

On the Boomerang Uniformity of Permutations of Low Carlitz Rank

Jaeseong Jeong¹, Namhun Koo², and Soonhak Kwon¹

Email: wotjd012321@naver.com, nhkoo@ewha.ac.kr, shkwon@skku.edu

¹Applied Algebra and Optimization Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

²Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

Abstract

Finding permutation polynomials with low differential and boomerang uniformity is an important topic in S-box designs of many block ciphers. For example, AES chooses the inverse function as its S-box, which is differentially 4-uniform and boomerang 6-uniform. Also there has been considerable research on many non-quadratic permutations which are obtained by modifying certain set of points from the inverse function. In this paper, we give a novel approach that shows that plenty of existing modifications of the inverse function are in fact affine equivalent to permutations of low Carlitz rank and those modifications cannot be APN (almost perfect nonlinear) unless the Carlitz rank is very large. Using nice properties of the permutations of Carlitz form, we present the complete list of permutations of Carlitz rank 3 having the boomerang uniformity six, and also give the complete classification of the differential uniformity of permutations of Carlitz rank 3. We also provide, up to affine equivalence, all the involutory permutations of Carlitz rank 3 having the boomerang uniformity six.

1 Introduction

Substitution boxes (S-Boxes) are the only nonlinear part in block ciphers, thus they play important roles to resist several attacks on block ciphers. Mathematically, S-boxes are vectorial (multi-output) Boolean functions and rigorous analysis of the Boolean functions is possible. The boomerang attack is one of the well-known cryptanalysis proposed by Wagner [35]. In Eurocrypt 2018, Cid et al. [14] proposed a new cryptanalysis tool called the Boomerang Connectivity Table(BCT), which measures the resistance against various styles of boomerang attacks, where it is heuristically shown that finding permutations with maximum BCT value ≤ 4 is very difficult. Shortly afterwards, Dunkelman [16] improved the complexity for BCT construction.

By formalizing the concept of BCT, Boura and Canteaut [3] introduced a new invariant, the boomerang uniformity, which is the maximum value of nontrivial parts of the BCT. They did in-depth study on the boomerang uniformity of many differentially 4-uniform permutations such as the inverse function and some quadratic permutations including Gold function. Later, Li et al. [20] gave an alternative definition of the boomerang uniformity, which reveals the connection between the boomerang and the differential uniformity more clear. They also showed that some family of quadratic binomial permutations have the boomerang uniformity 4. In [33], Tian et al. studied

the boomerang uniformity of some popular S-boxes and also derived the result that the boomerang uniformity does not change under EA equivalence for Gold function and the inverse function.

Recently, several researchers, including the above mentioned [3, 20, 33], studied quadratic permutations of boomerang uniformity 4 over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. In [25], Mesnager et al. studied boomerang properties of quadratic permutations when n is even which generalized some previous results on quadratic permutations. In particular, they proved that the boomerang uniformity of the differentially 4-uniform quadratic permutation presented in [4] equals 4. Very recently, Tu et al. [34] proved that a class of quadratic quadrinomials has the boomerang uniformity 4. Also, Li et al. [21] proposed permutations from generalized butterfly structure with the boomerang uniformity 4. All these quadratic permutations have the optimal boomerang uniformity 4 for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, but they are rather impractical to be applied in construction of S-boxes because they are quadratic. It is known that permutations with low algebraic degree is vulnerable at high order differential attack, and is also known that quadratic permutations have the maximal differential-linear uniformity [9].

Therefore it is necessary to consider permutations with low boomerang uniformity and high algebraic degree. The boomerang uniformity of a modification of the inverse function swapping the image of 0 and 1 was investigated by Li et al. [20]. Recently, Calderini and Villa [7] computed the boomerang uniformity of three classes of differentially 4-uniform permutations which are modifications of the inverse function. There are many known results [7, 20, 22, 27–30, 32, 37, 38] on the modifications of the inverse function which have good cryptographic properties such as high algebraic degree, high nonlinearity and low differential uniformity. However, it was not studied enough on the boomerang uniformity of these classes of permutations, and hence it is necessary to be further studied.

