

BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH CUTOFF RUTHERFORD SCATTERING CROSS SECTION NEAR MAXWELLIAN

LING-BING HE AND YU-LONG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. The well-known Rutherford differential cross section, denoted by $d\Omega/d\sigma$, corresponds to a two body interaction with Coulomb potential. It leads to the logarithmically divergence of the momentum transfer (or the transport cross section) which is described by

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{d\Omega}{d\sigma} d\sigma \sim \int_0^\pi \theta^{-1} d\theta.$$

Here θ is the deviation angle in the scattering event. Due to screening effect, physically one can assume that θ_{\min} is the order of magnitude of the smallest angles for which the scattering can still be regarded as Coulomb scattering. Under ad hoc cutoff $\theta \geq \theta_{\min}$ on the deviation angle, L. D. Landau derived a new equation in [16] for the weakly interacting gas which is now referred to as the Fokker-Planck-Landau or Landau equation. In the present work, we establish a unified framework to justify Landau's formal derivation in [16] and the so-called Landau approximation problem proposed in [5] in the close-to-equilibrium regime. Precisely, (i). we prove global well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation with cutoff Rutherford cross section which is perhaps the most singular kernel both in relative velocity and deviation angle. (ii). we prove a global-in-time error estimate between solutions to Boltzmann and Landau equations with logarithm accuracy, which is consistent with the famous Coulomb logarithm. Key ingredients into the proofs of these results include a complete coercivity estimate of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator, a uniform spectral gap estimate and a novel linear-quasilinear method.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Coercivity estimate	13
3. Spectral gap estimate	26
4. Upper bound estimate	34
5. Commutator estimates	53
6. Energy estimate and asymptotic formula	57
7. Appendix	72
References	81

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 35Q20, 35R11, 75P05.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present work aims at the mathematical justification of Landau's derivation of the Landau equation and the Landau's approximation problem from the Boltzmann equation with angular cutoff Rutherford scattering cross section. These problems have a long history and we first recall relevant physical backgrounds.

1.1. Review of Landau's derivation. In 1936, Landau published his paper [16] on the derivation of the effective equation for weakly interaction by Coulomb field in plasma physics. Loosely speaking, he derived Landau equation from the Boltzmann equation with cutoff Rutherford cross section.

1.1.1. Boltzmann equation with cutoff Rutherford cross section. The typical Boltzmann equation can be written as follows:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f).$$

Here Q is the Boltzmann collision operator defined by

$$Q(f, f)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |v - v_*| \frac{d\Omega}{d\sigma} (f(v'_*)f(v') - f(v_*)f(v)) dv_* d\sigma,$$

where $d\Omega/d\sigma$ is the differential scattering cross section determined by the potential function ϕ for particles, and v' , v'_* are given by

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2. \quad (1.1)$$

In the scattering event between two electrons governed by the Coulomb potential,

$$\phi(r) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}, \quad (1.2)$$

the deviation angle θ of relative velocity is determined through (see [20] for instance)

$$\tan \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 m |v - v_*|^2 b}, \quad (1.3)$$

where ϵ_0 is the permittivity of vacuum, m is the mass of an electron and e its charge, $|v - v_*|$ is the relative velocity before the event and b is the impact parameter which is defined as the distance of closest approach if the trajectory were undeflected. The well-known Rutherford differential cross section is computed as

$$\frac{d\Omega}{d\sigma} := \frac{b}{\sin \theta} \frac{db}{d\theta} = \frac{(e^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0 m))^2}{(|v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2))^4}. \quad (1.4)$$

Then the corresponding Boltzmann collision kernel B reads

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = |v - v_*| \frac{d\Omega}{d\sigma} = \frac{(e^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0 m))^2}{|v - v_*|^3 \sin^4(\theta/2)} = K |v - v_*|^{-3} b(\cos \theta), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$K := (e^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0 m))^2, \quad b(\cos \theta) := \sin^{-4}(\theta/2), \quad \cos \theta := \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma. \quad (1.6)$$

1.1.2. Divergence of the momentum transfer. One may check that the momentum transfer defined by $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta/2) d\sigma$ is divergent in a logarithmic manner due to the singularity at $\theta = 0$. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta/2) d\sigma = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^{-2}(\theta/2) \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos(\theta/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} d\theta = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{u} du = \infty,$$

where the change of variable $u = \sin(\theta/2)$ is used.

The reason of the divergence is due to the long-range interaction of Coulomb potential. As indicated in [17], the divergence at the lower limit has a physical cause: the slowness of the decrease of the Coulomb forces, which leads to a high probability of small-angle scattering. However, the phenomenon of screening effect implies that the role of collisions with a high impact parameter is not as important as the Coulomb potential suggests. Thanks to (1.3), a rough and artificial approximation is just to ignore grazing collisions. Such an argument can be found in [17]: “In reality, however, in an electrically neutral plasma the Coulomb field of a particle at sufficiently large distances is screened by other charges; let θ_{\min} denote the order of magnitude of the smallest angles for which the scattering can still be regarded as Coulomb scattering”. In this way, one has

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta/2) 1_{\theta \geq \theta_{\min}} d\sigma = -\ln(\sin(\theta_{\min}/2)) \sim \ln(1/\theta_{\min}),$$

which is relevant to the so-called “Coulomb logarithm” denoted by $\ln \Lambda$.

Remark 1.1. In most physical books (for instance, see [17, 18, 16]), $\ln \Lambda$ is derived through the integration with respect to the impact parameter b , that is,

$$\ln \Lambda := \int_{\lambda_L}^{\lambda_D} b^{-1} db = \ln \frac{\lambda_D}{\lambda_L},$$

where λ_D is the Debye length which characterizes electrostatic screening and λ_L is the Landau length which identities strong interactions. In other words, the “weak interaction” is defined through the truncation of the impact parameter b onto the interval $[\lambda_L, \lambda_D]$. Thanks to (1.3), by approximation (see [18]), it holds that

$$\Lambda = \frac{2}{\theta_{\min}} = 24\pi n_0 \lambda_D^3, \quad (1.7)$$

where n_0 is the density of the particle. Since $n_0 \lambda_D^3 \gg 1$ (for instance, for electron-proton gas), one has

$$\theta_{\min} \ll 1, \quad \Lambda \gg 1. \quad (1.8)$$

1.1.3. *Landau's strategy in [16].* For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to one species of particle and neglect the self-consistent electrostatic field. Landau's strategy can be summarized as follows:

Step 1: Based on (1.5), the Boltzmann kernel with cutoff Rutherford cross section is defined by

$$B^c(v - v_*, \sigma) = B(v - v_*, \sigma) 1_{\sin(\theta/2) \geq \sin(\theta_{\min}/2)}.$$

Landau's first assumption is that the Boltzmann equation with cutoff Rutherford cross section:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q^c(F, F), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{T}^3, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ F|_{t=0} = F_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

admits a smooth solution. Here $\mathbb{T}^3 := [-\pi, \pi]^3$ is the torus. Here

$$Q^c(F, F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B^c(v - v_*, \sigma) (F(v'_*)F(v') - F(v_*)F(v)) dv_* d\sigma, \quad (1.10)$$

Step 2: To derive an effective equation for weakly coupling particles, Landau further assumed $v \sim v'$ and $v_* \sim v'_*$. In his language, if $q := v - v'$, then $|q| \ll 1$. Thanks to Taylor expansion,

$$F(v') = F(v + q) = F(v) + \nabla_v F(v) \cdot q + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_v^2 F(v) : q \otimes q + O(|q|^3). \quad (1.11)$$

Inserting (1.11) into the Boltzmann collision operator (1.10) and taking the truncation of the impact parameter b onto the interval $[\lambda_L, \lambda_D]$, he derived the leading equation, which is now named as the Landau equation:

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = (\ln \Lambda) Q^L(F, F), \quad (1.12)$$

where the Landau collision operator Q^L is defined as:

$$Q^L(g, h)(v) := \nabla_v \cdot \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(v - v_*) [g(v_*) \nabla_v h(v) - \nabla_{v_*} g(v_*) h(v)] dv_* \right\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Here the symmetric matrix a is given by

$$a(z) = 2\pi K |z|^{-1} (I_3 - \frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^2}), \quad (1.14)$$

where I_3 is the 3×3 identity matrix.

1.2. **Mathematical problems on the derivation.** To set up mathematical problems, thanks to (1.8), we first introduce a small parameter ϵ , which is related to the physical cutoff for the angle, that is,

$$\epsilon := \sin(\theta_{\min}/2) \ll 1. \quad (1.15)$$

For simplicity of presentation, we take $K = 1$ for the constant K in (1.6).

1.2.1. *Mathematical assumptions on the kernel.* Throughout the paper, we will consider the kernel B^ϵ verifying the following assumptions.

(A1). The kernel $B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma)$ takes the form:

$$B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma) := |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} B^c(v - v_*, \sigma) = |v - v_*|^{-3} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta), \quad (1.16)$$

$$b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) := |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sin^{-4}(\theta/2) 1_{\sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon}. \quad (1.17)$$

(A2). The kernel $B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma)$ is supported in the set $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$, i.e. $\cos \theta \geq 0$, for otherwise B^ϵ can be replaced by its symmetrized form:

$$\overline{B^\epsilon}(v - v_*, \sigma) = [B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma) + B^\epsilon(v - v_*, -\sigma)] 1_{\cos \theta > 0}. \quad (1.18)$$

Associated to B^ϵ , the Boltzmann collision operator Q^ϵ is defined by

$$Q^\epsilon(g, h)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma) (g(v'_*)h(v') - g(v_*)h(v)) dv_* d\sigma. \quad (1.19)$$

1.2.2. *Reformulation of the equation.* It is compulsory to rewrite equations (1.9) and (1.12) by taking into account the parameter ϵ . To do that, we introduce the scaling:

$$\tilde{F}(t, x, v) = F(|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}t, x, |\ln \epsilon|v). \quad (1.20)$$

Thanks to the facts $Q^c(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F})(t, x, v) = Q^c(F, F)(|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}t, x, |\ln \epsilon|v)$ and $Q^\epsilon = |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}Q^c$, (1.9) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{F} + v \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{F} = Q^\epsilon(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{T}^3, v \in \mathbb{R}^3; \\ \tilde{F}|_{t=0} = F_0^\epsilon := F_0(x, |\ln \epsilon|v). \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

Similarly, thanks to $Q^L(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F})(t, x, v) = Q^L(F, F)(|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}t, x, |\ln \epsilon|v)$, (1.12) reduces to (thanks to (1.7) and (1.15), we choose ϵ satisfying $|\ln \epsilon| \sim \ln \Lambda$ and absorb some unimportant constant into the Landau operator)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{F} + v \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{F} = Q^L(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{T}^3, v \in \mathbb{R}^3; \\ \tilde{F}|_{t=0} = F_0^\epsilon := F_0(x, |\ln \epsilon|v). \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

Let us give comments on the scaling (1.20). Roughly speaking, it enables us to consider Landau's derivation and the Landau approximation proposed in [5] in a unified framework.

- **Validity of the scaling in physical sense.** In physical books and lectures, one may check that for typical weakly coupled plasmas, Coulomb logarithm $\ln \Lambda$ lies in the range: $[5, 20]$. Recalling (1.7) and (1.15), we have $|\ln \epsilon| \sim \ln \Lambda \in [5, 20]$, which means ϵ is sufficiently small (around $[e^{-20}, e^{-5}]$) but $|\ln \epsilon|$ is relatively “normal” (around $[5, 20]$). In this range, the scaling (1.20) is harmless and thus (1.9) and (1.12) are equivalent to (1.21) and (1.22) respectively. For the same reason, it is mathematically equivalent to ignore the dependence of the initial data on the parameter $|\ln \epsilon|$ in (1.21) and (1.22), as we will do in (1.26) and (1.28). This reduces the justification of Landau's derivation to the consideration of (1.21).

- **Relation between the scaling and Landau approximation.** Landau approximation (or the grazing collisions limit) is a mathematical framework to derive Landau equation from Boltzmann equation with general potentials. The main idea is as follows: when the deviation angle is truncated up to the order $O(\epsilon)$ with a proper scaling, the grazing collisions will dominate and then the Boltzmann equation will formally converge to the Landau equation. The convergence has drawn extensive attention from mathematicians (see [7, 8, 15, 21]).

In view of (1.17), only grazing collisions can survive in the limit in which ϵ goes to zero. The pioneering work [5] of Alexandre-Villani derived Landau equation (1.22) from Boltzmann equation (1.21) in the inhomogeneous setting under physical assumptions of finite mass, energy, entropy and entropy production. We emphasize that the Coulomb logarithm $\ln \Lambda$ in front of the collision operator Q^L in (1.12) has been normalized in (1.22). In other words, the derivation through Landau approximation will lose some information from the potential function ϕ for particles.

- **Effect of the scaling on the linearized Boltzmann collision operator.** The scaling factor $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}$ in (1.17) and (1.20) plays an essential role in getting the spectral gap estimates for the linearized operator of Q^ϵ . We refer readers to Theorem 1.3 for details. For Maxwellian molecules, the Boltzmann kernel B takes the special form:

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = b(\cos \theta). \quad (1.23)$$

Chang-Uhlenbeck in [22] proved that the first (smallest) positive eigenvalue λ_1 to the associated linearized Boltzmann collision operator can be computed explicitly:

$$\lambda_1 \sim \int_0^\pi b(\cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta. \quad (1.24)$$

The scaling factor $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}$ in (1.17) ensures that in the limit process ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0$), it holds that (see Lemma 2.5)

$$\lambda_1^\epsilon := \int_0^\pi b^\epsilon(\cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta \sim 1. \quad (1.25)$$

This motivates us to link the spectral gap estimates with Chang-Uhlenbeck's work [22].

1.2.3. *Mathematical problems.* Our setup enables us to handle the Landau's derivation and the Landau approximation proposed in [5] in a unified framework. We consider these problems near Maxwellian (a small perturbation around equilibrium: Maxwellian) since it is widely used in the study of kinetic equations (for instance, wave phenomena in plasma physics). Our work can be summarized as follows.

- (1). We consider global well-posedness of (1.21) near Maxwellian. As a result, it shows that all the computation in Landau's paper [16] is valid globally in time and thus we justify his derivation rigorously in mathematics.
- (2). We revisit Landau approximation near Maxwellian from (1.21) to (1.22). Compared to [5], we work with classical solution rather than weak solution. Mathematically we need to establish a unified framework to solve Boltzmann and Landau equations simultaneously and obtain an explicit expansion formula for the approximation.

• **Global wellposedness of (1.21) near Maxwellian.** Recall the standard Maxwellian density function $\mu(v) := (2\pi)^{-3/2}e^{-|v|^2/2}$. With the perturbation $\tilde{F} = \mu + \mu^{1/2}f$, (1.21) yields:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f = \Gamma^\epsilon(f, f), & t > 0; \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

Here the linearized Boltzmann operator \mathcal{L}^ϵ and the nonlinear term Γ^ϵ are defined by

$$\Gamma^\epsilon(g, h) := \mu^{-1/2}Q^\epsilon(\mu^{1/2}g, \mu^{1/2}h), \mathcal{L}_1^\epsilon := -\Gamma^\epsilon(\mu^{1/2}, g), \mathcal{L}_2^\epsilon := -\Gamma^\epsilon(g, \mu^{1/2}), \mathcal{L}^\epsilon g := \mathcal{L}_1^\epsilon g + \mathcal{L}_2^\epsilon g. \quad (1.27)$$

We aim at not only global well-posedness but also propagation of regularity which holds uniformly in ϵ . They are crucial because of the following reasons:

- (1). Global well-posedness of (1.26) exactly corresponds to the first step of Landau's original strategy. Propagation of regularity is necessary in order to apply the Taylor expansion in the second step of Landau's strategy.
- (2). In order to find asymptotic formula between solutions of the Boltzmann and Landau equation, some uniform estimates for propagation of regularity are essential.

• **Asymptotics of (1.21) near Maxwellian.** It is relevant to the second step of Landau's strategy and establishes Landau approximation global-in-time in classical solution sense. As we reviewed before, Landau just used the Taylor expansion of the solution to get the desired equation. It is not formulated by a direct limit from Boltzmann equation to Landau equation while Landau approximation seeks to do so.

In [15], it was shown that at least locally in time,

$$\tilde{F}^\epsilon = \tilde{F}^L + O(|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}),$$

where \tilde{F}^ϵ and \tilde{F}^L are solutions to (1.21) and (1.22) with the same initial data. The order $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}$ reflects the logarithmic accuracy as derived in [17].

In this paper, we will reconsider such approximation near Maxwellian. More precisely, if we set $\tilde{F} = \mu + \mu^{1/2}f$, then (1.22) gives the linearized equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}^L f = \Gamma^L(f, f), & t > 0; \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

Here the linearized Landau operator \mathcal{L}^L and the nonlinear term Γ^L are defined by:

$$\Gamma^L(g, h) := \mu^{-1/2}Q^L(\mu^{1/2}g, \mu^{1/2}h), \mathcal{L}_1^L := -\Gamma^L(\mu^{1/2}, g), \mathcal{L}_2^L := -\Gamma^L(g, \mu^{1/2}), \mathcal{L}^L g := \mathcal{L}_1^L g + \mathcal{L}_2^L g. \quad (1.29)$$

One may regard (1.28) as the limit case ($\epsilon = 0$) of (1.26). Our goal is to establish an asymptotic formula to describe the limit process when ϵ tends to zero. That is, if f^ϵ and f^L are solutions to (1.26) and (1.28) with the same initial data, then it holds

$$f^\epsilon = f^L + O(|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}), \quad (1.30)$$

globally in time in weighted Sobolev spaces.

1.2.4. *Basic properties.* At the end of this subsection, we recall some special properties of the Boltzmann equations. The solutions to (1.21) and (1.22) have the fundamental physical properties of conserving total mass, momentum and kinetic energy, that is, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F(t, x, v) \phi(v) dx dv = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F(0, x, v) \phi(v) dx dv, \quad \phi(v) = 1, v_j, |v|^2, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.31)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that the initial data f_0 in (1.26) and (1.28) verifies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu} f_0 \phi dx dv = 0, \quad \phi(v) = 1, v_j, |v|^2, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.32)$$

As a result of (1.31), the solutions to (1.26) and (1.28) verify for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu} f(t) \phi dx dv = 0, \quad \phi(v) = 1, v_j, |v|^2, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.33)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}^L)$, the kernel space of \mathcal{L}^ϵ and \mathcal{L}^L respectively, verify

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}^L) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}^\epsilon) = \text{span}\{\sqrt{\mu}, \sqrt{\mu}v_1, \sqrt{\mu}v_2, \sqrt{\mu}v_3, \sqrt{\mu}|v|^2\} := \mathcal{N}.$$

1.3. Main results. Our main results are global well-posedness and propagation of regularity for the Boltzmann equation (1.26) with cutoff Rutherford cross section. Moreover, we derive the global-in-time asymptotic formula for the Landau approximation from the equation (1.26) to the equation (1.28). We refer readers to subsection 1.5 to check details on the function spaces used throughout the paper.

Our results are based on the following energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f) := \sum_{j=0}^N \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}^j}^2, \quad (1.34)$$

where $\gamma = -3, l \geq 3N + 2$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is a small constant. Suppose f_0 verify (1.32). There is a universal constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, if*

$$\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f_0 \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0) \leq \delta_0,$$

then (1.26) admits a unique global strong solution f^ϵ verifying $\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f^\epsilon \geq 0$ and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq C \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0), \quad (1.35)$$

for some universal constant C . Moreover, the family of solution $\{f^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \geq 0}$ verifies

- (1) **(Propagation of regularity)** *Fix $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2$, if additionally $\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f_0) < \infty$ and ϵ is small enough, then*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq P_{N,l}(\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f_0)). \quad (1.36)$$

Here $P_{N,l}(\cdot)$ is a continuous and increasing function with $P_{N,l}(0) = 0$.

- (2) **(Global asymptotic formula)** *Fix $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2$, assume $\mathcal{E}^{N+3,l+18}(f_0) < \infty$ and ϵ is small enough, then*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f^\epsilon(t) - f(t)) \leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-2} U_{N,l}(\mathcal{E}^{N+3,l+18}(f_0)). \quad (1.37)$$

Here $U_{N,l}(\cdot)$ is a continuous and increasing function with $U_{N,l}(0) = 0$.

Some remarks are in order.

Remark 1.2. *The kernel studied in this work is the most singular one both in relative velocity and deviation angle, and is the borderline for the Boltzmann to be meaningful in the classical sense. To our best knowledge, Landau approximation in the inhomogeneous case has never been touched globally in time within classical solution setting. We manage to establish global-in-time asymptotic formula (1.37) with explicit accuracy order for the first time.*

Remark 1.3. *Our results are consistent with the results in [11] and [13] when $\epsilon = 0$. In particular, the smallness assumption on initial data with finite regularity and finite weight is a universal constant, which is sufficient to prove propagation of regularity with arbitrary regularity and weight if ϵ is sufficiently small.*

Remark 1.4. *To keep the paper in a reasonable length, we only consider one species of particle, which enables us to focus more on operator analysis and a so-called linear-quasilinear method to close energy estimate. In the future, we will consider a more physical model: two species Vlasov-Possion-Boltzmann system with cutoff Rutherford cross-section, to derive the Vlasov-Possion-Landau system.*

Remark 1.5. *Let us summarize the main difference between the Landau approximation proposed in [5] and Landau's original strategy in [16] as follows:*

- (1). *Landau approximation is based on the assumption that $\ln \Lambda$ is sufficiently large. However it is invalid in many physical situations where $\ln \Lambda$ is a relatively normal constant.*

- (2). *The resulting equation derived from Landau is different from that by Landau approximation. We remind readers that the Coulomb logarithm $\ln \Lambda$ appears as a diffusive coefficient in (1.12) but it does not appear in (1.22).*
- (3). *The error estimate between the solutions to Boltzmann and Landau equations via Landau approximation is different from that by Landau's strategy. One has logarithm accuracy while the other has a high order accuracy thanks to (1.11).*

1.4. Main Difficulties. Boltzmann and Landau equations are well studied near Maxwellian (see [9, 11, 12, 13, 10, 2, 3]). To explain the main difficulties and the new ideas of the paper, let us consider a typical kinetic equation near Maxwellian:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}f = \Gamma(f, f),$$

where \mathcal{L} and Γ denote the linearized operator and the nonlinear term. We focus on propagation of regularity (or a priori estimate). The general approach to prove propagation of regularity for the equation can be divided into four steps:

Step 1: This step is to describe the behavior of the linearized operator \mathcal{L} , including the spectral gap estimate and the coercivity estimate. Roughly speaking, they can be written as follows:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_v \gtrsim |||(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|||_{\text{gap}}^2, \quad \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_v \gtrsim |||f|||_{\text{coercivity}}^2 - |||f|||_{\text{gap}}^2,$$

where \mathbb{P} is a projection operator that maps a function into the null space of \mathcal{L} , \mathbb{I} is the identity operator. Here $||| \cdot |||_{\text{gap}}$ and $||| \cdot |||_{\text{coercivity}}$ are some explicit or implicit norms. From these two estimates, one has

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_v \gtrsim |||(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|||_{\text{coercivity}}^2.$$

Step 2: The second step is to use the norm $||| \cdot |||_{\text{coercivity}}$ to give the upper bound for the nonlinear term $\Gamma(f, f)$. Roughly, the ideal estimate looks like:

$$|\langle \Gamma(f, f), f \rangle_v| \lesssim |||f|||_{\text{coercivity}}^2.$$

Here $\| \cdot \|$ is the usual L^2 norm.

Step 3: The third step is to derive an evolution equation for $\mathbb{P}f$ and then to get some elliptic estimate for $\mathbb{P}f$ under the control of $|||(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|||_{\text{coercivity}}$. This is referred as Micro-Macro decomposition.

Step 4: The final step is to construct a proper energy functional to close the energy estimates and then get propagation of regularity for the solution under smallness assumption.

Now we turn to our case (see (1.26)) to explain the main difficulties in each step. Due to the definition of the kernel (see (1.16)), all the difficulties result from the strong singularity: not only from the relative velocity $|v - v_*|^{-3}$ and but also from the angular function $b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) = |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sin^{-4}(\theta/2) 1_{\sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon}$. Loosely speaking, (i). on one hand, the high singularity from the relative velocity stops us to treat the equation like the cutoff Boltzmann equation; (ii). on the other hand, the high singularity from the deviation angle is the borderline case for non-cutoff Boltzmann equation in terms of finite momentum transfer.

Mathematically, we face the following essential difficulties.

- (D1). The first one is concerned with the coercivity estimate of the linearized operator \mathcal{L}^ϵ . Thanks to [15], it was shown that there exists a characteristic function W^ϵ defined by

$$W^\epsilon(y) := \langle y \rangle \phi(y) + \langle y \rangle \left(1 - \frac{\ln |y|}{|\ln \epsilon|} + \frac{1}{|\ln \epsilon|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\phi(\epsilon y) - \phi(y)) + \frac{1}{|\ln \epsilon|^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon} (1 - \phi(\epsilon y)), \quad (1.38)$$

to catch the Sobolev regularity for the collision operator. Here ϕ is a smooth defined in (1.61). It is easily checked that the characteristic function W^ϵ behaves quite different when $|y| \sim 1$ and $|y| \sim \epsilon^{-1}$. On one hand, it matches well the limiting operator \mathcal{L}^L as ϵ goes to zero. On the other hand, it indicates that the behavior of \mathcal{L}^ϵ will be more complicated, in particular for the proof of gain of weight and gain of anisotropic regularity (see Theorem 1.2). Also because of (1.38), we get stuck in the upper bound estimate of the operator.

- (D2). Once the coercivity estimate is available, we still need to face the following spectral gap estimate: for any smooth function f ,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, f \rangle_v \geq C |||(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|||_{L^2_{-3/2}}^2,$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of ϵ . The main difficulty here results from the singular factor $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1}$ in the angular function b^ϵ because it goes to zero when ϵ tends to zero. Thus to get the desired result we need a constructive proof. The easiest and also the clearest case is the Maxwellian molecules given

in [22], where the authors proved that the constant C only depends on the first positive eigenvalue which can be computed explicitly as (1.24). Fortunately in our case, b^ϵ satisfies the condition (1.25) which motivates us to consider (1.24).

(D3). As mentioned in (D1), [15] gives

$$\langle -Q^\epsilon(\mu, f), f \rangle_v \gtrsim |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2_{-3/2}}^2 - |f|_{L^2_{-3/2}}^2. \quad (1.39)$$

Note that we gain one derivative only in the “low frequency part” (that is, $|\xi| \lesssim 1/\epsilon$). Unfortunately because of the strong singularity of the relative velocity ($|v - v_*|^{-3}$ is a borderline case in 3-dimension), we at least need one full derivative to give a uniformly upper bound for the collision operator with respect to ϵ . Roughly speaking, [15] provides

$$|\langle Q^\epsilon(g, h), f \rangle_v| \lesssim |g|_{H^1_{9/2}} |h|_{H^1} |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2_{-3/2}},$$

which indicates that what we gain from the coercivity is not enough to control the upper bound of the nonlinear term $\langle \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h), f \rangle_v$.

The above three difficulties stop us to implement energy method and prove propagation of regularity, which forces us to figure out new techniques.

1.5. Ideas and strategies. Before explaining our strategy to overcome the above difficulties, we begin with basic facts on Micro-Macro decomposition and spherical harmonics.

- **Micro-Macro decomposition:** Recall $\mathcal{N} = \text{span}\{\sqrt{\mu}, \sqrt{\mu}v_1, \sqrt{\mu}v_2, \sqrt{\mu}v_3, \sqrt{\mu}|v|^2\}$, an orthonormal basis of which can be chosen as $\{\sqrt{\mu}, \sqrt{\mu}v_1, \sqrt{\mu}v_2, \sqrt{\mu}v_3, \sqrt{\mu}(|v|^2 - 3)/\sqrt{6}\} := \{e_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq 5}$. The projection operator \mathbb{P} on the null space \mathcal{N} is defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}f := \sum_{j=1}^5 \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j = (a + b \cdot v + c|v|^2)\sqrt{\mu}, \quad (1.40)$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{|v|^2}{2}\right) \sqrt{\mu} f dv; \quad b_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i \sqrt{\mu} f dv; \quad c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|v|^2}{6} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\mu} f dv. \quad (1.41)$$

Generally we call $\mathbb{P}f$ and $(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f$ the macroscopic part and microscopic part of f respectively.

- **Spherical harmonics:** Let Y_l^m with $l \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, -l \leq m \leq l$ be real spherical harmonics. They are the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. Mathematically,

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Y_l^m = l(l+1)Y_l^m.$$

These functions are essential to help us to catch the anisotropic property of \mathcal{L}^ϵ . We introduce the operator $W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})$ defined by: if $v = r\sigma$, then

$$(W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f)(v) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l W^\epsilon((l(l+1))^{1/2}) Y_l^m(\sigma) f_l^m(r), \quad (1.42)$$

where $f_l^m(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_l^m(\sigma) f(r\sigma) d\sigma$.

We are ready to present our main ideas and strategies.

1.5.1. Idea on the coercivity estimates. This part is related to (D1). The coercivity estimate of the linearized operator \mathcal{L}^ϵ plays an essential role in studying (1.26) and it reads

Theorem 1.2. *There are two positive universal constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\nu_0 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and any smooth function f , it holds*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, f \rangle_v + |f|_{L^2_{-3/2}}^2 \geq \nu_0 |f|_{\epsilon, -3/2}^2. \quad (1.43)$$

Here for $l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W_l(v) := (1 + |v|^2)^{l/2}$, we denote

$$|f|_{\epsilon, l}^2 := |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})W_l f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon(D)W_l f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_l f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Remark 1.6. *The characteristic function W^ϵ indicates the gain of the weight simultaneously in phase space, frequency space and anisotropic space for \mathcal{L}^ϵ . When ϵ goes to zero, it becomes to the following coercivity estimate for \mathcal{L}^L which shows that our estimate is sharp.*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^L f, f \rangle_v + |f|_{L^2_{-3/2}}^2 \geq \nu_0 \left(|W((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})W_{-3/2} f|_{L^2}^2 + |W(D)W_{-3/2} f|_{L^2}^2 + |WW_{-3/2} f|_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (1.44)$$

Here $W(v) := (1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}$.

Remark 1.7. *We emphasize that gain of regularity only happens in the “low frequency part” ($|\xi| \lesssim 1/\epsilon$) while gain of weight in the phase space only happens in the “big ball” ($|v| \lesssim 1/\epsilon$). In other words, \mathcal{L}^ϵ still keeps a hyperbolic structure due to the cutoff condition on the deviation angle.*

The intuition behind Theorem 1.2 comes from the knowledge that the linearized Boltzmann collision operator without angular cutoff corresponds to a unique characteristic function, which captures the key structure of the operator in phase, frequency and anisotropic spaces. One may check it in [14]. In [15], W^ϵ had been proved to be the symbol in the frequency space for Q^ϵ (see (1.39)). Therefore W^ϵ should be the characteristic function of \mathcal{L}^ϵ .

Note that the behavior of W^ϵ changes on the region $\{|\cdot| \sim 1/\epsilon\}$ with logarithm correction. The key idea to catch this behavior lies in the following two aspects:

- The first one is the “geometric decomposition” introduced in [14] resulting from the geometry inherent in an elastic collision event. It can be explained as follows:

$$f(\xi) - f(\xi^+) = (f(\xi) - f(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})) + (f(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - f(\xi^+)),$$

where $\xi^+ := \frac{|\xi|\sigma + \xi}{2}$. The first difference $f(\xi) - f(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})$ is referred as the spherical part since ξ and $|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}$ lie in the sphere centered at origin with radius $|\xi|$. The second difference $f(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - f(\xi^+)$ is referred as the radial part since $|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}$, ξ^+ and origin are on the same line. We can extract anisotropic information from the spherical part for both the lower and upper bounds of the operator. One can see section 2.3 for more details.

- The second one is the development of some localization techniques: the dyadic decomposition in both phase and frequency spaces and also the partition of unity on the unit sphere. They play an essential role in capturing the leading term and the characteristic function. For more details, we refer readers to Section 2, Section 4 and the Appendix.

1.5.2. Idea on the spectral gap estimates. This sequel aims to overcome the difficulty raised in (D2). Roughly speaking, the above Theorem 1.2 successfully catches the leading term of $\langle \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, f \rangle_v$. To eliminate the lower order term, we need a so-called spectral gap estimate for \mathcal{L}^ϵ which is required to hold uniformly in ϵ . We get the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *There exist two positive universal constants ϵ_0 and λ such that for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and any smooth function f , it holds*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, f \rangle_v \geq \lambda |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{\epsilon, -3/2}^2, \quad (1.45)$$

where λ depends only on λ_1^ϵ (see (1.25) for definition) and the constant ν_0 appearing in Theorem 1.2.

To give some illustration on the above theorem, we use \mathcal{L}^γ to denote the linearized Boltzmann collision operator associated to the general kernel

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) := |v - v_*|^\gamma b(\cos \theta). \quad (1.46)$$

The first spectral gap estimate is attributed to [22]. In fact, thanks to the simple structure of \mathcal{L}^0 , which corresponds to the Maxwellian molecules (see (1.23)), the authors explicitly constructed its eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions. Then the spectral gap estimate easily follows from the fact that \mathcal{L}^0 is a self-adjoint operator:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^0 f, f \rangle_v \geq \lambda_1 |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L^2}^2, \quad (1.47)$$

where λ_1 is the first positive eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}^0 satisfying (1.24).

Based on a proper decomposition of the operator and also the dyadic decomposition on the modulus of the relative velocity, the authors in [6, 19] extended the above result to the general case as follows,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\gamma f, f \rangle_v \gtrsim C_\gamma C_b |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2, \quad (1.48)$$

where

$$C_b = \inf_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \min\{b(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_3), b(\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_3)\} d\sigma_3.$$

We remind readers that (1.48) is more general but the estimate depends on C_b . As a result, (1.48) cannot be applied directly if C_b is not bounded from below, which unfortunately happens when the angular function b concentrates on the grazing collisions. There are two typical examples:

$$b(\cos \theta) = \epsilon^{2s-2} (\sin(\theta/2))^{-2-2s} 1_{\sin(\theta/2) \leq \epsilon}, \quad \text{or} \quad b(\cos \theta) = b^\epsilon(\cos \theta),$$

where $b^\epsilon(\cos \theta)$ is defined in (1.17). It is not difficult to check that in both cases C_b tends to zero when ϵ goes to zero. We fail to get the desired result through (1.48). On the other hand, (1.47) works well for both cases since the following holds true uniformly in ϵ ,

$$\int_0^\pi b(\cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta \sim 1.$$

From the above short review on the spectral gap estimate, we need a new and constructive proof for Theorem 1.3, which can be regarded as one of our main contributions in this paper. The key step lies in finding a link between the desired result (1.45) and the well-known result (1.47) by noting that (1.47) is stable in the Landau approximation thanks to (1.25).

Because of some technical restriction (which will be seen soon), for $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$, we need to consider the general linearized collision operator $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma}$ associated to the Boltzmann kernel

$$B^{\epsilon, \gamma}(v - v_*, \sigma) := |v - v_*|^\gamma b^\epsilon(\cos \theta). \quad (1.49)$$

Our strategy consists of two parts:

- The first part utilizes the coercivity estimate in Theorem 1.2. More general than Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 2.1), for $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$, we derive

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} f, f \rangle_v = \langle \mathcal{L}^\epsilon(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f \rangle_v \geq \nu_0 |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 - |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2, \quad (1.50)$$

- The second part is to reduce the case of a general potential to the case of Maxwellian molecules with a small correction term. Roughly speaking, for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$, we derive

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} f, f \rangle_v \geq C_1 \delta^{-\gamma} (|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_2 \delta^{\gamma+2} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2). \quad (1.51)$$

When $-2 < \gamma \leq 0$, we can make a suitable combination of (1.50) and (1.51) to get (1.52) in Theorem 1.4. For $-3 \leq \gamma \leq -2$, we choose $-2 < \alpha, \beta < 0$ such that $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ and thus $B^{\epsilon, \gamma} = B^{\epsilon, \alpha} |v - v_*|^\beta$. Then $B^{\epsilon, \alpha}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \alpha}$ which has spectral gap estimate since $\alpha > -2$. We next reduce $|v - v_*|^\beta$ to the Maxwellian molecules $|v - v_*|^0$. In summary, we can deal with $\gamma \in (-2, 0)$ in the first stage, and $\gamma \in [-3, -2]$ in the second stage. For details, one can see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 3.

We emphasize that the derivation of (1.51) is very tricky. To this end, we introduce a proper decomposition on the modulus of the relative velocity and a special weight function $U_\delta(v) = (1 + \delta^2 |v|^2)^{1/2}$ (see (3.9)) to keep the symmetric structure of $\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} f, f \rangle_v$.

More general than Theorem 1.3, we have

Theorem 1.4. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. There exist two positive universal constants ϵ_0 and λ such that for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and any smooth function f , it holds*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} f, f \rangle_v \geq \lambda |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2, \quad (1.52)$$

where λ depends only on $\gamma, \lambda_1^\epsilon$ (see (1.25) for definition) and the constant ν_0 appearing in Theorem 1.2.

1.5.3. Idea on the linear-quasilinear method. In this sequel, we deal with the difficulty raised in (D3). As explained before, for (1.26), it seems that the dissipation of \mathcal{L}^ϵ cannot prevail the nonlinear term $\Gamma^\epsilon(f, f)$, which is the biggest challenge for establishing global well-posedness. To overcome this obstacle, we introduce a so-called “linear-quasilinear method”.

