

Dynamical mass generation for ferromagnetic skyrmions in two dimensions

D. Wang^{1,*}

¹*College of Engineering Physics, Shenzhen Technology University, Guangdong 518118, P. R. China*

(Dated: September 17, 2020)

Abstract

Magnetic skyrmions are topological magnetization textures that are characterized by the homotopy group of two dimensional sphere. Despite years of intensive research on skyrmions, the fundamental problem of the inertia of a skyrmion in driven motion remains unresolved. By properly taking into account the interaction between a skyrmion and the magnons floating on top of it, we show that a dynamical mass for the skyrmion motion can be generated. Accompanying the skyrmion motion, due to the same interaction between skyrmions and magnons, magnons are simultaneously emitted, in accordance with the generated dynamical mass for skyrmion motion.

Magnetic skyrmions are magnetic topological solitons, the magnetization texture inside which induces a homeomorphic mapping between two dimensional (2D) sphere, defined as the 2D surface of solid sphere in three dimensions (3D). The topological feature of magnetic skyrmions derives from the homeomorphic mapping, and they are characterized by the homotopy group of 2D sphere¹, with the topological charge of an individual skyrmion defined as $Q = -\int d^2x \mathbf{m} \cdot (\partial_x \mathbf{m} \times \partial_y \mathbf{m})/4\pi$. \mathbf{m} is the unit magnetization vector \mathbf{M}/M_s , with M_s the saturation magnetization and \mathbf{M} the magnetization vector distributed over a 2D plane. The concept of skyrmions was first proposed by Skyrme as a field theoretic description of hadrons². In nanomagnetism, the existence of magnetic skyrmions was first conjectured by Bogdanov and colleagues³⁻⁵ and later experimentally verified^{6,7}.

Similar to magnetic domain walls (DWs), magnetic skyrmions can serve as information storage elements and perform logic operations, due to their topologically protected stability against thermal agitations⁸. Additional advantages are derived from the lower threshold value in current density for current driven motion⁹ and small sizes down to the atomic scale¹⁰. As magnetic skyrmions in memory and logic devices need to be set to move to fulfill their functionalities, the skyrmion dynamics play an vital role in the understanding and application of these devices. An important issue in determining skyrmion dynamics is concerning the inertia, or mass, of an individual skyrmion. Although there exist several¹¹⁻¹⁶, sometimes contradictory, theoretical works focusing on the issue of skyrmion mass, the question is still far from resolved. Employing a field theoretical method¹⁷ and consistently considering the Goldstone mode¹⁸ which characterizes the translational motion of a skyrmion, the elementary excitation over the soliton background which is magnons for a magnetic skyrmion, and the interaction between them, we derive a dynamical mass for the translational motion of individual skyrmions.

The magnetization dynamics in the presence of both damping¹⁹ and spin transfer torque (STT)²⁰ are described phenomenologically by the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation²¹, $\partial_0 \mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{h} + \alpha \mathbf{m} \times \partial_0 \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{m} - \beta \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{m})$, where we have abbreviated the time derivative $\partial \mathbf{m} / \partial t$ by $\partial_0 \mathbf{m}$. The STT is characterized by an equivalent velocity \mathbf{u} ²² and a nonadiabatic parameter β ²³. The effective field \mathbf{h} is given by the functional derivative of the magnetic potential energy normalized to $2A\lambda$, $W = \int d^3x w(\mathbf{m}, \nabla \mathbf{m})$, through $\mathbf{h} = -\delta W / \delta \mathbf{m}$. $2w = (\partial_x \mathbf{m})^2 + (\partial_y \mathbf{m})^2 + (\partial_z \mathbf{m})^2 + h_D(m_z \nabla \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla m_z) - m_z^2 - 2\mathbf{h}_0 \cdot \mathbf{m}$ is the magnetic potential energy density normalized to $2|K|$, including the exchange, Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM)²⁴, magnetic anisotropy, and Zeeman interactions. The external field $h_0 = H_z/H_K$ and DM field $h_D = D/\sqrt{A|K|}$, where H_K is the anisotropy field $H_K = 2|K|/M_s$. In accordance with the dimensionless fields, the length and time are mea-

sured in terms of the DW width $\lambda = \sqrt{A/|K|}$ and the ferromagnetic resonance frequency $\omega_K = \gamma H_K$. A , D and K are exchange, DM and uniaxial anisotropy constants, respectively. We consider thin film systems with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, so $K < 0$. To take into account of the dipolar interaction, we can use the Green's function approach²⁵. In the 2D case considered here, the dipolar interaction can be described by a local magnetostatic energy²⁶ and be absorbed into the magnetic anisotropy energy, resulting in an effective uniaxial anisotropy constant K .