The Carlitz rank, first introduced in [1], is the concept based on known result that all permutations can be expressed by a composition of the inverse function and linear polynomials (see [24]). Differential uniformity of permutations with Carlitz rank 1 or 2 in some finite fields of odd characteristic can be found in [13], but for even characteristic there was no further observation connecting Carlitz rank with cryptographic properties such as differential and boomerang uniformity. It is easy to see that permutations of Carlitz rank 1 are affine equivalent to the inverse function. Also, permutations with Carlitz rank 2 are affine equivalent to a modification of the inverse function swapping the image of 0 and 1, whose cryptographic properties are already studied in [22] for differential uniformity and in [20] for boomerang uniformity. For permutations of Carlitz rank 3, the boomerang uniformity of some class of permutations (with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_4) has been studied in [7]. Also, differentially 4-uniform permutations of Carlitz rank 3 with even n were studied in [22], but not completely classified for arbitrary permutation of Carlitz rank 3 and for arbitrary dimension n . Therefore, even if it is not explicitly mentioned in the above literature, the authors of the above articles in fact studied cryptographic properties of permutations of low Carlitz rank, where the case rank 3 is not completely settled yet.

In this paper, we give a complete characterization of the condition that the boomerang uniformity equals six for permutations of Carlitz rank 3, which is minimal in this class, and give the complete classification of the differential uniformity of permutations of Carlitz rank 3. It should be mentioned that, among all existing modifications of the inverse function over the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with even n , boomerang uniformity six is the lowest value known at this moment. We also provide, up to affine equivalence, all the involutory permutations of Carlitz rank 3 having the boomerang uniformity six. Moreover, using nice properties of the permutations of Carlitz form, we show that plenty of existing modifications of the inverse function are in fact affine equivalent to permutations of low Carlitz rank

and those modifications cannot be APN (almost perfect nonlinear) unless the Carlitz rank is very large.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic notions of vectorial Boolean functions and Carlitz rank, which will be used in this paper. In Section 3, we discuss affine equivalence of permutations of Carlitz form and explain the connections between previous works on boomerang uniformity and Carlitz form. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of convergents and poles of Carlitz form, and prove that APN permutation on even dimension must have a very large Carlitz rank. In Section 5, we explain fundamentals of permutations of Carlitz rank 3 which will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections. In Section 6, We give a complete characterization of the differential uniformity of the permutations with Carlitz rank 3. In Section 7, we give a complete characterization of the permutations of Carlitz rank 3 having the boomerang uniformity six. In Section 8, as an application, we explain that involutions are very easy to find in Carlitz form, and provide all the involutions of Carlitz rank 3 having the boomerang uniformity six. We also show implementation results using SageMath. Finally, in Section 9, we give the concluding remarks.

2 Preliminaries

Let $q = 2^n$ with positive integer n and let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ be a finite field with q elements. A function $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is called an (n, n) -function or a vectorial Boolean function on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . For a given (n, n) -function $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, there is a unique polynomial representation for F such that $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} c_i x^i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. In case when F is a permutation, we call F a permutation polynomial. For a given $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the difference distribution table, DDT, consists of elements at each position $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ given by

$$\text{DDT}_F(a, b) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : F(x) + F(x + a) = b\}$$

The differential uniformity Δ_F of F is defined as

$$\Delta_F = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \text{DDT}(a, b),$$

and such F is said to be differentially Δ_F -uniform. Note that the least possible value of Δ_F is two, in which case the function is called almost perfect nonlinear (APN).

