

# On the Rényi entropy of Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating black holes

Zoltán Kökényesi<sup>(a),(b),1</sup> and Annamária Sinkovics<sup>(b),2</sup>

*(a) Division of Theoretical Physics  
Ruder Bošković Institute  
Bijenička 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia*

*(b) Institute of Theoretical Physics  
MTA-ELTE Theoretical Research Group  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány s. 1/A, 1117 Budapest, Hungary*

## Abstract

We study Rényi entropies for geometries with Lifshitz scaling and hyperscaling violation. We calculate them for specific values of the Lifshitz parameter, and analyze the dual spectrum of the ground state. In the large  $d - \theta$  limit they show that the ground state is unique in specific parameter ranges. We also calculate the Rényi entropies perturbatively around  $n = 1$ , and derive constraints using the Rényi entropy inequalities, which correspond to the thermodynamic stability of the black holes.

---

<sup>1</sup> Zoltan.Kokenyesi@irb.hr

<sup>2</sup> sinkovics@general.elte.hu

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Hyperscaling violating black holes</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Electric solution . . . . .	3
2.2	Magnetic solution in $d = 2$ and electric/magnetic duality . . . . .	4
2.3	Null energy condition . . . . .	6
2.4	Thermodynamics . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Calculation of Rényi entropy</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Holographic Rényi entropy . . . . .	9
3.2	Rényi entropy of electric solution in grand canonical ensemble . . . . .	12
3.3	Calculation of Rényi entropy for specific values of the Lifshitz parameter . . . . .	13
3.4	Large $d_\theta$ limit . . . . .	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Rényi entropy and quantum corrections</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1	Perturbative solution with quantum corrections . . . . .	17
4.2	Rényi entropy around $n = 1$ . . . . .	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion and outlook</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>An example for both discrete and continuous spectrum</b>	<b>23</b>

## 1 Introduction

In the past decades calculating entanglement entropy was extremely useful in quantum information theory, condensed matter physics and quantum chemistry, while it also turned out to be one of the most celebrated aspects of gauge/gravity dualities, which broadened its application to numerous strongly coupled field theories.

While entanglement entropy (i.e. von Neumann entropy) is completely capable to measure entanglement between two subsystems of a pure state, its one parameter deformation, the Rényi entropy [1,2], carries much more information other than its entanglement characteristics: knowing the Rényi entropy for all parameters determines the spectrum of the reduced system. Furthermore, it is much easier to measure experimentally in condensed matter systems [3], and it can be used to distinguish between different thermodynamical states, which have the same von Neumann entropy [4,5].

Gravitational dual of Rényi entropy in strongly coupled field theories corresponds to introduce conical singularity [6,7] and it was well studied for simple intervals and different thermodynamical ensembles in AdS/CFT [8–19]. The Rényi entropy should obey four inequalities by definition [20,21], which correspond to positivity of thermal entropy and specific heat of a black hole on the dual gravity side [9,22]. These inequalities can give information on less understood dualities, giving constraints on the parameter space of theories that could be connected by holographic duality [23].

While the quantum structure of black holes is still not well understood, Rényi entropy could be useful to extract information about the black hole microstates at least in the regime where the holographic principle relates them to those of the field theory. Our particular interest is the so

called Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating black holes, which are dual to certain condensed matter systems, and our study encompasses their gravitational Rényi entropy.

Certain non-relativistic critical systems in condensed matter theory show anisotropic scaling properties between space and time  $(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (\lambda^z t, \lambda \vec{x})$ , which is called the Lifshitz scaling, which can be parametrized by the dynamical critical exponent  $z$ . The corresponding gravity theories realizing this scaling property are given by Lifshitz spacetimes [24–26], which can be thought of as a non-relativistic generalization of the AdS spacetime. One of the importance of this anisotropy is that its specific heat scales at low temperature as  $c_V \sim T^{d/z}$ , where  $d$  is the space dimension of the boundary. Since Fermi liquids show linear dependence such that  $c_V \sim T$ , Lifshitz scaling theories are good candidate to describe Fermi liquids for  $z = d$ .

Theories violating the hyperscaling relations between critical exponents are a one-parameter deformations of the Lifshitz scaling theories and they exhibit a specific heat  $c_V \sim T^{(d-\theta)/z}$ , where the appearance of the additional hyperscaling violating parameter  $\theta$  allows to describe the characteristics of Fermi-liquids for relativistic theories and arbitrary dimensions by using the specific choice  $\theta = d - 1$  [27–30]. Dual spacetimes, which has the corresponding asymptotic scaling property, could be solutions in both Einstein-Proca and Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theories [25, 27, 31–37], but the latter has the advantage that it supports analytic black hole solutions for non-zero temperature. Various properties of these solutions and ways to obtain them within supergravity and string theory embeddings were studied in [34, 35, 38–49]. These black hole solutions were constructed for planar, spherical and hyperbolic horizon topologies with non-zero charges, and their thermodynamics was extensively surveyed and studied in [50]. Phase transition only occur for spherical topologies with parameter  $1 \leq z \leq 2$ . In grand canonical ensemble, when the electric potential is kept fixed, the phase transition is completely analogous to the Hawking-Page phase transition [51, 52], while in the fixed charge canonical ensemble, it mimics the characteristics of the van der Waals liquid-gas phase transition [53, 54].

In Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton realization of hyperscaling violating spacetimes dilaton runs logarithmically, which reflects the fact that these geometries modifies in the deep IR. For flat electrically charged solutions the dilatonic scalar drives the system towards extreme weak coupling in the deep IR, and  $\alpha'$  corrections become important. In the presence of magnetic charge the dilaton runs towards strong coupling, and quantum corrections to the gauge kinetic coupling and dilaton potential should be taken into account, which support the emergence of AdS<sub>2</sub> in the deep IR [55–58]. Stability constraint of spatially modulated fluctuations around the IR geometry restricts the form of these quantum corrections [59]. Then towards the UV the geometry flows through an intermediate region having hyperscaling violation and Lifshitz scaling, then arrives at AdS <sub>$d+2$</sub> .

Black brane geometries with finite temperature have a non-zero horizon, and it is expected that only extremal solutions flow to AdS<sub>2</sub> in the deep IR [35]. In this paper we study the geometries in the region, where the quantum corrections or other contributions to the gauge kinetic coupling or dilaton potential become relevant. Deeper understanding of their Rényi entropy can give further insight on the dual spectrum.

After briefing the necessary background in §2, we calculate Rényi entropies in §3 for hyperscaling violating black holes in grand canonical ensemble for some integer Lifshitz parameter  $z$ , and we analyze what insights it can provide on the spectrum of the dual theory. By studying the inequalities we find its stability condition for spherical topology agrees with the one in the case of Hawking-Page phase transition, in agreement with the expectations. In §4 we also calculate Rényi entropy perturbatively around  $n = 1$  (i.e. Bekenstein-Hawking entropy), and derive constraints on quantum corrections using the Rényi entropy inequalities, which actually correspond to the thermodynamic stability of the black holes.

## 2 Hyperscaling violating black holes

In this section we briefly survey the basics on hyperscaling violating and Lifshitz scaling black hole solutions in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory with three Maxwell gauge field. Our discussion is mostly based on [50].

### 2.1 Electric solution

The action contains kinetic terms for the dilaton field  $\phi$  and the three Maxwell fields  $A_F$ ,  $A_H$  and  $A_K$  with field strengths  $F = dA_F$ ,  $H = dA_H$  and  $K = dA_K$ . They support the solution with Lifshitz scaling ( $F$ ), non-trivial topology ( $H$ ) and non-zero charge ( $K$ ) respectively. The gauge kinetic couplings are given by functions  $X(\phi)$ ,  $Y(\phi)$  and  $Z(\phi)$  and together with the dilaton potential  $V(\phi)$  they determine the action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{EMD}} = & -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}X(\phi) F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4}Y(\phi) H_{\mu\nu}H^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Z(\phi) K_{\mu\nu}K^{\mu\nu} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The equation of motions are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi \right) - \frac{1}{2} X(\phi) \left( F_{\mu\rho} F^\rho{}_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} Y(\phi) \left( H_{\mu\rho} H^\rho{}_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} H_{\rho\sigma} H^{\rho\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{2} Z(\phi) \left( K_{\mu\rho} K^\rho{}_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} K_{\rho\sigma} K^{\rho\sigma} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$D_\mu \partial^\mu \phi + \partial_\phi V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} \partial_\phi X(\phi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \partial_\phi Y(\phi) H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \partial_\phi Z(\phi) K_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$D_\mu (X(\phi) F^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad D_\mu (Y(\phi) H^{\mu\nu}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu (Z(\phi) K^{\mu\nu}) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Here we focus on electric solutions, we come back to the magnetic case in  $d = 2$  later. The hyperscaling violating and Lifshitz scaling solution is given by the black hole metric

$$ds^2 = \left( \frac{r}{r_F} \right)^{-\frac{2\theta}{d}} \left( - \left( \frac{r}{\ell} \right)^{2z} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{f(r)r^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{k,d}^2 \right), \quad (2.5)$$

with blackening factor

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{m}{r^{d-\theta+z}} + \frac{q^2}{r^{2(d-\theta+z-1)}} + k \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-\theta+z-2)^2} \frac{\ell^2}{r^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

