

Boundedness and Exponential Stabilization in a Parabolic-Elliptic Keller–Segel Model with Signal-dependent Motilities for Local Sensing Chemotaxis

Jie Jiang*

February 5, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we consider the initial Neumann boundary value problem for a degenerate Keller–Segel model which features a signal-dependent non-increasing motility function. The main obstacle of analysis comes from the possible degeneracy when the signal concentration becomes unbounded. In the current work, we are interested in boundedness and exponential stability of the classical solution in higher dimensions. With the aid of a Lyapunov functional and a delicate Alikakos–Moser type iteration, we are able to establish a time-independent upper bound of the concentration provided that the motility function decreases algebraically. Then we further prove the uniform-in-time boundedness of the solution by constructing of an estimation involving a weighted energy. Finally, thanks to the Lyapunov functional again, we prove the exponential stabilization toward the spatially homogeneous steady states. Our boundedness result improves those in [1, 9] and the exponential stabilization is obtained for the first time.

Keywords: Classical solutions, boundedness, exponential stabilization, degeneracy, Keller–Segel models.

1 Introduction

Chemotaxis is a biased movement of cells due to a chemical gradient which plays a significant role in diverse biological phenomena. In the 1970s, Keller and Segel proposed in their seminal work [14] the following model for chemotaxis:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (\gamma(v) \nabla u - u \chi(v) \nabla v), \\ \varepsilon v_t = \Delta v - v = u. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, u and v denote the density of cells and the concentration of signals, respectively. The signal-dependent cell diffusion rate γ and chemo-sensitivity χ are linked via

$$\chi(v) = (\sigma - 1) \gamma'(v), \quad (1.2)$$

*Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, CAS, Wuhan 430071, HuBei Province, P.R. China, jiang@wipm.ac.cn, jiang@apm.ac.cn.

where the parameter $\sigma \geq 0$ is a constant proportional to the distance between chemical receptors in the cells. In the case $\sigma > 0$, a cell determines its moving direction due to a gradient sensing mechanism by calculating the difference of concentrations at different spots, while in the case $\sigma = 0$, the distance between receptors is zero and thus chemotactic movement occurs because of an undirected effect on activity due to the presence of a chemical sensed by a single receptor (local sensing). One notices that in the latter case, the first equation of (1.1) has the following concise form

$$u_t = \Delta(\gamma(v)u) \quad (1.3)$$

where γ stands for a signal-dependent motility. More recently in [6, 17], by adding a logistic source term on the right hand side of (1.3), this model was also applied to describe the process of pattern formations via the so-called “self-trapping” mechanism, where the cellular motility $\gamma(\cdot)$ was assumed to be suppressed by the concentration of signals. In other words, $\gamma(\cdot)$ is a signal-dependent decreasing function, i.e., $\gamma'(v) < 0$. We remark that $\gamma'(v) < 0$ indicates that cells are attracted by high concentration of signals.

In this paper, we are interested in the boundedness and stability of classical solutions to the initial boundary value problem for the parabolic-elliptic simplification of the original Keller–Segel model with signal-dependent motility for local sensing chemotaxis, i.e., $\varepsilon = \sigma = 0$ in (1.1)–(1.2):

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta(\gamma(v)u) & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ -\Delta v + v = u & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \partial_\nu u = \partial_\nu v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 3$ being a smooth bounded domain and

$$u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}), \quad u_0 \geq 0, \quad u_0 \not\equiv 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In general we require that

$$(A0) : \gamma(\cdot) \in C^3[0, +\infty), \quad \gamma(\cdot) > 0, \quad \gamma'(\cdot) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } (0, +\infty), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(s) = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

In view of the asymptotically vanishing property of γ , an apparent difficulty in analysis lies in the possible degeneracy when v becomes unbounded. Theoretical analysis for the above Keller–Segel model with signal-dependent motility has attracted a lot of interest in recent years, see e.g., [1, 4, 7–9, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 24]. A common strategy used in most literature is to derive the $L_t^\infty L_x^p$ -boundedness of u with some $p > \frac{n}{2}$ by energy method. Then $L_t^\infty L_x^\infty$ -boundedness of v follows via an application of standard elliptic/parabolic regularity theory to the equation for v . However, this method seems only to work under restrictive conditions, for example, specific choices of γ [1, 13, 24], or with presence of logistic source terms [12, 18, 21]. Recently, a new comparison approach was proposed in [7–9, 16]. By introducing an auxiliary elliptic problem that enjoys a comparison principle, explicit point-wise upper bound estimates of v were established directly for generic motility functions satisfying (A0) in any spatial dimension. In fact, it was proved that $v(x, t)$ grows at most exponentially in time and hence degeneracy cannot happen in finite time. In addition, a delicate Alikakos–Moser type iteration

was further developed in [9] to deduce the uniform-in-time boundedness of v directly in higher dimensions without the help of any integrability of u .

Previous studies on (1.4) strongly indicate that the dynamics of solution is closely related to the decay rate of γ . When $n = 2$, it was proved in [7] that classical solution always exists globally with any large initial datum and generic γ satisfying (A0). Furthermore, if γ satisfies additionally

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\alpha s} \gamma(s) = +\infty, \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \quad (1.7)$$

then for any large initial datum, there is a unique global classical solution which is uniformly-in-time bounded [9]. Note assumption (1.7) allows γ to take any decreasing form within a finite region and moreover, any motility function decreases slower than a standard exponentially decreasing function at the high concentrations will guarantee the boundedness, for example, $\gamma(s) = e^{-\sqrt{s}}$. If γ decays even faster such that there is $\chi > 0$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\chi s} \gamma(s) = +\infty, \quad (1.8)$$

then the solution of (1.4) is uniformly-in-time bounded provided that $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < \frac{4\pi}{\chi}$ [9]. In particular, if $\gamma(s) = e^{-\chi s}$, a novel critical-mass phenomenon was observed in [7] that with any sub-critical mass, the global solution is uniformly-in-time bounded while on the other hand, the global solution may blow up at time infinity with certain super-critical mass (see [4, 8, 13] for the fully parabolic case).

In higher dimensions $n \geq 3$, boundedness was studied in several work provided that γ satisfies some algebraically decreasing assumptions [1, 9, 22]. In particular, if $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$ with some $k > 0$, one notices a variant form of (1.4) reads

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot [v^{-k}(\nabla u - ku \nabla \log v)], \\ -\Delta v + v = u, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

which resembles the logarithmic Keller–Segel model:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - ku \nabla \log v), \\ -\Delta v + v = u. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

The above two systems share the same set of equilibria. Besides, they have the same scaling structure. Indeed, for a solution (u, v) , one easily checks that (u_λ, v_λ) is also a solution, where $u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda u(t, x)$ and $v_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda v(t, x)$ with any $\lambda > 0$. Such a scaling invariance indicates that existence results are usually independent of the size of initial datum.