For a given permutation $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the boomerang connectivity table, BCT, consists of elements at each position $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ given by

$$\text{BCT}_F(a, b) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : F^{-1}(F(x) + b) + F^{-1}(F(x + a) + b) = a\}$$

The boomerang uniformity δ_F of F is defined as

$$\delta_F = \max_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}} \text{BCT}(a, b),$$

and such F is said to be boomerang δ_F -uniform. For any permutation F , it is well known that $\Delta_F \leq \delta_F$, and $\delta_F = 2$ if and only if F is APN. (See [14]) In particular, $\delta_F = 4$ implies $\Delta_F = 4$. However, many permutation polynomials in [3, 7, 20] turned out to be $\Delta_F = 4$ but $\delta_F \geq 6$.

The absolute field trace $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is defined by $\text{Tr}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{2^i}$. Two functions $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $F' : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ are called affine equivalent if there exist affine permutations

$A', A'' : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $F' = A' \circ F \circ A''$. It is well-known that two affine equivalent functions are of same differential uniformity and boomerang uniformity. (for details, see [6, 8, 10, 15])

We define a finite field analogue of continued fraction of real numbers, which is useful to study permutation polynomials of Carlitz form soon to be discussed.

Definition 2.1. For given $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ not necessarily distinct, we define

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s] = ((\dots (a_s^{q-2} + a_{s-1})^{q-2} + \dots)^{q-2} + a_2)^{q-2} + a_1 \quad \text{with } q = 2^n$$

Note that the usual continued fraction of positive real numbers replaces a^{q-2} with a^{-1} and is always well defined since the convergents are well defined, i.e., the denominators of the convergents are never zero for the case of real numbers. In the case of finite field, although the above definition is well defined since there is no division, one should be very careful when extending the above notation to the convergents because the denominator of convergents may be zero in finite fields.

It is known [1, 24] that, for any permutation $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, there is $m \geq 0$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$) such that

$$F(x) = (\dots ((a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2)^{q-2} \dots + a_m)^{q-2} + a_{m+1} \quad (1)$$

where $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_m \neq 0$. The above expression means that any permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is generated by inverse function x^{q-2} and linear function $ax + b$ ($a \neq 0$). Using our definition of continued fraction, equation (1) can be expressed as

$$F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x] \quad (2)$$

For a given permutation F , the above expression is not unique in general. However there is the least $m \geq 0$ among all possible expressions of F . The **Carlitz rank** of F (denoted $\text{Crk}(F)$) is the least nonnegative integer m satisfying the above expression. Suppose that a permutation $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ has Carlitz rank m . Then one may write F as the form of the equation (2). For every $0 \leq k \leq m$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(x) &= [a_{k+1}, a_k, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x] \\ &= (\dots ((a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2)^{q-2} \dots + a_k)^{q-2} + a_{k+1} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then one has $F_k(x) = F_{k-1}(x)^{q-2} + a_{k+1}$ where $F_0(x) = a_0x + a_1$, $F_1(x) = (a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2$, $F_2(x) = ((a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2)^{q-2} + a_3, \dots, F_m(x) = F(x)$. Also we define $R_k(x)$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$ as

$$R_k(x) = (\dots ((a_0x + a_1)^{-1} + a_2)^{-1} \dots + a_k)^{-1} + a_{k+1}, \quad (4)$$

where the domain of definition of $R_k(x)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $F_j(x) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq j < k$. Since F_j itself is a permutation, $F_j(x) = 0$ has a unique root and it is trivial to check the unique root is $x = \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j+1}]$. Also note that $R_k(x) = R_{k-1}(x)^{-1} + a_{k+1}$. It is known [12] that R_k has the following form of fraction

$$R_k(x) = \frac{\alpha_{k+1}x + \beta_{k+1}}{\alpha_kx + \beta_k}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\alpha_{k+1} = a_{k+1}\alpha_k + \alpha_{k-1}, \quad \beta_{k+1} = a_{k+1}\beta_k + \beta_{k-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq m) \quad (6)$$

with the initial conditions $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = a_0$ and $\beta_0 = 1, \beta_1 = a_1$. The above recurrence relation can easily be derived using induction since

$$R_k(x) = R_{k-1}(x)^{-1} + a_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha_{k-1}x + \beta_{k-1}}{\alpha_k x + \beta_k} + a_{k+1} = \frac{(a_{k+1}\alpha_k + \alpha_{k-1})x + (a_{k+1}\beta_k + \beta_{k-1})}{\alpha_k x + \beta_k}$$

