

PARTS AND COMPOSITES OF QUANTUM SYSTEMS

Stan Gudder
Department of Mathematics
University of Denver
Denver, Colorado 80208
sgudder@du.edu

Abstract

We consider three types of entities for quantum measurements. In order of generality, these types are: observables, instruments and measurement models. If α and β are entities, we define what it means for α to be a part of β . This relationship is essentially equivalent to α being a function of β and in this case β can be employed to measure α . We then use the concept to define coexistence of entities and study its properties. A crucial role is played by a map $\hat{\alpha}$ which takes an entity of a certain type to one of lower type. For example, if \mathcal{I} is an instrument, then $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ is the unique observable measured by \mathcal{I} . Composite systems are discussed next. These are constructed by taking the tensor product of the Hilbert spaces of the systems being combined. Composites of the three types of measurements and their parts are studied. Reductions of types to their local components are discussed. We also consider sequential products of measurements. Specific examples of Lüders, Kraus and trivial instruments are used to illustrate various concepts. We only consider finite-dimensional systems in this article.

1 Introduction

Two important operations on quantum systems are the formations of parts and composites. In a rough sense, these operations are opposites to each other. The parts of a measurement α are smaller components of α in the sense that they can be simultaneously measured by α . A composite system is a combination of two or more other systems. This combination is formed using the tensor product $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$ where H_1 and H_2 are the Hilbert spaces describing two subsystems. The composite system contains more information than the individual systems because H describes how H_1 and H_2 interact. We can reduce measurements on H to simpler ones on H_1 and H_2 but information is lost in the process.

Section 2 presents the basic definitions that are needed in the sequel. Three types of quantum measurements are considered. In order of generality, these types are: observables, instruments and measurement models. At the basic level is an observable A which is a measurement whose outcome probabilities $\text{tr}(\rho A_x)$ are determined by the state ρ of the system. At the next level is an instrument \mathcal{I} . We think of \mathcal{I} as an apparatus that can be employed to measure an observable $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$. Although $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ is unique, there are many instruments that can be used to measure an observable. Moreover, \mathcal{I} gives more information than $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ because, depending on the outcome x , \mathcal{I} updates the input state ρ to the output state $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)/\text{tr}(\rho \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_x)$. At the highest level is a measurement model \mathcal{M} that measures a unique instrument $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. Again, there are many measurement models that measure an instrument and \mathcal{M} contains more detailed information. For conciseness, we call these types of instruments *entities*. We should mention that all the quantum systems in this article are assumed to be finite-dimensional.

Section 3 considers system parts. If α and β are entities, we define what it means for α to be a *part* of β and when this is the case, we write $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$. If $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$, we say that α and β are *equivalent*. We show that $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ implies $\widehat{\alpha} \rightarrow \widehat{\beta}$ and that \rightarrow is a partial order to within equivalence. The relation $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is the same as α being a function of β or $\widehat{\beta}$ and in this case, β can be employed to measure α . We then use this concept to define coexistence of entities and study its properties. We show that joint measurability is equivalent to coexistence. We then introduce sequential products of observables and use this concept to illustrate parts of entities.

Section 4 discusses composite systems. These are constructed by taking the tensor product $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$ where H_1, H_2 are the Hilbert spaces of the systems being combined. Composites of the three types of measurements and parts of these composites are studied. Reductions of types into their local components are discussed. Specific examples of Lüders, Kraus and trivial instruments are employed to illustrate various concepts.

2 Basic Definitions

This section discusses the basic concepts and definitions that are needed in the sequel. Since these ideas are well developed in the literature [1, 2, 8, 11, 14], we shall proceed quickly and leave details and motivation to the reader's discretion. In this article we shall only consider finite-dimensional complex Hilbert spaces H . Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the set of linear operators on H . For $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we write $S \leq T$ if $\langle \phi, S\phi \rangle \leq \langle \phi, T\phi \rangle$ for all $\phi \in H$. We define the set of *effects* by

$$\mathcal{E}(H) = \{a \in \mathcal{L}(H) : 0 \leq a \leq 1\}$$

where $0, 1$ are the zero and identity operators, respectively. Effects correspond to yes-no measurements and when the result of measuring a is yes, we say that a *occurs*. The complement of $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ is $a' = 1 - a$ and a' occurs if and only if a does not occur. A one-dimensional projection $P_\phi = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$, where $\|\phi\| = 1$ is an effect called an *atom*. We call $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ a *partial state* if $\text{tr}(\rho) \leq 1$ and ρ is a *state* if $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$. We denote the set of partial states by $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ and the set of states by $\mathcal{S}(H)$. If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, we call $\mathcal{P}_\rho(a) = \text{tr}(\rho a)$ the *probability that a occurs* in the state ρ [1, 8, 14]. For $a, b \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, their *sequential product* is the effect $a \circ b = a^{1/2}ba^{1/2}$ where $a^{1/2}$ is the unique square root of a [3, 4, 5]. We interpret $a \circ b$ as the effect that results from first measuring a and then measuring b . We also call $a \circ b$ the effect b *conditioned on* the effect a and write $(b | a) = a \circ b$.

Let Ω_A be a finite set. A (finite) *observable with outcome-space Ω_A* is a subset

$$A = \{A_x : x \in \Omega_A\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$$

satisfying $\sum_{x \in \Omega_A} A_x = 1$. We denote the set of observables on H by $\mathcal{O}(H)$. If $B = \{B_y : y \in \Omega_B\}$ is another observable, we define the *sequential product*

$A \circ B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ [5, 6, 7] to be the observable with outcome-space $\Omega_A \times \Omega_B$ given by

$$A \circ B = \{A_x \circ B_y : (x, y) \in \Omega_A \times \Omega_B\}$$

We also define the observable B *conditioned by* A as

$$(B \mid A) = \{(B \mid A)_y : y \in \Omega_B\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$$

where $(B \mid A)_y = \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} (A_x \circ B_y)$. If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ we define the *effect-valued measure* (or POVM) $X \rightarrow A_X$ from 2^{Ω_A} to $\mathcal{E}(H)$ by $A_X = \sum_{x \in X} A_x$ and we also call $X \mapsto A_X$ an *observable* [5, 8, 14]. Moreover, we have the observables

$$(A \circ B)_\Delta = \sum_{(x,y) \in \Delta} (A_x \circ B_y)$$

and

$$(B \mid A)_Y = \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} (A_x \circ B_Y)$$

If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, the *probability that A has an outcome in $X \subseteq \Omega_A$ when the system is in state ρ* is $\mathcal{P}_\rho(A_X) = \text{tr}(\rho A_X)$. Notice that $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}_\rho(A_X)$ is a probability measure on Ω_A . We call

$$\mathcal{P}_\rho(A_X \text{ then } B_Y) = \text{tr}[\rho(A \circ B)_{X \times Y}]$$

the *joint probability* of A_X then B_Y [5, 6, 7].