In order to explain the method, we first introduce the truncation with respect to the modulus of the relative velocity. We associate $Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$ with kernel $B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} = B^{\epsilon, \gamma} 1_{|v - v_*| \geq \eta}$ and denote $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}, \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$ correspondingly. To eradicate ambiguity, we define explicitly the Boltzmann operator $Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$ as follows,

$$Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) (g(v'_*)h(v') - g(v_*)h(v)) dv_* d\sigma, \quad (1.53)$$

where

$$B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) := |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v - v_*| \geq \eta} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) = |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v - v_*| \geq \eta} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sin^{-4}(\theta/2) 1_{\sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon}.$$

Similar to (1.27), we define

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) := \mu^{-1/2} Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, \mu^{1/2} h), \quad (1.54)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, g) - \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, \mu^{1/2}), \quad (1.55)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, g), \quad (1.56)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, \mu^{1/2}). \quad (1.57)$$

Then we set

$$B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} := B^{\epsilon,\gamma} - B^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}, \quad Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} := Q^{\epsilon,\gamma} - Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}, \quad \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} := \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma} - \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}, \quad \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} := \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma} - \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}. \quad (1.58)$$

With these notations, we rewrite (1.26) as

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,-3,\eta} f = (-\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,-3} f + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(f, f)) + \Gamma^{\epsilon,-3,\eta}(f, f). \quad (1.59)$$

Now we are in a position to illustrate the linear-quasilinear method in detail. Since the standard L^2 energy estimate is employed to establish global well-posedness, the method can be explained in terms of the integration domain of L^2 inner product. In fact, we separate the integration domain into two parts: $|v - v_*| \leq \eta$ and $|v - v_*| \geq \eta$, where η is a parameter and principally it should be sufficiently small. We call them respectively the singular region and the regular region since $|v - v_*|^{-3}$ in the Boltzmann kernel B^ϵ is strongly singular near 0. The spirit of the new method can be summarized as follows: (i). In the regular region, we employ the standard linear method by showing that the dissipation of the linear term can dominate the nonlinear term; (ii). While in the singular region, we use the quasi-linear method by utilizing the non-negativity of the solution to eliminate the dangerous strong singularity. More precisely,

- In the regular region, we follow the linear method to show that the dissipation of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,-3,\eta} f$ dominates the nonlinear term $\Gamma^{\epsilon,-3,\eta}(f, f)$ under smallness assumption on f . To this end, technically we are forced to show that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,-3,\eta} f, f \rangle_v + |f|_{L_{\epsilon,-3/2}^2}^2 \geq \nu_0 |f|_{\epsilon,-3/2}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,-3,\eta}(f, f), f \rangle_v| \lesssim C(\eta^{-1}, f) |f|_{\epsilon,-3/2}^2.$$

That is, when η is sufficiently small, $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,-3,\eta}$ yields the same dissipation as \mathcal{L}^ϵ in Theorem 1.2.

- In the singular region, we use the identity that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,-3} f + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(f, f), f \rangle_v &= \langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f), \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle_v + \langle \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}), f \rangle_v \\ &= \langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, f), f \rangle_v + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} + f)_* (\mu_*'^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_*^{\frac{1}{2}}) f f' d\sigma dv_* dv + \langle \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}), f \rangle_v. \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\langle -\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,-3} f + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(f, f), f \rangle_v \lesssim (\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2})(1 + |f|_{H^2}) |f|_{L_{\epsilon,-3/2}^2}^2.$$

Let us explain the idea. There are three terms in the second line of the previous identity. For the latter two terms, we make use of the regularity of $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to cancel the singularity. For the first term we shall use the quasi-linear method to give the estimate. In fact, thanks to the fact that $\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f$ is a solution to the original Boltzmann equation, it holds that $\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f \geq 0$ which implies that the coercivity type estimate (1.39) holds for $\langle -Q_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, f), f \rangle_v$. Here we only use the good sign and Cancellation Lemma 2.7 to get

$$\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,-3}(\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, f), f \rangle_v \lesssim (\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2})(1 + |f|_{H^2}) |f|_{L_{\epsilon,-3/2}^2}^2.$$

One can see subsection 4.3 for details.

Using such kind of treatment, we can deal with the highest order derivative in the energy estimates and capture the highest order dissipation, which is crucial for the near Maxwellian framework. For other lower order derivatives, we have one order derivative to kill the strong singularity in $|v - v_*|^{-3}$ near 0. In order to implement this plan, we need two types of upper bound estimates for the non-linear term, see Table 1 at the beginning of Section 4 for a summary.

1.6. Notations, function spaces and organization of the paper. We list notations and state the organization of the paper in this subsection.

1.6.1. *Notations.* Here are the list:

- We denote the multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.
- We write $a \lesssim b$ to indicate that there is a universal constant C , which may be different on different lines, such that $a \leq Cb$. We use the notation $a \sim b$ whenever $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.
- The notation a^+ means the maximum value of a and 0 and $[a]$ denotes the maximum integer which does not exceed a .
- The Japanese bracket $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle v \rangle = (1 + |v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the weight function W_l is defined by $W_l(v) := \langle v \rangle^l$. When $l = 1$, we write $W(v) := W_1(v) = \langle v \rangle$.
- We denote $C(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ or $C_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n}$ by a constant depending on parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$.
- The notations $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v)g(v)dv$ and $(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} fg dx dv$ are used to denote the inner products for v variable and for x, v variables respectively.

- The translator operator T_u is defined by $(T_u f)(v) := f(u + v)$, for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- As usual, 1_A is the characteristic function of a set A .
- If A, B are two operators, then their commutator $[A, B] := AB - BA$.

1.6.2. *Function spaces.* For simplicity, we set $\partial^\alpha := \partial_x^\alpha, \partial_\beta := \partial_v^\beta, \partial_\beta^\alpha := \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta$. We will use the following spaces.

- For real number n, l , we define the weighted Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^3

$$H_l^n := \left\{ f(v) \mid |f|_{H_l^n}^2 := |\langle D \rangle^n W_l f|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\langle D \rangle^n W_l f)(v)|^2 dv < +\infty \right\},$$

Here $a(D)$ is a differential operator with the symbol $a(\xi)$ defined by

$$(a(D)f)(v) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(v-y)\xi} a(\xi) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^3 is defined by

$$H_l^n := \left\{ f(v) \mid |f|_{H_l^n}^2 := \sum_{|\beta| \leq n} |\partial_\beta f|_{L_l^2}^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where $|f|_{L_l^2} := |W_l f|_{L^2}$ is the usual L^2 norm with weight W_l .

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote the weighted pure order- n space on \mathbb{R}^3 by

$$\dot{H}_l^n := \left\{ f(v) \mid |f|_{\dot{H}_l^n}^2 := \sum_{|\beta|=n} |\partial_\beta f|_{L_l^2}^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (1.60)$$

- For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the Sobolev space on \mathbb{T}^3 by

$$H_x^m := \left\{ f(x) \mid |f|_{H_x^m}^2 := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |\partial^\alpha f|_{L_x^2}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

- For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted Sobolev space on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined by

$$H_x^m H_l^n := \left\{ f(x, v) \mid \|f\|_{H_x^m H_l^n}^2 := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq n} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_l^2}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We write $\|f\|_{H_x^m L_l^2} := \|f\|_{H_x^m H_l^0}$ if $n = 0$ and $\|f\|_{L_x^2 L_l^2} := \|f\|_{H_x^0 H_l^0}$ if $m = n = 0$. The space $H_x^m \dot{H}_l^n$ can be similarly defined.

1.6.3. *Dyadic decompositions.* We now introduce the dyadic decomposition. Let $B_{4/3} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq 4/3\}$ and $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 3/4 \leq |x| \leq 8/3\}$. Then one may introduce two radial functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{4/3})$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(C)$ which satisfy

$$0 \leq \phi, \psi \leq 1, \text{ and } \phi(x) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi(2^{-j}x) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.61)$$

Now define $\varphi_{-1}(x) := \phi(x)$ and $\varphi_j(x) := \psi(2^{-j}x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $j \geq 0$. Then one has the following dyadic decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_j f := \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \varphi_j f, \quad (1.62)$$

for any function f defined on \mathbb{R}^3 . We will use the notations

$$\mathfrak{F}_\phi f := \phi(\epsilon D)f, \quad \mathfrak{F}^\phi f := (1 - \phi(\epsilon D))f. \quad (1.63)$$

1.6.4. *Function spaces related to the collision operator.* Now we introduce some spaces related to the coercivity estimate of \mathcal{L}^ϵ .

- *Space $L_{\epsilon,l}^2$.* For functions defined on \mathbb{R}^3 , the space $L_{\epsilon,l}^2$ with $l \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$L_{\epsilon,l}^2 := \left\{ f(v) \mid \|f\|_{L_{\epsilon,l}^2}^2 := |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})W_l f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon(D)W_l f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_l f|_{L^2}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

- *Space $H_x^m H_{\epsilon,l}^n$.* For functions defined on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, the space $H_x^m H_{\epsilon,l}^n$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$H_x^m H_{\epsilon,l}^n := \left\{ f(x, v) \mid \|f\|_{H_x^m H_{\epsilon,l}^n}^2 := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq n} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_{\epsilon,l}^2}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We set $\|f\|_{H_x^m L_{\epsilon,l}^2} := \|f\|_{H_x^m H_{\epsilon,l}^0}$ if $n = 0$ and $\|f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon,l}^2} := \|f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,l}^0}$ if $m = n = 0$. Again, the space $H_x^m \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l}^n$ can be defined accordingly.

1.6.5. *Organization of the paper.* In Section 2, we first give some elementary results and then endeavor to prove the coercivity estimate in Theorem 1.2. Section 3 is devoted to the spectral gap estimate in Theorem 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, the upper bound estimates of the nonlinear term Γ^ϵ are provided. Some commutator estimates are given in Section 5. In Section 6, we prove our main Theorem 1.1. In the Appendix, we give some useful results for the sake of completeness.

2. COERCIVITY ESTIMATE

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. In fact, we want to capture the coercivity estimate of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}$ for $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$ and small $\eta \geq 0$, which is more general than Theorem 1.2.

Our strategy relies on the following relation (see (2.78) in the proof of Theorem 2.1):

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta} f, f \rangle + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^6} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v - v_*| \geq \eta} g_*^2 (h' - h)^2 d\sigma dv dv_*. \quad (2.2)$$

We remind that $\eta \geq 0$ is an additional parameter to deal with the high singularity $|v - v_*|^{-3}$. Thanks to (2.1), to get the coercivity estimate of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}$, it suffices to estimate from below the two functionals $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. We will study $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$ in subsection 2.2 and $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f)$ in subsection 2.3. The coercivity estimate is obtained in subsection 2.4 by utilizing (2.1).

In the following, we will omit the range of some frequently used variables in the integrals. Usually, $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2, v, v_*, u, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For example, we set $\int(\cdots) d\sigma := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\cdots) d\sigma, \int(\cdots) d\sigma dv dv_* := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3}(\cdots) d\sigma dv dv_*$. Integration w.r.t. other variables should be understood in a similar way. Whenever a new variable appears, we will specify its range once and then omit it thereafter.

We begin with some elementary results which will be used frequently throughout the paper.

2.1. Elementary results. We collect some properties of the function W^ϵ defined in (1.38). Note that W^ϵ is a radial function defined on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 2.1. *For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have*

$$W^\epsilon(x) \leq \min\{\langle x \rangle, |\ln \epsilon|^{-1/2} \epsilon^{-1}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

$$W^\epsilon(x) \gtrsim \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} x) \langle x \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

$$W^\epsilon(x) \gtrsim (1 - \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} x)) \epsilon^{-1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

$$W^\epsilon(x) \geq \phi(\epsilon x) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1/2} \langle x \rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

$$W^\epsilon(x) \gtrsim (1 - \phi(\epsilon x)) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1/2} \epsilon^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

$$W^\epsilon(x - y) \leq W^\epsilon(x) W^\epsilon(y). \quad (2.8)$$

The following is an interpolation result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m \geq 0$. For any $\eta > 0$, one has*

$$|f|_{H^m}^2 \lesssim (\eta + \epsilon) |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{H^m}^2 + C(\eta, m) |f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. Let $f_\epsilon := \phi(\epsilon^{1/2}D)f$, $f^\epsilon := f - f_\epsilon$. That is, f_ϵ and f^ϵ are the low and high frequency parts respectively. Note that the threshold is $|\xi| \sim \epsilon^{-1/2}$. By interpolation inequality, it is easy to check that

$$|f|_{H^m}^2 \lesssim |f^\epsilon|_{H^m}^2 + |f_\epsilon|_{H^m}^2 \lesssim |f^\epsilon|_{H^m}^2 + \eta |f_\epsilon|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + C(\eta, m) |f_\epsilon|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then the lemma follows from (2.4) and (2.5) in Lemma 2.1. \square

We remark that W^ϵ is a symbol of type $S_{1,0}^1$ (see Definition 7.1 for the definition of a symbol). From which together with Lemma 7.1, we have

Lemma 2.3. *Let $l, m \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$|W^\epsilon(D)W_l f|_{H^m}^2 \sim |W_l W^\epsilon(D)f|_{H^m}^2.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.3, we interchangeably use $|W^\epsilon(D)W_l f|_{H^m}^2$ and $|W^\epsilon(D)f|_{H_l^m}^2$ in the rest of the paper.

We collect some properties of the translation operator T_u defined through $(T_u f)(v) := f(u + v)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Thanks to (2.8), for $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have*

$$|W^\epsilon T_u f|_{L^2} \lesssim W^\epsilon(u) |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

For $u \in \mathbb{R}^3, l \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $(T_u W_l)(v) = \langle v + u \rangle^l \lesssim C(l) \langle u \rangle^{|l|} \langle v \rangle^l$ and thus

$$|T_u f|_{L_l^2} \lesssim C(l) \langle u \rangle^{|l|} |f|_{L_l^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Let us prepare some integrals regarding to the angular function b^ϵ over the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 .

Lemma 2.5. *If $\epsilon \leq 1/4$, then*

$$2\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \leq \int b^\epsilon d\sigma \leq 4\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2}. \quad (2.11)$$

$$4\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \leq \int b^\epsilon \sin(\theta/2) d\sigma \leq 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1}. \quad (2.12)$$

$$4\pi \leq \int b^\epsilon \sin^2(\theta/2) d\sigma \leq 8\pi. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. By Assumption (A2), we can write $b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) = |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sin^{-4}(\theta/2) 1_{\sqrt{2}/2 \geq \sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon}$. Note that $d\sigma = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi = 4 \sin(\theta/2) d \sin(\theta/2) d\varphi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int b^\epsilon d\sigma &= 4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} 1_{\sqrt{2}/2 \geq \sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon} \sin^{-3}(\theta/2) d \sin(\theta/2) d\varphi \\ &= 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_\epsilon^{\sqrt{2}/2} t^{-3} dt = 4\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\epsilon^{-2} - 2). \end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon \leq 1/2$, then $\epsilon^{-2} \geq 4$, which gives (2.11). Similarly, we have

$$\int b^\epsilon \sin(\theta/2) d\sigma = 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_\epsilon^{\sqrt{2}/2} t^{-2} dt = 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\epsilon^{-1} - \sqrt{2}).$$

If $\epsilon \leq 1/4$, then $\epsilon^{-1} \geq 4$, which gives (2.12). Similarly, we have

$$\int b^\epsilon \sin^2(\theta/2) d\sigma = 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_\epsilon^{\sqrt{2}/2} t^{-1} dt = 8\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2).$$

If $\epsilon \leq 1/2$, then $\ln 2 \leq |\ln \epsilon|$ which gives (2.13). \square

Cancellation lemma is very important especially when we need to shift regularity between the three functions g, h, f of the inner product $\langle Q(g, h), f \rangle$. Depending on the parameter γ in the kernel $B(v - v_*, \sigma) = |v - v_*|^\gamma b(\cos \theta)$, there are two types of cancellation lemma. For the case $\gamma > -3$, one may refer [1]. We mainly concern the case $\gamma = -3$ and recall the following cancellation lemma in [4].

Lemma 2.6 (Cancellation Lemma). *Recalling from Proposition 3 in [4], we define*

$$J^\epsilon(z) := 1_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{2\pi}{|z|^3} \int_{2 \cos^{-1}(|z|)}^{\pi/2} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta,$$

whose L^1 norm is bounded uniformly in ϵ ,

$$|J^\epsilon|_{L^1} = -8\pi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\ln \cos(\theta/2)) \sin \theta d\theta \lesssim 1. \quad (2.14)$$

Associated to $B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \delta} |v-v_*|^{-3} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta)$, the convolution kernel is $S_\delta^\epsilon(z) := \delta^{-3} J^\epsilon(z/\delta)$. That is,

$$\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* = \int S_\delta^\epsilon(v - v_*) g_* h dv dv_*. \quad (2.15)$$

For our purpose, we derive the following various types of cancellation effect.

Lemma 2.7 (Cancellation Lemma Continued). *Let $p, q \geq 1$ satisfying $1/p + 1/q = 1$.*

- *Let $\delta = 0, a \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \lesssim |\mu^{-a} g|_{L^p} |\mu^a h|_{L^q}. \quad (2.16)$$

- *Let $1 \geq \delta \geq 0, a \geq 0$, then*

$$\left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \lesssim e^a |\mu^{-2a} g|_{L^p} |\mu^a h|_{L^q}. \quad (2.17)$$

- *Let $1 \geq \eta > \delta \geq 0, a \geq 0$, set $B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} := B^{\epsilon, \gamma} 1_{\delta \leq |v-v_*| < \eta}$, then*

$$\left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \lesssim e^a |\mu^{-2a} g|_{L^p} |\mu^a h|_{L^q}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \lesssim (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2})(1+a) e^{3a} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{-2a} g|_{L^2} |\mu^a h|_{L^2}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_* ((hf)' - hf) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \\ & \lesssim (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2})(1+a) e^{3a} |\mu^{-2a} g|_{L^\infty} (|W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{a/2} h|_{L^2} |\mu^{a/2} f|_{L^2} + |\mu^{a/2} h|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{a/2} f|_{L^2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. Recalling from (2.15) and $S_\delta^\epsilon(z) = \delta^{-3} J^\epsilon(z/\delta)$, we have

$$\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* = \int J^\epsilon(u) g(\delta u + v) h(v) dv du. \quad (2.21)$$

We set to prove (2.16). By (2.21), Hölder's inequality and (2.14), we have

$$\left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| = \left| \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int J^\epsilon(u) g(\delta u + v) h(v) dv du \right| \leq |J^\epsilon|_{L^1} |gh|_{L^1} \lesssim |\mu^{-a} g|_{L^p} |\mu^a h|_{L^q}.$$

We now go to prove (2.17). It is easy to check that for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, there holds

$$|x|^2 \geq \alpha |y|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} |x-y|^2. \quad (2.22)$$

Taking $\alpha = 1/2$ in (2.22), if $|x-y| \leq 1$, we have $|x|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |y|^2 - |x-y|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |y|^2 - 1$, and thus

$$|x-y| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-|x|^2/2} \leq e^{1/2} \mu^{1/2}(y). \quad (2.23)$$

From which, we get $\mu^a(v) \leq e^{a/2} \mu^{a/2}(v + \delta u)$ for $a \geq 0$. That is, $1 \leq e^a \mu^{-2a}(v) \mu^a(v + \delta u)$. By symmetry, $1 \leq e^a \mu^a(v) \mu^{-2a}(v + \delta u)$. Together with Hölder's inequality, we get for any $|u| \leq 1, \delta \leq 1$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\delta u + v) h(v) dv \right| \leq e^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^a(v) \mu^{-2a}(v + \delta u) |g(\delta u + v)| |h(v)| dv \leq e^a |\mu^{-2a} g|_{L^p} |\mu^a h|_{L^q}. \quad (2.24)$$

Recalling $J^\epsilon(u)$ has support in $|u| \leq 1$ and the parameter $\delta \leq 1$, plugging (2.24) into (2.21), together with (2.14), we get (2.17).

Since $B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} - B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$, we have

$$\left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \leq \left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| + \left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* \right|.$$

Then we get (2.18) by using (2.17).

We now turn to (2.19). For notational brevity, we set $G = \mu^{-2a} g, H = \mu^a h$ and then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_*(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_* &= \int J^\epsilon(u) (g(\delta u + v) - g(\eta u + v)) h(v) dv du \\ &= \int J^\epsilon(u) ((\mu^{2a} G)(\delta u + v) - (\mu^{2a} G)(\eta u + v)) (\mu^{-a} H)(v) dv du := \mathcal{I}(G, H). \end{aligned}$$

We decompose $G = G_\epsilon + G^\epsilon$, where

$$G_\epsilon := \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} D) G, G^\epsilon := G - G_\epsilon = (1 - \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} D)) G. \quad (2.25)$$

From which we have $\mathcal{I}(G, H) = \mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H) + \mathcal{I}(G^\epsilon, H)$. Then by Taylor expansion, we get

$$(\mu^{2a}G_\epsilon)(\delta u + v) - (\mu^{2a}G_\epsilon)(\eta u + v) = (\delta - \eta) \int_0^1 (\nabla(\mu^{2a}G_\epsilon))(v(\kappa)) \cdot u d\kappa. \quad (2.26)$$

where $v(\kappa) := (\kappa\delta + (1 - \kappa)\eta)u + v$. Noting that $(a|v| + 1)\mu^{a/2} \leq C(1 + a)$ for some universal constant C , we have

$$|\nabla(\mu^{2a}G_\epsilon)| \leq (a|v| + 1)\mu^{2a}(|G_\epsilon| + |\nabla G_\epsilon|) \leq C(1 + a)\mu^{3a/2}(|G_\epsilon| + |\nabla G_\epsilon|). \quad (2.27)$$

Plugging (2.26) and (2.27) into the definition of $\mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H)| &\leq C(1 + a)(\eta - \delta) \int J^\epsilon(u)(|G_\epsilon(v(\kappa))| + |(\nabla G_\epsilon)(v(\kappa))|) \\ &\quad \times \mu^{3a/2}(v(\kappa))\mu^{-a}(v)|H(v)|dudvd\kappa. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Taking $\alpha = 3/4$ in (2.22), if $|x - y| \leq 1$, we have $|x|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}|y|^2 - 3|x - y|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}|y|^2 - 3$, and thus

$$|x - y| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \mu(x) \leq e^{3/2}\mu^{3/4}(y). \quad (2.29)$$

Since $|v(\kappa) - v| = |(\kappa\delta + (1 - \kappa)\eta)u| \leq \eta|u| \leq 1$, by (2.29), we get $\mu^{3a/2}(v(\kappa))\mu^{-a}(v) \leq e^{3a}$ for $a \geq 0$. Plugging which into (2.28), we get

$$|\mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H)| \leq C(1 + a)e^{3a}(\eta - \delta) \int J^\epsilon(u)(|G_\epsilon(v(\kappa))| + |(\nabla G_\epsilon)(v(\kappa))|)|H(v)|dudvd\kappa. \quad (2.30)$$

By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v(\kappa)$ and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$|\mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H)| \leq C(1 + a)e^{3a}(\eta - \delta)|J^\epsilon|_{L^1}|G_\epsilon|_{H^1}|H|_{L^2}.$$

By (2.4), one has $|G_\epsilon|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)G|_{L^2}^2$. From which together with (2.14), we have

$$|\mathcal{I}(G_\epsilon, H)| \lesssim (1 + a)e^{3a}\eta|W^\epsilon(D)G|_{L^2}|H|_{L^2}. \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.5), one has $|G^\epsilon|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2}|W^\epsilon(D)G|_{L^2}$. From which together with (2.18), we get

$$|\mathcal{I}(G^\epsilon, H)| \lesssim e^a|G^\epsilon|_{L^2}|H|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2}e^a|W^\epsilon(D)G|_{L^2}|H|_{L^2}. \quad (2.32)$$

Patching together (2.31) and (2.32), we get (2.19).

In the last, we go to prove (2.20). Thanks to the convolution structure in (2.21), we have

$$\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_* ((hf)' - hf) d\sigma dv dv_* = \int J^\epsilon(u) ((hf)(v - \delta u) - (hf)(v - \eta u)) g(v) dv du.$$

In this part, we set $G = \mu^{-2a}g, H = \mu^{a/2}h, F = \mu^{a/2}f$ and thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} g_* ((hf)' - hf) d\sigma dv dv_* &= \int J^\epsilon(u) ((\mu^{-a}HF)(v - \delta u) - (\mu^{-a}HF)(v - \eta u)) (\mu^{2a}G)(v) dv du \\ &:= \mathcal{J}(H, F). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling from (2.25) for the definition of $H_\epsilon, H^\epsilon, F_\epsilon, F^\epsilon$. Decomposing $H = H_\epsilon + H^\epsilon, F = F_\epsilon + F^\epsilon$, we get

$$\mathcal{J}(H, F) = \mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F_\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F^\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F_\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F^\epsilon).$$

For $\mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F_\epsilon)$, we apply Taylor expansion to $\mu^{-a}H_\epsilon F_\epsilon$. Similar to (2.26), (2.27), (2.28) and (2.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F_\epsilon)| &\leq C(|a| + 1)e^{3a}(\eta - \delta) \int J^\epsilon(u)(|(H_\epsilon F_\epsilon)(v(\kappa))| + |(F_\epsilon \nabla H_\epsilon)(v(\kappa))| + |(H_\epsilon \nabla F_\epsilon)(v(\kappa))|) \\ &\quad \times |G(v)|dudvd\kappa \\ &\leq C(|a| + 1)e^{3a}(\eta - \delta)|J^\epsilon|_{L^1}|G|_{L^\infty}(|H_\epsilon|_{L^2}|F_\epsilon|_{L^2} + |H_\epsilon|_{H^1}|F_\epsilon|_{L^2} + |H_\epsilon|_{L^2}|F_\epsilon|_{H^1}) \\ &\lesssim (|a| + 1)e^{3a}\eta|G|_{L^\infty}(|W^\epsilon(D)H|_{L^2}|F|_{L^2} + |H|_{L^2}|W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

where we take out $|G|_{L^\infty}$ and use the change of variable $v \rightarrow v(\kappa)$, Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (2.4). Using (2.23) to deal with the μ -type weight, taking out $|G|_{L^\infty}$, applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and using (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F^\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F_\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F^\epsilon)| &\leq 2e^a|J^\epsilon|_{L^1}|G|_{L^\infty}(|H_\epsilon|_{L^2}|F^\epsilon|_{L^2} + |H^\epsilon|_{L^2}|F_\epsilon|_{L^2} + |H^\epsilon|_{L^2}|F^\epsilon|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq 2^4\epsilon^{1/2}e^a|J^\epsilon|_{L^1}|G|_{L^\infty}(|W^\epsilon(D)H|_{L^2}|F|_{L^2} + |H|_{L^2}|W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Patching together the estimates of $|\mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F_\epsilon)|$ and $|\mathcal{J}(H_\epsilon, F^\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F_\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}(H^\epsilon, F^\epsilon)|$, we get (2.20). \square

2.2. Gain of weight from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. The functional $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$ produces gain of the weight W^ϵ in the phase space.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$,*

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}) + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \geq C_\gamma |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2,$$

where C_γ is a positive constant depending only on γ .

Proof. If $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, one has $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \leq \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. Therefore it suffices to consider the lower bound of $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. The proof is divided into four steps. Let $0 < \delta < 1 \leq R$ be two constants which will be determined later.

Step 1: $16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon$. One has $\nabla \mu^{1/2} = -\frac{\mu^{1/2}}{2}v$ and $\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2} = \frac{\mu^{1/2}}{4}(-2I_3 + v \otimes v)$. By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\mu^{1/2}(v') - \mu^{1/2}(v) = -\frac{\mu^{1/2}(v)}{2}v \cdot (v' - v) + \int_0^1 \frac{1-\kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\kappa,$$

where $v(\kappa) := v + \kappa(v' - v)$. Thanks to the fact $(a - b)^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{2} - b^2$, we have

$$(\mu^{1/2}(v') - \mu^{1/2}(v))^2 \geq \frac{\mu(v)}{8} |v \cdot (v' - v)|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 (1-\kappa)^2 |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v' - v|^4 d\kappa. \quad (2.33)$$

For $\epsilon \leq \pi\delta/16$, we set $A(\epsilon, \delta) = \{(v_*, v, \sigma) : 16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon, |v| \leq 8/\pi, \epsilon \leq \sin(\theta/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}\}$. It is easy to check that $A(\epsilon, \delta)$ is non-empty. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) &\geq \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/2} - \mu^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} \mu(v) |v \cdot (v' - v)|^2 f_*^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v' - v|^4 f_*^2 d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \\ &:= \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{I}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{I}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta)$. For fixed v, v_* , we introduce an orthogonal basis $(h_{v, v_*}^1, h_{v, v_*}^2, \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|})$ such that $d\sigma = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v' - v}{|v' - v|} &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \varphi h_{v, v_*}^1 + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \varphi h_{v, v_*}^2 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}, \\ \frac{v}{|v|} &= a_1 h_{v, v_*}^1 + a_2 h_{v, v_*}^2 + a_3 \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_3 = \frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}$ and a_1, a_2 are constants independent of θ and φ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v' - v}{|v' - v|} \right|^2 &= \left| a_1 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \varphi + a_2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \varphi - a_3 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right|^2 \\ &= a_1^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^2 \varphi + a_2^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \varphi + a_3^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &\quad + 2a_1 a_2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \varphi \sin \varphi - 2a_3 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (a_1 \cos \varphi + a_2 \sin \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |v \cdot (v' - v)|^2 d\sigma &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \sin \theta 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |v \cdot (v' - v)|^2 d\theta d\varphi \\ &\geq \pi(a_1^2 + a_2^2) |v|^2 |v - v_*|^2 \int_0^\pi b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Let $B(\epsilon, \delta) := \{(v_*, v) : 16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon, |v| \leq 8/\pi\}$. If $(v, v_*) \in B(\epsilon, \delta)$, direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\pi b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} 1_{\epsilon \leq \sin(\theta/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} d\theta \\ &= 4|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^\pi \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^{-1} \frac{\theta}{2} 1_{\epsilon \leq \sin(\theta/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} d(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}) = 4|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^1 (1-t^2)t^{-1} 1_{\epsilon \leq t \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} dt \\ &\geq 2|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_\epsilon^{4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} t^{-1} dt = 2|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where we use $t \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1} \leq \sqrt{2}/2$ and $1-t^2 \geq 1/2$. Back to (2.35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |v \cdot (v' - v)|^2 d\sigma \\ &\geq 2\pi(a_1^2 + a_2^2) |v|^2 |v - v_*|^2 1_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

If $(v, v_*) \in B(\epsilon, \delta)$, then $|v - v_*| \geq 8/\pi \geq 1$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) &\geq 2\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (a_1^2 + a_2^2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |v|^2 1_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon) \mu(v) f_*^2 dv dv_* \\ &= 2\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (1 - (\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v_*}{|v_*|})^2) |v_*|^2 |v - v_*|^\gamma |v|^2 (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon) 1_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} \mu(v) f_*^2 dv dv_*, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$ and the law of sines

$$(1 - (\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v_*}{|v_*|})^2)^{-1} |v - v_*|^2 = (1 - a_3^2)^{-1} |v_*|^2.$$

If $(v, v_*) \in B(\epsilon, \delta)$, one has $|v_*|/2 \leq |v - v_*| \leq 3|v_*|/2$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) &\geq 2\pi(3/2)^\gamma |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (1 - (\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v_*}{|v_*|})^2) |v_*|^{\gamma+2} |v|^2 (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon) 1_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} \mu(v) f_*^2 dv dv_* \\ &= 2\pi(3/2)^{\gamma} c_1 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (\ln(4\delta) - \ln|v_*| - \ln \epsilon) |v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_* \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where we denote $c_1 := \int (1 - (\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot \frac{v_*}{|v_*|})^2) |v|^2 \mu(v) 1_{|v| \leq 8/\pi} dv$.

Estimate $\mathcal{I}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta)$. Recalling $B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} = 1_{|v-v_*| \geq 1, \sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |v - v_*|^\gamma \sin^{-4}(\theta/2)$, $|v' - v| = |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v' - v|^4 f_*^2 d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \\ &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma+4} f_*^2 d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \\ &\leq (3/2)^{\gamma+4} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v_*|^{\gamma+4} f_*^2 d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we will use the following change of variables:

$$(\sigma = (\theta, \varphi), v) \rightarrow (\sigma = (\theta(\kappa), \varphi), v(\kappa)) \quad (2.37)$$

Here $\theta(\kappa)$ is the angle between $v(\kappa) - v_*$ and σ . In the change, one has

$$\theta/2 \leq \theta(\kappa) \leq \theta, \sin \theta \leq 2 \sin \theta(\kappa), |v - v_*|/\sqrt{2} \leq |v(\kappa) - v_*| \leq |v - v_*|. \quad (2.38)$$

From which together with $|\frac{\partial(v(\kappa), \theta(\kappa))}{\partial(v, \theta)}|^{-1} \leq (1 - \frac{\kappa}{2})^{-5} \leq 32$, we have

$$d\sigma dv = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dv \leq 2^6 \sin \theta(\kappa) d\theta(\kappa) d\varphi dv(\kappa) = 2^6 d\sigma dv(\kappa), \quad (2.39)$$

and $1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} \leq 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon, \sin(\theta(\kappa)/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} := 1_{C(\epsilon, \delta)}$. After the change, we get

$$\mathcal{I}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) \leq 2^6 (3/2)^{\gamma+4} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int 1_{C(\epsilon, \delta)} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v_*|^{\gamma+4} f_*^2 d\sigma dv(\kappa) dv_* d\kappa. \quad (2.40)$$

In the region $C(\epsilon, \delta)$, one has $\sin(\theta(\kappa)/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}$, then we have

$$\int 1_{A(\epsilon, \delta)} d\sigma \leq 2\pi \int_0^\pi 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} 1_{\sin(\theta(\kappa)/2) \leq 4\delta|v_*|^{-1}} \sin \theta(\kappa) d\theta(\kappa) = 2^6 \pi \times 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} \delta^2 |v_*|^{-2}.$$

Plugging which into (2.40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(\delta) &\leq 2^{12} \pi (3/2)^{\gamma+4} \delta^2 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa))|^2 |v_*|^{\gamma+2} f_*^2 dv(\kappa) dv_* d\kappa \\ &\leq 2^{12} \pi (3/2)^{\gamma+4} c_2 \delta^2 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} |v_*|^{\gamma+2} f_*^2 dv_*, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

where $c_2 = \int |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v)|^2 dv$. Plugging (2.36) and (2.41) into (2.34), thanks to $(\ln(4\delta) - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) \geq \ln 4$ when $|v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) &\geq (2^{-2} \pi (3/2)^\gamma c_1 - 2^{10} \pi (3/2)^{\gamma+4} c_2 (\ln 4)^{-1} \delta^2) \\ &\quad \times |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (\ln(4\delta) - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} |v_*|^{\gamma+2} f_*^2 dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, let $C_1 = 2^{-2} \pi (3/2)^\gamma c_1$, $C_2 = 2^{10} \pi (3/2)^{\gamma+4} c_2 (\ln 4)^{-1}$. We choose δ such that $C_2 \delta^2 = C_1/2$ and thus

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \geq (C_1/2) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (\ln(4\delta) - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} |v_*|^{\gamma+2} f_*^2 dv_*. \quad (2.42)$$

Step 2: $|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon$. Here $R \geq 1, \epsilon \leq 1/2$. By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} f_*^2 (\mu'^{1/2} - \mu^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1} 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 (\mu'^{1/2} - \mu^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &= \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 (\mu'^{1/2} - \mu^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 \mu d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - 2 \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 \mu'^{1/2} \mu^{1/2} d\sigma dv dv_* := \mathcal{J}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma}(R) - \mathcal{J}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma}(R). \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

By (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma}(R) &\geq 2\pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 \mu d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq 2\pi (3/2)^\gamma |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 \mu dv dv_* \\ &= 2\pi (3/2)^\gamma c_3 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

where $c_3 = \int 1_{|v| \leq 1} \mu dv$ and we use the following relation for $|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon \geq 2, |v| \leq 1$,

$$|v_*|/2 \leq |v - v_*| \leq 3|v_*|/2. \quad (2.45)$$

Since $\sin(\theta/2) \geq \epsilon$, there holds $|v'| + |v| \geq |v' - v| = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*| \geq \epsilon |v - v_*| \geq \epsilon(|v_*| - |v|)$, and then $|v'| + (1 + \epsilon)|v| \geq \epsilon |v_*| \geq R$. From which we have $R^2 \leq (|v'| + 2|v|)^2 \leq 8(|v'|^2 + |v|^2)$ and

$$\mu'^{1/2} \mu^{1/2} = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|v'|^2 + |v|^2}{4}} \leq (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{8}} e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}}. \quad (2.46)$$

Then by (2.46), the upper bound in (2.11), the lower bound in (2.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma}(R) &= 2 \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 \mu'^{1/2} \mu^{1/2} d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\leq 2(2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{8}} d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\leq 8\pi (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{8}} dv dv_* \\ &\leq 8\pi (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}} (1/2)^\gamma |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} 1_{|v| \leq 1} f_*^2 e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{8}} dv dv_* \\ &= 8\pi (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}} (1/2)^\gamma c_4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_*, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

where $c_4 = \int 1_{|v| \leq 1} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{8}} dv$. Plugging (2.44) and (2.47) into (2.43), we arrive at for any $\epsilon \leq 1/2, R \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \geq (C_3 - C_4 e^{-\frac{R^2}{26}}) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq R/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_*, \quad (2.48)$$

where $C_3 = 2\pi(3/2)^\gamma c_3, C_4 = 8\pi(2\pi)^{-3/2}(1/2)^\gamma c_4$.

