Universal correlations between the fragility and interparticle repulsion of glass-forming liquids

Peter Lunkenheimer^{1,a)}, Felix Humann¹, Alois Loidl¹, and Konrad Samwer²

AFFILIATIONS

¹Experimental Physics V, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, University of Augsburg, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

²I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: peter.lunkenheimer@physik.uni-augsburg.de

Abstract

A recently published analytical model, describing and predicting elasticity, viscosity, and fragility of metallic melts, is applied for the analysis of about 30 nonmetallic glassy systems, ranging from oxide network glasses to alcohols, low-molecular-weight liquids, polymers, plastic crystals, and even ionic glass formers. The model is based on the power-law exponent λ representing the steepness parameter of the repulsive part of the inter-atomic or -molecular potential and the thermal-expansion parameter α_T determined by the attractive anharmonic part of the effective interaction. It allows fitting the typical super-Arrhenius temperature variation of the viscosity or dielectric relaxation time for various classes of glass-forming matter, over many decades. We discuss the relation of the model parameters found for all these different glass-forming systems to the fragility parameter *m* and detect a correlation of λ and *m* for the non-metallic glass formers, in accord with the model predictions. Within the framework of this model, thus the fragility of glass formers can be traced back to microscopic model parameters characterizing the intermolecular interactions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite its outstanding importance in many areas of modern technology as well as in our daily life, the process of glassy freezing is hardly understood and controversially debated. Especially, to understand and model the enormous non-canonical variation of viscosity over many orders of magnitude in a rather narrow temperature range, which is typical for glass-forming matter, still is a great challenge.¹ From a large number of detailed experiments on the temperature dependence of the viscosity or the molecular relaxation dynamics for a variety of different glass formers, it was concluded that vitrification is not at all a simple kinetic phenomenon, but a cooperative slowing down of molecular dynamics. Two limiting cases were identified: (i) ideal Arrhenius behavior $\eta \propto \exp(\Delta/T)$, where Δ corresponds to an energy barrier in Kelvin or (ii) super-exponential temperature dependence, which can, e.g., be approximated by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) law.^{2,3,4} In the latter case, the viscosity is given by $\eta \propto \exp[B/(T-T_{\rm VF})]$, involving the characteristic Vogel-Fulcher temperature $T_{\rm VF}$. In case (i) the viscosity diverges at T = 0 K, while in case (ii) it diverges at $T = T_{VF}$, which may be regarded as the critical temperature of some kind of hidden phase transition, well below the glasstransition temperature T_g . This possible transition, however, is inaccessible because any glass former inevitably falls out of thermodynamic equilibrium when cooling it below T_g . Later on, Angell and coworkers^{5,6,7} proposed to classify glass formers according to their degree of deviation from Arrhenius behavior, defining "strong" and "fragile" liquids as those exhibiting weak or strong deviations, respectively. These deviations can be quantified by defining a fragility index m, which corresponds to the slope at T_g of the temperature-dependent viscosity or relaxation time τ in the Angell plot, η (or τ) vs. T_s/T .^{8,9} A value of $m \sim 16$ corresponds to the strongest glass formers, while the upper limit of fragility is $m \sim 170.^{10}$

To determine the temperature dependence of the viscosity, numerous experimental methods have been applied.1 In non-metallic supercooled liquids, dielectric spectroscopy is of outstanding importance to determine molecular relaxation times over extremely broad temperature and frequency regimes.^{11,12,13} Using this technique, the slowing down of molecular motion when approaching the glass transition under cooling can be recorded over more than 18 decades of frequency.^{11,13} Often the temperature dependence of the mean molecular relaxation times, as determined in a variety of experimental techniques, scales rather well with the viscosity.^{13,14} In the past, the VFT relation often has been successfully used to fit both viscosity and relaxation-time data in the range from the glass transition T_g up to high temperatures, deep in the low-viscosity liquid regime.6^{-11,13,15} However, this formula by no means is the only possibility to parameterize such broadband data and it was critically commented that there is no real compelling experimental evidence for the divergence of $\eta(T)$ or $\tau(T)$ below T_g , suggested by the VFT law.¹⁶ On the other hand, the existence of a diverging length scale and a concomitant finite critical temperature in glass-forming liquids was deduced from the analysis of third and fifth order dipolar non-linear susceptibilities.¹⁷

Depending on the system under investigation or on the range of data available for fitting, numerous relations have been suggested as alternatives to the VFT law and a variety of theoretical arguments has been developed to support one or the other of these relations. An early overview of possible parameterizations of the temperature dependence of viscosity or mean relaxation time was given by Angell *et al.*¹⁸ Further approaches were developed by Cohen and Grest,¹⁹ Kivelson *et al.*,²⁰ Souletie and Bertrand,²¹ Mirigian and Schweizer,^{22,23} Schmidtke *et al.*,^{24,25} and by Mauro and coworkers,²⁶ to name a few. For example, in the latter model the relaxation times or the viscosities diverge only in the zero-temperature limit. Mauro *et al.*²⁶ started from thermodynamic considerations using the Adam-Gibbs equation for entropy²⁷ to formulate an analytical model based on three parameters, which seems to fit many glass-forming liquids quite well. Despite the success of the Mauro model for certain materials,^{15,26} the basic understanding of the Adam-Gibbs-relation connecting viscosity and entropy is still a matter of controversy. Another approach to understand temperature-dependent viscosity changes on a microscopic basis was suggested by Aramov-Milchev, who used an atomic hopping picture to derive an alternative three-parameter fit.²⁸ A critical comparison of the qualities of some of the different parameterizations mentioned above can be found, e.g., in Refs. 15,29,30,31.

In 2015, Krausser, Samwer, and Zaccone (KSZ) presented a very different ansatz, where the high frequency (affine) shear modulus of the glass-forming liquid is proposed to be the driving parameter of the viscosity.³² Using the shoving model^{33,34} KSZ employed the Born-Huang-ansatz for crystals³⁵ to

derive the shear modulus and its temperature dependence from the loss of connectivity of the atoms or molecules due to thermal expansion, where the thermal-expansion parameter α_T is determined by the anharmonic part of the attractive potential and a power exponent λ covers the repulsive part of the potential^{32,36} The latter is also termed steepness parameter, describing the strong increase of the potential due to the Born-Mayer electron overlap repulsion. The predictive power of this model was tested for a series of La-based metallic melts.³⁷ In this KSZ model, the shear modulus *G* depends exponentially on temperature via a prefactor $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$. An exponential decay of *G* vs *T* has been shown by ultrasound measurements and, even more convincingly, by molecular-dynamics simulations.³⁸ Since the viscosity η itself depends exponentially on *G*, the viscosity becomes a doubleexponential function on *T* as

$$\eta(T) = \eta_0 \exp\left\{\frac{V_c C_G}{k_B T} \exp\left[(2+\lambda)\alpha_T T_g \left(1-\frac{T}{T_g}\right)\right]\right\}$$
(1).