An *operation* is a completely positive map $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{S}_p(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_p(H)$ [1, 8, 14]. Any operation has a *Kraus decomposition*

$$\mathcal{A}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i \rho S_i^*$$

where $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^* S_i \leq 1$. An operation \mathcal{A} is a *channel* if $\mathcal{A}(\rho) \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. In this case $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^* S_i = 1$ and we denote the set of channels on H by $\mathcal{C}(H)$. Notice that if $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, then $\rho \mapsto (\rho \mid a) = a \circ \rho$ is an operation and if $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, then $\rho \mapsto (\rho \mid A) = \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} (A_x \circ \rho)$ is a

channel. For a finite set $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$, a (finite) *instrument* with outcome-space $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a set of operations $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathcal{I}_x : x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}\}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} = \sum_{x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}} \mathcal{I}_x \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ [1, 8, 14, 15]. Defining $\mathcal{I}_X = \sum_{x \in X} \mathcal{I}_x$ for $X \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$, we see that $X \mapsto \mathcal{I}_X$ is an operation-valued measure on H that we also call an *instrument*. We denote the set of instruments on H by $\text{In}(H)$. We say that $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H)$ *measures* $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ if $\Omega_A = \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rho}(A_X) = \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_X(\rho)] \quad (2.1)$$

for every $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $X \subseteq \Omega_A$. There is a unique $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ that \mathcal{I} measures and we write $A = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ [1, 8, 15]. For $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in \text{In}(H)$, we define the *product instrument* with outcome space $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}$ by

$$(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})_{(x,y)}(\rho) = \mathcal{J}_y [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)]$$

for every $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. We also define the *conditioned instrument* with outcome-space $\Omega_{\mathcal{J}}$ by

$$(\mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{I})_y = \sum_{x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}} (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})_{(x,y)} = \mathcal{J}_y [\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}(\rho)]$$

We conclude that

$$(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})_{\Delta}(\rho) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \Delta} \mathcal{J}_y (\mathcal{I}_x(\rho))$$

for all $\Delta \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{I}} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}$ and

$$(\mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{I})_Y = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathcal{J}_y (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}(\rho))$$

for all $Y \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}$ [5, 6, 7].

A *finite measurement model* (MM) is a 5-tuple $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$ where H, K are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called the *base* and *probe systems*, respectively, $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ is an *initial probe state*, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$ is a channel describing the measurement interaction between the base and probe systems and $F \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ is the *probe* (or *meter*) *observable* [1, 8, 9]. We say that \mathcal{M} *measures the model instrument* $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \in \text{In}(H)$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is the unique instrument satisfying

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_X(\rho) = \text{tr}_K [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)(I \otimes F_X)] \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $X \subseteq \Omega_F$. In (2.2), tr_K is the partial trace over K [8, 14]. We also say that \mathcal{M} *measures the model observable* $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$.

We thus have three levels of abstraction. At the basic level is an observable A which is a measurement whose outcome probabilities $\text{tr}(\rho A_x)$ are determined by the state ρ of the system. At the next level is an instrument \mathcal{I} . We think of \mathcal{I} as an apparatus that can be employed to measure an observable $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$. Although $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ is unique, there are many instruments that can be used to measure an observable. Moreover, \mathcal{I} gives more information than $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ because, depending on the outcome x (or event X), \mathcal{I} updates the input state ρ to the output partial state $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)$ (or $\mathcal{I}_X(\rho)$). At the highest level is a measurement model \mathcal{M} that measures a unique model instrument $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ and a unique model observable $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$. Again, there are many *MMs* that measure any instrument or observable and \mathcal{M} contains more detailed information on how the measurement is performed.

3 System Parts

We begin by discussing parts of systems at the three levels considered in Section 2. We then show how parts can be used to define coexistence at these levels and even between levels. We also show that coexistence is equivalent to simultaneous measurability.

An element at one of the three levels discussed in Section 2 is called an *entity*. The three levels are said to be the *types* 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The concept of an entity being part of another entity was originally introduced in [9, 10]. If $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, we say that A is *part of* B (and write $A \rightarrow B$) if there exists a surjection $f: \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_A$ such that $A_x = B_{f^{-1}(x)}$ for all $x \in \Omega_A$. We then write $A = f(B)$. It follows that $A_X = B_{f^{-1}(X)}$ for all $X \in \Omega_A$ and that

$$A_X = \sum \{B_y: f(y) \in X\} \quad (3.1)$$

If $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in \text{In}(H)$, we say that \mathcal{I} is *part of* \mathcal{J} (and write $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$) if there exists a surjection $f: \Omega_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that $\mathcal{I}_x = \mathcal{J}_{f^{-1}(x)}$ for all $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$. We then write $\mathcal{I} = f(\mathcal{J})$ and an equation analogous to (3.1) holds. For *MMs* $\mathcal{M}_1 = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F_2)$ we say that \mathcal{M}_1 is *part of* \mathcal{M}_2 (and write $\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$) if $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. It follows that $F_1 = f(F_2)$ and we write $\mathcal{M}_1 = f(\mathcal{M}_2)$. We can also define “part of” for entities of different types. An observable $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ is *part of* $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H)$ (written $A \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$) if

$A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and A is *part of* \mathcal{M} (written $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$) if $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ which is equivalent to $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$. Finally, we say that \mathcal{I} is *part of* \mathcal{M} (written $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$) if $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. Two entities α and β are *equivalent* (written $\alpha \cong \beta$) if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$. It is easy to check that \cong is an equivalence relation and that $\alpha \cong \beta$ if and only if $\alpha = f(\beta)$ for f a bijection. Our first result summarizes properties possessed by “part of”. Some of these properties have been verified in [10], but we give the full proof for completeness.

Theorem 3.1. (a) If α, β are of types 2 or 3 and $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, then $\widehat{\alpha} \rightarrow \widehat{\beta}$. (b) $f(\widehat{\mathcal{I}}) = f(\mathcal{I})^{\wedge}$ and $f(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) = f(\mathcal{M})^{\wedge}$. (c) If α, β, γ are of the same type and $\alpha = g(\beta)$, $\beta = f(\gamma)$, then $\alpha = (g \circ f)(\gamma)$. (d) The relation \rightarrow is a partial order to within equivalence. (e) If α and β are of different types and $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, then $\alpha = \widehat{\beta}_1$ where $\beta_1 \rightarrow \beta$.

Proof. (a) Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in \text{In}(H)$ with $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$. Then there exists a surjection $f: \Omega_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that $\mathcal{I} = f(\mathcal{J})$. We now show that $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} = f(\widehat{\mathcal{J}})$. Indeed, for any $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ we have that

$$\text{tr}(\rho \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_x) = \text{tr}[\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)] = \text{tr}[\mathcal{J}_{f^{-1}(x)}(\rho)] = \text{tr}[\rho \mathcal{J}_{f^{-1}(x)}] = \text{tr}[\rho f(\mathcal{J})_x]$$

Hence, $\mathcal{I} = f(\widehat{\mathcal{J}})$ so $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2(H, K, \eta, \nu, F_2)$ be *MMs* where $F_1 = f(F_2)$. Then for any $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $x \in \Omega_{F_1}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho) &= \text{tr}_K[\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)(I \otimes F_{1,x})] = \text{tr}_K[\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)(I \otimes F_{2,f^{-1}(x)})] \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,f^{-1}(x)}(\rho) = f(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1 = f(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ so $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. If $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. As before, $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$ so $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

(b) This was proved in (a). (c) We prove the result for observables A, B, C and the result for instruments and *MMs* is similar. We have that $A_x = B_{g^{-1}(x)}$ and $B_y = C_{f^{-1}(y)}$. Since $g: \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_A$ and $f: \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_B$, we have that $g \circ f: \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_A$. Hence,

$$A_x = B_{g^{-1}(x)} = C_{f^{-1}(g^{-1}(x))} = C_{(g \circ f)^{-1}(x)}$$

Hence, $A = (g \circ f)(C)$. (d) We only need to prove that if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$. If α, β, γ are of the same type, the $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ follows from (c). Suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H)$ and $A \rightarrow B$, $B \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. Then $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and these are the same type so $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and hence, $A \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$.

