

Unconventional magnon excitation by off-resonant microwaves

H. Y. Yuan,¹ Shasha Zheng,² Q. Y. He,^{2,*} Jiang Xiao,³ and Rembert A. Duine^{1,4,5,†}

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands*

²*State Key Laboratory for Mesoscopic Physics, School of Physics & Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

³*Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

⁴*Center for Quantum Spintronics, Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

⁵*Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands*

(Dated: April 3, 2024)

It is widely recognized that a magnetic system can only respond to a periodic driving significantly when the driving frequency matches the normal mode frequency of the magnet, which leads to magnetic resonance. Off-resonant phenomena are rarely considered for the difficulty to realize strong coupling between magnons and off-resonant waves. Here we examine the response of a magnetic system to squeezed light and surprisingly find that the magnons are maximally excited when the effective driving frequency is several orders of magnitude larger than the resonant frequency. The generated magnons are squeezed which brings the advantage of tunable squeezing through an external magnetic field. Further, we demonstrate that such off-resonant quasi-particle excitation is purely a quantum effect, which is rooted in the quantum fluctuations of particles in the squeezed vacuum. Our findings may provide an unconventional route to study off-resonant phenomena in a magnetic system and may further benefit the use of hybrid magnet-light systems in continuous variable quantum information.

Introduction.— Magnons are quanta of the collective spin excitations in an ordered magnetic structures and their phase, amplitude and angular momentum could carry useful information for computing science [1]. How to excite, propagate and detect magnons is a key topic in spintronics. A well-known knob to excite magnons is using a microwave field or alternating electric current [2, 3], where the magnons will be resonantly produced when the driving frequency is close to the natural frequency of the magnons. The generated coherent magnons have been utilized to drive magnetic textures [4, 5], switch a ferromagnet state [6, 7] and can be converted to electric signals for applications. Besides, one can also excite magnons through the parametric pumping technique [8], where a high-power microwave with frequency 2ω can excite the magnons in frequency ω via combined effects of dipolar and Zeeman interactions. Physically, magnon-magnon interactions have to be significant to stabilize magnons in this process and thus it provides a prerequisite to study nonlinear physics, such as magnonic Bose-Einstein condensation [9]. On the application side, the magnons are generally generated in pairs with phase correlation and thus they are useful in magnon amplification and logic operations [8]. Recently, the field of cavity magnonics has been emerging, aiming to manipulate the hybrid magnon-photon system near its resonance frequency for quantum information transfer [10–20]. To produce strong coupling of magnons and photons, one needs to polish the magnet and cavity to reduce their dissipation below the threshold of coherent coupling.

A common feature of these knowledge is the resonant response of magnets to external driving. Off-resonant

phenomena are rarely studied in spintronics because of the difficulty to efficiently couple an off-resonant wave with a magnet. On the other hand, the off-resonant generation of light through nonlinear matter-light interaction is widely studied in the optical community [21, 22]. Whether we can transfer this knowledge to examine the off-resonant behavior of magnons is an open question.

In this letter, we study the interaction of squeezed light and a normal magnonic system and find that magnons are maximally excited far below the effective resonance frequency. The maximum magnon population depends strongly on the squeezing parameter of the light, while it is further tunable through an external magnetic field. The underlying physics is understood as the enhancement of squeezed-light-induced magnon pumping at low frequency. We ascertain this to be a purely quantum effect, and show how tunable squeezing of magnons can be useful for interpreting the asymmetric steering of magnons and photons. These findings may open a conceptually novel perspective for generating and manipulating squeezed magnons, and may further pave the way for their application in continuous variable quantum information.

Model.— We consider the hybrid magnet-light system shown in Fig. 1(a). A pumping laser with frequency ω_d interacts with a nonlinear medium and creates pair of squeezed photons with frequency ω_a through a parametric down conversion process. These the squeezed photons interact with the magnet via Zeeman interaction. The minimal Hamiltonian describing such a process can be




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of a magnetic particle interacting with a squeezed light generated by a nonlinear medium. (b) Energy spectrum of the system as a function of magnon frequency. Parameters are $g = 0.03\Delta_a$, $\epsilon = 0.3\Delta_a$, $\gamma_\alpha = \gamma_m = 0$ for (b)(c) and $\gamma_\alpha = 0.01$, $\gamma_m = 0.006$ for (d).