The LLG equation is proven successful in description of macroscopic magnetization phenomena. However, to properly describe the interacting subsystems of magnetic solitons and the corresponding elementary excitations within the framework of micromagnetics, it is convenient to use the Lagrangian formulation of the LLG equation with the following Lagrangian density^{27,28} $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{m}, \partial_\mu \mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{m}) \cdot (\partial_0 \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{m}) / (1 + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}) - w(\mathbf{m}, \partial_i \mathbf{m})$ complemented with the Rayleigh dissipation functional density $\mathcal{R}_d = \alpha(\partial_0 \mathbf{m})^2 / 2 - \beta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{m}) \cdot \partial_0 \mathbf{m}$ through the Euler-Lagrange equation $\partial_0(\delta L / \delta \dot{m}_i) - \delta L / \delta m_i + \delta \mathcal{R}_d / \delta \dot{m}_i = 0$. To make the Euler-Lagrange equation more compact, we used a dot over the components of the unit magnetization vector \mathbf{m} to denote the time derivative, $\dot{m}_i = \partial_0 m_i$, where Roman letter $i = 1, 2, 3$ corresponds to x, y, z components respectively. We also used in \mathcal{L} the convention that Greek letters denote numbers taking the values 0, 1, 2, and 3, with number 0 referring to the time component. Vector \mathbf{n} is a unit Dirac string vector²⁷. For the treatment of a skyrmion with topological charge $Q = 1$, we can choose it to be along the z direction, $\mathbf{n} = \hat{z}$, which is also the direction of the magnetization vector at infinity.

For a static magnetic soliton, the magnetization profile of which is characterized by the polar angle θ and azimuthal angle ϕ , a proper rotation of coordinate in the magnetization space can transform the magnetization vector into the local third axis direction. If the rotation operator is denoted by \hat{R} , then $\hat{R}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}' = \hat{e}'_3$ ²⁹, with \hat{e}'_3 the unit vector along the local third axis. We used a prime ($'$) over a symbol to designate that the symbol is in the rotated magnetization space. In component form, $(\hat{R}m)_i = m'_i = \delta_3^i$ where δ_3^j is the Kronecker delta function. The representation of \hat{R} in 3D is the proper orthogonal matrix R with unity determinant ($|R| = 1$), $R = \exp(i\psi J_3) \exp(i\theta J_2) \exp(i\phi J_3)$. Matrices J_1, J_2 and J_3 are the generators of the SO(3) group, which satisfy the commutation relation $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{J} = i\mathbf{J}$, with $\mathbf{J} = \hat{e}_1 J_1 + \hat{e}_2 J_2 + \hat{e}_3 J_3$. \hat{e}_i are right-handed orthogonal unit vectors. Explicitly, they are related to the total antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol through $iJ_i^{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk}$. ψ is a gauge field²⁹, which will be set to zero in the following analysis.

Under the transformation R , the Lagrangian density becomes $\mathcal{L}(m'_i, \partial_\mu m'_i) = L_m^n n'_l m'_n (D_0 -$

$u_i D_i) m'_m / (1 + n'_m) - w(m'_k, D_i m'_k)$, where repeated indices are summed. $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu$ is the covariant derivative for the magnetization vector. Due to the rotation R in the magnetization space, there appears an emergent gauge field $\mathbf{A}_\mu = A_\mu^i \hat{e}'_i$ acting on the 3D magnetization field \mathbf{m}' . A_μ^i are defined through the derivative of the rotation matrix as $(\partial_\mu R) R^{-1} = A_\mu^i L_i \equiv \mathcal{A}_\mu$. The real matrices L_i are constructed from the generators J_i , $L_i^{jk} = iJ_i^{jk}$. The explicit form for the emergent vector gauge field is $\mathbf{A}_\mu = \hat{e}'_1 n'_1 \partial_\mu \phi + \hat{e}'_2 \partial_\mu \theta + \hat{e}'_3 n'_3 \partial_\mu \phi$. It should be remembered that the rotation is only performed for the magnetization vector, and it is different from a rotation of coordinate frame. Alternatively, it can be viewed as local transformation for the local coordinate frame of the magnetization vector. To make notation simpler, we will omit the prime over symbols, since there can be no confusion arising when we are dealing only the primed magnetic quantities.