From the constructions of F_k and R_k , one concludes

$$F(x) = R_m(x) \quad \text{for all } x \notin \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} \quad (7)$$

One can also easily verify

$$F\left(\frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i]\right) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_{i+1}] \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (8)$$

3 Carlitz Form and Connection with Previous Works on Boomerang and Differential Uniformity

3.1 Carlitz Form and Affine Equivalence

The following lemma explains some properties of continued fractions over finite fields.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Then the followings are satisfied.*

- (a) *For $0 \leq i < j \leq m$, one has $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i] = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j]$ if and only if $[b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_j] = 0$.*
- (b) *One has $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j] = 0$ if and only if $[b_j, b_{j-1}, \dots, b_0] = 0$. More generally, for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$, one has $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j] = 0$ if and only if $[b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_j]^{q-2} = [b_i, b_{i-1}, \dots, b_0]$.*

Proof. From $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i] = b_0 + [b_1, \dots, b_i]^{q-2}$ and $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j] = b_0 + [b_1, \dots, b_j]^{q-2}$, one has $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i] = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j]$ if and only if $[b_1, \dots, b_i] = [b_1, \dots, b_j]$. Inductively, we get the claim (a). The claim (b) follows in a similar way.

$$\begin{aligned} [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_j] = 0 &\Leftrightarrow [b_1, \dots, b_j]^{q-2} = b_0 \Leftrightarrow [b_2, \dots, b_j]^{q-2} = [b_1, b_0] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow b_j^{q-2} = [b_{j-1}, b_{j-2}, \dots, b_0] \Leftrightarrow 0 = [b_j, b_{j-1}, \dots, b_0] \end{aligned}$$

□

Many of the cryptographic parameters such as differential and boomerang uniformities are invariant under affine equivalence, and we will choose a standard expression of each permutation with Carlitz rank m up to affine equivalence.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0 x]$ be a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_m \neq 0$.*

- (1) *If $m = 1$, then F is affine equivalent to the inverse function x^{q-2} .*

(2) If $m \geq 2$, then F is affine equivalent to F' where

$$F'(x) = [0, b_m, \dots, b_3, 1, x] \quad \text{with } b_i = a_2^{(-1)^{i+1}} a_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq m)$$

Therefore, to study cryptographic properties of a permutation $F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0 x]$ with $m \geq 2$, one may assume $a_{m+1} = 0, a_2 = 1, a_1 = 0$ and $a_0 = 1$ so that one only needs to consider the following form

$$F(x) = [0, a_m, \dots, a_3, 1, x]$$

of permutations.

Proof. If $m = 1$, then $F(x) = (a_0 x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2$ and we have $F\left(\frac{x + a_1}{a_0}\right) + a_2 = x^{q-2}$. Now suppose $m \geq 2$. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_2^{(-1)^m} F\left(\frac{1}{a_0 a_2} x + \frac{a_1}{a_0}\right) + a_2^{(-1)^m} a_{m+1} \\ &= (\dots ((x^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2} + a_2 a_3)^{q-2} + a_2^{-1} a_4)^{q-2} \dots + a_2^{(-1)^{m+1}} a_m)^{q-2} \\ &= [0, a_2^{(-1)^{m+1}} a_m, \dots, a_2^{-1} a_4, a_2 a_3, 1, x] \end{aligned}$$