written as,

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega_c c^\dagger c + \omega_a a^\dagger a + \omega_m m^\dagger m + \epsilon'(ca^\dagger a^\dagger + c^\dagger aa) + g(a^\dagger m + am^\dagger) + \zeta(c^\dagger e^{-i\omega_a t} + ce^{i\omega_a t}), \quad (1)$$

where c, a, m are the annihilation operators for laser photons, the subharmonic photons and the magnons, respectively. Here, ϵ' is the interaction strength between the laser and subharmonic photons, which is proportional to the (effective) second-order susceptibility of the nonlinear medium, and g is the coupling strength between subharmonic photons and magnons. In a rotating frame, the effective Hamiltonian can be recast as,

$$\mathcal{H} = \Delta_a a^\dagger a + \Delta_m m^\dagger m + \epsilon(a^\dagger a^\dagger + aa) + g(a^\dagger m + am^\dagger), \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta_a = \omega_a - \omega_d/2$, $\Delta_m = \omega_m - \omega_d/2$, and where c is replaced by its average value under strong driving, i.e. $\epsilon = \epsilon'\langle c \rangle$.

The Hamiltonian (2) can be completely diagonalized by standard techniques [23]. To see, however, the influence of squeezed photons on the eigenspectrum as well as the magnon population, it is useful to first diagonalize the photonic part of (2) by introducing a squeezed operator $a = \cosh \theta \alpha + \sinh \theta \alpha^\dagger$ after which we obtain,

$$\mathcal{H} = \Delta_\alpha \alpha^\dagger \alpha + \Delta_m m^\dagger m + g_c(\alpha^\dagger m + \alpha m^\dagger) + g_s(\alpha^\dagger m^\dagger + \alpha m), \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_\alpha = \sqrt{\Delta_a^2 - 4\epsilon^2}$ is the modified photon frequency, $g_c = g \cosh \theta$, $g_s = g \sinh \theta$, and $\theta = \text{arctanh}(-2\epsilon/\Delta_a)/2$ is the squeezing parameter of the photons. The Hamiltonian (3) shows that the normal mode (α) can not only convert photons to magnons through a coherent coupling g_c , but also excite magnons and photons simultaneously through a parametric coupling g_s . Here the g_s term will modify the spectrum significantly at off-resonance, as we shall see below. The Heisenberg equation of magnon and photon mode, governed by the Hamiltonian (3) can be written as $d\mathbf{R}/dt = \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{R}$ with $\mathbf{R} = (x_m, p_m, x_\alpha, p_\alpha)^T$, $x_\nu = (\nu + \nu^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$, $p_\nu = (\nu - \nu^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}i$, with $\nu = \alpha, m$ and

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_m & \omega_m & 0 & g_c - g_s \\ -\omega_m & -\gamma_m & -(g_c + g_s) & 0 \\ 0 & g_c - g_s & -\gamma_\alpha & \omega_\alpha \\ -(g_c + g_s) & 0 & -\omega_\alpha & -\gamma_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where the dissipation has been introduced as $\omega_\nu \rightarrow \omega_\nu - i\gamma_\nu$. Using the ansatz $\mathbf{R}(t) = e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{R}$, the eigenspectrum of the system can be readily determined by solving the secular equation $\det(\omega - i\mathbf{M}) = 0$. When $\gamma_m = \gamma_\alpha = \gamma$, the spectrum is analytically calculated as,

$$\omega_{\pm\pm} = \pm \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_m^2 + \Delta_\alpha^2) + g^2 \pm \frac{1}{2} [16g^2 \Delta_m \Delta_\alpha \sinh^2 \theta + (\Delta_m + \Delta_\alpha)^2 ((\Delta_m - \Delta_\alpha)^2 + 4g^2)]^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2} - i\gamma. \quad (5)$$