There are very limited theoretical research on both (1.9) and (1.10). Roughly speaking, the dynamics of solution seem to be determined by the size of k . For the logarithmic Keller–Segel system (1.10), there are several study on the admissible range of k for global existence/boundedness and on the other hand, blowup solution was constructed only in the radial symmetric case $n \geq 3$ and $k > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ [19]. The threshold number is still unclear. We refer the reader to [10, 11, 15] for a complete description of related topics for (1.10). For the degenerate system (1.9), boundedness of global solutions with any $0 < k < \frac{2}{n-2}$ was first shown in [1] and later in [9, 22] via different methods. Moreover, existence of global (but likely growing up) classical solution was obtained in [9] within a larger range $0 < k < \frac{\sqrt{2n+2}}{n-2}$.

In the present work, we aim to improve the uniform-in-time boundedness result for (1.4) in higher dimensions. The key observation of this contribution is that under an assumption

$$(A1) : \gamma(s) + s\gamma'(s) \geq 0, \quad \forall s > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

system (1.4) possesses a Lyapunov functional (see also [1]). Then we can perform an Alikakos–Moser iteration to derive a time-independent upper bound of v under weakened conditions compared with [9]. Besides, with the aid of the Lyapunov functional, exponential stabilization of the global solution toward the spatially homogeneous steady states $(\overline{u_0}, \overline{u_0})$ is obtained for the first time. A direct consequence of our result to the specific case $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$ is that boundedness of solutions can be improved to any $k \leq 1$ when $n = 4, 5$, or $k < \frac{4}{n-2}$ when $n \geq 6$. Besides, the solution will converge to $(\overline{u_0}, \overline{u_0})$ exponentially as time tends to infinity.

In order to formulate our result in a more general framework, we introduce the following condition:

$$(A2) : \quad \text{there is } k > 0 \text{ such that } \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} s^k \gamma(s) = +\infty. \quad (1.12)$$

Note that (A2) allows γ to take other algebraically decreasing functions, for example, $\gamma(s) = \frac{1}{s^k \log(1+s)}$ with any $k > 0$.

Now we are in a position to state the main results of the current work.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $n \geq 4$. Suppose that γ satisfies (A0), (A1) and*

$$(A3) : \quad l_0 |\gamma'(s)|^2 \leq \gamma(s) \gamma''(s), \text{ with some } l_0 > \frac{n+2}{4} \text{ for all } s > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Then for any initial datum satisfying (1.5), problem (1.4) possesses a unique global classical solution that is uniformly-in-time bounded.

Moreover, there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending on u_0, γ, n and Ω such that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\alpha t} \quad (1.14)$$

where $\overline{u_0} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0 dx$.

Remark 1.1. *Thanks to the strictly positive time-independent lower bound v_* of v for $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ given in Lemma 2.1 in the next section, assumptions (A1) and (A3) can be weakened as to hold for all $s \geq v_*$. Thus, our existence and boundedness results also hold true if $\gamma(s)$ has singularities at $s = 0$, for example $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$ with $k > 0$. In such cases, we can simply replace $\gamma(s)$ by a new motility function $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ which satisfies (A0) and coincides with $\gamma(s)$ for $s \geq \frac{v_*}{2}$.*

In particular, for the typical case $\gamma(v) = v^{-k}$, we have

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $\gamma(v) = v^{-k}$ and $n \geq 4$. Then for any initial datum satisfying (1.5), problem (1.4) has a unique global classical solution which is uniformly-in-time bounded provided that $0 < k \leq 1$ when $n = 4, 5$, or $0 < k < \frac{4}{n-2}$ when $n \geq 6$. Moreover, there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending on u_0, k, n and Ω such that for all $t \geq 1$,*

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\alpha t}. \quad (1.15)$$

Remark 1.2. Combined with the results in [1, 9], uniform-in-time boundedness for the case $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$ is now available if

$$0 < k \begin{cases} < \infty, & n = 2, \\ < 2, & n = 3, \\ \leq 1, & n = 4, 5, \\ < \frac{4}{n-2}, & n \geq 6. \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

And the exponential decay (1.15) also holds when $n \leq 3$ if $0 < k \leq 1$. We remark that the convergence of (u, v) toward the constant solution was found in [1] when $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$ supposing that $k \in (0, \frac{2}{n-2}) \cap (0, 1]$. However, no convergence rate was given.

Now, let us sketch the main idea of our proof. First, we would like to recall the following identity which unveils the key mechanism of our system:

$$v_t + u\gamma(v) = (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)].$$

Here Δ denotes the usual Neumann Laplacian operator. The above key identity was first observed in [7, 8] which along with a new comparison approach, gives rise to a point-wise upper bound of v with generic functions satisfying (A0). Furthermore, one notices that a substitution of the second equation of (1.4) gives a variant form of this key identity:

$$v_t - \gamma(v)\Delta v + v\gamma(v) = (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)]. \quad (1.17)$$

Thanks to the comparison principle of elliptic equations and the decreasing property of γ , one has

$$(I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)] \leq \gamma(v_*)(I - \Delta)^{-1}[u] = \gamma(v_*)v$$

with v_* being the strictly positive lower bound for v given by Lemma 2.1 below. Then under the assumption (A2), based on a delicate Alikakos–Moser type iteration, we can show that uniform-in-time upper bound of v is obtainable if we have time-independent estimates for $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|v\|_{L^q}$ with any $q > \frac{nk}{2}$ beforehand.

On the other hand, system (1.4) possesses a Lyapunov functional (see also [1]) such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v\gamma'(v)) |\nabla v|^2 dx = 0 \quad (1.18)$$

which implies a time-independent estimate of $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ under the assumption (A1). Then the Sobolev embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^{q_*}$ with $q_* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ yields to a time-independent estimates for $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|v\|_{L^{q_*}}$, which together with Alikakos–Moser iteration indicates that v is uniform-in-time bounded provided that $q_* > \frac{nk}{2}$, i.e., $k < \frac{4}{n-2}$.

Next, in order to prove the boundedness of solutions, it suffices to establish $L_t^\infty L_x^p$ -boundedness of u with some $p > \frac{n}{2}$ since higher-order estimates can be then proved by standard iterations and bootstrap argument. Recalling that v is now bounded from above, $\gamma(v)$ is bounded from below by a strictly positive time-independent constant due to its decreasing property. With the aid of the key identity again, we construct an estimation involving a weighted energy $\int_{\Omega} u^p \gamma^q(v)$, which with proper choice of $p > \frac{n}{2}$ and $q > 0$ will finally imply the boundedness.

