

Lessons Learned from Applying off-the-shelf BERT: There is no Silver Bullet

Victor Makarevich

vitiokm@gmail.com

Lior Rokach

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev

liorrk@post.bgu.ac.il

Abstract

One of the challenges in the NLP field is training large classification models, a task that is both difficult and tedious. It is even harder when GPU hardware is unavailable. The increased availability of pre-trained and off-the-shelf word embeddings, models, and modules aim at easing the process of training large models and achieving a competitive performance.

We explore the use of off-the-shelf BERT models and share the results of our experiments and compare their results to those of LSTM networks and more simple baselines. We show that the complexity and computational cost of BERT is not a guarantee for enhanced predictive performance in the classification tasks at hand.

1 Introduction

Deep learning methods have revolutionized the NLP field in the past ten years. Although LSTM networks (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) have been around for over two decades, the NLP community only learned how to train and use them effectively in the past ten years. Cho et al. (2014) introduced a new sequence-to-sequence method, boosting the field of neural machine translation significantly (Sutskever et al., 2014). The same year Bahdanau et al. (2014) presented the attention mechanism aimed at focusing on specific words within the prefix, in order to make the most accurate prediction of the next word while mapping one sequence to another. During the same period new text representation methods were adapted, complementing the following representation methods: bag-of-words (BOW), tf-idf, and one-hot vectors with dense representations, such as the very prominent word2vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) and Glove (Pennington et al., 2014) embeddings, which served as the go-to methods in many

works (Guy et al., 2018; Blumenthal and Graf, 2019).

Devlin et al. (2018) introduced a pre-trained transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) based on the attention mechanism without any recurrent connections. BERT provided another advancement in the field of pre-trained text representations, showing enhanced performance on various NLP tasks (Devlin et al., 2018; Goldberg, 2019).

Many research directions were shaped by pre-trained word embeddings and representations with several software toolkits available for training deep neural networks. While the Keras (Chollet et al., 2015) toolkit was widely used for text classification (Guy et al., 2018) with padding, the DyNet (Neubig et al., 2017) and PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) toolkits excelled at tasks in which a dynamic computation graph of the recurrent networks was exploited to achieve better predictive performance with sentences of varying length (Aharoni et al., 2016; Ziser and Reichart, 2018).

An important advancement in the dense representation area occurred with the introduction of TensorFlow (Abadi et al., 2015) Hub in 2018. According to Google¹: "TensorFlow Hub is a library for the publication, discovery, and consumption of reusable parts of machine learning models". Google introduced the term *module* which refers to a model's component that can be easily accessible from code via TensorFlow Hub and integrated in the desired model for a downstream task. Today, many pre-trained off-the-shelf models and modules are available from TensorFlow Hub, where they are accessible with the widely-familiar and user friendly Keras look-and-feel. TensorFlow Hub offers multiple pre-trained BERT modules. The availability of these modules actually provides

¹<https://www.tensorflow.org/hub>

a range of possible representation options for the input text as an interchangeable components of a model.

Pre-trained BERT models and other TensorFlow modules are usually trained and tested over large open datasets. In contrast, we focused on applying these models on small proprietary datasets. In this paper, we share our experience using these promising and accessible text representation methods and tools. With these methods and tools, we aimed to outperform advanced baselines based on proprietary word embeddings and LSTM networks in two different tasks. We conducted a series of experiments with modules available from TensorFlow Hub and pre-trained BERT modules which we fine-tuned for the downstream task. Surprisingly, the results we achieved were not as good as the baselines. In Section 2, we discuss the experiments on the *proper word choice* task. In Section 3, we present the experiments on the *political perspective detection* task. We openly share the datasets which we used in the course of this research².

2 Proper Word Choice

2.1 Related Tasks

Over the years, several methods proposed by researchers in the NLP community attempted to solve the task of changing a word withing a given text. Among the most notable are: 1) grammatical error correction (Rozovskaya and Roth, 2016; Ng et al., 2014), 2) lexical substitution (McCarthy and Navigli, 2009), 3) choosing the most typical word in context (Edmonds, 1997), and 4) cloze (Taylor, 1953), which is referred to as masked language modeling (MLM) by Devlin et al. (2018).

2.2 The Proper Word Choice Task

Given a sentence:

$$s = \langle w_1, w_2, \dots, target, \dots, w_n \rangle \quad (1)$$

where the *target* is explicitly specified, the task is to provide a sorted list of the k most appropriate words to replace the *target* in s based on the sentential context of the *target* in s .

For example, the following proper word choices (in green) can be made instead of the original (in red) words.

- My wife thinks that I am a handsome → beautiful guy.
- I always drink a powerful → strong tea.
- The results clearly indicate → show that our method significantly outperforms the current state-of-the-art.