When $\theta = 0, \gamma = 0$, one recovers the well-studied energy level repulsion spectrum in the coherent limit (real g) and the level attraction spectrum in the dissipative limit (imaginary g). Figure 1(b) shows the energy spectrum of the system. A level repulsion appears when the magnons become resonant with the photons, when $\Delta_m = \Delta_\alpha = 0.8\Delta_a$. Besides, an anomalous valley in the magnonic branch is identified at a magnon frequency far from resonance ($\Delta_m \ll \Delta_\alpha$), as shown in Fig. 1(c) (red line). Here, eigenfrequency becomes imaginary with a maximum at a particular value of detuning, even if the hybrid system is free from dissipation ($\gamma_m = \gamma_\alpha = 0$). Mathematically, we describe this anomaly by expanding the magnonic branch in Eq. (5) around a small value of ω_m and find that $\omega_{\pm-}$ becomes complex when

$$\frac{g^2}{\Delta_\alpha} I_-(\theta) < \Delta_m < \frac{g^2}{\Delta_\alpha} I_+(\theta), \quad (6)$$

where $I_\pm(\theta) = 2 \cosh 2\theta \pm \sqrt{2} \cosh 4\theta$. To guarantee the stability of the system, the imaginary parts of all the eigenvalues should be negative, which further requires,

$$\gamma > \sqrt{(g^2 I_-(\theta) - \Delta_m \Delta_\alpha)(\Delta_m \Delta_\alpha - g^2 I_+(\theta)) / 2\Delta_\alpha^2}. \quad (7)$$

When $\gamma_m \neq \gamma_\alpha$, the features of the spectrum at low magnon frequency are similar to the case of $\gamma_m = \gamma_\alpha$, except a typical level crossing appears near the resonance, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

Unconventional magnon excitation.— Generally, it is expected that an enhanced dissipation of magnons may lead to a reduction of magnon number. However, it is the other way around here. To illustrate this point, we start from the Heisenberg equation for the quadratic operators of the hybrid system,

$$d\mathbf{u}/dt = \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (\langle \alpha^\dagger \alpha \rangle, \langle m^\dagger m \rangle, \langle \alpha m \rangle, \langle m m \rangle, \langle \alpha \alpha \rangle, \langle \alpha^\dagger m \rangle)$, \mathbf{D} is a drift matrix, $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, -ig_s, 0, 0, 0)$. The steady-state solution of Eq. (8) exists only when all the real parts of eigenvalues of \mathbf{D} are negative. This sets a stability condition for the system, which is consistent with Eq. (7). Unless otherwise noted, we take parameters in the stable regime throughout this article. By setting $d\mathbf{u}/dt = 0$, we can analytically obtain the steady-state occupations of magnons $\langle m^\dagger m \rangle$ and photons $\langle \alpha^\dagger \alpha \rangle$ [24].

Figure 2 shows the magnon and photon number as a function of magnon frequency with the following noticeable features. (i) A maximum magnon and photon population occurs at the far off-resonance with $\Delta_m/\Delta_a = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$, coincident with the maximum enhancement damping of the system as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 2(a). (ii) The magnon population is three orders of magnitude larger than the photon populations. (iii) The maximum magnon population decreases as the squeezing parameter of the light decreases as shown in Fig. 2(b), and finally approaches zero for a non-squeezed light. (iv) There exists a threshold of magnetic dissipation 8×10^{-4} , below which the system becomes unstable, indicating that the magnetic system reaches the non-linear regime. Above the threshold, the maximum magnon occupation decreases as the damping increases, as shown in Fig. 2(c). On the other hand, the magnon occupation is insensitive to the photon dissipation, up to $\gamma_\alpha = 0.02$, as shown in Fig. 2(d).

To understand the essential physics, we clarify three channels that contribute to the magnon population, as shown in Fig. 2(e). (i) The dissipation of magnons subjects to intrinsic damping γ_m ; (ii) The parametric excitation of magnons and photons simultaneously due to the process $\alpha^\dagger m^\dagger$. This is the major source to produce the finite occupation of magnons and photons; (iii) The coherent pumping of magnons from the photon system through the beam-splitter-type interaction g_c . Here the off-resonant condition $\Delta_m/\Delta_a \ll 1$ implies that the energy levels spacing of photons is much larger than that of magnons. Then the αm^\dagger process will dominate the process of $\alpha^\dagger m$ for the short lifetime of high-energy α mode. This is why the photon system can keep pumping magnons, while it is barely excited. In total, the three processes compete and finally reach a fine balance, building a steady-state magnon distribution. As a comparison, when the light is not squeezed ($\theta = 0$), channel-ii is absent. Even though the coherent process can pump magnons, the total number of magnons and photons will