To consider the dynamics of the underlying soliton (skyrmion) and the corresponding elementary excitation (magnon) over the soliton profile separately, we decompose the magnetization into two parts, $m_i = \delta_3^i + s_i$, where the constant component along the third axis describes the soliton profile and the magnon excitation over the soliton is represented by small deviation amplitudes s_i . Using this decomposition, the kinetic part of the Lagrangian density can be expanded in transverse amplitudes s_1 and s_2 up to second order to give $\mathcal{L}_B = (1 - n_3) \tilde{\partial}_0 \phi - s_2 \tilde{\partial}_0 s_1 - \tilde{A}^3 s_3 - \tilde{A}^1 s_1 - \tilde{A}^2 s_2 - \tilde{\partial}_0 [(n_1 + n_3 s_1) s_2 / (1 + n_3)]$. $\tilde{\partial}_0 = \partial_0 - u_i \partial_i$ is the derivative in the frame of reference moving with the velocity $-\mathbf{u}$, and the tilde gauge field is defined as $\tilde{A}^i = A_0^i - u_j A_j^i$. In ordinary formulation of Lagrangian density, the total derivatives in time and space can be safely neglected, since they only contribute to surface terms. However, in our assumption of magnons floating on top of a rigid soliton, the total time derivative can induce a contact interaction between magnons and the soliton's motion and should not be omitted, simply because the soliton center is time dependent and the differentiation on time can be transferred to a differentiation on the soliton's spatial coordinates.

To proceed further, we need to designate the skyrmion profile and then to determine the corresponding magnon spectrum. The skyrmion profile θ_0 is determined by the zeroth order, or ground state, energy in the expansion of the magnetic potential energy in terms of magnon amplitudes, $2w_0 = A_x^2 + A_y^2 - \cos^2 \theta_0 - 2h_0 \cos \theta_0 + h_D (\hat{r} \cdot \mathbf{A}_y - \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{A}_x \cos \theta_0)$, where we have defined two additional vector gauge fields $\mathbf{A}_x = \hat{x} A_1^1 + \hat{y} A_2^1$, $\mathbf{A}_y = \hat{x} A_1^2 + \hat{y} A_2^2$ for later convenience of discussion. $\hat{r} = \hat{x} \cos \phi + \hat{y} \sin \phi$ and $\hat{\phi} = \hat{y} \cos \phi - \hat{x} \sin \phi$ are radial and azimuthal unit vectors in the magnetization space projected onto the 2D film plane. It can be seen that the exchange and the DM contributions to the ground state




FIG. 1. Skyrmion profile θ_0 and its first derivative on radial coordinate ρ for $h_D = 1.2$, with two values of the applied external field shown in the legend.

energy of the skyrmion is completely attributable to action of the emergent gauge field. Using cylindrical coordinates ρ and φ centered around the skyrmion center in place of the cartesian coordinates x and y , the vector gauge fields have simple forms, $\rho\mathbf{A}_x = \hat{\varphi}n_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_y = \hat{\rho}\theta'_0$, and the energy density is explicitly $2w_0 = \theta_0'^2 + \rho^{-2}\sin^2\theta_0 + \chi h_D(\theta_0' + \rho^{-1}\sin\theta_0\cos\theta_0) - \cos^2\theta_0 - 2h_0\cos\theta_0$. Here a prime denotes the derivative with respect to the radial variable ρ , $\theta_0' = \partial_\rho\theta_0$. In deriving w_0 , we have assumed that the skyrmion has rotation symmetry around its center and $\phi_0 = \varphi + \varphi_0$, with $\varphi_0 = 0$ or π defining the chirality $\chi = \cos\varphi_0$ of the skyrmion. The skyrmion profile is determined by a variation of the zeroth order energy density w_0 with respect to the angle θ_0 , $\theta_0'' + \rho^{-1}\theta_0' - (\rho^{-2} + 1)\sin\theta_0\cos\theta_0 + \chi h_D\rho^{-1}\sin^2\theta_0 - h_0\sin\theta_0 = 0$. We used a shooting method³⁰ to solve for the angle θ_0 , and typical skyrmion profiles corresponding to different external fields are shown in Fig. 1.