Here η_0 is a normalization constant, V_c is the activation or shear-transformation zone volume, C_G is a temperature independent prefactor, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, and T_g the glass-transition temperature (see Ref. 32 for more details). Equation (1) essentially is a three-parameter function (with an additional parameter T_g taken from literature). We want to remark that this approach is not just another fitting function of the super-Arrhenius behavior of the vitrification process, but it is a model prediction using well-defined microscopic parameters. One should note that Eq. (1) can also be formulated without including T_g ,³² which is not a precisely defined material property but somewhat depends on its definition and measurement method. For this purpose, one can use equations (4) and (5) of Ref. 32, both providing expressions for the high-frequency shear modulus G(T), without or with T_g , respectively, the latter being contained in our eq. (1). This leads to: $\eta(T) = \eta_0 \exp\{c/T\}$ $\exp[-(2+\lambda)\alpha_T T]$ with the fit-parameters η_0 , c, and $(2+\lambda)\alpha_T$. Here c is the product of several temperature-independent model parameters that cannot be independently determined in the fits. In any case, it should be noted that, even in the original model prediction, eq. (1), the most relevant fit parameter λ (or $(2+\lambda)\alpha_T$ if α_T is unknown) does not depend on the choice of T_g because, essentially, the T_g -dependent part of the argument of the second exponential simply represents a prefactor. Moreover, fits with eq. (1) or the T_g -free version of the equation, given above, lead to the same λ .

It is well known that the non-Arrhenius temperature dependence of glassy dynamics is a universal property of all glass-forming matter. Thus one may ask whether the KSZ model also is applicable to non-metallic glass formers. Here we present an extension of the KSZ model to describe, in addition to metallic glass-forming liquids, a variety of strong and fragile glass formers, including hydrogen and van-der-Waals bonded molecular systems, ionic liquids, covalent-network systems, polymers, and plastic crystals. We systematically modelled 31 systems with the approach of the KSZ model and fitted the mean dipolar relaxation time τ of most of these systems. To elucidate the predictive power of the model and the correlations of the main parameters, in the following we discuss in detail several representative systems. Further results for all systems analyzed in the course of this work are shown in the supplementary material (SM). We find that the KSZ model indeed allows describing very different glass-forming systems. We explore the relation of the main fitting parameter $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ (and of λ , for systems where α_T is known) to the fragility *m*.

II. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In the following, we will mainly compare the temperature dependence of the mean relaxation time τ as previously determined by dielectric spectroscopy performed by the Augsburg group, in many cases over more than 10 decades of time, with the elastic model outlined above. A brief summary of the dielectric measuring techniques used to obtain these broadband data for frequencies ranging from the mHz up to the GHz regime can be found in Refs. 11 and 39.

A. Comparison of the analysis of broadband experiments using the VFT, the Mauro, and the KSZ model.

Below, we will present the temperature dependence of mean relaxation times as determined by dielectric spectroscopy of four out of the large variety of investigated systems, belonging to very different classes of disordered matter. Information on all the materials investigated or analyzed in the course of this work is documented in the SM. Figure 1(a) represents an Arrhenius plot of the mean relaxation times of glycerol,¹⁵ which is a prime example of low-molecular-weight glass-forming liquids and one of the most thoroughly investigated glass-forming system. Representative spectra of the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric permittivity covering more than 18 decades in frequency can be found in Ref. 29. From these and similar results, the temperature dependence of τ was extracted by simultaneous fits of the real and imaginary part. In addition, results from aging experiments at $T < T_g$ (Ref. 40) were included in the final relaxation map which extends over 16 decades of time.

A critical comparison of fits of broadband relaxation-time data using the Mauro formula and the VFT law was reported earlier by some of the authors.¹⁵ In the following, we in addition provide fits of an extended data basis with the newly proposed KSZ model and compare the outcome with the two other approaches. The best fits of the glycerol data, utilizing the VFT law, the Mauro equation, and the KSZ model, are shown by the lines in Fig. 1(a). The KSZ model provides an almost as good fit as the VFT and Mauro equation, with slight deviations at low and very high temperatures. The parameter values for $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ obtained for this and the other materials are given in Table S1 of the SM. During the fits with Eq. (1), for glycerol and the other systems the glass temperature T_g always was fixed to the known literature values (Table S1). As shown in detail in the SM, in a similar way we also analyzed the dielectric relaxation times of various other molecular glass formers built by polar molecules, including both, hydrogen and van-der-Waals bonded systems,^{15,40,41,42,43,44,45,46} essentially leading to the same result: the KSZ model is able to provide a reasonable description of the typical super-Arrhenius behavior of all these glass formers.




FIG. 1. Arrhenius representation of the mean relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy. (a) Results of the low-molecular-weight supercooled-liquid glycerol. The experimental data were taken from Ref. 15. (b) Results as determined in the ionic conductor CKN. Here the mean relaxation times were determined from fits of the modulus function.⁴³ The lines show fits of the experimental results using the VFT, the Mauro, as well as the KSZ model.

Figure 1(b) shows the relaxation time as a function of the inverse temperature for the ionic melt [Ca(NO₃)₂]_{0.4}[KNO₃]_{0.6} (CKN). In the case of this ionically conducting molten salt, the mean relaxation times were determined from an analysis of the modulus function,^{43,47} which corresponds to the inverse of the complex permittivity.⁴⁸ Again, this glass former reveals a clear super-Arrhenius behavior extending over almost 10 time decades. As documented in Fig. 1(b), the mean relaxation times of

CKN are best described by the KSZ model, which even matches the high-temperature behavior quite reasonably and significantly better than the empirical VFT equation or the Mauro entropy model. A corresponding analysis of broadband relaxation-time data of several other ionically conducting glass formers,^{49,50,51} including various ionic liquids⁵⁰ and a deep eutectic solvent,^{51,52} also revealed good fits by the KSZ model (see SM for details). In the latter two material classes, in contrast to CKN, reorientational motions of dipolar entities are possible, enabling the analysis of the dielectric permittivity instead of the modulus (see Refs. 50 and 52 for details).




FIG. 2. Mean relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy of the polymer PEO:LiTFSI⁵³ (a) and of the plastic crystal cyclo-octanol (b).⁵⁴ The super-Arrhenius behavior in both compounds is fitted by the VFT law, the Mauro model, as well as the KSZ model.

Figure 2(a) presents the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for a polymeric system doped with a lithium salt, taken from Do *et al.*⁵³ Due to its excellent ion-transport ability, polyethylene oxide (PEO) is one of the most widely studied polymers for the future use as solid electrolyte in lithium-ion batteries. In the example documented in Fig. 2(a), the polymer was doped with lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imde (LiTFSI). Here the temperature-dependent relaxation times span nine decades. The found super-Arrhenius behavior again was analyzed in terms of the VFT, Mauro, and KSZ formulae as indicated by the lines in Fig. 2(a). The KSZ model obviously provides a convincing fit of the experimental data, which is of similar quality as for the other approaches.