FIG. 2. (a) Magnon (red line) and photon (blue line) populations as a function of magnon frequency near the anomalous points. (b) Magnon populations as a function of the magnon frequency for squeeze parameter $|\theta| = 0.35$ (red line), 0.10 (blue line), and 0.01 (black line), respectively. $\gamma_\alpha/\Delta_a = 0.01$, $\gamma_m/\Delta_a = 0.001$, $g/\Delta_a = 0.03$. (c) Magnon population as a function of magnon frequency at $\gamma_m/\Delta_a = 0.001$ (red line), 0.002 (blue line) and 0.003 (black line), respectively. (d) Magnon population as a function of magnon frequency at $\gamma_\alpha/\Delta_a = 0.001$ (red line), 0.02 (blue line) and 0.1 (black line), respectively. (e) Schematic of the underlying physical picture. The red (blue) arrows represent the physical process that heat (cool) the magnons (dashed arrow) and photons (solid arrow), respectively.

decrease to zero gradually due to the intrinsic dissipation. One may see this difference by extracting the particle number evolution from Eq. (8) as,

$$\frac{d(n_\alpha + n_m)}{dt} = -2g \sinh \theta \Im(\langle \alpha m \rangle) - 2\gamma_\alpha n_\alpha - 2\gamma_m n_m, \quad (9)$$

where $\Im(z)$ is the imaginary part of z , $n_\alpha = \langle \alpha^\dagger \alpha \rangle$, $n_m = \langle m^\dagger m \rangle$. When $\theta = 0$, the steady magnon and photon distribution is zero. When $\theta \neq 0$, there can be a non-zero populations of magnons and photons. Here the pumping laser is the angular momentum source to conserve the total angular momentum of the system. Furthermore, as the main beneficiary of the pumping, the magnon dissipation has to be strong enough to build the balance between pumping and dissipation. Otherwise, the pumped magnons do not have enough time to dissipate and the




FIG. 3. (a) Uncertainty of the quadratures of magnons as a function of magnon frequency. The dashed line at $1/\sqrt{2}$ is the standard quantum limit, below which a state becomes squeezed. The dashed line at 0.5 is the squeeze parameter of the input light (α mode). (b)(c) shows the Wigner distribution of the steady states at $\Delta_m/\Delta_a = 0$ and 0.003. All the parameters are the same as Fig. 2(a).

system becomes unstable.

Now we are in a position to resolve the paradox of the coexistence of enhanced magnon population and magnon dissipation. The propagability of transition from magnonic subsystem to the source should be proportional to the number of magnons. The anomalous imaginary spectrum overlayed the intrinsic magnon loss in Fig. 2(a) suggests an enhanced loss of particles to the laser source, then the reciprocal magnon pumping effect should also be enhanced at the same time, which implies that the number of magnons are maximally excited.

Squeezed magnons.— We have shown that magnons can be maximally excited by a squeezed light far from the resonant condition. It would be meaningful to see whether the quantum information of photons such as their squeezing can be transferred to magnons at off-resonant conditions. To answer this question, we evaluate the uncertainty of the quadrature of magnons and photons as, $\langle \Delta x_\nu^2 \rangle = n_\nu + \Re(\langle \nu\nu \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}$, $\langle \Delta p_\nu^2 \rangle = n_\nu - \Re(\langle \nu\nu \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}$, where $\nu = a, m$, $\Re(\langle \nu\nu \rangle)$ is the real part of $\langle \nu\nu \rangle$, which has already been derived in solving Eq. (8). Figure 3(a) shows the uncertainty $\Delta x_\nu \equiv \sqrt{\langle \Delta x_\nu^2 \rangle}$, $\Delta p_\nu \equiv \sqrt{\langle \Delta p_\nu^2 \rangle}$ as a function of magnon frequency. Clearly, the uncertainty of magnon quadrature Δp_m (Δx_m) is squeezed below the quantum limit $1/\sqrt{2}$ when Δ_m/Δ_a has a red (blue) shift from the off-resonant peak, as illustrated in Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) [25]. This suggests that the squeezing of the light is transferred to the magnons. As the magnon frequency approaches the resonant condition at $\Delta_m = \Delta_a$, no significant squeezing of magnons is observed.

