfying
\[
[L \lambda G] = (\partial + a\lambda + b)G, \quad [I \lambda G] = cG, \quad [G \lambda G] = \phi(\partial, \lambda)L + \varphi(\partial, \lambda)I, \quad (3.1)
\]
where \( \phi(\partial, \lambda), \varphi(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda] \) without \( \phi(\partial, \lambda) = \varphi(\partial, \lambda) = 0 \).
Lemma 3.1. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module $S(\phi, \varphi, a, b, c)$ becomes a Lie conformal superalgebra if and only if $a = 1$, $\phi(\partial, \lambda) = b = c = 0$, $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^\ast$.

Proof. First we prove the necessity. Assume that $S(\phi, \varphi, a, b, c)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra. Using the Jacobi identity for triple $(I, G, G)$, we have

$$c(\phi(\partial, \lambda + \mu) + \phi(\partial, \mu)) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$
$$\lambda \phi(\partial + \lambda, \mu) = c(\varphi(\partial, \lambda + \mu) + \varphi(\partial, \mu)). \tag{3.3}$$

First consider $c \neq 0$. Letting $\lambda = 0$ in (3.2) and (3.3), we have $\phi(\partial, \mu) = \varphi(\partial, \mu) = 0$. Now we consider $c = 0$, by (3.3), one has $\phi(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Then the third relation of (3.1) can be rewritten as $[G \lambda G] = \varphi(\partial, \lambda)I$. By the Jacobi identity for triple $(L, G, G)$, we get

$$(\partial + \lambda)\varphi(\partial + \lambda, \mu) = (-\mu + (a - 1)\lambda + b)\varphi(\partial, \lambda + \mu) + (\partial + \lambda a + \mu + b)\varphi(\partial, \mu). \tag{3.4}$$

If $b \neq 0$, putting $\lambda = 0$ into (3.4), we get $\varphi(\partial, \mu) = 0$. This contradicts the hypothesis. Consider $b = 0$. Setting $\partial = 0$ in (3.4), one can see that

$$\mu \frac{\varphi(0, \mu + \lambda) - \varphi(0, \mu)}{\lambda} = a\varphi(0, \mu) + (a - 1)\varphi(0, \lambda + \mu) - \varphi(\lambda, \mu). \tag{3.5}$$

Choosing $\lambda \to 0$, we check that $\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \varphi(0, \mu) = 2(a - 1)\varphi(0, \mu)$. If $2(a - 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, one has $\varphi(0, \mu) = 0$. Inserting this into (3.5), we get $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Then consider $2(a - 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $\varphi(0, \mu) = \Delta \mu^{2(a - 1)}$ for $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}^\ast$. Putting this into (3.5), we obtain

$$\varphi(\lambda, \mu) = a\Delta \mu^{2(a - 1)} + (a - 1)\Delta(\lambda + \mu)^{2(a - 1)} - \mu \frac{(\lambda + \mu)^{2(a - 1)} - \mu^{2(a - 1)}}{\lambda} \tag{3.6}$$

Letting $\partial = -\lambda$ in (3.4), it is easy to get

$$((a - 1)\lambda - \mu)\varphi(-\lambda, \lambda + \mu) + ((a - 1)\lambda + \mu)\varphi(-\lambda, \mu) = 0.$$

Taking $\mu = (a - 1)\lambda$ in (3.6), we obtain $(a - 1)\lambda \varphi(-\lambda, (a - 1)\lambda) = 0$, which forces $a = 1$. Therefore, $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^\ast$.

In summary, by the definition of Lie conformal superalgebra, we get $a = 1$, $b = c = 0$, $\phi(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $\varphi(\partial, \lambda) = \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^\ast$.

Based on Definition 2.1 the sufficiency is clear. \qed

Up to isomorphism, we may assume that $\Delta = 2$ in Lemma 3.1. We can define a class of finite Lie conformal superalgebras $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_1$ with $\mathfrak{s}_0 = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]I, \mathfrak{s}_1 = \mathbb{C}[\partial]G$ and satisfying the following non-trivial $\lambda$-brackets