Figure 2(b) shows the relaxation dynamics as determined in a plastic crystal.⁵⁴ Plastic crystals are a specific class of disordered matter, with long-range order of the center-of-mass lattice, formed by asymmetric molecules, but with dynamically disordered orientational degrees of freedom. The freezing process of the latter often resembles the cooperative behavior also found for dipolar supercooled liquids and exhibits super-Arrhenius behavior.⁵⁵ Hence, plastic crystals are often considered as model systems for glassy freezing. Figure 2(b) presents results for the temperature dependence of the mean relaxation times as determined in plastic-crystalline cyclo-octanol,⁵⁴ covering more than 11 decades of time, which again can be reasonably well fitted by the KSZ model, Eq. (1). Similar findings were obtained for $\tau(T)$ of three other plastic crystals^{55,56,57} as shown in the SM.

Finally, we discuss the case of the prototypical glass-forming oxide silica (SiO₂), which, according to Angell's classification scheme,5 belongs to the strongest of all glass-forming materials (m = 20; Ref. 7) like most of the inorganic tetrahedrally coordinated network liquids. Dielectric spectroscopy cannot provide information on the dynamics in this material, due to the lack of dipolar moments or mobile ions. Thus, we analyzed its viscosity, taken from the literature,^{5,58,59} which rather closely follows

Arrhenius behavior. As documented in Ref. 5, the Arrhenius plot of η covers about 9 decades as reproduced in Fig. 3. In this plot, the dash-dotted line indicates the maximum strong behavior, using the common value of 10^{13} P for the viscosity at T_g (1446 K in this case5) and the approach of a value of 10^{-4} P for $T \rightarrow \infty$ as assumed in Fig. 3 of Ref. 5. Small but significant deviations from this ideal strong temperature dependence are clearly identified. As shown by the solid lines in Fig. 3, the temperature-dependent viscosity can be equally well described utilizing the VFT and Mauro formulas or the KSZ model (due to the sparse data base, during the fits we fixed η_0 to a value of 10^{-4} P as suggested by the Angell plot, Fig. 3 of Ref. 5). We also analyzed the viscosity results from literature for three more strong glass formers,^{60,61,62,63} also leading to a good description by Eq. 1 (see SM).




FIG. 3. Arrhenius plot of the viscosity of SiO₂. The viscosity data are reproduced from Ref. 5 and fitted using the KSZ, VFT, and Mauro equations. In all cases, $\eta_0 = \eta(T \rightarrow \infty)$ was fixed to 10⁻⁴ P.5 The dash-dotted line indicates ideal Arrhenius behavior assuming $\eta(T_g) = 10^{13}$ P and $\eta_0 = 10^{-4}$ P.5

In all cases presented here and in the SM, we found reasonable agreement of the experimental results of the temperature dependence of the mean relaxation times or viscosities with the KSZ model prediction, Eq. (1). This is true, despite the fact that the glass-forming liquids investigated in the course of this work cover very different material classes, including hydrogen and van-der-Waals bonded molecular materials, covalent-network glass formers, ionic melts and liquids, polymers, and even plastic crystals. One should be aware that sometimes it is assumed that $\tau(T)$ or $\eta(T)$ show a crossover from super-Arrhenius into pure Arrhenius behavior in the low-viscosity regime at high temperatures.^{64,65} Indeed, there are several models that explicitly involve such a crossover^{20,22,23,24,25} which were applied to describe experimental data, e.g., in Refs. 24,25,29,30,39,41. However, in the above analysis the KSZ model, not assuming such a transition, is tested and compared with other models within the complete dynamic range from T_g well into the low-viscosity liquid. From our point of view this is an unbiased approach. In what follows, we will present and discuss scaling and correlations of the λ parameter with *m* for all these liquids with very different types of bonding and covering the complete range from very strong to very fragile glass formers.

B. Correlations of steepness parameter λ and thermal-expansion parameter α_T

As mentioned in the beginning, the KSZ model, which goes back to the Born-Huang-ansatz and the shoving-model, significantly depends on two parameters, mainly representing the repulsive and attractive parts of the molecular pair potential. Starting from this ansatz, the connectivity is modelled and concomitantly the shear modulus evaluated. Using the shear modulus as the dominant thermodynamic variable, the viscosity can be computed resulting in a double-exponential functional

(Eq. 1). The prefactor of the second exponential function is determined by $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ times the glasstransition temperature. While T_g is known for all investigated systems, this is not the case for α_T . Therefore, $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ was used as free fitting parameter. In Fig. 4(a), we check for a possible correlation of this parameter with the fragility by plotting it versus *m* for all materials analyzed in the present work (a list of all materials and their parameters is given in Table S1 of the SM). In addition, we also include results for four metallic glass formers with known *m*, taken from Ref. 32. For most systems, an overall linear dependence of the parameter $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ as function of fragility can be identified. The most fragile glass-forming liquids are represented by compounds with predominantly ionic type of bonding, where *m* exhibits values up to 150. For these systems, we get a value of 0.03 for the combined parameter $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$.




FIG. 4. Correlations of steepness parameter λ and thermal-expansion parameter α_T for the variety of different non-metallic glass formers analyzed in the course of this work (see Table S1 in the SM for a complete list). In addition, data for four metallic glass formers from Ref. 32 are included.⁶⁶ (a) Plot of the fit parameter $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ versus the fragility index *m*. The dashed line indicates an approximately linear correlation of the two quantities. Materials that strongly deviate from this correlation are labeled in the figure. (b) Correlation of the steepness parameter λ and *m* for the systems with known α_T . The dashed line is a fit of all non-metal data points, assuming direct proportionality $\lambda = s m$, which leads to $s \approx 0.48$.

One should be aware that the thermal-expansion coefficients, related to the anharmonic attractive part of the pair potential, strongly varies from alcohols (of order 10^{-3} K⁻¹) over covalent systems like Na₂Si₂O₅ (around 10^{-4} K⁻¹) to metallic glasses (typically 10^{-5} K⁻¹). These values span roughly two decades and demonstrate the enormous variety of non-harmonic interactions. This may partly explain the considerable deviations from the suggested correlation of the combined parameter $(2 + \lambda) \alpha_T$ and *m*, observed for several glass formers in Fig. 4(a). Therefore, we make use of the experimental data basis known for the thermal-expansion coefficient (see Table S1 in SM) to calculate λ . Here we used α_T in the liquid region, as the model is applied for temperatures $T > T_g$. The obtained λ values are plotted in Fig. 4(b) vs. the fragility index. The thermal-expansion values are not known for all systems represented in Fig. 4(a) and, hence, the data basis is somewhat reduced. However, Fig. 4(b), where λ is plotted vs. m, still is a representative plot for most of the different categories of glass-forming liquids. For all materials, except the metallic glasses, we find a significant correlation of both quantities with much reduced scatter compared to frame (a). Obviously, for increasing m the steepness parameter of the repulsive part of the pair potential increases significantly. This correlation now also includes glass formers like ethanol or propylene carbonate that revealed strong deviations in Fig. 4(a). On the other hand, the λ values of the metallic glass formers, deduced⁶⁶ from the fits in Ref. 32, clearly deviate from the universal correlation of λ and m found for the other materials. The dashed line in Fig. 4(b) is a linear fit of all non-metal data points, suggesting a direct proportionality, $\lambda = s \cdot m$ with $s \approx 0.48$. Notably, the KSZ model also predicts a linear relation between λ and m [cf. Eq. (7) in Ref. 32], however, with an intercept $\lambda(m=0)$ below zero. This intercept can be estimated, based on the values of α_T and T_g in Table S1 of the SM, to be of the order of -12, which is compatible with the experimental data in Fig. 4(b).