2.3 The Dataset

We used a corpus of 30,000 academic articles (Makarek et al., 2019b) collected from 60 top ranked A and A^* ACM conferences to train from scratch a bidirectional LSTM model as a baseline. We use the same corpus to *fine tune* the off-the-shelf pre-trained BERT models. This corpus consists of of roughly 40 million tokens in 2.78 million sentences.

2.4 Evaluation Settings

For the evaluation we use a test-set³ of 176 sentences (Makarek et al., 2019b) which include a specified word that was replaced during the process of professional editing by native English editors. We use the mean reciprocal rank (MRR) metric to test the model effectiveness. We use the HuggingFace (Wolf et al., 2019) toolkit to process the data and fine-tune the pre-trained BERT model. We used the Google’s Colab environment with GPU acceleration to train the model.

We started our experiments by initializing our model with an off-the-shelf pre-trained BERT model. We then applied the MLM functionality to the proper word choice.

In the first experiment we used the bert-base-uncased model. In the second experiment we used the bert-large-uncased model. In the third experiment we initialized our model with bert-base-uncased and then fine-tuned the model with the scientific corpus for the downstream task of MLM.

2.5 Results

We consider an advanced baseline that uses a bidirectional LSTM tagger (Melamud et al., 2016), and was trained from scratch on the domain specific scientific corpus. The results are presented in Table 1. The three bottom rows in Table 1 show the BERT-based results, while the three upper rows contain the results of the baselines. Surprisingly, the results obtained by the BERT-based

²<https://github.com/vicmak/News-Bias-Detection>

³Available here: <https://github.com/vicmak/Exploiting-BiL>

Table 1: MRR values of BiLSTM and N-Gram models as opposed to MRR values achieved by off-the-shelf and fine tuned BERT models

	Model	MRR
Baselines	BiLSTM - domain specific	0.41
	BiLSTM - general purpose (COCA)	0.33
	N-gram - domain specific	0.34
BERT-based	bert-base-uncased	0.29
	bert-large-uncased	0.30
	fine-tuned bert-base-uncased	0.31

models failed to outperform the BiLSTM models as well as the classical n-gram model with Kneser-Ney (Ney et al., 1994) smoothing.

A bidirectional LSTM model trained from scratch on the same domain-specific corpus as the fine-tuned BERT model outperformed it by 25% in terms of the MRR. A bidirectional LSTM model trained from scratch on the general-purpose COCA (Mark, 2008) corpus achieved superior performance as well.

3 Political Perspective Detection

3.1 The Task

Many European and American media companies provide presumably neutral online news articles in their coverage of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, one of the most longstanding, debated, and emotionally charged conflicts in the world. This task aims on identifying the political perspective of an article in the European or American press. The task is seen as a binary text classification task, determining whether the article reflects the Israeli or Palestinian perspective of the conflict.

3.2 The Dataset

To train the model we used a dataset of 25,000 articles (Makarencov et al., 2019a) which are covering the conflict from both the *Israeli* and *Arab* online media. The articles from the Israeli media were given an Israeli-perspective label, and the articles from the Arab media sources were given a Palestinian-perspective label.

For example, the following Al Jazeera article⁴, which was given a Palestinian perspective label was used in training: "Israel raids on West Bank homes condemned". A Times of Israel article⁵

⁴<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/middleeast/2014/01/rights-groups-condemn-israel-raids-homes-2014122582145919.html>

⁵<https://www.timesofisrael.com/top-us-official-slams-israeli-criticism-of-kerry/>

entitled "Top US official pans Israeli criticism of Kerry", which was given an Israeli perspective label, was used in training.

3.3 Evaluation Settings

We used a test-set that contains 113 articles from the British and from American press. The articles were manually annotated by different annotators who agreed on the label given. Fifty four articles in the test set are labeled with an Israeli perspective and fifty nine with a Palestinian perspective. All of the articles in the test set originate from presumably neutral *American* and *British* media sources that were not used in the training.

For example, the following BBC-news article⁶ was agreed by the annotators to have a Palestinian perspective: "Gaza war actions lawful, report says". A Fox-news article⁷, entitled "Tel Aviv attacks: Israelis want peace but need a peace partner" was consensually annotated as having an Israeli perspective.

We conducted a series of experiments with pre-trained off-the-shelf models which were downloaded as modules and used with Keras wrapping and TensorFlow Hub (Abadi et al., 2015). We used one model as is, we fine-tuned the second one for the downstream task:

- A general-purpose BERT model⁸ trained on the English Wikipedia and Books corpus. This model contain 12 transformers blocks, 12 attention heads, and a hidden size of 768. We fine-tuned this model with the corpus of 25,000 articles used in the training phase.
- An off-the-shelf news-domain model based on Swivel co-occurrence matrix

⁶<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-33128955>

⁷<https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/tel-aviv-attacks-israelis-want-peace-but-need-a-peace-partner>

⁸https://tfhub.dev/tensorflow/bert_en_uncased_L-12_

Table 2: The results of political perspective detection with different models.

