arXiv:2009.08146v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 17 Sep 2020

Interacting Chern Insulator in Infinite Spatial Dimensions

David Krüger¹ and Michael Potthoff^{1, 2}

¹I. Institute of Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics,

University of Hamburg, Jungiusstraße 9, 20355 Hamburg, Germany

² The Hamburg Centre for Ultrafast Imaging, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

We study a generic model of a Chern insulator supplemented by a Hubbard interaction in arbitrary even dimension D and demonstrate that the model remains well-defined and nontrivial in the $D \to \infty$ limit. Dynamical mean-field theory is applicable and predicts a phase diagram with a *continuum* of topologically different phases separating a correlated Mott insulator from the trivial band insulator. We discuss various features, such as the elusive distinction between insulating and semi-metal states, which are unconventional already in the non-interacting case. Topological phases are characterized by a non-quantized Chern *density* replacing the Chern number as $D \to \infty$.

Introduction. Strong electron correlations and topological classification are two major research frontiers of condensed-matter theory. While much work has been done in providing prototypical examples of topologically nontrivial quantum matter [1–4] and in classifying [5–9] topological insulators, much less is known for correlated systems [10–13]. As correlated lattice-fermion models in D = 2 and D = 3 dimensions pose highly involved problems, many studies focus on one-dimensional systems with nontrivial topological properties [14–20].

On the other hand, the opposite limit of infinite spatial dimensions has been recognized as extremely instructive for the pure electron-correlation problem and constitutive for the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [21]. In the large class of mean-field approaches, DMFT has an exceptional standing, since it is internally consistent and nonperturbative, and since it becomes exact in the $D \to \infty$ limit [22]. While the limit comes with certain simplifications, such as the locality of the selfenergy [21, 23], infinite-dimensional lattice-fermion models are far from being trivial. This is demonstrated by the DMFT paradigm of the Mott metal-insulator transition as a prime example [24]. Furthermore, the fact that exact properties of strongly correlated systems are numerically accessible [21, 25, 26], make correlated latticefermion models on $D = \infty$ lattices attractive points of orientation.

With the present study we pose the question whether the same limit is also helpful for the understanding of topological properties of strongly interacting electron systems. Our answer is affirmative. Assuming locality of the self-energy, previous DMFT studies of correlated topological insulators have addressed two-dimensional systems, such as the Haldane model [27], Hofstadter's butterfly [28], or the BHZ model [29, 30], all supplemented by interaction terms, or real three-dimensional systems, such as SmB₆ [31], combining the DMFT with ab initio band theory. A DMFT study of an interacting, topologically nontrivial model on a $D = \infty$ lattice is still missing.

Here, we consider multi-orbital Hubbard models on a D-dimensional hypercubic lattice for arbitrary but even D, whose low-energy non-interacting band structures re-

duce to massive Dirac theories and belong to class A of Chern insulators with \mathbb{Z} topological invariants. We demonstrate that, with the proper scaling of the hopping, the $D \to \infty$ limit leads to a well-defined model with nontrivial interplay between kinetic and interaction terms, hosting topologically nontrivial phases, and is accessible to a numerical solution by DMFT for arbitrary Hubbard interaction U and mass parameter m. The m-U phase diagram contains the trivial band and the correlated Mott insulator, separated by a *continuum* of interacting and topologically different Chern insulators. The latter are characterized by a properly defined Chern *density*, which replaces the Chern number as a topological invariant. We argue that for $D \to \infty$ already the U = 0 model has highly unconventional topological properties as the sign of the Chern number as well as a band closure are concepts becoming ill-defined in the limit $D \to \infty$.

Hamiltonian. We study an extension of a family of D-dimensional tight-binding models for even D to spinful fermions with local Coulomb interaction as described by the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_1$. Here $H_1 = (U/2) \sum_{i\alpha\sigma} n_{i\alpha\sigma} n_{i\alpha-\sigma}$ is an on-site and intraorbital Hubbard term, where i = 1, ..., L labels the sites of a D-dimensional hypercubic lattice with periodic boundaries, $\sigma =\uparrow,\downarrow$ is the spin projection, and $\alpha = 1, ..., M$ is an orbital index. The corresponding annihilator is $c_{i\alpha\sigma}$, and $n_{i\alpha\sigma} \equiv c_{i\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{i\alpha\sigma}$. After Fourier transformation to k-space, $c_{i\alpha\sigma} = L^{-1/2} \sum_k e^{ikR_i}c_{k\alpha\sigma}$, the tight-binding part reads $H_0 = \sum_{k\alpha\beta\sigma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}(k)c_{k\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta\sigma}$, where $k = (k_1, ..., k_D)$ with $-\pi < k_r \leq \pi$, and where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}(k)$ are the elements of the $M \times M$ hopping matrix in k-space:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(k) = \left(m + t \sum_{r=1}^{D} \cos k_r\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_D^{(0)} + t \sum_{r=1}^{D} \sin k_r \boldsymbol{\gamma}_D^{(r)}, \quad (1)$$

depending on the hopping parameter t and on a parameter m controlling the mass term. Here, $\gamma_D^{(1)}, ..., \gamma_D^{(D)}$ are the generators of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l_D$, and $\gamma_D^{(0)} = (-i)^{D/2} \gamma_D^{(1)} \cdots \gamma_D^{(D)}$ is the chiral element. They satisfy the Clifford anticommutation relations $\{\gamma_D^{(\mu)}, \gamma_D^{(\nu)}\} = 2\delta^{(\mu\nu)}$ for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, ..., D$. Close to the critical points k_c in the first Brillouin zone (BZ), see below, the low-energy effective theory is given by a linear Dirac model with k-independent mass term. Such free Dirac models are extensively analyzed and topologically classified for different mass terms and for arbitrary D, see e.g. Ref. [32]. The model (1) belongs to symmetry class A in the Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) scheme [6].