Step 3: $|v_*| \geq \delta/\epsilon$. Note that the above estimate (2.48) is valid for any $R \geq 1$ and $\epsilon \leq 1/2$. For the fixed $\delta > 0$ in *Step 1*, we choose N large enough such that $N\delta \geq 1$ and $C_3 - C_4 e^{-\frac{(N\delta)^2}{26}} \geq C_3/2$. Taking $R = N\delta$ in (2.48), when ϵ is small such that $N\epsilon \leq 1/2$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\ln(N\epsilon)| \mathcal{N}^{N\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \geq (C_3/2) N^{-2} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq \delta/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_*. \quad (2.49)$$

Step 4: gain of the weight W^ϵ . When $|v_*| \geq 16/\pi \geq 4$, we get $|v_*|^2 \leq 1 + |v_*|^2 \leq \frac{17}{16} |v_*|^2$, which gives

$$|v_*|^{\gamma+2} \geq \min\{1, (17/16)^{-\gamma/2-1}\} \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2}, \quad |v_*|^\gamma \geq \min\{1, (17/16)^{-\gamma/2}\} \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma$$

For simplicity, set $C_1(\gamma) = \min\{1, (17/16)^{-\gamma/2-1}\}, C_2(\gamma) = \{1, (17/16)^{-\gamma/2}\}$. It is obvious $|f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \geq \int 1_{|v_*| \leq 16/\pi} \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma f_*^2 dv_*$. From these facts together with (2.42), (2.49), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 &\geq \int 1_{|v_*| \leq 16/\pi} \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma f_*^2 dv_* \\ &\quad + (C_1/4) C_1(\gamma) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (\ln(4\delta) - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2} 1_{16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_* \\ &\quad + (C_3/4) N^{-2} C_2(\gamma) |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma 1_{|v_*| \geq \delta/\epsilon} f_*^2 dv_*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

By (2.3), we have $1_{|v_*| \leq 16/\pi} W^\epsilon(v_*) \leq (1 + 16^2/\pi^2)^{1/2}$, which gives

$$1_{|v_*| \leq 16/\pi} \geq (1 + 16^2/\pi^2)^{-1} 1_{|v_*| \leq 16/\pi} (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*). \quad (2.51)$$

In the middle region $16/\pi \leq |v_*| \leq \delta/\epsilon$, we have

$$\langle v_* \rangle^2 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\ln(4\delta) - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) \geq \frac{\ln 4}{1 - \ln \delta} \langle v_* \rangle^2 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 - \ln |v_*| - \ln \epsilon) \geq \frac{\ln 4}{1 - \ln \delta} (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*). \quad (2.52)$$

In the large velocity region $|v_*| \geq \delta/\epsilon$, by (2.3), we have

$$|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \geq (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*). \quad (2.53)$$

Plugging (2.51), (2.52) and (2.53) into (2.50), we get

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 1}(f, \mu^{1/2}) + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \geq C(\gamma) |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2,$$

where $C(\gamma) = \min\{(1 + 16^2/\pi^2)^{-1}, (C_1/4) C_1(\gamma) \frac{\ln 4}{1 - \ln \delta}, (C_3/4) N^{-2} C_2(\gamma)\}$ is a positive constant depending only on γ . The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

We next show that the lower bound in Lemma 2.8 is sharp in the following sense.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $\eta \geq 0$,*

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}) \leq \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. Therefore it suffices to consider the upper bound of $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2}) &\lesssim \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/4} - \mu^{1/4})^2 (\mu^{1/2} + \mu^{1/2}) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/4} - \mu^{1/4})^2 \mu^{1/2} d\sigma dv dv_* + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/4} - \mu^{1/4})^2 \mu^{1/2} d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &:= \mathcal{K}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma}(f) + \mathcal{K}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma}(f). \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor expansion, one has $(\mu^{1/4} - \mu^{1/4})^2 \lesssim \min\{1, |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} \sim \min\{1, |v' - v_*|^2 \theta^2\}$. By Proposition 7.1, we have $\int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \min\{1, |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} d\sigma \sim |v - v_*|^2 1_{|v - v_*| \leq 2} + (W^\epsilon)^2(|v - v_*|) 1_{|v - v_*| \geq 2}$. From which

together with (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) &\lesssim \int f_*^2 1_{|v-v_*|\leq 2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+2} \mu^{1/2} dv dv_* \\ &\quad + \int f_*^2 1_{|v-v_*|\geq 2} |v-v_*|^\gamma (W^\epsilon)^2(v) (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*) \mu^{1/2} dv dv_* \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

The term $\mathcal{K}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f)$ can be similarly estimated by the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ (take $\kappa = 1$ in (2.37)). Indeed, one has $\mathcal{K}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) \lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos(2\theta')) |v'-v_*|^\gamma f_*^2(\mu'^{1/4} - \mu^{1/4})^2 \mu'^{1/2} d\sigma dv' dv_*$, where θ' is the angle between $v'-v_*$ and σ . With the fact $\theta' = \theta/2$, we also have

$$\int b^\epsilon(\cos(2\theta')) \min\{1, |v'-v_*|^2(\theta')^2\} d\sigma \lesssim 1_{|v'-v_*|\leq 2} |v'-v_*|^2 + 1_{|v'-v_*|\geq 2} (W^\epsilon)^2(v') (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*).$$

Thus by exactly the same argument as that for $\mathcal{K}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f)$, we have $\mathcal{K}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

2.3. Gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f)$. In what follows, we will focus on gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f)$. Our strategy can be concluded as follows:

- (1) gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$.
- (2) gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f)$ by reducing to $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$.
- (3) gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f)$ by reducing to $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f)$.

2.3.1. Gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$. We first show gain of Sobolev regularity. We recall from [1] that for $g \geq 0$ with $|g|_{L^1} \geq \delta > 0$ and $|g|_{L_1^1} \leq \lambda$,

$$\int b(\cos \theta) g_*(f' - f)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv + |f|_{L^2}^2 \geq C(\delta, \lambda) |a(D)f|_{L^2}^2,$$

where $a(\xi) := \int b(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) \min\{|\xi|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2), 1\} d\sigma + 1$. Thanks to Proposition 7.1, we get

Lemma 2.10. *Let g be a function such that $|g^2|_{L^1} \geq \delta > 0$, $|g^2|_{L_1^1} \leq \lambda < \infty$, then there exists a constant $C(\delta, \lambda)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f) + |f|_{L^2}^2 \geq C(\delta, \lambda) |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.54)$$

Next we want to derive gain of anisotropic regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$. In this part, we derive anisotropic regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f)$. To this end, our strategy is to apply the geometric decomposition in the frequency space. More precisely, we will use the following decomposition (see (2.59) in the proof of Lemma 2.13)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\xi^+) &= \left(\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) \right) + \left(\hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - \hat{f}(\xi^+) \right) \\ &= \text{spherical part} + \text{radial part}.\end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

We shall show that the “spherical part” produces anisotropic regularity. Namely,

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(f) := \int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})|^2 d\sigma d\xi$ where $\xi^+ = \frac{\xi + |\xi|\sigma}{2}$, then*

$$\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(f) + |f|_{L^2}^2 \sim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. Let $r = |\xi|$, $\tau = \xi/|\xi|$ and $\varsigma = \frac{\tau + \sigma}{|\tau + \sigma|}$, then $\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma = 2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1$ and $|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|} = r\varsigma$. For the change of variable $(\xi, \sigma) \rightarrow (r, \tau, \varsigma)$, one has $d\xi d\sigma = 4(\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma$. Let θ be the angle between τ and σ , then $2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} = |\tau - \sigma|$, $|\tau - \varsigma| = 2(1 - \cos \frac{\theta}{2})$ and thus $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{2} |\tau - \sigma| \leq |\tau - \varsigma| \leq |\tau - \sigma| = 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$. Therefore

$$|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\tau - \varsigma|^{-4} 1_{|\tau - \varsigma| \geq 2\epsilon} \leq b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^4 |\tau - \varsigma|^{-4} 1_{|\tau - \varsigma| \geq \epsilon}.$$

With the help of (7.7) in Proposition 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(f) + |f|_{L^2}^2 &= 4 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |\hat{f}(r\tau) - \hat{f}(r\varsigma)|^2 (\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma + |f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int |\hat{f}(r\tau) - \hat{f}(r\varsigma)|^2 |\tau - \varsigma|^{-4} 1_{|\tau - \varsigma| \geq \epsilon} r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma + |f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})\hat{f}|_{L^2}^2 + |\hat{f}|_{L^2}^2 = |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 7.3 and Plancherel's theorem in the last line. Thanks to (7.7) in Proposition 7.3 and Remark 7.1, we similarly get the \gtrsim direction. \square

The “radial part” in (2.55) is controllable by gain of W^ϵ in the phase and frequency space. Namely,

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) := \int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) \langle \xi \rangle^\gamma |\hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - \hat{f}(\xi^+)|^2 d\sigma d\xi$ with $\xi^+ = \frac{\xi + |\xi|\sigma}{2}$ and $\gamma \leq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: $\gamma = 0$. By the change of variable $(\xi, \sigma) \rightarrow (r, \tau, \varsigma)$ with $\xi = r\tau$ and $\varsigma = \frac{\sigma + \tau}{|\sigma + \tau|}$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,0}(f) = 4 \int b^\epsilon(2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1) |f(r\varsigma) - f((\tau \cdot \varsigma)r\varsigma)|^2 (\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma.$$

Let $u = r\varsigma$, and θ be the angle between τ and ς . Since $b^\epsilon(2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1) = b^\epsilon(\cos 2\theta) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \theta^{-4}$, and $r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma = \sin \theta du d\theta dS$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,0}(f) &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon/2}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |\hat{f}(u) - \hat{f}(u \cos \theta)|^2 du d\theta \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int \theta^{-3} |f(u) - f(u/\cos \theta)|^2 du d\theta \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Plancherel’s theorem and Lemma 7.4 in the last line.

Step 2: general cases. We reduce the general case to the special case $\gamma = 0$. For simplicity, we denote $w = |u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}$, then $W_\gamma(u) = W_\gamma(w)$. From which we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \rangle^\gamma (f(w) - f(u^+))^2 &= ((W_{\gamma/2}f)(w) - (W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+) + (W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+)(1 - W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+)))^2 \\ &\leq 2|(W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+) - (W_{\gamma/2}f)(w)|^2 + 2|(W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+)|^2 |1 - W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f) &\lesssim \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,0}(W_{\gamma/2}f) + \int b^\epsilon(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) |(W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+)|^2 |1 - W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+)|^2 d\sigma du \\ &:= \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,0}(W_{\gamma/2}f) + \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

By noticing that $|W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1| \lesssim \theta^2$, we have $|\mathcal{A}| \lesssim |W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2$, where the change of variable $u \rightarrow u^+$ is used. Together with the estimate in *Step 1*, we get the desired result. \square

Now we are in a position to get $|W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$ from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$.

Lemma 2.13. *For any smooth functions g, f , the follow two estimates hold true.*

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f) + |g|_{L_1^2}^2 |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |g|_{L_2^2}^2 |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim |g|_{L_2^2}^2 |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2, \quad (2.56)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f) \lesssim |g|_{L_2^2}^2 |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2 + |g|_{L_1^2}^2 |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |g|_{L_2^2}^2 |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.57)$$

Proof. By Bobylev’s formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) (\widehat{g^2}(0) |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\xi^+)|^2 + 2\Re((\widehat{g^2}(0) - \widehat{g^2}(\xi^-)) \hat{f}(\xi^+) \bar{\hat{f}}(\xi))) d\sigma d\xi \\ &:= \frac{|g|_{L_2^2}^2}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{I}_1 + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{I}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^+ = \frac{\xi + |\xi|\sigma}{2}$ and $\xi^- = \frac{\xi - |\xi|\sigma}{2}$. Thanks to the fact $\widehat{g^2}(0) - \widehat{g^2}(\xi^-) = \int (1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-)) g^2(v) dv$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_2| &= \left| \int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) (1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-)) g^2(v) \Re(\hat{f}(\xi^+) \bar{\hat{f}}(\xi)) d\sigma d\xi dv \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) (1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-)) g^2(v) |\hat{f}(\xi^+)|^2 d\sigma d\xi dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) (1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-)) g^2(v) |\bar{\hat{f}}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma d\xi dv \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-) \lesssim |v|^2 |\xi^-|^2 = \frac{1}{4} |v|^2 |\xi|^2 |\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \sigma|^2 \sim |v|^2 |\xi^+|^2 |\frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|} - \sigma|^2$, thus $1 - \cos(v \cdot \xi^-) \lesssim \min\{|v|^2 |\xi|^2 |\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \sigma|^2, 1\} \sim \min\{|v|^2 |\xi^+|^2 |\frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|} - \sigma|^2, 1\}$. By the fact $\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma = 2(\frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|} \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1$, and the change of variable $\xi \rightarrow \xi^+$, and the property $W^\epsilon(|v||\xi|) \lesssim W^\epsilon(|v|)W^\epsilon(|\xi|)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| \lesssim \int (W^\epsilon)^2(|v||\xi|) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 g^2(v) dv d\xi \lesssim |W^\epsilon g|_{L^2}^2 |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |g|_{L_1^2}^2 |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.58)$$

Now we set to investigate \mathcal{I}_1 . By the geometric decomposition

$$\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\xi^+) = \hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) + \hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - \hat{f}(\xi^+), \quad (2.59)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 = \int b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\xi^+)|^2 d\sigma d\xi &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})|^2 d\sigma d\xi \\ &\quad - \int b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) |\hat{f}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) - \hat{f}(\xi^+)|^2 d\sigma d\xi := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}_{1,1} - \mathcal{I}_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1} + |f|_{L^2}^2 \sim |W^\epsilon((- \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.60)$$

By Lemma 2.12 in the special case of $\gamma = 0$, there holds

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,2} \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.61)$$

Patching together (2.58), (2.61) and (2.60), we get (2.56) and (2.57). \square

2.3.2. Gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f)$. We first introduce some notations. Let χ be a smooth function such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi = 1$ on B_1 and $\text{Supp} \chi \subset B_2$. Here B_1 is the ball centered at origin with radius 1. B_2 is interpreted in a similar way. Let $\chi_R(v) := \chi(v/R)$, $\chi_{r,u}(v) := \chi_r(v-u)$ and $\phi_{R,r,u} := \chi_{7R} - \chi_{3r,u}$ for some $r, R > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The following lemma bounds $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f)$ by $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(g, f)$ from below once the distance between supports of g and f is larger than some multiples of η .

Lemma 2.14. *For $0 \leq \eta \leq r \leq 1 \leq R$, $u \in B_{7R}$, the following two estimates hold true.*

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f) + |g|_{L^2}^2 |f|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\chi_R g, (1 - \chi_{3R})f). \quad (2.62)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f) + r^{-2} R^2 |g|_{L^2}^2 |f|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\phi_{R,r,u} g, \chi_{r,u} f). \quad (2.63)$$

Proof. We proceed in the spirit of [15]. If $|v_*| \leq 2R$ and $|v| \geq 3R$, then $|v - v_*| \geq R \geq \eta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f) &= \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} g_*^2 (f' - f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\chi_R g)_*^2 (f' - f)^2 (1 - \chi_{3R})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\chi_R g)_*^2 (((1 - \chi_{3R})f)' - (1 - \chi_{3R})f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\chi_R g)_*^2 (f')^2 (\chi'_{3R} - \chi_{3R})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\chi_R g, (1 - \chi_{3R})f)$. Since $|\nabla \chi_{3R}|_{L^\infty} \lesssim R^{-1} |\nabla \chi|_{L^\infty} \lesssim R^{-1}$, we get $(\chi_R)_*^2 (\chi'_{3R} - \chi_{3R})^2 \lesssim R^{-2} |v' - v|^2 = R^{-2} |v - v_*|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2)$. If $|v_*| \leq 2R$, $|v| \geq 20R$, $\theta \leq \pi/2$, we have

$$|v' - v_*| = \cos(\theta/2) |v - v_*| \geq \cos(\theta/2) (|v| - |v_*|) \geq 9\sqrt{2}R.$$

Then we have $|v'| \geq |v' - v_*| - |v_*| \geq 9\sqrt{2}R - 2R \geq 6R$, which gives $\chi'_{3R} = 0$. Since $\theta \leq \pi/2$, we have

$$(\chi_R)_*^2 (\chi'_{3R} - \chi_{3R})^2 \leq 1_{|v| \leq 20R, |v_*| \leq 2R} R^{-2} |\nabla \chi|_{L^\infty}^2 |v - v_*|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2) \lesssim \sin^2(\theta/2).$$

By the change of variable $(v, \theta) \rightarrow (v', \theta/2)$ and using (2.13), we get

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \lesssim \int g_*^2 f^2 dv dv_* \lesssim |g|_{L^2}^2 |f|_{L^2}^2.$$

From which together with the fact $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\chi_R g, (1 - \chi_{3R})f)$, we get (2.62).

If $v \in \text{supp} \chi_{r,u}$, $v_* \in \text{supp} \phi_{R,r,u}$, then $|v - v_*| \geq r \geq \eta$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(g, f) &= \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} g_*^2 (f' - f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\phi_{R,r,u} g)_*^2 (f' - f)^2 \chi_{r,u}^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\phi_{R,r,u} g)_*^2 ((\chi_{r,u} f)' - \chi_{r,u} f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) (\phi_{R,r,u} g)_*^2 (f')^2 (\chi'_{r,u} - \chi_{r,u})^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\phi_{R,r,u}g, \chi_{r,u}f)$. Since $|\nabla\chi_{r,u}(v)| \lesssim r^{-1}|\nabla\chi|_{L^\infty}1_{r \leq |v-u| \leq 2r} \lesssim r^{-1}$, together with Taylor expansion, we get

$$|\chi'_{r,u} - \chi_{r,u}|^2 = \left| \int_0^1 \nabla\chi_{r,u}(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right|^2 \lesssim r^{-2}|v - v_*|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2) \int_0^1 1_{r \leq |v(\kappa) - u| \leq 2r} d\kappa.$$

For $u \in B_{7R}$, $|v_*| \leq 14R$, $r \leq |v(\kappa) - u| \leq 2r$, we have

$$|v - v_*| \leq \sqrt{2}|v(\kappa) - v_*| \leq \sqrt{2}|v(\kappa) - u| + \sqrt{2}|u - v_*| \leq 2\sqrt{2}r + \sqrt{2}(7R + 14R) \leq 23\sqrt{2}R,$$

and thus

$$(\phi_{R,r,u})_*^2(\chi'_{r,u} - \chi_{r,u})^2 \lesssim r^{-2}|v - v_*|^2 1_{|v(\kappa) - u| \sim r} \theta^2 \lesssim r^{-2}R^2 \sin^2(\theta/2).$$

By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ and (2.13), we get

$$\mathcal{J}_2 \lesssim r^{-2}R^2 \int g_*^2 f^2 dv dv_* \lesssim r^{-2}R^2 |g|_{L^2}^2 |f|_{L^2}^2.$$

From which together with the fact $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\phi_{R,r,u}g, \chi_{r,u}f)$, we get (2.63). \square

2.3.3. *Gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f)$.* To reduce $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}$, we introduce an intermediate quantity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h) := \int b^\epsilon(\cos\theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma g_*^2 (h' - h)^2 d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

The next lemma reduces $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}$ to $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}$.

Lemma 2.15. *For $\gamma \leq 0 \leq \eta$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} C_1 \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(W_{\gamma/2}g, W_{\gamma/2}f) - C_3 |g|_{L^2_{|\gamma/2+1|}}^2 |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 \\ & \leq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f) \leq 2C_2 \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(W_{-\gamma/2}g, W_{\gamma/2}f) + 2C_3 |g|_{L^2_{|\gamma/2+1|}}^2 |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are constants depending only on γ . They are universally bounded if $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$.

Proof. Set $F = W_{\gamma/2}f$. By definition, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f) = \int b^\epsilon(\cos\theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma g_*^2 ((W_{-\gamma/2}F)' - W_{-\gamma/2}F)^2 d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

We make the following decomposition

$$(W_{-\gamma/2}F)' - W_{-\gamma/2}F = (W_{-\gamma/2})'(F' - F) + F(W_{-\gamma/2}' - W_{-\gamma/2}) := A + B.$$

By $\frac{1}{2}A^2 - B^2 \leq (A+B)^2 \leq 2A^2 + 2B^2$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2 \leq \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f) \leq 2(\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &:= \int b^\epsilon(\cos\theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma g_*^2 W_{-\gamma}' (F' - F)^2 d\sigma dv dv_*, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &:= \int b^\epsilon(\cos\theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma g_*^2 F^2 (W_{-\gamma/2}' - W_{-\gamma/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle v_* \rangle^\gamma \lesssim \langle v_* - v' \rangle^\gamma \langle v' \rangle^{-\gamma} \lesssim \langle v_* \rangle^{-\gamma}$, we get

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(W_{\gamma/2}g, W_{\gamma/2}f) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_1 \lesssim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,\eta}(W_{-\gamma/2}g, W_{\gamma/2}f).$$

By Taylor expansion, one has $(W_{-\gamma/2}' - W_{-\gamma/2})^2 \lesssim \int \langle v(\kappa) \rangle^{-\gamma-2} |v - v_*|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2) d\kappa$. Note that

$$\langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma |v - v_*|^2 \langle v(\kappa) \rangle^{-\gamma-2} \lesssim \langle v - v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v(\kappa) \rangle^{-\gamma-2} \lesssim \langle v(\kappa) - v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v(\kappa) \rangle^{-\gamma-2} \lesssim \langle v_* \rangle^{|\gamma+2|}.$$

From which together with (2.13), we get

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \lesssim \int g_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^{|\gamma+2|} F^2 dv dv_* \lesssim |g|_{L^2_{|\gamma/2+1|}}^2 |F|_{L^2}^2.$$

Patching together the above estimates for \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 , we get the lemma. \square

If $\gamma \leq 0$, then $|v - v_*|^\gamma \geq \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$, and thus $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f) \geq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, f)$. From which together with Lemma 2.15, we have

Lemma 2.16. For $\gamma \leq 0 \leq \eta$, one has

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, f) + |g|_{L^2_{|\gamma/2+1|}}^2 |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 \geq C \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta}(W_{\gamma/2} g, W_{\gamma/2} f), \quad (2.65)$$

where C is a constant depending only on γ . The constant is universally bounded if $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$.

We are now ready to derive gain of regularity from $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f)$.

Lemma 2.17. For $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0 \leq \eta \leq 6^{-1} 2^{-7/6} e^{-1/6} := r_0$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 \gtrsim |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.66)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.67)$$

Making suitable combination, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.68)$$

Proof. Taking $g = \mu^{1/2}$ in Lemma 2.16, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta}(W_{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, W_{\gamma/2} f) \geq \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta}(W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, W_{\gamma/2} f).$$

Taking $g = W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}$, $f = W_{\gamma/2} f = F$ in Lemma 2.14, we have for $\eta \leq r \leq 1 \leq R$, $u \in B_{7R}$,

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta}(W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, F) + |F|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, (1 - \chi_{3R}) F). \quad (2.69)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta}(W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, F) + r^{-2} R^2 |F|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_{r, u} F). \quad (2.70)$$

Taking $R = 1$, then $\chi_R = \chi$, we get

$$|\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L^2}^2 = \int \chi^2 W_{-3} \mu dv \geq \frac{4\pi}{3} 2^{-3/2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-1/2} := \delta_*^2.$$

Recalling $\phi_{R, r, u} = \chi_{7R} - \chi_{3r, u}$ and $\chi_{7R} \geq \chi_R$, we have

$$\int \phi_{R, r, u}^2 W_{-3} \mu dv \geq \frac{1}{2} \int \chi_{7R}^2 W_{-3} \mu dv - \int \chi_{3r, u}^2 W_{-3} \mu dv \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_*^2 - \int \chi_{3r, u}^2 W_{-3} \mu dv.$$

Note that $\int \chi_{3r, u}^2 W_{-3} \mu dv \leq \frac{4\pi}{3} (6r)^3 (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} := C r^3$. By choosing r such that $C r^3 = \frac{1}{4} \delta_*^2$, we get

$$|\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L^2}^2 \geq \delta_*^2 / 4.$$

It is easy to check $r = 6^{-1} 2^{-7/6} e^{-1/6}$. Therefore we have

$$\min\{|\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L^2}, |\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L^2}\} \geq \delta_* / 2. \quad (2.71)$$

On the other hand, it is obvious to see

$$\max\{|\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L_1^2}, |\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}|_{L_1^2}\} \leq |\mu|_{L_2^1} := \lambda_*. \quad (2.72)$$

Thanks to (2.71) and (2.72), by Lemma 2.10, we get

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, (1 - \chi_{3R}) F) + |(1 - \chi_{3R}) F|_{L^2}^2 \geq C(\delta_* / 2, \lambda_*) |W^\epsilon(D)(1 - \chi_{3R}) F|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.73)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_{r, u} F) + |\chi_{r, u} F|_{L^2}^2 \geq C(\delta_* / 2, \lambda_*) |W^\epsilon(D) \chi_{r, u} F|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.74)$$

There is a finite cover of B_{6R} with open ball $B_r(u_j)$ for $u_j \in B_{6R}$. More precisely, there exists $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset B_{6R}$ such that $B_{6R} \subset \cup_{j=1}^N B_r(u_j)$, where $N \sim \frac{1}{r^3}$ is a universal constant. We then have $\chi_{3R} \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_{r, u_j}$ and thus $|W^\epsilon(D) \chi_{3R} F|_{L^2}^2 \leq N \sum_{j=1}^N |W^\epsilon(D) \chi_{r, u_j} F|_{L^2}^2$. From which together with (2.69), (2.70), (2.73), (2.74), we get for any $0 \leq \eta \leq r$,

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 \gtrsim r^8 |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since r is a universal constant, we get (2.66).

Thanks to (2.71) and (2.72), by (2.56) in Lemma 2.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\chi_R W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, (1 - \chi_{3R}) F) + \lambda_*^2 (|W^\epsilon(D)(1 - \chi_{3R}) F|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon(1 - \chi_{3R}) F|_{L^2}^2) \\ \gtrsim \delta_*^2 |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})(1 - \chi_{3R}) F|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, 0, 0}(\phi_{R, r, u} W_{-3/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_{r, u} F) + \lambda_*^2 (|W^\epsilon(D) \chi_{r, u} F|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon \chi_{r, u} F|_{L^2}^2) \gtrsim \delta_*^2 |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}) \chi_{r, u} f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then a similar argument yields (2.67). \square

2.4. Lower bound of $\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle$. Thanks to Lemma 2.8 and (2.68) in Lemma 2.17, we get

Lemma 2.18. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0 \leq \eta \leq r_0$ where r_0 is the constant in Lemma 2.17. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}) + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ & \gtrsim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}^2 = |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.75)$$

Now we are ready to prove the following coercivity estimate, which is a stronger version of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0 \leq \eta \leq r_0$. We have*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \gtrsim |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2.$$

Proof. We recall that $\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})$ corresponds to the anisotropic norm $||| \cdot |||$ introduced in [3]. By the proof of Proposition 2.16 in [3], there holds

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle \geq \frac{1}{10}(\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})) - \frac{3}{10} \left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*(f^2 - f'^2) d\sigma dv dv_* \right|.$$

If $\gamma = -3$, by (2.17) in the Cancellation Lemma 2.7 with $a = 1/2, p = \infty, q = 1$, we have

$$\left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*(f^2 - f'^2) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \leq C |\mu^{1/2} f^2|_{L^1} \leq C |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

If $\gamma > -3$, referring to [1], we have

$$\left| \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*(f^2 - f'^2) d\sigma dv dv_* \right| \leq C \int |v - v_*|^\gamma \mu_* f^2 dv dv_* \leq C |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Therefore we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle \geq \frac{1}{10}(\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2})) - C |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (2.76)$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (2.77)$$

Patching (2.76) and (2.77), we arrive at (2.1).

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} f, f \rangle + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \gtrsim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/2}). \quad (2.78)$$

From which together with Lemma 2.18, we finish the proof. \square

3. SPECTRAL GAP ESTIMATE

In this section, we will consider the spectral gap estimate of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$. As we explained in the introduction, it will yield Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. In order to get (1.51), we first prove the smallness of $\langle \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0} f, f \rangle$ when η is small.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, then*

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0} f, f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^3 |W^\epsilon(D)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. The null space of $\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}$ is \mathcal{N} , and $\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}$ is a self-join operator. Therefore it suffices to consider $f \in \mathcal{N}^\perp$. Similar to (2.2), we define $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h)$ with the restriction $|v - v_*| \leq \eta$. It is easy to check $\langle \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0} f, f \rangle \leq 2\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}(\mu^{1/2}, f) + 2\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: Estimate of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2})$. Recall

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2}) = \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v - v_*| \leq \eta} f_*^2 ((\mu^{1/2})' - \mu^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

By Taylor expansion, $|(\mu^{1/2})' - \mu^{1/2}| \leq |\nabla \mu^{1/2}|_{L^\infty} |v' - v| \lesssim |v - v_*| \theta$. From which together with (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0}(f, \mu^{1/2}) & \lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v - v_*| \leq \eta} f_*^2 |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ & \lesssim \int 1_{|v - v_*| \leq \eta} f_*^2 |v - v_*|^2 dv dv_* \lesssim \eta^5 |f|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Estimate of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon,0}(\mu^{1/2}, f)$. Recalling the decomposition $f = \mathfrak{F}_\phi f + \mathfrak{F}^\phi f$ in (1.63), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\epsilon,0}(\mu^{1/2}, f) &= \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* (f' - f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\leq 2 \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* ((\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)' - \mathfrak{F}_\phi f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + 2 \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* ((\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)' - \mathfrak{F}^\phi f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := 2I_{\text{low}} + 2I_{\text{high}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.1: Estimate of I_{high} . By $((\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)' - \mathfrak{F}^\phi f)^2 \leq 2((\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)')^2 + (\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)^2$, the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, the estimate (2.11) and the fact (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{high}} &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* (\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* (\mathfrak{F}^\phi f)^2 dv dv_* \lesssim \eta^3 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} |\mathfrak{F}^\phi f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \eta^3 |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.2: Estimate of I_{low} . Observe $((\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)' - \mathfrak{F}_\phi f)^2 = ((\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)')^2 - (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)^2 + 2\mathfrak{F}_\phi f(\mathfrak{F}_\phi f - (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)')$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{low}} &= \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* (((\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)')^2 - (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)^2) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + 2 \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* \mathfrak{F}_\phi f (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f - (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)') d\sigma dv dv_* := I_{\text{low,cancell}} + 2I_{\text{low,dyadic}}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $I_{\text{low,cancell}}$. Thanks to (2.13), by cancellation lemma in [1], we get

$$I_{\text{low,cancell}} \lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* (\mathfrak{F}_\phi f)^2 dv dv_* \lesssim \eta^3 |\mathfrak{F}_\phi f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \eta^3 |f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Estimate of $I_{\text{low,dyadic}}$. For simplicity, we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(g, h) := \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} \mu_* g(h' - h) d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

By the dyadic decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{low,dyadic}} &= -\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_\phi f, \mathfrak{F}_\phi f) = -\sum_{j,k=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi f, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi f) \\ &= -\sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi f, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi f) - \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi f, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

For simplicity, we set $F_k = \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi f$.

Case 1: $k < j$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(F_j, F_k) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \mu_* F_j ((F_k)' - F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} (1 - \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k)) \mu_* F_j ((F_k)' - F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* := \mathcal{Y}_1(F_j, F_k) + \mathcal{Y}_2(F_j, F_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider $\mathcal{Y}_1(F_j, F_k)$ in which $\epsilon \leq \sin(\theta/2) \leq \frac{4}{3} \times 2^{-k} \leq 2^{-k+1}$. By Taylor expansion,

$$(F_k)' - F_k = (\nabla F_k) \cdot (v' - v) + \int_0^1 \frac{1-\kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 F_k)(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\kappa.$$

We separate $\mathcal{Y}_1(F_j, F_k) = \mathcal{Y}_{1,1}(F_j, F_k) + \mathcal{Y}_{1,2}(F_j, F_k)$ according to the previous expansion with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{1,1}(F_j, F_k) &:= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \mu_* F_j (\nabla F_k) \cdot (v' - v) d\sigma dv dv_*, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{1,2}(F_j, F_k) &:= \int_0^1 \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \mu_* F_j \int_0^1 \frac{1-\kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 F_k)(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate $\mathcal{Y}_{1,1}(F_j, F_k)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) (v' - v) d\sigma \right| &= \left| \int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\sigma (v_* - v) \right| \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) |v_* - v|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_{1,1}(F_j, F_k)| &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}(|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*| \mu_* |F_j(\nabla F_k)| dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}(|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) 2^k |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We go to estimate $\mathcal{Y}_{1,2}(F_j, F_k)$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and the change (2.37)-(2.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_{1,2}(F_j, F_k)| &\lesssim \left| \int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^2 \mu_* F_j |(\nabla^2 F_k)(v(\kappa))| d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \right| \\ &\lesssim \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^2 \mu_* |F_j|^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^2 \mu_* |\nabla^2 F_k|^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}(|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) \eta^5 |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{H^2} \\ &\lesssim \eta^5 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}(|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) 2^{2k} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{Y}_{1,1}(F_j, F_k)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{1,2}(F_j, F_k)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{Y}_1(F_j, F_k)| \lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1}(|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + \ln 2) 2^{2k} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

We now turn to $\mathcal{Y}_2(F_j, F_k)$ in which $\theta \gtrsim 2^{-k}$. By Taylor expansion up to order 1, we have

$$|(F_k)' - F_k| = \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla(F_k))(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right| \lesssim \theta |v - v_*| \int_0^1 |(\nabla(F_k))(v(\kappa))| d\kappa.$$

Plugging the above inequality into the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_2(F_j, F_k)$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the change (2.37)-(2.39), and the fact $\int_{2^{-k}}^{\pi/2} \theta^{-2} d\theta \lesssim 2^k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_2(F_j, F_k)| &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon (1 - \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k)) \theta 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*| \mu_* |F_j(\nabla F_k)(v(\kappa))| d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*| \mu_* (F_j)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*| \mu_* |\nabla F_k|^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^k |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{H^1} \lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{2k} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{Y}_1(F_j, F_k)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_2(F_j, F_k)$, we have when $k < j$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(F_j, F_k) \lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2) 2^{2k} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Case 2: $j \leq k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(F_j, F_k) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \mu_* F_j ((F_k)' - F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \mu_* ((F_j F_k)' - F_j F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,0} \mu_* (F_j - (F_j)') (F_k)' d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &:= \mathcal{X}_1(F_j, F_k) + \mathcal{X}_2(F_j, F_k). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (3.1), using cancellation lemma in [1], we get

$$|\mathcal{X}_1(F_j, F_k)| \lesssim \eta^3 |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Similar to the estimate of $\mathcal{Y}(F_j, F_k)$ in Case 1 where $k < j$, here we apply Taylor expansion to F_j , similar to (3.4), we can get

$$|\mathcal{X}_2(F_j, F_k)| \lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2) 2^{2j} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Patching together, we get for $j \leq k$,

$$|\mathcal{Y}(F_j, F_k)| \lesssim \eta^4 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2) 2^{2j} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2} + \eta^3 |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.4) and (3.7), recalling (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{\text{low, dyadic}}| &\lesssim \eta^4 \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2k} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \eta^4 \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2j} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \eta^3 \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} |F_j|_{L^2} |F_k|_{L^2} \lesssim \eta^3 |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The lemma follows by patching together all the estimates. \square

Before giving the spectral gap result, we first introduce a special weight function U_δ defined by

$$U_\delta(v) := (1 + \delta^2 |v|^2)^{1/2} \geq \max\{\delta |v|, 1\}. \tag{3.9}$$

We remark that U_δ plays an important role in deriving (1.51) and here δ is a sufficiently small parameter. We recall the function χ and its dilation χ_R at the beginning of section 2.3.2 (right before Lemma 2.14).

Lemma 3.2. *Set $X(\gamma, R, \delta) := \delta^{-\gamma} \left((\chi_R)' (\chi_R)_* (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})' (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_* - \chi_R (\chi_R)_* U_\delta^{\gamma/2} (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_* \right)^2$ with $\gamma \leq 0 < \delta \leq 1 \leq R$, then*

$$X(\gamma, R, \delta) \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v_* \rangle^2 1_{|v| \leq 4R}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Recall that χ_R has support in $|v| \leq 2R$. If $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 \geq 8R^2$, then either $|v| \geq 2R$ or $|v_*| \geq 2R$, which implies $(\chi_R)_*^2 \chi_R^2 = 0$. Note that $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2$, then $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 \geq 8R^2$ also implies $(\chi_R)' (\chi_R)'_* = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$X(\gamma, R, \delta) = X(\gamma, R, \delta) 1_{|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 \leq 8R^2} \leq X(\gamma, R, \delta) 1_{|v| \leq 4R}. \tag{3.11}$$

By adding and subtracting terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\gamma, R, \delta) &\lesssim \delta^{-\gamma} ((\chi_R)' - \chi_R)^2 (\chi_R^2)'_* (U_\delta^\gamma)' (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* + \delta^{-\gamma} ((\chi_R)'_* - (\chi_R)_*)^2 \chi_R^2 (U_\delta^\gamma)' (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* \\
&\quad + \delta^{-\gamma} \chi_R^2 (\chi_R^2)_* (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* \left((U_\delta^{\gamma/2})' - (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_* \right)^2 + \delta^{-\gamma} \chi_R^2 (\chi_R^2)_* U_\delta^\gamma \left((U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_* - (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_* \right)^2 \\
&:= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of A_1 and A_2 . Since $\gamma \leq 0$ and $|v'_*|^2 + |v'|^2 = |v_*|^2 + |v|^2$, we derive

$$(U_\delta^\gamma)' (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* = (1 + \delta^2 |v'|^2 + \delta^2 |v'_*|^2 + \delta^4 |v'|^2 |v'_*|^2)^{\gamma/2} \leq (1 + \delta^2 |v|^2)^{\gamma/2},$$

which yields

$$\delta^{-\gamma} (U_\delta^\gamma)' (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* \leq (\delta^{-2} + |v|^2)^{\gamma/2} \leq \langle v \rangle^\gamma.$$

Since $|\nabla \chi_R| \lesssim R^{-1}$, $|v' - v| = |v'_* - v_*| = |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2)$, we get

$$((\chi_R)' - \chi_R)^2 + ((\chi_R)'_* - (\chi_R)_*)^2 \lesssim R^{-2} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 \lesssim R^{-2} \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^2 \langle v_* \rangle^2.$$

Therefore we deduce that $A_1 + A_2 \lesssim R^{-2} \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v_* \rangle^2$.