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in \text{In}(H)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$. Then $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$. By (a) we have $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. Since $A, \widehat{\mathcal{I}}, \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ have the same type, $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ and hence, $A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$. Suppose that $A \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. By (a) $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$ so $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$. Since these are the same type, we have that $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$ so $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Similar reasoning holds for the cases $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$.

(e) If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$, then $A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ so $A = f(\widehat{\mathcal{I}})$ for some surjection $f: \Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{I}}} \rightarrow \Omega_A$. By (b) we have that $f(\widehat{\mathcal{I}}) = f(\mathcal{I})^{\wedge}$ so letting $\mathcal{I}_1 = f(\mathcal{I})$ we have that $A = f(\mathcal{I})^{\wedge} = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_1$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. If $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, then $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$. By (b), $A = f(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}) = \left[f(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})\right]^{\wedge}$. Letting $\mathcal{I} = f(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ we have that $A = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. By (b) $\mathcal{I} = f(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}) = f(\mathcal{M})^{\wedge}$. Letting $\mathcal{I}_1 = f(\mathcal{M})$, we have that $\mathcal{I} = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. \square

For an entity α , we denote its set of parts by $\widetilde{\alpha} = \{\beta: \beta \rightarrow \alpha\}$. We say that a set \mathcal{A} of entities *coexist* if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \widetilde{\alpha}$ for some entity α . A coexistent set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \widetilde{\alpha}$ is thought of as being simultaneously measured by α . A related concept is that of joint measurability. We say that observables $A^i \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ with outcome sets Ω_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are *jointly measurable* with *joint observable* $B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ if $\Omega_B = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$ and for all $x_i \in \Omega_i$ we have

$$A_{x_i}^i = \sum \{B_{(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}: x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\} \quad (3.2)$$

We interpret A^i as being the *i*th *marginal* of B as in classical probability theory [5, 6, 9]. Similar definitions can be made for joint measurability of instruments and *MMs*.

Theorem 3.2. *A set of observables $A^i \in \mathcal{O}(H)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is jointly measurable if and only if the A^i coexist.*

Proof. If $\{A_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are jointly measurable, there exists a joint observable $B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ satisfying (3.2). Defining $f_i: \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_{A^i}$ by

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = x_i$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by (3.2) we have that $A_{x_i}^i = B_{f_i^{-1}(x_i)}$ for all $x_i \in \Omega_i$. Hence, $A^i = f_i(B)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so $\{A^i\}$ coexist. Conversely, suppose that $\{A^i: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ coexist so there exists an observable $C \in \mathcal{O}(H)$

such that $A^i \in \tilde{C}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We then have surjections $f_i: \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_{A_i}$ such that $A^i = f_i(C)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Define $\Omega_B = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$, a surjection $h: \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_B$ by $h(y) = (f_1(y), \dots, f_n(y))$ and let $B = h(C)$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{x_i}^i &= C_{f_i^{-1}(x_i)} = \sum \{C_y: f_i(y) = x_i\} \\
&= \sum \{C_y: (f_1(y), \dots, f_n(y)) = (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n), x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\} \\
&= \sum \{C_y: h(y) = (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n): x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\} \\
&= \sum \{C_{h^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}: x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\} \\
&= \sum \{h(C)_{(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}: x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\} \\
&= \sum \{B_{(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}: x_j \in \Omega_j, j \neq i\}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.2) holds so $\{A^i\}$ are jointly measurable. \square

Theorem 3.2 also holds for instruments and *MMs*. An important property of coexistent entities is that they have joint probability distributions Φ_ρ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. For example, if $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ coexist, then $A = f(C)$, $B = g(C)$ for some $C \in \mathcal{O}(H)$. Then for any $X \subseteq \Omega_A$, $Y \subseteq \Omega_B$, the joint probability becomes

$$\Phi_\rho(A_X, B_Y) = \text{tr} \left[\rho \sum \{C_z: z \in f^{-1}(X) \cap g^{-1}(Y)\} \right] = \text{tr} [\rho C_{f^{-1}(X) \cap g^{-1}(Y)}]$$

As another example, if $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A, B \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ so $A = f(\hat{\mathcal{I}})$, $B = g(\hat{\mathcal{I}})$ for surjections f, g . We then obtain

$$\Phi_\rho(A_X, B_Y) = \text{tr} \left[\rho \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{f^{-1}(X) \cap g^{-1}(Y)} \right] = \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{f^{-1}(X) \cap g^{-1}(Y)}(\rho)]$$

We can continue this for many coexistent entities. Moreover, the entities do not need to be of the same type. For instance, suppose $A, \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ where $A = f(\hat{\mathcal{J}})$ and $\mathcal{I} = g(\mathcal{J})$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\rho(A_X, \mathcal{I}_Y) &= \Phi_\rho \left[f(\hat{\mathcal{J}})_X, g(\mathcal{J})_Y \right] = \Phi_\rho \left[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{f^{-1}(X)}, \mathcal{J}_{g^{-1}(Y)} \right] \\
&= \text{tr} [\mathcal{J}_{f^{-1}(X) \cap g^{-1}(Y)}(\rho)]
\end{aligned}$$

For $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ we define the *probability distribution* $\Phi_\rho^A(X) = \text{tr}(\rho A_X)$ for all $X \subseteq \Omega_A$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. In a similar way, if $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H)$ we define $\Phi_\rho^\mathcal{I}(X) = \text{tr}[\mathcal{I}_X(\rho)]$ and if \mathcal{M} is a *MM*, then $\Phi_\rho^\mathcal{M}(X) = \Phi_\rho^{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}(X)$.

Lemma 3.3. *If α is an entity and $f: \Omega_\alpha \rightarrow \Omega$ is a surjection, then $\Phi^{f(\alpha)} = \Phi^\alpha \circ f^{-1}$.*

Proof. We give the proof for $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ and the proof for other entities is similar. For $x \in \Omega_A$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\rho^{f(A)} &= \text{tr} [\rho f(A)_x] = \text{tr} [\rho A_{f^{-1}(x)}] = \text{tr} \left[\rho \sum \{A_y : f(y) = x\} \right] \\ &= \sum \{ \text{tr} (\rho A_y) : f(y) = x \} = \sum \{ \Phi_\rho^A(y) : f(y) = x \} \\ &= \Phi_\rho^A [f^{-1}(x)] = \Phi_\rho^A \circ f^{-1}(x)\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. \square

We now consider sequential products of observables.