	Model	Israeli perspective recall	Palestinian perspective recall	AUC
Baselines	SVM	0.833	0.586	0.710
	Logistic Regression	0.963	0.845	0.964
	LSTM	0.966	0.879	0.966
Off-the-shelf models	BERT pre-trained	0.778	0.638	0.797
	fine-tuned			
	Swivel news matrix factorization	0.740	0.766	0.840

factorization(Shazeer et al., 2016). The model was trained on English Google News 130GN corpus. Since this model was trained on news corpus, we aimed to explore the possibility of transfer learning in similar domains.

3.4 Results

The results for applying the fine-tuned and off-the-shelf models are presented in Table 2. The top three rows contain the results of the baselines, and the bottom two rows present the best results we achieved in a set of experiments performed using pre-trained models and different hyperparameters. All of the code and the test-set is available here⁹.

Both off-the-shelf models, even a fine-tuned BERT model, were outperformed by the baselines. Not only was the performance inferior to an LSTM model that also captures long term linguistic regularities; the performance of the BERT model was also outperformed by a classic SVM classifier that used tf-idf bag-of-words text representation in the Israeli perspective recall metric.

4 Limitations

In the proper word choice task the main limitation of the experiments line stems from the way tokenization is performed by BERT. BERT adopts the WordPiece (Wu et al., 2016) tokenization procedure in which the vocabulary is constructed incrementally starting at the character level, with respect to the corpus. As a result, the vocabulary that was used in the BERT models was different than the baseline models, which used the NLTK (Bird, 2006) library to tokenize the text during training; thus, the probability distribution was computed over a different lexicon in the task of choosing the proper word. One may claim that because of this

the experiments are not directly comparable. The difference in the tokenization procedure does not affect the *comparability* of political perspective detection, since the downstream task is text classification and that’s exactly what we sought to exploit in order to achieve an enhanced performance.

The second major limitation is that in both tasks the test sets are relatively small. There are 176 sentences in the test set for the task of proper word choice and 112 articles in the test set for political perspective identification task.

5 Discussion

We share the results of our experimentation with two different NLP tasks. In both cases we experimented with small proprietary datasets from domains that suffer from a serious lack of labeled data. In these experiments we used the very promising and prominent BERT method and off-the-shelf TensorFlow Hub modules with the aim of outperforming several baselines on the tasks of proper word choice and political perspective identification. We used both pre-trained off-the-shelf and fine-tuned proprietary models. We failed to outdo the earlier folklore baselines as well as an advanced LSTM-based baselines, with a straightforward and systematic way of applying BERT.

Over 30 years ago, Brooks and Kugler (1987) argued that the software development process is hard at its very essence. They could not envision an advanced programming language capable of solving the complexity of performing high-quality software development projects on time. Analogously, a more user-friendly framework for pre-trained models can’t guarantee excellent predictive performance. Training high performing models is essentially difficult. It requires deep understanding of the task data processing expertise. Fine-tuning a pre-trained model might be a good

⁹Anonymized link to GitHub repository

starting point, but the developer will still be required to delve deeply into a model's details in order to excel at predictive performance.

