THE PICARD GROUPS FOR CONDITIONAL EXPECTATIONS
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Abstract. Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be inclusions of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$, $\overline{BD} = D$. Let $\mathcal{A}B_A(C, A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}B_B(D, B)$) be the space of all bounded $A$-bimodule (resp. $B$-bimodule) linear maps from $C$ (resp. $D$) to $A$ (resp. $B$). We suppose that $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent. In this paper, we shall show that there is an isometric isomorphism $f$ of $\mathcal{B}B_B(D, B)$ onto $\mathcal{A}B_A(C, A)$ and we shall study on basic properties about $f$. And, we define the Picard group for a bimodule linear map and discuss on the Picard group of a bimodule linear map.

1. Introduction

Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be inclusions of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$, $\overline{BD} = D$. Let $\mathcal{A}B_A(C, A)$, $\mathcal{B}B_B(D, B)$ be the spaces of all bounded $A$-bimodule linear maps and all bounded $B$-bimodule linear maps from $C$ and $D$ to $A$ and $B$, respectively. We suppose that they are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to a $C - D$-equivalence bimodule $Y$ and its closed subspace $X$. In this paper, we shall define an isometric isomorphism $f$ of $\mathcal{B}B_B(D, B)$ onto $\mathcal{A}B_A(C, A)$ induced by $Y$ and $X$ in the same way as in [8]. We shall study on the basic properties about $f$. And, we define the Picard group for a bimodule linear map and discuss on the Picard group of a bimodule linear map.

For a $C^*$-algebra $A$, we denote by $1_A$ and $\text{id}_A$ the unit element in $A$ and the identity map on $A$, respectively. If no confusion arises, we denote them by 1 and id, respectively. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $M_n(C)$ the $n \times n$-matrix algebra over $C$ and $I_n$ denotes the unit element in $M_n(C)$. Also, we denote by $M_n(A)$ the $n \times n$-matrix algebra over $A$ and we identify $M_n(A)$ with $A \otimes M_n(C)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a $C^*$-algebra $A$, let $M(A)$ be the multiplier $C^*$-algebra of $A$.

Let $K$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^*$-algebras. Let $X$ be an $A - B$-equivalence bimodule. For any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $x \in X$, we denote by $a \cdot x$ the left $A$-action on $X$ and by $x \cdot b$ the right $B$-action on $X$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}K(X)$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all "compact" adjointable left $A$-linear operators on $X$ and we identify $\mathcal{A}K(X)$ with $B$. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{K}B(X)$ and we identify $\mathcal{K}B(X)$ with $A$.

2. Construction

Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be inclusions of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$ and $\overline{CD}$ = D. Let $\mathcal{A}B_A(C, A)$, $\mathcal{B}B_B(D, B)$ be the spaces of all bounded $A$-bimodule linear maps and all bounded $B$-bimodule linear maps from $C$ and $D$ to $A$ and $B$, respectively. We suppose that $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to a $C - D$-equivalence bimodule $Y$ and its closed subspace $X$. We construct an
isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_B(D, B)$ onto $\mathcal{A}_B(C, A)$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_B(D, B)$, we define the linear map $\tau$ from $Y$ to $X$ by

$$\langle x, \tau(y) \rangle_B = \phi(\langle x, y \rangle_D)$$

for any $x \in X, y \in Y$.

**Lemma 2.1.** With the above notation, $\tau$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\tau(x \cdot d) = x \cdot \phi(d)$,
2. $\tau(y \cdot b) = \tau(y) \cdot b$,
3. $\langle x, \tau(y) \rangle_B = \phi(\langle x, y \rangle_D)$

for any $b \in B, d \in D, x \in X, y \in Y$. Also, $\tau$ is bounded and $||\tau|| \leq ||\phi||$. Furthermore, $\tau$ is the unique linear map from $Y$ to $X$ satisfying Condition (3).

**Proof.** We can prove this lemma in the same way as in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.1]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** With the above notation, $\tau(a \cdot y) = a \cdot \tau(y)$ for any $a \in A, y \in Y$.

**Proof.** This can be proved in the same way as in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.2]. Indeed, for any $x, z \in X, y \in Y$,

$$\tau(A(x, z) \cdot y) = \tau(x \cdot (z, y)_D) = x \cdot \phi((z, y)_D) = x \cdot (z, \tau(y)_D) = A\langle x, z \rangle \cdot \tau(y).$$

Since $A\langle X, Y \rangle = A$ and $\tau$ is bounded, we obtain the conclusion. □

Let $\psi$ be the linear map from $C$ to $A$ defined by

$$\psi(c) \cdot x = \tau(c \cdot x)$$

for any $c \in C, x \in X$, where we identify $K_B(X)$ with $A$ as $C^*$-algebras by the map $a \in A \mapsto T_a \in K_B(X)$, which is defined by $T_a(x) = a \cdot x$ for any $x \in X$.

**Lemma 2.3.** With the above notation, $\psi$ is a linear map from $C$ to $A$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\psi(c \cdot x) = \psi(c) \cdot x$,
2. $\psi(\langle c, y \rangle) = A(\tau(y), x)$

for any $c \in C, x \in X, y \in Y$. Also, $\psi$ is a bounded $A$-bimodule linear map from $C$ to $A$ with $||\psi|| \leq ||\tau||$. Furthermore, $\psi$ is the unique linear map from $C$ to $D$ satisfying Condition (1).

**Proof.** We can prove this lemma in the same way as in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.3]. □

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be inclusions of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$ and $\overline{BD} = D$. We suppose that $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to a $C - D$-equivalence bimodule $Y$ and its closed subspace $X$. Let $\phi$ be any element in $\mathcal{B}_B(D, B)$. Then there are the unique linear map $\tau$ from $Y$ to $X$ and the unique element $\psi$ in $\mathcal{A}_B(D, A)$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\tau(c \cdot x) = \psi(c) \cdot x$,
2. $\tau(a \cdot y) = a \cdot \tau(y)$,
3. $\tau(\langle y \rangle, x) = \psi(\langle c, y \rangle)$,
4. $\tau(x \cdot d) = x \cdot \phi(d)$,
5. $\tau(y \cdot b) = \tau(y) \cdot b$,
6. $\langle \phi(\langle x, y \rangle)_D \rangle = \langle x, \tau(y) \rangle_B$

for any $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C, d \in D, x \in X, y \in Y$. Furthermore, $||\psi|| \leq ||\tau|| \leq ||\phi||$. Also, for any element $\psi$ in $\mathcal{A}_B(D, A)$, we have the same results as above.

**Proof.** This is immediate by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. □
We denote by \( f_{(X,Y)} \) the map from \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \) to the above \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_B(C, A) \). By the definition of \( f_{(X,Y)} \) and Proposition 2.4, we can see that \( f_{(X,Y)} \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \) onto \( \mathcal{A}_B(C, A) \).

**Lemma 2.5.** With the above notation, let \( \phi \) be any element in \( \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \). Then \( f_{(X,Y)}(\phi) \) is the unique linear map from \( C \) to \( A \) satisfying that

\[
(x, f_{(X,Y)}(\phi)(c) \cdot z)_{B} = \phi((x, c \cdot z)_{D})
\]

for any \( c \in C, x, z \in X \).

**Proof.** We can prove this lemma in the same way as in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.6]. \( \square \)

Let \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \) be the set of all pairs \((X, Y)\) such that \( Y \) is a \( C - D \)-equivalence bimodule and \( X \) is its closed subspace satisfying Conditions (1), (2) in [9, Definition 2.1]. We define an equivalence relation “\( \sim \)” in \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \) as follows: For any \((X, Y), (Z, W) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)\), we say that \((X, Y) \sim (Z, W)\) in \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \) if there is a \( C - D \)-equivalence bimodule \( \Phi \) of \( Y \) onto \( W \) such that \( \Phi|_X \) is a bijection of \( X \) onto \( Z \). Then \( \Phi|_X \) is an \( A - B \)-equivalence bimodule of \( X \) onto \( Z \) by [8, Lemma 3.2]. We denote by \([X, Y]\) the equivalence class of \((X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)\).