Introducing the real spinor wave function $\psi^T = (s_1, s_2)$, the second order Lagrangian density becomes $2\mathcal{L}_2 = i\psi^\dagger\sigma_y\dot{\psi} + \psi^\dagger\mathbf{D}^2\psi - v_0\psi^\dagger\psi - v_1\psi^\dagger\sigma_x\psi - v_3\psi^\dagger\sigma_z\psi$ with the effective fields $2v_0 = h_D(\hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{A}_x \cos\theta_0 - \hat{r} \cdot \mathbf{A}_y) - (h_D^2/2)\sin^2\theta_0 - A_x^2 - A_y^2 + 3\cos^2\theta_0 - 1 + 2h_0\cos\theta_0$, $2v_1 = h_D(\hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{A}_y \cos\theta_0 - \hat{r} \cdot \mathbf{A}_x) - 2\mathbf{A}_x \cdot \mathbf{A}_y$, and $2v_3 = h_D(\hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{A}_x \cos\theta_0 + \hat{r} \cdot \mathbf{A}_y) - A_x^2 + A_y^2 - \sin^2\theta_0$. The covariant derivative is $\mathbf{D} = \nabla - i\sigma_y[\mathbf{A}_z - (h_D/2)\hat{\phi}\sin\theta_0]$, and σ_x , σ_y and σ_z are the Pauli matrices. Due to the presence of the emergent SU(2) gauge field for magnons, there exists a Berry phase associated with the emergent gauge field for magnons circulating around a skyrmion. Since both the covariant derivative and the wave function are real, the operator D^\dagger is equivalent to D^T and the field ψ^\dagger can be replaced by ψ^T . The magnon eigenmode is determined by the application of the Euler-Lagrange equation to the second order Lagrangian, leading to $i\sigma_y\dot{\psi} = (v_0 + v_1\sigma_x + v_3\sigma_z - \mathbf{D}^2)\psi$. Following

the wisdom of Kravchuk *et al.*¹⁵, we assume that the magnon excitation has the form $s_1 = f(\rho) \cos(m\varphi + \omega t + \phi_0)$ and $s_2 = g(\rho) \sin(m\varphi + \omega t + \phi_0)$. Then the equation of motion for the magnon amplitude $\psi_m^T = (f, g)$ becomes $\omega \sigma_x \psi_m = H_m \psi_m$, where the m -specific Hamiltonian is $H_m = (v_0 + v_3 \sigma_z - \mathbf{D}_m^2) \psi_m$. The covariant derivative for a fixed angular quantum number m is $\mathbf{D}_m = \nabla_m - \sigma_x (\mathbf{A}_z - h_D \hat{\phi} \sin \theta/2)$ and $\rho \mathbf{A}_z = \hat{\phi} n_3$ in cylindrical coordinates fixed to the skyrmion center. ∇_m is obtained by substituting ∂_φ with m in the expression for the gradient operator ∇ . For the special case of a single skyrmion, due to its rotation symmetry, v_1 reduces to zero. The absence of the σ_x potential decouples modes with different m in the expansion of s_1 and s_2 .

The Hamiltonian H_m is invariant under the joint transformation $m \rightarrow -m$ and a rotation by σ_z in the spinor space, $H_m = \sigma_z H_{-m} \sigma_z$. Correspondingly, the magnon eigenequation is invariant under the joint transformation $\omega \rightarrow -\omega$, $m \rightarrow -m$, and $\psi_m \rightarrow \sigma_z \psi_{-m}$. This transformation corresponds to the particle-hole symmetry for the original wave function, $\psi \rightarrow \sigma_z \psi^*$, which is reminiscent of the time reversal symmetry of the LLG equation without damping and STT. A similar particle-hole symmetry was also found for electrons³¹ and magnons³² in magnetic DWs. Due to the presence of the particle-hole symmetry, the solutions for the eigenequation with positive and negative frequencies are related to one another, through relation $\psi_m(\omega) \propto \sigma_z \psi_{-m}(-\omega)$. Thus for each pair of the angular quantum number, $m \geq 0$ and $-m$, we can separate their frequency spectra into positive and negative parts, with the result $\omega_m^p \geq 0$ and $\omega_m^n < 0$ ¹⁵. Using the relation between eigenvalues for m and $-m$, we have $\omega_{-m}^n = -\omega_m^p \leq 0$ and $\omega_{-m}^p = -\omega_m^n > 0$. Hence we can only retain the positive frequency spectrum without imposing any restrictions on m . However, we would like to emphasize that, although we keep only the positive frequency spectrum, we could equally keep both positive and negative frequency spectra, but imposing restrictions on m to have $m \geq 0$. The physics does not change by transition from one scheme to the other. This additional freedom in choosing the frequency spectrum follows directly from the time-reversal symmetry of the magnon eigenequation. We are more familiar with using the positive frequency spectrum, and it usually suffices to consider the positive frequency components, as for real physical fields, the positive frequency components are related to the negative frequency components by a complex conjugation. The link between the negative and positive frequency components is another manifestation of the time-reversal symmetry of the underlying dynamics. It has nothing to do with whether there is only one sublattice of magnetization in ferromagnets or not.