The black hole parameters introduced here are the mass parameter  $m$  and charge parameter  $q$ , and  $\ell$  is the overall scale of the geometry.  $r_F$  is the upper cut-off, but it does not play any further role in this paper. The horizon part of the metric  $d\Omega_{k,d}^2$  is defined differently for planar ( $k = 0$ ), spherical ( $k = 1$ ) and hyperbolic ( $k = -1$ ) topologies, such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_{0,d}^2 &= \frac{dx_0^2}{\ell^2} + \dots + \frac{dx_{d-1}^2}{\ell^2}, \\ d\Omega_{1,d}^2 &= dx_0^2 + \sin^2 x_0 dx_1^2 + \dots + \sin^2 x_0 \dots \sin^2 x_{d-2} dx_{d-1}^2, \\ d\Omega_{-1,d}^2 &= dx_0^2 + \sinh^2 x_0 d\Omega_{1,d-1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Although the same notion of horizon coordinates was used here for different topologies, they do not range the same. For planar topology they are usual compact variables, while for spherical and hyperbolic horizons they are the respective standard angles, and they both have a regularized finite volume denoted by  $\omega_{k,d} := \int d\Omega_{k,d}^2$ . The geometry is considered to be valid only in an intermediate region between the IR (close to the horizon) and the UV (close to the asymptotic boundary at  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the cut-off scale is given by  $r_F$ ). We require that the blackening factor  $f(r) \rightarrow 1$  as  $r$  approaches the boundary, which is satisfied by using the constraint  $d - \theta + z > 0$ . The metric (2.5) in this limit has a scaling symmetry

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^z t, \quad \Omega \rightarrow \lambda \Omega, \quad r \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} r \quad \text{and} \quad ds \rightarrow \lambda^{\theta/d} ds. \quad (2.8)$$

The electric field strengths are given in terms of functions  $E_F(r)$ ,  $E_H(r)$  and  $E_K(r)$  with

$$F = E_F(r) dt \wedge dr, \quad H = E_H(r) dt \wedge dr \quad \text{and} \quad K = E_K(r) dt \wedge dr, \quad (2.9)$$

and their dependence on the radial coordinate can be derived from the equation of motions, such as

$$E_F(r) = E_{F,0} r^{d-\theta+z-1}, \quad E_H(r) = E_{H,0} r^{d-\theta+z-3} \quad \text{and} \quad E_K(r) = E_{K,0} r^{-(d-\theta+z-1)}. \quad (2.10)$$

The solution of the dilaton has the logarithmic form

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \gamma \log r \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = \sqrt{2(d-\theta)(z-1-\theta/d)}. \quad (2.11)$$

The gauge kinetic functions and dilaton potential, which support the metric above, is a first order exponential such that

$$X(\phi) = X_0 e^{2\alpha_X \phi}, \quad Y(\phi) = Y_0 e^{2\alpha_Y \phi}, \quad Z(\phi) = Z_0 e^{2\alpha_Z \phi} \quad \text{and} \quad V(\phi) = V_0 e^{\eta \phi}. \quad (2.12)$$

and the coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= \frac{2(z-1)(d-\theta+z)}{\ell^{2z} E_{F,0}^2} r_F^{2\theta/d} e^{-2\alpha_X \phi_0}, & \alpha_X &= -\frac{(d-\theta+\theta/d)}{\gamma}, \\ Y_0 &= \frac{2k(d-1)(d(z-1)-\theta)}{(d-\theta+z-2)\ell^{2(z-1)} E_{H,0}^2} r_F^{2\theta/d} e^{-2\alpha_Y \phi_0}, & \alpha_Y &= -\frac{(d-1)(d-\theta)}{d\gamma}, \\ Z_0 &= \frac{2q^2(d-\theta)(d-\theta+z-2)}{\ell^{2z} E_{K,0}^2} r_F^{2\theta/d} e^{-2\alpha_Z \phi_0}, & \alpha_Z &= \frac{z-1-\theta/d}{\gamma}, \\ V_0 &= \frac{(d-\theta+z-1)(d-\theta+z)}{\ell^2 r_F^{2\theta/d}} e^{-\eta \phi_0}, & \eta &= \frac{2\theta}{d\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

## 2.2 Magnetic solution in $d = 2$ and electric/magnetic duality

The magnetic solution is only known in four dimensions. We take the magnetic field strengths to be constants with respect to the radial coordinate, and we define them by

$$F = Q_F \varphi_k(x_0) dx_0 \wedge dx_1, \quad H = Q_H \varphi_k(x_0) dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \quad \text{and} \quad K = Q_K \varphi_k(x_0) dx_0 \wedge dx_1, \quad (2.14)$$

where the function  $\varphi_k(x_0)$  distinguishes the different topologies such that

$$\varphi(x_0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \ell^2 \sin x_0, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \ell^2 \sinh x_0, & \text{if } k = -1. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

The magnetic solution is slightly differs from the electric. The metric, dilaton and dilaton potential are the same as those were given for the electric solution in  $d = 2$  by (2.5), (2.6), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), while the gauge kinetic functions describe an inverse coupling to the field strengths as

$$X(\phi) = X_0 e^{-2\alpha_X \phi}, \quad Y(\phi) = Y_0 e^{-2\alpha_Y \phi} \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\phi) = Z_0 e^{-2\alpha_Z \phi}. \quad (2.16)$$

The coupling is inverse in the sense that a gauge field that was weakly coupled in the electric case is now strongly coupled and vice versa. The coefficients are slightly different than the electric ones, and they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= \frac{2(z-1)(2-\theta+z)}{\ell^6 Q_F^2} r_F^\theta e^{2\alpha_X \phi_0}, \\ Y_0 &= \frac{2k(2(z-1)-\theta)}{(z-\theta)\ell^4 Q_H^2} r_F^\theta e^{2\alpha_Y \phi_0}, \\ Z_0 &= \frac{2q^2(2-\theta)(z-\theta)}{\ell^6 Q_K^2} r_F^\theta e^{2\alpha_Z \phi_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and the exponential constants  $\alpha_X$ ,  $\alpha_Y$  and  $\alpha_Z$  are the same as those previously defined by (2.13).

Electric/magnetic duality in  $d = 2$ . One can see that both the electric and magnetic field strengths support the same geometry, but they are not dual to each other in general. Following [60] the electric/magnetic duality  $F \leftrightarrow e^{2\alpha\phi} *F$  with  $\phi \leftrightarrow -\phi$  is only true if the dilaton potential  $V(\phi)$  is a constant. If we denote the electric field strength and coupling by  $F^{(e)} = E_F(r) dt \wedge dr$  and  $X^{(e)}(\phi)$ , the magnetic ones by  $F^{(m)} = Q_F dx \wedge dy$  and  $X^{(m)}(\phi)$ , the duality can be formulated precisely as

$$F^{(m)} = e^{2\alpha_X \phi} * F^{(e)}, \quad F^{(e)} = -e^{-2\alpha_X \phi} * F^{(m)} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{(m)}(\phi) = X^{(e)}(-\phi), \quad (2.18)$$

and analogously for the other two gauge fields. The duality changes the corresponding gauge term in the action by a sign such that

$$X^{(e)}(\phi) F_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} F^{(e)\mu\nu} = -X^{(m)}(\phi) F_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} F^{(m)\mu\nu}. \quad (2.19)$$

The expressions for the field strengths (2.13) and (2.17) yield the correspondence

$$Q_F = -\ell^{z-3} e^{2\alpha_X \phi_0} E_{F,0}, \quad Q_H = -\ell^{z-3} e^{2\alpha_Y \phi_0} E_{H,0} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_K = -\ell^{z-3} e^{2\alpha_Z \phi_0} E_{K,0} \quad (2.20)$$

between magnetic and electric constants. If the dilaton potential is not constant, the change between the electric and magnetic solutions defined in (2.18) can not be derived by using a field redefinition  $\phi \leftrightarrow -\phi$ . The constant dilaton potential yields the vanishing of the hyperscaling violating coefficient  $\theta$ , hence pure Lifshitz scaling geometries in four dimensions have electric/magnetic duality.

Another possibility is that if  $V(\phi) \equiv 0$ , which is satisfied for  $\theta = z + 1$  or  $\theta = z + 2$ . This would spoil the asymptotic scaling of the geometry, but can be consistent with a UV completion, if the quantum corrections for  $V(\phi)$  support  $\text{AdS}_4$  in the UV.

### 2.3 Null energy condition

The null energy condition is required by the duality in order to have a reasonable field theory on the boundary. It is a constraint on the energy-momentum tensor, which says  $T_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu \geq 0$  for arbitrary null-vector  $n_\mu$ . The energy-momentum tensor is given by the Einstein tensor with  $T_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ . By choosing two orthogonal null-vectors the condition gives two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (d-\theta)(d(z-1)-\theta) , \\ 0 &\leq \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} (z-1)(d-\theta+z) + k \frac{(d-1)(d(z-1)-\theta)}{(d-\theta+z-2)} + q^2 \frac{(d-\theta)(d(z-1)-\theta)}{\ell^2 r^{2(d-\theta+z-2)}} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

which should hold for arbitrary radius.

Since we expect that the energy scale of the dual field theory ranges between the horizon, which is located at the radius  $r_h$ , and the UV cut-off, which we take to be at infinite radius here, we require the null energy condition to be satisfied on this domain. We discuss two further limits.

Finite horizon radius in the deep IR. By requiring that  $f(r)$  asymptotes to 1 gave the constraint  $d-\theta+z > 0$ . At large radius the second inequality yields  $z \geq 1$ , while it gives a more involved expression for finite  $r_h$ . In this case the null-energy conditions are summarized as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq z , \\ 0 &\leq (d-\theta)(d(z-1)-\theta) , \\ 0 &\leq \frac{r_h^2}{\ell^2} (z-1)(d-\theta+z) + k \frac{(d-1)(d(z-1)-\theta)}{(d-\theta+z-2)} + q^2 \frac{(d-\theta)(d(z-1)-\theta)}{\ell^2 r_h^{2(d-\theta+z-2)}} \quad \text{for } k \neq 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Horizon radius goes to zero in the deep IR. The limit when the second inequality is considered to be hold between  $r \rightarrow 0$  and  $r \rightarrow \infty$  was discussed in [50]. They assumed  $d-\theta+z-2 > 0$  and  $d-\theta > 0$ , then arrived at the null-energy conditions

$$z \geq 1 , \quad d(z-1)-\theta \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k(d(z-1)-\theta) \geq 0 . \quad (2.23)$$

The third inequality gives the hyperscaling violating exponent a fix value  $\theta = d(z-1)$  for hyperbolic horizons, while it is not relevant for the other two cases. This means the factor  $\gamma$  goes to zero for hyperbolic topologies, and thus the fields need to be rescaled in order to have a reasonable solution. On the level of the action the limit  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  yields a zero kinetic term, a constant gauge kinetic function  $Z(\phi)$  and the vanishing of the gauge field  $H$ .