**Lemma 2.6.** With the above notation, let \((X, Y), (Z, W) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)\) with \((X, Y) \sim (Z, W)\) in \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). Then \( f_{(X,Y)} = f_{(Z,W)} \).

**Proof.** This can be proved in the same way as in the proof [8, Lemma 6.1]. \( \square \)

We denote by \( f_{[X,Y]} \) the isometric isomorphism of \( \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \) into \( \mathcal{A}_B(C, A) \) induced by the equivalence class \([X, Y]\) of \((X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)\).

Let \( L \subset M \) be an inclusion of \( C^* \)-algebras with \( LM = M \), which is strong Morita equivalent to the inclusion \( B \subset D \) with respect to a \( D - M \)-equivalence bimodule \( W \) and its closed subspace \( Z \). Then the inclusion \( A \subset C \) is strongly Morita equivalent to the inclusion \( L \subset M \) with respect to the \( C - M \)-equivalence bimodule \( Y \otimes_D W \) and its closed subspace \( X \otimes_B Z \).

**Lemma 2.7.** With the above notation,

\[
f_{[X \otimes_B Z, Y \otimes_B W]} = f_{[X,Y]} \circ f_{[Z,W]}.
\]

**Proof.** This can be proved in the same way as in the proof of [8, Theorem 6.2]. \( \square \)

### 3. Strong Morita equivalence

Let \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) be inclusions of \( C^* \)-algebras with \( \overline{AC} = C \) and \( \overline{BD} = D \). Let \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_B(A, C, A) \) and \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \).

**Definition 3.1.** We say that \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are strongly Morita equivalent if there is an element \((X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)\) such that \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) = \psi \). Also, we say that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \((X, Y)\) in \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \).

**Remark 3.1.** By Lemma 2.7 strong Morita equivalence for bimodule linear maps are equivalence relation.

Let \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_B(A, C, A) \) and \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}_B(D, B) \). We suppose that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \((X, Y)\) in \( \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). Let \( L_X \) and \( L_Y \) be the linking \( C^* \)-algebras for \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. Then in the same way as in [6, Section 3] or Brown, Green and Rieffel [2, Theorem 1.1], \( L_X \) is a \( C^* \)-subalgebra of
Let \( L_Y \) and by easy computations, \( L_XL_Y = L_Y \). Furthermore, there are full projections \( p, q \in M(L_X) \) with \( p + q = 1_{M(L_X)} \) satisfying the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
plxp & \cong A, \\
qlxq & \cong B, \\
pqxp & \cong C, \\
qlyq & \cong D
\end{align*}
\]

as \( C^* \)-algebras. We note that \( M(L_X) \subseteq M(L_Y) \) by Pedersen [10, Section 3.12.12] since \( L_XL_Y = L_Y \).

Let \( \phi, \psi \) be as above. We suppose that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are selfadjoint. Let \( \tau \) be the unique bounded linear map from \( Y \) to \( X \) satisfying Conditions (1)-(6) in Proposition 2.4. Let \( \rho \) be the map from \( L_Y \) to \( L_X \) defined by

\[
\rho \left( \begin{bmatrix} c & y \\ z & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi(c) & \tau(y) \\ \tau(z) & \phi(d) \end{bmatrix}
\]

for any \( c \in C, d \in D, y, z \in Y \). By routine computations \( \rho \) is a selfadjoint element in \( \mathfrak{L}X \mathfrak{B}_{LX} (L_Y, L_X) \), where \( \mathfrak{L}X \mathfrak{B}_{LX} (L_Y, L_X) \) is the space of all bounded \( \mathfrak{L}X \)-module linear maps from \( L_Y \) to \( L_X \). Furthermore, \( \rho|_{pLp} = \psi \) and \( \rho|_{qLq} = \phi \), where we identify \( A, C \) and \( B, D \) with \( pLxp, pLyp \) and \( qLxq, qLyq \) in the usual way, respectively. Thus we obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** With the above notation, let \( \psi \in \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}_A(C, A) \) and \( \phi \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B}_B(D, B) \). We suppose that \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are selfadjoint and strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). Then there is a selfadjoint element \( \rho \in \mathfrak{L}X \mathfrak{B}_{LX} (L_Y, L_X) \) such that

\[
\rho|_{pLp} = \psi, \quad \rho|_{qLq} = \phi.
\]

Also, we have the inverse direction:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( A \subseteq C \) and \( B \subseteq D \) be as above and let \( \psi \in \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}_A(C, A) \) and \( \phi \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B}_B(D, B) \) be selfadjoint elements. We suppose that there are an inclusion \( K \subseteq L \) of \( C^* \)-algebras with \( K \mathfrak{L} = L \) and full projections \( p, q \in M(K) \) with \( p + q = 1_{M(K)} \) such that

\[
A \cong pKp, \quad C \cong pLp, \quad B \cong qKq, \quad D \cong qLq,
\]

as \( C^* \)-algebras. Also, we suppose that there is a selfadjoint element \( \rho \) in \( K \mathfrak{B}_K (L, K) \) such that

\[
\rho|_{pLp} = \psi, \quad \rho|_{qLq} = \phi.
\]

Then \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are strongly Morita equivalent, where we identify \( pKp, pLp \) and \( qKq, qLq \) with \( A, C \) and \( B, D \), respectively.

**Proof.** We note that \( (Kp, Lp) \in \text{Equi}(K, L, A, C) \), where we identify \( A \) and \( C \) with \( pKp \) and \( pLp \), respectively. By routine computations, we can see that

\[
\langle kp, \rho(l \cdot k_1p) \rangle_A = \psi(\langle kp, l \cdot k_1p \rangle_C)
\]

for any \( k, k_1 \in K, l \in L \). Thus by Lemma 2.5 \( f_{[Kp, Lp]}(\psi) = \rho \). Similarly, \( f_{[Kp, Lp]}^{-1}(\phi) = \rho \). Since \( f_{[Kp, Lp]}^{-1}(\phi) = \rho \),

\[
(f_{[Kp, Lp]}^{-1}) \circ f_{[Kp, Lp]}(\psi) = \phi.
\]

Since \( f_{[Kp, Lp]}^{-1} = f_{[qKp, qLp]} \), by Lemma 2.7

\[
\phi = f_{[qKp, qLp]}(\rho) = f_{[qKp, qLp]}(\psi).
\]

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. \( \square \)
Proposition 3.4. Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be inclusions of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$ and $\overline{BD} = D$. Let $\psi$ and $\phi$ be selfadjoint elements in $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_A(C, A)$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_B(D, B)$, respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\psi$ and $\phi$ are strongly Morita equivalent,
2. There are an inclusion $K \subset L$ of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{KL} = L$, full projections $p, q \in M(K)$ with $p + q = 1_{M(K)}$ and a selfadjoint element $\rho \in K\mathcal{B}_K(L, K)$ satisfying that

\[
A \cong pKp, \quad C \cong pLp, \quad B \cong qKq, \quad D \cong qLq,
\]

as $C^*$-algebras and that

\[
\rho|_{pLp} = \psi, \quad \rho|_{qLq} = \phi,
\]

where we identify $pKp$ and $pLp$ and $qKq$, $qLq$ with $A$, $C$ and $B$, $D$, respectively.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. $\Box$

4. Stable $C^*$-algebras

Let $A \subset C$ be an inclusion of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$. Let $A^* = A \otimes K$ and $C^* = C \otimes K$. Let \{\psi_{ij}\} \_{i,j=1} \infty be a system of matrix units of $K$. Clearly $A^* \subset C^*$ and $A \subset C$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to the $C^*$-equivalence bimodule $C^*[(1_{M(A)} \otimes e_{11}^*)]$ and its closed subspace $A^*[(1_{M(A)} \otimes e_{11}^*)]$, where we identify $A$ and $C$ with $(1 \otimes e_{11})A^*(1 \otimes e_{11})$ and $(1 \otimes e_{11})C^*(1 \otimes e_{11})$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. With the above notation, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_A(C, A)$,

\[
f_{[A^*(1 \otimes e_{11}), C^*(1 \otimes e_{11})]}(\phi) = \phi \otimes \text{id}_K.
\]