Last, the Lyapunov functional also plays a crucial role in the study of exponential stabilization. Since $\overline{v(t)} = \overline{u_0}$, we have $\int_{\Omega} v_t dx = 0$ for all $t > 0$ and hence the energy-dissipation relation (1.18) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2) + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v\gamma'(v)) |\nabla v|^2 dx = 0. \quad (1.19)$$

With the boundedness of v at hand, one can deduce from above by Poincaré's inequality that $\|v - \overline{u_0}\|_{H^1}$ decay exponentially. Then by a bootstrapping strategy, exponential stabilization of (u, v) can be further acquired in $L^\infty \times W^{1,\infty}$.

We remark that if the second equation of (1.4) is of parabolic type. It is still unknown whether the system possesses a Lyapunov functional like (1.18). Thus, at the present stage, we cannot improve the results in [9] for the fully parabolic case using the same idea.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminary results and recall some useful lemmas. In Section 3 we first construct a Lyapunov functional which satisfies certain dissipation property. Then using a delicate Alikakos–Moser iteration, we derive the uniform-in-time upper bounds of v . In Section 4, we first establish the boundedness of the weighted energy which gives rise to the boundedness of the global classical solutions. Then using the Lyapunov functional again we prove the exponential stabilization toward the constant steady states.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some useful lemmas. First, local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to system (1.4) can be established by the standard fixed point argument and regularity theory for elliptic/parabolic equations. Similar proof can be found in [1, Lemma 3.1] and hence here we omit the detail here.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfies (A0) and u_0 satisfies (1.5). Then there exists $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$ such that problem (1.4) permits a unique non-negative classical solution $(u, v) \in (C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})))^2$. Moreover, the following mass conservation holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} v(\cdot, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}).$$

If $T_{\max} < \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

A strictly positive uniform-in-time lower bound for $v = (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u](x, t)$ is given in [1, Lemma 2.2]; see also [3, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose (u, v) is the classical solution of (1.4) up to the maximal time of existence $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$. Then, there exists a strictly positive constant $v_* = v_*(n, \Omega, \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})$ such that for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$, there holds*

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} v(x, t) \geq v_*.$$

Next, we recall the following key identity and an explicit point-wise upper bound estimate for v [7, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $n \geq 1$ and suppose that γ satisfies (A0). For any $0 < t < T_{\max}$, there holds*

$$v_t + \gamma(v)u = (I - \Delta)^{-1}[\gamma(v)u]. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T_{\max})$, we have

$$v(x, t) \leq v_0(x)e^{\gamma(v_*)t} \quad (2.2)$$

with $v_0 \triangleq (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u_0]$.

Last, we recall the following $L^p - L^q$ estimates for the Neumann heat semigroup on bounded domains (see e.g., [5, 23]).

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the Neumann heat semigroup in Ω , and $\mu_1 > 0$ denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in Ω under Neumann boundary conditions. Then there exist $k_1, k_2 > 0$ which only depend on Ω such that the following properties hold:*

(i) *If $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, then*

$$\|e^{t\Delta}w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq k_1(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})})e^{-\mu_1 t}\|w\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for all $w \in L_0^q(\Omega) \triangleq \{w \in L^q(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} w dx = 0\}$;

(ii) *If $1 < q \leq p \leq \infty$, then*

$$\|e^{t\Delta}\nabla \cdot w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq k_2(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})})e^{-\mu_1 t}\|w\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for any $w \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^d$.

3 Time-independent upper bounds of v

In this part, we aim to establish uniform-in-time upper bound for v in higher dimensions when γ decreases algebraically at large concentrations. The proof of the above result consists of several steps. To begin with, we introduce a Lyapunov functional.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $0 \leq t < T_{\max}$, there holds*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v)|\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v\gamma'(v))|\nabla v|^2 dx = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, under the assumption (A1), there is $C > 0$ depending only on u_0 such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \left(\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|^2 \right) \leq C. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of (1.4) by v , integrating over Ω and substituting the second equation of (1.4) yields that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|^2) = \int_{\Omega} u \gamma(v) \Delta v dx = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) \Delta v (v - \Delta v) dx.$$

By integration by parts, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) v \Delta v dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (v \gamma(v)) dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v \gamma'(v)) |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v \gamma'(v)) |\nabla v|^2 dx = 0.$$

Then uniform-in-time estimate (3.2) follows by integration of above identity with respect to time. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. *In view of the time-independent lower bound $0 < v_* \leq v(x, t)$, one can slightly weaken assumption (A1) as*

$$\gamma(s) + s \gamma'(s) \geq 0, \quad \forall s \geq v_*. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, a direct calculation indicates that the above assumption yields

$$s \gamma(s) \geq v_* \gamma(v_*), \quad \forall s \geq v_* \quad (3.4)$$

and hence γ fulfills (A2) with any $k > 1$. In particular, if $\gamma(s) = s^{-k}$, assumption (A1) is satisfied with any $k \leq 1$.

With the above result, we can establish the uniform-in-time upper bounds of v based on a delicate Alikakos–Moser iteration [2]. First, we show that

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $n \geq 3$. Suppose γ satisfies (A0) and (A2) with some $k > 0$. Then there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ independent of time such that for any $p > 1 + k$,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^p + \lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p + \frac{\lambda_1 p (p - k - 1)}{(p - k)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{\frac{p-k}{2}}|^2 + \lambda_1 p \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k} \leq 2 \lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. First, under the our assumption, we may infer that there exist $b > 0$ and $s_b > v_*$ such that for all $s \geq s_b$

$$1/\gamma(s) \leq b s^k$$

and on the other hand, since $\gamma(\cdot)$ is non-increasing,

$$1/\gamma(s) \leq 1/\gamma(s_b)$$

for all $0 \leq s < s_b$. Therefore, for all $s \geq 0$, there holds

$$1/\gamma(s) \leq b s^k + 1/\gamma(s_b). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, multiplying the key identity (2.1) by v^{p-1} with some $p > 1 + k$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^p + \int_{\Omega} u \gamma(v) v^{p-1} = \int_{\Omega} (I - \Delta)^{-1} [u \gamma(v)] v^{p-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\gamma(v) \leq \gamma(v_*)$, we deduce by the comparison principle of elliptic equation that

$$(I - \Delta)^{-1} [u \gamma(v)] \leq \gamma(v_*) v$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} (I - \Delta)^{-1} [u \gamma(v)] v^{p-1} \leq \gamma(v_*) \int_{\Omega} v^p.$$