We note that $\mathbb{C}l_{D+2} \cong \operatorname{Mat}(2,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}l_D$ and that there is, for even D, a unique irreducible $M = 2^{D/2}$ dimensional matrix representation of $\mathbb{C}l_D$ [33–35]. The according γ -matrices can be constructed recursively: $\mathbb{C}l_0$ is spanned by $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The first nontrivial dimension is D = 2, and hence M = 2. $\mathbb{C}l_2$ is generated by the Pauli matrices $\gamma_2^{(1)} = \tau_x$ and $\gamma_2^{(2)} = \tau_y$, and together with the unity 1 and the chiral element $\gamma_2^{(0)} = -i\tau_x\tau_y = \tau_z$, they span $\mathbb{C}l_2$. The corresponding generalized lattice Dirac model, Eq. (1), with $\epsilon(k) = d(k) \cdot \tau$ and d(k) = $(t \sin k_x, t \sin k_y, m + t \cos k_x + t \cos k_y)$ is just the model proposed by Qi, Wu and Zhang [36, 37]. For arbitrary even D the general recursive prescription for the Hermitian and traceless generators is [32]:

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{D+2}^{(r)} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_x \otimes \boldsymbol{\gamma}_D^{(r)}, \text{ for } r = 1, ..., D \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{D+2}^{(D+1)} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_x \otimes \boldsymbol{\gamma}_D^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{D+2}^{(D+2)} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_y \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$
 (2)

The chiral element is $\gamma_{D+2}^{(0)} = \tau_z \otimes \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the $2^{D/2}$ -dimensional unity. Explicitly, $\gamma^{(0)} = \text{diag}(+1, +1, ..., -1, -1, ...)$, such that m is the strength of a staggered on-site potential in Eq. (1). Accordingly, the orbitals α can be divided into two classes, A orbitals with $\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} \equiv z_{\alpha} = +1$ ($\alpha = 1, ..., M/2$) and B orbitals $\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} \equiv z_{\alpha} = -1$ ($\alpha = (M/2) + 1, ..., M$). We see that the number of orbitals scales exponentially with D. Eqs. (1) and (2) imply that along a spatial direction r, each site-orbital (i, α) couples to a single orbital α' at the two nearest-neighbor positions i', and thus the connectivity of (i, α) is 2D.

Noninteracting case. The U = 0 band structure is easily obtained by squaring $\epsilon(k)$, using properties of the γ matrices, and noting that tr $\epsilon(k) = 0$. Apart from the spin degeneracy, this yields two M/2-fold degenerate bands: $\epsilon_{\pm}(k) = \pm [t^2 \sum_r \sin^2 k_r + (m + t \sum_r \cos k_r)^2]^{1/2}$. The high-energy band edges are given by $\epsilon_{\max,\min} = \pm (|m| + Dt)$ and are taken for $k_r = 0$ (if $m \ge 0$) and $k_r = \pi$ ($m \le 0$) for all r. Due to the pointgroup symmetries, band closures are found at the highsymmetry points (HSPs) $k_c = k_{n_0} = (0, ..., 0, \pi, ..., \pi)$ in the BZ, and for $\binom{D}{n_0}$ inequivalent permutations of the components, where n_0 counts the number of vanishing entries k_r . For a band closure the condition $m = (D - 2n_0)t$ must be met. This corresponds to the vanishing of the mass term in the Dirac Hamiltonian $\epsilon(k) = [m + (2n_0 - D)t]\gamma_D^{(0)} + t \sum_r (k_r - k_{n_0,r})\gamma_D^{(r)}$, obtained by linearization of $\epsilon(k)$ around k_{n_0} .

Infinite dimensions. It is instructive to compute the low-order moments $M_{\alpha}^{(n)} = \int d\omega \,\rho_{\alpha}^{n}(\omega)$ of the local partial density of states (DOS) of the orbital α . We have the trivial normalization condition $M_{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1$, the barycenter $M_{\alpha}^{(1)} = m \gamma_{\alpha \alpha}^{(0)} = \pm m$, and the α -independent second moment $M_{\alpha}^{(2)} = t^2 D + m^2$. The variance of the DOS is given by the second central moment $M_{\alpha}^{(2)} - (M_{\alpha}^{(1)})^2 = t^2 D$. Hence, a proper $D \to \infty$ limit with a balance between H_0 and H_1 is obtained if the standard [22, 38] scaling $t = t^* / \sqrt{D}$ with lattice dimension D is employed. This will be assumed here as well. Furthermore, we fix the energy scale by setting $t^* = 1$, i.e., the variance of the DOS is unity, while the locations of the band edges diverge $\epsilon_{\max,\min} = \pm (|m| + \sqrt{D}t^*) \mapsto \pm \infty$. The mass parameter m must not be scaled in the $D \to \infty$ limit to maintain a nontrivial model. This implies a *D*-independent band center of gravity $\pm m$.

Topology for $D \to \infty$. We approach the $D \to \infty$ limit via even-D models of Chern insulators and stay in the AZ class A. For any finite even D, upon varying m, one passes band closures and related topological phase transitions, located at $m = \sqrt{D}(1-2n_0/D)t^*$ for $n_0 = 0, ..., D$. Fig. 1 (left) gives an example for D = 4. The topological phase for an m with $D - 2n_0 - 2 < m\sqrt{D}/t^* < D - 2n_0$ (with $n_0 = 0, ..., D - 1$) can be characterized by the D-th Chern number [32, 39, 40]:

$$C_D(n_0) = (-1)^{n_0 + \frac{D}{2}} \binom{D-1}{n_0}, \qquad (3)$$

see Fig. 1 (middle) for an overview.

Importantly, the distance between two transitions $\Delta m = 2t^*/\sqrt{D}$ shrinks to zero for $D \to \infty$, i.e., the set of critical *m*'s becomes dense in any finite *m*-interval. Hence, for high *D* the system is arbitrarily close to criticality for any *m*. We note that, mathematically, the definition of a critical point in the BZ becomes elusive for $D \to \infty$, since $\epsilon_{\pm}(k) = \epsilon_{\pm}(k')$ if ||k - k'|| = 0, where we have defined $||k||^2 \equiv \lim_{D\to\infty} D^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{D} k_r^2$. It is easy to see that $|| \cdot ||$ is a semi-norm, i.e. $||k|| = 0 \Rightarrow k = 0$, such that the concept of a band closure at isolated points in *k*-space breaks down. However, we still have $\epsilon_{\pm}(k) = 0$ at $k = k_c(m)$ for any *m*. Furthermore, the number $\binom{D}{n_0}$ of equivalent critical HSPs at a given critical *m* and the total number 2^D of HSPs in the BZ diverge, but their ratio approaches a constant when $D \to \infty$.