Within the KSZ model, λ represents the power-law exponent used to describe the repulsive part of the interaction potential. Power-law exponents of 50-60 or more, at first glance may seem hard to understand concerning their physical meaning. Thus a power law only may be regarded as a formal description of the potential, which certainly deserves further theoretical consideration in the future.

III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated that the use of a purely mechanical ansatz based on the Born-Huang-equation for the shear modulus and using the shoving model to calculate the viscosity, which so far has been applied to metallic glasses only,^{32,36,37} can be successfully expanded to a large variety of non-metallic glass formers, including molecular supercooled liquids like glycerol, liquid salts, polymers, covalent-network systems, and even plastic crystals. In most of these materials, we used the mean relaxation times instead of the viscosities for the analysis. Indeed, the scaling of dipolar relaxation times with viscosity data has been proven experimentally for a variety of glass-forming liquids.^{13,14} Here we have employed this ansatz for the analytical description of the temperature-dependent change in τ or η over more than 10 decades of time or viscosity. The parameters used in this model are based on a steepness parameter λ , characterizing the strength of the repulsive part of the anharmonic attractive part. In the present work, we demonstrate for a variety of glassy systems that this microscopic ansatz provides an at least as good fit of the super-Arrhenius behavior, as can be achieved utilizing the phenomenological VFT law or the Mauro equation, recently proposed as an alternative to the VFT equation.

In addition to the fact that this microscopic ansatz provides reasonable fits to the viscosities or the mean relaxation times of a large number of glassy systems, this model predicts a linear dependence of the fragility *m* on the steepness of the interatomic potential λ .³² Using the values of λ as obtained from the fits of the experimental data and the fragilities as listed in Table S1, we indeed find such a linear dependence, well compatible with the model predictions. Thus, the microscopic model proposed by Krausser, Samwer, and Zaccone,³² not only describes the super-Arrhenius behavior of a variety of glass formers, but also hints to a universal dependence of the fragility on the repulsive part of the intermolecular potential. The fact that this model is based on microscopic model considerations and in some respect "explains" fragility by tracing it back to microscopic model parameters, makes it stand out from most of the numerous other alternatives to the empirical VFT approach that were proposed during the past decades.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for relaxation-time plots with fits for all systems analyzed in the present work and for a table with a list of all materials and their parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.S. is thankful for numerous discussions with A. Zaccone, A. Lagoggiani, and Z. Wang. K.S. also received support from the DFG via the Leibniz-Programm and the SFB 1073.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary material.

REFERENCES

- ¹ A collection of articles can be found in *Advances in Dielectrics: The Scaling of Relaxation processes*, edited by F. Kremer and A. Loidl (Springer, Cham, 2018) as well as in *Structural Glasses and Supercooled Liquids*, edited by P. G. Wolynes and V. Lubchenko (Wiley, Hoboken, 2012).
- ² H. Vogel, "Das Temperaturabhängigkeitsgesetz der Viskosität von Flüssigkeiten," Phys. Z. 22, 645 (1921).
- ³ G. S. Fulcher, "Analysis of recent measurements of the viscosity of glasses," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **8**, 339 (1923).
- ⁴ G. Tammann and W. Hesse, "Die Abhängigkeit der Viskosität von der Temperatur bei unterkühlten Flüssigkeiten," Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **156**, 245 (1926).
- ⁵ C. A. Angell, "Strong and fragile liquids," in *Relaxations in Complex Systems*, edited by K. L. Ngai and G. B. Wright (NRL, Washington, DC, 1985), p. 3.
- ⁶ C. A. Angell, K. L. Ngai, and G. B. McKenna, P. F. McMillan, S. W. Martin, "Relaxation in glassforming liquids and amorphous solids," J. Appl. Phys. 88, 3113 (2000).
- ⁷ R. Böhmer, K. L. Ngai, and C. A. Angell, D. J. Plazek, "Nonexponential relaxations in strong and fragile glass formers," J. Chem. Phys. 99, 4201 (1993).
- ⁸ D. J. Plazek and K. L. Ngai, "Correlation of Polymer Segmental Chain Dynamics with Temperature-Dependent Time-Scale Shifts," Macromolecules **24**, 1222 (1991).
- ⁹ R. Böhmer and C. A. Angell, "Correlations of the nonexponentiality and state dependence of mechanical relaxations with bond connectivity in Ge-As-Se supercooled liquids," Phys. Rev. B **45**, 10091 (1992).
- ¹⁰ L.-M. Wang, C. A. Angell, and R. Richert, "Fragility and thermodynamics in nonpolymeric glass-forming liquids," J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 074505 (2006).
- ¹¹ P. Lunkenheimer, U. Schneider, R. Brand, and A. Loidl, "Glassy dynamics," Contemp. Phys. 41, 15 (2000).
- ¹² see collection of articles in *Broadband Dielectric Spectroscopy*, edited by F. Kremer and A. Schönhals (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
- ¹³ P. Lunkenheimer and A. Loidl, "Glassy dynamics: From millihertz to terahertz," in *The Scaling of Relaxation processes*, edited by F. Kremer and A. Loidl (Springer, Cham, 2018), p. 23.
- ¹⁴ Z. Chen, C. A. Angell, and R. Richert, "On the dynamics of liquids in their viscous regime approaching the glass transition," Eur. Phys. J. E **35**, 65 (2012).
- ¹⁵ P. Lunkenheimer, S. Kastner, M. Köhler, and A. Loidl, "Temperature development of glassy α-relaxation dynamics determined by broadband dielectric spectroscopy," Phys. Rev. E **81**, 051504 (2010).
- ¹⁶ T. Hecksher, A. I. Nielsen, N. B. Olsen, and J. C. Dyre, "Little evidence for dynamic divergences in ultraviscous molecular liquids," Nat. Phys. 4, 737, (2008).
- ¹⁷ S. Albert, Th. Bauer, M. Michl, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, A. Loidl, P. Lunkenheimer, R. Tourbot, C. Wiertel-Gasquet, and F. Ladieu, "Fifth-order susceptibility unveils growth of thermodynamic amorphous order in glass-formers," Science **352**, 1308 (2016).
- ¹⁸ C. A. Angell, P. H. Poole, and J. Shao, "Glass-Forming Liquids, Anomalous Liquids, and Polyamorphism in Liquids and Biopolymers," Il Nuovo Cimento 16, 993 (1994).
- ¹⁹ M. H. Cohen and G. S. Grest, "Liquid-glass transition, a free-volume approach," Phys. Rev. B **20**, 1077 (1979).
- ²⁰ D. Kivelson, S. A. Kivelson, X. Zhao, Z. Nussinov, and G. Tarjus, "A thermodynamic theory of supercooled liquids", Physica A 219, 27 (1995).
- ²¹ J. Souletie and D. Bertrand, "Glasses and spin glasses: a parallel," J. Phys. I France 1, 1627 (1991).
- ²² S. Mirigian and K. S. Schweizer, "Elastically cooperative activated barrier hopping theory of relaxation in viscous fluids. I. General formulation and application to hard sphere fluids," J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 194506 (2014).
- ²³ S. Mirigian and K. S. Schweizer, "Elastically cooperative activated barrier hopping theory of relaxation in viscous fluids. II. Thermal liquids," J. Chem. Phys. 140, 194507 (2014).
- ²⁴ B. Schmidtke, N. Petzold, R. Kahlau, M. Hofmann, and E. A. Rössler, "From boiling point to glass transition temperature: Transport coefficients in molecular liquids follow three-parameter scaling," Phys. Rev. E 86, 041507 (2012).