The magnon spectrum can be obtained by expanding the wave function in terms of Bessel functions¹⁴. As shown in Fig. 2, the obtained spectrum can be labeled by the fre-




FIG. 2. Magnon dispersion with respect to the effective wave number k , which is calculated as the average value, with weight function σ_x , of the wave number appearing in the Bessel function expansion of the magnon wave function. The zero energy state in the $m = 1$ spectrum corresponds to the Goldstone mode, while the state in the continuum gap of the $m = -1$ branch is a localized state, which will disappear as the skyrmion is shrunk by an applied field. The solid line is just the simple dispersion curve $1 + k^2$.

quency ω_m^i , with corresponding wave function ψ_m^i . Then, similar to the eigenvalue problem of the Schrödinger equation, we can use the eigenequation for the magnon excitation to discuss the orthogonality of the wave functions. The obtained orthonormal relation for the real amplitude wave function is $\int \rho d\rho \psi_m^{\dagger}(\omega_m^i) \sigma_x \psi_m(\omega_m^j) = 4\delta_i^j$. Eigenfunctions with different eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other with respect to the weight function σ_x . We chose to normalize the wave functions to 4, instead of the usual unity, in order to be consistent with the normalization of the Goldstone mode, which appears as a zero frequency excitation for the $m = 1$ spectrum.

With the skyrmion profile and magnon spectrum obtained, we are now ready to investigate the dynamics of the interacting skyrmion-magnon system. For this purpose, we assume that the skyrmion is rigid during its motion, with the moving profile characterized by $\theta = \theta_0(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_c)$ and $\phi = \phi_0(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_c)$, and the motion of the rigid skyrmion is just a displacement of the skyrmion center $\boldsymbol{\rho}_c$. We then decompose the magnon excitation superimposed on top of the moving skyrmion into a set of dynamical variables through $s_1 = f_m^p(\rho)[a_m^p(t) \cos m\varphi + b_m^p(t) \sin m\varphi]$ and $s_2 = g_m^p(\rho)[a_m^p(t) \sin m\varphi - b_m^p(t) \cos m\varphi]$. The spatial variables ρ and φ appearing in the above expansion are measured with respect to the center of the moving skyrmion. In terms of this expansion, the radial function of the Goldstone mode has the form $f_1^0 = -\theta'_0$ and $\rho g_1^0 = \sin \theta_0$. The superscript 0 refers to the Goldstone or localized states in the magnon spectrum, so the

states in the continuum spectrum starts with superscript 1, as there are at most one localized state in the magnonic gap¹⁵. The normalization of the Goldstone radial function satisfies $\int \rho d\rho f_1^0 g_1^0 = 2|Q|$, as we already pointed out. Substitute in the expansion of the magnon excitation and perform the spatial integration, we can get the Lagrangian $L/2\pi\tau = (\dot{p}_1^0 + \dot{\rho}_c + u)^\dagger i\sigma_y p_1^0 + (\dot{p}_n^i)^\dagger i\sigma_y p_n^i - \omega_n^i (p_n^i)^\dagger p_n^i + \eta_i (\dot{\rho}_c + u)^\dagger i\sigma_y p_1^i + \bar{\eta}_i (\dot{\rho}_c + u)^\dagger p_{-1}^i$, where we introduced the spinor magnon amplitudes $p_n^i = (a_n^i, b_n^i)^T$, and velocities $\dot{\rho}_c^T = (\dot{\rho}_c^1, \dot{\rho}_c^2)$ and $u = (u_1, u_2)^T$. Constants $\eta_i = g_1^i(0)/\theta_0'(0)$ and $\bar{\eta}_i = g_{-1}^i(0)/\theta_0'(0)$ characterize the coupling between the Goldstone mode and the magnon excitation. τ is the thickness of the magnetic film. The sum now includes gapped magnon states only, excluding the Goldstone mode, the dynamics of which are explicitly given in the first term. The second and third terms describe the dynamics of the magnon subsystem, while the interplay between the skyrmion motion, STT and magnons arises from the fourth and fifth terms. It is easy to see that, due to the coupling between the skyrmion motion and the sea of $|m| = 1$ magnons, the would-be instantaneous response of a skyrmion to the sudden switching-on of STT is impeded by its coupling to the magnon degree of freedom. Correspondingly, the motion of the skyrmion will inevitably excite magnons and the skyrmion motion is accompanied by emission of magnons. The coupling between the skyrmion motion and magnons endows a dependence of the skyrmion motion on the dynamics of the magnons. Once the magnon dynamics are eliminated, a mass term should appear and the skyrmion velocity needs to be treated as initial conditions, in consistency with an, at least, approximate second order equation of motion.