A second important observation directly follows from Eq. (3): When $D \to \infty$, only the *modulus* of the Chern number, and only after proper *normalization*, has a well-defined limit. Noting that $\sum_{n_0=0}^{D-1} C_D(n_0) =$ 2^{D-1} , we thus introduce a Chern *density* as $c(n_0) =$ $\lim_{D\to\infty} |C_D(n_0)|/2^{D-1}$. Since $\Delta m \mapsto 0$, we can use $n_0 = (D - m\sqrt{D}/t^*)/2$ and $dm \equiv \frac{2t^*}{\sqrt{D}}$ to express the Chern density as a function of m. With this, and using



FIG. 1: Left: Band structure $\epsilon_{\pm}(k)$ of the D = 4 model along straight shortest lines in the BZ connecting HSPs characterized by n_0 . Results for different m, see color code. Middle: Different topological phases with Chern numbers $C_D(n_0)$ (green), separated by critical m-values (red dots) for different D. Right: U = 0 DOS on the A-orbitals at m = -1.5 for various D. Inset: orbital polarization as function of m for $D = \infty$. The nearest-neighbor hopping $t = 1/\sqrt{D}$ sets the energy scale.

the Moivre-Laplace theorem, we find:

$$c(n_0) = \lim_{D \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi D}} e^{-2\frac{(\frac{D}{2} - n_0)^2}{D}} = c(m)dm \qquad (4)$$

with a normalized Chern density of unit variance:

$$c(m) = \frac{1}{t^* \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{t^* 2}} .$$
 (5)

This is a central result, as it shows that not only dynamic correlation effects but also nontrivial topological properties survive the $D \to \infty$ limit when using the standard scaling of the hopping.

From the bulk-boundary correspondence [7, 32, 41] at any finite D, we can infer that c(m)dm is the ratio between the number of topologically protected surface states and the total number of HSPs in the BZ. Upon variation of $m \mapsto m + dm$, a ratio of $\pm 2c(m)dm$ bulk states (per total number of HSPs) traverse the gap at the HSPs corresponding to m. The Chern density is insensitive to the sign though.

Density of states. Turning to the correlation side of the problem, the relevant quantity for the DMFT is the U = 0-DOS $\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = -(1/\pi L) \text{Im} \sum_{k} G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(k, \omega + i0^{+})$ of orbital α . This can be computed efficiently using the quasi-Monte Carlo technique of Refs. [42, 43] to carry out the k-summation. Thanks to the Clifford algebra, the inversion of the $M \times M$ hopping matrix required to get the noninteracting Green's function matrix $G_{k}^{(0)}(\omega) = 1/(\omega - \epsilon(\mathbf{k}))$ can be done analytically, see section A of the Supplemental Material (SM) [44]. We also derive an analytical expression for the DOS in the $D \to \infty$ limit (SM, Sec. B [44]). For any D, we have $\rho_{A}(-\omega) = \rho_{B}(\omega)$, and for $m \mapsto -m$, the DOS transforms as $\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) \mapsto \rho_{\alpha}(-\omega)$. The DOS quickly converges as $D \to \infty$, see Fig. 1 (right).

Another important point is that the $D = \infty$ DOS is fully gapped for all m. Furthermore, the gap $\Delta = \sqrt{2}t^*$ is m-independent. This should be contrasted with the DOS at any finite D, which behaves at low frequencies and at a critical m as $\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) \propto |\omega|^{D-1}$, as it is characteristic for a Dirac-cone structure (SM, Secs. C [44]). The band states near a band closure in k-space at a critical k_c and all equivalent points (including k-points with $||k-k_c||=0$) do no longer contribute a finite DOS near $\omega = 0$. Hence, there is no meaningful distinction between insulator and semi-metal states in the $D \to \infty$ limit.

The relevant range of the mass parameter to get nontrivial correlation effects in high D is of order $m = \pm \mathcal{O}(t^*)$. This is demonstrated with the inset of Fig. 1 (right) showing the orbital polarization $p = (n_A - n_B)/2$ of the half-filled noninteracting system, $(n_A + n_B)/2 = 1$, as a function of m (where $n_{\alpha} \equiv L^{-1} \sum_{k\sigma} \langle c^{\dagger}_{k\alpha\sigma} c_{k\alpha\sigma} \rangle$). On the scale $m = \pm \mathcal{O}(t^*)$, p quickly approaches almost full saturation with empty or doubly occupied A (or B) orbitals, i.e., a state where the Hubbard interaction is static and correlation effects are absent.

DMFT. The exact solution of the interacting model in the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit is provided by the DMFT. Particularly, the *m*-*U* phase diagram of the model is interesting as it expresses the generic interplay of topological properties *and* correlations in an exactly solvable and nonperturbative case. To cover the entire relevant parameter space, we employ a simplified DMFT scheme, where the interacting lattice model is self-consistently mapped onto a two-site single-impurity Anderson model (SIAM) [45]. A slight generalization is necessary to account for the A-B orbital structure. This generalized two-site DMFT (see SM, Secs. D and E for details [44]) simultaneously focusses on the low- and on the high-frequency limit of the DMFT self-consistency condition and qualitatively captures the Mott-transition physics [45–47].

At finite U the D-th Chern number can be expressed in terms of the interacting single-particle Green's function [48–50]. Here, for $D \to \infty$, the locality of the self-energy allows us to apply the concept of the topological Hamiltonian [50] (see also Ref. [51]) and to compute c(m) from the noninteracting part but with $\epsilon(k) \mapsto \epsilon(k) + \Sigma(\omega = 0)$. Since $\Sigma(\omega)$ is diagonal in orbital space (see SM, Sec. D [44]), this merely amounts to a renormalization of the chemical potential, $\mu \mapsto \mu + \Sigma_+(\omega = 0)$, and the mass parameter, $m \mapsto m + \Sigma_-(\omega = 0)$, where $\Sigma_{\pm}(\omega) =$ $(\Sigma_A(\omega) \pm \Sigma_B(\omega))/2$. For finite D we have successfully



FIG. 2: m-U phase diagram of the $D \to \infty$ model. The color codes the Chern density.

tested our results case by case against the predictions of the pole-expansion technique [29, 31, 52], which applies if the self-energy is given in its discrete Lehmann representation [53].