□

Many of the previous works [7, 20, 22, 27–30, 32, 37, 38] on cryptographic parameters discuss on the functions which are modifications of the inverse function at some set of points. Although not explicitly mentioned, some of the above articles in fact discuss permutations of low Carlitz rank. Since one of the natural approaches is the study of inverse functions modified at some (small) set of points, and these functions can be expressed as permutations of (low) Carlitz rank under simple types of affine equivalences (which will be stated here) using the equations (5), (7) and (8), plenty of the previous works can be rephrased in the language of Carlitz form. To be more specific, we present the following lemma which gives a connection between Carlitz form and previous works on differential and boomerang uniformity. Please note that, although our main interest is the reduced form $F(x) = [0, a_m, \dots, a_3, 1, x]$, we state the following lemma for arbitrary F for the sake of generality.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0 x]$ be a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_m \neq 0$. Then the followings are satisfied.*

- (a) *If $\alpha_m \neq 0$, then there is a subset $P \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\#P \leq m$ and affine permutations $\ell_1, \ell_2 : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $(\ell_2 \circ F \circ \ell_1)(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for all $x \notin P$.*
- (b) *If $\alpha_m = 0$, then there is a subset $P \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\#P \leq m$ and affine permutations $\ell : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $(\ell \circ F)(x) = x$ for all $x \notin P$.*

Proof. Recall that, from the equation (7),

$$F(x) = \frac{\alpha_{m+1}x + \beta_{m+1}}{\alpha_m x + \beta_m} \text{ for all } x \notin \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

Let us first consider the case $\alpha_m \neq 0$. It is trivial to check $\alpha_i \beta_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+1} \beta_i = a_0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ (in particular, $\alpha_m \beta_{m+1} + \alpha_{m+1} \beta_m = a_0$). Using this property, one has

$$\frac{\alpha_{m+1}x + \beta_{m+1}}{\alpha_m x + \beta_m} = \frac{a_0}{\alpha_m(\alpha_m x + \beta_m)} + \frac{\alpha_{m+1}}{\alpha_m}$$

Thus defining $\ell_1(x) = \frac{a_0 x + \beta_m}{\alpha_m}$ and $\ell_2(x) = \alpha_m x + \alpha_{m+1}$, we have

$$\ell_2 \circ F \circ \ell_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} \text{ for all } x \notin \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0} \left(\alpha_m \cdot \frac{1}{a_0} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] + \beta_m \right) \mid i = 1, \dots, m \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$$

Now consider the case $\alpha_m = 0$. In this case, both α_{m+1} and β_m are nonzero because $\alpha_{m+1} \beta_m = \alpha_m \beta_{m+1} + \alpha_{m+1} \beta_m = a_0 \neq 0$. Therefore defining $\ell(x) = \frac{\beta_m x + \beta_{m+1}}{\alpha_{m+1}}$,

$$\ell \circ F(x) = \ell \left(\frac{\alpha_{m+1}x + \beta_{m+1}}{\beta_m} \right) = x \text{ for all } x \notin \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] \mid i = 1, \dots, m \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$$

□

Remark 3.1. *Lemma 3.3 implies that a permutation F with $\text{Crk}(F) = m$ is affine equivalent to the inverse function or identity function except on a subset P of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $\#P \leq m$.*

3.2 Carlitz Form in Previous Works

Now we explain the connections between Lemma 3.3 and previous works.

When $\text{Crk}(F) = 1$: from the Proposition 3.2-(1), F is affine equivalent to inverse function, which has been extensively studied.

When $\text{Crk}(F) = 2$: from the Proposition 3.2-(2), we may assume $F(x) = [0, 1, x] = (x^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2}$. Using Lemma 3.3-(a), it is easy to check $\ell_1(x) = x + 1 = \ell_2(x)$ such that

$$\ell_2 \circ F \circ \ell_1(x) = F(x + 1) + 1 = ((x + 1)^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2} + 1 = f_2(x)$$

where

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{x} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The differential uniformity of f_2 is well known in [22, 38]. Also the boomerang uniformity of f_2 is recently classified in [20] completely. For example, it is shown in [20] that one has the lowest boomerang uniformity 6 in this family if and only if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

When $\text{Crk}(F) = 3$: from the same proposition, we may assume $F(x) = [0, \gamma, 1, x]$ for some $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Since $\gamma \neq 0$, one has either $\gamma = 1$ or $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

Case : $\gamma = 1$ with $\text{Crk}(F) = 3$

Then $F(x) = [0, 1, 1, x] = ((x^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2}$ is written as $F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ x + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

This is the case where $\alpha_3 = 0$ such that $F(x) = x + 1 = \frac{\alpha_4 x + \beta_4}{\beta_3}$ for $x \notin \{0, 1\}$. One easily checks that $F(x) + F(x + 1) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Therefore we have the value 2^n as the differential and boomerang uniformity, which is uninteresting.