Estimate of A_3 and A_4 . We now go to estimate A_3 . Noting that $|\nabla U_\delta^{\gamma/2}| \lesssim \delta U_\delta^{\gamma/2}$, we get

$$((U_\delta^{\gamma/2})' - (U_\delta^{\gamma/2})'_*)^2 = \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla U_\delta^{\gamma/2})(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right|^2 \lesssim \delta^2 \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 \int_0^1 U_\delta^\gamma(v(\kappa)) d\kappa.$$

Thanks to $|v'_*|^2 + |v(\kappa)|^2 \sim |v|^2 + |v_*|^2$, we have $\delta^{-\gamma} (U_\delta^\gamma)'_* U_\delta^\gamma(v(\kappa)) \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma$, which gives $A_3 \lesssim \delta^2 \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v_* \rangle^2$. Similarly, we have $A_4 \lesssim \delta^2 \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v_* \rangle^2$.

Patching together the above estimates for A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 and (3.11), we arrive at (3.10). \square

Now we are in a position to prove the following spectral gap result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. There are three universal constants $\epsilon_0, \eta_0, \lambda_0 > 0$ (λ_0 is related to λ_1^ϵ in (1.25)), such that for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, 0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0$ and smooth function g , the following estimate holds true.*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g, g \rangle \geq \lambda_0 |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathbb{P}g = 0$ and then it suffices to prove $\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g, g \rangle \gtrsim |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2$. For brevity, we set

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) := 4\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} g, g \rangle, \quad \mathbb{A}(f, g) := (f_*g + fg_* - f'_*g' - f'g'_*), \quad \mathbb{F}(f, g) := \mathbb{A}^2(f, g).$$

With these notations, we have $J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) = \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, g) d\sigma dv dv_*$. Our proof is divided into four steps.
Step 1: Localization of $J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g)$. Due to (3.9) and the condition $\gamma \leq 0$, we get

$$|v - v_*|^{-\gamma} \leq C_\gamma \delta^\gamma ((\delta|v|)^{-\gamma} + (\delta|v_*|)^{-\gamma}) \leq 2C_\gamma \delta^\gamma U_\delta^{-\gamma}(v) U_\delta^{-\gamma}(v_*),$$

which gives $|v - v_*|^\gamma \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} U_\delta^\gamma(v) U_\delta^\gamma(v_*)$ and thus

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \chi_R^2(\chi_R^2)_* U_\delta^\gamma(U_\delta^\gamma)_* \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, g) d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

We move the function $\chi_R^2(\chi_R^2)_* U_\delta^\gamma(U_\delta^\gamma)_*$ inside $\mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, g)$, which leads to $\mathbb{F}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)$ with some correction terms. For simplicity, set $h = \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}$, $f = \mu^{1/2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_R^2(\chi_R^2)_* U_\delta^\gamma(U_\delta^\gamma)_* \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, g) &= h_*^2 h^2 \mathbb{F}(f, g) = (hh_*(f_*g + fg_*) - hh_*(f'_*g' + f'g'_*))^2 \\ &= (hh_*(f_*g + fg_*) - h'h'_*(f'_*g' + f'g'_*) + (h'h'_* - hh_*)(f'_*g' + f'g'_*))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (hh_*(f_*g + fg_*) - h'h'_*(f'_*g' + f'g'_*))^2 - (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (f'_*g' + f'g'_*)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{F}(hf, hg) - (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (f'_*g' + f'g'_*)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

From which we get

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \mathbb{F}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (f'_*g' + f'g'_*)^2 d\sigma dv dv_*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We now move $\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}$ before $\mu^{1/2}$ out of $\mathbb{F}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)$, which leads to $\mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)$ with some correction terms. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) &= \mathbb{A}^2(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) \\ &= \left(\mathbb{A}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) - \mathbb{A}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}^2(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) - \mathbb{A}^2((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) - \mathbb{F}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By symmetry, we have

$$\int b^\epsilon (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (f'_*g' + f'g'_*)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \leq 4 \int b^\epsilon (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 f_*^2 g^2 d\sigma dv dv_*. \quad (3.16)$$

Thanks to (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{4} \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon \mathbb{F}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - 4\delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 f_*^2 g^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := \frac{1}{4} J_1 - \frac{1}{2} J_2 - 4J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Step 2: Estimates of J_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). We will give the estimates term by term.

Lower bound of J_1 . We claim that for $\epsilon \leq 64^{-1} R^{-2}$ and some universal constant C ,

$$J_1 \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} |g|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 - C(\eta^3 + \delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Thanks to (1.47), (1.25) and (2.13), for any smooth function F , we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, 0, 0} F, F \rangle \geq \lambda_1^\epsilon |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})F|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})F|_{L^2}^2.$$

From which together with Lemma 3.1, for some universal constant C , we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, 0, \eta} F, F \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, 0, 0} F, F \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, 0} F, F \rangle \gtrsim |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})F|_{L^2}^2 - C\eta^3 |W^\epsilon(D)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})F|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Applying (3.19) with $F = \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g$, and using $(a - b)^2 \geq a^2/2 - b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* = 4\delta^{-\gamma} \langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,0,\eta} \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g \rangle \\
&\gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 - C\eta^3 \delta^{-\gamma} |W^\epsilon(D)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\gtrsim \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} |\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 - \delta^{-\gamma} |\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 - C\eta^3 \delta^{-\gamma} |W^\epsilon(D)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\gtrsim \frac{1}{4} \delta^{-\gamma} |U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} |(1 - \chi_R) U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 - \delta^{-\gamma} |\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad - C\eta^3 \delta^{-\gamma} |W^\epsilon(D)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 := J_{1,1} - J_{1,2} - J_{1,3} - J_{1,4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

- Since $\delta \leq 1$ and $\gamma \leq 0$, then $U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \geq W_{\gamma/2}$, which enables us to get the leading term

$$J_{1,1} \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \tag{3.21}$$

- Thanks to the fact $\delta^{-\gamma} U_\delta^\gamma \leq W_\gamma$ and $1 - \chi_R(v) = 0$ when $|v| \leq R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} |(1 - \chi_R) U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |(1 - \chi_R) W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim |1_{|v| \geq R} \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot) W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 + |(1 - \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot)) W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim R^{-2} |\phi(\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot) W_{\gamma/2+1} g|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon |(1 - \phi(\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot)) \epsilon^{-1/2} W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (R^{-2} + \epsilon) |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where we use (2.4) and (2.5) in the last inequality. By the assumption $\epsilon \leq 64^{-1} R^{-2}$, we have

$$J_{1,2} \lesssim R^{-2} |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.23}$$

- We now estimate $J_{1,3}$. Recalling (1.40) for the definition of \mathbb{P} and by the condition $\mathbb{P}g = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) = \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i \int e_i \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g dv = \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i \int e_i (\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} - 1) g dv.$$

Observing

$$1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \lesssim 1 - \chi_R + \delta |v| \chi_R, \tag{3.24}$$

and thus $e_i(1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \lesssim (\delta + R^{-1}) \mu^{1/4}$, we have $|\int e_i (\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} - 1) g dv| \lesssim (\delta + R^{-1}) |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2}$, which gives

$$J_{1,3} = \delta^{-\gamma} |\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \tag{3.25}$$

- Using Lemma 7.1 with $\Phi = \delta^{-\gamma/2} \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \in S_{1,0}^{\gamma/2}$ and $M = W^\epsilon \in S_{1,0}^1$, we have

$$J_{1,4} \leq C\eta^3 \delta^{-\gamma} |W^\epsilon(D)(\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \eta^3 |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} g|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Patching together the estimates (3.21), (3.23), (3.25), (3.26), we get (3.18).

Upper bound of J_2 . For simplicity, setting $f_\gamma = (1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}$, $g_\gamma = \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon \mathbb{F}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* = \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon \mathbb{F}(f_\gamma, g_\gamma) d\sigma dv dv_* \\
&\lesssim \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon (f_\gamma^2)_* (g_\gamma' - g_\gamma)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* + \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon (g_\gamma^2)_* (f_\gamma' - f_\gamma)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Thanks to (3.24), we have

$$(f_\gamma^2)_* = ((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2})_*^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \mu_*^{1/2}. \tag{3.28}$$

Plugging (3.28) into $J_{2,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon \mu_*^{1/2} (g_\gamma' - g_\gamma)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\
&= (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \delta^{-\gamma} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(\mu^{1/4}, g_\gamma) \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \delta^{-\gamma} |\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g|_{L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where we use (2.57) and Lemma 7.1 with $\Phi = \delta^{-\gamma/2} \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \in S_{1,0}^{\gamma/2}$ and $M = W^\epsilon \in S_{1,0}^1$.

By Taylor expansion up to order 1, $f'_\gamma - f_\gamma = \int_0^1 (\nabla f_\gamma)(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa$. From which together with

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla f_\gamma| &= |\nabla((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \mu^{1/2})| = |(1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2}) \nabla \mu^{1/2} - U_\delta^{\gamma/2} \mu^{1/2} \nabla \chi_R - \chi_R \mu^{1/2} \nabla U_\delta^{\gamma/2}| \\ &\lesssim \mu^{1/8} (\delta + R^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$|f'_\gamma - f_\gamma|^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \theta^2 \int_0^1 \mu^{1/4}(v(\kappa)) |v(\kappa) - v_*|^2 d\kappa. \quad (3.30)$$

Since $R \leq 8^{-1} \epsilon^{-1/2}$, by the change (2.37)-(2.39), and the fact (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,2} &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon \theta^2 (\chi_R U_\delta^{\gamma/2} g)_*^2 \mu^{1/4}(v(\kappa)) |v(\kappa) - v_*|^2 d\sigma dv(\kappa) dv_* d\kappa \\ &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |\chi_R W_{\gamma/2+1} g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |W_{\gamma/2} W^\epsilon g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Plugging the estimates (3.29) and (3.31) into (3.27), we get

$$J_2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.32)$$

Upper bound of J_3 . By Lemma 3.2, we have $\delta^{-\gamma} (h' h'_* - h h_*)^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v_* \rangle^2 1_{|v| \leq 4R}$. Since $8R \leq \epsilon^{-1/2}$, by (2.4), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \delta^{-\gamma} \int b^\epsilon (h' h'_* - h h_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \int b^\epsilon \theta^2 \langle v_* \rangle^2 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} \mu_* 1_{|v| \leq 4R} g^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |1_{|v| \leq 4R} W_{\gamma/2+1} g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |W_{\gamma/2} W^\epsilon g|_{L^2}^2 \leq (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Step 3: Case $-2 < \gamma < 0$. Plugging the estimates of J_1 in (3.18), J_2 in (3.32), J_3 in (3.33) into (3.17), for $\epsilon \leq 64^{-1} R^{-2}$, $0 < \delta < 1$, we get

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma} |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C(\eta^3 + \delta^2 + R^{-2}) |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2.$$

Choosing $R = \delta^{-1}$, $\eta = \delta^{2/3}$, for some universal constants C_1, C_2 , we have

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq C_1 \delta^{-\gamma} |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_2 \delta^2 |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.34)$$

By the coercivity estimate in Theorem 2.1, for some universal constants C_3, C_4 , we have

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq C_3 |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2 - C_4 |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (3.35)$$

Multiplying (3.35) by $C_5 \delta^2$ and adding the resulting inequality to (3.34), we get

$$(1 + C_5 \delta^2) J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq (C_1 \delta^{-\gamma} - C_4 C_5 \delta^2) |g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 + (C_3 C_5 - C_2) \delta^2 |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.36)$$

First take C_5 large enough such that $C_3 C_5 - C_2 \geq C_2$, for example let $C_5 = 2C_2/C_3$. Then take δ small enough such that $C_1 \delta^{-\gamma} - C_4 C_5 \delta^2 \geq 0$, for example, let $\delta = \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{C_4 C_5} \right)^{1/(2+\gamma)} = \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{1/(2+\gamma)}$. Then we get

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq C_2 \delta^2 |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2 = C_2 \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{2/(2+\gamma)} |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2, \quad (3.37)$$

for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 64^{-1} R^{-2} = 64^{-1} \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{2/(2+\gamma)}$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq \delta^{2/3} = \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{2/(6+3\gamma)}$.

Step 4: Case $-3 \leq \gamma \leq -2$. In this case, we take $-2 < \alpha, \beta < 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$. Replacing b^ϵ by $b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha$ and γ by β , similar to (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{4} \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha \mathbb{F}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}) \mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2} g) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad - 4 \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha (h' h'_* - h h_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 d\sigma dv dv_* := \frac{1}{4} J_1^{\alpha, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_2^{\alpha, \beta} - 4 J_3^{\alpha, \beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where $h := \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}$.

Lower bound of $J_1^{\alpha, \beta}$. Since $-2 < \alpha < 0$, we can use previous estimate (3.37) to get,

$$J_1^{\alpha, \beta} = \delta^{-\beta} J^{\epsilon, \alpha, \eta}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2} g) \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta} |W_{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2} g)|_{L^2}^2.$$

Using $(a - b)^2 \geq a^2/2 - b^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{\alpha,\beta} &\gtrsim \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-\beta}|W_{\alpha/2}U_\delta^{\beta/2}g|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{-\beta}|W_{\alpha/2}(1 - \chi_R)U_\delta^{\beta/2}g|_{L^2}^2 - \delta^{-\beta}|W_{\alpha/2}\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g)|_{L^2}^2 \\ &:= J_{1,1}^{\alpha,\beta} - J_{1,2}^{\alpha,\beta} - J_{1,3}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Thanks to $U_\delta \leq W$, one has $U_\delta^{\beta/2} \geq W_{\beta/2}$, and thus

$$J_{1,1}^{\alpha,\beta} \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta}|W_{\alpha/2}W_{\beta/2}g|_{L^2}^2 = \delta^{-\beta}|g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (3.40)$$

Thanks to $\delta^{-\beta}U_\delta^{\beta/2} \leq W_\beta$, similar to (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$J_{1,2}^{\alpha,\beta} \lesssim |W_{\alpha/2}(1 - \chi_R)W_{\beta/2}g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim R^{-2}|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}g|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.41)$$

Similar to (3.25), we get

$$J_{1,3}^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta}|W_{\alpha/2}\mathbb{P}(\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g)|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (3.42)$$

Plugging (3.40), (3.41), (3.42) into (3.39), we get

$$J_1^{\alpha,\beta} \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta}|g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C(\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.43)$$

Upper bound of $J_2^{\alpha,\beta}$. Now we analyze

$$J_2^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha \mathbb{F}((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2})\mu^{1/2}, \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g) d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

For simplicity, set $f_\beta = (1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2})\mu^{1/2}$, $g_\beta = \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha (f_\beta^2)_* (g'_\beta - g_\beta)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* + \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha (g_\beta^2)_* (f'_\beta - f_\beta)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &:= J_{2,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + J_{2,2}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Similar to (3.28), we get $f_\beta^2 = ((1 - \chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2})\mu^{1/2})^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\mu^{1/2}$. From which together with $\delta^{-\beta}U_\delta^{\beta/2} \leq W_{\beta/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,1}^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha \mu_*^{1/2} (g'_\beta - g_\beta)^2 d\sigma dv dv_* = (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\delta^{-\beta} \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,\alpha,0}(\mu^{1/4}, g_\beta) \\ &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\delta^{-\beta} |\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g|_{\epsilon,\alpha/2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where we use Lemma 7.1 with $\Phi = W_{\alpha/2}\delta^{-\beta/2}U_\delta^{\beta/2}\chi_R$ and $M = W^\epsilon$. Similar to (3.30), we have

$$|f'_\beta - f_\beta|^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\theta^2 \int_0^1 \mu^{1/4}(v(\kappa))|v(\kappa) - v_*|^2 d\kappa.$$

Thanks to $|v - v_*| \sim |v(\kappa) - v_*|$, since $8R \leq \epsilon^{-1/2}$, by the change (2.37)-(2.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,2}^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon \theta^2 (\chi_R U_\delta^{\beta/2}g)_*^2 \mu^{1/4}(v(\kappa))|v(\kappa) - v_*|^{2+\alpha} d\sigma dv(\kappa) dv_* d\kappa \\ &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|\chi_R W_{\gamma/2+1}g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Plugging (3.45) and (3.46) into (3.44), we get

$$J_2^{\alpha,\beta} \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.47)$$

Upper bound of $J_3^{\alpha,\beta}$. Recall $J_3^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta} \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 d\sigma dv dv_*$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\delta^{-\beta} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 = X(\beta, R, \delta) \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})\theta^2 \langle v_* \rangle^2 \langle v \rangle^{\beta+2} 1_{|v| \leq 4R}.$$

Thanks to $\int |v - v_*|^\alpha \langle v_* \rangle^2 \mu_* dv_* \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\alpha$, since $8R \leq \epsilon^{-1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_3^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2}) \int b^\epsilon |v - v_*|^\alpha \theta^2 \langle v_* \rangle^2 \langle v \rangle^{\beta+2} \mu_* 1_{|v| \leq 4R} g^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|1_{|\cdot| \leq 4R} W_{\gamma/2+1}g|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Plugging the estimates of $J_1^{\alpha,\beta}$ in (3.43), $J_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ in (3.47), $J_3^{\alpha,\beta}$ in (3.48) into (3.38), we get

$$J^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g) \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta}|g|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C(\delta^2 + R^{-2})|g|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2.$$

Choosing $R = \delta^{-1}$, for some universal constants C_6, C_7 , we get

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq C_6 \delta^{-\beta} |g|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 - C_7 \delta^2 |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2. \quad (3.49)$$

Together with coercivity estimate (3.35), thanks to $-2 < \beta < 0$, by a similar argument as in *Step 3*, similar to (3.37), we get for $-3 \leq \gamma \leq -2$,

$$J^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g) \geq C_7 \left(\frac{C_6 C_3}{2C_4 C_7} \right)^{2/(2+\beta)} |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2, \quad (3.50)$$

for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \min \left\{ 64^{-1} \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{2/(2+\alpha)}, 64^{-1} \left(\frac{C_6 C_3}{2C_4 C_7} \right)^{2/(2+\beta)} \right\}$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq \left(\frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^{2/(6+3\alpha)}$.

One can trace the proof to settle down two universal constants $\epsilon_0, \eta_0 > 0$ such that (3.12) holds true for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0$. One should not worry that the above constants could blow up if $\alpha, \beta \rightarrow -2$. Indeed, in *Step 3*, we can deal with $-7/4 \leq \gamma < 0$. Then in *Step 4*, we deal with $-3 \leq \gamma < -7/4$, where we can choose $-7/4 \leq \alpha, \beta < 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$. In this way, all the constants are universally bounded. \square

4. UPPER BOUND ESTIMATE

In this section, we will provide various upper bounds on the nonlinear operator Γ^ϵ and linear operator \mathcal{L}^ϵ . We recall the definition of $\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h)$ from (1.54), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) &= \mu^{-1/2} Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, \mu^{1/2} h) = \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) \mu_*^{1/2} (g'_* h' - g_* h) d\sigma dv_* \\ &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) ((\mu^{1/2} g'_*)' h' - (\mu^{1/2} g_*)' h) d\sigma dv_* \\ &\quad + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) (\mu_*^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*) g'_* h' d\sigma dv_* = Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, h) + I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), \end{aligned}$$

where for notational brevity, we set

$$I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) := \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) (\mu_*^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*) g'_* h' d\sigma dv_*. \quad (4.1)$$

We recall from (1.58) the operators $\Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}, Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}, \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}$ containing subscript η . Similar to (1.56) and (1.57), we can define $\mathcal{L}_{1, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma}, \mathcal{L}_{2, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma}$ through $\Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}$. We define $I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h)$ using kernel $B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(v - v_*, \sigma)$ in (1.58) in the way as in (4.1). When $\eta = 0$, we drop the superscript η for brevity. That is, $Q^{\epsilon, \gamma} := Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}, \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma} := \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}, I^{\epsilon, \gamma} := I^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0}$. With these notations in hand, we have

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h) = Q^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, h) + I^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) = Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, h) + I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h) = Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, h) + I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), \quad (4.4)$$

$$Q^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h) = Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) + Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h) = \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), \quad (4.6)$$

$$I^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h) = I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h) + I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} g = \mathcal{L}_{1, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma} g + \mathcal{L}_{2, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma} g. \quad (4.8)$$

Throughout this section, we assume $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. Our results on the upper bounds can be summarized in the following Table 1.

It is easy to see that $\langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), f \rangle$ and $\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), f \rangle$ involve the regular region $|v - v_*| \geq \eta$, while $\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle, \langle I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle, \langle -\mathcal{L}_{1, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma} h + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(f, h), h \rangle$ and $\langle -\mathcal{L}_{2, \eta}^{\epsilon, \gamma} f, h \rangle$ focus on the singular region $|v - v_*| \leq \eta$. We provide two types of estimates on these functionals because we will meet two cases for the nonlinear term Γ^ϵ when the standard energy method is applied. These two cases can be clarified as follows: $\langle \Gamma^\epsilon(f, \partial^\alpha f), \partial^\alpha f \rangle$ and $\langle \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial^{\alpha_1} f, \partial^{\alpha_2} f), \partial^\alpha f \rangle$, where $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$ and $|\alpha_2| < |\alpha|$.

- The first case corresponds to the highest order estimate of the solution. As we explained in section 1.4.3, the linear-quasilinear method will be employed. Technically we need to separate the integration domain into two regions: singular region and regular region. In this situation, all the upper bounds will depend on the parameter η .
- For the second case, since $|\alpha_2| < |\alpha|$, we have one more derivative freedom on the function $\partial^{\alpha_2} f$. In this situation, all the upper bounds are independent of the parameter η and allow more regularity.

TABLE 1. Results Summary

Functionals	Proposition or Theorem
$\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$	Proposition 4.1
$\langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$	Proposition 4.2
$\langle Q_{\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$	Proposition 4.3
$\langle I_{\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$	Proposition 4.4
$\langle -\mathcal{L}_{1,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}h + \Gamma_{\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h), h \rangle$	Proposition 4.5
$\langle -\mathcal{L}_{2,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}f, h \rangle$	Proposition 4.6
$\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$	Theorem 4.1
$\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$	Theorem 4.2
$\langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$	Theorem 4.3
$\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$	Theorem 4.4
$\langle \Gamma_{\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h) - \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma}h, h \rangle$	Theorem 4.5

4.1. **Upper bounds of $\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$ and $\langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$.** Thanks to (4.3), we have

$$\langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle = \langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/2}g, h), f \rangle + \langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle. \quad (4.9)$$

It suffices to consider $\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$ and $\langle I^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$ individually.

4.1.1. *Upper bound of $\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle$.* We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1$, $\mathcal{Y}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f) := \int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) |u|^{\gamma} 1_{|u| \geq \eta} h(u) [f(u^+) - f(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|})] d\sigma du$, then*

$$|\mathcal{Y}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} (|W^{\epsilon} W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2} + |W^{\epsilon}(D) W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2}) (|W^{\epsilon} W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2} + |W^{\epsilon}(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: without the term $|u|^{\gamma} 1_{|u| \geq \eta}$. For ease of notation, we denote $\mathcal{X}(h, f) := \int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) h(u) [f(u^+) - f(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|})] d\sigma du$. First applying dyadic decomposition in the phase space, we have

$$\mathcal{X}(h, f) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) (\tilde{\varphi}_k h)(u) [(\varphi_k f)(u^+) - (\varphi_k f)(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|})] d\sigma du := \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_k.$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_k = \sum_{|l-k| \leq 3} \varphi_l$. We split the proof into two cases: $2^k \geq 1/\epsilon$ and $2^k \leq 1/\epsilon$.

Case 1: $2^k \geq 1/\epsilon$. We first have

$$|\mathcal{X}_k| \leq \left(\int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) |(\tilde{\varphi}_k h)(u)|^2 d\sigma du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) (|(\varphi_k f)(u^+)|^2 + |(\varphi_k f)(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|})|^2) d\sigma du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the changes $u \rightarrow u^+$ and $u \rightarrow |u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}$, the estimate (2.11), we have $|\mathcal{X}_k| \lesssim |\log \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} |\tilde{\varphi}_k h|_{L^2} |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}$, which gives

$$|\sum_{2^k \geq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{X}_k| \lesssim \sum_{2^k \geq 1/\epsilon} |\log \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} |\tilde{\varphi}_k h|_{L^2} |\varphi_k f|_{L^2} \lesssim |W^{\epsilon} h|_{L^2} |W^{\epsilon} f|_{L^2}.$$

Case 2: $2^k \leq 1/\epsilon$. By Proposition 7.2 and the dyadic decomposition in the frequency space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_k &= \int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) [\widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}(\xi^+) - \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})] \overline{\widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi)} d\sigma d\xi \\ &= \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \int b^{\epsilon}(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) [(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(\xi^+) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})] (\overline{\widehat{\varphi_l \varphi_k f}})(\xi) d\sigma d\xi := \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_{k,l}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.1: $2^l \geq 1/\epsilon$. In this case, we have $|\mathcal{X}_{k,l}| \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} |\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} |\widehat{\varphi_l \varphi_k f}|_{L^2}$, which yields

$$\sum_{2^l \geq 1/\epsilon} |\mathcal{X}_{k,l}| \lesssim \sum_{2^l \geq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} |\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} |\widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k f}|_{L^2} \lesssim |W^{\epsilon}(D) \tilde{\varphi}_k h|_{L^2} |W^{\epsilon}(D) \varphi_k f|_{L^2}.$$

Then by Lemma 7.2, we have $\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \geq 1/\epsilon} |\mathcal{X}_{k,l}| \lesssim |W^{\epsilon}(D) h|_{L^2} |W^{\epsilon}(D) f|_{L^2}$.

Case 2.2: $2^l \leq 1/\epsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{k,l} &= \int b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) 1_{\theta \geq 2^{-\frac{k+l}{2}}} [(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(\xi^+) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})] (\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) d\sigma d\xi \\ &\quad + \int b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) 1_{\theta \leq 2^{-\frac{k+l}{2}}} [(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(\xi^+) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|})] (\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) d\sigma d\xi := \mathcal{X}_{k,l,1} + \mathcal{X}_{k,l,2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the similar argument as before, we have $|\mathcal{X}_{k,l,1}| \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{k+l} |\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\mathcal{X}_{k,l,1}| &\leq \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{2l} |\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{2k} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} |W^\epsilon(D) \tilde{\varphi}_k h|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{2k} |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |W^\epsilon(D) h|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor expansion, $(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(\xi^+) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|}) = (1 - \frac{1}{\cos \theta}) \int_0^1 (\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}))(\xi^+(\kappa)) \cdot \xi^+ d\kappa$, where $\xi^+(\kappa) = (1 - \kappa)|\xi| \frac{\xi^+}{|\xi^+|} + \kappa \xi^+$. From which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{X}_{k,l,2}| &= \left| \int_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b^\epsilon \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cos \theta}\right) 1_{\epsilon \leq \theta \leq 2^{-\frac{k+l}{2}}} (\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) (\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(\xi^+(\kappa))) \cdot \xi^+ d\kappa d\sigma d\xi \right| \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int_\epsilon^{2^{-\frac{k+l}{2}}} \theta^{-1} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi)|^2 d\theta d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\epsilon^{2^{-\frac{k+l}{2}}} \theta^{-1} |u|^2 |\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(u)|^2 d\theta du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2 \right) |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2} \left(\int |u|^2 |\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(u)|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2 \right) |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2} (|\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} + 2^l |\varphi_l v \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2}), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the change of variable $\xi \rightarrow u = \xi^+(\kappa)$ and the fact

$$\int |u|^2 |\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h})(u)|^2 du \lesssim |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{2l} |\varphi_l v \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2) \lesssim 1$, we have

$$\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2 \right) |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} \lesssim |f|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2}.$$

It is easy to check $|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2 \leq (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 2)^{1/2} (|\ln \epsilon| - l \ln 2 + 2)^{1/2}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(|\ln \epsilon| - \frac{k+l}{2} \ln 2 \right) 2^l |\varphi_l v \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - l \ln 2 + 2) 2^{2l} |\tilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 2) |\varphi_l v \widehat{\tilde{\varphi}_k h}|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} |W^\epsilon(D) \varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 2) |v \tilde{\varphi}_k h|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous two results, we have $\sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} |\mathcal{X}_{k,l,2}| \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2}$. Patching together all the above results, we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{X}(h, f)| \lesssim (|W^\epsilon h|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D) h|_{L^2}) (|W^\epsilon f|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2}). \quad (4.10)$$

Step 2: with the term $|u|^\gamma 1_{|u| \geq \eta}$. Observe that $|u|^\gamma 1_{|u| \geq \eta} = |u|^\gamma (1 - \phi(u)) + |u|^\gamma (\phi(u) - 1_{|u| < \eta})$. From which, we separate $\mathcal{Y}^{\epsilon, \gamma}(h, f)$ into two parts $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma}(h, f)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma}(h, f)$ which correspond to $|u|^\gamma (1 - \phi(u))$ and $|u|^\gamma (\phi(u) - 1_{|u| < \eta})$ respectively.

Estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)$. Set $H(u) := h\langle u \rangle^{-\gamma}|u|^\gamma(1 - \phi(u))$ and $w = |u|\frac{u^+}{|u^+|}$, then $W_{\gamma/2}(u) = W_{\gamma/2}(w)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \rangle^\gamma H(u)[f(u^+) - f(w)] &= (W_{\gamma/2}H)(u)[(W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+) - (W_{\gamma/2}f)(w)] \\ &\quad + (W_{\gamma/2}H)(u)(W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+)(W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

From which we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f) &= \mathcal{X}(W_{\gamma/2}H, W_{\gamma/2}f) + \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)(W_{\gamma/2}H)(u) \\ &\quad \times (W_{\gamma/2}f)(u^+)(W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1)d\sigma du := \mathcal{X}(W_{\gamma/2}H, W_{\gamma/2}f) + \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $|W_{\gamma/2}(u)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1| \lesssim \theta^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}| &= \left(\int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)|W_{\gamma/2}H|^2(u)|W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1|d\sigma du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)|W_{\gamma/2}f|^2(u^+)|W_{\gamma/2}(w)W_{-\gamma/2}(u^+) - 1|d\sigma du \right)^{1/2} \lesssim |W_{\gamma/2}H|_{L^2}|W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the change of variable $u \rightarrow u^+$ is used. Thanks to the result (4.10) in *Step 1* and Lemma 7.1 with $M = W^\epsilon$ and $\Phi = |\cdot|^\gamma(1 - \phi)(\cdot)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{Y}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)| \lesssim (|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}h|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}h|_{L^2})(|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}).$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)$. Since the support of $|u|^\gamma(\phi(u) - 1_{|u|<\eta})$ belongs to $\eta \lesssim u \lesssim 1$, we notice that

$$\mathcal{Y}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f) = \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)\tilde{W}(u)\tilde{H}(u)[\tilde{F}(u^+) - \tilde{F}(|u|\frac{u^+}{|u^+|})]d\sigma du, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\tilde{W}(u) := |u|^\gamma(\phi(u) - 1_{|u|<\eta})$, $\tilde{\phi}(u) := \phi(u/4)$, $\tilde{H} := \tilde{\phi}h$, $\tilde{F} := \tilde{\phi}f$. By the result (4.10) in *Step 1*, we derive that

$$|\mathcal{Y}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)| \lesssim (|W^\epsilon\tilde{W}\tilde{H}|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)\tilde{W}\tilde{H}|_{L^2})(|W^\epsilon\tilde{F}|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)\tilde{F}|_{L^2}).$$

First by $|\tilde{W}| \lesssim \phi(u)\eta^\gamma$, we have $|W^\epsilon\tilde{W}\tilde{H}|_{L^2} \lesssim \eta^\gamma|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}h|_{L^2}$. Next, let us focus on $W^\epsilon(D)(\tilde{W}\tilde{H})$. Note that for any $\Psi \in L^2$, there holds

$$\int \Psi W^\epsilon(D)(\tilde{W}\tilde{H})dv = \int \widehat{\Psi}(\xi)W^\epsilon(\xi)\widehat{\tilde{W}}(v)\widehat{\tilde{H}}(\xi - v)dvd\xi.$$

By (2.8), Fubini's theorem, $|\cdot|_{L^1} \lesssim |\cdot|_{L^2}$ and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \Psi W^\epsilon(D)(\tilde{W}\tilde{H})dv \right| &\lesssim |W^\epsilon\widehat{\tilde{W}}|_{L^1}|W^\epsilon\widehat{\tilde{H}}|_{L^2}|\Psi|_{L^2} \lesssim |\tilde{W}|_{H^3}|W^\epsilon(D)\tilde{H}|_{L^2}|\Psi|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3}|W^\epsilon(D)\tilde{H}|_{L^2}|\Psi|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From which we infer that $|W^\epsilon(D)(\tilde{W}\tilde{H})|_{L^2} \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3}|W^\epsilon(D)\tilde{H}|_{L^2}$. From which together with the support of \tilde{H} and \tilde{F} , and Lemma 7.1, we finally have

$$|\mathcal{Y}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma}(h, f)| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3}(|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}h|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}h|_{L^2})(|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D)W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L^2}).$$

We conclude the desired result by patching together all the estimates. \square

Now we are in a position to prove the following upper bound of $Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Fix $0 < \eta \leq 1$. For smooth functions g, h and f , there holds*

$$|\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3}|g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}}|h|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}|f|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}.$$

Proof. Recalling the translation operator T_{v_*} defined by $(T_{v_*}f)(v) = f(v_* + v)$. By geometric decomposition in the phase space, we have $\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, h), f \rangle = \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 &:= \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)|u|^\gamma 1_{|u| \geq \eta} g_*(T_{v_*}h)(u)((T_{v_*}f)(u^+) - (T_{v_*}f)(|u|\frac{u^+}{|u^+|}))d\sigma dv_* du, \\ \mathcal{D}_2 &:= \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right)|u|^\gamma 1_{|u| \geq \eta} g_*(T_{v_*}h)(u)((T_{v_*}f)(|u|\frac{u^+}{|u^+|}) - (T_{v_*}f)(u))d\sigma dv_* du. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that \mathcal{D}_1 represents the "radical" part and \mathcal{D}_2 stands for the "spherical" part.

Step 1: Estimate of \mathcal{D}_1 . By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_1| &\lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} \int |g_*| (|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} h|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} h|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad \times (|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} f|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} f|_{L^2}) dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$|W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} h|_{L^2} \lesssim W^\epsilon(v_*) W_{|\gamma|/2}(v_*) |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2} \lesssim W_{|\gamma|/2+1}(v_*) |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $W^\epsilon \in S_{1,0}^1$, $W_{\gamma/2} \in S_{1,0}^{\gamma/2}$, by (2.10) and Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} h|_{L^2} &\lesssim |W_{\gamma/2} W^\epsilon(D) T_{v_*} h|_{L^2} + |T_{v_*} h|_{H_{\gamma/2-1}^0} = |W_{\gamma/2} T_{v_*} W^\epsilon(D) h|_{L^2} + |T_{v_*} h|_{H_{\gamma/2-1}^0} \\ &\lesssim W_{|\gamma|/2}(v_*) (|W_{\gamma/2} W^\epsilon(D) h|_{L^2} + |h|_{L_{\gamma/2-1}^2}) \lesssim W_{|\gamma|/2}(v_*) |W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$|\mathcal{D}_1| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L_{|\gamma|+2}^1} (|W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} h|_{L^2}) (|W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2} + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}).$$

Step 2: Estimate of \mathcal{D}_2 . Let $u = r\tau$ and $\varsigma = \frac{\tau+\sigma}{|\tau+\sigma|}$, then $\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma = 2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1$ and $|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|} = r\varsigma$. By the change of variable $(u, \sigma) \rightarrow (r, \tau, \varsigma)$, one has $d\sigma du = 4(\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2 &= 4 \int r^\gamma (1 - \phi)(r) b^\epsilon(2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1) (T_{v_*} h)(r\tau) ((T_{v_*} f)(r\varsigma) - (T_{v_*} f)(r\tau)) (\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma dv_* \\ &= 2 \int r^\gamma (1 - \phi)(r) b^\epsilon(2(\tau \cdot \varsigma)^2 - 1) ((T_{v_*} h)(r\tau) - (T_{v_*} h)(r\varsigma)) \\ &\quad \times ((T_{v_*} f)(r\varsigma) - (T_{v_*} f)(r\tau)) (\tau \cdot \varsigma) r^2 dr d\tau d\varsigma dv_* \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right) |u|^{\gamma-1} 1_{|u| \geq \eta} g_* ((T_{v_*} h)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} h)(u)) \\ &\quad \times ((T_{v_*} f)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} f)(u)) d\sigma dv_* du. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the fact $|u|^{\gamma-1} 1_{|u| \geq \eta} \lesssim \eta^\gamma \langle u \rangle^\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_2| &\lesssim \eta^\gamma \left(\int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right) \langle u \rangle^\gamma |g_*| ((T_{v_*} h)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} h)(u))^2 d\sigma dv_* du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right) \langle u \rangle^\gamma |g_*| ((T_{v_*} f)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} f)(u))^2 d\sigma dv_* du \right)^{1/2} := \eta^\gamma (\mathcal{D}_{2,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_{2,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2,2}$ have exactly the same structure. It suffices to focus on $\mathcal{D}_{2,2}$. Since

$$((T_{v_*} f)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} f)(u))^2 \leq 2((T_{v_*} f)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} f)(u^+))^2 + 2((T_{v_*} f)(u^+) - (T_{v_*} f)(u))^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{2,2} &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right) \langle u \rangle^\gamma |g_*| ((T_{v_*} f)\left(|u| \frac{u^+}{|u^+|}\right) - (T_{v_*} f)(u^+))^2 d\sigma dv_* du \\ &\quad + \int b^\epsilon\left(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma\right) \langle u \rangle^\gamma |g_*| ((T_{v_*} f)(u^+) - (T_{v_*} f)(u))^2 d\sigma dv_* du := \mathcal{D}_{2,2,1} + \mathcal{D}_{2,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

- By Lemma 2.12, and the facts (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{2,2,1} \lesssim \int |g_*| \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,\gamma}(T_{v_*} f) dv_* \lesssim |g|_{L_{|\gamma|+2}^1} (|W^\epsilon(D) W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon W_{\gamma/2} f|_{L^2}^2).$$

- Observe that $\mathcal{D}_{2,2,2} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,0}(\sqrt{|g|}, f)$. By Lemma 2.15, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\epsilon,\gamma,0}(\sqrt{|g|}, f) \lesssim \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(W_{-\gamma/2} \sqrt{|g|}, W_{\gamma/2} f) + |g|_{L_{|\gamma|+2}^1} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

By (2.57) in Lemma 2.13, we get

$$\mathcal{N}^{\epsilon,0,0}(W_{-\gamma/2} \sqrt{|g|}, W_{\gamma/2} f) \lesssim |W_{-\gamma/2} \sqrt{|g|}|_{L_1^2}^2 |f|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2 \lesssim |g|_{L_{-\gamma+2}^1} |f|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2.$$

So we have $\mathcal{D}_{2,2,2} \lesssim |g|_{L_{|\gamma|+2}^1} |f|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2$.