Proof. It suffices to show that

\[
(a(1 \otimes e_{11}), (\phi \otimes \text{id}_K)(c) \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_A = \phi((a(1 \otimes e_{11}), c \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_C)
\]

for any $a, b \in A^*$, $c \in C^*$ by Lemma 2.5. Indeed, for any $a, b \in A^*$, $c \in C^*$,

\[
(a(1 \otimes e_{11}), (\phi \otimes \text{id}_K)(c) \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_A = (1 \otimes e_{11})a^*(\phi \otimes \text{id}_K)(c)b(1 \otimes e_{11})
\]

\[
= (\phi \otimes \text{id}_K)((1 \otimes e_{11})a^*cb(1 \otimes e_{11})).
\]

On the other hand,

\[
\phi((a(1 \otimes e_{11}), c \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_C) = \phi((1 \otimes e_{11})a^*cb(1 \otimes e_{11})).
\]

Since we identify $C$ with $(1 \otimes e_{11})C^*(1 \otimes e_{11})$,

\[
(a(1 \otimes e_{11}), (\phi \otimes \text{id}_K)(c) \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_A = \phi((a(1 \otimes e_{11}), c \cdot b(1 \otimes e_{11}))_C)
\]

for any $a, b \in A^*$, $c \in C^*$. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. $\Box$

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_A(C, A)$. Let \{u_{\lambda}\} \_{\lambda \in A} be an approximate units of $A^*$ with $\|u_{\lambda}\| \leq 1$ for any $\lambda \in A$. Since $\overline{AC} = C$, \{u_{\lambda}\} \_{\lambda \in A} is an approximate units of $C^*$. Let $c$ be any element in $C$. For any $a \in A$, \{\psi(cu_{\lambda})\} \_{\lambda \in A} and \{\psi(u_{\lambda}c)\} \_{\lambda \in A}$ are Cauchy nets in $A$. Hence there is an element $x \in M(A)$ such that \{\psi(cu_{\lambda})\} \_{\lambda \in A} is strictly convergent to $x \in M(A)$. Let $\overline{\psi}$ be the map from $M(C)$ to $M(A)$ defined by $\overline{\psi}(c) = x$ for any $c \in C$. By routine computations $\overline{\psi}$ is a bounded $M(A)$-bimodule linear map from $M(C)$ to $M(A)$ and $\psi = \overline{\psi}|_C$.

Let $q$ be a full projection in $M(A)$, that is, $AqA = A$. Since $\overline{AC} = C$, $M(A) \subset M(C)$ by [10] Section 3.12.12. Thus

\[
\overline{qCq} = \overline{AqAC} = \overline{AC} = C.
\]

We regard $qC$ and $qA$ as a $qCq-C$-equivalence bimodule and a $qAq-A$-equivalence bimodule, respectively. Then $(qA, qC) \in \text{Equi}(qAq, qCq, A, C)$.

Lemma 4.2. With the above notation, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_A(C, A)$

\[
f_{[qAq, qC]}(\psi) = \psi|_qCq.
\]
Proof. By easy computations, we see that
\[ \langle qx, \psi \rangle|_{\mathcal{C}(c) \cdot qz} \mathcal{A} = \psi(\langle qx, c \cdot qz \rangle \mathcal{C}) \]
for any \( x, z \in A \), \( c \in C \) since \( \psi(q) = q \). Thus we obtain the conclusion by Lemma 2.5.

Let \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) be inclusions of \( C^*\)-algebras such that \( A \) and \( B \) are \( \sigma \)-unital and \( \overline{AC} = C \) and \( \overline{BD} = D \). Let \( B^s = B \otimes K \) and \( D^s = D \otimes K \). We suppose that \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). Let \( X^s = X \otimes K \) and \( Y^s = Y \otimes K \), an \( A^s - B^s \)-equivalence bimodule and a \( C^s - D^s \)-equivalence bimodule, respectively. We note that \((X^s, Y^s) \in \text{Equi}(A^s, C^s, B^s, D^s) \). Let \( L_{X^s} \) and \( L_{Y^s} \) be the linking \( C^*\)-algebras for \( X^s \) and \( Y^s \), respectively. Let \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) be full projections in \( M(L_{X^s}) \). By easy computations, we can see that \( L_{X^s} \cdot L_{Y^s} = L_{Y^s} \). Hence by [10] Section 3.12.12, \( M(L_{X^s}) \subset M(L_{Y^s}) \). Since \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are full projections in \( M(L_{X^s}) \), by Brown [11] Lemma 2.5, there is a partial isometry \( w \in M(L_{X^s}) \) such that \( w^*w = p_1 \), \( ww^* = p_2 \). We note that \( w \in M(L_{Y^s}) \). Let \( \Psi \) be the map from \( p_2L_{Y^s}p_2 \) to \( p_1L_{Y^s}p_1 \) defined by
\[ \Psi(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) = w^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \]
for any \( d \in D^s \). In the same way as in the discussions of [2], \( \Psi \) is an isomorphism of \( p_2L_{Y^s}p_2 \) onto \( p_1L_{Y^s}p_1 \) and \( \Psi|_{p_2L_{X^s}p_2} \) is an isomorphism of \( p_2L_{X^s}p_2 \) onto \( p_1L_{X^s}p_1 \). Also, we note the following:
\[
\begin{align*}
p_1L_{X^s}p_1 &\cong C^s, & p_1L_{X^s}p_1 &= A^s, \\
p_2L_{Y^s}p_2 &\cong D^s, & p_2L_{X^s}p_2 &= B^s
\end{align*}
\]
as \( C^*\)-algebras. We identify \( A^s \), \( C^s \) and \( B^s \), \( D^s \) with \( p_1L_{X^s}p_1 \), \( p_1L_{Y^s}p_1 \) and \( p_2L_{X^s}p_2 \), \( p_2L_{Y^s}p_2 \), respectively. Also, we identify \( X^s \), \( Y^s \) with \( p_1L_{X^s}p_2 \), \( p_1L_{Y^s}p_2 \).

Let \( A^s_{\Psi} \) be the \( A^s - B^s \)-equivalence bimodule induced by \( \Psi|_{B^s} \), that is, \( A^s_{\Psi} = A^s \) as \( C \)-vector spaces. The left \( A^s \)-action and the \( A^s \)-valued inner product on \( A^s_{\Psi} \) are defined in the usual way. The right \( B^s \)-action and \( B^s \)-valued inner product on \( A^s_{\Psi} \) are defined as follows: For any \( x, y \in A^s_{\Psi} \), \( b \in B^s \),
\[ x \cdot b = x \Psi(b), \quad \langle x, y \rangle_{B^s} = \Psi^{-1}(x^*y). \]

Similarly, we define the \( C^s - D^s \)-equivalence bimodule \( C^s_{\Psi} \) induced by \( \Psi \). We note that \( A^s_{\Psi} \) is a closed subspace of \( C^s_{\Psi} \) and \( (A^s_{\Psi}, C^s_{\Psi}) \in \text{Equi}(A^s, C^s, B^s, D^s) \).

Lemma 4.3. With the above notation, \( (A^s_{\Psi}, C^s_{\Psi}) \) is equivalent to \( (X^s, Y^s) \) in \( \text{Equi}(A^s, C^s, B^s, D^s) \).

\[ \pi(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} w \]
for any \( y \in Y^s \). By routine computations, \( \pi \) is a \( C^s - D^s \)-equivalence bimodule isomorphism of \( Y^s \) onto \( C^s_{\Psi} \) and \( \pi|_{X^s} \) is a bijection from \( X^s \) onto \( A^s \). Hence by [6] Lemma 3.2, we obtain the conclusion.