Phase diagram. We have performed DMFT calculations, restricted to spin-symmetric states in a large range of parameters m and U. The resulting Chern density c(m, U) is shown in Fig. 2. As the phase diagram is invariant under a sign change $m \mapsto -m$, only negative m-values are displayed. At U = 0 and as a function of m, the Chern density is a Gaussian, see Eq. (5), and the system smoothly evolves from a conventional band insulator, with $c(m, 0) \to 0$ in the limit $m \to -\infty$, to a Chern insulator / semimetal with a maximum $c(m, 0) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ at the symmetric point m = 0.

With increasing U at m = 0, the Chern density c(0, U)stays at its maximum until at $U = U_c = 6t^*$, the system undergoes a correlation-driven transition to a topologically trivial Mott phase with c = 0. With a refined DMFT scheme only a slightly lower U_c is expected [45]. Approaching U_c either from above or from below, the transition is characterized by a continuously vanishing renormalization factor $z \mapsto 0$, where $z \equiv 1/(1 - \partial \Sigma_{\alpha}(\omega = 0)/\partial \omega)$ is independent of the orbital type α . z plays the role of a band-gap renormalization [54].

The Mott phase extends to m < 0 and is bounded for all m by a line of critical interactions $U_c(m)$. For $m \to -\infty$ we observe that $U_c(m)$ linearly increases with |m|. This is explained by the fact that the system becomes fully orbital-polarized. Hence, the self-energy becomes static and approaches constants $\Sigma_A \to U$, $\Sigma_B \to 0$, such that the renormalization of m is trivial: $m \to m + \Sigma_-(\omega = 0) \to m + U/2$. As a consequence, the band insulator with c = 0 cannot be smoothly connected to the Mott insulator with c = 0 without passing topologically nontrivial states with c > 0.

The whole phase diagram can be understood as the $D \to \infty$ limit of m-U phase diagrams at finite D, see SM, Sec. F [44]. With increasing D, the number of topologically nontrivial phases $C_D(m) \neq 0$ increases and become ever narrower regions in the m-U plane, until

they shrink to one-dimensional lines (of constant color in Fig. 2) given by c(m, U) = const. This implies that, in the limit $D \to \infty$, systems on these iso-Chern curves are topologically equivalent, while on paths crossing iso-Cherns one passes through a continuum of topologically different phases.

Conclusions. We conclude that the generic model of an interacting Chern insulator remains well defined in the high-D limit and hosts nontrivial correlation effects and unconventional topological properties: (i) There is a *continuum* of topologically different phases, characterized by a Chern density, which is a smooth, non-quantized function of m and U, except at the Mott transition. (ii) At any finite D, the Chern density is a normalized modulus of the D-th Chern number. Hence, standard implications, such as the existence of topologically protected chiral surface states, also hold in the $D \to \infty$ limit. On the other hand, the information on the sign of the invariant and thus on the chirality is lost. (iii) In addition, the distinction between insulating and semi-metal states becomes elusive: For any m, the DOS stays fully gapped while at the same time there is a band closure at a critical point $k_{\rm c} = k_{\rm c}(m)$ in the BZ, which, in the high-D limit, should actually be seen as a representative of an equivalence class of k-points with zero mutual distance, derived from the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$. (iv) There is no direct feedback of the topological transitions and the low-energy and local-in-k-space electronic structure on the DMFT self-consistency. Only the (gapped) DOS is relevant for the self-consistent construction of effective impurity model generating the self-energy. (v) In the high-D limit, the DMFT provides the generic mean-field phase diagram of an interacting Chern insulator, which represents a valuable point of reference for approximate studies of low-D systems.

Outlook. We believe that our results call for further studies in various directions: Approaching the $D \to \infty$ limit via models with dimensions generally differing by integer multiples of eight, an extension to other symmetry classes in the AZ scheme would be highly interesting, also to reconsider the topological classification in the $D \to \infty$ limit. Since DMFT allows an exact treatment of the correlation problem in this limit, prototypical topologically nontrivial models of *interacting* electron systems are in reach. This includes systems with different orbital structure as well as extensions to topologically nontrivial phases with spontaneously broken spin, orbital or translational symmetries. Real-space DMFT for infinitedimensional slab geometries may be employed to study in-gap surface states. The base manifold, i.e., the k-space in the $D \to \infty$ limit, has a nontrivial metric structure, which calls for a mathematically thorough treatment to apply fundamental concepts of topological spaces directly in the $D \to \infty$ limit, rather than inferring the topological properties from those at finite but arbitrary D.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Cluster of Excellence "Advanced Imaging of Matter" - EXC 2056 - project ID 390715994, and by the DFG Sonderforschungsbereich 925 "Light-induced dynamics and control of correlated quantum systems" (project B5).

- M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [3] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [4] K. von Klitzing, Rev. Mod. Phys. 58, 519 (1986).
- [5] M. R. Zirnbauer, J. Math. Phys. 37, 4986 (1996).
- [6] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [7] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).
- [8] T. Morimoto and A. Furusaki, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125129 (2013).
- [9] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035005 (2016).
- [10] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134509 (2010).
- [11] M. Hohenadler, T. C. Lang, and F. F. Assaad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 100403 (2011).
- [12] T. Yoshida, R. Peters, S. Fujimoto, and N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 196404 (2014).
- [13] S. Rachel, Rep. Prog. Phys. 81, 116501 (2018).
- [14] A. M. Turner, F. Pollmann, and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. B 83, 075102 (2011).
- [15] H. Guo and S.-Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195107 (2011).
- [16] S. R. Manmana, A. M. Essin, R. M. Noack, and V. Gurarie, Phys. Rev. B 86, 205119 (2012).
- [17] F. Grusdt, M. Höning, and M. Fleischhauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 260405 (2013).
- [18] J. Sirker, M. Maiti, N. P. Konstantinidis, and N. Sedlmayr, J. Stat. Mech. p. P10032 (2014).
- [19] A. Hayward, C. Schweizer, M. Lohse, M. Aidelsburger, and F. Heidrich-Meisner, Phys. Rev. B 98, 245148 (2018).
- [20] M. Schmitt and S. Kehrein, Phys. Rev. B 98, 180301 (2018).
- [21] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
- [22] W. Metzner and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 324 (1989).
- [23] E. Müller-Hartmann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 3, 2169 (1989).
- [24] F. Gebhard, The Mott Metal-Insulator Transition (Springer, Berlin, 1997).
 [25] F. Gull A. Millia A. Lichtenstein A. Pubteev.
- [25] E. Gull, A. Millis, A. Lichtenstein, A. Rubtsov, M. Troyer, and P. Werner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 349

(2011).