In the rest of this section, a class of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras associated with twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras is presented.
Lemma 3.2. A formal distribution Lie superalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$ is given by

$$\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{s}) = \left\{ L_m, I_n, G_r \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

with non-vanishing relations:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{m+n}, [L_m, I_n] = nI_{m+n}, [L_m, G_r] = rG_{m+r}, [G_r, G_s] = 2I_{r+s},$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. By the definition of the $j$-th product in (2.1), we conclude that

\begin{align*}
L_{(0)} L &= \partial L, \quad L_{(1)} L = 2L, \quad L_{(0)} I = \partial I, \quad L_{(1)} I = I, \\
L_{(0)} G &= \partial G, \quad L_{(1)} G = G, \quad G_{(0)} G = 2I, \\
L_{(i)} L &= L_{(i)} I = L_{(i)} G = G_{(i-1)} G = I_{(i-2)} I = G_{(i-2)} I = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 2.
\end{align*}

It is easy to check that

$$[L_{(m)}, L_{(n)}] = (m - n)L_{(m+n-1)}, \quad [L_{(m)}, I_{(n)}] = nI_{(m+n-1)},$$

$$[L_{(m)}, G_{(n)}] = nG_{(m+n-1)}, \quad [G_{(m)}, G_{(n)}] = 2I_{(m+n)}.$$  \hfill (3.7)

Then the lemma is proved by making the shift

$$L_m \to L_{(m+1)}, \quad I_m \to I_{(m)}, \quad G_{\frac{m}{2}} := G_{(m+\frac{1}{2})} \to G_{(m)}$$

in (3.7) for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that the central extensions of the formal distribution Lie superalgebra $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{s})$ is exactly the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{G}$.

4 The simplicity of $\mathfrak{G}$-modules

In this section, we will study a class of simple restricted modules of super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, which includes the highest weight modules and Whittaker modules, and so on.

4.1 Some notations and definitions

We denote by $\mathbf{M}$ the set of all infinite vectors of the form $\mathbf{i} := (\ldots, i_2, i_1)$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_+$, satisfying the condition that the number of nonzero entries is finite and $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{M} \mid i_k = 0, 1, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Write $\mathbf{0} = (\ldots, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{M}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$). For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote
\( \epsilon_i = (\ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in M \) (or \( \hat{M} \)), where 1 is in the \( i \)'th position from the right. For any \( i \in M, j \in \hat{M} \), we write

\[
\mathbf{w}(i) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} s \cdot i_s \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}(j) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (s - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot j_s,
\]

which are both non-negative integers. For any \( 0 \neq i \in M \) (or \( \hat{M} \)), assume that \( p \) is the smallest integer such that \( i_p \neq 0 \), and define \( i' = i - \epsilon_p \).

**Definition 4.1.** Denote by \( \succ \) the *reverse lexicographical total order* on \( M \) (or \( \hat{M} \)), defined as follows: for any \( i, j \in M \) (or \( \hat{M} \))

\[
j \succ i \iff \text{there exists } r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (j_s = i_s, \forall 1 \leq s < r) \text{ and } j_r > i_r.
\]

Then induce a *principal total order* on \( M \times \hat{M} \times M \), still denoted by \( \succ \): \( (i, j, k) \succ (l, m, n) \) if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) \((k, \mathbf{w}(k)) \succ (n, \mathbf{w}(n))\);
(ii) \(k = n\) and \((j, \mathbf{w}(j)) \succ (m, \mathbf{w}(m))\);
(iii) \(k = n, j = m\) and \((i, \mathbf{w}(i)) \succ (l, \mathbf{w}(l))\) for all \(i, k, l, n \in M, j, m \in \hat{M}\).

Set

\[
\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} CL_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} CG_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} CI_i \oplus C.
\]

Letting \( V \) be a simple \( \mathcal{B} \)-module, we get the induced \( \mathfrak{S} \)-module

\[
\text{Ind}(V) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{B})} V.
\]

Considering simple modules of the superalgebra \( \mathfrak{S} \) or one of its subalgebras containing the central elements \( I_0 \) and \( C \), we always assume that the actions of \( I_0 \) and \( C \) are scalars \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \), respectively.