Now assume $\gamma \neq 0, 1$. Then from the information of $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1, a_3 = \gamma, a_4 = 0$, using the recurrences in (6), one gets $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (\gamma + 1, \gamma), (1, 1) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Therefore by the equations (5), (7), (8), one gets

$$F(x) = R_3(x) = \frac{\alpha_4 x + \beta_4}{\alpha_3 x + \beta_3} = \frac{x + 1}{(\gamma + 1)x + \gamma} \quad \text{for } x \notin \{[a_1, \dots, a_i] \mid i = 1, 2, 3\} = \left\{0, 1, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1}\right\}$$

Also, from the Lemma 3.3, one has

$$\ell_1(x) = \frac{a_0 x + \beta_3}{\alpha_3} = \frac{x + \gamma}{\gamma + 1}, \quad \ell_2(x) = \alpha_3 x + \alpha_4 = (\gamma + 1)x + 1$$

and

$$\ell_2 \circ F \circ \ell_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & \text{for } x \notin \{\alpha_3[a_1, \dots, a_i] + \beta_3 \mid i = 1, 2, 3\} = \{\gamma, 1, 0\} \\ 0, \frac{1}{\gamma}, 1 & \text{for } x = \gamma, 1, 0, \text{ respectively} \end{cases}$$

That is, letting $f_3(x) = \ell_2 \circ F \circ \ell_1(x)$, $F(x) = [0, \gamma, 1, x]$ is affine equivalent to

Case : $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ with $\text{Crk}(F) = 3$

$$f_3(x) = (\gamma + 1)F\left(\frac{x + \gamma}{\gamma + 1}\right) + 1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \gamma \\ \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0, 1, \gamma \end{cases}$$

When $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_4$ (i.e., $\gamma^2 + \gamma + 1 = 0$), the differential uniformity of f_3 is well known in [22, 38]. Also the boomerang uniformity δ_{f_3} of f_3 with $\Delta_{f_3} = 4$ is recently computed in [7] completely. For example, it is shown in [7] that one has $\delta_{f_3} = 6$ and $\Delta_{f_3} = 4$ if and only if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $6 \nmid n$.

However for general $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$, no satisfactory answer exist so far. The boomerang uniformity is unknown for this general case. Also, some plenty cases of differential uniformity of f_3 is dealt in [22] but no complete classification exist so far. Especially, there is no known *polynomial time algorithm* for determining the differential uniformity of f_3 with arbitrary $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$. In Section 6 and 7, we will give satisfactory answers for the differential and the boomerang uniformity of arbitrary f_3 (i.e., permutations of Carlitz rank 3).

Related to the function f_3 , there are some approach [7, 20, 22] to study cryptographic parameters of the permutations of the form $F(x) = \pi(x)^{q-2}$ with $\pi = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_k)$ a (cyclic) k -cycle, i.e., $\pi(c_i) = c_{i+1}$ and $\pi(x) = x$ for $x \notin \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. For example, $f_3(x) = \pi(x)^{q-2}$ with $\pi = (0 \ 1 \ \gamma)$. We briefly show that these are (as expected) special cases of permutations of Carlitz form.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $F(x) = \pi(x)^{q-2}$ with k -cycle $\pi = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_k)$, $k \geq 3$. Then one has the Carlitz rank $\text{Crk}(F) \leq 3k + 2$ when π contains no zero, and $\text{Crk}(F) \leq 3k - 4$ when π contains zero.*