- [26] Y. Lu, M. Höppner, O. Gunnarsson, and M. W. Haverkort, Phys. Rev. B 90, 085102 (2014).
- [27] T. I. Vanhala, T. Siro, L. Liang, M. Troyer, A. Harju, and P. Törmä, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 225305 (2016).
- [28] A. A. Markov, G. Rohringer, and A. N. Rubtsov, Phys. Rev. B 100, 115102 (2019).
- [29] L. Wang, H. Jiang, X. Dai, and X. C. Xie, Phys. Rev. B 85, 235135 (2012).
- [30] A. Amaricci, J. C. Budich, M. Capone, B. Trauzettel, and G. Sangiovanni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 185701 (2015).
- [31] P. Thunström and K. Held (2019), cond-mat/1907.03899.
- [32] E. Prodan and H. Schulz-Baldes, Bulk and Boundary Invariants for Complex Topological Insulators: From K-Theory to Physics (Springer, 2016).
- [33] S. Lang, Algebra (Springer, New York, 2002).
- [34] M. R. de Traubenberg (2005), hep-th/0506011.
- [35] A. H. Bilge, S. Kocak, and S. Uguz, Linear Algebra and its Applications 419, 417 (2006).
- [36] X.-L. Qi, Y.-S. Wu, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 74, 085308 (2006).
- [37] J. Asbóth, L. Oroszlány, and A. Pályi, The Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) Model. In: A Short Course on Topological Insulators. Lecture Notes in Physics, vol 919 (Springer, Cham, 2016).
- [38] E. Müller-Hartmann, Z. Phys. B 74, 507 (1989).
- [39] M. F. L. Golterman, K. Jansen, and D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B **301**, 219 (1993).
- [40] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C.Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195424 (2008).
- [41] A. M. Essin and V. Gurarie, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125132 (2011).
- [42] F. Y. Kuo and D. Nuyens, Found. Comput. Math. 16, 1631 (2016).
- [43] P. L'Ecuyer, in 12th International Conference on Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods in Scientific Computing (MCQMC 2016) (Stanford, United States, 2016).
- [44] See Supplemental Material at *URL* for various technical details and supplemental numerical results.
- [45] M. Potthoff, Phys. Rev. B 64, 165114 (2001).
- [46] R. Bulla and M. Potthoff, Eur. Phys. J. B 13, 257 (2000).
- [47] S. Schwieger, M. Potthoff, and W. Nolting, Phys. Rev. B 67, 165408 (2003).
- [48] K. Ishikawa and T. Matsuyama, Z. Phys. C 33, 41 (1986).
- [49] Z. Wang, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 256803 (2010).
- [50] Z. Wang and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. X 2, 031008 (2012).
- [51] Y.-Y. He, H.-Q. Wu, Z. Y. Meng, and Z.-Y. Lu, Phys. Rev. B 93, 195164 (2016).
- [52] S. Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 036404 (2006).
- [53] C. Gramsch and M. Potthoff, Phys. Rev. B 92, 235135 (2015).
- [54] M. Sentef, J. Kuneš, P. Werner, and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. B 80, 155116 (2009).

Interacting Chern Insulator in Infinite Spatial Dimensions

— Supplemental Material —

David Krüger¹ and Michael Potthoff^{1,2}

 ¹I. Institute of Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, University of Hamburg, Jungiusstraße 9, 20355 Hamburg, Germany
 ² The Hamburg Centre for Ultrafast Imaging, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

Section A: Density of states. The partial orbitaldependent free (U = 0) density of states is given in terms of the free retarded Green's function as

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(\omega + i0^{+} + \mu^{(0)}), \qquad (6)$$

where $\mu^{(0)}$ is the chemical potential of the free system. At half-filling, $\mu^{(0)} = 0$, and we have

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(k,\omega)$$
(7)

with

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(k,\omega) = \left[\frac{1}{\omega - \epsilon(k)}\right]_{\alpha\alpha} = \left[\frac{1}{\omega - \sum_{\mu} d_{\mu}(k)\gamma_{D}^{\mu}}\right]_{\alpha\alpha}.$$
(8)

Here, $\mu = 0, 1, ..., D$, and the *d*-vector has components $d_0(k) = m + t \sum_{r=1}^{D} \cos k_r$ and $d_r(k) = t \sin k_r$ for r = 1, ..., D. Furthermore, $\alpha = 1, ..., M$ is the orbital index, and the matrix inverse must be computed in the $M = 2^{D/2}$ -dimensional orbital space. Exploiting the Clifford-algebra relations, we get

ŀ

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(k,\omega) = \left[\frac{\omega + \sum_{\mu} d_{\mu}(k)\gamma_D^{\mu}}{\omega^2 - \sum_{\mu} d_{\mu}(k)^2}\right]_{\alpha\alpha} .$$
(9)

The irreducible matrix representation of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(r)}$ has vanishing diagonal elements, see Eq. (2), such that there is a contribution from the chiral element $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(0)}$ only. We have $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(0)}_{\alpha\alpha} \equiv z_{\alpha} = +1$ for "A orbitals" $\alpha = 1, ..., M/2$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(0)}_{\alpha\alpha} \equiv z_{\alpha} = -1$ for "B orbitals" $\alpha = (M/2) + 1, ..., M$. This implies that the orbital-resolved free Green's functions $G^{(0)}_{\alpha\alpha}(k,\omega)$ for $\alpha = 1, ..., M$ can be divided into two classes with representatives $G^{(0)}_A(k,\omega)$ and $G^{(0)}_B(k,\omega)$. Using this result and partial fractional decomposition to get the Lehmann representation of $G^{(0)}_{\alpha\alpha}(k,\omega)$ and finally inserting the result in the expressions above, one finds