For \( i, k \in M, j \in \hat{M} \), we denote

\[
I^i G^j L^k = \cdots I_{-2}^{i_2} I_{-1}^{i_1} \cdots G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{j_2} G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{j_1} \cdots L_{-2}^{k_2} L_{-1}^{k_1} \in U(\mathfrak{S}).
\]

From the PBW Theorem (see [4]) and \( G_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = I_{2i+1} \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), every element of \( \text{Ind}(V) \) can be uniquely written as follow

\[
\sum_{i, k \in M, j \in \hat{M}} I^i G^j L^k v_{i,j,k}, \quad (4.1)
\]

where all \( v_{i,j,k} \in V \) and only finitely many of them are nonzero. For any \( v \in \text{Ind}(V) \) as in (4.1), we denote by \( \text{supp}(v) \) the set of all \((i, j, k) \in M \times \hat{M} \times M \) such that \( v_{i,j,k} \neq 0 \). For a
nonzero \( v \in \text{Ind}(V) \), we write \( \text{deg}(v) \) the maximal element in \( \text{supp}(v) \) by the principal total order on \( M \times \hat{M} \times M \), which is called the \textit{degree} of \( v \). Note that \( \text{deg}(v) \) is defined only for \( v \neq 0 \).

**Definition 4.2.** An \( \mathcal{S} \)-module \( W \) is called restricted in the sense that for any \( w \in W \), \( L_i w = G_{i-\frac{1}{2}} w = I_i w = 0 \) for \( i \) sufficiently large.

### 4.2 Simplicity

The purpose of this subsection is to obtain the simple restricted \( \mathcal{S} \)-modules.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( V \) be a simple \( B \)-module. Assume that there exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) \( I_z \) on \( V \) is injective,

(ii) \( L_i V = I_j V = 0 \) for all \( i > z \) and \( j > z \),

then we get

(1) \( G_{k-\frac{1}{2}} V = 0 \) for all \( k > z \);

(2) \( \text{Ind}(V) \) is a simple \( \mathcal{S} \)-module.

**Proof.** (1) Take any \( k \geq z \). From \( G_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^2 V = I_{2k+1} V = 0 \), we check that \( Q = G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} V \) is a proper subspace of \( V \). For \( t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we get

\[
I_t Q = I_t G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} V = G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} I_t V \subset Q, \quad G_{t+\frac{1}{2}} Q = -G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} V + I_{k+t+1} V \subset Q.
\]

For \( t > 0, k \geq z \), one get \( G_{k+t+\frac{1}{2}} V = 2L_{k+t} G_{\frac{1}{2}} V - G_{\frac{1}{2}} L_{k+t} V = 0 \). Then we have \( L_t Q = L_t G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} V = G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} L_t V + (k+\frac{1}{2}) G_{k+t+\frac{1}{2}} V \subset Q \), which shows \( Q \) is a proper submodule of \( V \). By the simplicity of \( V \), we obtain \( Q = G_{k+\frac{1}{2}} V = 0 \) for \( k \geq z \).

(2) To prove this, we first give the following claim.

**Claim 1.** For any \( v \in \text{Ind}(V) \setminus V \), let \( \text{deg}(v) = (i,j,k) \) for \( i,k \in M, j \in \hat{M}, i = \min\{s: i_s \neq 0\} \) if \( i \neq 0 \), \( j = \min\{s: j_s \neq 0\} \) if \( j \neq 0 \) and \( k = \min\{s: k_s \neq 0\} \) if \( k \neq 0 \). Then we have

(a) if \( k \neq 0 \), then \( \tilde{k} > 0 \) and \( \text{deg}(I_{\tilde{k}+\frac{1}{2}} v) = (i,j,k') \);

(b) if \( k = 0, j \neq 0 \), then \( \tilde{j} > 0 \) and \( \text{deg}(G_{\tilde{j}+\frac{1}{2}} V) = (i,j',0) \);

(c) if \( j = k = 0, i \neq 0 \), then \( \tilde{i} > 0 \) and \( \text{deg}(L_{\tilde{i}+\frac{1}{2}} v) = (i',0,0) \).

We assume that \( v \) is of the form in (4.1), i.e.,

\[
v = \sum_{x,z \in M, y \in \hat{M}} I^x G^y L^z v_{x,y,z}.
\]
(a) Consider those $v_{x, y, z}$ with $I_{k+z}I^xG^yL^zv_{x, y, z} \neq 0$. It is easy to see that

$$I_{k+z}I^xG^yL^zv_{x, y, z} = I^xG^y[I_{k+z}, L^z]v_{x, y, z}.$$ 

Clearly, $I_zv_{x, y, z} \neq 0$. Consider the following two cases.

If $z = k$, one can get

$$\deg(I_{k+z}I^xG^yL^zv_{x, y, z}) = (x, y, k') \preceq (i, j, k'),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $x = i, y = j$.