Thanks to (3.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u \gamma(v) v^{p-1} dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} u \left(b v^k + 1/\gamma(s_b) \right)^{-1} v^{p-1} dx \\ &\geq C \int_{\Omega} (v^k + 1)^{-1} v^{p-1} u dx \end{aligned}$$

with $C > 0$ independent of p and time. Since $v^k \geq v_*^k$ by Lemma 2.1, there holds

$$(v^k + 1)^{-1} v^{p-1} \geq (v^k + v_*^{-k} v^k)^{-1} v^{p-1} = \frac{v^{p-k-1}}{1 + v_*^{-k}} \quad (3.8)$$

from which we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} u \gamma(v) v^{p-1} dx \geq C \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k-1} u dx \quad (3.9)$$

where $C > 0$ may depend on the initial datum, n, Ω and γ , but is independent of p and time. Next, recalling that $v - \Delta v = u$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k-1} u dx &= \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k-1} (v - \Delta v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k} dx + (p - k - 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 v^{p-k-2} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k} dx + \frac{4(p - k - 1)}{(p - k)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{\frac{p-k}{2}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^p + \frac{\lambda_1 p (p - k - 1)}{(p - k)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{\frac{p-k}{2}}|^2 + \lambda_1 p \int_{\Omega} v^{p-k} \leq \lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p$$

with some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ independent of p and time. This completes the proof by adding $\lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p$ to both sides of the above inequality. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $n \geq 3$. Suppose γ satisfies (A0) and (A2) with some $0 < k < \frac{4}{n-2}$. Let $L > 1$ be a generic constant. There exists $C_0 > 0$ depending only on the initial datum, Ω, k and n such that for any $p > q \geq q_* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ satisfying*

$$q < p = 2q - \frac{nk}{2},$$

there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^p + \lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p \leq C_0 L^{\frac{n}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. First, one notices that $q_* > 1 + k$ and $q_* > \frac{nk}{2}$ provided that $n \geq 3$ and $0 < k < \frac{4}{n-2}$. Let

$$q_* \leq q < p = 2q - \frac{nk}{2} = 2q - \frac{kq_*}{q_* - 2}.$$

Denote $\eta = v^{\frac{p-k}{2}}$ and define

$$\alpha = \frac{(p-k)(p-q)}{p(p-k-2q/q_*)}. \quad (3.11)$$

One easily checks that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} p - k - \frac{2q}{q_*} &> q - \frac{2q}{q_*} - k = \frac{q_* - 2}{q_*} q - k \\ &> \frac{q_* - 2}{q_*} \frac{nk}{2} - k = \frac{q_* - 2}{q_*} \frac{kq_*}{q_* - 2} - k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand, solving $\alpha < 1$ yields $p > \frac{kq_*}{q_* - 2} = \frac{nk}{2}$. Moreover, since $q > \frac{nk}{2}$ as well, one checks that $\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k} < 2$. Then an application of Hölder's inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} v^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2p}{p-k}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k}} \eta^{\frac{2p(1-\alpha)}{p-k}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^{q_*} dx \right)^{\frac{2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2p(1-\alpha)q_*}{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}} \quad (\text{since } \frac{2p\alpha}{p-k} < 2 < q_*) \\ &= \|\eta\|_{L^{q_*}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2q}{p-k}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}} \\ &= \|\eta\|_{L^{q_*}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Sobolev embedding inequality

$$\|\eta\|_{L^{q_*}(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_* \|\eta\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

where $\lambda_* > 0$ depends only on n and Ω . Since $\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k} < 2$, invoking Young's inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p dx \\ &\leq \lambda_2 p \|\eta\|_{L^{q_*}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}} \\ &\leq \lambda_2 p \left(\lambda_* \|\eta\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k)q_*}} \\ &\leq \frac{p\alpha\delta^{\frac{p-k}{p\alpha}}}{p-k} \|\eta\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{p-k-p\alpha}{p-k} \lambda_*^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k-p\alpha}} (\delta^{-1} \lambda_2 p)^{\frac{p-k}{p-k-p\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q dx \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_* - 2p\alpha}{(p-k-p\alpha)q_*}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{p\alpha\delta^{\frac{p-k}{p\alpha}}}{p-k} = \frac{\lambda_1 p(p-k-1)}{2L(p-k)^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

It follows from above and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p-k-p\alpha}{p-k} \lambda_*^{\frac{2p\alpha}{p-k-p\alpha}} (\delta^{-1} \lambda_2 p)^{\frac{p-k}{p-k-p\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_*-2p\alpha}{(p-k-p\alpha)q_*}} \\
&= \frac{p-k-p\alpha}{p-k} \left(\frac{2L\alpha(p-k)\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1(p-k-1)} \right)^{\frac{p\alpha}{p-k-p\alpha}} (\lambda_2 p)^{\frac{p-k}{p-k-p\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^{\frac{(p-k)q_*-2p\alpha}{(p-k-p\alpha)q_*}} \\
&= \frac{(q_*-2)q-kq_*}{q_*(p-k)-2q} \left(\frac{2L(p-k)^2(p-q)\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1 p(p-k-1)(p-k-2q/q_*)} \right)^{\frac{(p-q)q_*}{q(q_*-2)-kq_*}} (\lambda_2 p)^{\frac{q_*(p-k)-2q}{(q_*-2)q-kq_*}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^{\frac{q_*(p-k)-2p}{q_*(q-k)-2q}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{n}{2} = \frac{q_*}{q_*-2},$$

and recalling that

$$\frac{nk}{2} < q < p = 2q - \frac{nk}{2} = 2q - \frac{kq_*}{q_*-2},$$

one easily checks that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q_*(p-k)-2p}{q_*(q-k)-2q} &= 2, \\
\frac{(q_*-2)q-kq_*}{q_*(p-k)-2q} &= \frac{2}{n+2}, \\
\frac{(p-q)q_*}{q(q_*-2)-kq_*} &= \frac{n}{2}, \\
\frac{q_*(p-k)-2q}{(q_*-2)q-kq_*} &= \frac{n+2}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{p-q}{p-k-2q/q_*} = \frac{n}{n+2}.$$

Moreover, since $p > q_* > 1+k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(p-k)^2}{p(p-k-1)} &= \frac{(p-k-1)^2 + 2(p-k-1) + 1}{p(p-k-1)} \\
&= \frac{p-k-1}{p} + \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p(p-k-1)} \\
&< 3 + \frac{1}{p-k-1} \\
&< 3 + \frac{1}{q_*-k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and since $p > \frac{nk}{2}$

$$\frac{(p-k)^2}{p(p-k-1)} > \frac{p-k}{p} = 1 - \frac{k}{p} > 1 - \frac{2}{n} = \frac{n-2}{n} > 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Based on the above calculations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2L(p-k)^2(p-q)\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1 p(p-k-1)(p-k-2q/q_*)} &= \frac{2L\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1} \cdot \frac{n}{n+2} \cdot \frac{(p-k)^2}{p(p-k-1)} \\ &< \frac{2Ln\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1(n+2)} \left(3 + \frac{1}{q_* - k - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence one can find $C_0 > 0$ being a constant depending only on the initial datum, Ω, k and n such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(q_* - 2)q - kq_*}{q_*(p-k) - 2q} \left(\frac{2L(p-k)^2(p-q)\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1 p(p-k-1)(p-k-2q/q_*)} \right)^{\frac{(p-q)q_*}{q(q_*-2) - kq_*}} (\lambda_2 p)^{\frac{q_*(p-k) - 2q}{(q_*-2)q - kq_*}} \\ &< \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \left\{ \frac{2Ln\lambda_*^2}{\lambda_1(n+2)} \left(3 + \frac{1}{q_* - k - 1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda_2 p)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{2} L^{\frac{n}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the above and (3.12) we have

$$2\lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p dx \leq \frac{\lambda_1 p(p-k-1)}{L(p-k)^2} \|v^{\frac{p-k}{2}}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + C_0 L^{\frac{n}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^2.$$