Lemma 4.4. With the above notation, for any \( \phi \in B^s \cdot B^s(D^s, B^s) \),
\[ f_{(X^s, Y^s)}(\phi) = \Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1}. \]
Proof. We claim that
\[ \langle x, (\Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d) \cdot z \rangle_{B^*} = \phi(\langle x, d \cdot z \rangle_{D^*}) \]
for any \( \phi \in B \cdot B^*(D^*, B^*) \), \( x, z \in A_\Psi^* \), \( d \in D^* \). Indeed,
\[
\langle x, (\Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d) \cdot z \rangle_{B^*} = \Psi^{-1}(x^* (\Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d))z \\
= \Psi^{-1}(x^*) (\phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d) \Psi^{-1}(z).
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\phi(\langle x, d \cdot z \rangle_{B^*}) = \phi(\Psi^{-1}(x^* dz)) = \phi(\Psi^{-1}(x^*) \Psi^{-1}(d) \Psi^{-1}(z)) \\
= \Psi^{-1}(x^*) (\phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d) \Psi^{-1}(z)
\]
since \( \Psi^{-1}(x^*) \), \( \Psi^{-1}(z) \in B^* \). Thus
\[
\langle x, (\Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1})(d) \cdot z \rangle_{B^*} = \phi(\langle x, d \cdot z \rangle_{D^*})
\]
for any \( \phi \in B \cdot B^*(D^*, B^*) \), \( x, z \in A_\Psi^* \), \( d \in D^* \). Hence by Lemma 2.5, \( f_{[A_\Psi^*, C^*_A]}(\phi) = \Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1} \) for any \( \phi \in B \cdot B^*(D^*, B^*) \). Therefore, \( f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = \Psi \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1} \) by Lemmas 2.6 and 4.3.

Let \( \Psi \) be the strictly continuous isomorphism of \( M(D^*) \) onto \( M(C^*) \) extending \( \Psi \) to \( M(D^*) \), which is defined in Jensen and Thomsen [4, Corollary 1.1.15]. Then \( \Psi_{M(B^*)} \) is an isomorphism of \( M(B^*) \) onto \( M(A^*) \). Let \( q = \Psi(1 \otimes e_{11}) \). Then \( q \) is a full projection in \( M(A^*) \) with \( C^* q C^* = C^* \) and \( q^A q \cong A \), \( q^C q \cong C \) as \( C^* \)-algebras. We identify with \( q^A q \) and \( q^C q \) with \( A \) and \( C \), respectively. Then we obtain the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) be inclusions of \( C^* \)-algebras such that \( A \) and \( B \) are \( \sigma \)-unital and \( \overline{AC} = C \) and \( \overline{BD} = D \). Let \( \Psi \) be the isomorphism of \( D^* \) onto \( C^* \) defined before Lemma 4.3 and let \( q = \Psi(1 \otimes e_{11}) \). Let \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). For any \( \phi \in b_B^D(D, B) \),
\[
f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = (\Psi \circ (\phi \circ \text{id}_K) \circ \Psi^{-1})|_{qC^*q},
\]
where we identify \( q^A q \) and \( q^C q \) with \( A \) and \( C \), respectively.

**Proof.** We note that \((1 \otimes e_{11})B^*(1 \otimes e_{11}) \) and \((1 \otimes e_{11})D^*(1 \otimes e_{11}) \) are identified with \( B \) and \( D \), respectively. Also, we identify \( q^A q \) and \( q^C q \) with \( A \) and \( C \), respectively. Thus we see that
\[
[q^A \otimes_{A^*} X^* \otimes_B B^*(1 \otimes e_{11}) \otimes q^C \otimes_{C^*} Y^* \otimes_D D^*(1 \otimes e_{11})] = [X, Y]
\]
in Equi\( (A, C, B, D) \). Hence by Lemma 2.7,
\[
f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = (f_{[q^A^*, q^C^*]} \circ f_{[X^*, Y^*]} \circ f_{[B^*(1 \otimes e_{11}), D^*(1 \otimes e_{11})]})(\phi).
\]
Therefore, by Lemmas 4.4, 4.2 and 4.3
\[
f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = (\Psi \circ (\phi \circ \text{id}_K) \circ \Psi^{-1})|_{qC^*q}.
\]

5. Basic properties

Let \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) be inclusions of \( C^* \)-algebras with \( \overline{AC} = C \) and \( \overline{BD} = D \). We suppose that they are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \). Let \( q_B^A(C, A) \) and \( q_B^D(D, B) \) be as above and let \( f_{[X, Y]} \) be the isometric isomorphism of \( B_B^D(D, B) \) onto \( A_B^A(C, A) \) induced by \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \) which is defined in Section 2. In this section, we give basic properties about \( f_{[X, Y]} \).
Lemma 5.1. With the above notation, we have the following:
(1) For any selfadjoint linear map \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}(D, B) \), \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \) is selfadjoint.
(2) For any positive linear map \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}(D, B) \), \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \) is positive.

Proof. (1) Let \( \phi \) be any selfadjoint linear map in \( \mathcal{B}(D, B) \) and let \( c \in C \), \( x, z \in X \). By lemma [2.5]

\[
\langle x, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c^*) \cdot z \rangle_B = \phi(\langle x, c^* \cdot z \rangle_D) = \phi(\langle c \cdot x, z \rangle_D)
\]

Hence \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c^*) \) is positive for any \( c \in C \).

(2) Let \( \phi \) be any positive linear map in \( \mathcal{B}(D, B) \) and let \( c \in C \). Then \( \langle x, c \cdot x \rangle_D \geq 0 \) for any \( x \in X \) by Raeburn and Williams [11, Lemma 2.28]. Hence \( \phi(\langle x, c \cdot x \rangle_D) \geq 0 \) for any \( x \in X \). That is, \( \langle x, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c) \cdot x \rangle_B \geq 0 \) for any \( x \in X \). Thus \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c) \) is positive for any \( c \in C \).

□

Proposition 5.2. Let \( A \subset C \) an \( B \subset D \) be as in Lemma 5.1. If \( \phi \) is a conditional expectation from \( D \) onto \( B \), then \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \) is a conditional expectation from \( C \) onto \( A \).

Proof. Since \( \phi(b) = b \) for any \( b \in B \), for any \( a \in A \), \( x, z \in X \),

\[
\langle x, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(a) \cdot z \rangle_B = \phi(\langle x, a \cdot z \rangle_B) = \langle x, a \cdot z \rangle_B
\]

by Lemma 5.1 Thus \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(a) = a \) for any \( a \in A \). By Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 5.1 we obtain the conclusion. □

Since \( A \subset C \) and \( B \subset D \) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \( (X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D) \), \( A^* \subset C^* \) and \( B^* \subset D^* \) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \( (X^*, Y^*) \in \text{Equi}(A^*, C^*, B^*, D^*) \). Let \( \phi \) be any element in \( \mathcal{B}(D, B) \).

Then

\[
\phi \otimes \text{id}_K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(D^*, B^*))
\]

Lemma 5.3. With the above notation, for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}(D, B) \)

\[ f_{[X,Y]}(\phi \otimes \text{id}_K) = f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \otimes \text{id}_K. \]

Proof. This can be proved by routine computations. Indeed, for any \( c \in C \), \( x, z \in X \), \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \in K \),

\[
\langle x \otimes k_1, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi \otimes \text{id})(c \otimes k_2) \cdot z \otimes k_3 \rangle_B
\]

\[
= (\phi \otimes \text{id})(\langle x \otimes k_1, c \otimes k_2 \cdot z \otimes k_3 \rangle_B)
\]

\[
= (\phi \otimes \text{id})(\langle x \otimes k_1, c \cdot z \otimes k_2 k_3 \rangle_{D^*})
\]

\[
= (\phi \otimes \text{id})(\langle x, (c \cdot z) \otimes k_1 k_2 k_3 \rangle)
\]

\[
= \langle x, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c) \cdot z \rangle_B \otimes k_1 k_2 k_3
\]

\[
= \langle x \otimes k_1, f_{[X,Y]}(\phi)(c) \otimes k_2 \cdot z \otimes k_3 \rangle_B
\]

by Lemma 5.1 Therefore we obtain the conclusion by Lemma 2.5. □

Corollary 5.4. With the above notation, let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}(D, B) \),

\[ f_{[X \otimes M_n(C), Y \otimes M_n(C)]}(\phi \otimes \text{id}) = f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(C)}. \]

Proposition 5.5. With the above notation, let \( \phi \in \mathcal{B}(D, B) \). If \( \phi \) is \( n \)-positive, then \( f_{[X,Y]}(\phi) \) is \( n \)-positive for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.4. □
6. The Picard Groups

Let $A \subset C$ be an inclusion of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{AC} = C$. Let $A_{B}(C, A)$ be as above. Let $\text{Pic}(A, C)$ be the Picard group of the inclusion $A \subset C$.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $\phi \in A_{B}(C, A)$. We define $\text{Pic}(\phi)$ by

$$\text{Pic}(\phi) = \{ [X, Y] \in \text{Pic}(A, C) \mid f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = \phi \}.$$ 

We call $\text{Pic}(\phi)$ the Picard group of $\phi$.