- ²⁵ B. Schmidtke, N. Petzold, R. Kahlau, and E. A. Rössler, "Reorientational dynamics in molecular liquids as revealed by dynamic light scattering: From boiling point to glass transition temperature," J. Chem. Phys. **139**, 084504 (2013).
- ²⁶ J. C. Mauro, Y. Yueb, A. J. Ellisona, P. K. Gupta, and D. C. Allana, "Viscosity of glass-forming liquids," PNAS 106, 19780 (2009).
- ²⁷ G. Adam and J. H. Gibbs, "On the Temperature Dependence of Cooperative Relaxation Properties in Glass-Forming Liquids," J. Chem. Phys. **43**, 139 (1965).
- ²⁸ I. Aramov and A. Milchev, "Effect of disorder on diffusion and viscosity in condensed systems," J Non-Cryst. Solids 104, 253 (1988)
- ²⁹ P. Lunkenheimer and A. Loidl, "Dielectric spectroscopy of glass-forming materials: α-relaxation and excess wing," Chem. Phys. **284**, 205 (2002).
- ³⁰ V. A. Popova and N. V. Surovtsev, "Transition from Arrhenius to non-Arrhenius temperature dependence of structural relaxation time in glass-forming liquids: Continuous versus discontinuous scenario", Phys. Rev. E 90, 032308 (2014).
- ³¹ F. Kremer and A. Loidl, "The scaling of relaxation processes-revisited," in *The Scaling of Relaxation processes*, edited by F. Kremer and A. Loidl (Springer, Cham, 2018), p. 1
- ³² J. Krausser, K. H. Samwer, and A. Zaccone, "Interatomic repulsion softness directly controls the fragility of supercooled metallic melts," PNAS 112, 13762 (2015).
- ³³ J. C. Dyre, "Source of non-Arrhenius average relaxation time in glass-forming liquids," J. Non-Cryst. Solids 235-237, 142 (1998).
- ³⁴ J. C. Dyre, "Colloquium: The glass transition and elastic models of glass-forming liquids," Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 953 (2006).
- ³⁵ M. Born and K. Huang, "Dynamical theory of crystal lattices" (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1954).
- ³⁶ J. Krausser, A. E. Lagogianni, K. Samwer, and A. Zaccone, "Disentangling interatomic repulsion and anharmonicity in the viscosity and fragility of glasses," Phys. Rev. B 95, 104203 (2017).
- ³⁷ Z. Wang, F. Yang, A. Bernasconi, K. Samwer, and A. Meyer, "Predicting structural and dynamical behavior of La-based glasses and melts from the anharmonicity in their interatomic potential," Phys. Rev. B 98, 024204 (2018).
- ³⁸ W. L. Johnson, M. Demetriou, J. Harmon, M. L. Lind, and K. Samwer, "Rheology and Ultrasonic Properties of Metallic Glass-Forming Liquids: A Potential Energy Landscape Perspective," MRS Bulletin **32**, 644 (2007) and Gang Duan *et al.* (private communication).
- ³⁹ U. Schneider, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Pimenov, R. Brand, and A. Loidl, "Wide range dielectric spectroscopy on glass-forming materials: An experimental overview," Ferroelectrics **249**, 89 (2001).
- ⁴⁰ P. Lunkenheimer, R. Wehn, U. Schneider, and A. Loidl, "Glassy Aging Dynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 055702 (2005).
- ⁴¹ U. Schneider, P. Lunkenheimer, R. Brand, and A. Loidl, "Broadband dielectric spectroscopy on glassforming propylene carbonate," Phys. Rev. E **59**, 6924 (1999).
- ⁴² R. Brand, P. Lunkenheimer, U. Schneider, and A. Loidl, "Excess wing in the dielectric loss of glass-forming ethanol: A relaxation process," Phys. Rev. B 62, 8878 (2000).
- ⁴³ R. Wehn, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, "Broadband dielectric spectroscopy and aging of glass formers," J. Non-Cryst. Solids **353**, 3862 (2007).
- ⁴⁴ P. Lunkenheimer, L. C. Pardo, M. Köhler, and A. Loidl, "Broadband dielectric spectroscopy on benzophenone: α relaxation, β relaxation, and mode coupling theory," Phys. Rev. E **77**, 031506 (2008).
- ⁴⁵ M. Köhler, P. Lunkenheimer, Y. Goncharov, R. Wehn, and A. Loidl, "Glassy dynamics in mono-, di-, and tri-propylene glycol: From the α- to the fast β-relaxation," J. Non-Cryst. Solids **356**, 529 (2010).
- ⁴⁶ P. Sillrén, A. Matic, M. Karlsson, M. Koza, M. Maccarini, P. Fouquet, M. Götz, Th. Bauer, R. Gulich, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, J. Mattsson, C. Gainaru, E. Vynokur, S. Schildmann, S. Bauer, and R. Böhmer, "Liquid 1-propanol studied by neutron scattering, near-infrared, and dielectric spectroscopy," J. Chem. Phys. 140, 124501 (2014).
- ⁴⁷ A. Pimenov, P. Lunkenheimer, H. Rall, R. Kohlhaas, A. Loidl, and R. Böhmer, "Ion transport in the fragile glass former 3KNO₃-2Ca(NO₃)₂," Phys. Rev. E **54**, 676 (1996).
- ⁴⁸ P. B. Macedo, C. T. Moynihan, and R. Bose, "The role of ionic diffusion in polarisation in vitreous ionic conductors," Phys. Chem. Glasses 13, 171 (1972).
- ⁴⁹ A. Pimenov, P. Lunkenheimer, M. Nicklas, R. Böhmer, A. Loidl, and C. A. Angell, "Ionic transport and heat capacity of glass-forming metal-nitrate mixtures," J. Non-Cryst. Solids **220**, 93 (1997).
- ⁵⁰ P. Sippel, P. Lunkenheimer, S. Krohns, E. Thoms, and A. Loidl, "Importance of liquid fragility for energy applications of ionic liquids," Scientific Reports **5**, 13922 (2015).
- ⁵¹ A. Faraone, D. V. Wagle, G. A. Baker, E. C. Novak, M. Ohl, D. Reuter, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, and E. Mamontov, "Glycerol hydrogen-bonding network dominates structure and collective dynamics in a deep eutectic solvent," J. Phys. Chem. B. **122**, 1261 (2018).