Due to the coupling between the skyrmion motion and the $|m| = 1$ magnon excitations, the motion of the skyrmion is not massless anymore. This fact can be seen easily if we describe the dynamics of the skyrmion-magnon interacting system in the form of a path integral. In the path integral formulation of the dynamics, the dynamical variables can be taken to be the magnon amplitudes a_n^i and b_n^i , instead of the commonly adopted space and time variables. Then, any path in the phase space connecting the initial and final states will contribute a phase factor $\exp(iS/\hbar)$ to the path integral, or propagator, where $S = \int dt L$ is the action. The phase factor measures the relative importance of the path considered in determination of the actual path, as it evolves in the phase space. Due to the quadratic form of the magnon potential energy, the magnon degree of freedom in the path integral formulation can be integrated out to achieve an effective Lagrangian for the motion of the skyrmion center, $L_s/2\pi\tau = \dot{\rho}_c^\dagger i\sigma_y p_1^0 + \mu \dot{\rho}_c^\dagger \dot{\rho}_c/2$. The resultant skyrmion mass

μ is proportional to the square of the coupling constants divided by the magnon frequency,

$$2\mu = \frac{\eta_i^2}{\omega_1^i} + \frac{\bar{\eta}_i^2}{\omega_{-1}^i}. \quad (1)$$

Recall that the coupling constants are given by the ratio of $g_{\pm 1}^i(0)$ to $\theta_0'(0)$, it is easy to understand the physical meaning of the skyrmion mass: $\theta_0'(0)$ characterizes the intrinsic degree of deformation, while $g_{\pm 1}^i(0)$ gives the agitation to the static skyrmion profile; so the competition of the two tendencies, i.e. one resists and the other favors change of the skyrmion profile, determines the dynamical skyrmion mass. The proportionality of the skyrmion mass on the square of $g_{\pm 1}^i(0)$ facilitates a interpretation of the skyrmion mass originating from the emission of magnons. However, it should be noted that, according to the coupling between the skyrmion motion and the magnons, the emission of magnons induced by the motion of skyrmions is only effective for $|m| = 1$, low energy magnons. Excitation of high energy magnons is extremely unlikely. The calculated mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 3, where we can see that the skyrmion mass is mainly determined by the $m = 1$ magnon continuum and the $m = -1$ localized state, while the $m = -1$ continuum contribution is negligible.

Careful inspection of the mass spectrum reveals a problem: As the frequency increases, the mass spectrum decays too slowly to give a finite total mass. The divergence of the total mass is logarithmic in frequency. The divergence arises because of our continuum description of magnetization dynamics, which are only valid for low frequency magnons. As the frequency is increased, the discrete nature of the underlying crystal lattice will become more important and the continuum description fails. A similar ultraviolet divergence was observed in perturbation calculation in particle physics. To resolve this problem, we need to consider the magnon spectrum on discrete lattices, which is beyond the scope of the current work. We can circumvent this awkward situation by imposing a cut-off frequency ω_c for the frequency summation. By employing $\omega_c = 100$, the obtained total mass as a function of the square of an effective radius R_s for the skyrmion, which is determined through $R_s = \pi/|\theta_0'(0)|$, is displayed in Fig. 4. As can be seen, the skyrmion mass decreases rapidly with the decrease of R_s , and the skyrmion mass is almost linearly proportional to the skyrmion area πR_s^2 , which measures effectively how many spins are enclosed in the skyrmion. The actual unit for μ is $2\pi A\tau/\lambda^2\omega_K^2$. For $A = 10$ pJ/m, $\lambda = 10$ nm and $\omega_K = 2\pi$ GHz, the mass unit for a $\tau = 1$ nm thick film is $10^{-22}/2\pi$ kg. As $h_D = 1.2$ in Fig. 4 is very close to the critical value $4/\pi$, the skyrmion size is rather large, giving rise to the large mass shown in Fig. 4 with $h_0 = 0$.

To consider the massive dynamics of skyrmions under the influence of both damping




FIG. 3. Mass spectrum for $h_D = 1.2$ with zero applied field. For the $m = -1$ magnon branch, the magnon continuum contribution to the skyrmion mass is negligible.