Theorem 3.4. *If $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ and $h: \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega$ is a surjection, then A , $(B \mid A)$ and $A \circ h(B)$ are parts of $A \circ B$.*

Proof. Defining $f: \Omega_A \times \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_A$ by $f(x, y) = x$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}f(A \circ B)_x &= (A \circ B)_{f^{-1}(x)} = \sum \{ (A \circ B)_{(y,z)} : f(y, z) = x \} = \sum_{z \in \Omega_B} (A \circ B)_{(x,z)} \\ &= \sum_{z \in \Omega_B} A_x \circ B_z = A_x \circ 1 = A_x\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A = f(A \circ B)$ so $A \rightarrow A \circ B$. Defining $g: \Omega_A \times \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_B$ by $g(x, y) = y$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}g(A \circ B)_y &= (A \circ B)_{g^{-1}(y)} = \sum \{ (A \circ B)_{(x,z)} : g(x, z) = y \} = \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} (A \circ B)_{(x,y)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} A_x \circ B_y = (B \mid A)_y\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(B \mid A) = g(A \circ B)$ so $(B \mid A) \rightarrow A \circ B$. Defining $u: \Omega_A \times \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_A \times \Omega$ by $u(x, y) = (x, h(y))$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}[u(A \circ B)]_{(x,y)} &= (A \circ B)_{u^{-1}(x,y)} = (A \circ B)_{(x,h^{-1}(y))} = A_x \circ B_{h^{-1}(y)} \\ &= A_x \circ h(B)_y = [A \circ h(B)]_{(x,y)}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $A \circ h(B) = u(A \circ B)$. Hence, $A \circ h(B) \rightarrow A \circ B$. \square

Some results analogous to Theorem 3.4 hold for other entities.

Example 1. We consider the simplest nontrivial example of a sequential product $A \circ B$ of observables. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1\}$, $B = \{b_0, b_1\}$ be binary (diatomic) observables. Then $\Omega_{A \circ B} = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$ and

$$A \circ B = \{a_0 \circ b_0, a_1 \circ b_0, a_0 \circ b_1, a_1 \circ b_1\}$$

Except in trivial cases, $A \circ B$ has precisely the following nine parts to within equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} &A \circ B, \{a_0 \circ b_0, a_1 + a_0 \circ b_1\}, \{a_1 \circ b_0, a_0 + a_1 \circ b_1\}, \{a_0 \circ b_1, a_1 + a_0 \circ b_0\} \\ &\{a_1 \circ b_1, a_0 + a_1 \circ b_0\}, \{a_0 \circ b_0 + a_1 \circ b_0, a_0 \circ b_1 + a_1 \circ b_1\}, \{a_0, a_1\} \\ &\{a_0 \circ b_0 + a_1 \circ b_1, a_1 \circ b_0 + a_0 \circ b_1\}, \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the sixth of the parts is $(B \mid A)$ and the seventh is A as required by Theorem 3.4. Each of the parts is a function of $A \circ B$. The parts listed correspond to the following functions $f_i: \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$.

function	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)
f_1	1	2	3	4
f_2	1	2	2	2
f_3	2	2	1	2
f_4	2	1	2	2
f_5	2	2	2	1
f_6	1	2	1	2
f_7	1	1	2	2
f_8	1	2	2	1
f_9	1	1	1	1

Table 1: Function Values

□

Example 2. Similar to Example 1, for the two binary instruments $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}_1\}$, $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_1\}$ we have the instrument $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J}$ with

$\Omega_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J}} = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J} = \{\mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_1\}$$

The nine parts of $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J}$ to within equivalence are:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J}, \{\mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}\}, \{\mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}\} \\ &\{\mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}\}, \{\mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}\}, \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} \circ \mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} \circ \mathcal{J}_1\} \\ &\{\mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}\}, \{\mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{I}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 \circ \mathcal{J}_1\}, \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J}}\} \end{aligned}$$

As in Example 1, the sixth part is $(\mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{I})$, however, unlike the observable case, the seventh part is not \mathcal{I} . In fact, unlike that case, \mathcal{I} is not a part of $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})$. \square

If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ the corresponding *Lüders instrument* $\mathcal{L}^A \in \text{In}(H)$ is defined by $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}^A} = \Omega_A$ and $\mathcal{L}_x^A(\rho) = A_x^{1/2} \rho A_x^{1/2}$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. It follows that [13]

$$\mathcal{L}_X^A(\rho) = \sum_{x \in X} A_x^{1/2} \rho A_x^{1/2}$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $X \subseteq \Omega_A$. It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{L}^A)^\wedge = A$. Hence, for $B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ we have that $B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^A$ if and only if $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem 3.5. (a) $\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B} = \mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B$ if and only if $A_x B_y = B_y A_x$ for all $x \in \Omega_A$, $y \in \Omega_B$. (b) $(\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B})^\wedge = (\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)^\wedge = A \circ B$. (c) An observable C satisfies $C \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B$ if and only if $C \rightarrow A \circ B$.

Proof. (a) For all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $(x, y) \in \Omega_A \times \Omega_B$ we have that

$$(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}(\rho) = \mathcal{L}_y^B(\mathcal{L}_x^A(\rho)) = \mathcal{L}_y^B(A_x^{1/2} \rho A_x^{1/2}) = B_y^{1/2} A_x^{1/2} \rho A_x^{1/2} B_y^{1/2} \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B})_{(x,y)}(\rho) &= (A \circ B)_{(x,y)}^{1/2} \rho (A \circ B)_{(x,y)}^{1/2} = (A_x \circ B_y)^{1/2} \rho (A_x \circ B_y)^{1/2} \\ &= (A_x^{1/2} B_y A_x^{1/2})^{1/2} \rho (A_x^{1/2} B_y A_x^{1/2})^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If $A_x B_y = B_y A_x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B})_{(x,y)}(\rho) &= (A_x B_y)^{1/2} \rho (A_x B_y)^{1/2} = B_y^{1/2} A_x^{1/2} \rho A_x^{1/2} B_y^{1/2} \\ &= (\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B} = \mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B} = \mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B$, letting $\rho = \frac{1}{n} 1$ where $n = \dim H$, we obtain from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$B_y \circ A_x = B_y^{1/2} A_x B_y^{1/2} = A_x^{1/2} B_y A_x^{1/2} = A_x \circ B_y$$

It follows that $B_y A_x = A_x B_y$ for all $x \in \Omega_A$, $y \in \Omega_B$ [4]. (b) We have already pointed out that $(\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B})^\wedge = A \circ B$. To show that $(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)^\wedge = A \circ B$, applying (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \left[\rho (\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}^\wedge \right] &= \text{tr} \left[(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}(\rho) \right] = \text{tr} (\rho A_x^{1/2} B_y A_x^{1/2}) \\ &= \text{tr} (\rho A_x \circ B_y) = \text{tr} [\rho (A \circ B)_{(x,y)}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)^\wedge = A \circ B$. (c) This follows from (b) and Theorem 3.1(a). \square

Example 3. We have seen from Theorem 3.5(b) that $(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)^\wedge = (\mathcal{L}^A)^\wedge \circ (\mathcal{L}^B)^\wedge$. We now show that $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})^\wedge \neq \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ in general. Let $\delta, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H)$. The instruments $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho) = \text{tr}(\rho A_x) \delta$ and $\mathcal{J}_y(\rho) = \text{tr}(\rho B_y) \gamma$ are called *trivial instruments* with observables A, B and states δ, γ , respectively [8]. We have that

$$\text{tr}(\rho \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_x) = \text{tr}[\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)] = \text{tr}[\text{tr}(\rho A_x) \delta] = \text{tr}(\rho A_x)$$

Hence, $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} = A$ and similarly $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} = B$. For all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \left[\rho (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})_{(x,y)}^\wedge \right] &= \text{tr} [(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})_{(x,y)}(\rho)] = \text{tr} [\mathcal{J}_y(\mathcal{I}_x(\rho))] = \text{tr} [\mathcal{J}_y(\text{tr}(\rho A_x) \delta)] \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho A_x) \text{tr}[\mathcal{J}_y(\delta)] = \text{tr}(\rho A_x) \text{tr}[\text{tr}(\delta B_y) \gamma] \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho A_x) \text{tr}(\delta B_y) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{tr} [\rho \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_x \circ \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_y] = \text{tr}(\rho A_x \circ B_y) \tag{3.6}$$

Since the right hand sides of (3.5) and (3.6) are different in general, we conclude that $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J})^\wedge \neq \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. \square

We saw in Theorem 3.5(a) that $\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B} \neq \mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B$, in general. The following lemma shows they can differ in a striking way.