Let $B \subset D$ be an inclusion of $C^*$-algebras with $\overline{BD} = D$. Let $\phi \in B_{B}(D, B)$ and $\psi \in A_{B}(A, C)$.

**Lemma 6.1.** With the above notation, if $\phi$ and $\psi$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(Z, W) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)$, then $\text{Pic}(\phi) \cong \text{Pic}(\psi)$ as groups.

**Proof.** Let $g$ be the map from $\text{Pic}(\phi)$ to $\text{Pic}(A, C)$ defined by

$$g([X, Y]) = [Z \otimes_B X \otimes_B \tilde{Z}, W \otimes_D Y \otimes_D \tilde{W}]$$

for any $[X, Y] \in \text{Pic}(\phi)$. Then since $f_{[Z, W]}(\phi) = \psi$, by Lemma 6.1,

$$f_{[Z \otimes_B X \otimes_B \tilde{Z}, W \otimes_D Y \otimes_D \tilde{W}]}(\psi) = (f_{[Z, W]} \circ f_{[X, Y]} \circ f_{[\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}]})(\psi) = (f_{[Z, W]} \circ f_{[X, Y]} \circ f_{[\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}]})(\psi) = \psi.$$

Hence $[Z \otimes_B X \otimes_B \tilde{Z}, W \otimes_D Y \otimes_D \tilde{W}] \in \text{Pic}(\psi)$ and by easy computations, we can see that $g$ is an isomorphism of $\text{Pic}(\phi)$ onto $\text{Pic}(\psi)$. \hfill \Box

Let $\phi \in A_{B}(C, A)$. Let $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $C$ such that the restriction of $\alpha$ to $A$, $\alpha|A$ is an automorphism of $A$. Let $\text{Aut}(A, C)$ be the group of all such automorphisms and let

$$\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Aut}(A, C) \mid \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1} = \phi \}.$$ 

Then $\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A, C)$. Let $\pi$ be the homomorphism of $\text{Aut}(A, C)$ to $\text{Pic}(A, C)$ defined by

$$\pi(\alpha) = [X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]$$

for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A, C)$, where $(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha)$ is an element in $\text{Equi}(A, C)$ induced by $\alpha$, which is defined in \cite[Section 3]{2}, where $\text{Equi}(A, C) = \text{Equi}(A, C, A, C)$. Let $u$ be a unitary element in $M(A)$. Then $u \in M(C)$ and $\text{Ad}(u) \in \text{Aut}(A, C)$ since $\overline{AC} = C$. Let $\text{Int}(A, C)$ be the group of all such automorphisms in $\text{Aut}(A, C)$. We note that $\text{Int}(A, C) = \text{Int}(A)$, the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ of all generalized inner automorphisms of $A$. Let $i$ be the inclusion map of $\text{Int}(A, C)$ to $\text{Aut}(A, C)$.

**Lemma 6.2.** With the above notation, let $\phi \in A_{B}(C, A)$. Then the following hold:

1. For any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A, C)$, $f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}(\phi) = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}$.
2. The map $\pi|_{\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)}$ is a homomorphism of $\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)$ to $\text{Pic}(\phi)$, where $\pi|_{\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)}$ is the restriction of $\pi$ to $\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)$.
3. $\text{Int}(A, C) \subset \text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)$ and the following sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Int}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A, C, \phi) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Pic}(\phi)$$

is exact.

**Proof.** (1) Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A, C)$. Then for any $c \in C$, $x, z \in X_\alpha$,

$$\langle x, (\alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1})(c) \cdot z \rangle_A = \langle x, (\alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1})(c)z \rangle_A = \alpha^{-1}(z^*)(\alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1})(c)z$$

$$= \alpha^{-1}(\phi \circ \alpha^{-1})(c)z.$$
On the other hand,
\[\phi(x \cdot c \cdot z) = \phi(\alpha^{-1}(x^* c z)) = \phi(\alpha^{-1}(x^*)\alpha^{-1}(c)\alpha^{-1}(z)) = \alpha^{-1}(x^*)\phi(\alpha^{-1}(c)\alpha^{-1}(z)).\]
Thus by Lemma 2.6, \(f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}(\phi) = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}\).

(2) Let \(\alpha\) be any element in \(\text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)\). Then by (1), \(f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}(\phi) = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1} = \phi\). Hence \([X_\alpha, Y_\alpha] \in \text{Pic}(\phi)\).

(3) Let \(\text{Ad}(u) \in \text{Int}(A, C)\). Then \(u \in M(A) \subset M(C)\). For any \(c \in C\),
\[(\text{Ad}(u) \circ \phi \circ \text{Ad}(u^*)) (c) = u \phi(u^* cu)u^* = uu^* \phi(c)uu^* = \phi(c)\]

since \(\phi(u) = u\). Thus \(\text{Int}(A, C) \subset \text{Aut}(A, C, \phi)\). It is clear by [9, Lemma 3.4] that the sequence
\[1 \rightarrow \text{Int}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A, C, \phi) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Pic}(\phi) \rightarrow 1\]
is exact.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let \(A \subset C\) be an inclusion of \(C^\ast\)-algebras with \(\overline{AC} = C\) and we suppose that \(A\) is \(\sigma\)-unital. Let \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}B_A(C^\ast, A^\ast)\). Then the sequence
\[1 \rightarrow \text{Int}(A^\ast, C^\ast) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A^\ast, C^\ast, \phi) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Pic}(\phi) \rightarrow 1\]
is exact.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that \(\pi\) is surjective by Lemma 6.2 (3). Let \([X, Y]\) be any element in \(\text{Pic}(\phi)\). Then by [9, Proposition 3.5], there is an element \(\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A^\ast, C^\ast)\) such that
\[\pi(\alpha) = [X, Y]\]
in \(\text{Pic}(A, C)\). Since \([X, Y] \in \text{Pic}(\phi)\), \(f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = \phi\). Also, by Lemma 2.6, \(f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]} = f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}\), where \([X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]\) is the element in \(\text{Pic}(A, C)\) induced by \(\alpha\). Hence
\[f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}(\phi) = f_{[X, Y]}(\phi) = \phi\]
Since \(f_{[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]}(\phi) = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}\) by Lemma 2.2 (1), \(\phi = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}\). Hence \(\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A^\ast, C^\ast, \phi)\).