Suppose $(z, w(z)) < (k, w(k))$ and denote

$$\deg(I_{k+z}I^xG^yL^zv_{x, y, z}) = (x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}.$$ 

If $w(z) < w(k)$, then we obtain $w(z_1) \leq w(z) - \tilde{k} < w(k) - \tilde{k} = w(k')$, which implies $(x_1, y_1, z_1) < (i, j, k')$. Then suppose $w(z) = w(k)$ and $z < k$. Let $\tilde{z} := \min\{s : z_s \neq 0\} > 0$.

If $\tilde{z} > \tilde{k}$, one can see that $w(z_1) = w(z) - \tilde{z} < w(z) - \tilde{k} = w(k')$. If $\tilde{z} = \tilde{k}$, by the similar method, we deduce that $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (x, y, z')$. Then by $z' < k'$, one can see that deg$(I_{k+z}I^xG^yL^zv_{x, y, z}) = (x_1, y_1, z_1) < (i, j, k')$ in both cases.

Combining all the arguments above we conclude that deg$(I_{k+z}v) = (i, j, k')$.

(b) Now we consider $v_{x, y, 0}$ with $G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}I^xG^yv_{x, y, 0} \neq 0$. According to $I_zv_{x, y, 0} \neq 0$ for any $(x, y, 0) \in \text{supp}(v)$, we check that

$$G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}I^xG^yv_{x, y, 0} = I^xG^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}v_{x, y, 0}.$$ 

If $y = j$, one can get that

$$\deg(G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}I^xG^yv_{x, y, 0}) = (x, y', 0) \preceq (i, j', 0),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $x = i$.

Suppose $(y, w(y)) < (j, w(j))$. Then we have

$$\deg(G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}I^xG^yv_{x, y, 0}) = (x_1, y_1, 0) \in \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}.$$ 

If $w(y) < w(j)$, then we have $w(y_1) \leq w(y) - j' < w(j) - j = w(j')$, which gives $(x_1, y_1, 0) < (i, j', 0)$.

Then we suppose $w(y) = w(j)$ and $y < j$. Let $\tilde{y} := \min\{s : y_s \neq 0\} > 0$. If $\tilde{y} > j$, we obtain $w(y_1) = w(y) - \tilde{y} < w(j) - j = w(j')$. If $\tilde{y} = j$, we can similarly check that $(x_1, y_1, 0) = (x, y', 0)$. Now from $y' < j'$, we have $\deg(G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}I^xG^yv_{x, y, 0}) = (x_1, y_1, 0) < (i, j', 0)$. Therefore, we conclude that deg$(G^y_{j+z-\frac{1}{2}}v) = (i, j', 0)$. 
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(c) Consider \( v_{x,0,0} \) with \( L^x_{i+z} I^x v_{x,0,0} \neq 0 \). By the similar arguments in (b), we have the results. Claim \( \square \) holds.

Using the Claim repeatedly, from any \( 0 \neq v \in \text{Ind}(V) \) we can reach a nonzero element in \( U(\mathcal{G})v \cap V \neq 0 \), which gives the simplicity of \( \text{Ind}(V) \). This completes the proof of theorem. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.4.** We note that the induced module \( \text{Ind}(V) \) is a simple restricted \( \mathcal{G} \)-module.

## 5 Characterization of simple \( \mathcal{G} \)-modules

For \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we denote

\[
\mathcal{G}^{(x)} = \bigoplus_{i > x} C L_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i > x} C G_{i - \frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i > x} C I_i.
\]

Note that \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}_+ \).

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( P \) be a simple \( \mathcal{G} \)-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. There exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that the actions of \( L_i, G_{i - \frac{1}{2}}, I_i, i \geq z \) on \( P \) are locally finite.
2. There exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that the actions of \( L_i, G_{i - \frac{1}{2}}, I_i, i \geq z \) on \( P \) are locally nilpotent.
3. There exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( P \) is a locally finite \( \mathcal{G}^{(z)} \)-module.
4. There exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( P \) is a locally nilpotent \( \mathcal{G}^{(z)} \)-module.
5. There exists a simple \( \mathcal{B} \)-module \( V \) satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4.3 such that \( P \cong \text{Ind}(V) \).