Lemma 3.6. *If $A_x = |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x|$ and $B_y = |\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y|$ are atomic observables on H , then for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, there exist numbers $\lambda_{xy}(\rho) \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{x,y} \lambda_{xy}(\rho) = 1$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{(x,y)}^{A \circ B}(\rho) = \lambda_{xy}(\rho) A_x$ and $(\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}(\rho) = \lambda_{xy}(\rho) B_y$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_A \times \Omega_B$.*

Proof. For all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^A \circ \mathcal{L}^B)_{(x,y)}(\rho) &= \mathcal{L}_y^B(\mathcal{L}_x^A(\rho)) = B_y A_x \rho A_x B_y \\ &= |\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y| |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x| \rho |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x| |\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y| \\ &= |\langle\phi_x, \psi_y\rangle|^2 \langle\phi_x, \rho\phi_x\rangle B_y \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$A_x B_y A_x = |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x| |\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y| |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x| = |\langle\phi_x, \psi_y\rangle|^2 A_x$$

we obtain

$$(A_x B_y A_x)^{1/2} = |\langle\phi_x, \psi_y\rangle| A_x$$

Hence,

$$(\mathcal{L}^{A \circ B})_{(x,y)}(\rho) = (A_x B_y A_x)^{1/2} \rho (A_x B_y A_x)^{1/2} = |\langle\phi_x, \psi_y\rangle|^2 \langle\phi_x, \rho\phi_x\rangle A_x$$

Letting $\lambda_{xy}(\rho) = |\langle\phi_x, \psi_y\rangle|^2 \langle\phi_x, \rho\phi_x\rangle$, the result follows \square

4 Composite Systems

Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces with $\dim H_1 = n_1$ and $\dim H_2 = n_2$. If H_1, H_2 represent quantum systems, we call $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$ a *composite* quantum system. For $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, we define the *reduced effects* $a^1 \in \mathcal{E}(H_1)$, $a^2 \in \mathcal{E}(H_2)$ by $a^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(a)$, $a^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}_1(a)$. We view a^i to be the effect a as measured in system $i = 1, 2$. The map $a \mapsto a^1$ is a surjective effect algebra morphism from $\mathcal{E}(H)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(H_1)$ and similarly for $a \mapsto a^2$ [3, 4]. Conversely, if $a \in \mathcal{E}(H_1)$, $b \in \mathcal{E}(H_2)$, then $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and

$$(a \otimes b)^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(a \otimes b) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(b)a$$

Similarly, $(a \otimes b)^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}_1(a \otimes b)$. It follows that

$$(a^1 \otimes a^2)^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(a^2)a^1$$

and

$$(a^1 \otimes a^2)^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}(a^1) a^2$$

An effect $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ is *factorized* if $a = b \otimes c$ for $b \in \mathcal{E}(H_1)$, $c \in \mathcal{E}(H_2)$ [8].

Lemma 4.1. *If $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ with $a \neq 0$, then a is factorized if and only if*

$$a = \frac{n_1 n_2}{\text{tr}(a)} a^1 \otimes a^2 \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. If (4.1) holds, then a is factorized. Conversely, suppose a is factorized with $a = b \otimes c$, $b \in \mathcal{E}(H_1)$, $c \in \mathcal{E}(H_2)$. Then $a^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(c)b$ and $a^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}(b)c$. Hence, $b = \frac{n_2}{\text{tr}(c)} a^1$ and $c = \frac{n_1}{\text{tr}(b)} a^2$. We conclude that

$$a = \frac{n_1 n_2}{\text{tr}(b)\text{tr}(c)} a^1 \otimes a^2 = \frac{n_1 n_2}{\text{tr}(a)} a^1 \otimes a^2 \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.2. *If $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, then $a = a^1 \otimes a^2$ if and only if $a = 0$ or $a = 1$.*

Proof. If $a = 0$ or $a = 1$, then clearly $a = a^1 \otimes a^2$. Conversely, if $a = a^1 \otimes a^2$, then by Lemma 4.1, $a = 0$ or $\text{tr}(a) = n_1 n_2$. In the latter case, $a = 1$. \square

An effect is *indecomposable* if it has the form $a = \lambda b$ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and b is an atom.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ be an atom $a = P_\psi$ where $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$.*

(a) *a is factorized if and only if a^1 and a^2 are indecomposable.* (b) *We can arrange the nonzero eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ of a^1 and the nonzero eigenvalues $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ of a^2 so that $\alpha_i = \frac{n_1}{n_2} \beta_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, if $n_1 = n_2$, then the eigenvalues of a^1 and a^2 are identical.*

Proof. The unit vector $\psi \in H$ has a Schmidt decomposition $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \psi_i \otimes \phi_i$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\sum \lambda_i^2 = 1$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} a = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| &= \left| \sum \lambda_i \psi_i \otimes \phi_i \right\rangle \left\langle \sum \lambda_j \psi_j \otimes \phi_j \right| = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j |\psi_i \otimes \phi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j \otimes \phi_j| \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j| \otimes |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j| \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} a^1 &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(a) = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{tr}_2(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_j| \otimes |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j|) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_j| = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum \lambda_i^2 P_{\psi_i} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly

$$a^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum \lambda_i^2 P_{\phi_i} \quad (4.3)$$

Now a is factorized if and only if ψ is factorized which is equivalent to $m = 1$ and $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \phi_1$. Applying (4.2) and (4.3) we conclude that a is factorized if and only if $a^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \lambda_1^2 P_{\psi_1}$ and $a^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \lambda_1^2 P_{\phi_1}$ in which case a^1 and a^2 are indecomposable. This completes the proof of (a). To prove (b), we see from (4.2), (4.3) that the eigenvalues of a^1, a^2 are $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{n_2} \lambda_i^2$ and $\beta_i = \frac{1}{n_1} \lambda_i^2$. It follows that $\alpha_i = \frac{n_1}{n_2} \beta_i$. \square

If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ we define the *reduced observables* $A^1 \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$, $A^2 \in \mathcal{O}(H_2)$ by $A^1 = \{A_x^1 : x \in \Omega_A\}$ and $A^2 = \{A_x^2 : x \in \Omega_A\}$. Note that $A^1(A^2)$ is indeed an observable because

$$\sum_{x \in \Omega_A} A_x^1 = \sum_{x \in \Omega_A} \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(A_x) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega_A} A_x \right) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(1_1 \otimes 1_2) = 1_1$$

Lemma 4.4. *If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ and $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, then*

$$\Phi_{\rho_1}^{A^1} = \Phi_{\rho_1 \otimes 1_2/n_2}^A$$

Proof. For $X \subseteq \Omega_A$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\rho_1}^{A^1}(X) &= \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x^1) = \text{tr} \left[\rho_1 \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(A_X) \right] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\rho_1 \text{tr}_2(A_X)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [A_X(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \text{tr} \left[\left(\rho_1 \otimes \frac{1}{n_2} 1_2 \right) A_X \right] = \Phi_{\rho_1 \otimes 1_2/n_2}(X) \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. \square