7. The \(C^\ast\)-basic construction

Let \(A \subset C\) be a unital inclusion of unital \(C^\ast\)-algebras and let \(E^A\) be a conditional expectation of Watatani index-finite type from \(C\) onto \(A\). Let \(e_A\) be the Jones’ projection for \(E^A\) and \(C_1\) the \(C^\ast\)-basic construction for \(E^A\). Let \(E^C\) be its dual conditional expectation from \(C_1\) onto \(A\). Let \(e_C\) be the Jones’ projection for \(E^C\) and \(C_2\) the \(C^\ast\)-basic construction for \(E^C\). Let \(E^{C_1}\) be the dual conditional expectation of \(E^C\) from \(C_2\) onto \(C_1\). Since \(E^A\) and \(E^C\) are of Watatani index-finite type, \(C\) and \(C_1\) can be regarded as a \(C_1 - A\)-equivalence bimodule and a \(C_2 - C\)-equivalence bimodule induced by \(E^A\) and \(E^C\), respectively. We suppose that the Watatani index of \(E^A\), \(\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A\). Then by [9, Examples], inclusions \(A \subset C\) and \(C_1 \subset C_2\) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \(C_2 - C\) equivalence bimodule \(C_1\) and its closed subspace \(C\), where we regard \(C\) as a closed subspace of \(C_1\) by the map
\[\theta_C(x) = \text{Ind}_W(E^A)^\ast x e_A\]
for any \(x \in C\) (See [9, Examples]).

**Lemma 7.1.** With the above notation, we suppose that \(\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A\). Then \(E^A\) and \(E^{C_1}\) are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to \((C, C_1) \in \text{Equi}(C_1, C_2, A, C)\).
Proof. By [9] Lemma 4.2, $A \subset C$ and $C_1 \subset C_2$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(C, C_1) \in \text{Equi}(C_1, C_2, A, C)$. Since we regard $C$ as a closed subspace of $C_1$ by the linear map $\theta_C$, we have only to show that

$$\langle x, E^{C_1}(c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2) \cdot z \rangle_A = E^A(\langle \theta_C(x), c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2 \cdot \theta_C(z) \rangle_C)$$

for any $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in C, x, z \in C$. Indeed,

$$\langle x, E^{C_1}(c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2) \cdot z \rangle_A = \langle x, \text{Ind}_W(E^A)^{-1} c_1 e_A c_2 d_1 e_A d_2 \cdot z \rangle_A$$

$$= \text{Ind}_W(E^A)^{-1} \langle x, c_1 E^A(c_2 d_1) E^A(d_2 z) \rangle_A$$

$$= \text{Ind}_W(E^A)^{-1} E^A(x^* c_1) E^A(c_2 d_1) E^A(d_2 z).$$

for any $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in C, x, z \in C$. On the other hand,

$$E^A(\langle \theta_C(x), c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2 \cdot \theta_C(z) \rangle_C)$$

$$= \text{Ind}_W(E^A) E^A(\langle x e_A, c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2 e_A \rangle_C)$$

$$= E^A(\langle x e_A, c_1 e_A c_2 e_A d_2 \rangle_C)$$

$$= E^A(\langle e_A x^* c_1 e_A c_2 d_1 \rangle E^A(d_2 z))$$

$$= E^A(x^* c_1) E^A(\langle e_A c_2 d_1 \rangle) E^A(d_2 z)$$

$$= \text{Ind}_W(E^A)^{-1} E^A(x^* c_1) E^A(c_2 d_1) E^A(d_2 z).$$

Hence

$$\langle x, E^{C_1}(c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2) \cdot z \rangle_A = E^A(\langle \theta_C(x), c_1 e_A c_2 e_C d_1 e_A d_2 \cdot \theta_C(z) \rangle_C)$$

for any $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in C, x, z \in C$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, $f_{[C, C_1]}(E^A) = E^{C_1}$. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. \qed

Let $B \subset D$ be another unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras and let $E^B$ be a conditional expectation of Watatani index-finite type from $D$ onto $B$. Let $e_B, D_1, E^D, e_D, D_2, E^{D_1}$ be as above.

**Lemma 7.2.** With the above notation, we suppose that $E^A$ and $E^B$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)$. Then $E^C$ and $E^D$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

**Proof.** Since $E^A$ and $E^B$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(X, Y) \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)$, there is the unique linear map $E^X$ from $Y$ to $X$, which is called a conditional expectation from $Y$ onto $X$ satisfying Conditions (1)-(6) in [9] Definition 2.4. Let $Y_1$ be the upward basic construction of $Y$ for $E^X$ defined in [9] Definition 6.5. Then by [9] Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 6.4, $f_{[X, Y_1]}(E^D) = E^C$, that is, $E^C$ and $E^D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(Y, Y_1) \in \text{Equi}(C, C_1, D, D_1)$. \qed

**Lemma 7.3.** With the above notation, we suppose that $\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A$. If $E^C$ and $E^D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(Y, Z) \in \text{Equi}(C, C_1, D, D_1)$, then $E^A$ and $E^B$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

**Proof.** By Lemma 7.2, there is an element $(Z, Z_1) \in \text{Equi}(C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2)$ such that $f_{[Z, Z_1]}(E^{D_1}) = E^{C_1}$. Since $\text{Ind}_W(E^B) \in B$ by [9] Lemma 6.7,

$$f_{[C, C_1]}(E^A) = E^{C_1}, \quad f_{[D, D_1]}(E^B) = E^{D_1}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$[\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_1} Z \otimes_{D_1} D, \tilde{C}_1 \otimes_{C_2} Z_1 \otimes_{D_2} D_1] \in \text{Equi}(A, C, B, D)$$

and

$$f_{[\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_1} Z \otimes_{D_1} D, \tilde{C}_1 \otimes_{C_2} Z_1 \otimes_{D_2} D_1]}(E^B) = (f_{[C, C_1]}^{-1} \circ f_{[Z, Z_1]} \circ f_{[D, D_1]})(E^B) = E^A$$

by Lemma 2.7. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. \qed
Proposition 7.4. Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be unital inclusions of unital $C^*$-algebras. Let $E^A$ and $E^B$ be conditional expectations from $C$ and $D$ onto $A$ and $B$, which are of Watatani index-finite type, respectively. Let $E^C$ and $E^D$ be the dual conditional expectations of $E^A$ and $E^B$, respectively. We suppose that $\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $E^A$ and $E^B$ are strongly Morita equivalent,
2. $E^C$ and $E^D$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3. \hfill \Box

Let $A \subset C$ and $C_1$, $C_2$ be as above. Let $E^A$, $E^C$ and $E^{C_1}$ be as also above. We suppose that $\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A$. We consider the Picard groups $\text{Pic}(E^A)$ and $\text{Pic}(E^C)$ of $E^A$ and $E^C$, respectively. For any $[X,Y] \in \text{Pic}(E^A)$, there is the unique conditional expectation $E^X$ from $Y$ onto $X$ satisfying Conditions (1)-(6) in [9, Definition 2.4] since $\text{Pic}(E^A)$ is the upward basic construction for $E^X$ and by Proposition 7.4, \[ [X,Y] \in \text{Pic}(E^C). \] Since $E^X$ is the unique conditional expectation from $Y$ onto $X$ satisfying Conditions (1)-(6) in [9, Definition 2.4] we can see that the same results as [6, Lemmas 4.3-4.5] hold. Hence in the same way as in the proof of [6, Lemma 5.1], we obtain that $F$ is a homomorphism of $\text{Pic}(E^A)$ to $\text{Pic}(E^C)$. Let $G$ be the map from $\text{Pic}(E^A)$ to $\text{Pic}(E^{C_1})$ defined by for any $[X,Y] \in \text{Pic}(E^A)$

$$G([X,Y]) = [C \otimes_A X \otimes_A \widetilde{C}, C_1 \otimes_C Y \otimes_C \widetilde{C}],$$

where $(C,C_1)$ is regarded as an element in $\text{Equi}(C_1,C_2,A,C)$. By the proof of Lemma 6.1, $G$ is an isomorphism of $\text{Pic}(E^A)$ onto $\text{Pic}(E^{C_1})$. Let $F_1$ be the homomorphism of $\text{Pic}(E^C)$ to $\text{Pic}(E^{C_1})$ defined as above. Then in the same way as in the proof of [6, Lemma 5.2], $F_1 \circ F = G$ on $\text{Pic}(E^A)$. Furthermore, in the same way as in the proofs of [6, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4], we obtain that $F \circ G^{-1} \circ F_1 = \text{id}$ on $\text{Pic}(E^C)$. Therefore, we obtain the same result as [6, Theorem 5.5].