- ⁵² D. Reuter, C. Binder, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, "Ionic conductivity of deep eutectic solvents: the role of orientational dynamics and glassy freezing," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **21**, 6801 (2019).
- ⁵³ C. Do, P. Lunkenheimer, D. Diddens, M. Götz, M. Weiß, A. Loidl, X.-G. Sun, J. Allgaier, and M. Ohl, "Li⁺ Transport in Poly(Ethylene Oxide) Based Electrolytes: Neutron Scattering, Dielectric Spectroscopy, and Molecular Dynamics Simulations," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 018301 (2013).
- ⁵⁴ R. Brand, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, "Relaxations and fast dynamics of the plastic crystal cyclo-octanol investigated by broadband dielectric spectroscopy," Phys. Rev. B 56, R5713 (1997).
- ⁵⁵ R. Brand, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, "Relaxation dynamics in plastic crystals," J. Chem. Phys. 116, 10386 (2002).
- ⁵⁶ L. C. Pardo, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, "α- and β-relaxation dynamics of a fragile plastic crystal," J. Chem. Phys. **124**, 124911 (2006).
- ⁵⁷ Th. Bauer, M. Köhler, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, and C.A. Angell, "Relaxation dynamics and ionic conductivity in a fragile plastic crystal," J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 144509 (2010).
- ⁵⁸ R. Brückner, "Properties and structure of vitreous silica. II," J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 5, 177 (1971).
- ⁵⁹ E. H. Fontana and W. H. Plummer, "A study of viscosity-temperature relationships in the GeO₂ and SiO₂ systems," Phys. Chem. Glasses 7, 139 (1966).
- ⁶⁰ A. Napolitano, P. B. Macedo, and E. G. Hawkins, "Viscosity and Density of Boron Trioxide," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 48, 613 (1965).
- ⁶¹ G. S. Meiling, "Crystallization and melting kinetics of sodium disilicate" (PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 1966).
- ⁶² A. J. Easteal and C. A. Angell, "Viscosity of Molten ZnCl₂ and Supercritical Behavior in Its Binary Solutions," J. Chem. Phys. 56, 4231 (1975).
- ⁶³ M. V. Susic, and S. V. Mentus, "The viscosity and structure of molten ZnCl₂ and ZnBr₂," J. Chem. Phys. **62**, 744 (1975).
- ⁶⁴ F. Stickel, E. W. Fischer, and R. Richert, "Dynamics of glass-forming liquids. I. Temperature-derivative analysis of dielectric relaxation data," J. Chem. Phys. **102**, 6251 (1995).
- ⁶⁵ F. Stickel, E. W. Fischer, and R. Richert, "Dynamics of glass-forming liquids. II. Detailed comparison of dielectric relaxation, dc-conductivity, and viscosity data," J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 2043 (1996).
- ⁶⁶ Based on the results for the parameter $(2+\lambda)\alpha_T$ obtained from the fits in Ref. [32], we recalculated λ using the volume expansion coefficients for temperatures above T_g , available in literature for three of the considered metallic glass formers (see Table S1 in the SM).

Supplementary Material

for

Universal Correlations Between the Fragility and Interparticle Repulsion of Glass-Forming Liquids

Peter Lunkenheimer¹, Felix Humann¹, Alois Loidl¹, and Konrad Samwer²

¹Experimental Physics V, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, University of Augsburg, 86159 Augsburg, Germany ²I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

material	$T_{g}\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$	m	$100(2+\lambda) \alpha_T$ (K ⁻¹)	$10^4 \alpha_T (\mathrm{K}^{-1})$	r
alcohols					
ethanol	99 [1]	52 [1]	3.7	11.0 [2]	31.8
1-propanol	96 [3]	35 [4]	1.59	10.0 [5]	13.9
propylene glycol	168 [1]	48 [6]	1.48	8.59 [7]	15.2
dipropylene glycol	193 [1]	69 [6]	1.85		
tripropylene glycol	189 [1]	74 [6]	1.86		
glycerol	185 [1]	53 [8]	1.35	4.5 [9]	28.0
xylitol	248 [1]	86 [1]	2.11	5.02 [10]	40.0
sorbitol	274 [8]	118 [1]	2.29	4.18 [11]	52.8
van-der-Waals glass formers					
propylene carbonate	159 [1]	104 [8]	3.87	8.8 [12]	42.0
salol	218 [8]	73 [8]	2.76	7.36 [13]	35.5
benzophenone	212 [14]	125 [15]	2.87	4.17 [16]	66.8
onic glassformers					
[Ca(NO ₃) ₂] _{0.4} [KNO ₃] _{0.6} (CKN)	333 [17]	93 [8]	2.05	3.64 [18]	54.3
$[Ca(NO3)_2]_{0.4}[RbNO_3]_{0.6}(CRN)$	333 [17]	100 [1]	2.20		
1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborat (Bmim BF ₄)	182 [19]	93 [19]	2.18	3.5 [20]	60.3
1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (Bmim Cl)	228 [19]	97 [19]	1.62	5.9 [21]	25.5
1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrachloroferrate (Bmim FeCl ₄)	182 [19]	144 [19]	3.30	4.74 [22]	67.6
1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromotrichloroferrate (Bmim FeCl ₃ Br)	180 [19]	146 [19]	3.01		
1-Benzyl-3-methyl-imidazolium chlorid (Benzmim Cl)	253 [19]	78 [19]	1.68		
1-Ethyl-3-methyl-imidazolium tricyanomethanide (Emim TCM)	183 [19]	158 [19]	3.21		
1-Methyl-3-octylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (Omim PF ₆)	194 [19]	78 [19]	2.00	5.42 [23]	34.9
1,3-Dimethylimidazolium(Li 1.0m) bis-(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide	202 [19]	145 [19]	3.41		
([Li+Dimim]TFSI)					
glyceline	175 [24]	47 [24]	1.30		
covalent network glassformers					
SiO ₂	1446 [25]	20 [8]	0.00503		
Na ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	713 [25]	45 [8]	0.284	1.03 [26]	25.6
B_2O_3	554 [8]	32 [8]	0.426	3.35 [27]	10.7
ZnCl ₂	380 [8]	30 [8]	0.316	1.8 [28]	15.5
polymer					
(polyethylene oxide):lithium-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imde (PEO:LiTFSI)	219 [29]	90 [29]	1.87		
plastic crystals					
cyanoadamantane	178 [30]	24 [30]	1.08		
cyclo-octanol	168 [31]	33 [31]	0.978		
Freon112	88 [32]	68 [32]	3.55		
(succinonitrile) _{0.6} (glutaronitrile) _{0.4} (SN _{0.6} GN _{0.4})	144 [33]	62 [33]	1.99		
netallic glass formers					
$La_{55}Al_{25}Ni_{20}$	465 [34]	37 [35]	0.303 [35]		
$Zr_{41,2}Ti_{13,8}Ni_{10}Cu_{12,5}Be_{22,5}$	623 [34]	39 [35]	0.276 [35]	0.532 [36]	49.8
$Pd_{40}Ni_{40}P_{20}$	551 [34]	50 [35]	0.448 [35]	0.87 [37]	49.5
Pd _{77.5} Cu ₆ Si _{16.5}	625 [34]	60 [35]	0.525 [35]	0.84 [38]	60.5

TABLE S1. Glass temperature T_g , fragility index *m*, fit parameter $(2+\lambda)\alpha_T$, thermal volume expansion coefficient α_T in the liquid state, and exponent λ for all investigated glass formers (the numbers in brackets refer to the reference list given at the end of this document). Values for α_T (and thus for λ) are not available for all systems. For the metallic glass formers, $(2+\lambda)\alpha_T$ was taken from Ref. 35 and λ was recalculated using the volume expansion coefficient above T_g .