In a similar way

$$\Phi_{\rho_2}^{A^2} = \Phi_{1_1/n_1 \otimes \rho_2}^A$$

For $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$ we define the A -random measure on Ω_A by

$$\mu^A(X) = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}(A_X) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} A_X \right) = \Phi_{1_1/n_1}^A(X)$$

for all $X \subseteq \Omega_A$. Thus, μ^A is the distribution of A in the random state $1_1/n_1$. If $A_1 \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{O}(H_2)$, we define the *composite observable*

$$B_{(x,y)} = A_{1,x} \otimes A_{2,y} \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$$

In this case, $\Omega_B = \Omega_{A_1} \times \Omega_{A_2}$ and for $Z \subseteq \Omega_B$ we have that

$$B_Z = \sum_{(x,y) \in Z} B_{(x,y)}$$

Hence, $B_{X \times Y} = A_{1,X} \otimes A_{2,Y}$.

Lemma 4.5. $B_{X \times Y}^1 = \mu^{A^2}(Y)A_{1,X}$ and $B_{X \times Y}^2 = \mu^{A_1}(X)A_{2,Y}$.

Proof. For $x \in \Omega_{A_1}$, $y \in \Omega_{A_2}$ we obtain

$$B_{(x,y)}^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [B_{(x,y)}] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (A_{1,x} \otimes A_{2,y}) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (A_{2,y}) A_{1,x}$$

Hence,

$$B_{X \times Y}^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (A_{2,Y}) A_{1,X} = \mu^{A^2}(Y) A_{1,X}$$

The second equation is similar. \square

A *transition probability* from Ω_1 to Ω_2 is a map $\nu: \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $\sum_{y \in \Omega_2} \nu_{xy} = 1$ for all $x \in \Omega_1$. (The matrix $[\nu_{xy}]$ is called a *stochastic matrix*.) Let $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$ with outcome-space Ω_1 and let ν be a transition probability from Ω_1 to Ω_2 . Then $(\nu \cdot A)_y = \sum_{x \in \Omega_1} \nu_{xy} A_x$ is an observable on H_1 with outcome-space Ω_2 called a *post-processing* of A from Ω_1 to Ω_2 [9]. If we also have $B \in \mathcal{O}(H_2)$ with outcome-space Ω_3 and μ a transition probability from Ω_3 to Ω_4 , we can form the post-processing $\mu \cdot B$.

Theorem 4.6. (a) $(\nu \cdot A) \otimes (\mu \cdot B) \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ with outcome-space $\Omega_2 \times \Omega_4$ and is a post-processing $\alpha \cdot (A \otimes B)$ from $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_3$ to $\Omega_2 \times \Omega_4$ where $\alpha((x,r), (y,s)) = \nu_{xy} \mu_{rs}$. (b) If $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$, then $(\nu \cdot A)^1 = \nu \cdot A^1$ and $(\nu \cdot A)^2 = \nu \cdot A^2$.

Proof. (a) The map $\alpha: \Omega_1 \times \Omega_3 \rightarrow \Omega_2 \times \Omega_4$ is a transition probability because $\alpha((x,r), (y,s)) \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{(y,s) \in \Omega_2 \times \Omega_4} \alpha((x,r), (y,s)) = \sum_{y,s} \nu_{xy} \mu_{rs} = 1$$

Moreover, $\nu \bullet A \otimes (\mu \bullet B) \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ with outcome-space $\Omega_2 \times \Omega_4$ and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\nu \bullet A) \otimes (\mu \bullet B)]_{(y,s)} &= (\nu \bullet A)_y \otimes (\mu \bullet B)_s \\
&= \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega_1} \nu_{xy} A_x \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{r \in \Omega_3} \mu_{rs} B_r \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega_1} \sum_{r \in \Omega_3} \nu_{xy} \mu_{rs} A_x \otimes B_r \\
&= \sum_{x,r} \alpha_{((x,r),(y,s))} A_x \otimes B_r = [\alpha \bullet (A \otimes B)]_{(y,s)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\nu \bullet A) \otimes (\mu \bullet B) = \alpha \bullet (A \otimes B)$. (b) This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu \bullet A)_y^1 &= \left(\sum_x \nu_{xy} A_x \right)^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left(\sum_x \nu_{xy} A_x \right) = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_x \nu_{xy} \text{tr}_2(A_x) \\
&= \sum_x \nu_{xy} A_x^1 = (\nu \bullet A^1)_y
\end{aligned}$$

That $(\nu \bullet A)^2 = \nu \bullet A^2$ is similar. \square

We have seen in Theorem 3.2 that coexistence is equivalent to joint measurability. This is used in the next theorem [10].

Theorem 4.7. (a) If $A_1, B_1 \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$ coexist with joint observable C_2 , then $A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2$ coexist with joint observable $C = C_1 \otimes C_2$. (b) If $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ coexist with joint observable C , then A^1, B^1 coexist with joint observable C^1 and A^2, B^2 coexist with joint observable C^2 .

Proof. (a) We write $C_{1,(x,y)}$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{A_1} \times \Omega_{B_1}$ and $C_{2,(x',y')}$ for $(x', y') \in \Omega_{A_2} \times \Omega_{B_2}$. Then

$$C_{(x,y,x',y')} = C_{1,(x,y)} \otimes C_{2,(x',y')}$$

and we have that

$$\sum_{(y,y')} C_{(x,y,x',y')} = \sum_y C_{1,(x,y)} \otimes \sum_{y'} C_{2,(x',y')} = A_{1,x} \otimes A_{2,x'} = (A_1 \otimes A_2)_{(x,x')}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{(x,x')} C_{(x,y,x',y')} = \sum_x C_{1,(x,y)} \otimes \sum_{x'} C_{2,(x',y')} = B_{1,y} \otimes B_{2,y'} = (B_1 \otimes B_2)_{(y,y')}$$

and the result follows. (b) For all $(x, y) \in \Omega_A \times \Omega_B$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^1 &= \left[\sum_y C_{(x,y)} \right]^1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left[\sum_y C_{(x,y)} \right] = \sum_y \left[\frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (C_{(x,y)}) \right] \\ &= \sum_y C_{(x,y)}^1 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $B_y^1 = \sum_x C_{(x,y)}^1$ so A^1, B^1 coexist with joint observable C^1 . The result for A^2, B^2 is similar. \square

For an instrument $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ on the composite system, the *reduced instrument* on system 1 is defined by [6, 7]

$$\mathcal{I}_x^1(\rho_1) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)]$$

for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{I}_x^2(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}_1 [\mathcal{I}_x(1_1 \otimes \rho_2)]$$

for all $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$, $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Theorem 4.8. $(\mathcal{I}^1)^\wedge = (\hat{\mathcal{I}})^1$ and $(\mathcal{I}^2)^\wedge = (\hat{\mathcal{I}})^2$.