The tunable squeezing property of magnons through the external static field may enable the realization of one-way quantum steering of photons by detecting magnons. Specifically, the coupling term in Eq. (3) can be rewritten

as $g(x_a x_m + p_a p_m)$. When $\theta < 0$ as considered, the photon mode is squeezed in the x direction. To steer such a state, one can tune the magnons to be squeezed in the x direction [24]. The reversed steering process from photon to magnon is suppressed, due to the particle number asymmetry of the excitations. Therefore, one could build a one-way steering channel between magnon and photon modes.

Discussions and Conclusions.— To realize our predictions, we propose to couple a low damping magnetic insulator such as yttrium iron garnet to a cavity with nonlinear medium that supports microwave parametric down conversion [26–28]. The coupling strength and magnetic damping presented in Fig. 2(a) are within reach of experiments [11, 14, 20]. We expect a sudden broadening of the transmission linewidth when the magnon frequency is tuned to the anomalous regime. This is different from the zero damping phenomena reported in non-Hermitian magnet-light systems [29]. The generated squeezed state can be further measured by another probe field [30]. Further, our results can be generalized to other hybrid systems with coexistence of coherent and parametric coupling, such as the microwave cavity-magnet with Kerr nonlinearity [31] and optomechanical systems [32]. Of particular interest is that the excited magnons may compensate the intrinsic damping of a nanomagnet and realize an exceptional point [33].

We further notice that a large class of two mode system in classical physics can be quantized into a form that resembles Hamiltonian (3), such as two harmonic oscillator coupled through a spring, two inductively coupled LC -circuits and two ferromagnetically coupled magnets. The spectrum of these systems, however, does not show the anomaly presented here, because the coupling in these systems modifies the natural frequency of the modes to invalidate the condition (6)[24]. Of particular interest is two harmonic oscillators with natural frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 artificially coupled through the interaction $gx_1 \cdot x_2$, whose dynamics can be formally formulated as, $d\mathbf{R}/dt = \mathbf{M}_{cl} \cdot \mathbf{R}$, where \mathbf{R} is now the classical position and momentum of the two oscillators, and

$$\mathbf{M}_{cl} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega_1^2 & -\mu & -g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -g & 0 & -\omega_2^2 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where μ is the friction coefficients of the oscillators. The spectrum is identical to Eq. (5) without friction, but differs in the damping dependence as,

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left[-i\mu \pm \sqrt{-\mu^2 + 2(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 \pm \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)^2 + 4g^2})} \right].$$

In the anomalous regime $g > \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}$, there is always one eigenmode with positive imaginary part, thus the system

is unstable. This difference is rooted in the distinct descriptions of classical and quantum mechanics in describing particle motion. In Newton mechanics, the dissipation usually avoids the evolution of momentum (dp_i/dt). While in quantum mechanics, the dissipation appears in both dimensions of x_i and p_i . The extra dissipation in the position x_i can avoid the system to move far away from the equilibrium position, and thus make the system more stable [24].

In summary, we have studied the interaction of a nano-magnet with squeezed light and identified a surprising maximum occupation of magnons when the magnon frequency is far below the resonance. The essential physics is understood by the interplay among magnon pumping effects by the squeezed photons, coherent conversion of photons to magnons and the intrinsic magnon loss. In the anomalous regime, the magnon pumping effect and its reciprocal process magnon loss are amplified at the same time, where the former leads to a explosion of magnons and the later manifests as an enhanced damping of magnons. We further show that such off-resonant excitation of particles is a purely quantum phenomenon, where a classical system will either evolve to a ground state with zero particle fluctuation or become unstable. Our findings provide a generic platform to examine the difference between classical physics theory and quantum mechanics and further benefit the applications of hybrid magnet-light system for quantum information.

Acknowledgements.— This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 725509). RD is member of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW).