### 2.4 Thermodynamics

In the following we briefly describe the thermodynamics of the above introduced black hole solutions. Here we only focus on the case when the electric potential is fixed on the boundary, which is also called the grand canonical ensemble. The reader can find more detailed information together with the description of the fixed charge ensemble (canonical ensemble) in [50]. Since the magnetic potential does not appear in the thermodynamic first law, there is no difference between the two ensembles in that case. We also mention for clarity that the thermodynamic potentials for electric and magnetic solutions agree in the canonical ensemble.

We express the black hole temperature in  $d$  dimensions by using the horizon radius, which is defined as the largest root of  $f(r_h) = 0$ , giving

$$m = r_h^{d-\theta+z} \left( 1 + \frac{q^2}{r_h^{2(d-\theta+z-1)}} + k \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-\theta+z-2)^2} \frac{\ell^2}{r_h^2} \right) . \quad (2.24)$$

The temperature can be calculated by using the standard Euclidean trick, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{|f'(r_h)|}{4\pi} \left(\frac{r_h}{\ell}\right)^{z+1} \\ &= \frac{r_h^z}{4\pi\ell^{z+1}} \left( (d-\theta+z) - q^2 \frac{(d-\theta+z+2)}{r_h^{2(d-\theta+z-1)}} + k \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-\theta+z-2)} \frac{\ell^2}{r_h^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where we assumed  $f'(r_h) \geq 0$ , otherwise a minus sign should appear in the expression above. The thermal entropy is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking formula

$$S = \frac{\omega_{k,d} r_F^\theta}{4G} r_h^{d-\theta}. \quad (2.26)$$

The mass of the black hole, which appears in the first law, is computed by the ADM mass formula on the asymptotic boundary after proper renormalization. The ADM mass on a fixed  $r$  radial slice of a constant time surface is defined by

$$M_{\text{ADM}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{S_{k,d}} d^d x \frac{\sqrt{-g_{tt}}}{\sqrt{g_{rr}}} \partial_r \sqrt{\sigma}. \quad (2.27)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the determinant of the induced metric on  $S_{k,d}$  that is a radial slice at  $r = R$  of a constant time surface. The actual mass is calculated after the renormalization, which depends on the ground state of the ensemble.

The field strengths  $F$  and  $H$  support the asymptotic scaling and topology of the internal space, hence the corresponding charges need to be kept fixed, otherwise the boundary theory would be ill-defined. Thus only the charge corresponding to  $K$  can be varied on the boundary in grand canonical ensemble, which is

$$Q = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int Z(\phi) * K = \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} e^{\alpha z \phi_0} \sqrt{2Z_0(d-\theta)(d-\theta+z-2)} q \ell^{-1} r_F^{\theta-\theta/d}. \quad (2.28)$$

The electric gauge one-form  $A_K$  is chosen that way it vanishes on the horizon, which is satisfied by

$$A_K = \frac{E_{K,0}}{d-\theta+z-2} \left( \frac{1}{r_h^{d-\theta+z-2}} - \frac{1}{r^{d-\theta+z-2}} \right) dt. \quad (2.29)$$

Then the electric potential  $\Phi$  is computed as the asymptotic value of the gauge field  $A_K$ , which is

$$\Phi = \frac{E_{K,0}}{d-\theta+z-2} \frac{1}{r_h^{d-\theta+z-2}}. \quad (2.30)$$

Here we used the condition  $d-\theta+z-2 > 0$  in order to have a well-defined electric potential on the boundary.

Electric solution in grand canonical ensemble. The ground state is the extremal black hole (i.e. vanishing temperature) with zero charge. For planar and spherical topologies ( $k = 0, 1$ ) this corresponds to vanishing horizon ( $r_h = 0$ ), but in the case of hyperbolic solution  $k = -1$  the horizon is not zero, and it induces a negative mass parameter. We use the following notation for both cases

$$\begin{aligned} r_{h,\text{ground}} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0, 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{\ell^2(d-1)}{(2-z)(z+d(2-z))}} & \text{for } k = -1, \end{cases} \\ m_{\text{ground}} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0, 1, \\ -\frac{2\ell^2 r_{h,\text{ground}}^{(d-1)(2-z)}}{(2-z)^2(z+d(2-z))} & \text{for } k = -1 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$



The ADM mass is computed by using the background subtraction method as a renormalization scheme. The background is the ground state heated up to a temperature  $T'$ , which is subtracted from the excited state (black hole with parameters  $m, q, r_h$  and  $T$ ), while both of them are calculated with a given radial cutoff  $R$ . The temperatures  $T$  and  $T'$  are matched to each other in order to have the same reach at  $R$  regarding the Euclidean time direction, giving the expression for large  $R$

$$\frac{1}{T'} = \frac{1}{T} \left( 1 - \frac{m - m_{\text{ground}}}{2R^{d-\theta+z}} \right). \quad (2.32)$$

Then the ADM mass (2.27) gives

$$M = \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta (d-\theta)}{\ell^{z+1}} (m - m_{\text{ground}}). \quad (2.33)$$

Since the potential is kept fixed, the temperature as well as other thermodynamic quantities that depend on the charge parameter  $q$  should be expressed in terms of electric potential  $\Phi$  given in (2.30). Then the temperature (2.25) reads as

$$T = \frac{r_h^z}{4\pi\ell^{z+1}} \left( (d-\theta+z) + (d-\theta+z-2) (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2) \frac{c^2}{r_h^2} \right), \quad (2.34)$$

where the constant  $c = (d-\theta+z-2)q/E_{K,0}$ , and we used the notation

$$\Phi_c^2 = k \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-\theta+z-2)^2} \frac{\ell^2}{c^2}, \quad (2.35)$$

which also denotes a critical value of the electric potential and it have a role in phase transition for  $k=1$ , which will become clear later. The charge (2.28) in terms of the electric potential is the following

$$Q = \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta}{\ell^{z+1}} 2(d-\theta)(d-\theta+z-2) c^2 \Phi r_h^{d-\theta+z-2}. \quad (2.36)$$

The thermodynamical potential in this ensemble is the Gibbs potential

$$G = M - TS - \Phi Q, \quad (2.37)$$

where  $M$  is computed by the ADM Mass (2.33),  $T$  and  $S$  are the black hole temperature and entropy given in (2.25) and (2.26), while the potential  $\Phi$  and charge  $Q$  are derived in (2.30) and (2.28). Finally the Gibbs potential yields

$$G = \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta}{\ell^{z+1}} \left[ r_h^{d-\theta+z} \left( -z + (2-z) (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2) \frac{c^2}{r_h^2} \right) - (d-\theta) m_{\text{ground}} \right]. \quad (2.38)$$

Magnetic solution in canonical ensemble. The ground state corresponds to the extremal black hole with mass parameter

$$m_{\text{ext}} = 2r_{\text{ext}}^{2-\theta+z} \left( \frac{1+z-\theta}{z-\theta} + \frac{k}{(z-\theta)^2} \frac{\ell^2}{r_{\text{ext}}^2} \right), \quad (2.39)$$

where the extremal horizon satisfies  $f(r_{\text{ext}}) = f'(r_{\text{ext}}) = 0$ . In order to calculate the thermodynamic mass, the extremal background with temperature determined by

$$\frac{1}{T'} = \frac{1}{T} \left( 1 - \frac{m - m_{\text{ext}}}{2R^{d-\theta+z}} \right) \quad (2.40)$$

at large  $R$  is subtracted from the ADM mass (2.27), and it gives

$$M = \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta (2-\theta)}{\ell^{z+1}} (m - m_{\text{ext}}) . \quad (2.41)$$

The thermodynamic potential in canonical ensemble is the Helmholtz free energy defined by

$$F = M - TS . \quad (2.42)$$

The temperature follows from (2.25) in  $d = 2$ , and  $S$  is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy in (2.26). Thus the free energy reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{\omega_{k,2}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta}{\ell^{z+1}} \frac{1}{T} \left( z(r_h^{2-\theta+z} - r_{\text{ext}}^{2-\theta+z}) - k\ell^2 \frac{(2-z)}{(z-\theta)^2} (r_h^{z-\theta} - r_{\text{ext}}^{z-\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q^2(2(1-\theta) + z)(r_h^{-(z-\theta)} - r_{\text{ext}}^{-(z-\theta)}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{\omega_{k,2}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta}{\ell^{z+1}} \frac{1}{T} \left( z r_h^{2-\theta+z} - q^2(2(1-\theta) + z) r_h^{-(z-\theta)} - k\ell^2 \frac{(z-2)}{(z-\theta)^2} r_h^{z-\theta} + (2-\theta)m_{\text{ext}} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

We note here that the free energy in canonical ensemble agrees for electric and magnetic fluxes, since both the thermodynamic variables and ground state are considered to be the same.

### 3 Calculation of Rényi entropy

In this sections we review the holographic calculation and inequalities of Rényi entropy mostly based on [9], and calculate it for special values of the Lifshitz exponent. We also check the inequalities for general Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating parameters and relate them to the thermodynamic stability and phase transitions known in the literature (see e.g. [50]).