Proof. For all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} [\rho_1 (\hat{\mathcal{I}})_x^1] &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\rho_1 \text{tr}_2 (\hat{\mathcal{I}})_x] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) \hat{\mathcal{I}}_x] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_x^1(\rho_1)] = \text{tr} [\rho_1 (\mathcal{I}^1)_x^\wedge] \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $(\mathcal{I}^1)^\wedge = (\hat{\mathcal{I}})^1$ and similarly, $(\mathcal{I}^2)^\wedge = (\hat{\mathcal{I}})^2$. \square

For $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1)$ we define the \mathcal{I} -random measure on $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ by

$$\mu^{\mathcal{I}}(X) = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_X(1_1)]$$

For $\mathcal{I}_1 \in \text{In}(H_1)$, $\mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_2)$ we define $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ with outcome-space $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}_1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{I}_2}$ by $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)} = \mathcal{I}_{1,x} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{J} is indeed an instrument.

Theorem 4.9. Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$. (a) $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) = \mu^{\mathcal{I}_2}(y) \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1)$ for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^2(\rho_2) = \mu^{\mathcal{I}_1}(x) \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2)$ for all $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$. (b) $(\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)^\wedge = \hat{\mathcal{I}}_1 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{I}}_2$.

Proof. (a) For all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_{1,x} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho \otimes 1_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(1_2)] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{2,y}(1_2)] \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \\ &= \mu^{\mathcal{I}_2}(y) \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^2(\rho_2) = \mu^{\mathcal{I}_1}(x) \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2)$ for all $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$. (b) For all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr} \left[\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 (\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)_{(x,y)}^\wedge \right] &= \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{1,x} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)] = \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2)] \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1)] \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2)] = \text{tr} [\rho_1 \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{1,x}] \text{tr} [\rho_2 \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{2,y}] \\ &= \text{tr} \left[\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 (\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{1,x} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{2,y}) \right]\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

A *Kraus instrument* is an instrument of the form $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho) = S_x \rho S_x^*$ where $\sum_x S_x^* S_x = 1$, $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$. The operators S_x are called *Kraus operators* for \mathcal{I} [11].

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{I}_1 \in \text{In}(H_1)$, $\mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_2)$ be Kraus instruments with operators $S_{1,x}$, $S_{2,y}$, respectively. (a) $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2$ is a Kraus instrument with operators $S_{1,x} \otimes S_{2,y}$. (b) $\mathcal{J}^1, \mathcal{J}^2$ are Kraus instruments with operators*

$$\begin{aligned}T_{(x,y)} &= \left[\frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (S_{2,y} S_{2,y}^*) \right]^{1/2} S_{1,x} \\ R_{(x,y)} &= \left[\frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr} (S_{1,x} S_{1,x}^*) \right]^{1/2} S_{2,y}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) For all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) &= (\mathcal{I}_{1,x} \times \mathcal{I}_{2,y})(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) = \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2) \\ &= S_{1,x} \rho_1 S_{1,x}^* \otimes S_{2,y} \rho_2 S_{2,y}^* \\ &= S_{1,x} \otimes S_{2,y} (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) S_{1,x}^* \otimes S_{2,y}^*\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. (b) For $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{2,y}(1_2)] \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} (S_{2,y} S_{2,y}^*) S_{1,x} \rho_1 S_{1,x}^*$$

This can be considered to be a Kraus instrument with operators $T_{(x,y)}$ given above. The result for \mathcal{J}^2 is similar. \square

Notice that a Lüders instrument defined by $\mathcal{L}_x^A(\rho_1) = A_x^{1/2} \rho_1 A_x^{1/2}$ for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ is a particular case of a Kraus instrument with operators $A_x^{1/2}$ [13].

Corollary 4.11. *Let $A \in \mathcal{O}(H_1)$, $B \in \mathcal{O}(H_2)$. (a) $\mathcal{L}_x^A \otimes \mathcal{L}_y^B = \mathcal{L}_{(x,y)}^{A \otimes B}$. (b) $(\mathcal{L}_x^A \otimes \mathcal{L}_y^B)^1 = \mathcal{L}_{(x,y)}^C$ where $C = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(B_y) A_x$ and $(\mathcal{L}_x^A \otimes \mathcal{L}_y^B)^2 = \mathcal{L}_{(x,y)}^D$ where $D = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(A_x) B_y$.*

We say that a Kraus instrument $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ with operators R_x is *factorized* if $R_x = S_x \otimes T_x$ for all $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$. We conjecture that if $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ is Kraus, then \mathcal{I}^1 and \mathcal{I}^2 need not be Kraus. However, we do have the following result.

Lemma 4.12. *If $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ is Kraus and factorized, then \mathcal{I}^1 and \mathcal{I}^2 are Kraus.*

Proof. If the operators R_x for \mathcal{I} satisfy $R_x = S_x \otimes T_x$, then for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_x^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [R_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) R_x^*] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [S_x \otimes T_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) S_x^* \otimes T_x^*] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 (S_x \rho_1 S_x^* \otimes T_x T_x^*) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(T_x T_x^*) S_x \rho_1 S_x^* \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{I}^1 is Kraus with operators $\left[\frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(T_x T_x^*) \right]^{1/2} S_x$. Similarly, \mathcal{I}^2 is Kraus with operators $\left[\frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr}(S_x S_x^*) \right]^{1/2} T_x$. \square

We do not know if the converse of Lemma 4.12 holds. We now consider trivial instruments (see Example 3).

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mathcal{I}_1 \in \text{In}(H_1)$, $\mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_2)$ be trivial instruments with*

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) = \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x) \alpha, \quad \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2) = \text{tr}(\rho_2 B_y) \beta$$

- (a) $\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ is trivial with observable $A \otimes B$ and state $\alpha \otimes \beta$
- (b) $(\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)^1, (\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)^2$ are trivial with observables $\mu^B(y) A_x, \mu^A(x) B_y$ and states α, β , respectively.

Proof. (a) For all $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}_1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{I}_2}$, $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)_{(x,y)}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) &= \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_2) = \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x) \alpha \otimes \text{tr}(\rho_2 B_y) \beta \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x) \text{tr}(\rho_2 B_y) \alpha \otimes \beta = \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x \otimes \rho_2 B_y) \alpha \otimes \beta \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 A \otimes B_{(x,y)}) \alpha \otimes \beta \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. (b) This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_{1,x} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2,y}(1_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_{2,y}(1_2)] \mathcal{I}_{1,x}(\rho_1) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\text{tr}(1_2 B_y) \beta] \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x) \alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}(B_y) \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x) \alpha = \text{tr} [\rho_1 \mu^B(y) A_x] \alpha \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2)_{(x,y)}^2(\rho_2) = \text{tr} [\rho_2 \mu^A(x) B_y] \beta \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{I} \in \text{In}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ be trivial with $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho) = \text{tr}(\rho A_x) \alpha$. (a) $\mathcal{I}^1, \mathcal{I}^2$ are trivial with observables A_x^1, A_x^2 and states $\text{tr}_2(\alpha), \text{tr}_1(\alpha)$, respectively. (b) Letting $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^1 \otimes \mathcal{I}^2$ we have that \mathcal{J} is trivial with observable $A^1 \otimes A^2$ and state $\text{tr}_2(\alpha) \otimes \text{tr}_1(\alpha)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^1 = \mathcal{I}_x^1$ and $\mathcal{J}_{(x,y)}^2 = \mathcal{I}_y^2$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}$.*

Proof. (a) For all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ and $x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_x^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2)] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \{ \text{tr}[(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) A_x] \alpha \} \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}[(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) A_x] \text{tr}_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}[\text{tr}_2(A_x) \rho_1] \text{tr}_2(\alpha) \\ &= \text{tr} \left[\rho_1 \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2(A_x) \right] \text{tr}_2(\alpha) = \text{tr}(\rho_1 A_x^1) \text{tr}_2(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{I}_x^2(\rho_2) = \text{tr}(\rho_2 A_x^2) \text{tr}_1(\alpha)$ so the result follows. (b) This result follows from Lemma 4.13(b). \square

We now consider *MMs* for composite systems. A *single probe MM* on $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$ has the form $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$ as defined before. As discussed earlier, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \in \text{In}(H)$ is the instrument measured by \mathcal{M} . Then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^1 \in \text{In}(H_1)$ and for $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left[\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \{ \text{tr}_K [\nu(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \eta)(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes F_x)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We have a similar expression for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^2 \in \text{In}(H_2)$.