* qiongyihe@pku.edu.cn

† r.a.duine@uu.nl

- [1] A. V. Chumak, V. I. Vasyuchka, A. A. Serga, and B. Hillebrands, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 453 (2015).
- [2] C. Kittel, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 155 (1948).
- [3] L. Liu, T. Moriyama, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 036601 (2011).
- [4] P. Yan, X. S. Wang, and X. R. Wang *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 177207 (2011).
- [5] Y. Jiang, H. Y. Yuan, Z.-X. Li, Z. Wang, H. W. Zhang, Y. Cao, and P. Yan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 217204 (2020).
- [6] S. Fukami, T. Anekawa, C. Zhang, and H. Ohno, *Nat. Nanotech.* **11**, 621 (2016).
- [7] Yin Zhang, H. Y. Yuan, X. S. Wang, and X. R. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 144416 (2018).
- [8] T. Brächer, P. Pirro, and B. Hillebrands, *Phys. Rep.* **699**, 1 (2017).
- [9] S. O. Demokritov, V. E. Demidov, O. Dzyapko, G. A. Melkov, A. A. Serga, B. Hillebrands, and A. N. Slavin, *Nature* **443**, 430 (2006).
- [10] Ö. O. Soykal and M. E. Flatté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 077202 (2010).
- [11] H. Huebl, C. W. Zollitsch, J. Lotze, F. Hocke, M. Greifenstein, A. Marx, R. Gross, and S. T. B. Goennenwein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 127003 (2013).
- [12] M. Goryachev, W.G. Farr, D. L. Creedon, Y. Fan, M. Kostylev, and M.E. Tobar, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **2**, 054002 (2014).
- [13] X. Zhang, C.-L. Zou, L. Jiang, and H. X. Tang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 156401 (2014).
- [14] Yi-Pu Wang, Guo-Qiang Zhang, Dengke Zhang, Tie-Fu Li, C.-M. Hu, and J. Q. You, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 057202 (2018).
- [15] Y. Cao, P. Yan, H. Huebl, S.T.B. Goennenwein, and G.E.W. Bauer, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 094423 (2015).
- [16] V. L. Grigoryan, Ka Shen, and Ke Xia, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 024406 (2018).
- [17] M. Harder, Y. Yang, B. M. Yao, C. H. Yu, J. W. Rao, Y. S. Gui, R. L. Stamps, and C. -M. Hu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 137203 (2018).
- [18] W. Yu, J. Wang, H. Y. Yuan, and J. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 227201 (2019).
- [19] D. Lachance-Quirion, S. P. Wolski, Y. Tabuchi, S. Kono, K. Usami, Y. Nakamura, *Science* **367**, 425 (2020).
- [20] T. Wolz, A. Stehli, A. Schneider, I. Boverter, R. Macêdo, A. V. Ustinov, M. Kläui, and M. Weides, *Commun. Phys.* **3**, 3 (2020).
- [21] Y. R. Shen, *The Principles of Nonlinear Optics*, (John Wiley & Sons Inc, 2002).
- [22] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics*, 2nd Ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg).
- [23] M. Xiao, [arXiv:0908.0787v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.0787).
- [24] See the Supplementary Materials at $\times \times \times$ for the analytical expression of $\langle \alpha^\dagger \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle m^\dagger m \rangle$, the method to calculate the asymmetric steering, the derivatoin of the Wigner distribution of the steady state, the classical counterpart of our findings, and a comparison of classical and quantum dyanmics.
- [25] Note here the squeezing of the magnon mode is not strictly along the x and p direction due to its sensativity on the detuning between magnon and photon modes. In general, there should exist an optimized angle $x_\theta = 1/\sqrt{2}(me^{-i\theta} + m^\dagger e^{i\theta})$, $p_\theta = 1/\sqrt{2}i(me^{-i\theta} - m^\dagger e^{i\theta})$ that maximizes the squeezing.
- [26] K. Moon and S. M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 140504 (2005).
- [27] Y. Cao, W. Y. Huo, Q. Ai, and G. L. Long, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 053846 (2011).
- [28] Z. H. Wang, C. P. Sun, and Y. Li, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 043801 (2015).
- [29] Y.-P. Wang, J. W. Rao, Y. Yang, P.-C. Xu, Y.S. Gui, B. M. Yao, J.Q. You, and C.-M. Hu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 127202 (2019).
- [30] S. Sharma, V. A. S. V. Bittencourt, A. D. Karenowska, and S. V. Kusminskiy, [arXiv:2009.00128v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00128).
- [31] Z. Zhang, M. O. Scully, and G. S. Agarwal, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **1**, 023021 (2019).
- [32] J. -H. Gan, Y.-C. Liu, C. Lu, X. Wang, M. -K. Tey, and L. You, *Laser Photonics Reviews*, **13**, 1900120 (2019).
- [33] Y. Cao and P. Yan, [arXiv:2006.16510v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.16510).