#### 3.1 Holographic Rényi entropy

An arbitrary quantum state can be written as a thermal state

$$\rho = \frac{e^{-H_{\text{mod}}/T}}{\text{Tr} e^{-H_{\text{mod}}/T}} \quad (3.1)$$

by introducing a modular Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{mod}}$ . Here we study states dual to black hole solutions we described in the previous section. The different thermodynamical ensembles correspond to different modular Hamiltonians such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{mod}} &= H && \text{for canonical ensemble,} \\ H_{\text{mod}} &= H - \Phi Q && \text{for grand canonical ensemble,} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $H$  and  $Q$  here are understood as the physical Hamiltonian and conserved charge operators in the dual theory, and  $\Phi$  is the electric potential. The temperature of the thermal state agrees with the Hawking temperature. The partition functions  $\text{Tr} e^{-H_{\text{mod}}/T}$  give the corresponding thermodynamic potentials by reducing to  $e^{-F/T}$  or  $e^{-G/T}$  respectively. The von Neumann entropy of a state  $\rho$  is given by

$$S = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho , \quad (3.3)$$

and the Rényi entropy is its deformation by an extra parameter  $n$ , and it is defined as

$$S_n = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{Tr} \rho^n . \quad (3.4)$$

Some specific values of  $n$  captures relevant information about the dual theory. In the  $n \rightarrow 1$  limit it reduces to the von Neumann entropy, which in the context of black holes should agree with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. The  $n \rightarrow 0$  limit it gives the logarithm of the number of non-vanishing eigenvalues or the rank of density operator in the case of a discrete spectrum, which is expected to be divergent. The third limit, which could be relevant, is  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the Rényi entropy gives  $-\log \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of the density operator. It also calculates the ground state energy  $E_1$  of the modular Hamiltonian by  $S_\infty = -(E_1 - F)/T$  or  $-(E_1 - G)/T$  depending on the thermodynamic ensemble. In general it can have multiple degeneracies, which is specified by an integer number if the spectrum is discrete or by a spectral density in the case of continuous spectrum. It is possible and also expected that the spectrum has both discrete and continuous parts (see e.g. [9] or §A). After expanding the Rényi entropy for discrete spectrum around  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one arrives at

$$S_n = -\log \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{n} \log(d_1 \lambda_1) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}, \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^n\right), \quad (3.5)$$

where the degeneracy of  $\lambda_1$  is denoted by  $d(\lambda_1)$ . So one can see that the  $1/n$  term in the expansion is related to the degeneracy in a way that

$$\log d(\lambda_1) = (S_n + n^2 \partial_n S_n)|_{n=\infty}, \quad (3.6)$$

if the expression we have for  $S_n$  is analytic. Another way to calculate the degeneracy of  $\lambda_1$  follows from the entropy of the ground state such that  $S_{\text{gr}} = \log d(\lambda_1)$ . The expansion described above works correspondingly for continuous spectrum.

The Rényi entropy of a reasonable quantum theory should be positive and satisfy the following four inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_n}{\partial n} &\leq 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( \frac{n-1}{n} S_n \right) &\geq 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} ((n-1) S_n) &\geq 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} ((n-1) S_n) &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The second and third inequalities are coming from the positivity of entropy, while the first and fourth hold as long as the system has a positive specific heat.

One can calculate the Rényi entropy of field theories by introducing an  $n$ -sheeted branched cover of the geometry, which circles around the original space  $n$  times and branches over the entanglement surface. On the dual side this branched cover is computed by a regular bulk geometry, which asymptotes to the branched cover on the boundary. To analytically continue away from integer values of  $n$ , one introduces an orbifold geometry by factorizing the regular bulk manifold with the replica symmetry  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which cyclically permutes the bulk sheets. This construction introduces a conical singularity with deficit angle  $2\pi(1 - 1/n)$ , which can be continue away from integer numbers (see [7]). Then the Rényi entropy can be expressed as

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n-1} (I(n) - nI(1)), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $I(n)$  in the classical limit is given by the renormalized on-shell Euclidean bulk action of the regular covering geometry. Practically  $I(n)$  is computed by the redefinition of Euclidean time period as  $\tau \sim \tau + n/T$  and the corresponding horizon  $r_h(n)$  resulting in a regular geometry. This means

in the present study that  $r_h(n) := r_h(T/n)$ , if the horizon  $r_h(T)$  is understood as a function of the temperature on a connected domain including both  $T$  and  $T/n$ .

By calculating the on-shell Euclidean bulk action results in the thermodynamic potential of the corresponding ensemble divided by the temperature (see e.g. [50]). Hence the Rényi entropy for canonical and grand canonical ensembles are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{T} (F(T/n) - F(T)) && \text{canonical ensemble,} \\ S_n &= \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{T} (G(T/n) - G(T)) && \text{grand canonical ensemble.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Following [9] one can rewrite these expressions using the thermodynamical formulas of thermal entropy

$$\begin{aligned} S &= - \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \right)_Q && \text{canonical ensemble,} \\ S &= - \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial T} \right)_\Phi && \text{grand canonical ensemble.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

One arrives at

$$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T/n}^T S(T') dT', \tag{3.11}$$

where the integration is understood as that the respective thermodynamical variable is kept fixed. One can see that the Rényi entropy contains information about all of the thermal entropies with temperature ranging between  $T$  and  $T/n$ . While the positivity of  $S(T/n)$  for all Rényi parameter values between  $n$  and 1 ensures that  $S_n$  is positive as expected, conversely this is not true in general. The condition  $S_n \geq 0$  for a fixed value of  $T$  can be satisfied by a system with its thermal entropy having negative values while its integrand is positive. This feature can be resolved by the Rényi entropy inequalities (4.17). The second inequality precisely gives the constraint  $S(T/n) \geq 0$ . The situation with the first and fourth inequality is somewhat similar. The fourth one gives the constraint that the specific heat

$$C_{Q/\Phi}(T) = T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_{Q/\Phi} \tag{3.12}$$

at  $T/n$  is positive for all  $n$  under consideration. It also results in the first inequality, but the equivalent condition to the first one is the positivity of the integrand  $\int_{T/n}^T (S(T') - S(T/n)) dT'$ , which could enable negative specific heat for some  $n$ . The third inequality is satisfied by using the first and the second ones. So if the Rényi entropy has the form (3.11), the inequalities and its positivity at a given temperature can be rewritten as two conditions

$$S(T/n) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{Q/\Phi}(T/n) \geq 0, \tag{3.13}$$

which should be satisfied for all  $n$  under consideration.

In practice we calculate (3.11) as

$$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{r_h(n)}^{r_h(1)} S(r_h) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r_h} dr_h, \tag{3.14}$$

which translates the conditions (3.13) into

$$S(r_h(n)) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial r_h} \right)_{Q/\Phi} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial r_h} \right)^{-1} \right] \Big|_{r_h(n)} \geq 0. \tag{3.15}$$

### 3.2 Rényi entropy of electric solution in grand canonical ensemble

The Rényi entropy follows from (2.38) and (3.9) or (3.14) as

$$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\omega_{k,d}}{16\pi G} \frac{r_F^\theta}{\ell^{\theta-d+1}} \frac{1}{T} \left[ z(x^{d-\theta+z} - x_n^{d-\theta+z}) + \frac{c^2}{\ell^2} (2-z) (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2) (x_n^{d-\theta+z-2} - x^{d-\theta+z-2}) \right], \quad (3.16)$$

where we introduced the notation  $x_n := r_h(n)/\ell$  and  $x := x_1$  for simplicity. One can see it is positive by rewriting the expression as an integral over  $n$  and using the property

$$x'_n \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_{x_n} = -T/n^2 \leq 0, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $x'_n = dx_n/dn$ .

The non-trivial constraint, which comes from the inequalities is the positivity of specific heat. Since  $\partial S/\partial r_h \geq 0$ , it follows from (3.15) and (3.17) that

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_{x_n} \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x'_n \leq 0, \quad (3.18)$$

which means the temperature of the black hole increases with the horizon radius, and  $x_n$  decreases with the Rényi parameter.

By analyzing the possible horizon solutions of the equation

$$\frac{T}{n} = \mathcal{T}(x_n), \quad (3.19)$$

where the function  $\mathcal{T}(x)$  is defined by the temperature as a function of horizon radius given in (2.34), one can see that it has different number of roots  $x_n$  with respect to the value of potential  $\Phi$  and parameters  $k$  and  $z$ . We discuss them in four separate cases. In the following we use the null-energy conditions given in (2.23), which assume that the horizon is sufficiently small in the IR.

The case  $1 \leq z < 2$ , and  $\Phi_c^2 < \Phi^2$ . It includes solutions with horizon topologies  $k = 0, -1$  and  $k = 1$  with electric potential  $\Phi > \Phi_c$ . The derivative  $d\mathcal{T}/dx_n$  is always positive, which means all solutions are stable and  $\mathcal{T}(x_n)$  is strictly increasing function. Its minimal value for finite  $T$  is given by

$$x_\infty = \frac{|c|}{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{d-\theta+z-2}{d-\theta+z} (\Phi^2 - \Phi_c^2)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Thus there is one and only one solution for all  $n > 0$ .

The case  $2 < z$  and  $\Phi_c^2 < \Phi^2$ . The horizon topologies that satisfy the condition are the same ( $k = 0, -1$  and  $k = 1$  with  $\Phi > \Phi_c$ ). The temperature function  $\mathcal{T}(x_n)$  vanishes at the  $x_\infty$  given in (3.20). The derivative  $d\mathcal{T}/dx_n$  changes sign where  $\mathcal{T}(x_n)$  takes negative value, so all solutions larger than  $x_\infty$  are stable, thus there are exactly one allowed horizon  $x_n$  for all  $n > 0$ .

The case  $1 \leq z < 2$  and  $\Phi^2 < \Phi_c^2$ . The only possible horizon topology is the spherical  $k = 1$ , while the electric potential  $\Phi$  is smaller than the  $\Phi_c$ . The function  $\mathcal{T}(x_n)$  is always positive, and it has a minimal value at the point

$$x_{n_{\max}} = \frac{|c|}{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{(2-z)}{z} \frac{d-\theta+z-2}{d-\theta+z} (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)}, \quad (3.21)$$

where its derivative changes sign. Roots  $x_n < x_{n_{\max}}$  are not stable, as they give  $d\mathcal{T}/dx_n < 0$ , hence they are not allowed by the Rényi entropy inequalities. The maximal value of Rényi parameter corresponding to the minimal value  $x_{n_{\max}}$  is

$$n_{\max} = 2\pi\ell^{z+1}T \frac{2-z}{d-\theta+z} \left( \frac{(2-z)d-\theta+z-2}{z} c^2(\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2) \right)^{-z/2}, \quad (3.22)$$

so the the allowed value of the parameter ranges from 0 to  $n_{\max}$ . For higher parameters the inequalities are no longer satisfied.