Patching together the estimates for $\mathcal{D}_{2,2,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2,2,2}$, we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{2,2} \lesssim |g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2,$$

which yields $|\mathcal{D}_2| \lesssim \eta^\gamma (\mathcal{D}_{2,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_{2,2})^{1/2} \lesssim \eta^\gamma |g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}$.

We complete the proof by patching together the estimates of \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 . \square

4.1.2. *Upper bound of $\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), f \rangle$.* To implement the energy estimates for the nonlinear equations, in this subsection, we will give the upper bound of $\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle$ where

$$I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta) := \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(v - v_*, \sigma) ((\partial_\beta \mu^{1/2})_* - (\partial_\beta \mu^{1/2})'_*) g'_* h' d\sigma dv_*. \quad (4.14)$$

Let us deviate to explain why we consider the additional differential operator ∂_β . By binomial expansion, we have

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h) = \sum_{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h; \beta_0), \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\Gamma^\epsilon(g, h; \beta)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3} B^\epsilon(v - v_*, \sigma) (\partial_\beta \mu^{1/2})_* (g'_* h' - g_* h) d\sigma dv_*. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta) = Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g \partial_\beta \mu^{1/2}, h) + I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta). \quad (4.17)$$

This explains why we consider the general version $I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta)$.

By writing $\partial_\beta \mu^{1/2} = \mu^{1/2} P_\beta$ where P_β is a polynomial, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^{1/2} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/2} P_\beta)_* &= ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - (\mu^{1/4})_*) ((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*) + (\mu^{1/4})_* ((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*) \\ &\quad + (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_* ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - (\mu^{1/4})_*). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})((\mu^{1/8})'_* - (\mu^{1/8})_*)((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*) g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*)(\mu^{1/4} g)_* + ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - (\mu^{1/4})_*)(\mu^{1/4} P_\beta g)_* (h - h') f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*)(\mu^{1/4} g)_* + ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - (\mu^{1/4})_*)(\mu^{1/4} P_\beta g)_* h' f' d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *For any $0 < \eta \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, and smooth functions g, h and f , there holds for $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ with $s_1 + s_2 = 3/2 + \delta$,*

$$|\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/12} g|_{H^{s_1}} |\mu^{1/12} h|_{H^{s_2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} + \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

The \lesssim constant could depend on $|\beta|$.

Proof. Let us consider the $\beta = 0$ case since the following arguments also work when $|\beta| > 0$. There are three steps in the proof. We will indicate the main difference at the end of each step.

In the proof, we will frequently use the following fact:

$$((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 \lesssim \min\{1, |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} \sim \min\{1, |v' - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} \sim \min\{1, |v - v'_*|^2 \theta^2\}. \quad (4.19)$$

Step 1: Estimate of \mathcal{I}_1 . When $|\beta| = 0$, recall

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

Since $|v - v_*| \geq \eta$, we have

$$|v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v - v_*| \geq \eta} \lesssim \eta^\gamma \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma. \quad (4.20)$$

By (4.20) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_1| &\lesssim \eta^\gamma \left(\int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma ((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 g_*^2 h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma ((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 f'^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} := \eta^\gamma (\mathcal{I}_{1,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{I}_{1,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{1,1}$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{A} := \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma ((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 d\sigma \lesssim (W^\epsilon)^2(v) \langle v \rangle^\gamma, \quad (4.21)$$

which immediately gives $\mathcal{I}_{1,1} \lesssim |g|_{L^2}^2 |W^\epsilon h|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$. It remains to prove (4.21). Since $((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 \lesssim (\mu^{1/4})'_* + \mu_*^{1/4}$, we have $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &:= \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 d\sigma, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &:= \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma (\mu^{1/4})'_* ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.19), Proposition 7.1 and property (2.8), one has

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \lesssim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} (W^\epsilon)^2(v - v_*) \lesssim (W^\epsilon)^2(v) \langle v \rangle^\gamma. \quad (4.22)$$

As for \mathcal{A}_2 , thanks to $|v - v_*| \sim |v - v'_*|$ and thus $\langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \lesssim \langle v - v'_* \rangle^\gamma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \langle v'_* \rangle^{|\gamma|}$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) (\mu^{1/8})'_* \min\{1, |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} d\sigma.$$

- If $|v - v_*| \geq 10|v|$, then there holds $|v'_*| = |v'_* - v + v| \geq |v'_* - v| - |v| \geq (1/\sqrt{2} - 1/10)|v - v_*| \geq \frac{1}{5}|v - v_*|$, and thus $(\mu^{1/8})'_* \lesssim \mu^{1/200}(v - v_*)$, which yields

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \mu^{1/200}(v - v_*) (W^\epsilon)^2(v - v_*) \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma.$$

- If $|v - v_*| \leq 10|v|$, by Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \min\{1, |v|^2 \theta^2\} d\sigma \lesssim (W^\epsilon)^2(v) \langle v \rangle^\gamma.$$

Patching together the estimates of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 , we get the claim (4.21).

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{1,2}$. By the change of variable $(v, v_*, \sigma) \rightarrow (v', v'_*, \tau = (v - v_*)/|v - v_*|)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{1,2} &= \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma ((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 f^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\leq 2 \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 f^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + 2 \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma (\mu^{1/4})'_* ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 f^2 d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{I}_{1,2,1} + \mathcal{I}_{1,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.19), Proposition 7.1 and property (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{1,2,1} &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} \min\{1, |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2\} f^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \int \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \mu_*^{1/8} (W^\epsilon)^2(v) f^2 dv_* dv \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact $|v - v_*| \sim |v - v'_*|$, the change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'_*$, and the estimate (4.22) of \mathcal{A}_1 , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,2,2} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \langle v - v'_* \rangle^\gamma (\mu^{1/4})'_* \min\{1, |v - v'_*|^2 \theta^2\} f^2 d\sigma dv'_* dv \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Therefore we have $\mathcal{I}_{1,2} \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$. Patching together the estimates for $\mathcal{I}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{1,2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \lesssim \eta^\gamma |g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Since (4.19) also holds for $(\mu^{\frac{1}{4}} P_\beta)'_* - (\mu^{\frac{1}{4}} P_\beta)_*$, the above estimates in this step are also valid for the $|\beta| > 0$ case.

Step 2: Estimate of \mathcal{I}_2 . When $|\beta| = 0$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &= 2 \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4}) (\mu^{1/4} g)_* (h - h') f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} |(\mu^{1/4} g)_*| (h - h')^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 |(\mu^{1/4} g)_*| f'^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} := (\mathcal{I}_{2,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{I}_{2,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{2,1}$. Since $(h - h')^2 = h'^2 - h^2 - 2h(h' - h)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{2,1} &= \int B^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/4}g)_*(h'^2 - h^2)d\sigma dv_* dv - 2\langle Q^\epsilon(\mu^{1/4}g, h), h \rangle \\ &:= \mathcal{I}_{2,1,1} - 2\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/4}g, h), h \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.17) with $a = 1/6, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ in Cancellation Lemma 2.7, one has

$$|\mathcal{I}_{2,1,1}| \lesssim |\mu^{1/12}g|_{L^p} |\mu^{1/6}h^2|_{L^q} = |\mu^{1/12}g|_{L^p} |\mu^{1/12}h|_{L^{2q}}^2 \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/12}g|_{H^{s_1}} |\mu^{1/12}h|_{H^{s_2}}^2,$$

where $s_1 + s_2 = 3/2 + \delta$ and we use the Sobolev imbedding $|\cdot|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\cdot|_{H^{3/2+\delta}}$ with $\delta > 0$ and $|\cdot|_{L^p} \lesssim |\cdot|_{H^s}$ with $s/3 = 1/2 - 1/p$. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$|\langle Q^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\mu^{1/4}g, h), h \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |\mu^{1/4}g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}} |h|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2 \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2.$$

Patching together the previous two results, we get

$$|\mathcal{I}_{2,1}| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/12}g|_{H^{s_1}} |\mu^{1/12}h|_{H^{s_2}}^2 + \eta^{\gamma-3} |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2. \quad (4.25)$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{2,2}$. By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, and the estimate (4.22) of \mathcal{A}_1 , we have $\mathcal{I}_{2,2} \lesssim \eta^\gamma |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2$.

Patching the estimates for $\mathcal{I}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2,2}$, we get

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/12}g|_{H^{s_1}} |\mu^{1/12}h|_{H^{s_2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} + \eta^{\gamma-3} |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon,\gamma/2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

We remark that the $|\beta| > 0$ case can be dealt with in a similar way and there is no essential difference.

Step 3: Estimate of \mathcal{I}_3 . By the change of variables $(v, v_*) \rightarrow (v', v'_*)$ and $(v, v_*, \sigma) \rightarrow (v_*, v, -\sigma)$,

$$\mathcal{I}_3 = \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma (\mu^{1/4} - (\mu^{1/4})') (\mu^{1/4}g)' h_* f_* d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

We separate the integration domain into three parts, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$, where $E_1 = \{(\sigma, v_*, v) : |v - v_*| \geq 1/\epsilon\}$, $E_2 = \{(\sigma, v_*, v) : |v - v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon, |v - v_*|^{-1} \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}$, $E_3 = \{(\sigma, v_*, v) : |v - v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon, \epsilon \leq \theta \leq |v - v_*|^{-1}\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}_{3,1} + \mathcal{I}_{3,2} + \mathcal{I}_{3,3}$ where $\mathcal{I}_{3,i} = \int_{E_i} (\cdots) d\sigma dv_* dv$.

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{3,1}$. By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, the fact $|v' - v_*| \geq |v - v_*|/\sqrt{2}$ and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_{3,1}| &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v' - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)' h_* f_*| d\sigma dv_* dv' \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v' - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)' h_* f_*| dv_* dv'.\end{aligned}$$

On one hand, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v' - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)'| dv' \\ &\leq |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \left(\int |v' - v_*|^{2\gamma} 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)'| dv' \right)^{1/2} \lesssim |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma,\end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where we use $|v' - v_*|^{2\gamma} 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} \lesssim \langle v' - v_* \rangle^{2\gamma} 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq 1} \lesssim \langle v' \rangle^{2\gamma} \langle v_* \rangle^{2\gamma}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \int |v' - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)'| dv' \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)'| dv' \\ &\leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} \left(\int |v' - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq (\sqrt{2}\epsilon)^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4}g)'| dv' \right)^{1/2} \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

With estimates (4.26) and (4.27) in hand, since

$$\min\{|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2}, |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \langle v_* \rangle^2\} \lesssim (W^\epsilon)^2(v_*), \quad (4.28)$$

we have $|\mathcal{I}_{3,1}| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8}g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$.

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{3,2}$. By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ and the fact $|v' - v_*| \leq |v - v_*|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_{3,2}| &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) 1_{\theta \geq (\sqrt{2}|v' - v_*|)^{-1}} |v' - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v' - v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4}g)' h_* f_*| d\sigma dv_* dv' \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v' - v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4}g)' h_* f_*| dv_* dv',\end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where $\int_{(\sqrt{2}|v'-v_*|)^{-1}}^{\pi/2} \theta^{-3} d\theta \lesssim |v' - v_*|^2$ is used.

On one hand, similar to the argument in (4.27), we have

$$|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v'-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4} g)'| dv' \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2}. \quad (4.30)$$

On the other hand, if $|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon$, then $|v'| \geq |v_*| - |v' - v_*| \geq |v_*|/2 \geq 1/\epsilon$, which gives $\mu' \lesssim \mu_*^{1/4} \lesssim e^{-1/2\epsilon^2}$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} \int |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v'-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4} g)'| dv' \\ & \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} \left(\int |v' - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v'-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4})'| dv' \right)^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} \mu_*^{1/64} (\epsilon^{-1})^{\gamma+2+3/2} e^{-1/32\epsilon^2} \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} \mu_*^{1/64}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

With estimates (4.30) and (4.31) in hand, recalling (4.28), we have $|\mathcal{I}_{3,2}| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$.

Estimate of $\mathcal{I}_{3,3}$. By Taylor expansion, one has

$$\mu^{1/4} - (\mu^{1/4})' = (\nabla \mu^{1/4})(v') \cdot (v - v') + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - \kappa) [(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/4})(v(\kappa)) : (v - v') \otimes (v - v')] d\kappa,$$

where $v(\kappa) = v' + \kappa(v - v')$. For any fixed v_* , there holds

$$\int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon, \epsilon \leq \theta \leq |v-v_*|^{-1}} (\nabla \mu^{1/4})(v') \cdot (v - v') (\mu^{1/4} g)' d\sigma dv = 0.$$

By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, the fact $|v' - v_*| \geq |v - v_*|/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\nabla^2 \mu^{1/4}|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_{3,3}| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{E_3 \times [0,1]} b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon, \epsilon \leq \theta \leq |v-v_*|^{-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \times (1 - \kappa) [(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/4})(v(\kappa)) : (v - v') \otimes (v - v')] (\mu^{1/4} g)' h_* f_* d\kappa d\sigma dv_* dv \Big| \\ &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \theta^2 1_{|v'-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon, \epsilon \leq \theta \leq |v'-v_*|^{-1}} |(\mu^{1/4} g)' h_* f_*| d\sigma dv_* dv' \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v' - v_*|) |v' - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v'-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |(\mu^{1/4} g)' h_* f_*| dv_* dv'. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} |\mu^{1/4} g| dv \lesssim (W^\epsilon)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2},$$

which immediately gives $|\mathcal{I}_{3,3}| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, it suffices to prove

$$K(v_*) := |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|)^2 |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/4} dv \right)^{1/2} \lesssim (W^\epsilon)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma. \quad (4.32)$$

Case 1: $|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon$. Since $|v - v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon$, we have $|v - v_*| \leq |v_*|/2$ and thus $|v| \geq |v_*| - |v - v_*| \geq |v_*|/2$. Then we get $\mu \lesssim \mu_*^{1/4}$. On the other hand, since $|v|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|v - v_*|^2 - |v_*|^2$, we have

$$\mu \lesssim \mu^{1/2} (v - v_*) \mu_*^{-1}, \quad (4.33)$$

which gives $\mu^{1/4} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon, |v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \lesssim \mu^{1/128} (v - v_*) \mu_*^{1/64} 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon, |v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon}$. Plugging which into $K(v_*)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(v_*) 1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} &\lesssim \mu_*^{1/128} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|)^2 |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/128} (v - v_*) dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \mu_*^{1/128} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |u|)^2 |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/128} (u) du \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We separate the integration domain into two regions: $|u| \leq 1$ and $|u| \geq 1$.

- For the part $|u| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |u|)^2 |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1} \mu^{1/128} (u) du &\leq 2 \int (|\ln \epsilon|^2 + \ln^2 |u|) |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1} du \\ &\leq 2(C_1(\gamma) |\ln \epsilon|^2 + C_2(\gamma)) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^2. \end{aligned}$$

- where $C_1(\gamma) := \int |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1} du$ and $\int (\ln |u|)^2 |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1} du \leq C \int |u|^{2\gamma+7/2} 1_{|u| \leq 1} := C_2(\gamma)$.
- For the part $|u| \geq 1$, since $\ln |u| \geq 0$ and $\gamma < 0$ we have

$$\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |u|)^2 |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{1/\epsilon \leq |u| \leq 1} \mu^{1/128}(u) du \leq |\ln \epsilon|^2 \int |u|^4 1_{|u| \geq 1} \mu^{1/128}(u) du \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^2.$$

By these two estimates, we get $1_{|v_*| \geq 2/\epsilon} K(v_*) \lesssim \mu_*^{1/128} \lesssim (W^\epsilon)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma$.

Case 2: $1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon$. We separate the integration domain into two regions: $|v - v_*| \leq |v_*|/2 \leq 1/\epsilon$ and $|v - v_*| \geq |v_*|/2$. Using $\sqrt{A+B} \leq \sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon} K(v_*) &\leq 1_{1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|)^2 |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq |v_*|/2} \mu^{1/4} dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + 1_{1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|)^2 |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v_*|/2 \leq |v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/4} dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &:= K_1(v_*) + K_2(v_*). \end{aligned}$$

When $|v - v_*| \leq |v_*|/2$, we can follow the computation in *Case 1* to get $K_1(v_*) \lesssim \mu_*^{1/128}$. When $|v - v_*| \geq |v_*|/2$, then $|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*| \leq |\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v_*| + \ln 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(v_*) &\leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v_*| + \ln 2) \left(\int |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v_*|/2 \leq |v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/4} dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v_*| + \ln 2) \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2}. \end{aligned}$$

By $\mu_*^{1/128} \lesssim \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma$ and $1_{1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v_*| + \ln 2) \langle v_* \rangle^2 \lesssim (W^\epsilon)_*^2$, we get $1_{1 \leq |v_*| \leq 2/\epsilon} K(v_*) \lesssim (W^\epsilon)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma$.

Case 3: $|v_*| \leq 1$. By (4.33), we have $\mu \lesssim \mu^{1/2}(v - v_*)$. Plugging which into $K(v_*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{|v_*| \leq 1} K(v_*) &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |v - v_*|)^2 |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/8} (v - v_*) dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\int (|\ln \epsilon| - \ln |u|)^2 |u|^{2\gamma+4} 1_{|u| \leq 1/\epsilon} \mu^{1/8}(u) du \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the computation in *Case 1*, we get $1_{|v_*| \leq 1} K(v_*) \lesssim 1_{|v_*| \leq 1} \lesssim 1_{|v_*| \leq 1} (W^\epsilon)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma$.

Patching together the above three cases, we get (4.32).

By the above upper bounds of $\mathcal{I}_{3,1}, \mathcal{I}_{3,2}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{3,3}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_3| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon h|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

In the $|\beta| > 0$ case, \mathcal{I}_3 contains two parts. The first part involving $((\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)' - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)_*)(\mu^{1/4} g)_*$, by the change of variables $(v, v_*) \rightarrow (v', v'_*)$ and $(v, v_*, \sigma) \rightarrow (v_*, v, -\sigma)$, gives

$$\mathcal{I}_3 = \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta - (\mu^{1/4} P_\beta)') (\mu^{1/4} g)' h_* f_* d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

With the same decomposition as above according to E_1, E_2, E_3 , we have $\mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}_{3,1} + \mathcal{I}_{3,2} + \mathcal{I}_{3,3}$. In $\mathcal{I}_{3,1}, \mathcal{I}_{3,2}$, we can use $|\mu^{1/4} P_\beta| \lesssim 1$. In $\mathcal{I}_{3,3}$, we can use $|\nabla^2 \mu^{1/4} P_\beta| \lesssim 1$. The second part involving $P_\beta \mu^{1/4} g$ can be dealt with in the same way as the above for the case $|\beta| = 0$.

We end the proof by patching together the above estimates of $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ and \mathcal{I}_3 . \square

4.1.3. *Upper bound of $\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h)$.* We are now ready to give the upper bound for the inner product $\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle$.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $0 < \eta \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, and smooth functions g, h and f , there holds for $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ with $s_1 + s_2 = 3/2 + \delta$,*

$$|\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/12} g|_{H^{s_1}} |\mu^{1/12} h|_{H^{s_2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} + \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

Proof. Recalling from (4.17), we have

$$\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle = \langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(P_\beta \mu^{1/2} g, h), f \rangle + \langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle.$$

The theorem follows directly from Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2. \square

Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 0$ in Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.1. *For any $0 < \eta \leq 1$, and smooth functions h and f , there holds*

$$|\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}, h; \beta_0), f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

4.2. Upper bound of $\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$ and $\langle I_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$. We will provide two estimates for each functional. One allows us to make use of the smallness of η later, and the other is independent of η .

4.2.1. Upper bound of $Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}$. We give the upper bound of $Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$, $a \in [0, 1]$ and $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2 + \delta)$. Then for any smooth functions g, h and f , the following estimates are valid.*

$$|\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2}(\eta^\delta + \epsilon^{1/2})|\mu^{-2a}g|_{H^{3/2+\delta}}|\mu^{a/2}h|_{H^1}|W^\epsilon(D)\mu^{a/2}f|_{L^2}. \quad (4.34)$$

$$|\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2}|\mu^{-2a}g|_{H^{s_3}}|\mu^{a/2}h|_{H^{1+s_4}}|W^\epsilon(D)\mu^{a/2}f|_{L^2}. \quad (4.35)$$

Proof. Set $G = \mu^{-2a}g$, $F = \mu^{a/2}f$, $H = \mu^{a/2}h$. By the definition of $Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}$, we have

$$\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), f \rangle = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{2a}G)_* \mu^{-a/2}H((\mu^{-a/2}F)' - \mu^{-a/2}F) d\sigma dv dv_* := \mathcal{Y}(G, H, F).$$

By the decomposition $F = \mathfrak{F}_\phi F + \mathfrak{F}^\phi F$ and $H = \mathfrak{F}_\phi H + \mathfrak{F}^\phi H$, we have

$$\mathcal{Y}(G, H, F) = \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \mathcal{Y}(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F).$$

Step 1: $\mathcal{Y}(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$. In order to transfer regularity from $\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F$ to $\mu^{-a/2}H$, we rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{2a}G)_* \mu^{-a/2}H((\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F)' - \mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{2a}G)_* ((\mu^{-a/2}H\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F)' - \mu^{-a/2}H\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{2a}G)_* (\mu^{-a/2}H - (\mu^{-a/2}H)')(\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F)' d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &:= \mathcal{Y}_1(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) + \mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_1(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$. We will give two results on it.

(1) Using (2.20) in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_1(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| &\lesssim (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2})|G|_{L^\infty}(|W^\epsilon(D)H|_{L^2}|\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2} + |H|_{L^2}|W^\epsilon(D)\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2}(\eta + \epsilon^{1/2})|G|_{H^{3/2+\delta}}|W^\epsilon(D)H|_{L^2}|W^\epsilon(D)\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

(2) Using (2.17) in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$|\mathcal{Y}_1(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| \lesssim |G|_{L^p}|H\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^q} \lesssim |G|_{L^p}|H|_{L^r}|\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$. Taking $p, r = 2, \infty$ or $p, r = 3, 6$, by Sololev imbedding one has

$$|G|_{L^2}|H|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \delta^{-1/2}|G|_{H^0}|H|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} \text{ or } |G|_{L^3}|H|_{L^6} \lesssim |G|_{H^{1/2}}|H|_{H^1}.$$

Therefore we have for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$,

$$|\mathcal{Y}_1(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2}|G|_{H^{s_3}}|H|_{H^{1+s_4}}|\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2}. \quad (4.37)$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$. By Taylor expansion up to order 1,

$$|(\mu^{-a/2}H)' - \mu^{-a/2}H| = \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla(\mu^{-a/2}H))(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right| \lesssim \theta|v - v_*| \int_0^1 |(\nabla(\mu^{-a/2}H))(v(\kappa))| d\kappa,$$

and the fact

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla(\mu^{-a/2}H)| &= |\mu^{-a/2}\nabla H + H\nabla\mu^{-a/2}| \lesssim (1 + a|v|)\mu^{-a/2}(|\nabla H| + |H|) \\ &\lesssim (1 + a)\mu^{-a}(|\nabla H| + |H|), \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) &\lesssim (1 + a) \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \theta |v - v_*| |(\mu^{2a}G)_*| \mu^{-a}(v(\kappa)) \\ &\quad \times (|\nabla H(v(\kappa))| + |H(v(\kappa))|) |(\mu^{-a/2}\mathfrak{F}^\phi F)'| d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $|v_* - v(\kappa)| \leq 1$ and $|v_* - v'| \leq 1$, we can apply (2.29) to get $\mu^{2a}(v_*)\mu^{-a}(v(\kappa))\mu^{-a/2}(v') \leq e^{3a}$, which gives

$$\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) \leq C(1 + a)e^{3a} \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \theta |v - v_*| |G_*| (|\nabla H(v(\kappa))| + |H(v(\kappa))|) |(\mathfrak{F}^\phi F)'| d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, by the change (2.37)-(2.39), $\int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/2} \theta^{-2} d\theta \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$, and $|v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \leq |v - v_*|^{-2}$ when $|v - v_*| \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1-2\delta} G_*^2 (|\nabla H|^2 + H^2) dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-3+2\delta} |\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|^2 dv dv_* \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

It is easy to check that for $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, and for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, by Hardy inequality and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\int_{|v-v_*| \leq 1} |v - v_*|^{-1-2\delta} G_*^2 (|\nabla H|^2 + H^2) dv dv_* \lesssim |G|_{H^{s_3}}^2 |H|_{H^{1+s_4}}^2, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-3+2\delta} |\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|^2 dv dv_* \lesssim \delta^{-1} \eta^{2\delta} |\mathfrak{F}^\phi F|_{L^2}^2, \quad (4.41)$$

which yields

$$|\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\ln \epsilon|^{-1/2} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}. \quad (4.42)$$

Patching (4.36) and (4.42) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |G|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D)H|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Patching (4.37) and (4.42) together, for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, we get

$$|\mathcal{Y}(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}. \quad (4.44)$$

Step 2: $\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)$. Recall

$$\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{2a} G)_* (\mu^{-a/2} \mathfrak{F}^\phi H) ((\mu^{-a/2} \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)' - \mu^{-a/2} \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) d\sigma dv dv_*.$$

The analysis of term $\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)$ is similar to that of $\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$ in *Step 1*. In this step, we can apply Taylor expansion to function $\mu^{-a/2} \mathfrak{F}_\phi F$. Then similar to (4.39), we will get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)| &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1-2\delta} G_*^2 |\mathfrak{F}^\phi H|^2 dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-3+2\delta} (|\nabla \mathfrak{F}_\phi F|^2 + |\mathfrak{F}_\phi F|^2) dv dv_* \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Thanks to (4.40) and (4.41), for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |\mathfrak{F}^\phi H|_{H^{s_4}} |\mathfrak{F}_\phi F|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

where we use (2.7), (2.6) and (2.3) in the last line.

Step 3: $\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)$. We make dyadic decomposition in the frequency space and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) &= \sum_{j,k=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) \\ &= \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, set $H_j := \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H$, $F_k := \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F$.

Case 1: $k < j$. We will apply Taylor expansion to $\mu^{-a/2} F_k$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j ((\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' - \mu^{-a/2} F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (1 - \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k)) (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j ((\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' - \mu^{-a/2} F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &:= \mathcal{X}_1(G, H_j, F_k) + \mathcal{X}_2(G, H_j, F_k). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{X}_1(G, H_j, F_k)$. We remind the reader that in this case $\epsilon \lesssim \theta \lesssim 2^{-k}$. By Taylor expansion up to order 2,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' - \mu^{-a/2} F_k &= (\nabla(\mu^{-a/2} F_k)) \cdot (v' - v) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{1-\kappa}{2} (\nabla^2(\mu^{-a/2} F_k))(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{X}_1(G, H_j, F_k) = \mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k) + \mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k)$ according to the previous expansion with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k) &:= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j (\nabla(\mu^{-a/2} F_k)) \cdot (v' - v) d\sigma dv dv_*, \\ \mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k) &:= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j \\ &\quad \times \frac{1-\kappa}{2} [(\nabla^2(\mu^{-a/2} F_k))(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v)] d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k)$.* Plugging (3.3) into $\mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k) &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) \left| \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j |(\nabla \mu^{-a/2} F_k)| dv dv_* \right. \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-2} G_* H_j (|F_k| + |\nabla F_k|) dv dv_*, \end{aligned}$$

where we use (4.38) and (2.29). Thanks to (4.40) and (4.41), for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) 2^k \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k)$.* By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the change (2.37)-(2.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k) &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \\ &\quad \times |(\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j (\nabla^2 \mu^{-a/2} F_k)(v(\kappa))| d\sigma dv dv_* d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1} (\mu^{2a} G)_* (\mu^{-a/2} H_j)^2 d\theta dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int b^\epsilon \phi(\sin(\theta/2)/2^k) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1} (\mu^{2a} G)_* |(\nabla^2 \mu^{-a/2} F_k)|^2 d\theta dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1-2\delta} |G_*|^2 H_j^2 dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1+2\delta} (|\nabla^2 F_k|^2 + |\nabla F_k|^2 + |F_k|^2) dv dv_* \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $|\nabla^2(\mu^{-a/2} F)| \lesssim (1+a^2) \mu^{-a} (|\nabla^2 F| + |\nabla F| + |F|)$ and (2.29). Thanks to (4.40) and (4.41), we get

$$\mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) 2^{2k} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{X}_{1,1}(G, H_j, F_k)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{1,2}(G, H_j, F_k)$, we get

$$\mathcal{X}_1(G, H_j, F_k) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1) 2^{2k} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{X}_2(G, H_j, F_k)$. In this case, one has $\theta \gtrsim 2^{-k}$. By Taylor expansion up to order 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' - \mu^{-a/2} F_k| &= \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla(\mu^{-a/2} F_k))(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right| \\ &\lesssim \theta |v - v_*| \int_0^1 |(\nabla(\mu^{-a/2} F_k))(v(\kappa))| d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging which into $\mathcal{X}_2(G, H_j, F_k)$, since $\int_{2^{-k}}^{\pi/2} \theta^{-2} d\theta \lesssim 2^k$, by similar computation as in (4.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_2(G, H_j, F_k) &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^k \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1-2\delta} |G_*|^2 H_j^2 dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-3+2\delta} (|\nabla F_k|^2 + |F_k|^2) dv dv_* \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$,

$$\mathcal{X}_2(G, H_j, F_k) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} 2^{2k} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

To summarize, we have when $k < j$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k) \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2) 2^{2k} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (4.47)$$

Case 2: $j \leq k$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{2a} G)_* \mu^{-a/2} H_j ((\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' - \mu^{-a/2} F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{2a} G)_* ((\mu^{-a} H_j F_k)' - \mu^{-a} H_j F_k) d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{2a} G)_* (\mu^{-a/2} H_j - (\mu^{-a/2} H_j)') (\mu^{-a/2} F_k)' d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_1(G, H_j, F_k) + \mathcal{Y}_2(G, H_j, F_k), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 are defined in *Step 1*. Since $\mathcal{Y}_1(G, H_j, F_k)$ is handled in *Step 1* and $\mathcal{Y}_2(G, H_j, F_k)$ enjoys almost the same argument as that for $\mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k)$ in the *Case 1* where $k < j$, we conclude from (4.36), (4.37) and (4.47) that for $j \leq k$,

$$|\mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2) 2^{2j} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \quad (4.48)$$

$$|\mathcal{Y}(G, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 + |\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2) 2^{2j} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \quad (4.49)$$

By (4.47) and (4.48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2k} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2j} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |G|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} |W^\epsilon(D) H_j|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D) F_k|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) H|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) F|_{L^2} \quad (4.50) \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |G|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D) H|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D) F|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (4.47) and (4.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) H|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) F|_{L^2} + \delta^{-1/2} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |F|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) F|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Patching all the estimates, we get the proposition. Indeed, patching together (4.43), (4.46) and (4.50), we get (4.34). Patching together (4.44), (4.46) and (4.51), we get (4.35). \square

4.2.2. Upper bound of $Q^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h)$. As a result of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3, we get

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$, $a \in [0, 1]$ and $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2 + \delta)$. Then for any smooth functions g, h and f , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} (\eta^\delta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{-2a} g|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |\mu^{a/2} h|_{H^1} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{a/2} f|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}, \\ |\langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{-2a} g|_{H^{s_3}} |\mu^{a/2} h|_{H^{1+s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{a/2} f|_{L^2} + |g|_{L^1_{|\gamma|+2}} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.3. *Upper bound of $\langle I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$.* We derive

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$ and $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2 + \delta)$. Then for any smooth functions g, h and f , there holds*

$$\langle I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} f|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the $\beta = 0$ case since the following arguments also work when we replace $\mu^{1/2}$ with $P_\beta \mu^{1/2}$. Recall

$$\langle I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv. \quad (4.52)$$

By setting $G = \mu^{1/16} g$, $H = \mu^{1/16} h$, $F = \mu^{1/16} f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* \mu^{-1/16} H (\mu^{-1/16} F)' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/16} H - (\mu^{-1/16} H)') (\mu^{-1/16} F)' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H F)' d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{A}(G, H, F) + \mathcal{B}(G, H, F). \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: $\mathcal{A}(G, H, F)$. By the decomposition $F = \mathfrak{F}_\phi F + \mathfrak{F}^\phi F$ and $H = \mathfrak{F}_\phi H + \mathfrak{F}^\phi H$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(G, H, F) = \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) + \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F).$$

Step 1.1: low-high, high-low, high-high. By Taylor expansion, we get

$$|(\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}| = \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\iota)) \cdot (v' - v) d\iota \right| \lesssim \theta |v - v_*| \int |\mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota))| d\iota,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(G, H, F)| &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*| \mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota)) |(\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/16} H - (\mu^{-1/16} H)') (\mu^{-1/16} F)'| d\sigma dv dv_* d\iota \\ &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*| \mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/16}(v_*) \mu^{-1/16}(v) \mu^{-1/16}(v') |G_* H F'| d\sigma dv dv_* d\iota \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*| \mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/16}(v_*) \mu^{-1/8}(v') |G_* H' F'| d\sigma dv dv_* d\iota. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|v - v_*| \leq \eta \leq 1$ yields $|v_* - v_*(\iota)| \leq 1$, $|v - v_*(\iota)| \leq 1$, $|v' - v_*(\iota)| \leq 1$. Then by (2.29), one has

$$\mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/16}(v_*) \mu^{-1/16}(v) \mu^{-1/16}(v') \lesssim 1, \mu^{1/4}(v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/16}(v_*) \mu^{-1/8}(v') \lesssim 1,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(G, H, F)| &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*| |G_*| (|H| + |H'|) |F'| d\sigma dv dv_* \\ &\lesssim \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*|^{2-2\delta} |G_*|^2 |H|^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2} \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta |v - v_*|^{2\delta} |F|^2 d\sigma dv dv_* \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$. Since $\int_\epsilon^{\pi/2} \theta^{-2} d\theta \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$, thanks to (4.40) and (4.41), for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, we derive

$$|\mathcal{A}(G, H, F)| \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{s_4}} |F|_{L^2}.$$

Taking $(H, F) = (\mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)$, or $(H, F) = (\mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$, or $(H, F) = (\mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)$, by (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F) + \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}^\phi H, \mathfrak{F}^\phi F)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) H|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) F|_{L^2}.$$

Step 1.2: low-low. We make dyadic decomposition in the frequency space and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) &= \sum_{j, k=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) \\ &= \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F) + \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} \mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let $H_j = \mathfrak{F}_j \mathfrak{F}_\phi H$, $F_k = \mathfrak{F}_k \mathfrak{F}_\phi F$.

Case 1: $j \leq k$. Let us first consider $\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)$ for $-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|$. Recall

$$\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k) = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/16} H_j - (\mu^{-1/16} H_j)') (\mu^{-1/16} F_k)' d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

By Taylor expansion up to order 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu^{-1/16} H_j - (\mu^{-1/16} H_j)'| &= \left| \int (\nabla \mu^{-1/16} H_j)(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right| \lesssim \theta |v - v_*| \int |(\nabla \mu^{-1/16} H_j)(v(\kappa))| d\kappa \\ |(\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}| &= \left| \int (\nabla \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\iota)) \cdot (v' - v) d\iota \right| \lesssim \theta |v - v_*| \int |(\nabla \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\iota))| d\iota. \end{aligned}$$

From which together with $|\nabla \mu^{-1/16} H_j| \lesssim \mu^{-1/8} (|H_j| + |\nabla H_j|)$ and $|\nabla \mu^{1/2}| \lesssim \mu^{1/3}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)| &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 \mu^{1/3} (v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/8} (v(\kappa)) \mu^{-1/16} (v_*) \mu^{-1/16} (v') \\ &\quad \times |G_*| (|(\nabla H_j)(v(\kappa))| + |H_j(v(\kappa))|) |F_k'| d\sigma dv_* dv d\kappa d\iota. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.29), we have $\mu^{1/3} (v_*(\iota)) \mu^{-1/8} (v(\kappa)) \mu^{-1/16} (v_*) \mu^{-1/16} (v') \lesssim 1$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)| &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 |G_*| (|(\nabla H_j)(v(\kappa))| + |H_j(v(\kappa))|) |F_k'| d\sigma dv_* dv d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^{2-2\delta} |G_*| (|\nabla H_j|^2 + |H_j|^2) d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^{2+2\delta} |F_k|^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

where we use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the change (2.37)-(2.39). Thanks to (4.40) and (4.41), for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, we derive that

$$|\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta 2^j |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2},$$

from which together with the fact $2^j \lesssim 2^{2j} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|}$, we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim 2^{2j} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}. \quad (4.53)$$

Case 2: $k < j$. Let us now consider $\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)$ for $-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k) &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/16} H_j - (\mu^{-1/16} H_j)') (\mu^{-1/16} F_k)' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k - (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k)') ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* \mu^{-1/16} H_j ((\mu^{-1/16} F_k)' - \mu^{-1/16} F_k) ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &:= \mathcal{A}_1(g, H_j, F_k) + \mathcal{A}_2(g, H_j, F_k). \end{aligned}$$

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_1(g, H_j, F_k)$.* By Taylor expansion, one has

$$(\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2} = (\nabla \mu^{1/2})_* \cdot (v'_* - v_*) + \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\kappa)) : (v'_* - v_*) \otimes (v'_* - v_*) d\kappa. \quad (4.54)$$

where $v_*(\kappa) = v_* + \kappa(v'_* - v_*)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_1(g, H_j, F_k) = \mathcal{A}_{1,1}(g, H_j, F_k) + \mathcal{A}_{1,2}(g, H_j, F_k)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1,1}(g, H_j, F_k) &:= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k - (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k)') (\nabla \mu^{1/2})_* \cdot (v'_* - v_*), \\ \mathcal{A}_{1,2}(g, H_j, F_k) &:= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k - (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k)') \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\kappa)) \\ &\quad : (v'_* - v_*) \otimes (v'_* - v_*) d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $v'_* - v_* = v - v'$ and the identities (see [4])

$$\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} f'(v' - v) d\sigma dv = 0, \quad (4.55)$$

$$\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (v' - v) d\sigma = \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \sin^2(\theta/2) d\sigma \right) (v_* - v), \quad (4.56)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}_{1,1}(g, H_j, F_k)| &= \left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k) (\nabla \mu^{1/2})_* \cdot (v' - v) d\sigma dv_* dv \right| \\
&= \left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k) (\nabla \mu^{1/2})_* \cdot (v_* - v) d\sigma dv_* dv \right| \\
&\lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-2} |G_* H_j F_k| dv_* dv \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (4.40) and (4.41). Similar to the estimate of \mathcal{A} in *Case 1*, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}_{1,2}(g, H_j, F_k)| &\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 |(\mu^{-1/16} G)_*| (|\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k| + |(\mu^{-1/8} H_j F_k)'|) \\
&\quad \times |(\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\kappa))| d\sigma dv_* dv d\kappa \\
&\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 |G_*| (|H_j F_k| + |(H_j F_k)'|) d\sigma dv_* dv \\
&\lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \leq \eta} |v - v_*|^{-1} |G_* H_j F_k| dv_* dv \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Patching together the previous two estimates, we have $|\mathcal{A}_1(g, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}$.