Combining Lemma 3.2 and recalling $L > 1$, we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^p + \lambda_2 p \int_{\Omega} v^p \leq C_0 L^{\frac{n}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^q \right)^2.$$

□

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $n \geq 4$. Suppose γ satisfies (A0), (A1) and (A2) with some $k \in (0, \frac{4}{n-2})$. Then there is $v^* > 0$ depending only on the initial datum, γ, n and Ω such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq v^*. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$p_r \triangleq 2^r \left(q_* - \frac{nk}{2} \right) + \frac{nk}{2}, \quad p_0 = q_*.$$

Then $p_r > q_* > \frac{nk}{2}$ and $p_r = 2p_{r-1} - \frac{nk}{2}$. We apply Lemma 3.3 with $(p, q) = (p_r, p_{r-1})$ to have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^{p_r} + \lambda_2 p_r \int_{\Omega} v^{p_r} \leq \lambda_2 p_r \mathcal{A}_r (\mathcal{M}_{r-1})^2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_r \triangleq \sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} v^{p_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_r \triangleq \frac{C_0 L^{\frac{n}{2}} p_r^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\lambda_2}.$$

By solving the above ODE, it follows that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} v^{p_r} \leq \max\{\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{M}_{r-1}^2, \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p_r}\}.$$

Since $p_r \geq q_*$ for all $r \geq 1$, one can choose $L > 1$ sufficiently large depending only on the initial datum, Ω , n and k such that $\mathcal{A}_r > 1$ for all $r \geq 1$. Moreover, adjusting C_0 by a proper larger number, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_r \leq C_0 a^r$$

with some $a > 0$ depending only on the initial datum, Ω , k and n . In addition, since γ satisfies (A0) and (A1), due to Lemma 3.1 and the Sobolev embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^{q_*}$, we may find some large constant $K_0 > 1$ that dominates $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\int_\Omega v^{q_*}$ for all time.

Iteratively, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega v^{p_r} &\leq \max\{\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{r-2}^4, \mathcal{A}_r K_0^{2p_{r-1}}, K_0^{p_r}\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{r-2}^4, \mathcal{A}_r K_0^{2p_{r-1}}\} \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \max\{\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{r-2}^4 \dots \mathcal{A}_1^{2^{r-1}} \mathcal{M}_0^{2^r}, \mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \dots \mathcal{A}_2^{2^{r-2}} K_0^{2^{r-1} p_1}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{r-2}^4 \dots \mathcal{A}_1^{2^{r-1}} K_0^{2^r}, \mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{r-1}^2 \dots \mathcal{A}_2^{2^{r-2}} K_0^{2^{r-1} p_1}\} \\ &\leq C_0^{2^0+2^1+\dots+2^{r-1}} \times a^{1 \cdot r + 2(r-1) + 2^2(r-2) + \dots + 2^{r-1}(r-(r-1))} \times \tilde{K}_0^{2^r} \\ &= C_0^{2^r-1} a^{2^{1+r}-r-2} \tilde{K}_0^{2^r} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{K} = \max\{K_0, K_0^{\frac{p_1}{2}}\}$. Finally, recalling that $p_r = 2^r(q_* - \frac{nk}{2}) + \frac{nk}{2}$, we deduce that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \lim_{r \nearrow +\infty} \left(C_0^{2^r-1} a^{2^{1+r}-r-2} \tilde{K}_0^{2^r} \right)^{1/p_r} = \left(C_0 a^2 \tilde{K}_0 \right)^{\frac{2}{2q_*-nk}},$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. *If $\gamma(v) = v^{-k}$, then v has a uniform-in-time upper bound provided that $k \leq 1$ when $n = 4, 5$, or $k < \frac{4}{n-2}$ when $n \geq 6$.*

Next, we recall the following lemma established in [9].

Lemma 3.4. *A function satisfying (A0), (A1) and*

$$(A3') : \quad l|\gamma'(s)|^2 \leq \gamma(s)\gamma''(s), \quad \forall s > 0 \quad (3.16)$$

with some $l > 1$ must fulfill assumption (A2) with any $k > \frac{1}{l-1}$.

Proof. First, we point out that under the assumptions (A0) and (A3'), $\gamma'(s) < 0$ on $[0, \infty)$. In fact, due to (A0) and (A3'), we have $\gamma''(s) \geq 0$ for all $s > 0$. Then if there is $s_1 \geq 0$ such that $\gamma'(s_1) = 0$, it must hold that $0 = \gamma'(s_1) \leq \gamma'(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \geq s_1$, which contradicts to the positivity of γ and the asymptotically vanishing assumption (A0).

Now, we may divide (3.16) by $-\gamma(s)\gamma'(s)$ to obtain that

$$-\frac{l\gamma'(s)}{\gamma(s)} \leq -\frac{\gamma''(s)}{\gamma'(s)}, \quad \forall s > 0,$$

which indicates that

$$\left(\log(-\gamma^{-l}\gamma')\right)' \leq 0.$$

An integration of above ODI from v_* to s yields that

$$-\gamma^{-l}(s)\gamma'(s) \leq -\gamma^{-l}(v_*)\gamma'(v_*) \triangleq d > 0,$$

which further implies that

$$\left(\frac{1}{(l-1)\gamma^{l-1}(s)}\right)' \leq d.$$

Thus for any $s \geq v_*$, there holds

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^{l-1}(s)} \leq d(l-1)(s-v_*) + \frac{1}{\gamma^{l-1}(v_*)}.$$

As a result, for any $k > \frac{1}{l-1}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{[s^k\gamma(s)]^{l-1}} \leq \frac{d(l-1)(s-v_*)}{s^{k(l-1)}} + \frac{1}{s^{k(l-1)}\gamma^{l-1}(v_*)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $n \geq 4$. Suppose that $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfies (A0), (A1) and (A3). Then v has a uniform-in-time upper bound in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})$.*