Theorem 7.5. Let $A \subset C$ be a unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras. We suppose that there is a conditional expectation $E^A$ of Watatani index-finite type from $C$ onto $A$ and that $\text{Ind}_W(E^A) \in A$. Then $\text{Pic}(E^A) \cong \text{Pic}(E^C)$, where $E^C$ is the dual conditional expectation of $E^A$ from $C_1$ onto $C$ and $C_1$ is the $C^*$-basic construction for $E^A$.

8. Relative commutants

Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be unital inclusions of $C^*$-algebras and let $E^A$ and $E^B$ be conditional expectations of Watatani index-finite type from $C$ and $D$ onto $A$ and $B$, respectively. We suppose that there is an element $(X,Y) \in \text{Equi}(A,C,B,D)$ such that $E^A$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $E^B$, that is,

$$f_{[X,Y]}(E^B) = E^A.$$ 

For any element $h \in A' \cap C$, let $hE^A$ be defined by

$$hE^A(c) = E^A(ch)$$

for any $c \in C$. We also define $kE^B$ in the same way as above for any $k \in B' \cap D$.

Lemma 8.1. With the above notation, for any $h \in A' \cap C$, there is the unique element $k \in B' \cap D$ such that

$$f_{[X,Y]}(kE^B) = hE^A.$$
Proof. Since $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to $(X,Y) \in \text{Equi}(A,C,B,D)$, there are a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a projection $p \in M_n(A)$ with $M_n(A)pM_n(A) = M_n(A)$ and $M_n(C)pM_n(C) = M_n(C)$ such that the inclusion $B \subset D$ is regarded as the inclusion $pM_n(A)p \subset pM_n(C)p$ and such that $X$ and $Y$ are identified with $(1 \otimes f)M_n(A)p$ and $(1 \otimes f)M_n(C)p$ (see [2] Section 2), where $M_n(A)$ and $M_n(C)$ are identified with $A \otimes M_n(C)$ and $C \otimes M_n(C)$, respectively, $f$ is a minimal projection in $M_n(C)$ and we identified $A$ and $C$ with $(1 \otimes f)(A \otimes M_n(C))(1 \otimes f)$ and $(1 \otimes f)(C \otimes M_n(C))(1 \otimes f)$, respectively. Then we can see that for any $h \in A' \cap C$, there is the unique element $k \in B' \cap D$ such that

$$h \cdot x = x \cdot k$$

for any $x \in X$. Indeed, by the above discussions, we may assume that $B = pM_n(A)p$, $D = pM_n(C)p$, $X = (1 \otimes f)M_n(A)p$. Let $h$ be any element in $A' \cap C$. Then for any $x \in M_n(A)$

$$h \cdot (1 \otimes f)xp = (1 \otimes f)(h \otimes I_n)xp = (1 \otimes f)(x \otimes I_n)p = (1 \otimes f)xp(h \otimes I_n)p.$$

By the proof of [2] Lemma 10.3], $(h \otimes I_n)p \in (pM_n(A)p)' \cap pM_n(C)p$. Thus, for any $h \in A' \cap C$, there is an element $k \in B' \cap D$ such that

$$h \cdot x = x \cdot k$$

for any $x \in X$. Next, we suppose that there is another element $k_1 \in B' \cap D$ such that $h \cdot x = x \cdot k_1$ for any $x \in X$. Then $(c \cdot x) \cdot k = (c \cdot x) \cdot k_1$ for any $c \in C$, $x \in X$. Since $C \cdot X = Y$ by [2] Lemma 10.1], $k = k_1$. Hence $k$ is unique. Furthermore, for any $x, z \in X$, $c \in C$,

$$\langle x, kE^A(c \cdot z) \rangle_B = \langle x, E^A(ch \cdot z) \rangle_B = E^B((x, ch \cdot z)_D) = E^B((x, c \cdot z \cdot k)_D)$$

$= E^B((x, c \cdot z)_D) \cdot k = kE^B((x, c \cdot z)_D)$.

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion by Lemma 2.5.

Remark 8.2. Let $\pi$ be the map from $A' \cap C$ to $(pM_n(A)p)' \cap pM_n(C)p$ defined by $\pi(h) = (h \otimes I_n)p$ for any $h \in A' \cap C$. Then $\pi$ is an isomorphism of $A' \cap C$ onto $(pM_n(A)p)' \cap pM_n(C)p$ by the proof of [2] Lemma 10.3]. We regard $\pi$ as an isomorphism of $A' \cap C$ onto $B' \cap D$. By the above proof, we can see that $k = \pi(h)$. Thus we obtain that $f_{[X,Y]}(\pi(h)E^B) = hE^A$ for any $h \in A' \cap C$, that is, for any $h \in A' \cap C$, $hE^A$ and $\pi(h)E^B$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

Proposition 8.3. With the above notation, $\text{Pic}(hE^A) \cong \text{Pic}(\pi(h)E^B)$ for any $h \in A' \cap C$.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 6.1

Corollary 8.4. Let $A \subset C$ be a unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras. Let $E^A$ be a conditional expectation of Watatani index-finite type from $C$ onto $A$. Let $[X,Y] \in \text{Pic}(E^A)$. Then there is an automorphism $\alpha$ of $A' \cap C$ such that

$$f_{[X,Y]}(\alpha(h)E^A) = hE^A$$

for any $h \in A' \cap C$.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 8.1 and Remark 8.2

Let $\rho_A$ and $\rho_B$ be the (not $*$-!) anti-isomorphism of $A' \cap C$ and $B' \cap D$ onto $C' \cap C_1$ and $D' \cap D_1$, which are defined in [14] pp.79], respectively. By the discussions as
above or the discussions in [9] Section 2], there are a positive integer $n$ and a projection $p$ in $M_n(A)$ satisfying

$$
M_n(A)pM_n(A) = M_n(A), \quad M_n(C)pM_n(C) = M_n(C),
$$

$$
B \cong pM_n(A), \quad D \cong pM_n(C)p, \quad D_1 \cong pM_n(C_1)p
$$
as $C^*$-algebras. Then by the proof of [9] Lemma 10. 3],

$$(pM_n(A)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C)p = \{(h \otimes I_n)p \mid h \in A' \cap C\},
$$

$$(pM_n(C)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C_1)p = \{(1 \otimes I_n)p \mid 1 \in C' \cap C_1\}.
$$

And by easy computations, the anti-isomorphism $\rho$ of $(pM_n(A)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C)p$ onto $(pM_n(C)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C_1)p$ defined in the same way as in [14] pp.79] is following:

$$
\rho((h \otimes I_n)p) = (\rho_A(h) \otimes I_n)p
$$
for any $h \in A' \cap C$. This proves that $\pi_1 \circ \rho_A = \rho_B \circ \pi$, where $\pi$ and $\pi_1$ are the isomorphisms of $A' \cap C$ and $C' \cap C_1$ onto $(pM_n(A)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C)p$ and $(pM_n(C)p)^\prime \cap pM_n(C_1)p$ defined in [9] Lemma 10.3], respectively and we regard $\pi$ and $\pi_1$ as isomorphisms of $A' \cap C$ and $C' \cap C_1$ onto $B' \cap D$ and $D' \cap D_1$, respectively. Then we have the following:

Remark 8.5. (1) If $f_{[X,Y]}(E^B) = E^A$, then $f_{[Y,Y]}(\rho_{\eta(h)}E^D) = \rho_{\eta(h)}E^C$ for any $h \in A' \cap C$. Indeed, by Lemma [9.2] $f_{[X,Y]}(E^D) = E^C$. Thus by Remark 8.4 for any $c \in C' \cap C_1$, $f_{[Y,Y]}(\pi_{1}(c)E^D) = E^C$. Hence for any $h \in A' \cap C$,

$$
f_{[Y,Y]}(\rho_{\eta(h)}E^D) = f_{[X,Y]}(\pi_{1}(c)E^D) = \rho_{\eta(h)}E^C
$$
since $\pi_1 \circ \rho_A = \rho_B \circ \pi$.
(2) We suppose that Ind$_W(E^A) \in A$ and $f_{[X,Y]}(E^D) = E^C$. Then we can obtain that $f_{[X,Y]}(\rho_{\eta(h)}E^B) = \rho_{\eta(h)}E^A$ for any $c \in C' \cap C_1$. In the same way as above, this is immediate by Lemma [9.2] and by Remark 8.2.