FIG. S1. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the alcohols ethanol (A) and 1-propanol (B). The experimental data were taken from Refs. 1 and 39, respectively. The lines show fits using the VFT, the Mauro (only for ethanol [1]), and the KSZ model. For ethanol, only the data at T < 190 K (i.e., 1000/T > 5.3 K⁻¹) were fitted.




FIG. S2. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the alcohols propylene glycol (A), dipropylene glycol (B), and tripropylene glycol (C). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 1. The lines show fits using the VFT, the Mauro, and the KSZ model.




FIG. S3. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the alcohols sorbitol (A) and xylitol (B). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 1. The lines show fits using the VFT, the Mauro, and the KSZ model.




FIG. S4. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the ionic melt [Ca(NO3)₂]_{0.4}[RbNO₃]_{0.6} (CRN) (A) and for the deep eutectic solvent glyceline (B). The experimental data were taken from Refs. 17 and 40, respectively. The lines show fits using the VFT, the Mauro (only for CRN¹), and the KSZ model.




FIG. S5. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the van-der-Waals-bonded molecular glass formers propylene carbonate (A), salol (B), and benzophenone (C). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 1. The lines show fits using the VFT, the Mauro, and the KSZ model.




FIG. S6. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the ionic liquids Bmim BF₄ (A) and Bmim Cl (B). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 19 and deduced via an analysis of the dielectric modulus. The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model.




FIG. S7. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the ionic liquids Bmim FeCl₄ (A) and Bmim FeCl₃Br (B). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 19 and deduced via an analysis of the dielectric modulus. The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model.




FIG. S8. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the ionic liquids Benzmin Cl (A) and Emim TCM (B). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 19 and deduced via an analysis of the dielectric modulus. The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model.




FIG. S9. Arrhenius representation of the mean α -relaxation times as determined via dielectric spectroscopy for the ionic liquids [Li+Dmim]TFSI (A) and Omim PF₆ (B). The experimental data were taken from Ref. 19 and deduced via an analysis of the dielectric modulus. The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model.




FIG. S10. Arrhenius representation of the viscosity for the covalent network glass formers Na₂Si₂O₅ (A), B₂O₃ (B), and ZnCl₂ (C). The experimental data in (A) and (B) were taken from Refs. 41 and 27, respectively. The data in (C) are from Ref. 42 (for T < 725 K) and Ref. 43 (for T > 725 K). The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model.




FIG. S11. Arrhenius representation of the viscosity for the covalent plastic crystals 1-cyano-adamantane (A), freon112 (B), and SN_{0.6}GN_{0.4} (C). The experimental were taken from Refs. 30, 32] and 33, respectively. The lines show fits using the VFT and the KSZ model. For 1-cyano-adamantane, only data points at T < 315 K (i.e., 1000/T > 3.2 K⁻¹) were used for the fits.

References:

- ¹ P. Lunkenheimer, S. Kastner, M. Köhler, and A. Loidl, *Temperature development of glassy* α -relaxation dynamics determined by broadband dielectric spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 051504 (2010).
- ² M. Hashemi, M. Moosavi, A. Omrani, and A. A. Rostami, *Non-ideal behavior of ethanol + amines mixtures, modeling using the Peng-Robinson and PC-SAFT equation of state*, J. Mol. Liq. **256**, 445 (2018).
- ³ S. Takahara, O. Yamamuro, and H. Suga, *Heat capacities and glass transitions of 1-propanol and 3-methylpentane under pressure. New evidence for the entropy theory*, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **171**, 259 (1994).
- ⁴ C. Hansen, F. Stickel, T. Berger, R. Richert, and E. W. Fischer, *Dynamics of glass-forming liquids. III. Comparing the dielectric* α *- and* β *-relaxation of 1-propanol and o-terphenyl*, J. Chem. Phys. **107**, 1086 (1997).
- ⁵ J. Peleteiro, D. Gonzalez-Salgado, C. A. Cerdeirina, and L. Romani, *Isobaric heat capacities, densities, isentropic compressibilities and second-order excess derivatives for (1-propanol + n-decane)*, J. Chem. Thermodynamics **34**, 485 (2002).
- ⁶ M. Köhler, P. Lunkenheimer, Y. Goncharov, R. Wehn, and A. Loidl, *Glassy dynamics in mono-, di-, and tripropylene glycol: From the α- to the fast β-relaxation, J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **356**, 529 (2010).
- ⁷ S. Koda, T. Shibata, I.-S. Park, and S. Kojima, *Relaxation dynamics and fragility during liquideglass transitions of poly(propylene glycol)s*, Current Applied Physics **15**, 805 (2015).
- ⁸ R. Böhmer, K. L. Ngai, C. A. Angell, and D. J. Plazek, *Nonexponential relaxations in strong and fragile glass formers*, J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 4201 (1993).
- ⁹ I. V. Blazhnov and N. P. Malomuzh, *Temperature dependence of density, thermal expansion coefficient and shear viscosity of supercooled glycerol as a reflection of its structure*, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 6435 (2004)
- ¹⁰ S. Höhlein, A. König-Haagen, and D. Brüggemann, *Thermophysical characterization of MgCl*₂*6H*₂*O*, *xylitol and erythritol as phase change materials (PCM) for latent heat thermal energy storage (LHTES)*, Materials **10**, 444 (2017).
- ¹¹ S. Hensel-Bielowka, M. Paluch, J. Ziolo, and C. M. Roland, *Dynamics of sorbitol at elevated pressure*, J. Phys. Chem. B **106**, 12459 (2002).
- ¹² W. Song, H. Zhang, Z. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Yue, and Ji Yu, Intermolecular Interaction for Binary Mixtures of Propylene Carbonate with Acetonitrile, Dimethyl Carbonate, Diethyl Carbonate at Different Temperatures: Density and Viscosity, Z. Phys. Chem. 232, 127 (2018).