References

- Martín Abadi, Ashish Agarwal, Paul Barham, Eugene Brevdo, Zhifeng Chen, Craig Citro, Greg S. Corrado, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Ian Goodfellow, Andrew Harp, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard, Yangqing Jia, Rafal Jozefowicz, Lukasz Kaiser, Manjunath Kudlur, Josh Levenberg, Dandelion Mané, Rajat Monga, Sherry Moore, Derek Murray, Chris Olah, Mike Schuster, Jonathon Shlens, Benoit Steiner, Ilya Sutskever, Kunal Talwar, Paul Tucker, Vincent Vanhoucke, Vijay Vasudevan, Fernanda Viégas, Oriol Vinyals, Pete Warden, Martin Wattenberg, Martin Wicke, Yuan Yu, and Xiaoqiang Zheng. 2015. [TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems](https://www.tensorflow.org/). Software available from tensorflow.org.
- Roei Aharoni, Yoav Goldberg, and Yonatan Belinkov. 2016. Improving sequence to sequence learning for morphological inflection generation: The biu-mit systems for the sigmorphon 2016 shared task for morphological reinflection. In *Proceedings of the 14th SIGMORPHON Workshop on Computational Research in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology*, pages 41–48.
- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0329).
- Steven Bird. 2006. Nltk: The natural language toolkit. In *Proc. of COLING-ACL*, pages 69–72.
- Frederick Blumenthal and Ferdinand Graf. 2019. [Utilizing pre-trained word embeddings to learn classification lexicons with little supervision](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07111). In *Proceedings of the Second Financial Narrative Processing Workshop (FNP 2019)*, pages 5–15, Turku, Finland. Linköping University Electronic Press.
- F Brooks and HJ Kugler. 1987. *No silver bullet*. April.
- Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Çaglar Gülçehre, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1078). *CoRR*, abs/1406.1078.
- François Chollet et al. 2015. Keras. <https://keras.io>.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Philip Edmonds. 1997. [Choosing the word most typical in context using a lexical co-occurrence network](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03280). In *Proceedings of the Eighth Conference on European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, EACL '97, pages 507–509, Stroudsburg, PA, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yoav Goldberg. 2019. [Assessing bert's syntactic abilities](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03226).
- Ido Guy, Victor Makarek, Niva Hazon, Lior Rokach, and Bracha Shapira. 2018. [Identifying informational vs. conversational questions on community](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.07111). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM 18, page 216224, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. [Long short-term memory](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03226). *Neural Comput.*, 9(8):17351780.
- Victor Makarek, Ido Guy, Niva Hazon, Tamar Meisels, Bracha Shapira, and Lior Rokach. 2019a. Implicit dimension identification in user-generated text with lstm networks. *Information Processing and Management*, 56(5):1880–1893.
- Victor Makarek, Lior Rokach, and Bracha Shapira. 2019b. Choosing the right word: Using bidirectional lstm tagger for writing support systems. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 84:1–10.
- Davies Mark. 2008. The corpus of contemporary american english: 450 million words, 1990-present. available online at <http://corpus.byu.edu/coca/>.
- Diana McCarthy and Roberto Navigli. 2009. The english lexical substitution task. *Language resources and evaluation*, 43(2):139–159.
- Oren Melamud, Jacob Goldberger, and Ido Dagan. 2016. [context2vec: Learning generic context embedding with bidirectional](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.03913). In *Proceedings of the 20th SIGNLL Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, CoNLL 2016, Berlin, Germany, August 11-12, 2016*, pages 51–61.
- Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. [Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781).
- Graham Neubig, Chris Dyer, Yoav Goldberg, Austin Matthews, Waleed Ammar, Antonios Anastasopoulos, Miguel Ballesteros, David Chiang, Daniel Clothiaux, Trevor Cohn, Kevin Duh, Manaal Faruqi, Cynthia Gan, Dan Garrette, Yangfeng Ji, Lingpeng Kong, Adhiguna Kuncoro, Gaurav Kumar, Chaitanya Malaviya, Paul Michel, Yusuke Oda, Matthew Richardson, Naomi Saphra, Swabha Swayamdipta, and Pengcheng Yin. 2017. Dynet: The dynamic neural network toolkit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.03280*.

- Hermann Ney, Ute Essen, and Reinhard Kneser. 1994. [On structuring probabilistic dependences in stochastic language modeling](#). *Computer Speech and Language*, 8(1):1 – 38.
- Hwee Tou Ng, Siew Mei Wu, Ted Briscoe, Christian Hadiwinoto, Raymond Hendy Susanto, and Christopher Bryant. 2014. [The conll-2014 shared task on grammatical error correction](#). In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning: Shared Task*, pages 1–14, Baltimore, Maryland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. 2019. [Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library](#). In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pages 8024–8035. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D. Manning. 2014. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In *EMNLP*.
- Alla Rozovskaya and Dan Roth. 2016. [Grammatical error correction: Machine translation and classifiers](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2016, August 7-12, 2016, Berlin, Germany, Volume 1: Long Papers*.
- Noam Shazeer, Ryan Doherty, Colin Evans, and Chris Waterson. 2016. [Swivel: Improving embeddings by noticing what’s missing](#). *CoRR*, abs/1602.02215.
- Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V. Le. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2, NIPS14*, page 31043112, Cambridge, MA, USA. MIT Press.
- Wilson L Taylor. 1953. cloze procedure: A new tool for measuring readability. *Journalism quarterly*, 30(4):415–433.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. [Attention is all you need](#).
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rmi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, and Jamie Brew. 2019. [Huggingface’s transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing](#).
- Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V. Le, Mohammad Norouzi, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, Jeff Klingner, Apurva Shah, Melvin Johnson, Xiaobing Liu, ukasz Kaiser, Stephan Gouws, Yoshikiyo Kato, Taku Kudo, Hideto Kazawa, Keith Stevens, George Kurian, Nishant Patil, Wei Wang, Cliff Young, Jason Smith, Jason Riesa, Alex Rudnick, Oriol Vinyals, Greg Corrado, Macduff Hughes, and Jeffrey Dean. 2016. [Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between](#)
- Yftah Ziser and Roi Reichart. 2018. Pivot based language modeling for improved neural domain adaptation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1241–1251.