The case  $2 < z$  and  $\Phi^2 < \Phi_c^2$ . The derivative  $d\mathcal{T}/dx_n$  is always positive, and  $\mathcal{T}(x_n)$  vanishes at zero horizon  $x_\infty = 0$ . So all values of Rényi parameter are allowed by the Rényi entropy inequalities.

### 3.3 Calculation of Rényi entropy for specific values of the Lifshitz parameter

The roots of the algebraic equation (3.19) can be computed analytically for a few specific values of Lifshitz parameter  $z$ . It reduces to second order equation for  $z = 1, 2, 4$ , third order for  $z = 3, 6$  and fourth order for  $z = 3/2, 8$ . In the following we focus on the first four integer values  $z = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

The case  $z = 1$ . The root for all values of  $\Phi$  is given by

$$x_n = \frac{\frac{4\pi\ell T}{n} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{4\pi\ell T}{n}\right)^2 - 4(d-\theta+1)(d-\theta-1)c^2\ell^{-2}(\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)}}{2(d-\theta+1)}. \quad (3.23)$$

If the expression under the square root is negative, the solution does not exist, which is only possible if  $\Phi < \Phi_c$  with spherical horizon topology. It gives the maximal value for the Rényi parameter

$$n_{\max} = \frac{2\pi\ell^2 T}{\sqrt{(d-\theta+1)(d-\theta-1)c^2(\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)}}, \quad (3.24)$$

which agrees with (3.22). In Figure 1 we illustrate the Rényi entropy for  $z = 1$  at some specific values of electric potential and the shifted dimension  $d_\theta = d - \theta$  by the hyperscaling violating parameter. We also show the qualitative behavior of  $S_1$  and  $S_\infty$  with respect to  $d_\theta$ . The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_1$  is important as it must be positive, and  $S_\infty$  gives  $-\log \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_1$  largest eigenvalue of dual density matrix  $\rho$ .

The case  $z = 2$ . The solution of the second order equation (3.19) has the form

$$x_n = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{4\pi\ell T}{n} - (d-\theta)c^2\ell^{-2}(\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)}{d-\theta+2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

It is meaningful for all  $n$  parameters if  $\Phi^2 > \Phi_c^2$ , but yields the maximal value

$$n_{\max} = \frac{4\pi\ell^3 T}{(d-\theta)c^2(\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)} \quad (3.26)$$

if  $\Phi_c^2 > \Phi^2$ , which agrees with the expected result of (3.22) for  $z = 2$ . We illustrate the calculation with plots in Figure 2

The case  $z = 3$ . The equation (3.19) is a third order polynomial equation, and there is only one real and positive solution  $x_n$  for every  $n$  regardless of the electric potential. Thus the possible values




Figure 1: Rényi entropies are presented with parameter choices  $z = 1$ ,  $T = 1/4\pi$ ,  $\omega_{k,d} = 4G$  and  $r_F, \ell, c, \Phi_c^2 = 1$ . The first column shows its dependence on the Rényi parameter with fix values of  $d_\theta = d - \theta$  and  $\Phi$ . The upper left panel illustrates the case, when there is a maximal value of the parameter  $n$ , which is represented as a gray dot. In the second column the plots show the  $n \rightarrow 1$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  limits vs.  $d_\theta = d - \theta$ . The black dots on the upper right panel indicate maximal values for  $d_\theta$ . Higher values would lead to non-real horizons. One can see if  $\Phi^2 > \Phi_c^2$  then both  $S_1$  and  $S_\infty$  goes to zero. The latter suggests that the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the density matrix goes towards one at large  $d_\theta$ .

of the Rényi parameter ranges from zero to infinity. Instead of giving an expression for the root  $x_n$ , we illustrate the Rényi entropy as a function of the parameters with some examples Figure 3.

The case  $z = 4$ . One relevant root exists for all values of  $n$ , which is

$$x_n = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(d - \theta + 3)^2 c^4 \ell^{-4} (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)^2 + \frac{16\pi\ell T}{n} (d - \theta + 4)} - (d - \theta + 3) c^2 \ell^{-2} (\Phi_c^2 - \Phi^2)}{2(d - \theta + 4)}}. \quad (3.27)$$

As expected after the previous section, the Rényi entropy exists for all values of  $n$ . We show some illustrative plots in Figure 4.




Figure 2: Plot of Rényi entropies with Lifshitz parameter  $z = 2$ . The normalization of the parameters are the same as the previous plots have. The upper left panel shows that Rényi entropy can be negative if  $\Phi^2 < \Phi_c^2$ , but this is already excluded if one takes into account the constraint  $S_{\text{BH}}(T/n) \geq 0$ . On the upper right panel a minimal value of  $S_1$  appears for the plot with potential  $\Phi = 0.75$ . The reason behind this is that there is no positive temperature corresponding to the parameter choice. The lower right panel again indicates that the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  goes to one.

### 3.4 Large $d_\theta$ limit

We have seen that the spectrum of the cases studied above have an interesting feature in large  $d_\theta = d - \theta$  limit. If the Rényi entropy exist it approaches to zero, which can be proved in general. At large  $d_\theta$  the root of the equation (3.19) with potential  $\Phi_c^2 < \Phi^2$  is

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{\frac{c^2(d_\theta + z - 2)(\Phi^2 - \Phi_c^2)}{\ell^2(d_\theta + z)}} \quad (3.28)$$

as long as the Rényi parameter is much greater than  $1/d_\theta$ . This horizon yields zero Rényi entropy, because it is independent of  $n$ . If  $\Phi^2 < \Phi_c^2$ ,  $x_n$  is zero for  $2 < z$ , which also gives zero Rényi entropy, while it does not exist for  $1 \leq z \leq 2$ . Since  $S_\infty$  goes to zero if it exists and equals to  $-(E_1 - G)/T$ , where  $E_1$  is the ground state energy of the modular Hamiltonian  $H - \Phi Q$ , it follows that  $E_1 = G$  in the large  $d_\theta$  limit.

An other interesting quantity, which carries information about the spectrum is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy at zero temperature (see e.g. [9]). It gives the degeneracy of the largest eigenvalue






Figure 3: Plots of Rényi entropies with Lifshitz parameter  $z = 3$ . Different  $\Phi$  and  $d_\theta$  parameters were used to illustrate its behavior qualitatively. We used the same normalization of other parameters as before. One can see that Rényi entropy exists for all Rényi parameter.

$\lambda_1$  of the density matrix  $\rho$  by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(T/n) = \log d(\lambda_1) . \quad (3.29)$$

We show some examples for  $z = 1, 2$  in Figure 5 to illustrate this quantity. The entropy  $S(0)$  at large  $d_\theta$  goes to zero for potential  $\Phi_c^2 < \Phi^2$  if  $\bar{x}$  given in (3.28) is below one, and blows up if it is above. For potential  $\Phi^2 < \Phi_c^2$  and  $2 < z$  the ground state entropy  $S(0)$  always goes to zero, while the limit does not exist for  $1 \leq z \leq 2$ . This argument suggests that the degeneracy of ground state of the dual modular Hamiltonian goes to one or blows up depending on the parameters. If it goes to one, the spectrum of the dual theory seems to be simplifying in the large  $d_\theta$  limit, at least its ground state is unique in the classical holographic limit. Other values of Rényi parameter also give zero Rényi entropy unless  $n$  is small enough to approach  $1/d_\theta$ , and it blows up at  $n = 0$  very sharply, which indicates that the rank of the density operator diverges in this limit as well as in the case of finite  $d_\theta$ .

## 4 Rényi entropy and quantum corrections

Although Lifshitz scaling spacetimes in  $d = 2$  exhibit the electric/magnetic duality described in §2.2, in the deep IR this duality is expected to break down due to the appearance of corrections to the dilaton potential. Let us first consider the simple solution with zero charge parameter  $q = 0$  and flat horizon  $k = 0$ . The near horizon geometry of the electric solution is expected to receive  $\alpha'$ -corrections, while in the case of magnetic solution, quantum corrections become important. This can be seen if we model the quantum corrections as further expansion of the gauge kinetic function and dilaton potential in powers of the coupling  $g = e^{\alpha_X \phi}$  such that

$$X(\phi) = X_0 e^{-2\alpha_X \phi} + \xi_1 + \xi_2 e^{2\alpha_X \phi} + \dots \quad (\text{magnetic solution}), \quad (4.1)$$

and similarly for  $V(\phi)$ . Since  $\phi$  approaches  $-\infty$  near the horizon and  $\alpha \leq 0$  provided by the null-energy condition, one can see that these corrections become important for the magnetic solution. Since the electric solution is dually coupled, it does not receive these quantum corrections. The dilaton potential is constant in first non-zero order for both electric and magnetic solution, but possible corrections are taken into account within  $V(\phi)$ .




Figure 4: Rényi entropies with Lifshitz parameter  $z = 4$  and different fixed values of  $\Phi$  and  $d_\theta$ . The normalization of other parameters are the same as before. The plots shows that all Rényi entropy exists for parameters zero to infinity, hence all limits are meaningful, and suggest that the largest eigenvalue is again goes to one.

The additional gauge field, which supports the magnetic solution with non-trivial topology ( $k \neq 0$ ) is coupled in the same way as the one above, while the gauge field supporting the non-zero charge parameter ( $q \neq 0$ ) is coupled dually, since  $\alpha_Z \geq 0$ . Hence one can consider a mixed solution in the sense the first two gauge fields are magnetically charge and the third one is electrically, thus each of them could receive quantum corrections through their gauge kinetic functions.

#### 4.1 Perturbative solution with quantum corrections

In the following derivation we consider arbitrary number of electric and magnetic gauge fields with fluxes  $F_i^{(e)}$  and  $F_j^{(m)}$ , and general gauge kinetic functions  $X_i^{(e)}(\phi)$  and  $X_j^{(m)}(\phi)$ . We define the functions

$$W^{(e)}(\phi, r) := \sum_i X_i^{(e)}(\phi) E_i(r)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad W^{(m)}(\phi) := \sum_j X_j^{(m)}(\phi) Q_j^2 \quad (4.2)$$

for simplicity, where  $E_i(r) := (F_i^{(e)})_{tr}$  is the electric and  $Q_j := (F_j^{(m)})_{xy}$  is the magnetic field strengths.