**Proof.** We first prove (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (5). Suppose that \( P \) is a simple \( \mathcal{G} \)-module. Then there exists \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that the actions of \( L_i, G_{i - \frac{1}{2}} \) and \( I_i \) for all \( i \geq z \) on \( P \) are locally finite. Take a simple \( \mathcal{H} \)-submodule \( P' \) of \( P \). It is clear that \( L_i, I_i, i \geq z \) are locally finite on \( P' \). Write \( \mathcal{H}_+ = \bigoplus_{m > 0} L_m \oplus \bigoplus_{m > 0} I_m \). By Theorem 6 of [9], we know that there exist \( z' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ (z' \geq z) \) and a simple \( \mathcal{H}_+ \)-module \( S \) such that \( P' \cong \text{Ind}(S) \) as twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro modules and \( L_m S = I_m S = 0 \) for all \( m \geq z' \). Then we can choose \( 0 \neq v \in S \) such that \( L_m v = I_m v = 0 \) for all \( m \geq z' \).

Choose \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) with \( j > z' \) and we denote

\[
N_G = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} C L^m v G_{j - \frac{1}{2}} v = U(C L^{z' - \frac{1}{2}}) G_{j - \frac{1}{2}} v,
\]

which are all finite-dimensional. From the definition of \( \mathcal{G} \) and any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we check that

\[
G_{j + m(z' - \frac{1}{2})} v \in N_G \Rightarrow G_{j + (m+1)(z' - \frac{1}{2})} v \in N_G,
\]
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for $j > z'$. According to induction on $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $G_{j + mz' - \frac{1}{2}} v \in N_G$. As a matter of fact, $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} CG_{j + mz' - \frac{1}{2}} v$ are finite-dimensional for $j > z'$. Hence,

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} CG_{z' + i + \frac{1}{2}} v = CG_{z' + \frac{1}{2}} v + \sum_{j = z' + 1}^{2z'} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} CG_{j + mz' - \frac{1}{2}} v \right) ,
$$

are all finite-dimensional. Now we can choose $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} CG_{z' + i + \frac{1}{2}} v = \sum_{i = 0}^{l} CG_{z' + i + \frac{1}{2}} v .
$$

Then write $V' = \sum_{x_0, \ldots, x_l \in \{0, 1\}} CG_{z' + \frac{1}{2} x_0} \cdots CG_{z' + l + \frac{1}{2} x_l} v$. It is easy to see that $V'$ is a (finite-dimensional) $G(z')$-module.

It follows that we can take a minimal $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(L_m + a_1 L_{m+1} + \cdots + a_n L_{m+n}) V' = 0$$

for some $m \geq z'$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Applying $L_m$ to (5.1), one get

$$(a_1[L_m, L_{m+1}] + \cdots + a_n[L_m, L_{m+n}]) V' = 0,$$

which shows $n = 0$, that is, $L_m V' = 0$. Therefore, for $m \geq z'$, we have

$$0 = G_{i - \frac{1}{2}} L_m V' = [G_{i - \frac{1}{2}}, L_m] V' + L_m (G_{i - \frac{1}{2}} V') = -(i - \frac{1}{2}) G_{m+i - \frac{1}{2}} V',$$

namely, $G_{m+i - \frac{1}{2}} V' = 0$ for all $i > z'$. Similarly, we conclude that $I_{m+i} V' = L_{m+i} V' = 0$ for all $i > z'$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the vector space

$$N_\alpha = \{ v \in P \mid L_i v = G_{i - \frac{1}{2}} v = I_i v = 0 \text{ for any } i > \alpha \}.$$ 

Clearly, $N_\alpha \neq 0$ for sufficiently large $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus we can find a smallest nonnegative integer $\beta$ such that $V := N_{\beta} \neq 0$. Using $r > \beta$ and $s \geq 1$, it follows from $r + s - \frac{1}{2} > \beta$ and $r + s - 1 > \beta$ that we can easily check that

$$L_r(G_{s - \frac{1}{2}} v) = (s - \frac{1}{2}) G_{r + s - \frac{1}{2}} v = 0 ,
$$

$$G_{r - \frac{1}{2}}(G_{s - \frac{1}{2}} v) = 2I_{r + s - 1} v = 0, \ I_r(G_{s - \frac{1}{2}} v) = 0 .
$$

Then $G_{s - \frac{1}{2}} v \in V$ for all $s \geq 1$. Similarly, we can also obtain $I_e v \in V$ and $L_e v \in V$ for all $e \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, $V$ is a $B$-module.
If \( z \geq 1 \), by the definition of \( V \), we get that the action of \( I_z \) on \( V \) is injective. Since \( P \) is simple and generated by \( V \), there exists a canonical surjective map
\[
\pi : \text{Ind}(V) \to P, \quad \pi(1 \otimes v) = v, \quad \forall v \in V.
\]
Next, we only need to prove that \( \pi \) is also injective, i.e., \( \pi \) as the canonical map is bijective.