- ¹³ L. Comez, S. Corezzi, D. Fioretto, H. Kriegs, A. Best, and W. Steffen, *Slow dynamics of salol: A pressure- and temperature-dependent light scattering study*, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 011504 (2004).
- ¹⁴ N. A. Davydova, V. I. Mel´nik, K. I. Nelipovitch, and J. Baran, *Low-frequency Raman scattering from glassy and supercooled liquid benzophenone*, J. Mol. Struct. 563-564, 105 (2001).
- ¹⁵ P. Lunkenheimer, L. C. Pardo, M. Köhler, and A. Loidl, *Broadband dielectric spectroscopy on benzophenone:* α *relaxation,* β *relaxation, and mode coupling theory,* Phys. Rev. E **77**, 031506 (2008).
- ¹⁶ S. Haussühl, *Elastic and thermoelastic properties of selected organic crystals*, Z. Kristallogr. **216**, 339 (2001).
- ¹⁷ A. Pimenov, P. Lunkenheimer, M. Nicklas, R. Böhmer, A. Loidl, and C. A. Angell, *Ionic transport and heat capacity of glass-forming metal-nitrate mixtures*, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **220**, 93 (1997).
- ¹⁸ P. K. Gupta and C. T. Moynihan, Prigogine-Defay ratio for systems with more than one order parameter, J. Chem. Phys. 65, 4136 (1976).
- ¹⁹ P. Sippel, P. Lunkenheimer, S. Krohns, E. Thoms, and A. Loidl, *Importance of liquid fragility for energy applications of ionic liquids*, Scientific Reports **5**, 13922 (2015).
- ²⁰ M. Wang, N. Rao, M. Wang, Q. Cheng, S. Zhang, and J. Li, Properties of ionic liquid mixtures of [NH₂e-mim][BF₄] and [bmim][BF₄] as absorbents for CO₂ capture, Greenhouse Gas Sci Technol. 8, 483 (2018).
- ²¹ H. Machida, R. Taguchi, Y. Sato, and R. L. Smith, Jr., Measurement and Correlation of High Pressure Densities of Ionic Liquids, 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium L-Lactate ([emim][Lactate]), 2-Hydroxyethyl-trimethylammonium L-Lactate ([(C₂H₄OH)(CH₃)₃N][Lactate]), and 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride ([bmim]-[Cl]), J. Chem. Eng. Data 56, 923 (2011).
- ²² Q. G. Zhang, J. Z. Yang, X.-M. Lua, J.-S. Guic, and M. Huang, *Studies on an ionic liquid based on FeCl₃ and its properties*, Fluid Phase Equilib. **226**, 207 (2004).
- ²³ A. Saini, A. Harshvardhan, and R. Dey, *Thermophysical, excess and transport properties of organic solvents with imidazolium based ionic liquids*, Indian J. Chem. **57A**, 21 (2017).
- ²⁴ D. Reuter, C. Binder, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, *Ionic conductivity of deep eutectic solvents: the role of orientational dynamics and glassy freezing*, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **21**, 6801 (2019).
- ²⁵ C. A. Angell, *Strong and fragile liquids*, in *Relaxations in Complex Systems*, edited by K. L. Ngai and G. B. Wright (NRL, Washington, DC, 1985), p. 3.
- ²⁶ J. O'M. Bockris, J. W. Tomlinson, and J. L. White, *The structure of the liquid silicates: Partial molar volumes and expansivities*, Trans. Faraday Soc. **52**, 299 (1956).
- ²⁷ A. Napolitano, P. B. Macedo, and E. G. Hawkins, *Viscosity and Density of Boron Trioxide*, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 48, 613 (1965).
- ²⁸ Y. Umetsu and T. Ejima, *Phase Diagram of the PbCl₂-ZnCl₂ Binary System and Density of the Binary Melt*, Trans. JIM **15**, 276 (1974).
- ²⁹ C. Do, P. Lunkenheimer, D. Diddens, M. Götz, M. Weiß, A. Loidl, X.-G. Sun, J. Allgaier, and M. Ohl, Li⁺ Transport in Poly(Ethylene Oxide) Based Electrolytes: Neutron Scattering, Dielectric Spectroscopy, and Molecular Dynamics Simulations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 018301 (2013).
- ³⁰ R. Brand and P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, *Relaxation dynamics in plastic crystals*, J. Chem. Phys. **116**, 10386 (2002).
- ³¹ R. Brand, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, *Relaxations and fast dynamics of the plastic crystal cyclo-octanol investigated by broadband dielectric spectroscopy*, Phys. Rev. B **56**, R5713 (1997).
- ³² L. C. Pardo, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, α- and β-relaxation dynamics of a fragile plastic crystal, J. Chem. Phys. **124**, 124911 (2006).
- ³³ Th. Bauer, M. Köhler, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, and C. A. Angell, *Relaxation dynamics and ionic conductivity in a fragile plastic crystal*, J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 144509 (2010).
- ³⁴ H. Kato, H.-S. Chen, and A. Inoue, *Relationship between thermal expansion coefficient and glass transition temperature in metallic glasses*, Scr. Mater. **58**, 1106 (2008).
- ³⁵ J. Krausser, K. H. Samwer, and A. Zaccone, *Interatomic repulsion softness directly controls the fragility of supercooled metallic melts*, PNAS **112**, 13762 (2015).
- ³⁶ K. Ohsaka, S. K. Chung, W. K. Rhim, A. Peker, D. Scruggs, and W. L. Johnson, Specific volumes of the Zr_{41.2}Ti_{13.8}Cu_{12.5}Ni_{10.0}Be_{22.5} alloy in the liquid, glass, and crystalline states, Appl. Phys. Lett. **70**, 726 (1997).
- ³⁷ G. Wilde, S. G. Klose, W. Soellner, G. P. Görler, K. Jeropoulos, R. Willnecker, and H. J. Fecht, *On the stability limits of the undercooled liquid state of Pd-Ni-P*, Mater. Sci. Eng. A **226-228**, 434 (1997).
- ³⁸ H. S. Chen, *The influence of structural relaxation on the density and Young's modulus of metallic glasses*, J. Appl. Phys. **49**, 3289 (1978).
- ³⁹ P. Sillrén, A. Matic, M. Karlsson, M. Koza, M. Maccarini, P. Fouquet, M. Götz, Th. Bauer, R. Gulich, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, J. Mattsson, C. Gainaru, E. Vynokur, S. Schildmann, S. Bauer, and R. Böhmer, *Liquid*

1-propanol studied by neutron scattering, near-infrared, and dielectric spectroscopy, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 124501 (2014).

- ⁴⁰ A. Faraone, D. V. Wagle, G. A. Baker, E. C. Novak, M. Ohl, D. Reuter, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, and E. Mamontov, *Glycerol hydrogen-bonding network dominates structure and collective dynamics in a deep eutectic solvent*, J. Phys. Chem. B. **122**, 1261 (2018).
- ⁴¹ G. S. Meiling, *Crystallization and melting kinetics of sodium disilicate* (PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 1966).
- ⁴² A. J. Easteal and C. A. Angell, *Viscosity of Molten ZnCl₂ and Supercritical Behavior in Its Binary Solutions*, J. Chem. Phys. **56**, 4231 (1975).
- ⁴³ M. V. Susic and S. V. Mentus, *The viscosity and structure of molten ZnCl*₂ *and ZnBr*₂, J. Chem. Phys. **62**, 744 (1975).