Figure 5: Plot of Bekenstein-Hawking entropies at zero temperature, with  $z = 1$  on the left and  $z = 2$  on the right. They go towards zero if  $\Phi < \sqrt{2}$  with our parameter choice, and blow up if  $\sqrt{2} < \Phi$ . This suggests that the ground state degeneracy of the modular Hamiltonian approaches one below the bound (3.28), while blows up above it.

We look for the solution in the form

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left( -r^{2z} e^{2A(r)} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_{k,2}^2 \right). \quad (4.3)$$

The functions of interest are  $A(r)$ ,  $f(r)$  and  $\phi(r)$ , while  $L$  is a scale parameter corresponding to the AdS radius for  $z = 1$ . For Lifshitz spacetime we have  $A(r) = z \log r$ . The overall spatial scale parameter  $\ell$  is chosen to one. We derive the equation of motions by eliminating the second derivative of  $f(r)$ , and arrive at

1.  $\frac{4(rA'(r) - 1)}{r^2} = \phi'^2(r),$
2.  $-4L^2 r^2 (r^2 f(r)(2 + rA'(r)) + r^3 f'(r) - k) = -2L^4 r^4 V(\phi) + r^6 e^{-2A(r)} W^{(e)}(\phi, r) + W^{(m)}(\phi), \quad (4.4)$
3.  $2L^2 r^5 (rf(r)\phi''(r) + rf'(r)\phi'(r) + f(r)\phi'(r)(3 + rA'(r))) = -2L^4 r^4 \partial_\phi V(\phi) - r^6 e^{-2A(r)} \partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi, r) + \partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi).$

We solve these differential equations perturbatively near the horizon at  $r = r_h$ , where we impose the boundary conditions

$$A(r) = A_h + \mathcal{O}(r - r_h), \quad f(r) = \mathcal{O}(r - r_h) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(r) = \phi_h + \mathcal{O}(r - r_h). \quad (4.5)$$

Perturbing around a Lifshitz solution, which could be done by using the boundary condition  $A(r) = z \log r (1 + \mathcal{O}(r - r_h))$ , would not yield an essentially different solution, since they behave similarly near the horizon. The equations of motion do not give any restriction on  $A_h$  as they only depend on the derivatives of  $A(r)$ . We use the notation  $\varphi(r) = \sum_i \varphi_i (r - r_h)^i$  for the correction terms of

an arbitrary function  $\varphi(r)$ . The first coefficients of the series expansion are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= \frac{4kL^2r_h^2 + 2L^4r_h^4V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h)}{4L^2r_h^5}, \\
\phi_1 &= -\frac{2}{r_h} \frac{2L^4r_h^4\partial_\phi V(\phi_h) + r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} \partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - \partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi_h)}{4kL^2r_h^2 + 2L^4r_h^4V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h)}, \\
A_1 &= \frac{1}{r_h} + \frac{1}{4r_h} \left( \frac{2L^4r_h^4\partial_\phi V(\phi_h) + r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} \partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - \partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi_h)}{4kL^2r_h^2 + 2L^4r_h^4V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h)} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The coefficient  $A_1$  shows the scaling behavior perturbatively. Since Lifshitz spacetime has  $A(r) = z \log r_h + z/r_h(r - r_h) + \mathcal{O}(1 - u)^2$ , the coefficient  $A_1 r_h$  corresponds to the Lifshitz scaling  $z$  up to first order, and the quantity defined by  $z = 1 + \Delta z$  shows how far the system is from the relativistic scaling  $z = 1$ , which is

$$\Delta z = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{2L^4r_h^4\partial_\phi V(\phi_h) + r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} \partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - \partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi_h)}{4kL^2r_h^2 + 2L^4r_h^4V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h)} \right)^2. \tag{4.7}$$

One can see that this is zero for AdS spacetime due to vanishing of  $\partial_\phi W^{(e)}$ ,  $\partial_\phi W^{(m)}$  and  $\partial_\phi V$ .

The null-energy condition with null vector

$$n^\mu = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)} e^{A(r)}}, r \sqrt{f(r)} \sin \psi, \frac{1}{r} \cos \psi, 0 \right) \tag{4.8}$$

results in

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu &= \left[ \frac{r_h}{2} (1 + 3r_h A_1) f_1 + r_h^2 f_2 + \frac{k}{r_h^2} \right] \cos^2 \psi + \mathcal{O}(r - r_h) \cos^2 \psi \\
&+ 2f_1 (r_h A_1 - 1) (r - r_h) + \mathcal{O}(r - r_h)^2 \geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

which gives two conditions up to first order

$$(r_h A_1 - 1) f_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + 3r_h A_1) f_1 + 2r_h f_2 + \frac{2k}{r_h^3} \geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

The first inequality can be simplified further. The first condition is equivalent to  $f_1 \geq 0$  or  $r_h A_1 = 1$ , which is given in terms of the horizon values as

$$4kL^2r_h^2 + 2L^4r_h^4V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h) \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta z = 1. \tag{4.11}$$

The latter condition also means  $\phi_1 = 0$ . The second inequality gives further constraint on the derivatives  $\partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h)$ ,  $\partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi_h)$  and  $\partial_\phi V(\phi_h)$ .

## 4.2 Rényi entropy around $n = 1$

Since the holographic Rényi entropy as well as the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is determined by the horizon geometry, theoretically it is enough to know the solution close to the horizon, however it could be not easy to solve the problem algebraically. In the following we will use the perturbative solution derived in the last section to calculate the Rényi entropy at first order in the Rényi parameter around  $n = 1$ , and we will calculate stability constraint on the quantum corrections of gauge kinetic functions and dilaton potential.

The Hawking temperature of the ansatz (4.3) is expressed as

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{A_h} r_h f_1 = \frac{1}{16\pi L^2 r_h^4} e^{A_h} \left( 4kL^2 r_h^2 + 2L^4 r_h^4 V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h) \right), \quad (4.12)$$

and the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy is

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\omega_k}{4G} r_h^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Following (3.11) we calculate the Rényi entropy by

$$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\omega_k}{4G} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T/n}^T r_h^2(T') dT'. \quad (4.14)$$

The zero order term of the integral  $\int_{T/n}^T r_h^2(T) dT$  is non-zero only if the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is divergent at a given temperature, and it would also lead to a divergence in the Rényi entropy at  $n = 1$ , so we assume that this term is zero. Thus the series expansion at  $n = 1$  up to first order gives

$$S_n = \frac{\omega_k}{4G} \left( r_h^2 - r_h T \frac{dr_h}{dT} (n-1) + \mathcal{O}(n-1)^2 \right), \quad (4.15)$$

which is positive, unless  $r_h dT/dr_h$  is in the order of  $1/(n-1)$ .

As an example we calculate the Rényi entropy in canonical ensemble perturbatively for the exact Lifshitz scaling solution ( $\theta = 0$ ,  $d = 2$ ) given in §2. The scaling  $L^2$  replaces  $r_F^\theta$  and  $\ell$  is chose to be one, then the Rényi entropy up to first order

$$S_n = \frac{\omega_k r_h^2}{4G} \left( 1 + \frac{q^2 z^2 - z(2+z)r_h^{2+2z} - kr_h^{2z}}{q^2 z^2(2+z) + z^2(2+z)r_h^{2z+2} + k(z-2)r_h^{2z}} (n-1) + \mathcal{O}(n-1)^2 \right) \quad (4.16)$$

is the same for both electric and magnetic solutions.

Calculating the Rényi inequalities (4.17) for (4.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\partial S_n}{\partial n} = -\frac{\omega_k T r_h}{4G} \frac{dr_h}{dT} + \mathcal{O}(n-1), \\ 0 &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( \frac{n-1}{n} S_n \right) = \frac{\omega_k}{4G} \frac{r_h^2(T/n)}{n^2}, \\ 0 &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial n} ((n-1) S_n) = \frac{\omega_k}{4G} r_h^2 + \mathcal{O}(n-1) \\ 0 &\geq \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} ((n-1) S_n) = -\frac{\omega_k T r_h}{4G} \frac{dr_h}{dT} + \mathcal{O}(n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The second and third inequalities, which correspond to the positivity of  $S_{\text{BH}}$ , are trivially satisfied, while the other two corresponding to the thermodynamic stability are satisfied up to the first non-zero order if and only if

$$\frac{dr_h}{dT} \geq 0. \quad (4.18)$$

This condition was expected from the stability condition (3.13), which close to  $n = 1$  gives us the constraint above.