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} \sum_{s=\pm} \left(1 + s z_{\alpha} \frac{d_0(k)}{\epsilon(k)} \right) \delta(\omega - s\epsilon(k)), \quad (10)$$

where $\epsilon(k) \equiv \epsilon_+(k) = (d_0(k)^2 + \sum_r d_r(k)^2)^{1/2}$. Note that $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} \delta(\omega - s\epsilon(k))$. Furthermore, the DOS is spin-independent and independent of α for orbitals in the same class A or B. Moreover, we have the symmetry $\rho_A(-\omega) = \rho_B(\omega)$. For m = 0 in particular, $\rho_{\alpha}(-\omega) = \rho_{\alpha}(\omega)$. Under a sign change $m \to -m$, the DOS transforms as $\rho_{A,B}(\omega) \to \rho_{B,A}(\omega)$.

Section B: DOS in the limit $D \to \infty$. In the limit $D \to \infty$ an analytical expression for the DOS can be given. As the k-dependence in Eq. (10) is only due to $d_0(k)$ and $\sum_r d_r^2(k)$, we can write

$$p_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} \iint dx dy D(x, y) \left(1 + sz_{\alpha} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y}} \right) \delta(\omega - s\sqrt{x^2 + y})$$
(11)

with

$$D(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} \delta(x - d_0(k)) \,\delta(y - \sum_{r} d_r^2(k)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint du dv e^{-iux} e^{-ivy} \Phi(u,v) \,. \tag{12}$$

In the thermodynamic limit $L \to \infty$ the Fourier transform can be written as:

$$\Phi(u,v) = e^{ium} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \, e^{iut\cos k} e^{ivt^2 \sin^2 k}\right)^D \,. \tag{13}$$

We insert the scaling $t = t^*/\sqrt{D}$, proceed by straightforwardly expanding the exponentials in powers of u and v and keep terms up to order 1/D. In the limit $D \to \infty$ this yields:

$$\Phi(u,v) = e^{ium} e^{-\frac{1}{4}u^2 t^{*2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}ivt^{*2}}$$
(14)

and thus

$$D(x,y) = \frac{1}{t^* \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(x-m)^2/t^{*2}} \delta(y - \frac{1}{2}t^{*2}).$$
(15)

We see that D(x, y) factorizes for $D \to \infty$. The computation is a generalization of the one given by Müller-Hartmann [38]. Inserting the result in Eq. (11) we get, after some straightforward algebra:

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{t^* \sqrt{\pi}} \Theta(|\omega| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t^*) \operatorname{sign} \omega \sum_{s=\pm} \left(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} t^{*2}}} + s z_{\alpha} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\left(s \sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} t^{*2}} - m\right)^2}{t^{*2}} \right) \,. \tag{16}$$

The DOS is has an *m*-independent gap $\Delta = \sqrt{2}t^*$.

Section C: DOS at a critical m. If m is critical, i.e., if the condition for a topological phase transition, $m = \sqrt{D}(1 - 2n_0/D)t^*$, is satisfied for some $n_0 \in$ $\{0, ..., D\}$, we have $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(k) = (t^*/\sqrt{D})\sum_r (k_r - k_{n_0,r})\boldsymbol{\gamma}_D^{(r)}$ close to $\omega = 0$ and k_{n_0} , and the dispersion is given by $d_0(k) = 0$ and $d_r(k) = t(k_r - k_{n_0,r})$, i.e., by a Dirac cone $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\pm}(k) = \pm (t^*/\sqrt{D})[\sum_r (k_r - k_{n_0,r})^2]^{1/2}$.

The low-frequency DOS for the D-dimensional model is then still given by Eq. (11) but with

$$D(x,y) \equiv \delta(x) \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k}^{\prime} \delta\left(y - \sum_{r} d_{r}^{2}(k)\right) , \qquad (17)$$

where \sum_{k}' indicates summation over wave vectors differences k with respect to a high-symmetry point $k_c = k_{n_0} = (0, ..., 0, \pi, ..., \pi)$ within a sphere $|k| \leq \Lambda$ defined by a cutoff Λ . This implies

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = \binom{D}{n_0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k}' \delta \left(\omega - s \frac{t^*}{\sqrt{D}} \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^{D} k_r^2} \right)$$
(18)

in the linear low-frequency regime. The combinatorial prefactor accounts for the fact that the gap closes simultaneously at all $\binom{D}{n_0}$ wave vectors produced by the permutations of the components of $k_c = k_{n_0} =$ $(0, ..., 0, \pi, ..., \pi)$. In the thermodynamic limit, and at sufficiently low frequencies ω ,

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = \binom{D}{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} \frac{S_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \int_{0}^{\Lambda} d\kappa \, \kappa^{D-1} \delta\left(\omega - \frac{st^{*}}{\sqrt{D}}\kappa\right)$$
$$= \frac{|\omega|^{D-1}}{t^{*D}} \frac{1}{2^{D}} \frac{D!}{n_{0}!(D-n_{0})!} \frac{D^{D/2}}{(D/2-1)!} \frac{1}{\pi^{D/2}}, (19)$$

with $\kappa = |k|$, and with the surface area $S_{D-1} = 2\pi^{D/2}/(D/2-1)! = 2\pi^{D/2}/\Gamma(D/2)$ of the D-1-dimensional unit sphere S^{D-1} . This implies

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\omega) = c(D, n_0) |\omega|^{D-1} / t^{*D}$$
(20)

at low frequencies with a coefficient $c(D, n_0)$ which, for any n_0 , tends to zero exponentially fast as $D \to \infty$.

Section D: Diagonal elements of the spectral function. With the help of the self-energy, the interacting Green's function generally reads

$$\boldsymbol{G}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(k) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(k,\omega)} \,. \tag{21}$$

Here, we have included a chemical-potential term in the Hamiltonian via the replacement $\epsilon(k) \mapsto \epsilon(k) - \mu \mathbf{1}$.