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_2(g, H_j, F_k)$.* Similar to the idea used to estimate $\mathcal{A}(G, F_k, H_j)$ in *Case 1*, we apply Taylor expansion to $\mu^{-1/16} F_k$ and get

$$|\mathcal{A}_2(g, H_j, F_k)| \lesssim 2^{2k} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.$$

Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{A}_1(g, H_j, F_k)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2(g, H_j, F_k)$, we have for $-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}(G, H_j, F_k)| &\lesssim 2^{2k} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

Patching together (4.53) and (4.57), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}(G, \mathfrak{F}_\phi H, \mathfrak{F}_\phi F)| &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2j} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - j \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} 2^{2k} \frac{|\ln \epsilon| - k \ln 2 + 1}{|\ln \epsilon|} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta \sum_{-1 \leq k < j \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H_j|_{H^{s_4}} |F_k|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |G|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D)H|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D)F|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

for $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$.

Step 2: Estimate of $\mathcal{B}(G, H, F)$. Recalling (4.54), thanks to (4.55), similar to the estimate of \mathcal{A} in *Case 1*, by the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{B}(G, H, F)| &\leq \left| \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (\mu^{-1/16} G)_* (\mu^{-1/8} H F)' (\nabla^2 \mu^{1/2})(v_*(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\sigma dv_* dv d\kappa \right| \\
&\lesssim \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} |v - v_*|^2 \theta^2 |G_* (H F)'| d\sigma dv_* dv \lesssim \eta^{1/2} |G|_{H^{s_3}} |H|_{H^{s_4}} |F|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Patching together the estimates in *Step 1* and *Step 2*, we finish the proof. \square

4.2.4. *Upper bound of $\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h), f \rangle$.* By Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.4, we get

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$ and $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2 + \delta)$. Then for any smooth functions g, h and f , the following two estimates are valid.*

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} + \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{1/2+\delta}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{H^1} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} \\
&\quad + \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{L^2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}, \\
|\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}} + \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{H^{1+s_4}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.5. *Upper bound of $\Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma}$.* Recalling (4.17), as a result of Theorem 4.2 with $a = 1/8$ and Theorem 4.3, we get

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$ and $(s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2 + \delta)$. Then for any smooth functions g, h and f , the following two estimates are valid.*

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + \delta^{-1/2} (\eta^\delta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{H^1} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{L^2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}, \\ |\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |\mu^{1/16} h|_{H^{1+s_4}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = 1/2$ in Theorem 4.4, we have

Corollary 4.2. *For any smooth functions h and f and any $\eta \in (0, 1]$, there holds*

$$|\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}, h; \beta_0), f \rangle| \lesssim (\eta^{\gamma-3} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + (\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{1/16} h|_{H^1}) |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

4.3. **Upper bound of $\langle \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} h, h \rangle$.** This is the core part of the linear-quasilinear method. Observe

$$\langle \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} h, h \rangle = \langle -\mathcal{L}_{1,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} h + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h), h \rangle + \langle -\mathcal{L}_{2,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} h, h \rangle.$$

We begin with

4.3.1. *Upper bound of $\langle -\mathcal{L}_{1,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} h + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h), h \rangle$.* We have

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$. For any smooth functions h and f with $\mu^{1/2} + f \geq 0$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\mathcal{L}_{1,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} h + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h), h \rangle &\lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} (\mu^{1/2} + f)|_{H^{1/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1/2} (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{1/4} (\mu^{1/2} + f)|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $F = \mu + \mu^{1/2} f$, $g = \mu^{1/2} + f$, then $\langle -\mathcal{L}_{1,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} h + \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h), h \rangle = \langle \mu^{-1/2} Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(F, \mu^{1/2} h), h \rangle$ and $F = \mu^{1/2} g \geq 0$. We make the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu^{-1/2} Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(F, \mu^{1/2} h), h \rangle &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} F_* \mu^{1/2} h ((\mu^{-1/2} h)' - \mu^{-1/2} h) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} F_* h (h' - h) d\sigma dv_* dv + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} g_* h h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality $2h(h' - h) \leq ((h')^2 - h^2)$ and the condition $F \geq 0$, we have

$$I_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} F_* ((h')^2 - h^2) d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

By Lemma 2.7, taking $a = 1/8$ in (2.20), we have

$$|\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} F_* ((h')^2 - h^2) d\sigma dv_* dv| \lesssim (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{-1/4} F|_{L^\infty} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}.$$

Recalling $F = \mu^{1/2} (\mu^{1/2} + f)$, one has $\mu^{-1/4} F = \mu^{1/4} (\mu^{1/2} + f)$ and thus

$$I_1 \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{1/4} (\mu^{1/2} + f)|_{H^{3/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}.$$

Observe that $I_2 = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} g_* h h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv = \langle I_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(g, h), h \rangle$. Then by Proposition 4.4, we have

$$|I_2| \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{1/2+\delta}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{L^2}^2.$$

Patching together the previous two inequalities, we finish the proof. \square

4.3.2. *Upper bound of $\langle -\mathcal{L}_{2,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} f, h \rangle$.* We have

Proposition 4.6. *Fix $0 < \eta \leq 1$. For any smooth functions f and h , there holds*

$$\langle -\mathcal{L}_{2,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} f, h \rangle \lesssim (\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle -\mathcal{L}_{2,\eta}^{\epsilon,\gamma} f, h \rangle &= \langle \mu^{-1/2} Q_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f, \mu), h \rangle = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f)_* \mu((\mu^{-1/2} h)' - \mu^{-1/2} h) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f)_* \mu^{1/2} (h' - h) d\sigma dv_* dv + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_* \mu^{1/2} h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &:= Y_1 + Y_2.\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate Y_1 . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}Y_1 &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f)_* \mu^{1/2} (h' - h) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f)_* ((\mu^{1/2} h)' - \mu^{1/2} h) d\sigma dv_* dv + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(\mu^{1/2} f)_* (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*) h' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= Y_{1,1} + Y_{1,2}.\end{aligned}$$

For $Y_{1,1}$, use (2.19) with $\delta = a = 0$ in the Lemma 2.7 to get

$$|Y_{1,1}| \lesssim (\eta + \epsilon^{1/2}) |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/2} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/2} h|_{L^2}.$$

Similar to the estimate of $\mathcal{B}(G, H, F)$ in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we have

$$|Y_{1,2}| \lesssim \eta^{1/2} |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}.$$

We turn to Y_2 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}Y_2 &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_* \mu^{1/2} h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_* (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*) h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv + \int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_* (\mu^{1/2})' h' ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &:= Y_{2,1} + Y_{2,2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimate of $\mathcal{B}(G, H, F)$ in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we get

$$|Y_{2,2}| \lesssim \eta^{1/2} |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|Y_{2,1}| &\leq \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} (h')^2 ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2})^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} h_*^2 (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

By Taylor expansion up to order 1, $(\mu^{1/2})' - \mu^{1/2} = \int_0^1 (\nabla \mu^{1/2})(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa$, and the change (2.37)-(2.39), and (2.29), we get

$$\int B_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} f_*^2 (\mu^{1/2} - (\mu^{1/2})'_*)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \lesssim \int f_*^2 \mu_*^{1/4} |v - v_*|^{-1} 1_{|v - v_*| \leq \eta} dv dv_* \lesssim \eta^2 |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2}^2,$$

which gives $|Y_{2,1}| \lesssim \eta^2 |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}$.

Patching together the above estimates, we finish the proof. \square

4.3.3. Quasilinear estimate. As a result of Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we have

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1]$. For any smooth functions h and f with $\mu^{1/2} + f \geq 0$, there holds*

$$\langle \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}(f, h) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} h, h \rangle \lesssim \delta^{-1/2} (\eta^\delta + \epsilon^{1/2}) (1 + |\mu^{1/16} f|_{H^{3/2+\delta}}) |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{L^2}^2.$$

4.3.4. Byproducts. In this part, we give some byproducts of previous results. We define

$$\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} g = \mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} g + \mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} g, \quad (4.58)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(\partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}, g; \beta_0), \mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta}(g, \partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}; \beta_0). \quad (4.59)$$

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\eta \geq 0$, there holds*

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1} f, h \rangle| \lesssim |\mu^{1/8} f|_{L^2} |\mu^{1/8} h|_{L^2}. \quad (4.60)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 4.6, so we omit the details. \square

By Corollary 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following lemma for upper bound of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta,\beta_0,\beta_1}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $0 < \eta \leq 1$, there holds*

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta, \beta_0, \beta_1} f, h \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \quad (4.61)$$

By Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following lemma for upper bound of $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1} = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, 0, \beta_0, \beta_1}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any $0 < \eta \leq 1$, there holds*

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1} f, h \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + (\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) |\mu^{1/16} f|_{H^1} |h|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \quad (4.62)$$

5. COMMUTATOR ESTIMATES

This section is devoted to the estimate of the commutator estimates between $\Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)$ and W_l , which are necessary for energy estimates in weighted Sobolev space. In this section, unless indicated otherwise, $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 0$ and g, h, f are suitable smooth functions.

5.1. Commutator estimates for $Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$. We first have

Proposition 5.1. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1, l \geq 2$, there holds*

$$|\langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, W_l h) - W_l Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, W_l h) - W_l Q^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(\mu^{1/2} g, h), f \rangle = \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(W_l - W'_l) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h' f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(W_l - W'_l) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h(f' - f) d\sigma dv_* dv + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(W_l - W'_l) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h f d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Estimate of \mathcal{A}_1 . By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1| &\leq \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/2} (f' - f)^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} (W_l - W'_l)^2 \mu_*^{1/2} g_*^2 h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &:= (\mathcal{A}_{1,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{A}_{1,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$ has the same structure as $\mathcal{I}_{2,1}$ in (4.24). Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 0$ in (4.25), we have $\mathcal{A}_{1,1} \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2$. It is easy to derive $\int b^\epsilon (W_l - W'_l)^2 d\sigma \lesssim |v - v_*|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2}$, which gives

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2} \mu_*^{1/2} g_*^2 h^2 dv_* dv.$$

If $\gamma + 2 \geq 0$, there holds $\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \lesssim |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2}^2 |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}}^2$. If $\gamma + 2 \leq 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \lesssim \eta^{\gamma+2} \int \langle v - v_* \rangle^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2} \mu_*^{1/2} g_*^2 h^2 dv_* dv \lesssim \eta^{\gamma+2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2}^2 |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}}^2.$$

Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1,2}$, we get $|\mathcal{A}_1| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}$.

Step 2: Estimate of \mathcal{A}_2 . By Taylor expansion, one has

$$W'_l - W_l = (\nabla W_l)(v) \cdot (v' - v) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - \kappa) (\nabla^2 W_l)(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) d\kappa,$$

where $v(\kappa) = v + \kappa(v' - v)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2 &= - \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} (\nabla W_l)(v) \cdot (v' - v) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h f d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} (1 - \kappa) (\nabla^2 W_l)(v(\kappa)) : (v' - v) \otimes (v' - v) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h f d\kappa d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{A}_{2,1} + \mathcal{A}_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$. Thanks to the fact (4.56), using $|(\nabla W_l)(v)| \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{l-1}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_{2,1}| \lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v \rangle^{l-1} \mu_*^{1/2} |g_* h f| d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

If $\gamma + 1 \geq 0$, there holds $|\mathcal{A}_{2,1}| \lesssim |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$. If $\gamma + 1 \leq 0$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_{2,1}| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma+1} \int \langle v - v_* \rangle^{\gamma+1} \langle v \rangle^{l-1} \mu_*^{1/2} |g_* h f| dv_* dv \lesssim \eta^{\gamma+1} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

Patching together the two cases, we get $|\mathcal{A}_{2,1}| \lesssim \eta^{(\gamma+1) \wedge 0} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$.

Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{2,2}$. Since $|(\nabla^2 W_l)(v(\kappa))| \lesssim \langle v(\kappa) \rangle^{l-2} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{l-2}$ and $|v' - v|^2 \lesssim \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_{2,2}| &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^2 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{l-2} \mu_*^{1/2} |g_* h f| d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \int 1_{|v-v_*| \geq \eta} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{l-2} \mu_*^{1/8} |g_* h f| dv_* dv. \end{aligned}$$

Similar as in the estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$, we have $|\mathcal{A}_{2,2}| \lesssim \eta^{(\gamma+2) \wedge 0} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$. Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,2}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| \lesssim \eta^{(\gamma+1) \wedge 0} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

The proposition follows by patching together the estimates of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 . \square

Observe that

$$\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, W_l h) - W_l Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, h), f \rangle = \int B_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} (W_l - W'_l) \mu_*^{1/2} g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv. \quad (5.1)$$

Comparing (5.1) with (4.52), we find that they enjoy almost the same structure. Thus following the argument there and using the fact $\mu_*^{1/2} \mu^{3/4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1} \lesssim \mu_* 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1} \lesssim \mu_*^{1/2} \mu^{1/4} 1_{|v-v_*| \leq 1}$, we get

Proposition 5.2. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1, l \geq 2, 0 < \delta \leq 1/2, (s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, there holds*

$$|\langle Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, W_l h) - W_l Q_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\mu^{1/2} g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim C_l \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} f|_{L^2}.$$

5.2. Commutator estimates for $I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}$. We have

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\eta \geq 0, l \geq 1$, there holds*

$$|\langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_l h; \beta) - W_l I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| \lesssim C_l |g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the $\beta = 0$ case since the following arguments also work when we replace $\mu^{1/2}$ with $P_\beta \mu^{1/2}$ by using the decomposition (4.18). There are two steps in the proof. We will indicate the main difference at the end of each step.

By the definition (4.1) of $I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h)$ and the fact $((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) = ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 + 2\mu_*^{1/4}((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_l h) - W_l I^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h), f \rangle &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/2})'_* - \mu_*^{1/2}) (W_l - W'_l) g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 (W_l - W'_l) g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + 2 \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4}) (W_l - W'_l) g_* h f' d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &:= \mathcal{A}_1 + 2\mathcal{A}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Estimate of \mathcal{A}_1 . By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1| &\leq \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 f'^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 (W_l - W'_l)^2 g_*^2 h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} := (\mathcal{A}_{1,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{A}_{1,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the change of variables $(v, v_*) \rightarrow (v'_*, v')$ and Lemma 2.9 (the result still holds with $\mu^{1/2}$ replaced by $\mu^{1/4}$), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,1} = \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 f_*^2 d\sigma dv_* dv = \mathcal{N}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(f, \mu^{1/4}) \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2.$$

Thanks to $((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 = ((\mu^{1/8})'_* + \mu_*^{1/8})^2 ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 \leq 2((\mu^{1/4})'_* + \mu_*^{1/4})((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1,2} &\lesssim \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 (W_l - W'_l)^2 g_*^2 h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} (\mu^{1/4})'_* ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 (W_l - W'_l)^2 g_*^2 h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv := \mathcal{A}_{1,2,1} + \mathcal{A}_{1,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,2}$. We recall $|v - v'_*| \sim |v - v_*|$ and thus

$$(W_l - W'_l)^2 \lesssim \min\{\theta^2|v - v'_*|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l-2}, \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l}\}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 \lesssim \min\{\theta^2|v - v'_*|^2, 1\}. \quad (5.3)$$

We set to prove

$$\mathcal{B} := \int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} (\mu^{1/4})'_* ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 (W_l - W'_l)^2 d\sigma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma}, \quad (5.4)$$

which immediately gives $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,2} \lesssim |g|_{L^2}^2 |h|_{L^2_{i+\gamma/2}}^2$.

Case 1: $|v - v_*| \leq 1$. By (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$\mathcal{B} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^4 |v - v'_*|^{\gamma+4} (\mu^{1/4})'_* \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l-2} d\sigma.$$

Since $|v - v_*| \leq 1$, there holds $|v - v'_*| \leq 1$, $|v - v'_*|^{\gamma+4} \leq 1$ and $\langle v \rangle \sim \langle v'_* \rangle$, thus $\langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} \langle v'_* \rangle^{-2-\gamma}$, which implies

$$\mathcal{B} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^4 (\mu^{1/4})'_* \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l-4-\gamma} d\sigma \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^4 \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} d\sigma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma}.$$

Case 2: $|v - v_*| \geq 1$. By (5.2) and (5.3), we have $\mathcal{B} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^2 |v - v'_*|^\gamma (\mu^{1/4})'_* \langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l} d\sigma$. Since $|v - v_*| \geq 1$, there holds $|v - v'_*|^\gamma \sim \langle v - v'_* \rangle^\gamma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \langle v'_* \rangle^{|\gamma|}$, which implies

$$\mathcal{B} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^2 (\mu^{1/4})'_* \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l+|\gamma|} d\sigma \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} d\sigma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma}.$$

We get (5.4) by patching together the two cases.

We then go to estimate $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,1}$. Thanks to $(W_l - W'_l)^2 \lesssim \min\{\theta^2|v - v_*|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l-2}, \theta^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2l}\}$, and $((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 \lesssim \min\{\theta^2|v - v_*|^2, 1\}$, similar to (5.4), we can prove

$$\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/8})'_* - \mu_*^{1/8})^2 (W_l - W'_l)^2 d\sigma \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma} \mu_*^{1/8}. \quad (5.5)$$

Plugging (5.5) into $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,1}$, we get $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,1} \lesssim |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2}^2 |h|_{L^2_{i+\gamma/2}}^2$. Patching together the upper bound estimates of $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,2}$, we arrive at $\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \lesssim |g|_{L^2}^2 |h|_{L^2_{i+\gamma/2}}^2$. Patching together the estimates of $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1,2}$, we conclude $|\mathcal{A}_1| \lesssim |g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{i+\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}$.

In the $|\beta| > 0$ case, by recalling (4.15) and (4.18), changes only happen in $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$, in which $((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu^{1/4})^2$ is replaced with $(P_\beta \mu^{1/4})'_* - P_\beta \mu^{1/4})^2$. Then Lemma 2.9 also holds since it only utilizes the condition (4.19).

Step 2: Estimate of \mathcal{A}_2 . By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_2| &\leq \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 g_* f'^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int B^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \mu_*^{1/4} (W_l - W'_l)^2 g_* h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} := (\mathcal{A}_{2,1})^{1/2} (\mathcal{A}_{2,2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$. By the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{2,1} \lesssim \int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) |v' - v_*|^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 g_* f'^2 d\sigma dv_* dv'.$$

By Proposition 7.1, one has $\int b^\epsilon (\cos \theta) ((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2 d\sigma \lesssim |v' - v_*|^2 1_{|v' - v_*| \leq 2} + (W^\epsilon)^2 (v' - v_*) 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq 2}$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{2,1} &\lesssim \int |v' - v_*|^\gamma \mu_*^{1/4} (|v' - v_*|^2 1_{|v' - v_*| \leq 2} + (W^\epsilon)^2 (v' - v_*) 1_{|v' - v_*| \geq 2}) g_* f'^2 dv_* dv' \\ &\lesssim |\mu^{1/8} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{2,2}$. By Taylor expansion, when $l \geq 1$, it is easy to check

$$(W_l - W'_l)^2 \lesssim \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle^{2l-2} + \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2}) \lesssim \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{2,2} &\lesssim \int b^\epsilon(\cos \theta) \theta^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2} \mu_*^{1/4} g_* h^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \int |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2l-2} \mu_*^{1/4} g_* h^2 dv_* dv.\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\int |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} \langle v \rangle^{2l-2} \mu_*^{1/4} g_* dv_* &\leq \left(\int |v - v_*|^{2\gamma+4} \mu_*^{1/4} dv_* \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \langle v \rangle^{4l-4} \mu_*^{1/4} g_*^2 dv_* \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

which gives $\mathcal{A}_{2,2} \lesssim |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}}^2$. Putting together the estimates of $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,2}$, we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| \lesssim |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}.$$

In the $|\beta| > 0$ case, by recalling (4.15) and (4.18), $\mu_*^{1/4}((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2$ is replaced by $(P_\beta \mu^{1/4})_*((\mu^{1/4})'_* - \mu_*^{1/4})^2$ or $\mu_*^{1/4}((P_\beta \mu^{1/4})'_* - (P_\beta \mu^{1/4})_*)^2$. The above arguments also work. In the former, just replace $(P_\beta \mu^{1/4})_*$ with $(\mu^{1/8})_*$. In the latter, $((P_\beta \mu^{1/4})'_* - (P_\beta \mu^{1/4})_*)^2$ enjoys the condition (4.19).

The proposition follows the estimates of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 . \square

5.3. Applications of previous results. We first have

Theorem 5.1. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1, l \geq 2$. There holds*

$$|\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_l h; \beta) - W_l \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Let $0 < \delta \leq 1/2, (s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, W_l h; \beta) - W_l \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} \\ &\quad + C_l \delta^{-1/2} \eta^\delta |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} h|_{H^{s_4}} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} f|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.3, we have (5.6). By Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we get (5.7). \square

Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.1 together give the following upper bound estimate with weight.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $0 < \delta \leq 1/2, (s_3, s_4) = (1/2 + \delta, 0)$ or $(s_3, s_4) = (0, 1/2 + \delta)$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle W_l \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| &\lesssim |g|_{L^2} |h|_{\epsilon, l+\gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + C_l |g|_{L^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} \\ &\quad + C_l \delta^{-1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^{s_3}} |\mu^{1/32} h|_{H^{1+s_4}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.\end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$|\langle W_l \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta), f \rangle| \lesssim |g|_{H^2} |h|_{0, l+\gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} + C_l |g|_{H^2} |h|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}. \quad (5.9)$$

As an application of Theorem 5.1, we have

Corollary 5.2. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1, l \geq 2$, there holds*

$$|\langle [\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1}, W_l] g, W_l f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |g|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, l+\gamma/2} + C_l \eta^{1/2} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

Proof. Recall from (4.59), $\mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1} g = -\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(\partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}, g; \beta_0)$. Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_3 = 1, s_4 = 0$ in (5.7), we get

$$|\langle [\mathcal{L}_1^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1}, W_l] g, W_l f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |g|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, l+\gamma/2} + C_l \eta^{1/2} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} W_l f|_{L^2}.$$

Recall from (4.59), $\mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1} g := -\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, \partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}; \beta_0)$. Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_3 = 0, s_4 = 1$ in (5.7), we get

$$|\langle [\mathcal{L}_2^{\epsilon, \gamma, \beta_0, \beta_1}, W_l] g, f \rangle| \lesssim \eta^{\gamma-3} C_l |g|_{L^2} |f|_{\epsilon, l+\gamma/2} + C_l \eta^{1/2} |\mu^{1/16} g|_{L^2} |W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} W_l f|_{L^2}.$$

Patching together the above two estimates, recalling (4.58), thanks to the fact $|W^\epsilon(D) \mu^{1/16} W_l f|_{L^2} \lesssim C_l |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}$, we finish the proof. \square

When $\gamma = -3$, recall the notation $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, -3, \beta_0, \beta_1}$. As a special case of Corollary 5.2, we have

Corollary 5.3. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1, l \geq 2$, there holds*

$$|\langle [\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}, W_l] g, W_l f \rangle| \leq \eta^{-6} C_l |g|_{L^2_{l+\gamma/2}} |f|_{\epsilon, l+\gamma/2} + \eta^{1/2} C_l |g|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2} |f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}.$$

6. ENERGY ESTIMATE AND ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA

In this section, we will give the proof to Theorem 1.1. We divide the proof into three subsections. The first subsection is devoted to the *a priori* estimates for the linear equation (6.1). In subsection 4.2, we consider the global well-posedness (1.35) and regularity propagation (1.36) of the linearized Boltzmann equation (1.26). In subsection 4.3, we derive the global asymptotic formula (1.37) which describes the limit that ϵ goes to zero. Throughout this section, we set $\gamma = -3$.

6.1. Estimate for the linear equation. We will deal with the linear equation as follows:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f = g. \quad (6.1)$$

Let us set up some notations which will be used throughout this section.

- We set $f_1 := \mathbb{P}f$ and $f_2 := f - \mathbb{P}f$, where \mathbb{P} is the projection operator defined in (1.40). By (1.40) and (1.41),

$$f_1(t, x, v) = (a(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot v + c(t, x)|v|^2)\mu^{1/2}, \quad (6.2)$$

which solves

$$\partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 = r + l + g, \quad (6.3)$$

where $r = -\partial_t f_2$ and $l = -v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f_2$.

- $\{e_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq 13}$ is defined explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \mu^{1/2}, e_2 = v_1 \mu^{1/2}, e_3 = v_2 \mu^{1/2}, e_4 = v_3 \mu^{1/2}, \\ e_5 &= v_1^2 \mu^{1/2}, e_6 = v_2^2 \mu^{1/2}, e_7 = v_3^2 \mu^{1/2}, e_8 = v_1 v_2 \mu^{1/2}, e_9 = v_2 v_3 \mu^{1/2}, e_{10} = v_3 v_1 \mu^{1/2}, \\ e_{11} &= |v|^2 v_1 \mu^{1/2}, e_{12} = |v|^2 v_2 \mu^{1/2}, e_{13} = |v|^2 v_3 \mu^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

- Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq 13, 1 \leq j \leq 13}$ be the matrix defined by $a_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$ and y be the 13-dimensional vector with components $\partial_t a, \{\partial_t b_i + \partial_i a\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{\partial_t c + \partial_i b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{\partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3}, \{\partial_i c\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$. Set $z = (z_i)_{i=1}^{13} = (\langle r + l + g, e_i \rangle)_{i=1}^{13}$. By (6.2) and taking inner product between (6.3) and $\{e_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq 13}$ in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for variable v , one has $Ay = z$, which implies $y = A^{-1}z$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r} &= (r^{(0)}, \{r_i^{(1)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{r_i^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{r_{ij}^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3}, \{r_i^{(3)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3})^T = A^{-1}(\langle r, e_i \rangle)_{i=1}^{13}, \\ \tilde{l} &= (l^{(0)}, \{l_i^{(1)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{l_i^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{l_{ij}^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3}, \{l_i^{(3)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3})^T = A^{-1}(\langle l, e_i \rangle)_{i=1}^{13}, \\ \tilde{g} &= (g^{(0)}, \{g_i^{(1)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{g_i^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{g_{ij}^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3}, \{g_i^{(3)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3})^T = A^{-1}(\langle g, e_i \rangle)_{i=1}^{13}, \\ \tilde{f} &= (f^{(0)}, \{\tilde{f}_i^{(1)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{\tilde{f}_i^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}, \{\tilde{f}_{ij}^{(2)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3}, \{\tilde{f}_i^{(3)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3})^T = A^{-1}(\langle f_2, e_i \rangle)_{i=1}^{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one has $\tilde{r} = -\partial_t \tilde{f}$, which yields

$$y = -\partial_t \tilde{f} + \tilde{l} + \tilde{g}. \quad (6.4)$$

- We define the temporal energy functional $\mathcal{I}^N(f)$ as

$$\mathcal{I}^N(f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^a(f) + \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^b(f) + \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^c(f) + \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^{ab}(f)), \quad (6.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^a(f) &:= \langle \partial^\alpha \tilde{f}_i^{(1)}, \partial_i \partial^\alpha a \rangle_x, \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^c(f) := \langle \partial^\alpha \tilde{f}_i^{(3)}, \partial_i \partial^\alpha c \rangle_x, \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^{ab}(f) := \langle \partial_i \partial^\alpha a, \partial^\alpha b_i \rangle_x \\ \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,i}^b(f) &:= - \sum_{j \neq i} \langle \partial^\alpha \tilde{f}_j^{(2)}, \partial_i \partial^\alpha b_j \rangle_x + \sum_{j \neq i} \langle \partial^\alpha \tilde{f}_{ji}^{(2)}, \partial_j \partial^\alpha b_i \rangle_x + 2 \langle \partial^\alpha \tilde{f}_i^{(2)}, \partial_i \partial^\alpha b_i \rangle_x. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\langle a, b \rangle_x := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} a(x)b(x)dx$ is the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ for variable x .

Note that there is some universal constant C_1 such that

$$|\mathcal{I}^N(f)| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2. \quad (6.6)$$

The temporal energy functional $\mathcal{I}^N(f)$ is used to capture the dissipation of (a, b, c) . Based on (6.4), one can study the evolution of the macroscopic quantities (a, b, c) in terms of the microscopic part f_2 .

Lemma 6.1. *There exists two universal constants $C, c_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 2$,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}^N(f) + c_0 |(a, b, c)|_{H_x^N}^2 \leq C (\|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx). \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. Referring to [9, 12], we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{I}^N(f) + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla_x(a, b, c)|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2 \leq C(\|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx).$$

Thanks to (1.33), we can apply Poincare inequality $|\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N} \lesssim |\nabla_x \mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^{N-1}}$ to end the proof. \square

The above set-up is standard for the near Maxwellian framework. We refer readers to [9, 12] for more details. Before giving the estimates for (6.1), we prepare some technical lemmas to deal with the inner products that will appear in energy estimates.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$, $q \geq 0$, then*

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \geq (7/8)\lambda_0 \|W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_{q, N} (\|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 + |\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)|_{L_x^2}^2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \geq \lambda_0 \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Thanks to the Macro-Micro decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) &\geq \lambda_0 \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha (f_1 + f_2)\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ &\geq (7/8)\lambda_0 \|W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_{q, N} (\|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 + |\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)|_{L_x^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we use (2.22) to take out $W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2$ as the leading term and integration by parts formula to deal with the operator ∂_β . In addition, all polynomial weights can be controlled by the factor $\mu^{1/2}$ in f_1 . \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$, $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$, $q \geq 2$, then for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |([W_q, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}] \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq \delta (\|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C_{\delta, q} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta, q, N} |\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)|_{L_x^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.3, we get for any $0 < \eta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |([W_q, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}] \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq \eta^{-6} C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} \\ &\quad + \eta^{1/2} C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2} \\ &\leq \eta^{1/2} C_q \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \eta^{-12-1/2} C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \eta^{1/2} C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 + \eta^{1/2} C_q \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \delta \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 + \delta^{-25} C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\delta = \eta^{1/2} C_q$, and the constant C_q may change across different lines. From which together with the decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2 = \mathbb{P}f + f_2$, we get the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$, $|\beta| \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ or $q = 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q[\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim (\delta + C_N \epsilon) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta, q, N} \|\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C_{\delta, q, N} \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} (\|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 H_{\gamma/2}^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recalling $\mathcal{L}^\epsilon g = -\Gamma^\epsilon(\mu^{1/2}, g) - \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \mu^{1/2})$, (4.16), (4.15), and (4.58), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta \mathcal{L}^\epsilon g &= \mathcal{L}^\epsilon \partial_\beta g - \sum_{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} [\Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}, \partial_{\beta_2} g; \beta_0) + \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2} \mu^{1/2}; \beta_0)] \\ &= \mathcal{L}^\epsilon \partial_\beta g - \sum_{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} \partial_{\beta_2} g. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

In this way, we have $[\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] = \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} \partial_{\beta_2}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} W_q[\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f &= W_q \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f \\ &= \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} W_q \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f + \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} C_\beta^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} [W_q, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}] \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f. \end{aligned}$$

By upper bound estimate in Lemma 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1} W_q \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \delta^{-6} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} \\ &\quad + (\delta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) \|\mu^{1/16} \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 H_q^1} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} \\ &\lesssim (\delta + \epsilon) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta, q, N} \|\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C_{\delta, q, N} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 H_{\gamma/2}^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

where we use $f = f_1 + f_2$ and the definition of a, b, c .

If $q \geq 2$, by Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |([W_q, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}] \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq \delta (\|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C_{\delta, q} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta, q, N} \|\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)\|_{L_x^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $q = 0$, the commutator $[W_q, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \beta_0, \beta_1}] = 0$. Taking sum over $\beta_2 < \beta$, we get the result. \square

For non-negative integers n, m , we recall:

$$\|f\|_{H_x^N \dot{H}_l^m}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n, |\beta| = m} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_l^2}^2, \|f\|_{H_x^N \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l}^m}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n, |\beta| = m} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, l}^2}^2.$$

Let $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2$. For some universal constants $M, L, K_j, 0 \leq j \leq N$ (which could depend on N, l and will be explicitly determined later), we define

$$\Xi^{N, l}(f) = M \mathcal{I}^N(f) + L \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=0}^N K_j \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}^j}^2, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N, l}(f) = c_0 M |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + \lambda_0 L \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 + \lambda_0 \sum_{j=0}^N K_j \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2, \quad (6.10)$$

where $\mathcal{MA}(t, x) = (a(t, x), b(t, x), c(t, x))$ is a vector of length 5, which stands for the macro-part of a solution f .

Now we are in a position to prove

Proposition 6.1. *Let $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2$. Suppose f is a smooth solution to (6.1), then there exist a constant ϵ_1 verifying $0 < \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_0$, such that for any $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0, 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{N, l}(f) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N, l}(f) &\leq MC \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + 2L \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta| = j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

The constant $\epsilon_1 > 0$ could depend on N, l . Here η_0, ϵ_0 are the universal constants in Theorem 3.1. Moreover, $M \sim L$.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps to construct the energy functional $\Xi^{N, l}(f)$ in (6.9).

Step 1: Propagation of $\|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2$. Applying ∂^α to equation (6.1), taking inner product with $\partial^\alpha f$, taking sum over $|\alpha| \leq N$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\mathcal{L}^\epsilon \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g, \partial^\alpha f). \quad (6.12)$$

Split $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} + \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}$. Thanks to $\partial^\alpha f_2 = (\partial^\alpha f)_2$, by Theorem 3.1, for $\eta \leq \eta_0, \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \geq \lambda_0 \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Plugging which into (6.12), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \lambda_0 \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f). \quad (6.13)$$

Multiplying (6.13) by a large constant $2M_1$ and adding it to (6.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(M_1\|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \mathcal{I}^N(f)) + (c_0|\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + M_1\lambda_0\|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2) \\ & \leq 2M_1 \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}\partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) + C \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Here M_1 is large enough such that $M_1 \geq 2C_1$ and $M_1\lambda_0 \geq C$ to insure $M_1\|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \mathcal{I}^N(f) \sim \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2$ by (6.6) and cancel the term $C\|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2$ on the right hand side of (6.7).

Step 2: Propagation of $\|f\|_{H_x^N L_l^2}^2$. Applying $W_l\partial^\alpha$ to equation (6.1), taking inner product with $W_l\partial^\alpha f$, taking sum over $|\alpha| \leq N$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{H_x^N L_l^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (W_l \mathcal{L}^\epsilon \partial^\alpha f, W_l \partial^\alpha f) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (W_l \partial^\alpha g, W_l \partial^\alpha f). \quad (6.15)$$

Using commutator to transfer weight and splitting $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma} = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta} + \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma}$, we get

$$W_l \mathcal{L}^\epsilon \partial^\alpha f = \mathcal{L}^\epsilon W_l \partial^\alpha f + [W_l, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta} W_l \partial^\alpha f + \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_l \partial^\alpha f + [W_l, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f.$$

By Lemma 6.2, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon,\gamma,\eta} W_l \partial^\alpha f, W_l \partial^\alpha f) \geq (7/8)\lambda_0 \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_{l,N} (\|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 + |\partial^\alpha(a,b,c)|_{L_x^2}^2).$$

Thanks to Lemma 6.3, we have

$$|([W_l, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f, W_l \partial^\alpha f)| \leq \delta \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta,l} \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{\delta,l,N} |\partial^\alpha(a,b,c)|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

Since $|h|_{L_q^2} \lesssim (\delta_2^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2})|W^\epsilon h|_{L_q^2} + C(\delta_2, q)|h|_{L^2}$ for any $\delta_2 > 0$, we have

$$\|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \leq (\delta_2 + \epsilon) \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C(\delta_2, l) \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (6.16)$$

First taking $\delta = \lambda_0/8$, then taking δ_2 such that $\delta_2 C_{\delta,l} = \lambda_0/8$, when $\epsilon C_{\delta,l} \leq \lambda_0/8$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{H_x^N L_l^2}^2 + (\lambda_0/2) \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 & \leq C_{l,N} \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2 + C_{l,N} |\partial^\alpha(a,b,c)|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ & + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (W_l \partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_l \partial^\alpha f, W_l \partial^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

There is a constant C_l such that $\|f_1\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \leq C_l |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2$. We choose a constant M_2 large enough such that $c_0 M_2/4 \geq C_{l,N}$, $c_0 M_2/4 \geq C_l \lambda_0/2$, $M_2 M_1 \lambda_0/2 \geq C_{l,N}$. Multiplying (6.14) by the constant M_2 and adding the resulting inequality to (6.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (M_2 \mathcal{I}^N(f) + M_1 M_2 \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{H_x^N L_l^2}^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (M_2 c_0 |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + M_2 M_1 \lambda_0 \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \lambda_0 \|f_1\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \lambda_0 \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,l+\gamma/2}^2}^2) \\ & \leq M_2 C \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + 2M_2 M_1 \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f), \\ & + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} (W_l \partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_l \partial^\alpha f, W_l \partial^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Step 3: Propagation of $\sum_{j=1}^N K_j \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}^j}^2$. We shall use mathematical induction to prove that for any $0 \leq i \leq N$, there are some constants $M^i, L^i, K_j^i, 0 \leq j \leq i$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (M^i \mathcal{I}^N(f) + L^i \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} K_j^i \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}^j}^2) \\
& + 2^{-1-i/N} (c_0 M^i |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + \lambda_0 L^i \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 \\
& + \lambda_0 \sum_{j=0}^i K_j^i (\|f_1\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2)) \\
& \leq M^i C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + 2L^i \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^i 2K_j^i \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

It is easy to check that (6.19) is valid for $i = 0$ thanks to (6.18). We also remark that our final goal (6.11) is actually (6.19) with $i = N$ since $\|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \leq 2\|f_1\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + 2\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2$.