9. Examples

In this section, we shall give some easy examples of the Picard groups of bimodule maps.

Example 9.1. Let $A \subset C$ be a unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras and $E^A$ a conditional expectation of Watatani index-finite type from $C$ onto $A$. We suppose that $A' \cap C = C1$. Then Pic($E^A$) = Pic($A,C$).

Proof. Since $E^A$ is the unique conditional expectation by [14] Proposition 1.4.1], for any $[X,Y] \in$ Pic($A,C$), $f_{[X,Y]}(E^A) = E^A$. Thus Pic($E^A$) = Pic($A,C$). □

Let $(\alpha, w)$ be a twisted action of a countable discrete group $G$ on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$ and let $A \rtimes_{\alpha,w,r} G$ be the reduced twisted crossed product of $A$ by $G$. Let $E^A$ be the canonical conditional expectation from $A \rtimes_{\alpha,w,r} G$ onto $A$ defined by $E^A(x) = x(e)$ for any $x \in K(G, A)$, where $K(G, A)$ is the $*$-algebra of all complex valued functions on $G$ with a finite support and $e$ is the unit element in $G$.

Example 9.2. If the twisted action $(\alpha, w)$ is free, then $E^A$ is the unique conditional expectation from $A \rtimes_{\alpha,w,r} G$ onto $A$ by [7] Proposition4.1]. By the same reason as above, Pic($E^A$) = Pic($A,A \rtimes_{\alpha,w,r} G$).

Let $A$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra such that the sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \text{Int}(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow 1
$$
is exact, where $\text{Int}(A)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ of all inner automorphisms of $A$. We consider the unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras $C1 \subset A$. Let $\phi$ be a bounded linear functional on $A$. We regard $\phi$ as a $C$-bimodule map from $A$ to $C$.

Let $\text{Aut}^\phi(A)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ defined by

$$\text{Aut}^\phi(A) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Aut}(A) \mid \phi = \phi \circ \alpha \}.$$

Also, let $U^\phi(A)$ be the subgroup of $U(A)$ defined by

$$U^\phi(A) = \{ u \in U(A) \mid \phi \circ \text{Ad}(u) = \phi \}.$$

By [6, Lemma 7.2 and Example 7.3],

$$\text{Pic}(C1, A) \cong U(A)/U(A' \cap A) \rtimes_s \text{Pic}(A),$$

that is, $\text{Pic}(C1, A)$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product group of $U(A)/U(A' \cap A)$ by $\text{Pic}(A)$ and generated by

$$\{ [Cu, A] \in \text{Pic}(C1, A) \mid u \in U(A) \}$$

and

$$\{ [C1, X_\alpha] \in \text{Pic}(C1, A) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(A) \},$$

where $U(A)$ is the group of all unitary elements in $A$ and $X_\alpha$ is the $A-A$-equivalence bimodule induced by $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ (See [6, Example 7.3]).

**Example 9.3.** Let $A$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra such that the sequence

$$1 \to \text{Int}(A) \to \text{Aut}(A) \to \text{Pic}(A) \to 1$$

is exact. Let $\phi$ be a bounded linear functional on $A$. Let $\text{Pic}^\phi(A)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Pic}(A)$ defined by

$$\text{Pic}^\phi(A) = \{ [X_\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}^\phi(A) \}.$$

Then $\text{Pic}(\phi) \cong U(A)/U(A' \cap A) \rtimes_s \text{Pic}^\phi(A)$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$. Then by Lemma 6.2(1),

$$f_{[C1, X_\alpha]}(\phi) = \alpha \circ \phi \circ \alpha^{-1} = \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}.$$

Hence $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^\phi(A)$ if and only if $f_{[C1, X_\alpha]}(\phi) = \phi$. Also, by Lemma 2.5, for any $a \in A$,

$$(u, f_{[Cu, A]}(\phi)(a) \cdot u)C = \phi((u, a \cdot u)_A) = \phi(u^*au),$$

that is, $f_{[Cu, A]}(\phi)(a) = \phi(\text{Ad}(u^*)(a))$. Hence by [6, Example 7.3],

$$\text{Pic}(\phi) \cong U^\phi(A)/U(A' \cap A) \rtimes_s \text{Pic}^\phi(A).$$

□

**Remark 9.4.** If $\tau$ is the unique tracial state on $A$, $\text{Pic}^\tau(A) = \text{Pic}(A)$. Hence

$$\text{Pic}(\tau) \cong \text{Pic}(C1, A) \cong U(A)/U(A' \cap A) \rtimes_s \text{Pic}(A).$$

Let $A$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra such that the sequence

$$1 \to \text{Int}(A) \to \text{Aut}(A) \to \text{Pic}(A) \to 1$$

is exact. Let $n$ be any positive integer with $n \geq 2$. We consider the unital inclusion of unital $C^*$-algebras $a \in A \mapsto a \otimes I_n \in M_n(A)$, where $I_n$ is the unit element in $M_n(A)$. We regard $A$ as a $C^*$-subalgebra of $M_n(A)$ by the above unital inclusion map. Let $E^A$ be the conditional expectation from $M_n(A)$ onto $A$ defined by

$$E^A([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$
Proof. Let \( M_n(A) \) be the group of all automorphisms \( \beta \) of \( M_n(A) \) with \( \beta|_A = \text{id} \) on \( A \). By \([12]\) Example 7.6,
\[
\operatorname{Pic}(A, M_n(A)) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \rtimes_s \operatorname{Pic}(A)
\]
and the sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(A, M_n(A)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(A) \rightarrow 1
\]
is exact, where \( i \) is the inclusion map of \( \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \) defined by
\[
i(\beta) = [A,Y_\beta]
\]
for any \( \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \) and \( f_A \) is defined by \( f_A([X,Y]) = [X] \) for any \( [X,Y] \in \operatorname{Pic}(A, M_n(A)) \). Also, let \( j \) be the homomorphism of \( \operatorname{Pic}(A) \) to \( \operatorname{Pic}(A, M_n(A)) \) defined by \( j([X_\alpha]) = [X_\alpha, X_\alpha \otimes \text{id}] \) for any \( \alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A) \).

Example 9.5. Let \( A \) be a unital \( C^* \)-algebra such that the sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Int}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(A) \rightarrow 1
\]
is exact. Let \( n \) be any positive integer with \( n \geq 2 \). Let \( E^A \) be as above. Let \( \operatorname{Aut}_0^E(A, M_n(A)) \) be the subgroup of \( \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \) defined by
\[
\operatorname{Aut}_0^E(A, M_n(A)) = \{ \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \mid E^A \circ \beta = E^A \circ \beta^{-1} \}.
\]
Then \( \operatorname{Pic}(E^A) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_0^E(A, M_n(A)) \rtimes_s \operatorname{Pic}(A) \).

Proof. Let \( \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(A, M_n(A)) \). Then by Lemma \([6,2]\) 1,
\[
f_{(X_\alpha,Y_\beta)}(E^A) = \beta \circ E^A \circ \beta^{-1} = E^A \circ \beta^{-1}.
\]
Hence \( \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}_0^E(A, M_n(A)) \) if and only if \( f_{(X_\alpha,Y_\beta)}(E^A) = E^A \). Also, by Lemma \([6,2]\) 1 for any \( \alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A) \),
\[
f_{(X_\alpha,X_\alpha \otimes \text{id})}(E^A) = \alpha \circ E^A \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \text{id}) = E^A
\]
since we identify \( A \) with \( A \otimes I_n \). Thus by \([6]\) Example 7.6,
\[
\operatorname{Pic}(E^A) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_0^E(A, M_n(A)) \rtimes_s \operatorname{Pic}(A).
\]

\[\square\]
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