Corresponding to \mathcal{M} we define the *reduced MM* $\mathcal{M}_1 = (H_1, K, \eta, \nu_1, F)$ where $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1 \otimes K)$ is given by

$$\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 [\nu(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \eta)]$$

We then have for $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1) &= \text{tr}_K [\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta)(1_1 \otimes F_x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_K \{ \text{tr}_2 [\nu(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \eta)] (1_1 \otimes F_x) \} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, we define $\mathcal{M}_2 = (H_2, K, \eta, \nu_2, F)$ and an analogous formula for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. Notice that (4.4) and (4.5) are quite similar and they are essentially an interchange of the two partial traces. We now show that they coincide.

Theorem 4.15. (a) *Let H_1, H_2, H_3 be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(H_3)$. Then*

$$\text{tr}_2 [\text{tr}_3 (A(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes B))] = \text{tr}_3 [(\text{tr}_2(A)) (1_1 \otimes B)] \quad (4.6)$$

(b) $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^1 = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$.

Proof. (a) First suppose that $A = A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3$ is factorized. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_2 [\text{tr}_3 (A(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes B))] &= \text{tr}_2 [\text{tr}_3 (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes B))] \\ &= \text{tr}_2 [\text{tr}_3 (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 B)] \\ &= \text{tr}_2 [A_1 \otimes A_2 \text{tr}(A_3 B)] = \text{tr}(A_3 B) \text{tr}_2 (A_1 \otimes A_2) \\ &= \text{tr}(A_3 B) \text{tr}(A_2) A_1 = \text{tr}(A_2) \text{tr}_3 (A_1 \otimes A_3 B) \\ &= \text{tr}_3 [\text{tr}(A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_3)(1_1 \otimes B)] \\ &= \text{tr}_3 [(\text{tr}_2(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3)) (1_1 \otimes B)] \\ &= \text{tr}_3 [(\text{tr}_2(A)) (1_1 \otimes B)] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.6) holds when A is factorized. Since any $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3)$ is a linear combination of factorized operators, (4.6) holds in general.

(b) Letting $A = \nu(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \eta)$, $B = F_x$ and $K = H_3$ in (4.6), we conclude that (4.4) and (4.5) coincide. Hence, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^1 = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1$ and similarly, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. \square

We have considered single probe composite MM s. We now briefly discuss general composite MM s. Let $\mathcal{M}_i = (H_i, K_i, \eta_i, \nu_i, F_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two MM s. Define the unitary *swap operator* [8]

$$U: H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes K_1 \otimes K_2 \rightarrow H_1 \otimes K_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes K_2$$

by

$$U(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) = \phi_1 \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \psi_2$$

We now define the channel $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2 \in \mathcal{C}(H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes K_1 \otimes K_2)$ by

$$\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = U^* [\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta_2) \otimes \nu_2(\rho_2 \otimes \eta_1)] U \quad (4.7)$$

The *composite* of \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 is declared to be

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 = (H_1 \otimes H_2, K_1 \otimes K_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2, F_1 \otimes F_2)$$

For $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ we have that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}(\rho) = \text{tr}_{K_1 \otimes K_2} [\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(\rho \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes F_{1,x} \otimes F_{2,y})] \quad (4.8)$$

The next result shows that \mathcal{M} has desirable properties.

Theorem 4.16. (a) For $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}(\rho) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(\rho_2)$$

(b) Defining $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^2$ in the usual way we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} [\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(1_2)] \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}^2(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{n_1} \text{tr} [\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(1_1)] \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(\rho_2)$$

for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$, $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H_2)$.

Proof. (a) Applying (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}(\rho)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{tr}_{K_1 \otimes K_2} [U^* \nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta_1) \otimes \nu_2(\rho_2 \otimes \eta_2) U(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes F_{1,x} \otimes F_{2,y})] \\
&= \text{tr}_{K_1 \otimes K_2} [\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta_1) \otimes \nu_2(\rho_2 \otimes \eta_2) U(1_1 \otimes 1_2 \otimes F_{1,x} \otimes F_{2,y}) U^*] \\
&= \text{tr}_{K_1} \text{tr}_{K_2} [\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta_1) \otimes \nu_2(\rho_2 \otimes \eta_2) (1_1 \otimes F_{1,x} \otimes 1_2 \otimes F_{2,y})] \\
&= \text{tr}_{K_1} \text{tr}_{K_2} [\nu_1(\rho_1 \otimes \eta_1)(1_1 \otimes F_{1,x}) \otimes \nu_2(\rho_2 \otimes \eta_2)(1_2 \otimes F_{2,y})] \\
&= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(\rho_2)
\end{aligned}$$

(b) For $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{S}(H_1)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{(x,y)}^1(\rho_1) &= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left[\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(x,y)}(\rho_1 \otimes 1_2) \right] = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr}_2 \left[\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(1_2) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n_2} \text{tr} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{2,y}(1_2) \right] \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,x}(\rho_1)
\end{aligned}$$

The expression for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^2$ is similar. \square

References

- [1] P. Busch, M. Grabowski and P. Lahti, *Operational Quantum Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [2] S. Fillipov, T. Heinosaari and L. Leppäjärvi, Simulability of observables in general probabilistic theories, *Phys. Rev.***A97**, 062102 (2018).
- [3] S. Gudder and R. Greechie, Sequential Products on effect algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **49**, 87–111 (2002).
- [4] S. Gudder and G. Nagy, Sequential quantum measurements, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 5212–5222 (2001).
- [5] S. Gudder, Conditioned observables in quantum mechanics, arXiv:quant-ph 2005.04775 (2020).
- [6] ———, Quantum instruments and conditioned observables, arXiv:quant-ph 2005.08117 (2020).
- [7] ———, Finite quantum instruments, arXiv:quant-ph 2005.13642 (2020).
- [8] T. Heinosaari and M. Ziman, *The Mathematical Language of Quantum Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

- [9] T. Heinosaari, D. Reitzner, R. Stano and M. Ziman, Coexistence of quantum operations, *J. Phys.* **A42**, 365302 (2009).
- [10] T. Heinosaari, T. Miyadera and D. Reitzner, Strongly incompatible quantum devices, *Found. Phys.* **44**, 34–57 (2014).
- [11] K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [12] P. Lahti, Coexistence and joint measurability in quantum mechanics, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **42**, 893–906 (2003).
- [13] G. Lüders, Über die Zustandsänderung durch den Messprozess, *Ann. Physik* **6**, 322–328 (1951).
- [14] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [15] M. Ozawa, Operations, disturbance, and simultaneous measurability, *Phys. Rev.* **A63**, 032109 (2001).