and STT, we need the lowest order Rayleigh dissipation functional $R_d/\pi\tau = c_0[\alpha\dot{\rho}_c^\dagger\dot{\rho}_c/4 + \alpha(\dot{p}_1^0)^\dagger\dot{p}_1^0 + \beta u^\dagger\dot{\rho}_c/2 + v_d^\dagger\dot{p}_1^0] + \alpha c_n^i(\dot{p}_n^i)^\dagger\dot{p}_n^i + \bar{c}_0 v_d^\dagger\sigma_z\dot{p}_{-1}^0$, where the coupling constants are defined as $c_0 = \int \rho d\rho[(f_1^0)^2 + (g_1^0)^2]$, $\bar{c}_0 = \int \rho d\rho(f_1^0 f_{-1}^0 - g_1^0 g_{-1}^0)$, and $c_n^i = \int \rho d\rho[(f_n^i)^2 + (g_n^i)^2]$. $v^d = \alpha\dot{\rho}_c + \beta u$ is a dissipation velocity. Negligible contributions to the dissipation functional are discarded. Substitute the expression for the Lagrangian and the Rayleigh dissipation functional into the Euler-Lagrange equation, we get the equation of motion for the skyrmion center as $\mu\dot{v}^c = i\sigma_y v^c/2 + \nu i\sigma_y \dot{v}^d - f_i y^i - \bar{f}_0 \sigma_z \bar{y}^0$, with constants $\nu = -\bar{c}_0 \bar{\eta}_0/4\omega_{-1}^0$, $f_i = \eta_i/\omega_1^i$, and $\bar{f}_0 = \bar{\eta}_0/\omega_{-1}^0$. $v^c = \dot{\rho}_c + u$ is just the velocity of the skyrmion center in the reference frame moving with velocity $-u$. The functions $y_i = (1 - \alpha c_1^i i\sigma_y/2)\ddot{p}_1^i$ and $\bar{y}_0 = (1 - \alpha c_{-1}^0 i\sigma_y/2)\ddot{p}_{-1}^0$ are related to the second order time derivative of the $|m| = 1$ magnon amplitudes. A similar equation can also be obtained for p_1^0 . The mass μ appeared as expected. The process of eliminating the magnonic degree of freedom can be repeated to introduce terms that are higher than first order derivative in time of v^c , through elimination of \ddot{p}_1^i and \ddot{p}_{-1}^0 .

Using the coupled equations of motion for the skyrmion center and magnon amplitudes, the emission of magnons induced by skyrmion motion can be investigated. The transient dynamics are very complicated, involving the excitation of all the magnon eigenmodes. Due to the presence of the Gilbert damping, the magnon amplitudes will relax to constant values that can be easily obtained, $\omega_{-1}^0 p_{-1}^0 = \bar{c}_0(\alpha - \beta)\sigma_z u/4$ and $\dot{p}_1^0 = c_0(\beta - \alpha)i\sigma_y u/4$, accompanying the uniform motion of the skyrmion center $\dot{\rho}_c = -u$. A renormalization factor $1 + \alpha^2 c_0^2/4$ is omitted for the Goldstone mode p_1^0 . It is interesting to note that the skyrmion Hall effect is purely mediated by the Goldstone mode. The excitation amplitudes of all other modes are negligibly small, due to the orthogonality between the propagating




FIG. 4. Skyrmion mass μ for $h_D = 1.2$ as a function of the skyrmion radius squared, R_s^2 . The solid line is a linear fit to the mass data with zero interception.

magnon modes and the Goldstone mode. Irrespective of the restrictions imposed by the orthogonality, the excitation amplitudes are inversely proportional to the magnon frequency ω_i^{-1} , so the excitation of high frequency magnons by static STT is suppressed.

Despite the superficial resemblance between the dynamical mass generation process presented here and the renowned Higgs mechanism responsible for the acquirement of mass for massless gauge bosons^{33–35}, they are actually not identical mechanisms for mass generation and should not be confused with each other. In the canonical Higgs mechanism, massless gauge bosons acquire mass through interaction with Goldstone bosons, and the Goldstone bosons disappear after a redefinition of the gauge bosons. In the mechanism for dynamical generation of mass for magnetic skyrmions, the mass is just a consequence of the interaction between the skyrmion motion and the elementary vacuum excitations: If only the dynamics of the skyrmion motion are considered, skyrmions become massive. This mechanism is just an explicit demonstration of the equivalence between energy and mass. The physical picture behind this mechanism is very simple: The motion of skyrmions will inevitably induce excitation of magnons, and it is the back action of the magnons that prevents skyrmions to respond instantaneously to the external stimuli, endowing inertia to skyrmions. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that the second model discussed by Englert and Brout³⁴ is more relevant to our discussion: The gauge bosons are the Goldstone bosons at the same time, and the mass derived from spontaneous symmetry breaking is proportional to the product of the coupling constant squared and the mass of the fermions, which is ω_i^{-1} using our notation.

To summarize, by investigating the interaction between skyrmions in motion and the magnons floating on top of them, we derived a dynamical mass for the driven motion of

individual skyrmions. At the same time, the motion of the skyrmions will inevitably excite magnons, due to the same interaction giving rise to the skyrmion mass. However, the excitation of magnons is most effective only for low energy localized magnons, with the excitation amplitude decaying with the magnon frequency.