Proof. Note that $\frac{1}{l_0-1} < \frac{4}{n-2}$ since $l_0 > \frac{n+2}{4}$. Thus γ satisfies (A2) with some $k < \frac{4}{n-2}$ and due to Proposition 3.1, v has a uniform-in-time upper bound such that $v \leq v^*$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

4.1 Uniform-in-time boundedness

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. With the time-independent upper bound of v at hand, it suffices to establish an estimation involving a weighted energy $\int_{\Omega} u^{1+p}\gamma^q(v)$ for some $1+p > \frac{n}{2}$ and $q > 0$. Higher-order estimates can be then proved via a standard bootstrapping argument. To begin with, we show that

Lemma 4.1. *For any $0 \leq t < T_{\max}$ and $p, q > 0$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)dx + (p+1)p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\gamma^{q+1}|\nabla u|^2dx \\ & + q \int_{\Omega} \left((p+q+1)|\gamma'(v)|^2 + \gamma\gamma'' \right) u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}|\nabla v|^2dx \\ & - q \int_{\Omega} (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)]u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}(v)\gamma'(v)dx \\ & = - (p+1)(p+2q) \int_{\Omega} u^p\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)v dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Multiplying the key identity (2.1) by $qu^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}(v)\gamma'(v)$ with $p, q > 0$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)dx - (p+1) \int_{\Omega} \gamma^q(v)u^p u_t dx - q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)\Delta v dx \\ & - q \int_{\Omega} (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)]u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}(v)\gamma'(v)dx = -q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)v dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where we substitute the second equation $-\Delta v + v = u$.

By integration by parts and the first equation of (1.4), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & - (p+1) \int_{\Omega} \gamma^q(v)u^p u_t dx \\ & = - (p+1) \int_{\Omega} \gamma^q(v)u^p \Delta(\gamma(v)u)dx \\ & = (p+1) \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v)\nabla u + \gamma'(v)u\nabla v) (pu^{p-1}\gamma^q(v)\nabla u + qu^p\gamma^{q-1}(v)\gamma'(v)\nabla v) dx \\ & = p(p+1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\gamma^{q+1}(v)|\nabla u|^2 dx + q(p+1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}(v)|\gamma'(v)|^2|\nabla v|^2 dx \\ & \quad + (p+1)(p+q) \int_{\Omega} u^p\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Lat, by integration by parts again, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)\Delta v dx \\ & = q^2 \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}(v)|\gamma'(v)|^2|\nabla v|^2 dx + q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1}\gamma^q\gamma''(v)|\nabla v|^2 dx \\ & \quad + q(p+1) \int_{\Omega} u^p\gamma^q(v)\gamma'(v)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

This completes the proof by collecting above equalities. \square

Lemma 4.2. Assume that $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfies (A0), (A1) and (A3). For any $1+p \in (0, l_0^2)$, there exist time-independent constants $q = \frac{pl_0}{2} > 0$ and $\delta_0 = \delta_0(p, q) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{(p+1)(p+2q)^2}{4p(1-\delta_0)} \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p}\gamma^{q-1}|\gamma'|^2|\nabla v|^2 \leq q \int_{\Omega} \left((p+q+1)|\gamma'(v)|^2 + \gamma\gamma'' \right) u^{p+1}\gamma^{q-1}|\nabla v|^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Define

$$f(\lambda) = 4\lambda l_0 - 4\lambda^2$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. We observe that $f(\lambda)$ attains its maximum value l_0^2 at $\lambda_0 = l_0/2$. Thus, for any $1+p \in (1, l_0^2)$, there holds

$$1+p < l_0^2 = f(\lambda_0).$$

In other words,

$$\frac{1+p+4\lambda_0^2}{4\lambda_0} < l_0.$$

In addition, we can further find time-independent $\delta_0 = \delta_0(p, \lambda_0) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1 + p + 4\lambda_0^2 + 4\lambda_0\delta_0(1 + p + \lambda_0 p)}{4\lambda_0(1 - \delta_0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + p + 4\lambda_0^2}{4\lambda_0} + l_0 \right) \in \left(\frac{1 + p + 4\lambda_0^2}{4\lambda_0}, l_0 \right).$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\frac{1 + p + 4\lambda_0^2 + 4\lambda_0\delta_0(1 + p + \lambda_0 p)}{4\lambda_0(1 - \delta_0)} |\gamma'|^2 < l_0 |\gamma'|^2 \leq \gamma \gamma'', \quad \forall s > 0$$

for any $1 + p \in (1, l_0^2)$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, there exist the time-independent lower and upper bounds for v

$$v_* \leq v(x, t) \leq v^* \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max}).$$

Thus we infer that

$$\frac{1 + p + 4\lambda_0^2 + 4\lambda_0\delta_0(1 + p + \lambda_0 p)}{4\lambda_0(1 - \delta_0)} |\gamma'(v(x, t))|^2 < \gamma(v(x, t)) \gamma''(v(x, t)), \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})$$

for any $1 + p \in (1, l_0^2)$. Thus, assertion (4.5) holds with $q = \lambda_0 p$ and δ_0 chosen above. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfies (A0), (A1) and (A3). Then there exist $p > \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $C > 0$ independent of time such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} \leq C.$$

Proof. Invoking Young's inequality, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - (p+1)(p+2q) \int_{\Omega} u^p \gamma^q(v) \gamma'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \leq (p+1)p(1-\delta_0) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \gamma^{q+1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & + \frac{(p+1)(p+2q)^2}{4p(1-\delta_0)} \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} \gamma^{q-1} |\gamma'|^2 |\nabla v|^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

with any $1 + p \in (1, l_0^2)$ and q, δ_0 chosen in Lemma 4.2.

Then it follows from (4.1) and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} \gamma^q(v) dx + \delta_0(p+1)p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \gamma^{q+1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & - q \int_{\Omega} (I - \Delta)^{-1} [u \gamma(v)] u^{p+1} \gamma^{q-1}(v) \gamma'(v) dx \\ & \leq -q \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} \gamma^q(v) \gamma'(v) v dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

with any $1 + p \in (1, l_0^2)$ and $q = \frac{pl_0}{2}$.