Proof. Let $c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Then it is easy to check (See p. 358 in [24])

$$f_c(x) = [0, \frac{1}{c}, c, \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{c^2}x] = \left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2}x + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{q-2} + c \right)^{q-2} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{q-2}$$

is a transposition $(0 c)$. If c_1 and c_2 are nonzero, then $(c_1 c_2) = (0 c_1)(0 c_2)(0 c_1) = f_{c_1} \circ f_{c_2} \circ f_{c_1}$. Then, any k -cycle $\pi = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_k)$ containing no zero is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= (c_1 c_k)(c_1 c_{k-1}) \cdots (c_1 c_2) \\ &= (f_{c_1} \circ f_{c_k} \circ f_{c_1}) \circ (f_{c_1} \circ f_{c_{k-1}} \circ f_{c_1}) \circ \cdots \circ (f_{c_1} \circ f_{c_2} \circ f_{c_1}) \\ &= f_{c_1} \circ f_{c_k} \circ f_{c_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_2} \circ f_{c_1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\pi(x)^{q-2} = f'_{c_1} \circ f_{c_k} \circ f_{c_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_2} \circ f_{c_1}$ with $f'_{c_1} = [\frac{1}{c}, c, \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{c^2}x]$ and one finds $\text{Crk}(\pi(x)^{q-2}) \leq 3k + 2$. When $\pi = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_k)$ contains 0, say $c_1 = 0$, then the same method shows

$$\pi = (0 c_k)(0 c_{k-1}) \cdots (0 c_2) = f_{c_k} \circ f_{c_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_2}$$

and one concludes $\text{Crk}(\pi(x)^{q-2}) \leq 3k - 4$. \square

Although not the exact form of $F(x) = \pi(x)^{q-2}$ is discussed, the articles [27, 29, 30, 32, 38] also study the differential uniformity of modifications of the inverse function, and it is also possible to describe their constructions via Carlitz form with Carlitz rank depending on the size of exceptional sets. However, when the exceptional set is large, we do not have a satisfactory method for computing the differential uniformity other than the approach provided in [27, 29, 30, 32, 38].

Remark 3.2. *Any permutation on \mathbb{F}_q with $q = 2^n$ can be regarded as an element of S_q , a symmetric group on q letters, and any permutation in S_q is a composition of at most $\frac{3q}{2}$ transpositions of the form $(0 c)$ with $c \neq 0$. Therefore an obvious upper bound of the Carlitz rank of permutations on \mathbb{F}_q is $\frac{9q}{2}$. We will show, in next section, that when a permutation F on even dimension (i.e., $q = 2^n$ with n even) has Carlitz rank not too large (i.e., if $\text{Crk}(F) < \frac{q}{6}$), then F is not APN.*

4 New Cryptographic Tool using Convergents of Continued Fraction over Finite Fields

4.1 Notion of Poles and Convergents

Most of the articles [1, 12, 13] on permutation $F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x]$ of Carlitz form define poles as the set of elements $\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ (which is in fact an analogue of convergent of continued fraction of real numbers), where α_i and β_i are defined via recurrence relations in the equation (6). However a natural definition of poles would be the set of all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ where the linear fractional transformation approximation by $R_m(x)$ differs from $F(x)$. That is, the set of all $x = \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ in the equation (7). Since F is a permutation, the inverse permutation F^{-1} also has poles, and both poles have one to one correspondence with each other, which is explained in the equation (8). To summarize, one may guess that there are some relations between the two sets

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

Remark 4.1. *Unlike the real number case, the expression $\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ maybe undefined for some i because α_i may be zero multiple times for some $0 \leq i \leq m+1$.*

Our aim in this section is to show that the two sets above are (if well defined) the same sets (excluding multiplicities), and also to show that there is well defined correspondence between the two sets. For given $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m+1} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_m \neq 0$ and sequences α_i, β_i ($0 \leq i \leq m+1$) satisfying the recurrences in (6) with initial conditions $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = a_0$ and $\beta_0 = 1, \beta_1 = a_1$, we define as follows.