As the interaction term preserves the symmetries at half-filling, we must have $A_{A/B}(\omega) = A_{B/A}(-\omega)$ for the interacting local spectral function, $A_{\alpha}(\omega) =$ $-(1/\pi L) \text{Im} \sum_{k} G_{\alpha\alpha\sigma\sigma}(k, \omega + i0^{+})$. This implies that the total (α -summed) local spectral density $A(\omega)$ is symmetric. Hence, half-filling is obtained with a chemical potential which yields a vanishing first moment of $A(\omega)$. The latter is given by $M_{\alpha}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha} (m\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} + U\langle n_{\alpha} \rangle - \mu)$, i.e., we must choose $\mu = U/2$ since the orbital occupations must be symmetric as well: $\langle n_A \rangle + \langle n_B \rangle = 1$.

Within the DMFT, the self-energy is site-diagonal, i.e., *k*-independent. Furthermore, as the Hubbard-interaction term is an intra-orbital interaction only, it is diagonal in orbital space,

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(k,\omega) = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha}(\omega) .$$
 (22)

Analogous to the discussion of the density of states above, the orbital-dependent diagonal elements $\Sigma_{\alpha}(\omega)$ can be divided into two classes A and B. With the definition

$$\Sigma_{\pm}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Sigma_A(\omega) \pm \Sigma_B(\omega) \right) , \qquad (23)$$

we have the following decomposition:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\omega) = \Sigma_{+}(\omega)\mathbf{1} + \Sigma_{-}(\omega)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{D}^{(0)}.$$
(24)

Inserting this into Eq. (21), we can treat the matrix inversion analogously to the non-interacting case to get the local Green's function $G_{\alpha}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} G_{\alpha\alpha}(k,\omega)$ of orbital α in the form

$$G_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{k,s=\pm} \frac{1 + sz_{\alpha} \frac{d_0(k) + \Sigma_{-}(\omega)}{\sqrt{\sum_{r} d_r^2(k) + (d_0(k) + \Sigma_{-}(\omega))^2}}}{\omega + \mu - \Sigma_{+}(\omega) - s\sqrt{\sum_{r} d_r^2(k) + (d_0(k) + \Sigma_{-}(\omega))^2}} .$$
(25)

In the limit $D \to \infty$ and using Eq. (15), this can be written as:

$$G_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} \frac{1}{t^* \sqrt{\pi}} \int dx \frac{1 + s z_{\alpha} \frac{x + \Sigma_{-}(\omega)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}t^{*2} + (x + \Sigma_{-}(\omega))^2}}}{\omega + \mu - \Sigma_{+}(\omega) - s \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}t^{*2} + (x + \Sigma_{-}(\omega))^2}} \exp\left(-(x - m)^2 / t^{*2}\right).$$
(26)

Section E: Two-site DMFT. A simplified variant of dynamical mean-field theory is helpful for an efficient computation of the whole m-U phase diagram. The general theory is explained and discussed in Ref. [45]. Here, we just present the basic operational steps necessary for the concrete numerical computations and also a slight generalization of the approach to the multi-orbital case with intra-orbital Hubbard interaction and the staggered orbital field introduced by the mass term.

Within two-site DMFT, the interacting lattice model is self-consistently mapped onto a single-impurity Anderson model (SIAM) for A orbitals and to another one for B orbitals. Each α -SIAM ($\alpha = A,B$) consists of two sites only: a spin-degenerate, correlated impurity site with one-particle energy $\varepsilon_{d,\alpha} = m\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} = \pm m$ and with the Hubbard interaction present, and a spin-degenerate, noninteracting bath site with one-particle energy $\varepsilon_{c,\alpha}$. The sites are coupled by a spin-indepenent hybridization term of strength V_{α} .

Due to the small Hilbert space, the two-site SIAM can be solved easily for the single-particle impurity Green's function $G_{imp,\alpha}(\omega)$, from which we obtain the groundstate occupation of the impurity site $n_{imp,\alpha}$ and the selfenergy $\Sigma_{\alpha}(\omega)$, which is local and nonzero at the impurity site only and identified with the local lattice self-energy of orbital α . Furthermore, we compute the renormalization factor $z_{\alpha} = 1/(1 - \partial \Sigma_{\alpha}(\omega = 0)/\partial \omega)$. For the system considered here, we have the symmetry relation $\Sigma_{-}(\omega) =$ $\Sigma_{-}(-\omega)$ for $\Sigma_{-}(\omega) \equiv (\Sigma_{A}(\omega) - \Sigma_{B}(\omega))/2$. With Eq. (24) this implies that $z_{\alpha} = z = \text{const} = 1/(1 - \partial \Sigma_{+}(\omega = 0)/\partial \omega)$.

With the self-energy at hand, Eq. (26) provides us with the local element of the interacting lattice Green's function $G_{\alpha}(\omega)$. The original DMFT self-consistency requires $G_{\alpha}(\omega) \stackrel{!}{=} G_{\text{imp},\alpha}(\omega)$. This, however, will be relaxed as there are only two (spin-independent) parameters to be fixed. V_{α} and $\varepsilon_{c,\alpha}$ are self-consistently determined from the following two two-site DMFT self-consistency conditions (for each $\alpha = A,B$):

$$n_{\mathrm{imp},\alpha} \stackrel{!}{=} n_{\alpha} , \qquad (27)$$

where n_{α} is the ground-state occupation of orbital α in the lattice model that is obtained from $G_{\alpha}(\omega)$, and

$$V_{\alpha}^{2} \stackrel{!}{=} z_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{(2)} - z_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{(1)^{2}} = zt^{*2} , \qquad (28)$$

i.e., V_{α}^2 is fixed by the second, centered moment of the noninteracting DOS and the renormalization factor z.

Condition (27) ensures that all coefficients in the highfrequency expansion of $G_{\alpha}(\omega)$ are exact up to and including terms or order $1/\omega^3$. Therewith, the norm, the center of gravity, and the variance of the interacting local spectral density $-\text{Im}G_{\alpha}(\omega + i0^+)/\pi$ are reproduced correctly. This is important to assure the correct positions and weights of the Hubbard bands at high frequencies.