Denote \( K = \ker(\pi) \). Obviously, \( K \cap V = 0 \). If \( K \neq 0 \), we can choose \( 0 \neq v \in K \setminus V \) such that \( \deg(v) = (i, j, k) \) is minimal possible. Note that \( K \) is an \( \mathcal{S} \)-submodule of \( \text{Ind}(V) \).

According to Claim 1 in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we can create a new vector \( u \in K \setminus V \) such that \( \deg(u) = (i, j, k) \) is minimal possible. Note that \( K \) is an \( \mathcal{S} \)-submodule of \( \text{Ind}(V) \).

Thus, for \( i \geq t \), there exists \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) sufficiently large such that
\[
L_i^k S = G_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^k S = I_i^k S = 0.
\]

Now we prove the “only if” part. By Proposition 5.1 we obtain that there exists a simple \( \mathcal{B} \)-module \( V \) satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4.3 such that \( S \cong \text{Ind}(V) \). Then for any \( s \in S \), by (4.1), we can write
\[
s = \sum_{i, k \in M, j \in \hat{M}} I^i G^j L^k s
\]
where \( v_{i,j,k} \in V \). Denote \( \sigma = \max\{w(i) + w(j) + w(k) \mid i, j \in M, j \in \hat{M}\} \). Choosing \( i \gg \sigma \), we check that \( L_i^k s = 0 \). By the similar method, we have \( G_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^k s = I_i^k s = 0 \) for \( i \) sufficiently large, completing the proof.

From Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, one can immediately obtain the following results.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( W \) be a simple restricted \( \mathcal{S} \)-module. Then \( W \cong \text{Ind}(V) \), where \( V \) is a simple \( \mathcal{B}_z \)-module, and \( \mathcal{B}_z = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}(z) \) is a quotient algebra for some \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).
6 Examples

For \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we denote

\[
T^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}G_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}I_n.
\]

Note that \( T^{(0)} = \mathfrak{S}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_+ \). In the following, we present some examples of \( \mathfrak{S} \)-module \( \text{Ind}(V) \).

6.1 Highest weight modules

For \( h, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C} \), let \( V_h \) be a 1-dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{C} \) spanned by \( v_h \), namely, \( V_h = \mathbb{C}v_h \). Regard \( V_h \) as a \( \mathfrak{S}_0 \)-module such that \( L_0 v = hv, I_0 v = c_0 v, C v = c_1 v \). Then \( V_h \) is an \( T^{(0)} \)-module by setting \( \mathfrak{S}_+ \cdot V_h = 0 \). The Verma module \( \mathcal{V}(h, c_0, c_1) \) over super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra can be defined by

\[
\mathcal{V}(h, c_0, c_1) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{e}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_+) V_h,
\]

and has the highest weight \( (h, c_0, c_1) \). It is straightforward to check that \( \mathcal{V}(h, c_0, c_1) \) is a simple \( \mathfrak{S} \)-module if \( c_0 \neq 0 \). These simple modules is exactly the highest weight modules in Theorem 4.3.

6.2 Whittaker modules

We first recall the definition of the classical Whittaker modules.

**Definition 6.1.** Assume that \( \phi : T^{(1)} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism. For \( c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C} \), an \( \mathfrak{S} \)-module \( W \) is called a Whittaker module of type \( (\phi, c_0, c_1) \) if

1. \( W \) is generated by a homogeneous vector \( u \),
2. \( xu = \phi(x)u \) for any \( x \in T^{(1)} \),
3. \( I_0 u = c_0 u, Cu = c_1 u \),

where \( u \) is called a Whittaker vector of \( W \).

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \( V \) be the finite-dimensional simple \( T^{(1)} \)-module. Then \( \dim(V) = 1 \).