Assume (6.19) is valid for $i = k$ for some $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. We set to prove (6.19) is also valid for $i = k+1$.

Let α and β be multi-indices such that $|\alpha| \leq N - (k+1)$ and $|\beta| = k+1 \geq 1$. Let $q = l + (k+1)\gamma$. Applying $W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha$ to both sides of (6.1), we obtain

$$\partial_t W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + v \cdot \nabla_x W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + \sum_{\beta_1 \leq \beta, |\beta_1|=1} W_q \partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha+\beta_1} f + W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f = W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha g. \tag{6.20}$$

Taking inner product with $W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f$ over (x, v) , one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_q^2}^2 + \sum_{\beta_1 \leq \beta, |\beta_1|=1} (W_q \partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha+\beta_1} f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + (W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) = (W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \tag{6.21}$$

Estimate of $(W_q \partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha+\beta_1} f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and using $f = f_1 + f_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|(W_q \partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha+\beta_1} f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| & \leq \|\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha+\beta_1} f\|_{L_x^2 L_{q-\gamma/2}^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q-\gamma+\gamma/2}^k}^2 + \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 + C_l |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^{N-k}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Estimate of $(W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$. Using commutator to transfer weight and splitting $\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma} = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} + \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}$, we get

$$W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}^\epsilon f = \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + [W_q, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial_\beta^\alpha f + W_q [\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f. \tag{6.23}$$

By Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + [W_q, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial_\beta^\alpha f + W_q [\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\
& \geq (7\lambda_0/8 - 3\delta - C_N \epsilon) \|W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_{\delta, q} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 - C_{\delta, q, N} \|\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
& - C_{\delta, q, N} \sum_{\beta_2 < \beta} (\|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \|\partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 H_{\gamma/2}^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking δ such that $3\delta = \lambda_0/16$, when ϵ is small such that $C_N \epsilon \leq \lambda_0/16$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f + [W_q, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial_\beta^\alpha f + W_q [\partial_\beta, \mathcal{L}^\epsilon] \partial^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \geq (3\lambda_0/4) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \\
& - C_{q, N} \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 - C_{q, N} \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^k}^2 - C_{q, N} \|\partial^\alpha(a, b, c)\|_{L_x^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Plugging (6.22), (6.23) and (6.24) into (6.21), taking sum over $|\alpha| \leq N - (k+1), |\beta| = k+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_q^{k+1}}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_0 \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-k-1, |\beta|=k+1} (W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + C_N \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q-\gamma+\gamma/2}^k}^2 \\
& + C_{l, N} (\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 + \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^k}^2 + |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^{N-k}}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

By Lemma 2.2, for any $0 < \delta' < 1$, we have

$$\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}H_q^{k+1}}^2 \leq (\delta' + \epsilon)\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 + C(\delta', k)\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Taking δ' such that $\delta'C_{l,N} = \lambda_0/4$, when ϵ satisfies $\epsilon C_{l,N} \leq \lambda_0/4$, recalling $q = l + (k+1)\gamma$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\|f\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}\dot{H}_q^{k+1}}^2 + \lambda_0\|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-k-1, |\beta|=k+1} (W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + C_{l,N} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-j}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^{N-k}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, set $\mathcal{X}^k(f) := M^k \mathcal{I}^N(f) + L^k \|f\|_{H_x^N L^2}^2 + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} K_j^k \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j}\dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}^j}^2$, and

$$\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^k(f) := c_0 M^k |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + \lambda_0 L^k \|f_2\|_{H_x^N L_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \lambda_0 \sum_{j=0}^k K_j^k (\|f_1\|_{H_x^{N-j}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-j}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2).$$

By our induction assumption, (6.19) is true when $i = k$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{X}^k(f) + 2^{1-k/N} \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^k(f) \\ & \leq M^k C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + 2L^k \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^k 2K_j^k \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

There is a constant $C_{l,N}$ such that $\|f_1\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 \leq C_{l,N} |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2$. We choose a constant M_3 large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1 - 2^{-1/N})c_0 M^k/2 & \geq C_{l,N}, M_3(1 - 2^{-1/N})c_0 M^k/2 \geq C_{l,N}\lambda_0, \\ M_3(1 - 2^{-1/N})\lambda_0 \min_{0 \leq j \leq k} \{K_j^k\} & \geq C_{l,N}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (6.26) by the constant M_3 , and adding the resulting inequality to (6.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (M_3 \mathcal{X}^k(f) + \|f\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}\dot{H}_q^{k+1}}^2) + M_3 2^{-1/N} 2^{1-k/N} \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^k(f) \\ & \quad + \lambda_0 \|f_1\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 + \lambda_0 \|f_2\|_{H_x^{N-k-1}\dot{H}_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^{k+1}}^2 \\ & \leq M_3 M^k C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + 2M_3 L^k \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^k 2M_3 K_j^k \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-k-1, |\beta|=k+1} (W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha g - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

So we get (6.19) for $i = k+1$. In detail, we set $M^{k+1} = M_3 M^k$, $L^{k+1} = M_3 L^k$, $K_j^{k+1} = M_3 K_j^k$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$ and $K_{k+1}^{k+1} = 1$. \square

6.2. Global well-posedness and propagation of regularity. The local well-posedness and the non-negativity of the solution to (1.21) were well established in [15]. Thus to prove global well-posedness, we only need to provide the *a priori* estimates for the equation, which is Theorem 6.1.

6.2.1. A priori estimate of Boltzmann equation (1.26). In this subsection, we derive the following a priori estimate for solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.26).

Theorem 6.1. *There exists a universal constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following statement is valid. Let $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N+2$, there is a constant ϵ_0 which may depend on N, l , such that if $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and f^ϵ is a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.26) satisfying $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq \delta_0$, then for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

(1) if $N = 4, l = 14$, the solution f^ϵ verifies

$$\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq C\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0); \quad (6.28)$$

(2) if $N = 4, l > 14$, the solution f^ϵ verifies

$$\mathcal{E}^{4,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq C_l \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(f_0); \quad (6.29)$$

(3) if $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, the solution f^ϵ verifies

$$\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq P_{N,l}(\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f_0)). \quad (6.30)$$

Here C is a universal constant, C_l is a constant depending on l and $P_{N,l}(\cdot)$ is a continuous and increasing function with $P_{N,l}(0) = 0$.

Recall from (1.34) the energy functional $\mathcal{E}^{N,l} = \sum_{j=0}^N \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma}}^2$. For some constants C_0 depending only on N, l , we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f) \leq \Xi^{N,l}(f) \leq C_0(N, l) \mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f), \quad (6.31)$$

In order to prove Theorem 6.1, we employ Proposition 6.1 by taking $g = \Gamma^\epsilon(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon)$ and get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j \mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon) + 2L\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon) + MCC_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon), \quad (6.32)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \quad (6.33)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(g, f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon,\gamma} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f), \quad (6.34)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(g, f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f), e_j \rangle|^2 dx. \quad (6.35)$$

To move forward based on (6.32), we need to estimate $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon)$. To this end, we will give estimates of functionals $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}$ and \mathcal{B}_ϵ^N in Lemma 6.6, functional \mathcal{C}_ϵ^N in Lemma 6.7. To keep the proof of Lemma 6.6 in a reasonable length, we prepare a commutator estimate as Lemma 6.5.

Recalling from (6.10) the dissipation functional $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f) \geq (c_0 M/2) |\mathcal{MA}|_{H_x^N}^2 + c_1 \lambda_0 L \|f\|_{H_x^N H_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \lambda_0 \sum_{j=0}^N K_j \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2, \quad (6.36)$$

for some universal constant $c_1 = (Mc_0/4L\lambda_0) \wedge 1$ since $M \sim L$ by Proposition 6.1. For simplicity, we define

$$\|f\|_{H_{x,v}^m}^2 := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq m} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^m(f) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq m} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^0}^2.$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2, 0 \leq j \leq N$. Let α, β satisfy $|\alpha| \leq N - j, |\beta| = j$. The following three statements hold true.*

(1) If $N = 4$ and $l = 14$, then

$$|(W_{l+j\gamma} [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] h, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(h))^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f))^{1/2}. \quad (6.37)$$

(2) If $N = 4$ and $l > 14$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_{l+j\gamma} [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] h, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^0} + \\ &+ \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(h). \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

(3) If $N \geq 5$ and $l \geq 3N + 2$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_{l+j\gamma} [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] h, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h) \\ &+ \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

Let $N \geq 4$. Let α satisfy $|\alpha| \leq N$. The following two statements hold true.

(1) If $N = 4$, then

$$|([\partial^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]h, \partial^\alpha f)| \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(h)} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f)}. \quad (6.40)$$

(2) If $N \geq 5$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$|([\partial^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]h, \partial^\alpha f)| \lesssim \delta \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{H_{x,v}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_N \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(h) + \delta^{-1} C_N \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \quad (6.41)$$

Proof. Set $q = l + j\gamma$. By the binomial expansion (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_q[\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(f, \cdot)]f &= W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(f, f) - W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\ &= W_q \sum C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} f; \beta_0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

where the sum is over $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta, |\alpha_2 + \beta_2| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1$. By (5.8) in Corollary 5.1, we have for $b_1 \geq 0, b_2 \geq 1$ with $b_1 + b_2 = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle W_q \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, h; \beta_0), W_q f \rangle| &\lesssim |g|_{H^0} |h|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} |f|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} + C_q |g|_{H^0} |h|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} |f|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_q |\mu|^{1/16} |g|_{H^{b_1}} |\mu|^{1/32} |h|_{H^{b_2}} |f|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

If we denote the Fourier transform of f with respect to x variable by \widehat{f} , then we have

$$(\Gamma^\epsilon(g, h; \beta_0), f) = \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle \Gamma^\epsilon(\widehat{g}(k), \widehat{h}(m-k); \beta_0), \widehat{f}(m) \rangle.$$

From which together with (6.43), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &| (W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h; \beta_0), W_q f) | \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^{|\alpha_1|} |m-k|^{|\alpha_2|} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_1} g}(k)|_{H^0} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_2} h}(m-k)|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} |\widehat{f}(m)|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_q \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^{|\alpha_1|} |m-k|^{|\alpha_2|} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_1} g}(k)|_{H^0} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_2} h}(m-k)|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} |\widehat{f}(m)|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_q \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^{|\alpha_1|} |m-k|^{|\alpha_2|} |\mu|^{1/16} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_1} g}(k)|_{H^{b_1}} |\mu|^{1/32} |\widehat{\partial_{\beta_2} h}(m-k)|_{H^{b_2}} |\widehat{f}(m)|_{H_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0}. \end{aligned}$$

From which we derive that for $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &| (W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) | \lesssim \|g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^{|\beta_1|}} (\|\partial_{\beta_2} h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} + C_q \|\partial_{\beta_2} h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}) \\ &\quad \times \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_{x,v}^0} + C_q |\mu|^{1/16} \|g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^{|\beta_1|+b_1}} \|\mu|^{1/32} h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H^{|\beta_2|+b_2}} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_{x,v}^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.44)$$

In the following, we choose $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ with $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2 = 2$ and $b_2 \geq 1$. For $N \geq 4$ and multi-indices α, β with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$, we consider all the combinations of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta, |\alpha_2 + \beta_2| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1$ in Table 2 for the choice of a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 .

TABLE 2. Parameter choice

(α_1 , β_1)	(α_2 , β_2)	(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2)	$ \alpha_1 + a_1 + \beta_1 + b_1$	$ \alpha_2 + a_2 + \beta_2 + b_2$
(0,0)	$(\alpha , \leq \beta - 1)$	(2,0,1,1)	3	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(0,1)	$(\alpha , \leq \beta - 1)$	(2,0,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(1,0)	$(\alpha - 1, \leq \beta)$	(2,0,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(0,2)	$(\alpha , \leq \beta - 2)$	(2,0,0,2)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(1,1)	$(\alpha - 1, \leq \beta - 1)$	(1,1,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(2,0)	$(\alpha - 2, \leq \beta)$	(1,1,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(0,3)	$(\alpha , \leq \beta - 3)$	(1,1,0,2)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(1,2)	$(\alpha - 1, \leq \beta - 2)$	(1,1,0,2)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(2,1)	$(\alpha - 2, \leq \beta - 1)$	(0,2,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
(3,0)	$(\alpha - 3, \leq \beta)$	(0,2,1,1)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
$ \alpha_1 + \beta_1 = 4$	$(\alpha - \alpha_1 , \leq \beta - \beta_1)$	(0,2,0,2)	4	$\leq \alpha + \beta $
$ \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \geq 5$	$(\alpha - \alpha_1 , \leq \beta - \beta_1)$	(0,2,0,2)	N	$\leq \alpha + \beta - 1$

To summarize, if $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq 4$, with the choice of (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) in Table 2, we have $|\alpha_1| + a_1 + |\beta_1| + b_1 \leq 4$, $|\alpha_2| + a_2 + |\beta_2| + b_2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$, $|\beta_2| \leq |\beta|$.

Case 1: $N = 4, l = 14$. We recall that $q = l + j\gamma \leq 14$ which implies $C_q \lesssim 1$. By the lower bound of $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f)$ in (6.36) and the estimate (6.44), we have

$$|(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(h))^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f))^{1/2}.$$

Since $N = 4$, the constants $C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ in (6.42) are universally bounded. Taking sum over $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$, $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$, $|\alpha_2 + \beta_2| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1$, we get (6.37).

Case 2: $N = 4, l \geq 14$. If $|\beta_2| = |\beta|$, we use $\|h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^{|\beta_2|}} \leq \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^j}$. If $|\beta_2| < |\beta|$ which only happens when $j \geq 1$, by $|f|_{\epsilon, l} \lesssim |f|_{H_{l+1}^1}$, we have

$$\|h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^{|\beta_2|}} \leq \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^{j-1}} \lesssim \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^j} \leq \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_q^j}.$$

Plugging these facts into (6.44), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim 1_{|\beta_2|=|\beta|} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^j} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + (1_{j \geq 1} 1_{|\beta_2| < |\beta|} + C_q) \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_q^j} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_q \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^j} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} + \\ &\quad + \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where the facts $\|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_q^j}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(h)$ and $\|h\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(h)$ are used. Since $N = 4$, the constants $C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ in (6.42) are universally bounded. Taking sum over according to (6.42), we get (6.38).

Case 3: $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$. When $N \geq 5$, since $|\alpha_2| + a_2 + |\beta_2| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - b_2 \leq N - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim C_q \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h))^{1/2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_q \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\lesssim \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h) + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

If $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \geq 5$, which occurs only when $N \geq 5$, with the choice of (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) in the last line of Table 2, we have $|\alpha_1| + a_1 + |\beta_1| + b_1 \leq N$, $|\alpha_2| + a_2 + |\beta_2| + b_2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1 \leq N - 1$, $|\beta_2| \leq |\beta|$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} g, \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim C_q \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h))^{1/2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\lesssim \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^0}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h). \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

Taking sum over according to (6.42), by (6.45) for the case of $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq 4$ and (6.46) for the case of $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \geq 5$, we get (6.39). We remark that the sum will bring a constant depending on N due to the constants $C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. However, thanks to the arbitrariness of δ , only the latter two terms in (6.39) depend on N .

We turn to the case when $q = 0$. By Theorem 4.4, a counterpart to (6.44) is

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Gamma^\epsilon(\partial^{\alpha_1} g, \partial^{\alpha_2} h), \partial^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^0} \|h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + \|\mu^{1/16} g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^{b_1}} \|\mu^{1/32} h\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H^{b_2}} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 \dot{H}_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

which gives (6.40) and (6.41) in a similar way. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $0 < \eta, \epsilon < 1$ and g, f be suitable functions with $\mu^{1/2} + g \geq 0$. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}$ in (6.33). The following three statements hold true.*

(1) *If $N = 4, l = 14$, then*

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, f) \leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f). \quad (6.48)$$

(2) *If $N = 4, l > 14$, then for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, f) &\leq C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(f). \end{aligned} \quad (6.49)$$

(3) If $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, f) &\leq C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4})\|f\|_{H_{x,v}^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + \delta^{-1}C_{N,l}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \\ &\quad \times \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 + \delta^{-1}C_{N,l}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(f) + \delta^{-1}C_{N,l}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

Recall the definition of \mathcal{B}_ϵ^N in (6.34). The following two statements hold true.

(1) If $N = 4$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(g, f) \leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4})\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f). \quad (6.51)$$

(2) If $N \geq 5$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(g, f) &\leq C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4})\|f\|_{H_{x,v}^N H_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + C_N \delta^{-1}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(f) + C_N \delta^{-1}\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

We emphasize that C is a universal constant independent of N, l .

Proof. Let $q = l + j\gamma$. Note that a typical term in $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, f)$ is $(W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$ for some fixed α, β such that $|\alpha| \leq N - j, |\beta| = j$. We make the following decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f) &= W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]f \\ &= \Gamma^\epsilon(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + [W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f + W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]f \\ &= \Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + \Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + [W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f + W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]f. \end{aligned} \quad (6.53)$$

Estimate of $\Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f$. Since $\mu^{1/2} + g \geq 0$, taking $\delta = 1/2$ in Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) - \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\epsilon, \gamma} W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f) &\leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (1 + |\mu^{1/16} g|_{H^2}) |W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2 dx \\ &\leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2}) \|\mu^{1/16} g\|_{H_x^2 H^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.54)$$

Estimate of $\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$. Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 0$ in Theorem 4.1, by the embedding $H_x^2 \rightarrow L_x^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Gamma^{\epsilon, \gamma, \eta}(g, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq C\eta^{-6} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f|_{H^2} |W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2 dx \\ &\leq C\eta^{-6} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.55)$$

Estimate of $[W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f$. Taking $\delta = 1/2, s_3 = 1, s_4 = 0$ in Theorem 5.1, by the embedding $H_x^2 \rightarrow L_x^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |([W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq \eta^{-6} C_l \int |g|_{L^2} |W_{q+\gamma/2} \partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{L^2} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2} dx \\ &\quad + \eta^{1/2} C_l \int |g|_{H^1} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2 dx \\ &\leq C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2} + \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose η such that $\eta^{1/2} C_l = 1$ and then $\eta^{-6} C_l$ is a constant depending only on l . When $N = 4, l = 14$, the constant C_l is a universal constant, which gives

$$|([W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (6.56)$$

When $N = 4, l > 14$ or $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, we get

$$|([W_q, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] \partial_\beta^\alpha f, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim (\delta + \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2 + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_x^2 L_{\epsilon, q+\gamma/2}^2}^2. \quad (6.57)$$

The last term $W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)]f$ in (6.53) is handled in Lemma 6.5 by (6.37), (6.38) and (6.39). From which together with (6.54), (6.55), (6.56) and (6.57), we get the desired results (6.48), (6.49) and (6.50). The estimates (6.51) and (6.52) of $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^N(g, f)$ can be derived similarly, so we omit the details and end the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\epsilon \geq 0$ be small enough. Recall the definition of \mathcal{C}_ϵ^N in (6.35). The following two statements hold true.*

(1) *If $N = 4$, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(g, f) \leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f). \quad (6.58)$$

(2) *If $N \geq 5$, then*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, f), e_j \rangle|^2 dx \leq C_N (\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(f) + \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(g) \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2). \quad (6.59)$$

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 4.4, for $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ with $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2 = 2$ and $b_2 \geq 1$, when $N = 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial^{\alpha_1} g, \partial^{\alpha_2} f), e_j \rangle|^2 dx &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^0}^2 \|f\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\mu^{1/16} g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^{b_1}}^2 \|\mu^{1/32} f\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H^{b_2}}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $N \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \Gamma^\epsilon(\partial^{\alpha_1} g, \partial^{\alpha_2} f), e_j \rangle|^2 dx &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^0}^2 \|f\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H_{\epsilon, \gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\mu^{1/16} g\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_1|+a_1} H^{b_1}}^2 \|\mu^{1/32} f\|_{H_x^{|\alpha_2|+a_2} H^{b_2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(f) + \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(g) \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here in both cases, we use Table 2 for the choice of a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 . \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Taking $g = \Gamma^\epsilon(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon)$ in Proposition 6.1, for $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0, 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j \mathcal{A}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon) + 2LB_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon) + MCC_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon),$$

Case 1: $N = 4, l = 14$. In this case, the constants M, L, K_j are universal. Then by (6.48) and (6.51) in Lemma 6.6, and (6.58) in Lemma 6.7, and the natural inequality $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{4,14}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon) \leq C(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6} \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4} + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2) \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon).$$

Let η_1 verify $C\eta_1^{1/2} = 1/32$. Let ϵ_2 verify $C\epsilon_2^{1/2} = 1/32$. Let δ_1 to be the largest number satisfying $C\eta_2^{-6}\delta_1^{1/2} \leq 1/32$ and $C\delta_1 \leq 1/32$. We choose $\eta = \min\{\eta_0, \eta_1\}$. When $\epsilon \leq \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, under the assumption $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq \delta_1$, since $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{4,14}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon) \leq 0. \quad (6.60)$$

We emphasize that when $N = 4, l = 14$, the constants C_0 in (6.31) is universal. Therefore we get (6.28) from (6.60).

Case 2: $N = 4, l > 14$. In this case, the constants M, L, K_j could depend on l . Then by (6.49) and (6.51) in Lemma 6.6 and (6.58) in Lemma 6.7, and the natural inequality $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{4,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,l}(f^\epsilon) &\leq MC \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon) + C_l(\eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6} \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6} \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_l \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon) \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(f^\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We take $\delta, \eta_2, \epsilon_3, \delta_2$ such that $2C\delta = \lambda_0/64$, $2C\eta_2^{1/2} = \lambda_0/64$, $2C\epsilon_3^{1/2} = \lambda_0/64$, $2C\eta_2^{-6}\delta_2^{1/2} = \lambda_0/64$. We choose $\eta = \min\{\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2\}$. When $\epsilon \leq \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$, under the assumption $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq$

$\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, since $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, we have $2C(\delta + \eta_3^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta_3^{-6}\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \leq \lambda_0/16$. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}$ in (6.36), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Xi^{4,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq C_l\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon) + C_l\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)\mathcal{E}^{4,l}(f^\epsilon).$$

By Grownwall inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^{4,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \frac{1}{8}\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds &\leq (\Xi^{4,l}(f_0) + C_l\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon(s))ds) \exp(C_l\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(s))ds) \\ &\leq (\Xi^{4,l}(f_0) + C_lC\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0)) \exp(C_lC\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0)) \leq C_l\Xi^{4,l}(f_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.61)$$

where we use $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f^\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, and $\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq C\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0)$ by the proved result (6.28), and $\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0) \leq \Xi^{4,l}(f_0)$, and the assumption $\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0) \leq \delta_1 \lesssim 1$. Then by (6.31), we get (6.29).

Case 3: $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$. In this case, the constants M, L, K_j could depend on N, l . Then by (6.50) and (6.52) in Lemma 6.6 and (6.59) in Lemma 6.7, and the inequalities $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(f^\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(f^\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(f^\epsilon)$, $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Xi^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) &\leq 2C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6}\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4})L\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^N H_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + 2C(\delta + \eta^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta^{-6}\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \sum_{j=0}^N K_j \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1}C_{N,l}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(f^\epsilon)\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f^\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We take $\delta, \eta_3, \epsilon_4, \delta_3$ such that $2C\delta = c_1\lambda_0/64$, $2C\eta_3^{1/2} = c_1\lambda_0/64$, $2C\epsilon_4^{1/2} = c_1\lambda_0/64$, $2C\eta_3^{-6}\delta_3^{1/2} = c_1\lambda_0/64$. We choose $\eta = \min_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \eta_i$. Let $\delta_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \delta_i$ and $\epsilon_0 = \min_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \epsilon_i$. When $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, under the assumption $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq \delta_0$, since $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$, we have $2C(\delta + \eta_3^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} + \eta_3^{-6}\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}) \leq c_1\lambda_0/16$. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}$ in (6.36), we conclude that for any $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Xi^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq C_{N,l}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(f^\epsilon)\mathcal{E}^{N,l}(f^\epsilon). \quad (6.62)$$

In the following we use mathematical induction to finish the proof. Suppose for some $k \geq 4$, (6.30) is valid for $N = k$, that is,

$$\mathcal{E}^{k,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq P_{k,l}(\mathcal{E}^{k,l}(f_0)). \quad (6.63)$$

Then for $N = k + 1 \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, by (6.62), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Xi^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq C_{k+1,l}\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k,l}(f^\epsilon)\mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon). \quad (6.64)$$

Now since $\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds \leq P_{k,l}(\mathcal{E}^{k,l}(f_0))$ by (6.63) and $\mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon) \leq \Xi^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon)$, by Gronwall's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \frac{1}{8}\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds &\leq \Xi^{k+1,l}(f_0) \exp(C_{k+1,l}\int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds) \\ &\leq \Xi^{k+1,l}(f_0) \exp(C_{k+1,l}P_{k,l}(\mathcal{E}^{k,l}(f_0))). \end{aligned} \quad (6.65)$$

Then by the equivalence relation (6.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{k+1,l}(f^\epsilon(s))ds &\leq C_{k+1,l}\mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f_0) \exp(C_{k+1,l}P_{k,l}(\mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f_0))) \\ &:= P_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{E}^{k+1,l}(f_0)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.66)$$

That is, we get (6.30) for the case $N = k + 1, l \geq 3N + 2$. Starting from $P_{4,l}(x) = C_l x$, we can define $P_{N,l}(x) := C_{N,l}x \exp(C_{N,l}P_{N-1,l}(x))$ in a iterating manner for $N \geq 5$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (global well-posedness and regularity propagation). We remind readers that local well-posedness of the equation and the non-negativity of $\mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}f$ were proved in [15]. Thanks to Theorem 6.1, the standard continuity argument yields the global well-posedness result (1.35). The propagation of regularity result (1.36) is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1. \square

6.3. Asymptotic formula for the limit. We want to prove (1.37) in this subsection. Let f^ϵ and f be the solutions to (1.26) and (1.28) respectively with the initial data f_0 . Set $F_R^\epsilon := |\ln \epsilon|(f^\epsilon - f)$, then it solves

$$\partial_t F_R^\epsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x F_R^\epsilon + \mathcal{L} F_R^\epsilon = |\ln \epsilon|[(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon)f^\epsilon + (\Gamma^\epsilon - \Gamma)(f^\epsilon, f)] + \Gamma^\epsilon(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon) + \Gamma(F_R^\epsilon, f). \quad (6.67)$$

We will apply Proposition 6.1 to the above equation for F_R^ϵ . For notational brevity, we set

$$G_1 = |\ln \epsilon|[(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon)f^\epsilon + (\Gamma^\epsilon - \Gamma)(f^\epsilon, f)], \quad G_2 = \Gamma^\epsilon(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon), \quad G_3 = \Gamma(F_R^\epsilon, f). \quad (6.68)$$

When $N \geq 4, \eta = \epsilon = 0$, by applying Proposition 6.1 with $g = G_1 + G_2 + G_3$, since $|\langle \partial^\alpha g, e_j \rangle|^2 \leq 3(|\langle \partial^\alpha G_1, e_j \rangle|^2 + |\langle \partial^\alpha G_2, e_j \rangle|^2 + |\langle \partial^\alpha G_3, e_j \rangle|^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{N,l}(F_R^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_0^{N,l}(F_R^\epsilon) &\leq 3MC \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha G_i, e_j \rangle|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 2L \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha G_i, \partial^\alpha F_R^\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha G_i, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon) \\ &= 3MC (\mathcal{X}^N(G_1) + \mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon) + \mathcal{C}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f)) \\ &\quad + 2L (\mathcal{V}^N(G_1) + \mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon) + \mathcal{Z}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^N 2K_j \left(\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(G_1) + \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon) + \mathcal{Y}_0^{N,j,l}(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.69)$$

where for $\epsilon \geq 0$ and general functions g, h, f , we define

$$\mathcal{X}^N(h) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{13} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\langle \partial^\alpha h, e_j \rangle|^2 dx \quad (6.70)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^N(h) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha h, \partial^\alpha F_R^\epsilon) \quad (6.71)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(g, h, f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h), \partial^\alpha f). \quad (6.72)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(h) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha h, W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon) \quad (6.73)$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, h, f) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j, |\beta|=j} (W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h), W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f). \quad (6.74)$$

In order to further analyze (6.69), we need to estimate the nine terms on the right hand side. Note that the functional \mathcal{C}_ϵ^N is already handled in Lemma 6.7. We will deal with the functionals \mathcal{Z}_ϵ^N and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}$ in Lemma 6.8, functional \mathcal{X}^N in Lemma 6.10, functionals \mathcal{V}^N and $\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}$ in Lemma 6.11.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\epsilon \geq 0$. Let g, h, f be suitable functions. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}$ in (6.74). The following three statements hold true.*

(1) *If $N = 4, l = 14$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, h, f) \leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \left(\mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(h) \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f) \right)^{1/2}. \quad (6.75)$$

(2) *If $N = 4, l > 14$, we have for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, h, f) &\leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{0,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j} \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j} + \delta \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{E}^{4,l}(h). \end{aligned} \quad (6.76)$$

(3) *If $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, we have for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, h, f) &\leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{0,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j} \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j} + \delta \|f\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_x^{N-j} \dot{H}_{l+j\gamma+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1,l}(h) + \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.77)$$

Recall the definition of \mathcal{Z}_ϵ^N in (6.72). The following two statements hold true.

(1) If $N = 4$, then

$$|\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(g, h, f)| \leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} (\mathcal{D}_0^4(h))^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^4(f))^{1/2}. \quad (6.78)$$

(2) If $N \geq 5$, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(g, h, f)| &\leq C \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|h\|_{H_x^N H_{0,\gamma/2}^0} \|f\|_{H_x^N H_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^0} + \delta \|f\|_{H_x^N H_{\epsilon,\gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_N \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N-1}(h) + \delta^{-1} C_N \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^N}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.79)$$

We emphasize that C is a universal constant independent of N, l .

Proof. A typical term in $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(g, h, f)$ is $(W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h), W_{l+j\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$ for some fixed α, β such that $|\alpha| \leq N - j, |\beta| = j$. For simplicity, let $q = l + j\gamma$. We use

$$W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma^\epsilon(g, h) = W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha h) + W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] h.$$

Since $(W_q [\partial_\beta^\alpha, \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \cdot)] h, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)$ is handled in Lemma 6.5, we only need to focus on the first term.

By (5.9) in Corollary 5.1, we have

$$|(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim |g|_{H^2} |\partial_\beta^\alpha h|_{0,q+\gamma/2} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2} + C_l |g|_{H^2} |\partial_\beta^\alpha h|_{L_{q+\gamma/2}^2} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}.$$

Then by the imbedding $H_x^2 \rightarrow L_x^\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha h\|_{H_x^0 H_{0,q+\gamma/2}^0} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^0} \\ &\quad + C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha h\|_{H_x^0 H_{q+\gamma/2}^0} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^0}. \end{aligned}$$

When $N = 4, l = 14$, since C_l is a universal constant, we have

$$|(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \left(\mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(h) \right)^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{4,14}(f))^{1/2}.$$

From which together with (6.37) in Lemma 6.5, we get (6.75). When $N = 4, l > 14$ or $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_q \Gamma^\epsilon(g, \partial_\beta^\alpha h), W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha h\|_{H_x^0 H_{0,q+\gamma/2}^0} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^0} + \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{H_x^0 H_{\epsilon,q+\gamma/2}^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C_l \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha h\|_{H_x^0 H_{q+\gamma/2}^0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From which together with (6.38) and (6.39) in Lemma 6.5, we get (6.76) and (6.77).

For $\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(g, h, f)$, it is not difficult to copy the above argument to get the desired result. \square

We recall an estimate on the operator $\Gamma - \Gamma^\epsilon$, which can be derived similarly as in [23].

Lemma 6.9. *There holds*

$$|\langle W_q (\Gamma - \Gamma^\epsilon)(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim C_q |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\mu|^{1/32} |g|_{H^3} |h|_{H_{q+15/2}^3} |f|_{L_{-3/2}^2}.$$

As an application of Lemma 6.9, we have

Lemma 6.10. *Let $N \geq 4$. Recall the function G_1 in (6.68) and the functional \mathcal{X}^N in (6.70). The following estimate is valid.*

$$\mathcal{X}^N(G_1) \leq C_N \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,3N+12}(f^\epsilon) + C_N \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3}}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{N+3,3N+12}(f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.9, we have

$$|\langle \partial^\alpha G_1, e_j \rangle| \lesssim |\partial^\alpha f^\epsilon|_{H_{9+\gamma/2}^3} + C_N \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=\alpha} |\partial^{\alpha_1} f^\epsilon|_{H_{\gamma/2}^3} |\partial^{\alpha_2} f|_{H_{9+\gamma/2}^3}.$$

Since $N \geq 4$, by the embedding $H_x^2 \rightarrow L_x^\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^N(G_1) &\leq C_N \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^N H_{9+\gamma/2}^3}^2 + C_N \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^N H_{\gamma/2}^3}^2 \|f\|_{H_x^N H_{9+\gamma/2}^3}^2 \\ &\leq C_N \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,3N+12}(f^\epsilon) + C_N \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3}}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{N+3,3N+12}(f), \end{aligned}$$

thanks to $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,3N+12}(f^\epsilon) \geq \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon,3N+3+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \geq \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N+3-j} \dot{H}_{3N+3+\gamma/2}^j}^2$ for any $0 \leq j \leq N + 3$. \square

As another application of Lemma 6.9, we have

Lemma 6.11. *Let $N \geq 4, l \geq 3N + 2$. Recall the function G_1 in (6.68) and the functional \mathcal{V}^N in (6.71) and $\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}$ in (6.73). For any $\delta > 0$, there holds*

$$\mathcal{V}^N(G_1) + \mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(G_1) \leq \delta \mathcal{D}_0^{N,l}(F_R^\epsilon) + \delta^{-1} C_{N,l} (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,l+18}(f^\epsilon) + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3}}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{N+3,l+18}(f)).$$

Proof. It suffices to only consider $\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(G_1)$. Set $q = l + j\gamma$. By Lemma 6.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha G_1, W_q \partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon \rangle| &\lesssim \sum_{\beta_1 \leq \beta} C_{N,q} |\partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha f^\epsilon|_{H_{q+9+\gamma/2}^3} |\partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon|_{L_{q+\gamma/2}^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta} C_{N,q} |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f^\epsilon|_{H^3} |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} f|_{H_{q+9+\gamma/2}^3} |\partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon|_{L_{q+\gamma/2}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N \geq 4$, by embedding $H_x^2 \rightarrow L_x^\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(G_1) &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N} |(W_{l+|\beta|\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha G, W_{l+|\beta|\gamma} \partial_\beta^\alpha F_R^\epsilon)| \\ &\lesssim C_{N,l} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,l+18}(f^\epsilon)} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_0^{N,l}(F_R^\epsilon)} + C_{N,l} \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3}} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_0^{N+3,l+18}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_0^{N,l}(F_R^\epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{N+3,l+18}(f^\epsilon) \geq \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3-j} \dot{H}_{\epsilon, l+18-3j+\gamma/2}^j}^2 \geq \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^{N+3-j} \dot{H}_{l+18-3j+\gamma/2}^j}^2$ for any $0 \leq j \leq N+3$. Then by the basic inequality $2ab \leq \delta a^2 + \delta^{-1} b^2$, we get the result. \square

We are ready to prove (1.37).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (Asymptotic formula). We give a detailed proof to the case $N = 4, l = 14$. For the other two cases, we only illustrate the main differences.

Case 1: $N = 4, l = 14$. In this case the constants M, L, K_j in (6.69) are universal. By (6.58) in Lemma 6.7 for $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f)$, (6.75) in Lemma 6.8 for $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_0^{N,j,l}(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)$, (6.78) in Lemma 6.8 for $\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)$, Lemma 6.10 for $\mathcal{X}^N(G_1)$, Lemma 6.11 for $\mathcal{V}^N(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{W}^{N,j,l}(G_1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) &\leq C(\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4} + \delta) \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) + \delta^{-1} C \|F_R^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(f) \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} C (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{7,32}(f^\epsilon) + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^7}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{7,32}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

For the moment, let δ_0 be the universal constant such that Theorem 6.1, global well-posedness (1.35) and propagation of regularity (1.36) in Theorem 1.1 are valid.