Condition (28) is obtained as follows: We use $\Sigma(\omega) = a + (1 - z^{-1})\omega + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$ in Dyson's equation to get the "coherent" Green's function

$$\boldsymbol{G}^{(\text{low})}(k,\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{z^{-1}\omega + \mu - \boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(k)} = \frac{z}{\omega + z(\mu - \boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(k))},$$
(29)

where $\boldsymbol{a} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(0)$ is diagonal. $\boldsymbol{G}^{(\text{low})}(k,\omega)$ describes the low-energy excitations. For a metallic phase, the z-factor is the weight of the quasi-particle resonance at the Fermi energy [45]. Here, for insulating phases, the z-factor describes the gap renomalization, see Ref. [54].

The first three coefficients of the high-frequency expansion of $G^{(low)}(k,\omega)$ fix the norm, the center of gravity, and

the variance of the gapped low-frequency spectrum. We have:

$$\frac{1}{L}\sum_{k} \boldsymbol{G}^{(\text{low})}(k,\omega) = \frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^{2}(\boldsymbol{M}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{a} - \mu)}{\omega^{2}} + \frac{z^{3}(\boldsymbol{M}^{(2)} + (\boldsymbol{M}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{a} - \mu)^{2} - \boldsymbol{M}^{(1)^{2}})}{\omega^{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{4}), \quad (30)$$

with $M_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(1)} = m\gamma_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(0)} = \pm m\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $M_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(2)} = (t^{*2} + m^2)\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}$. DMFT self-consistency requires that the local elements $G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{low})}(\omega) = L^{-1}\sum_k G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{low})}(k,\omega)$ equal the low-energy coherent impurity Green's functions $G_{\alpha}^{(\text{imp,low})}(\omega)$. Performing the high-frequency expansion of $G_{\alpha}^{(\text{imp,low})}(\omega)$ for the α -th SIAM yields [45]:

$$G_{\alpha}^{(\text{imp,low})}(\omega) = \frac{z_{\alpha}}{\omega} + \frac{z_{\alpha}^{2}(\varepsilon_{d,\alpha} + a_{\alpha} - \mu)}{\omega^{2}} + \frac{z_{\alpha}^{2}V_{\alpha}^{2} + z_{\alpha}^{3}(\varepsilon_{d,\alpha} + a_{\alpha} - \mu)^{2}}{\omega^{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{4}), \qquad (31)$$

Comparing Eqs. (30) with (31) and noting that $z_A = z_B$ and $\varepsilon_{d,\alpha} = M_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} = M_{\alpha}^{(1)}$, yields Eq. (28).

Section F: Further numerical results. Here, we present some further results obtained with the two-site DMFT for the interacting system.

Fig. 3 displays the orbital polarization $n_A - n_B$ as a function of m and U in the $D \to \infty$ limit. At m = 0 and for all U both types of orbitals are equally occupied. Though this is hardly visible in the figure, the raw data shows, that the same holds for the entire Mott-insulating phase. On the contrary, the system is most easily polarizable at U = 0.

Fig. 4 shows the local spectral function on the Aorbitals for various U in the symmetric case at m = 0and for m = -1.5. At m = 0, the spectral function is symmetric in ω . For U = 0, the gap is $\Delta = \sqrt{2}t^*$. A slight Lorentzian broadening of the spectrum is artificial and caused a finite imaginary part $\varepsilon = 0.01$, introduced via $\omega \mapsto \omega + i\varepsilon$ in the calculation of $A_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{loc})}(\omega) =$ $-(1/\pi)\text{Im} G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{loc})}(\omega + i\varepsilon)$ from the retarded local Green's function. With increasing U the gap shrinks. This is related to the renormalization factor z which decreases upon approaching the transition to the Mott insulator at $U = U_c = 6t^*$. Close to the transition $U \to U_c$, the gap $\Delta(U) \to 0$. At the same time the spectral weight of



FIG. 3: *m*-*U*-dependence of the orbital polarization $n_A - n_B$ in the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

the low-energy peaks in the spectral function vanish, and for $U > U_c$ a large Mott-Hubbard gap is present. This increases with increasing U, again related to an increase of z with U for $U > U_c$.

For m = -1.5, the spectral function is asymmetric in ω , reflecting the orbital polarization of the system. Apart from that, the evolution of $A_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{loc})}(\omega)$ with U is qualitatively the same. The spectrum for U = 8 is actually still gapped. Due to the finite broadening ε , however, a single artificial peak centered around $\omega = 0$ is visible in the figure. Note that with increasing U there is



FIG. 4: Local spectral function $A_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{loc})}(\omega)$ on $\alpha = A$ -orbitals for m = 0 (top) and m = -1.5 (bottom) for various U in the $D \to \infty$ limit.



FIG. 5: m-U phase diagrams for D = 2, 4, 6 computed for $t = t^*/\sqrt{D}$ with $t^* = 1$. The color codes the modulus of the normalized Chern number $\tilde{C}_D = C_D/2^D$. The values of the D-th Chern numbers for the different phases are indicated in the plot.

a strong spectral-weight transfer taking place, such that for $U > U_c$ the orbital polarization vanishes.

Fig. 5 displays m-U phase diagrams for finite dimensions D = 2, 4, 6 (top to bottom) to be compared with the phase diagram, Fig. 2, which is discussed in the main text. To make the results for different D comparable, we have computed all phase diagrams with the scaled hopping parameter $t = t^*/\sqrt{D}$ where $t^* = 1$ and have color coded the Chern density c(m, U), which is nonnegative and normalized as discussed in the main text, rather than the Chern number, Eq. (3). The color coding is the same in all figures, including Fig. 2.

The Chern number is given additionally and labels the different topological phases in the figure. Note the alternating sign and the monotonic increase of the Chern number along any straight path from the band to the Mott insulator.

The convergence of c(m, U), opposed to the Chern number, for each point in the entire phase diagram with increasing D to the respective points in the $D = \infty$ phase diagram (Fig. 2) is highly plausible. With increasing D, the parameter regions of constant c(m, U) shrink in size. This is balanced by an ever-increasing number of phases, such that for $D \to \infty$ a continuum of topologically different phases is obtained, each of which covers a onedimensional manifold in the m-U plane, which is defined by c(m, U) = const.