The author would like to express gratitude for hospitality to Department of Applied Physics, University of Gothenburg where the current work was initiated.

* wangdaowei@sztu.edu.cn

- ¹ H.-B. Braun, *Adv. Phys.* 61, 1 (2012).
- ² T. H. R. Skyrme, *Nucl. Phys.* 31, 556 (1962).
- ³ A. N. Bogdanov and D. A. Yablonskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 68, 101 (1989).
- ⁴ A. Bogdanov and A. Hubert, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 138, 255 (1994).
- ⁵ U. K. Rößler, A. N. Bogdanov and C. Pfleiderer, *Nature* 442, 797 (2006).
- ⁶ S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Böni, *Science* 323, 915 (2009).
- ⁷ X. Z. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J. H. Park, J. H. Han, Y. Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, *Nature* 465, 901 (2010).
- ⁸ A. Fert, V. Cros, and J. Sampaio, *Nature Nanotechnol.* 8, 152 (2013).
- ⁹ F. Jonietz, S. Mühlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Münzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Böni, R. A. Duine, K. Everschor, M. Garst, and A. Rosch, *Science* 330, 1648 (2010).
- ¹⁰ S. Heinze, K. von Bergmann, M. Menzel, J. Brede, A. Kubetzka, R. Wiesendanger, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, *Nature Phys.* 7, 713 (2011).
- ¹¹ I. Makhfudz, B. Krüger, and O. Tchernyshyov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 217201 (2012).
- ¹² S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 064412 (2015); *ibid* 92, 174405 (2015).
- ¹³ C. Psaroudaki, S. Hoffman, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. X* 7, 041045 (2017),
- ¹⁴ S.-Z. Lin, *Phys. Rev. B* 96, 014407 (2017).
- ¹⁵ V. P. Kravchuk, D. D. Sheka, U. K. Rößler, J. van den Brink, and Y. Gaididei, *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 064403 (2018).
- ¹⁶ D. Capic, E. M. Chudnovsky, and D. A. Garanin, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 060404(R) (2020).
- ¹⁷ H.-B. Braun and D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 3237 (1996); S. Takagi and G. Tatara, *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 9920 (1996).
- ¹⁸ J. Goldstone, *Nuovo Cimento* 19, 154 (1961); J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* 127, 965 (1965).

- ¹⁹ T. L. Gilbert, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 40, 3443 (2004).
- ²⁰ L. Berger, *J. Appl. Phys.* 49, 2156 (1978); *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 9353 (1996); J. Slonczewski, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 159, L1 (1996).
- ²¹ L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevski, *Statistical Physics*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford), Part 2, 1980.
- ²² A. Thiaville, Y. Nakatani, J. Miltat, and N. Vernier, *J. Appl. Phys.* 95, 7049 (2004).
- ²³ Ya. B. Bazaliy, B. A. Jones, and S.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 3213(R) (1998); Z. Li and S. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 127204 (2004); A. Thiaville, Y. Nakatani, J. Miltat, and Y. Suzuki, *Europhys. Lett.* 69, 990 (2005); J. Xiao, A. Zangwill, and M. D. Stiles, *Phys. Rev. B* 73, 054428 (2006); D. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 184428 (2020).
- ²⁴ I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 5, 1259 (1957); T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev.* 120, 91 (1960).
- ²⁵ K. Y. Guslienko and A. N. Slavin, *J. Appl. Phys.* 87, 6337 (2000); *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 215C216, 576 (2000).
- ²⁶ K. Y. Guslienko and A. N. Slavin, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 323, 2418 (2011).
- ²⁷ B. A. Ivanov and N. E. Kulagin, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* 99, 1291 (2004).
- ²⁸ K. Y. Guslienko, G. R. Aranda, and J. M. Gonzalez, *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 014414 (2010).
- ²⁹ V. K. Dugaev, P. Bruno, B. Canals, and C. Lacroix, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 024456 (2005).
- ³⁰ A. Bogdanov and A. Hubert, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 195, 182 (1999).
- ³¹ D. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 020410(R) (2020); *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 493, 165694 (2020).
- ³² D. Wang, Y. Zhou, Z.-X. Li, Y. Nie, X.-G. Wang, and G.-H. Guo, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 53, 1300110 (2017).
- ³³ P. W. Higgs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 13, 508 (1964); *Phys. Rev.* 145, 1156 (1966).
- ³⁴ F. Englert and R. Brout, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 13, 321 (1964).
- ³⁵ G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 13, 585 (1964).