Let δ verify $C\delta = 1/16$. Let δ_4 be the largest number verifying $C(\delta_4 + \delta_4^{1/2}) \leq 1/16$, and $\delta_4 \leq \delta_0$. Let δ_5 be the largest number verifying $C\delta_5 \leq \delta_4$ and $\delta_5 \leq \delta_0$. Then by (1.35), if $\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0) \leq \delta_5$, we have $\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t)) \leq C\delta_5 \leq \delta_4$, which gives $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|f^\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \delta_4$ since $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon)$. Therefore $C(\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4} + \delta) \leq 1/8$ and thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Xi^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) \leq C \|F_R^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(f) + C (\mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{7,32}(f^\epsilon) + \|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^7}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^{7,32}(f)).$$

By the propagation result (6.30) in Theorem 6.1, we have for $\epsilon \geq 0$ small enough,

$$\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f^\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{7,32}(f^\epsilon(s)) ds \leq P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0)). \quad (6.80)$$

Recall the natural relation $\|F_R^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 \leq \Xi^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon)$, $\|f^\epsilon\|_{H_{x,v}^7}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f^\epsilon)$. By Gronwall's inequality and the initial condition $F_R^\epsilon(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Xi^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon(t)) + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(F_R^\epsilon) d\tau \\ &\leq \exp(C \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(f) dt) (C \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}_\epsilon^{7,32}(f^\epsilon) dt + C \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f^\epsilon(t)\|_{H_{x,v}^7}^2 \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}_0^{7,32}(f) dt) \\ &\leq C \exp(C P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0))) P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0)) (1 + P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0))). \end{aligned}$$

By the equivalence relation (6.31) and recalling $F_R^\epsilon(t) = |\ln \epsilon|(f^\epsilon - f)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t) - f(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_0^{4,14}(f^\epsilon(t) - f(t))d\tau \\ & \leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-2} C \exp(CP_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0))P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0)) (1 + P_{7,32}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0))) := |\ln \epsilon|^{-2} U_{4,14}(\mathcal{E}^{7,32}(f_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $N = 4, l > 14$. We use (6.76) in Lemma 6.8 to deal with $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_0^{N,j,l}(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)$. The other terms can be handled in the same way as in *Case 1*. We skip the details here.

Case 3: $N \geq 5, l \geq 3N + 2$. We use (6.59) in Lemma 6.7 to handle $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f)$, (6.77) in Lemma 6.8 to handle $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon^{N,j,l}(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_0^{N,j,l}(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)$, (6.79) in Lemma 6.8 to handle $\mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^N(f^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon, F_R^\epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0^N(F_R^\epsilon, f, F_R^\epsilon)$. As in the *Proof of Theorem 6.1*, we can apply mathematical induction on N and a sequence of functions $U_{N,l}$ can be defined in an iterating manner. We skip the details here. However, the smallness assumption on $\mathcal{E}^{4,14}(f_0)$ (bounded by a universal constant) is not affected in the process. \square

7. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we prove several results for the sake of completeness. We first recall the definition of the symbol class $S_{1,0}^m$.

Definition 7.1. A smooth function $a(v, \xi)$ is said to be a symbol of type $S_{1,0}^m$ if for any multi-indices α and β ,

$$|(\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_v^\beta a)(v, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|},$$

where $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a constant depending only on α and β .

The following is a result on the commutator between multipliers in frequency and phase spaces.

Lemma 7.1 (Lemma 5.3 in [14]). Let $l, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $M(\xi) \in S_{1,0}^r$ and $\Phi(v) \in S_{1,0}^l$. There holds

$$|[M(D), \Phi]f|_{H^s} \lesssim C|f|_{H_{t-1}^{r+s-1}}.$$

As a direct application, we get the following result.

Lemma 7.2. Recall the localizers φ_k in (1.62). There holds $\sum_{k \geq -1}^\infty |W^\epsilon(D)\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2$.

Proof. Since $W^\epsilon \in S_{1,0}^1$, $2^k \varphi_k \in S_{1,0}^1$, then by Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\sum_{k \geq -1}^\infty |W^\epsilon(D)\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{k \geq -1}^\infty 2^{-2k} |W^\epsilon(D)2^k \varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{k \geq -1}^\infty 2^{-2k} (|2^k \varphi_k W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{H^0}^2).$$

The lemma then follows accordingly. \square

Proposition 7.1 (Theorem 3.1 in [15]). Suppose $A^\epsilon(\xi) := \int b(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) \min\{|\xi|^2 \sin^2(\theta/2), 1\} d\sigma$. Then we have $A^\epsilon(\xi) \sim |\xi|^2 1_{|\xi| \leq 2} + 1_{|\xi| \geq 2} (W^\epsilon(\xi))^2$.

Proposition 7.2. There holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) h(u) (f(u^+) - f(\frac{|u|}{|u^+|} u^+)) d\sigma du = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) (\hat{h}(\xi^+) - \hat{h}(\frac{|\xi|}{|\xi^+|} \xi^+)) \bar{f}(\xi) d\sigma d\xi.$$

Proof. By Plancherel equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) h(u) f(\frac{|u|}{|u^+|} u^+) d\sigma du \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(u) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) f(\frac{|u|}{|u^+|} u^+) d\sigma \right) du = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{h}(\xi) \bar{F}(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $F(u) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) f(\frac{|u|}{|u^+|} u^+) d\sigma$. Let us derive the Fourier transform \hat{F} of F . By definition, we have

$$\hat{F}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-iu \cdot \xi} F(u) du = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-iu \cdot \xi} e^{i \frac{|u|}{|u^+|} u^+ \cdot \eta} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) \hat{f}(\eta) d\sigma d\eta du.$$

Since $\frac{|u|}{|u^+|}u^+ \cdot \eta = \frac{1}{2}((\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma + 1)/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(u \cdot \eta + |u||\eta|\frac{\eta}{|\eta|} \cdot \sigma)$, then by the fact $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\kappa \cdot \sigma)d(\tau \cdot \sigma)d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\tau \cdot \sigma)d(\kappa \cdot \sigma)d\sigma$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-iu \cdot \xi} e^{i\frac{|\eta|}{|\eta^+|}\eta^+ \cdot u} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) \hat{f}(\eta) d\sigma d\eta du \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{\eta}{|\eta|} \cdot \sigma) \hat{f}(\eta) \delta[\xi = \frac{|\eta|}{|\eta^+|}\eta^+] d\sigma d\eta,\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \sigma) h(u) f(\frac{|u|}{|u^+|}u^+) d\sigma du = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \sigma) \hat{h}(\frac{|\xi|}{|\xi^+|}\xi^+) \bar{\hat{f}}(\xi) d\sigma d\xi.$$

A similar argument works for the remainder term and then we get the proposition. \square

Lemma 7.3 (Lemma 5.8 in [14]). *Let \mathcal{F} be Fourier transform, then $\mathcal{F}W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}) = W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})\mathcal{F}$.*

The following lemma relies on some localization techniques in phase space and then in frequency space.

Lemma 7.4. *There holds*

$$\mathcal{A} := |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |f(v) - f(v/\cos \theta)|^2 dv d\theta \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. First applying dyadic decomposition in phase space and using the fact $1/\sqrt{2} \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ when $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} \left| \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} (\varphi_k f)(v) - \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} (\varphi_k f)(v/\cos \theta) \right|^2 dv d\theta \\ &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |(\varphi_k f)(v) - (\varphi_k f)(v/\cos \theta)|^2 dv d\theta := |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k.\end{aligned}$$

Using $\int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} d\theta \lesssim \epsilon^{-2}$, it is easy to check $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k \geq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_k \lesssim |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2$. For the case $2^k \leq 1/\epsilon$, by Plancherel's theorem and dyadic decomposition in frequency space, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_k &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |\widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi) - \cos^3 \theta \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |\widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi) - \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta + |\ln \epsilon| |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} \left| \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta) \right|^2 d\xi d\theta + |\ln \epsilon| |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta + |\ln \epsilon| |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &:= \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} + |\ln \epsilon| |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we use $\int_{\epsilon}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} (1 - \cos^3 \theta) d\theta \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|$. Note that $|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^l \geq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D)\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2$, thus

$$|\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \mathcal{A}_k \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} + |W^\epsilon(D)\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2.$$

By Lemma 7.2, we have

$$\mathcal{A} \lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} + |W^\epsilon(D)f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2.$$

For each pair k, l such that $2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2^{-k/2-l/2}} \theta^{-3} |(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{2^{-k/2-l/2}}^{\pi/4} \theta^{-3} |(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2^{-k/2-l/2}} \theta^{-3} |(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta)|^2 d\xi d\theta + 2^{l+k} |\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 := \mathcal{B}_{k,l} + 2^{l+k} |\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor expansion, $(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi) - (\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi \cos \theta) = (1 - \cos \theta) \int_0^1 (\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi(\kappa)) \cdot \xi d\kappa$, where $\xi(\kappa) = (1 - \kappa)\xi \cos \theta + \kappa\xi$. Thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{k,l} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2^{-k/2-l/2}} \int_0^1 \theta |\xi|^2 |(\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\xi(\kappa))|^2 d\xi d\theta d\kappa.$$

In the change of variable $\xi \rightarrow \eta = \xi(\kappa)$, the Jacobian is $|d\eta/d\xi| = ((1 - \kappa) \cos \theta + \kappa)^3 \sim 1$ since $1/\sqrt{2} \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$. By the change, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{k,l} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2^{-k/2-l/2}} \int_0^1 \theta \frac{|\eta|^2}{((1 - \kappa) \cos \theta + \kappa)^5} |\nabla(\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta)|^2 d\eta d\theta d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2^{-k/2-l/2}} \theta |\eta|^2 |(\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta)|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ &\lesssim 2^{-(l+k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\eta|^2 |\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta)|^2 d\eta \lesssim 2^{l+k} 2^{-2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta)|^2 d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta) = (\nabla \varphi_l)(\eta) \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta) + (\varphi_l \nabla \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta) = 2^{-l} (\nabla \varphi)(\eta/2^l) \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta) - i(\varphi_l v \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta)$, we have

$$|(\nabla \varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f})(\eta)|^2 \lesssim 2^{-2l} |\nabla \varphi|_{L^\infty}^2 |\widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta)|^2 + |\varphi_l v \widehat{\varphi_k f}(\eta)|^2,$$

which gives $\mathcal{B}_{k,l} \lesssim 2^{-(l+k)} |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{l-k} |\varphi_l v \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2$. We finally arrive at

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \lesssim 2^{-(l+k)} |\varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{l-k} |\varphi_l v \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{l+k} |\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 := \mathcal{A}_{k,l,1} + \mathcal{A}_{k,l,2} + \mathcal{A}_{k,l,3}.$$

The first term is estimated by $\sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l,1} \lesssim |f|_{L^2}^2$. In the following, we repeatedly use the fact $W^\epsilon(\xi) \gtrsim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1/2} \langle \xi \rangle$ for $|\xi| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$ in (2.6). For the second term $\mathcal{A}_{k,l,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l,2} &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{2l} 2^{-2k} |\varphi_l v_j \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{-2k} |W^\epsilon v_j \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{-2k} |W^\epsilon(D) v_j \varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we apply Lemma 7.1 to get that $|W^\epsilon(D) v_j \varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |v_j \varphi_k W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{H^0}^2$ thanks to $W^\epsilon \in S_{1,0}^1, v_j \varphi_k \in S_{1,0}^1$. As for the sum of the last term $\mathcal{A}_{k,l,3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{k,l,3} &\lesssim |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{2l} |\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 + |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k, 2^l \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{2k} |\varphi_l \widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} |W^\epsilon(D) \varphi_k f|_{L^2}^2 + |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{2^k \leq 1/\epsilon} 2^{2k} |\widehat{\varphi_k f}|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |W^\epsilon(D) f|_{L^2}^2 + |W^\epsilon f|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Patching together the above estimates, we finish the proof. \square

We now prepare a decomposition on the unit sphere. Let $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a non-negative and non-increasing function. Assume that $\omega(x) = 1$ on $[0, 2/3]$ and has support $[0, 3/4]$. Moreover, ω is strictly decreasing on $[2/3, 3/4]$.

In the following, let χ be a smooth non-decreasing function on \mathbb{R} verifying

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < -\frac{1}{10}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then it is easy to check for $u \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{u_j^2}{|u|^2}\right) \geq 1. \quad (7.1)$$

Indeed, since $\frac{u_1^2+u_2^2}{|u|^2} + \frac{u_2^2+u_3^2}{|u|^2} + \frac{u_3^2+u_1^2}{|u|^2} = 2$, at least one of them is no larger than $2/3$. Recalling $\omega(x) = 1$ when $x \in [0, 2/3]$, so we get (7.1).

Then for $u \neq 0, m = 1, 2, 3$, we define

$$\vartheta_{m+}(u) := \frac{\omega\left(\sum_{j \neq m} \frac{u_j^2}{|u|^2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{u_j^2}{|u|^2}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{u_m}{|u|}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_{m-}(u) := \frac{\omega\left(\sum_{j \neq m} \frac{u_j^2}{|u|^2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{u_j^2}{|u|^2}\right)} \chi\left(-\frac{u_m}{|u|}\right).$$

It is obvious $0 \leq \vartheta_{m\pm} \leq 1$. Note that the functions $\vartheta_{m\pm}$ are homogeneous of degree 0, so it suffices consider them on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Taking ϑ_{3+} for example, let us summarize its property in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.5. *For $u \in \mathbb{S}^2$, one has*

$$\vartheta_{3+}(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u_3 \geq \sqrt{3}/2; \\ 0, & \text{if } u_3 \leq 1/2. \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

$$\vartheta_{3+}(u) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u_3 \leq 1/2. \quad (7.3)$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^3 [\vartheta_{m+}(u) + \vartheta_{m-}(u)] = 1. \quad (7.4)$$

$$1/6 \leq \sum_{m=1}^3 [\vartheta_{m+}^2(u) + \vartheta_{m-}^2(u)] \leq 1. \quad (7.5)$$

The proof of Lemma 7.5 is elementary, so we skip it. We are ready to prove gain of anisotropic regularity.

Proposition 7.3. *Set $K^\epsilon(r) = |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} r^{-4} 1_{2\epsilon \leq r}$. For any smooth function f defined on \mathbb{S}^2 , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(\sigma) - f(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau + |f|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 \sim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 + |f|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2. \quad (7.6)$$

As a consequence, for any smooth function f defined on \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(r\sigma) - f(r\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) r^2 d\sigma d\tau dr + |f|_{L^2}^2 \sim |W^\epsilon((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2})f|_{L^2}^2 + |f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. We prove it in the spirit of [14]. By Lemma 5.4 of [14], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(\sigma) - f(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (f_l^m)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\sigma) - Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau,$$

where $f_l^m = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\sigma) Y_l^m(\sigma) d\sigma$. For simplicity, set

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\sigma) - Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau.$$

We set to analyze \mathcal{A}_l^ϵ . By (7.5) in Lemma 7.5, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \mathcal{A}_{l,i,j}^\epsilon \leq \mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \leq 6 \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \mathcal{A}_{l,i,j}^\epsilon, \quad (7.8)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{l,i,j}^\epsilon := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\sigma) - Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \vartheta_{ij}^2(\sigma) d\sigma d\tau$. Then due to the symmetric structure, we only need to focus on the estimate of

$$\mathcal{A}_{l,3,+}^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\sigma) - Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \vartheta_{3+}^2(\sigma) d\sigma d\tau.$$

We give a detailed proof to the \gtrsim direction in (7.6) while omit the proof of the \lesssim direction in (7.6). The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1: $\epsilon^2 l(l+1) \leq \eta^2$. Here $\eta > 0$ is a small constant which will be determined later. By (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{l,3,+}^\epsilon &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\sigma) - Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \vartheta_{3+}^2(\sigma) 1_{\sigma_3 \geq 1/2} d\sigma d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\sigma) - (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\tau) + (\vartheta_{3+}(\tau) - \vartheta_{3+}(\sigma)) Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) 1_{\sigma_3 \geq 1/2} d\sigma d\tau \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\sigma) - (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) 1_{\sigma_3 \geq 1/2} 1_{\tau_3 \geq \sqrt{5}/5} d\sigma d\tau \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |\vartheta_{3+}(\tau) - \vartheta_{3+}(\sigma)|^2 |Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) 1_{\sigma_3 \geq 1/2} 1_{\tau_3 \geq \sqrt{5}/5} d\sigma d\tau := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

By mean value theorem, one has for some $\kappa \in [0, 1]$,

$$\vartheta_{3+}(\tau) - \vartheta_{3+}(\sigma) = (\nabla \vartheta_{3+})(\kappa\tau + (1-\kappa)\sigma) \cdot (\tau - \sigma).$$

Since $\sigma_3 \geq 1/2, \tau_3 \geq \sqrt{5}/5$, then $\sqrt{5}/5 \leq |\kappa\tau + (1-\kappa)\sigma| \leq 1$. So we have

$$|\vartheta_{3+}(\tau) - \vartheta_{3+}(\sigma)| \leq |\nabla \vartheta_{3+}|_{L^\infty(B(\sqrt{5}/5, 1))} |\sigma - \tau| := C |\sigma - \tau|.$$

where $B(\sqrt{5}/5, 1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \sqrt{5}/5 \leq |x| \leq 1\}$. Here and in the following, C is a universal constant and it may change across different lines. Note that $\vartheta_{3+}(u)$ is smooth on $|u| > 0$ and then its derivative is bounded on the compact set $B(\sqrt{5}/5, 1)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 |\sigma - \tau|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\sigma - \tau|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma \right) d\tau \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following computation, $|\sigma - \tau| = 2 \sin(\theta/2)$, $d\sigma = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi = 4 \sin(\theta/2) d \sin(\theta/2) d\varphi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\sigma - \tau|^2 K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma &= 16 \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^3(\theta/2) K^\epsilon(2 \sin(\theta/2)) d \sin(\theta/2) d\varphi \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^2 K^\epsilon(r) r^3 dr \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Set $F_{3+}(x) := (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2})$ for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$. We make the following change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in \{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2 : \sigma_3 \geq 1/2\} \rightarrow x = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}\}, \\ \tau &= (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \{\tau \in \mathbb{S}^2 : \tau_3 \geq \sqrt{5}/5\} \rightarrow y = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the changes, the Jacobean is $\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}}$ and $\frac{d\tau}{dy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}}$. Let $K^{\epsilon,b}(r) := K^\epsilon(r) 1_{r \leq b}$ for $b \geq \epsilon$ and $m(\eta, l) := 4\sqrt{5}\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\sigma) - (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\tau)|^2 K^{\epsilon, m(\eta, l)}(|\sigma - \tau|) 1_{\sigma_3 \geq 1/2} 1_{\tau_3 \geq \sqrt{1/5}} d\sigma d\tau \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |F_{3+}(x) - F_{3+}(y)|^2 K^{\epsilon, m(\eta, l)}(d(x, y)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $d(x, y) := (|x - y|^2 + |\sqrt{1-|x|^2} - \sqrt{1-|y|^2}|^2)^{1/2} = |\sigma - \tau|$. Since $|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}$, it is elementary to derive $|x - y| \leq d(x, y) \leq \sqrt{5}|x - y|$. So $\epsilon \leq |x - y| \leq 4\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}$ gives $\epsilon \leq |\sigma - \tau| \leq 4\sqrt{5}\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}$, and thus

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \geq \frac{1}{5^2} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |F_{3+}(x) - F_{3+}(y)|^2 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x - y|) dx dy, \quad (7.9)$$

where $h(\eta, l) = 4\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}$. By Taylor expansion, and the basic inequality $(a-b)^2 \geq a^2/2 - b^2$, similar to (2.33), we have

$$|F_{3+}(y) - F_{3+}(x)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla F_{3+}(x) \cdot (y - x)|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |y - x|^4 \int_0^1 (1-\kappa)^2 |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(x + \kappa(y-x))|^2 d\kappa.$$

Plugging which into (7.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &\geq \frac{1}{50} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |\nabla F_{3+}(x) \cdot (y-x)|^2 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x-y|) dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{100} \int_0^1 \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} (1-\kappa)^2 |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(x + \kappa(y-x))|^2 |x-y|^4 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x-y|) d\kappa dx dy \\ &:= \frac{1}{50} \mathcal{I}_{1,1} - \frac{1}{100} \mathcal{I}_{1,2}.\end{aligned}$$

For x with $|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}$, if $|\nabla F_{3+}(x)| \neq 0$, set the unit vector $e = \nabla F_{3+}(x)/|\nabla F_{3+}(x)|$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1} = \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}} |\nabla F_{3+}(x)|^2 1_{|\nabla F_{3+}(x)| \neq 0} \left(\int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |e \cdot (y-x)|^2 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x-y|) dy \right) dx. \quad (7.10)$$

Note that if η is small enough, then for x with $|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}$, the condition $|x-y| \leq h(\eta, l) \leq 4\eta$ gives $|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |e \cdot (y-x)|^2 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x-y|) dy &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{\epsilon \leq |u| \leq 4\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}} \frac{|e \cdot u|^2}{|u|^4} du \\ &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\epsilon^{4\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{r} dr d\theta \\ &= \pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon| \right).\end{aligned}$$

Plugging which into (7.10), we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1} = \pi |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon| \right) \|F_{3+}\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{\sqrt{3/2}})}^2.$$

Let us deal with $\mathcal{I}_{1,2}$. For fixed κ, y , in the change of variable $u = x + \kappa(y-x)$, one has $du = (1-\kappa)^2 dx$. Since $u \in B(\kappa y, (1-\kappa)\sqrt{3/4}) \subset B(0, \sqrt{4/5})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{1,2} &= \int_0^1 \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{3/4}, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} (1-\kappa)^2 |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(x + \kappa(y-x))|^2 |x-y|^4 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}(|x-y|) d\kappa dx dy \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} \left(\int_{|u| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(u)|^2 (1-\kappa)^{-4} |u-y|^4 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}((1-\kappa)^{-1}|u-y|) du \right) d\kappa dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{|u| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(u)|^2 \left(\int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} (1-\kappa)^{-4} |u-y|^4 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}((1-\kappa)^{-1}|u-y|) dy \right) d\kappa du \\ &\leq \pi 16\eta^2 (l(l+1))^{-1} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_{|u| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |(\nabla^2 F_{3+})(u)|^2 (1-\kappa)^2 d\kappa du \\ &\leq 8\pi\eta^2 (l(l+1))^{-1} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \|F_{3+}\|_{\dot{H}^2(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we use

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} (1-\kappa)^{-4} |u-y|^4 K^{\epsilon, h(\eta, l)}((1-\kappa)^{-1}|u-y|) dy \\ &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} 1_{(1-\kappa)\epsilon \leq |u-y| \leq (1-\kappa)4\eta(l(l+1))^{-1/2}} dy \leq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \pi 16\eta^2 (l(l+1))^{-1} (1-\kappa)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Patching together the above estimates for $\mathcal{I}_{1,1}, \mathcal{I}_{1,2}, \mathcal{I}_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{l,3,+}^\epsilon &\geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} C_1 \left(\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon| \right) \|F_{3+}\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \\ &\quad - |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} C_2 \eta^2 (l(l+1))^{-1} \|F_{3+}\|_{\dot{H}^2(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 - C_3,\end{aligned}$$

for some universal constants C_1, C_2, C_3 . By the equivalence (7.8), the relations (7.18) and (7.19) in Lemma 7.6, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \left(C_1 \left(\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon| \right) - C_2 \eta^2 \right) l(l+1) - C_3.$$

Since $(l(l+1))^{1/2} \leq \eta\epsilon^{-1}$, we have $\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2}\ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon| \geq \ln 4$. By taking η small such that $C_2\eta^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}C_1 \ln 4$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}C_1 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (\ln(4\eta) - \frac{1}{2}\ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon|) l(l+1) - C_3.$$

Thanks to (2.52), we get

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln 4}{1 - \ln \eta} C_1 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} (1 - \frac{1}{2}\ln(l(l+1)) + |\ln \epsilon|) l(l+1) - C_3. \quad (7.11)$$

Step 2: $\epsilon^2 l(l+1) \geq R^2$. Let ζ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} with compact support verifying that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $\zeta(x) = 1$ if $4 \geq x \geq 2$ and $\zeta(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 1$ and $x \geq 5$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (|Y_l^m(\sigma)|^2 + |Y_l^m(\tau)|^2 - 2Y_l^m(\sigma)Y_l^m(\tau)) K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &\geq \mu_0 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} Y_l^m(\sigma)Y_l^m(\tau) K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau := \mu_0 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} - 2\mathcal{B}_l^\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

where we use $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\sigma - \tau|^{-4} 1_{2\epsilon \leq |\sigma - \tau| \leq 4\epsilon} d\sigma = \mu_0 |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2}$ for some constant μ_0 and $|Y_l^m|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} = 1$.

Since $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Y_l^m = l(l+1)Y_l^m$, by the decomposition (7.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l^\epsilon &= [l(l+1)]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Y_l^m(\sigma)Y_l^m(\tau) K^\epsilon(|\sigma - \tau|) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} [l(l+1)]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})(\vartheta_{ij}Y_l^m)(\sigma)Y_l^m(\tau) |\sigma - \tau|^{-4} \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &:= |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} [l(l+1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \mathcal{B}_{l,i,j}^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to consider $\mathcal{B}_{l,3,+}^\epsilon$. For simplicity, set $F(y) := Y_l^m(y_1, y_2, \sqrt{1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2})$. Recall $F_{3+}(x) = (\vartheta_{3+}Y_l^m)(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2})$. We make the following of change variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in \{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2, \sigma_3 \geq \sqrt{1/5}\} &\rightarrow x = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}\}, \\ \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \{\tau \in \mathbb{S}^2, \tau_3 \geq \sqrt{1/5}\} &\rightarrow y = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

In the above changes, the Jacobean is $\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}}$ and $\frac{d\tau}{dy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{l,3,+}^\epsilon &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})(\vartheta_{3+}Y_l^m)(\sigma)Y_l^m(\tau) |\sigma - \tau|^{-4} \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\Omega_{ij}^2 \vartheta_{3+}Y_l^m)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)) Y_l^m(\tau) |\sigma - \tau|^{-4} \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}|\sigma - \tau|) d\sigma d\tau \\ &:= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} I_{ij} = I_{13} + I_{23} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Omega_{ij} = \sigma_i \partial_j - \sigma_j \partial_i$. It is easy to see that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\partial_i F_{3+}(x)(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} (-\Omega_{i3}(\vartheta_{3+}Y_l^m))(x, \sqrt{1-|x|^2}),$$

which yields

$$(\Omega_{i3}^2(\vartheta_{3+}Y_l^m))(x, \sqrt{1-|x|^2}) = ((\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_i)^2 F_{3+})(x). \quad (7.14)$$

From which we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{13} &= - \int_{|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} ((\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1)^2 F_{3+})(x) F(y) d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}d(x, y)) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dx dy \\ &= - \int_{|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} ((\partial_1 \sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1) F_{3+})(x) F(y) d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1}d(x, y)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

For y with $|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}$, let us consider the following integral $I(y)$. Using integrating by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(y) &:= - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} ((\partial_1 \sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1 F_{3+})(x) d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} ((\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1 F_{3+})(x) \partial_1 (d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y)))) dx \\ &= - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} F_{3+}(x) \partial_1 \left(\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1 (d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) \right) dx \\ &:= - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} F_{3+}(x) K(x, y) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $K(x, y) := \partial_1 \left(\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1 (d^{-4}(x, y) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) \right)$. Note that we have used $\partial_1 F_{3+}(x) = F_{3+}(x) = 0$ on the boundary $|x| = \sqrt{4/5}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{13}| &= \left| \int_{|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} F_{3+}(x) F(y) K(x, y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{5} \left(\int_{|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |F_{3+}(x)|^2 |K(x, y)| dx dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |F(y)|^2 |K(x, y)| dx dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

Direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= (\partial_1 \sqrt{1-|x|^2}) (\partial_1 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) d^{-4} + \sqrt{1-|x|^2} (\partial_1^2 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) d^{-4} \\ &\quad + (\partial_1 \sqrt{1-|x|^2}) \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y)) \partial_1 d^{-4} + \sqrt{1-|x|^2} (\partial_1 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) \partial_1 d^{-4} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{1-|x|^2} \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y)) \partial_1^2 d^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x - y| \leq d(x, y) \leq \sqrt{5}|x - y| \leq 3|x - y|$ and ζ has support $[1, 5]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1-|x|^2} &\leq 1, |\partial_1 \sqrt{1-|x|^2}| \leq 2, \\ |(\partial_1 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) d^{-4}| &\leq C \epsilon^{-5} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}, |(\partial_1^2 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) d^{-4}| \leq C \epsilon^{-6} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}, \\ |\zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y)) \partial_1 d^{-4}| &\leq C \epsilon^{-5} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}, |(\partial_1 \zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y))) \partial_1 d^{-4}| \leq C \epsilon^{-5} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}, \\ |\zeta(\epsilon^{-1} d(x, y)) \partial_1^2 d^{-4}| &\leq C \epsilon^{-6} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Patching together the above estimates, we get $|K(x, y)| \leq C \epsilon^{-6} 1_{\epsilon/3 \leq |x-y| \leq 5\epsilon}$, which gives

$$\int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |K(x, y)| dx \leq C \epsilon^{-4}, \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{4/5}} |K(x, y)| dy \leq C \epsilon^{-4}.$$

Plugging which into (7.15), we have

$$|I_{13}| \leq C \epsilon^{-4} |F_{3+}|_{L^2(B(\sqrt{4/5}))} |F|_{L^2(B(\sqrt{4/5}))} C \epsilon^{-4}$$

where we use $|F_{3+}|_{L^2(B(\sqrt{4/5}))} \leq |Y_l^m|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} = 1$ and $|F|_{L^2(B(\sqrt{4/5}))} \leq |Y_l^m|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} = 1$. One can use similar techniques to deal with I_{23}, I_{12} and they also have upper bound $C \epsilon^{-4}$. Finally, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{B}_l^\epsilon \leq C |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} [l(l+1)]^{-1} \epsilon^{-4} \leq C R^{-2} |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2}.$$

From which together with (7.12), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} (\mu_0 - C R^{-2}). \quad (7.16)$$

Step 3: $\epsilon^2 l(l+1) \geq \eta^2$. Here η is fixed in *Step 1*. Since $\epsilon^2 l(l+1) \geq \eta^2$, then $(M\epsilon)^2 l(l+1) \geq M^2 \eta^2$. Choosing M large enough such that $C(M\eta)^{-2} \leq \mu_0/2$. Applying the estimate (7.16) with $\epsilon := M\epsilon, R := M\eta$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{A}_l^{M\epsilon} \geq |\ln(M\epsilon)|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} M^{-2} (\mu_0 - C(M\eta)^{-2}) \geq |\ln(M\epsilon)|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} M^{-2} \mu_0/2.$$

From which we get

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} |\ln(M\epsilon)| \mathcal{A}_l^{M\epsilon} \geq |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} M^{-2} \mu_0/2. \quad (7.17)$$

Patching together (7.11) and (7.17), recalling the definition of W^ϵ in (1.38), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_l^\epsilon \geq C_1 W^\epsilon ((l(l+1))^{1/2}) - C_2.$$

for some universal constants C_1 and C_2 , which ends the proof. \square

Remark 7.1. If we the function $K^\epsilon(r)$ in Proposition 7.3 is changed to $K^\epsilon(r) = |\ln \epsilon|^{-1} r^{-4} 1_{2 \geq r \geq 2\epsilon}$, the results in Proposition 7.3 are still valid.

Lemma 7.6. Let $F_{3+}(x) := (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2})$, $F_{3-}(x) := (\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(x_1, x_2, -\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2})$ for $x = (x_1, x_2)$. Similarly, F_{i+}, F_{i-} for $i = 1, 2$ can be defined. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \|F_{ij}\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \sim (l(l+1)), \quad (7.18)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \|F_{ij}\|_{\dot{H}^2(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \lesssim (l(l+1))^2. \quad (7.19)$$

Proof. Observe that $|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} ((-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m))(\sigma)(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(\sigma) d\sigma$. Thanks to the fact $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} f)(\sigma) = -\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\Omega_{ij}^2 f)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ with $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ and $\Omega_{ij} = \sigma_i \partial_j - \sigma_j \partial_i$, by the change of variable in (7.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 \\ &= - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\Omega_{ij}^2(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m))(x, \sqrt{1-|x|^2})(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)(x, \sqrt{1-|x|^2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (7.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 \\ &= - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} (\partial_1(\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_1) F_{3+}(x)) F_{3+}(x) dx - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} (\partial_2(\sqrt{1-|x|^2} \partial_2) F_{3+}(x)) F_{3+}(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} (\Omega_{12})^2 F_{3+}(x) F_{3+}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \sqrt{1-|x|^2} (|\partial_1 F_{3+}(x)|^2 + |\partial_2 F_{3+}(x)|^2) dx + \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \frac{|\Omega_{12} F_{3+}(x)|^2}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

It is easy to check the following two results,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \sqrt{1-|x|^2} (|\partial_1 F_{3+}(x)|^2 + |\partial_2 F_{3+}(x)|^2) dx \leq \|F_{3+}(x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2, \\ & \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \frac{|\Omega_{12} F_{3+}(x)|^2}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} dx \leq \sqrt{5} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} |(x_1 \partial_2 - x_2 \partial_1) F_{3+}(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{4\sqrt{5}}{5} \|F_{3+}(x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging which into (7.20), we get

$$|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 \leq (1 + \frac{4\sqrt{5}}{5}) \|F_{3+}(x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \leq 3 \|F_{3+}(x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2.$$

On the other direction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 &\geq \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} \sqrt{1-|x|^2} (|\partial_1 F_{3+}(x)|^2 + |\partial_2 F_{3+}(x)|^2) dx \\ &\geq \sqrt{1/5} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}} (|\partial_1 F_{3+}(x)|^2 + |\partial_2 F_{3+}(x)|^2) dx = \sqrt{1/5} \|F_{3+}\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we get $\|F_{3+}(x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \sim |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2$. Then by (7.4) and (7.5), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} \|F_{ij}\|_{\dot{H}^1(B_{2/\sqrt{5}})}^2 \sim \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=+,-} |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2}(\vartheta_{ij} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 \sim |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2} Y_l^m|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2.$$

We get (7.18) by the fact $|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{1/2} Y_l^m|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 = l(l+1)$. The second result (7.19) can be derived similarly by working on $|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m)|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})(\vartheta_{3+} Y_l^m))(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma$. We skip the details. \square

Acknowledgments. Ling-Bing He is supported by NSF of China under the grant 11771236. Yu-Long Zhou is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, under the grant 19lgpy242.

REFERENCES

- [1] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Laurent Desvillettes, Cédric Villani, and Bernt Wennberg. Entropy dissipation and long-range interactions. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 152(4):327–355, 2000.
- [2] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Yoshinori Morimoto, Seiji Ukai, Chao-Jiang Xu, and Tong Yang. Global existence and full regularity of the Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 304(2):513, 2011.
- [3] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Yoshinori Morimoto, Seiji Ukai, Chao-Jiang Xu, and Tong Yang. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(3):915–1010, 2012.
- [4] Radjesvarane Alexandre and Cédric Villani. On the Boltzmann equation for long-range interactions. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 55(1):30–70, 2002.
- [5] Radjesvarane Alexandre and Cédric Villani. On the Landau approximation in plasma physics. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, volume 21, pages 61–95. Elsevier, 2004.
- [6] Céline Baranger, Clément Mouhot, et al. Explicit spectral gap estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators with hard potentials. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 21(3):819–841, 2005.
- [7] Pierre Degond and Brigitte Lucquin-Desreux. The Fokker-Planck asymptotics of the Boltzmann collision operator in the Coulomb case. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 2(02):167–182, 1992.
- [8] Laurent Desvillettes. On asymptotics of the Boltzmann equation when the collisions become grazing. *Transport Theory and Statistical Physics*, 21(3):259–276, 1992.
- [9] Renjun Duan. On the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in the whole space: Global existence and uniform stability in $L^2_\xi(H^N_x)$. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 244(12):3204–3234, 2008.
- [10] Philip Gressman and Robert Strain. Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 24(3):771–847, 2011.
- [11] Yan Guo. The Landau equation in a periodic box. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 231(3):391–434, 2002.
- [12] Yan Guo. Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 169(4):305–353, 2003.
- [13] Yan Guo. The vlasov-poisson-landau system in a periodic box. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(3):759–812, 2012.
- [14] Ling-Bing He. Sharp bounds for Boltzmann and Landau collision operators. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 51(5):1253–1341, 2018.
- [15] Lingbing He and Xiongfeng Yang. Well-posedness and asymptotics of grazing collisions limit of Boltzmann equation with Coulomb interaction. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 46(6):4104–4165, 2014.
- [16] L. Landau. The transport equation in the case of Coulomb interactions. *Physik.Z.Sowjetunion*, 10(154), 1936.
- [17] E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii. *Physical Kinetics, Landau and Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 10 Pergamon*. 1981.
- [18] David C Montgomery and Derek A Tidman. Plasma kinetic theory. *McGraw-Hill Advanced Physics Monograph Series, New York: McGraw-Hill*, 1964.
- [19] Clément Mouhot. Explicit coercivity estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 31(9):1321–1348, 2006.
- [20] Donald Gary Swanson. *Plasma kinetic theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.
- [21] Cédric Villani. On a new class of weak solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 143(3):273–307, 1998.
- [22] CS Wang Chang and GE Uhlenbeck. On the propagation of sound in monatomic gases. *Studies in Statistical Mechanics*, pages 43–75, 1952.
- [23] Yu-Long Zhou. A refined estimate of the grazing limit from Boltzmann to Landau operator in Coulomb potential. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 100:106039, 2020.

(L.-B. He) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084, P. R. CHINA.
E-mail address: hlb@tsinghua.edu.cn

(Y.-L. Zhou) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510275, P. R. CHINA.
E-mail address: zhoyulong@mail.sysu.edu.cn