If  $dr_h/dT$  is non-zero, the condition (4.18) can be obtained by differentiating (4.12), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dr_h}{dT}\right)^{-1} &= \frac{e^{A_h}}{16\pi L^2 r_h^5} \left[ -8kL^2 r_h^2 + 2L^4 r_h^5 \frac{dV(\phi_h)}{dr_h} - 2r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) \right. \\ &\quad - r_h^7 e^{-2A_h} \frac{dW^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h)}{dr_h} + 4W^{(m)}(\phi_h) - r_h \frac{dW^{(m)}(\phi_h)}{dr_h} \\ &\quad \left. + r_h \frac{dA_h}{dr_h} \left( 4kL^2 r_h^2 + 2L^4 r_h^4 V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Since the horizon values  $A_h$  and  $\phi_h$  can both depend on  $r_h$ , we use the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(\phi_h)}{dr_h} &= \partial_\phi V(\phi_h) \phi_1, & \frac{dW(\phi_h)}{dr_h} &= \partial_\phi W(\phi_h) \phi_1, & \frac{dA_h}{d\phi_h} &= A_1, \\ \frac{\partial W(\phi_h, r_h)}{\partial r_h} &= 2 \left( A_1 - \frac{3}{r_h} \right) W(\phi_h, r_h) - 2\phi_1 \partial_\phi W(\phi_h, r_h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

To derive the last one we used the expansion of the Maxwell equation

$$E'_i(r_h) = \left( A_1 - \frac{3}{r_h} - \frac{\partial_\phi X_i(\phi_h)}{X_i(\phi_h)} \phi_1 \right) E_i(r_h). \quad (4.21)$$

Then the stability constraint (4.18) together with the first null-energy condition of (4.10) can be rewritten in the final form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{(2L^4 r_h^4 \partial_\phi V(\phi_h) + r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} \partial_\phi W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - \partial_\phi W^{(m)}(\phi_h))^2}{4kL^2 r_h^2 + 2L^4 r_h^4 V(\phi_h) - r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) - W^{(m)}(\phi_h)} \\ &\leq -4kL^2 r_h^2 + 2L^4 r_h^4 V(\phi_h) + 3r_h^6 e^{-2A_h} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) + 3W^{(m)}(\phi_h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The general formula above can give constraint on finite quantum corrections to the Lifshitz and Hyperscaling violating solution studied in §2. These corrections can be considered as extra terms in  $W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h)$ ,  $W^{(m)}(\phi_h)$  and  $V(\phi_h)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) &= \sum_i X_{0,i}^{(e)} e^{2\alpha_i^{(e)} \phi_h} E_i(r_h)^2 + W_1^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h), \\ W^{(m)}(\phi_h) &= \sum_j X_{0,j}^{(m)} e^{-2\alpha_j^{(m)} \phi_h} Q_j^2 + W_1^{(m)}(\phi_h), \\ V(\phi_h) &= V_0 e^{\eta \phi_h} + V_1(\phi_h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

The signs of  $\alpha_i^{(e)}$  and  $\alpha_j^{(m)}$  determine whether the IR dynamics is captured by quantum correction in the gauge kinetic function or not. Let us assume  $\alpha_i^{(e)} > 0$ , while  $\alpha_j^{(m)} < 0$ , so all of them are expected to receive quantum corrections. If we take them into account as exponential expansions, the corrections can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{(e)}(\phi_h, r_h) &= \sum_i \left( \xi_{1,i}^{(e)} + \xi_{2,i}^{(e)} e^{-2\alpha_i^{(e)} \phi_h} + \dots \right) E_i(r_h)^2, \\ W_1^{(m)}(\phi_h) &= \sum_j \left( \xi_{1,i}^{(m)} + \xi_{2,j}^{(m)} e^{2\alpha_j^{(m)} \phi_h} + \dots \right) Q_j^2, \\ V_1(\phi_h) &= \rho_1 + \rho_2 e^{-\eta \phi_h} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Then the formula (4.22) constrains the finite correction coefficients  $\xi_{k,i}^{(e)}$ ,  $\xi_{k,j}^{(m)}$  and  $\rho_k$ .

## 5 Conclusion and outlook

In this paper we studied holographic Rényi entropy of Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating black hole solutions in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity. In §3 we analyzed the Rényi entropy inequalities for different values of Lifshitz parameter, horizon topology and electric potential, which in some cases led to upper or lower bound for the parameters. We saw the inequalities have a close connection to thermodynamic stability of the black hole, but they do not tell us about the Hawking-Page phase transition for spherical horizon topologies. When the Lifshitz parameter is  $1 \leq z < 2$  and the electric potential is smaller than  $\Phi_c$  defined in (2.35), the maximal value (3.22) of Rényi parameter is larger than the parameter corresponding to the critical horizon value in Hawking-Page phase transition. Hence the effect of the phase transition can occur within the possible parameter values. Since this phenomena is not captured by the holographic Rényi entropy we studied in this paper, it would be interesting to investigate the dual Rényi entropy in this context.

We calculated Rényi entropy for specific values of the Lifshitz scaling parameter  $z$ , and analyzed the dual ground state degeneracy and value by studying the  $n = 1$  and  $n = \infty$  limits of Rényi entropy. An interesting further direction would be a more detailed study of the dual spectrum by reconstructing it from Rényi entropies. Although it may be a difficult problem to work out analytically, it could be studied numerically (e.g. by Laplace transformation or the theory of symmetric polynomials for discrete spectrum).

By analyzing the Rényi entropy we found that the dual spectrum simplifies in the limit when  $d_\theta = d - \theta$  approaches infinity. The degeneracy of the ground state goes to one on two set of parameter ranges, of which the first is when the square of the electric potential is smaller than  $\Phi_c^2$  and  $\bar{x}$  defined in (3.28) is below one. In the second range the square of the electric potential is larger than  $\Phi_c^2$ , and the Lifshitz parameter is  $2 < z$ . This suggests that the theory with large  $d_\theta$  may have interesting features. Large dimensional limit of black holes in general relativity or AdS spacetimes were studied in detail over the past few years (see e.g. [61–65]), and it was found that in this limit the gravitational field of a black hole is strongly localized near its horizon, and the black hole can be replaced by a membrane. As a further direction, the large  $d_\theta$  limit could be studied in this context. The advantage of the hyperscaling violating parameter could be that if it approaches negative infinity, it might help to realize this limit in finite dimensions.

In §4 we solved the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations of motions with general gauge kinetic functions and dilaton potential perturbatively, which was motivated by the goal of including quantum corrections. We calculated the Rényi entropy of Lifshitz scaling solutions in canonical ensemble around  $n = 1$ , and derived constraints on the gauge kinetic functions and dilaton potentials using the Rényi entropy inequalities, which correspond to the thermodynamic stability of the black hole. Our general result could be used to specify the range of quantum corrections in more detail. Another possible direction would be to include  $\alpha'$  corrections. In this paper we studied the Rényi entropy perturbatively around  $n = 1$  up to first order, and the two non-zero terms corresponded to entropy and heat capacity respectively. It could be interesting to study the higher order terms and their relation to thermodynamic quantities.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Hungarian research grant NKFIH K116505. The work of Z.K. was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation Project “New Geometries for Gravity and Space-time” (IP-2018-01-7615), and also partially supported by the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - The Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (KK.01.1.1.06).

## A An example for both discrete and continuous spectrum

We calculate the energy density of the dual modular Hamiltonian in the classical holographic limit with parameters  $z = 1$ ,  $\theta = d - 1$  and  $T = 1/4\pi$ ,  $\omega_{k,d} = 4G$ ,  $r_F, \ell = 1$  for simplicity. The Rényi entropy does not depend on the electric potentials. Then the partition function with Rényi parameter can be written as

$$Z_n = \int_0^\infty dE \rho(E) e^{-nE}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $\rho(E)$  is the energy density of eigenvalues  $E$  of the corresponding modular Hamiltonian, and  $Z_1 = e^{-G}$ , where  $G$  is the thermodynamic potential. The energy density has non-zero values from a minimal energy  $E_{\min}$ . Then  $Z_n$  can be expressed with the Rényi entropy as follows

$$Z_n = e^{(1-n)S_n - nG} = e^{-\frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n} - nG}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

One can calculate the energy density  $\rho(E)$  as an inverse Laplace transformation, which gives

$$\rho(E) = \Theta\left(E - G - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[ \frac{I_1\left(\sqrt{E - G - \frac{1}{4}}\right)}{2\sqrt{E - G - \frac{1}{4}}} + \delta\left(\sqrt{E - G - \frac{1}{4}}\right) \right], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $\Theta(z)$  is the Heaviside function,  $I_1(z)$  is the modified or hyperbolic Bessel function of first kind and  $\delta(z)$  is the Dirac delta. Then one can rewrite  $Z_n$  as

$$Z_n = \int_0^\infty dE \rho^*(E) e^{-n(E+G+1/4)} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho^*(E) = \frac{I_1(\sqrt{E})}{2\sqrt{E}} + \delta(\sqrt{E}), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which indicates a ground state with energy  $E_{\text{gr}} = G + 1/4$ , which could be expected by calculating the limit  $S_\infty = E_{\text{gr}} - G$ . Its degeneracies given by both discrete  $\delta(\sqrt{E})$  and continuous  $\lim_{E \rightarrow 0} I_1(\sqrt{E})/2\sqrt{E} = 1/4$  spectral densities.

## References

- [1] A. Rényi, “On measures of information and entropy,” *Proceedings of the 4th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* **1** (University of California Press, Berkeley, CA 1961) pp. 547–561.
- [2] A. Rényi, “On the foundations of information theory,” *Rev. Int. Stat. Inst.* **33** (1965) 1–14.
- [3] R. Islam, R. Ma, P. M. Preiss, M. E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, and M. Greiner, “Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system,” *Nature* **528**, (2015) 77–83.
- [4] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover, “Renyi Entropy of Chaotic Eigenstates,” *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 032111 (2019), [arXiv:1709.08784 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [5] X. Dong, “Holographic Rényi Entropy at High Energy Density,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 041602 (2019), [arXiv:1811.04081 [hep-th]].
- [6] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, “Generalized gravitational entropy,” *JHEP* **1308**, 090 (2013), [arXiv:1304.4926 [hep-th]].
- [7] X. Dong, “The Gravity Dual of Rényi Entropy,” *Nat Commun* **7**, 12472 (2016), [arXiv:1601.06788 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Headrick, “Entanglement Rényi entropies in holographic theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 126010 (2010), [arXiv:1006.0047 [hep-th]].