Since $v_* \leq v \leq v^*$, there is $C > 0$ depending on p, δ_0, γ and the initial datum only such that for any $1 + p \in (1, l_0^2)$ and $q = \frac{pl_0}{2}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} \gamma^q(v) dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} dx. \tag{4.8}$$

Recall the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\xi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla \xi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^\theta \|\xi\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + C \|\xi\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad (4.9)$$

with $\theta = \frac{n}{n+2}$. Denote $\xi = u^{\frac{1+p}{2}}$. Then in view of the uniform-in-time boundedness of $\gamma^q(v)$, we infer by Young's inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} \gamma^q(v) \leq C \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} = C \|\xi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \xi\|^2 + C_{\varepsilon} \|\xi\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2$$

with any $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $C > 0$ independent of time. Thus, by choosing proper small $\varepsilon > 0$, we infer from (4.8) that for any $1+p \in (1, l_0^2)$ and $q = \frac{pl_0}{2}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} \gamma^q(v) dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} \gamma^q(v) \leq C \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{1+p}{2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

with $C > 0$ independent of time. Then in view of the fact $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ together with the uniform-in-time lower and upper boundedness of $\gamma^q(v)$, one can deduce iteratively from (4.10) that for any $1+p \in (1, l_0^2)$ and $q = \frac{pl_0}{2}$,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} u^{1+p} dx \leq C. \quad (4.11)$$

Finally, we note that for any $n \geq 3$,

$$\frac{n}{2} < \left(\frac{n+2}{4} \right)^2 < l_0^2.$$

Thus we can always find $p > 0$ satisfying $1+p > \frac{n}{2}$ such that (4.11) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Boundedness: Once we obtain lemma 4.3 with $1+p > \frac{n}{2}$, we can to deduce the uniform-in-time boundedness of the solutions in the same manner as done in [1, Lemma 4.3]. We omit the detail here. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Assume $\gamma(v) = v^{-k}$ and $n \geq 4$. Then there exists a unique globally bounded classical solution provided that $k \leq 1$ when $n = 4, 5$, or $k < \frac{4}{n-2}$ when $n \geq 6$.*

4.2 Exponential stabilization toward constant steady states

In this part, we establish the exponential stabilization of the global solutions relying on a slightly modified version of the Lyapunov functional (3.1).

Lemma 4.4. *There exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending on u_0, γ, n and Ω such that*

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\alpha t}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Observing that $\overline{u}(t) = \overline{v}(t) = \overline{u_0}$ for all $t \geq 0$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|^2 &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (v^2 - 2\overline{u_0}v + \overline{u_0}^2) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^2 - 2\overline{u_0} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \|v(\cdot, t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce from (3.1) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2) + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + v\gamma'(v)) |\nabla v|^2 dx = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Since $v \leq v^*$ and γ is non-increasing, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 \geq \gamma(v^*) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2$$

which together with Poincaré's inequality $\mu_1 \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2 \leq \|\nabla v\|^2$ yields that

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\Delta v|^2 \geq \mu_1 \gamma(v^*) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \geq \frac{\mu_1 \gamma(v^*)}{1 + \mu_1} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2). \quad (4.14)$$

Here $\mu_1 > 0$ denotes the first positive eigenvalue of the Neumann Laplacian operator and we also use the fact $\mu_1 \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq \|\Delta v\|^2$ if $\partial_{\nu} v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Thus, we infer from (4.13) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2) + \frac{\mu_1 \gamma(v^*)}{1 + \mu_1} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2) \leq 0,$$

which by standard ODI analysis yields that for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v - \overline{u_0}\|^2 \leq e^{-\frac{2\mu_1 \gamma(v^*) t}{1 + \mu_1}} (\|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|v_0 - \overline{u_0}\|^2) \quad (4.15)$$

where $v_0 = (I - \Delta)^{-1} [u_0]$.

Next, from the first equation of (1.4), we have

$$\partial_t(u - \overline{u_0}) = \Delta(u\gamma(v)). \quad (4.16)$$

Multiplying (4.16) by $u - \overline{u_0}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\nabla u|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} u \gamma'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 |\gamma'(v)|^2}{\gamma(v)} |\nabla v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since now u, v are both uniformly-in-time bounded, there is time-independent constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2.$$

Applying Poincaré's inequality, one can find constant $0 < \alpha_1 < \frac{2\mu_1 \gamma(v^*)}{1 + \mu_1}$ depending only on initial datum, γ, n and Ω such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2.$$

In view of (4.15), solving the above differential inequality yields that

$$\|u - \overline{u_0}\|^2 \leq C e^{-\alpha_1 t} \quad (4.17)$$

with C depending on initial datum, n, γ and Ω only.

Next, for any $p > 2$, we multiply (4.16) by $|u - \overline{u_0}|^{p-2}(u - \overline{u_0})$ to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |u - \overline{u_0}|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &= (p-1) \int_{\Omega} u |u - \overline{u_0}|^{p-2} \gamma'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \\ &\leq \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \gamma(v) |u - \overline{u_0}|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 |\gamma'(v)|^2}{\gamma(v)} |u - \overline{u_0}|^{p-2} |\nabla v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, there is time-independent constants $C = C(p) > 0$ and $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p dx + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p \leq \|u - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 dx.$$

We arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p dx + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^2 \right) \quad (4.18)$$

which yields that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u - \overline{u_0}|^p dx \leq C e^{-\alpha_2 t}. \quad (4.19)$$

Note that from the second equation of (1.4)

$$(v - \overline{u_0}) - \Delta(v - \overline{u_0}) = u - \overline{u_0}.$$

Choosing some $p_0 > n$ in (4.19), one may deduce by elliptic regularity and Sobolev embeddings that

$$\|v - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \|v - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{2,p_0}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^{p_0}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\alpha t}.$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\alpha_2}{p_0}$. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we claim that

Lemma 4.5. *There are positive constants $C = C(n, \Omega, \gamma, u_0)$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 1. \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. Since $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$ are now uniform-in-time bounded, one infers from the key identity (2.1) and the second equation of (1.4) that $v \in W_p^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])$ with any $p > \frac{n+2}{2}$ for any $t > 0$. Then by the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exist $\theta_1 \in (0, 2 - \frac{n+2}{p}]$ and time-independent constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{C^{\theta_1, \frac{\theta_1}{2}}(\overline{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \|v\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.21)$$

On the other hand, in the same manner as [1, Lemma 5.1], there exists time-independent constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{\theta_2, \frac{\theta_2}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 1$$

with some $\theta_2 \in (0, 1)$.