**Proof.** Regarding \( V \) as a finite-dimensional \( \text{Vir}^+ \)-module, there exists \( m \gg 0 \) such that \( L_m V = 0 \), where \( \text{Vir}^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{C}L_i \). For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( m \gg 0 \), it is easy to get

\[
0 = [L_m, I_n]V = nI_{m+n}V \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = [L_m, G_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]V = (n + \frac{1}{2})G_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}V.
\]

Then for sufficiently large \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we know that \( V \) can be viewed as a module of quotient algebra \( T^{(1)}/T^{(m)} \). By Lemma 1.33 of [14], we immediately obtain \( \dim(V) = 1 \). \[\square\]
Remark 6.3. If $T^{(1)}$ contains $G_{\frac{1}{2}}$, the Lemma 1.33 of [14] in above proof will be declared invalid.

Let $\phi$ be a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\phi : T^{(1)} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then $\phi(L_i) = \phi(I_j) = \phi(G_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ for $i \geq 3, j \geq 2$. Let $Cv$ be a 1-dimensional $T^{(1)}$-module with $xv = \phi(x)v$ for all $x \in T^{(1)}$ and $I_0v = c_0v, Cv = c_1v$ for some $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The Whittaker module $W(\phi, c_0, c_1)$ is given by

$$W(\phi, c_0, c_1) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes_{U(T^{(1)})} Cv.$$ 

We note that $W(\phi, c_0, c_1)$ is simple if $\phi(I_1) \neq 0$ and the Whittaker vector spanned by $\{v, G_{\frac{1}{2}}v\}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Assume that $\phi : T^{(1)} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a non-trivial Lie superalgebra homomorphism. Let $t_{\phi} = Cv \oplus Cw$ be a 2-dimensional vector space with

$$xv = \phi(x)v, G_{\frac{1}{2}}v = w, I_0v = c_0v, Cv = c_1v$$

for all $x \in T^{(1)}$. Clearly, if $\phi(I_1) \neq 0$, $t_{\phi}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{S}_+$-module and dim($t_{\phi}$) = 1 + 1. Now we consider the induced module

$$V_{\phi} = U(T^{(0)}) \otimes_{U(T^{(1)})} t_{\phi}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $V_{\phi}$ is a simple $T^{(0)}$-module if $\phi(I_1) \neq 0$. Then the simple induced $\mathfrak{S}$-module $\text{Ind}(V_{\phi})$ in Theorem 4.3 is so-called Whittaker module.

6.3 High order Whittaker modules

Now we show the example of high order Whittaker modules of the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. This is a generalization of Whittaker modules.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we denote

$$P^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq i} \mathbb{C}I_n.$$ 

Let $\phi_k$ be a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\phi_k : T^{(k)} \to \mathbb{C}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $\phi_k(L_i) = \phi_k(I_j) = \phi_k(G_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ for $i \geq 2k+1, j \geq 2k$. Let $Cv$ be a 1-dimensional $T^{(k)}$-module with $xv = \phi_k(x)v$ for all $x \in T^{(k)}$ and $I_0v = c_0v, Cv = c_1v$ for some $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The higher order Whittaker module $W(\phi_k, c_0, c_1)$ is defined by

$$W(\phi_k, c_0, c_1) = U(\mathfrak{S}) \otimes_{U(T^{(k)})} Cv.$$ 

It is easy to get that $W(\phi_k, c_0, c_1)$ is simple if $\phi_k(I_{2k-1}) \neq 0$ and the Whittaker vector spanned by $\{v, G_{k-\frac{1}{2}}v\}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.
Let $\phi_k$ be a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\phi_k : T^{(k)} \to \mathbb{C}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Assume that $t_{\phi_k} = \mathbb{C}v \oplus \mathbb{C}w$ is a 2-dimensional vector space with $xv = \phi(x)v$, $G_{k-\frac{1}{2}}v = w$, $I_0 v = c_0 v$, $Cv = c_1 v$

for all $x \in T^{(k)}$. If $\phi(I_{2k-1}) \neq 0$, $t_{\phi_k}$ is a simple $T^{(k)}$-module and $\dim(t_{\phi_k}) = 1 + 1$. Consider the induced module $V_{\phi_k} = U(P^{(k)}) \otimes_{U(T^{(k)})} t_{\phi_k}$. Clearly, $V_{\phi_k}$ is a simple $T^{(k)}$-module if $\phi(I_{2k-1}) \neq 0$ and $\dim(V_{\phi_k}) = 1 + 1$. The corresponding simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\text{Ind}(V_{\phi_k})$ in Theorem 4.3 is just the high order Whittaker module.
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