- [9] L. Y. Hung, R. C. Myers, M. Smolkin and A. Yale, “Holographic Calculations of Rényi Entropy,” JHEP **1112**, 047 (2011), [arXiv:1110.1084 [hep-th]].
- [10] D. V. Fursaev, “Entanglement Rényi Entropies in Conformal Field Theories and Holography,” JHEP **05**, 080 (2012), [arXiv:1201.1702 [hep-th]].
- [11] T. Faulkner, “The Entanglement Rényi Entropies of Disjoint Intervals in AdS/CFT,” (2013), arXiv:1303.7221 [hep-th].
- [12] D. A. Galante and R. C. Myers, “Holographic Rényi entropies at finite coupling,” JHEP **08**, 063 (2013), [arXiv:1305.7191 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. Belin, A. Maloney, and S. Matsuura, “Holographic Phases of Rényi Entropies,” JHEP **12**, 050 (2013), [arXiv:1306.2640 [hep-th]].
- [14] T. Barrella, X. Dong, S. A. Hartnoll, and V. L. Martin, “Holographic entanglement beyond classical gravity,” JHEP **09**, 109 (2013), [arXiv:1306.4682 [hep-th]].
- [15] A. Belin, L. Y. Hung, A. Maloney, S. Matsuura, R. C. Myers and T. Sierens, “Holographic Charged Rényi Entropies,” JHEP **1312**, 059 (2013), [arXiv:1310.4180 [hep-th]].
- [16] B. Chen, J. Long, and J. Zhang, “Holographic Rényi entropy for CFT with  $W$  symmetry,” JHEP **04**, 041 (2014), [arXiv:1312.5510 [hep-th]].
- [17] G. Pastras and D. Manolopoulos, “Charged Rényi entropies in CFTs with Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet holographic duals,” JHEP **1411**, 007 (2014), [arXiv:1404.1309 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Belin, L.-Y. Hung, A. Maloney, and S. Matsuura, “Charged Rényi entropies and holographic superconductors,” JHEP **01**, 059 (2015), [arXiv:1407.5630 [hep-th]].
- [19] G. Pastras and D. Manolopoulos, “Holographic Calculation of Rényi Entropies and Restrictions on Higher Derivative Terms,” PoS CORFU **2014**, 157 (2015), [arXiv:1507.08595 [hep-th]].
- [20] C. Beck and F. Schlögl, “Thermodynamics of chaotic systems,” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [21] K. Życzkowski, “Rényi extrapolation of Shannon entropy,” Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **10**, 297 (2003), [arXiv:quant-ph/0305062].
- [22] Y. Nakaguchi and T. Nishioka, “A holographic proof of Rényi entropic inequalities,” JHEP **1612**, 129 (2016), [arXiv:1606.08443 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Ghodsi and S. Qolibikloo, “More on Phase Transition and Rényi Entropy,” Eur. Phys. J C **79**, 406 (2019), [arXiv:1811.04980 [hep-th]].
- [24] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, “Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 106005, [arXiv:0808.1725 [hep-th]].
- [25] M. Taylor, “Non-relativistic holography”, [arXiv:0812.0530 [hep-th]].
- [26] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, “An Analytic Lifshitz black hole,” Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 104039, [arXiv:0909.0263 [hep-th]].
- [27] B. Gouteraux and E. Kiritsis, “Generalized Holographic Quantum Criticality at Finite Density,” JHEP **12** 036 (2011), [arXiv:1107.2116 [hep-th]].
- [28] N. Ogawa, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, “Holographic Fermi Surfaces and Entanglement Entropy,” JHEP **01** 125 (2012), [arXiv:1111.1023 [hep-th]].
- [29] L. Huijse, S. Sachdev and B. Swingle, “Hidden Fermi surfaces in compressible states of gauge-gravity duality,” Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035121 (2012), [arXiv:1112.0573 [cond-mat.str-el]].

- [30] M. Alishahiha and H. Yavartanoo, “On Holography with Hyperscaling Violation,” JHEP **1211** 034 (2012) [arXiv:1208.6197 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. Cadoni, G. D’Appollonio and P. Pani, “Phase transitions between Reissner-Nordstrom and dilatonic black holes in 4D AdS spacetime,” JHEP **1003**, 100 (2010), [arXiv:0912.3520 [hep-th]].
- [32] C. Charmousis, B. Gouteraux, B. S. Kim, E. Kiritsis and R. Meyer, “Effective Holographic Theories for low-temperature condensed matter systems,” JHEP **11** 151 (2010), [arXiv:1005.4690 [hep-th]].
- [33] E. Perlmutter, “Domain Wall Holography for Finite Temperature Scaling Solutions,” JHEP **1102**, 013 (2011), [arXiv:1006.2124 [hep-th]].
- [34] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, “Aspects of holography for theories with hyperscaling violation,” JHEP **06** 041 (2012), [arXiv:1201.1905 [hep-th]].
- [35] M. Alishahiha, E. O Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, “Charged Black Branes with Hyperscaling Violating Factor,” JHEP **11**, 137 (2012), [arXiv:1209.3946 [hep-th]].
- [36] B. Gouteraux and E. Kiritsis, “Quantum critical lines in holographic phases with (un)broken symmetry,” JHEP **04** 053 (2013), [arXiv:1212.2625 [hep-th]].
- [37] J. Gath, J. Hartong, R. Monteiro and N. A. Obers, “Holographic Models for Theories with Hyperscaling Violation,” JHEP **04** 159 (2013), [arXiv:1212.3263 [hep-th]].
- [38] T. Azeyanagi, W. Li and T. Takayanagi, “On String Theory Duals of Lifshitz-like Fixed Points,” JHEP **0906**, 084 (2009), [arXiv:0905.0688 [hep-th]].
- [39] W. Li, T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, “Some No-go Theorems for String Duals of Non-relativistic Lifshitz-like Theories,” JHEP **0910**, 015 (2009) [arXiv:0908.0363 [hep-th]].
- [40] K. Narayan, “On Lifshitz scaling and hyperscaling violation in string theory,” Phys. Rev. D **85**, 106006 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5935 [hep-th]].
- [41] P. Dey and S. Roy, “Lifshitz-like space-time from intersecting branes in string/M theory,” JHEP **1206**, 129 (2012) [arXiv:1203.5381 [hep-th]].
- [42] P. Dey and S. Roy, “Intersecting D-branes and Lifshitz-like space-time,” Phys. Rev. D **86**, 066009 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4858 [hep-th]].
- [43] E. Perlmutter, “Hyperscaling violation from supergravity,” JHEP **1206**, 165 (2012), [arXiv:1205.0242 [hep-th]].
- [44] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, “Phase transition and hyperscaling violation for scalar Black Branes,” JHEP **1206**, 056 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0412 [hep-th]].
- [45] M. Ammon, M. Kaminski and A. Karch, “Hyperscaling-Violation on Probe D-Branes,” JHEP **11**, 028 (2012), [arXiv:1207.1726 [hep-th]].
- [46] M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev and K. Schalm, “On Holographic Entanglement Entropy of Charged Matter,” JHEP **1210**, 098 (2012), [arXiv:1208.2937 [hep-th]].
- [47] J. Sadeghi, B. Pourhassan and A. Asadi, “Thermodynamics of string black hole with hyperscaling violation,” Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, (2014) 2680 [arXiv:1209.1235 [hep-th]].
- [48] B. S. Kim, “Hyperscaling violation: a unified frame for effective holographic theories,” JHEP **1211**, 061 (2012) [arXiv:1210.0540 [hep-th]].
- [49] M. Edalati, J. F. Pedraza and W. T. Garcia, “Quantum Fluctuations in Holographic Theories with Hyperscaling Violation,” Phys. Rev. D **87**, 046001 (2013) [arXiv:1210.6993 [hep-th]].

- [50] J. F. Pedraza, W. Sybesma, M. R. Visser, “Hyperscaling violating black holes with spherical and hyperbolic horizons,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **36** (2019) 054002, [arXiv:1807.09770 [hep-th]].
- [51] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, “Thermodynamics of Black Holes in anti-De Sitter Space,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983) 577.
- [52] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505–532 [arXiv:hep-th/9803131].
- [53] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, “Charged AdS black holes and catastrophic holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 064018, [arXiv:hep-th/9902170].
- [54] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, “Holography, thermodynamics and fluctuations of charged AdS black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 104026, [arXiv:hep-th/9904197].
- [55] K. Goldstein, S. Kachru, S. Prakash and S. P. Trivedi, “Holography of Charged Dilaton Black Holes,” *JHEP* **1008**, 078 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3586 [hep-th]].
- [56] K. Goldstein, N. Iizuka, S. Kachru, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi and A. Westphal, “Holography of Dyonically Dilaton Black Branes,” *JHEP* **1010**, 027 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2490 [hep-th]].
- [57] S. Harrison, S. Kachru and H. Wang, “Resolving Lifshitz Horizons,” *JHEP* **02**, 85 (2014). [arXiv:1202.6635 [hep-th]].
- [58] J. Bhattacharya, S. Cremonini and A. Sinkovics “On the IR completion of geometries with hyperscaling violation,” *JHEP* **02** (2013) 147 [arXiv:1208.1752 [hep-th]].
- [59] S. Cremonini and A. Sinkovics, “Spatially Modulated Instabilities of Geometries with Hyperscaling Violation,” *JHEP* **01** (2014) 099 [arXiv:1212.4172 [hep-th]].
- [60] S. W. Hawking and S. F. Ross, “Duality between Electric and Magnetic Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 5865 [arXiv:hep-th/9504019 [hep-th]].
- [61] R. Emparan, R. Suzuki and K. Tanabe “The large D limit of General Relativity,” *JHEP* **1306**, 009 (2013) [arXiv:1302.6382 [hep-th]].
- [62] R. Emparan, R. Suzuki and K. Tanabe “Quasinormal modes of (Anti-)de Sitter black holes in the  $1/D$  expansion,” *JHEP* **04** (2015) 085 [arXiv:1502.02820 [hep-th]].
- [63] S. Bhattacharyya, A. De, S. Minwalla, R. Mohan and A. Saha “A membrane paradigm at large D,” *JHEP* **04** (2016) 076 [arXiv:1504.06613 [hep-th]].
- [64] R. Emparan, T. Shiromizu, R. Suzuki, K. Tanabe and T. Tanaka “Effective theory of Black Holes in the  $1/D$  expansion,” *JHEP* **06** (2015) 159 [arXiv:1504.06489 [hep-th]].
- [65] S. Bhattacharyya, M. Mandlik, S. Minwalla and S. Thakur “A Charged Membrane Paradigm at Large D,” *JHEP* **04** (2016) 128 [arXiv:1511.03432 [hep-th]].