Then in view of the following variant form of the key identity:

$$v_t - \gamma(v)\Delta v + v\gamma(v) = (I - \Delta)^{-1}[u\gamma(v)],$$

we can further deduce by standard Schauder's theory for parabolic equations that with some $\theta_3 \in (0, 1)$

$$\|v\|_{C^{2+\theta_3, 1+\frac{\theta_3}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

In turn, we may finally deduce from the equation for u by Schauder's theory that

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

□

With above preparations, we are now ready to prove the exponentially decay of $\|u - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty}$. Denoting $w = u - \overline{u_0}$ and $\gamma_0 = \gamma(\overline{u_0})$, by the semigroup theory, we infer from (4.16) that for any $t > \tau_0 \geq 1$,

$$w(t) = e^{\gamma_0 \Delta t} w(\tau_0) + \int_{\tau_0}^t e^{\gamma_0 \Delta(t-s)} \Delta((\gamma(v(s)) - \gamma_0)u(s)) ds. \quad (4.22)$$

As a result, we deduce by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \|e^{\gamma_0 \Delta t} w(\tau_0)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \int_{\tau_0}^t \|e^{\gamma_0 \Delta(t-s)} \Delta((\gamma(v(s)) - \gamma_0)u(s))\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq \|e^{\gamma_0 \Delta t} w(\tau_0)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C \int_{\tau_0}^t e^{-\gamma_0 \mu_1(t-s)} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla((\gamma(v(s)) - \gamma_0)u(s))\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$ for all $t \geq 1$ due to Lemma 4.5, we obtain that for $t \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla((\gamma(v(t)) - \gamma_0)u(t))\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \|\gamma'(v(t))u(t)\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|(\gamma(v(t)) - \gamma(\overline{u_0}))\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C\|\gamma(v(t)) - \gamma(\overline{u_0})\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$|\gamma(v) - \gamma(\overline{u_0})| = |(v(t) - \overline{u_0}) \int_0^1 \gamma'(sv + (1-s)\overline{u_0}) ds| \leq C|v(t) - \overline{u_0}| \quad (4.23)$$

since $sv(t, x) + (1-s)\overline{u_0}$ is uniformly bounded from above and below on $[0, +\infty) \times \bar{\Omega}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

As a result, recalling Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.3, we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \|e^{\gamma_0 \Delta t} w(\tau_0)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \int_{\tau_0}^t \|e^{\gamma_0 \Delta(t-s)} \Delta((\gamma(v(s) - \gamma_0)u(s))\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \\
&\leq C e^{-\gamma_0 \mu_1 t} \|w(\tau_0)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_0 \mu_1(t-s)} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\alpha s} ds \\
&\leq C e^{-\alpha' t}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

with any $\alpha' < \min\{\gamma_0 \mu_1, \alpha\}$. Here, we use the fact that for any $\beta \geq \kappa > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\kappa s} ds &= e^{-\beta t} \int_0^t e^{(\beta-\kappa)s} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) ds \\
&\leq e^{-\kappa t} \left(t + 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq C e^{-\kappa' t}
\end{aligned}$$

with any $\kappa' < \kappa$ and on the other hand, for $0 < \beta < \kappa$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\kappa s} ds &= e^{-\beta t} \int_0^t e^{(\beta-\kappa)s} (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) ds \\
&\leq e^{-\beta t} \left(t + 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq C e^{-\beta' t}
\end{aligned}$$

with any $\beta' < \beta$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Convergence: By Lemma 4.4 and (4.24), we conclude that

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t) - \overline{u_0}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\alpha' t}, \quad \forall t \geq 1$$

with some $\alpha' > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending on u_0, γ, n and Ω .

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Hubei Provincial Natural Science Foundation under the grant No. 2020CFB602.

References

- [1] J. Ahn and C. Yoon, Global well-posedness and stability of constant equilibria in parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis systems without gradient sensing, *Nonlinearity*, **32** (2019), 1327–1351.
- [2] N.D. Alikakos, An application of the invariance principle to reaction-diffusion equations, *J. Diff. Equ.*, **33** (1979), 201–225.
- [3] T. Black, Global generalized solutions to a parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system with singular sensitivity, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **13** (2020), 119–137.
- [4] M. Burger, Ph. Laurençot and A. Trescases, Delayed blow-up for chemotaxis models with local sensing, preprint, arXiv:2005.02734v2.
- [5] X. Cao, Global bounded solutions of the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel system under smallness conditions in optimal spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. Ser. A*, **35**(2015), 1891–1904.

- [6] X. Fu, L.H. Huang, C. Liu, J.D. Huang, T. Hwa and P. Lenz, Stripe formation in bacterial systems with density-suppressed motility, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108** (2012), 198102.
- [7] K. Fujie and J. Jiang, Global existence for a kinetic model of pattern formation with density-suppressed motilities, *J. Differential Equations*, **269** (2020), 5338–5778.
- [8] K. Fujie and J. Jiang, Comparison methods for a Keller–Segel model of pattern formations with signal-dependent motilities, *arXiv:2001.01288*, submitted.
- [9] K. Fujie and J. Jiang, Boundedness of Classical Solutions to a Degenerate Keller–Segel Type Model with Signal-dependent Motilities, *arXiv:2007.05728*, submitted.
- [10] K. Fujie and T. Senba, Global existence and boundedness of radial solutions to a two dimensional fully parabolic chemotaxis system with general sensitivity, *Nonlinearity*, **29** (2016), 2417–2450.
- [11] K. Fujie and T. Senba, A sufficient condition of sensitivity functions for boundedness of solutions to a parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system, *Nonlinearity*, **31** (2018), 1639–1672.
- [12] H.Y. Jin, Y.J. Kim and Z.A. Wang, Boundedness, stabilization, and pattern formation driven by density-suppressed motility, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **78** (2018), 1632–1657.
- [13] H.Y. Jin and Z.A. Wang, Critical mass on the Keller–Segel system with signal-dependent motility, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **148** (2020), 4855–4873.
- [14] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **30** (1971): 225–234.
- [15] J. Lankeit and M. Winkler, A generalized solution concept for the Keller–Segel system with logarithmic sensitivity: global solvability for large nonradial data, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **24** (2017), Paper No. 49, 33 pp.
- [16] H. Li and J. Jiang, Global Existence of Weak Solutions to a Signal-dependent Keller–Segel Model for Local Sensing Chemotaxis, *arXiv:2007.09446*, submitted.
- [17] C. Liu et al., Sequential establishment of stripe patterns in an expanding cell population, *Science*, **334** (2011), 238.
- [18] W. Lv and Q. Yuan, Global existence for a class of chemotaxis systems with signal-dependent motility, indirect signal production and generalized logistic source, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **71** (2020), 53.
- [19] T. Nagai and T. Senba, Global existence and blow-up of radial solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, **8**(1998), 145–156.
- [20] Y.S. Tao and M. Winkler, Effects of signal-dependent motilities in a Keller–Segel-type reaction-diffusion system, *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **27** (2017), 1645–1683.
- [21] J. Wang and M. Wang, Boundedness in the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel model with signal-dependent motility and logistic growth, *J. Math. Phys.*, **60** (2019), 011507.
- [22] Z.-A. Wang, On the parabolic-elliptic Keller–Segel system with signal-dependent motilities: a paradigm for global boundedness, preprint, *arXiv:2005.04415v1*.
- [23] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel model, *J. Different. Equ.*, **248** (2010), 2889–2905.

- [24] C. Yoon and Y.J. Kim, Global existence and aggregation in a Keller–Segel model with Fokker–Planck diffusion, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **149** (2017), 101–123.