Definition 4.1.

$$A_i = \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i] \quad (1 \leq i \leq m+1) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{i,j} = \alpha_i \beta_j + \alpha_j \beta_i \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq m+1)$$

Definition 4.2.

1. For a set $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$, we define a relation \sim on $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]}$ as $i \sim j$ if $A_i = A_j$.
2. For a set $\mathbb{Z}_{[0,m+1]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$, we define a relation \approx on $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0,m+1]}$ as $i \approx j$ if $K_{i,j} = 0$.

Using the above definitions, we obtain the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.3.

- (a) Both the relations \sim and \approx are the equivalence relations on $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]}$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_{[0,m+1]}$ respectively.
- (b) For all $0 \leq i, j \leq m+1$ with $j \geq 2$, one has the recurrence $K_{i,j} = a_j K_{i,j-1} + K_{i,j-2}$.
- (c) For all $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, $K_{i,i-1} = a_0$ (i.e., $\alpha_i \beta_{i-1} + \beta_i \alpha_{i-1} = a_0$)
- (d) For all $0 \leq i \leq m+1$, α_i or β_i is nonzero.
- (e) For all $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, α_i or α_{i-1} is nonzero.
- (f) Let $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Then for all $1 \leq j \leq m+1$, $K_{i,j}$ or $K_{i,j-1}$ is nonzero.
- (g) Suppose $\alpha_k = 0 = \alpha_i$ for some $0 \leq k < i \leq m+1$, and suppose $\alpha_s \neq 0$ for all $k < s < i$. Then $[a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{k+2}] = 0$ with $k+2 \leq i$.
- (h) Suppose $K_{i,k} = 0 = K_{i,j}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ and $1 \leq k < j \leq m+1$, and suppose $K_{i,s} \neq 0$ for all $k < s < j$. Then $[a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{k+2}] = 0$ with $k+2 \leq i$.

Proof. By the Lemma 3.1 and by the definitions of α_i, β_i , the assertion (a) is trivial. For the assertion (b), $K_{i,j} = \alpha_i \beta_j + \beta_i \alpha_j = \alpha_i(a_j \beta_{j-1} + \beta_{j-2}) + \beta_i(a_j \alpha_{j-1} + \alpha_{j-2}) = a_j K_{i,j-1} + K_{i,j-2}$. For the statement (c), we use induction. That is, $K_{1,0} = \alpha_1 \beta_0 + \beta_1 \alpha_0 = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 \cdot 0 = a_0$, and inductively, $K_{i+1,i} = \alpha_{i+1} \beta_i + \beta_{i+1} \alpha_i = (a_{i+1} \alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1}) \beta_i + (a_{i+1} \beta_i + \beta_{i-1}) \alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1} \beta_i + \beta_{i-1} \alpha_i = K_{i,i-1}$. Assertions (d), (e) are clear from the assertion (c) : $\alpha_i \beta_{i-1} + \beta_i \alpha_{i-1} = a_0 \neq 0$. For the assertion (f), if $K_{i,j} = 0 = K_{i,j-1}$, then from the recurrence in the statement (b), one gets $K_{i,j} = 0$ for all

$0 \leq j \leq m+1$ which is a contradiction to the assertion (c) : $K_{i,i-1} = a_0 \neq 0$. For the statement (g), starting from the recurrence $0 = \alpha_i = a_i \alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_{i-1}$ with $\alpha_{i-1} \neq 0$ by (e),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i-1}} = a_i + \frac{\alpha_{i-2}}{\alpha_{i-1}} = [a_i, \frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_{i-2}}] = [a_i, a_{i-1}, \frac{\alpha_{i-2}}{\alpha_{i-3}}] \\ &\dots \\ &= [a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{k+3}, \frac{\alpha_{k+2}}{\alpha_{k+1}}] \\ &= [a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{k+3}, a_{k+2}] \quad \because \frac{\alpha_{k+2}}{\alpha_{k+1}} = a_{k+2} + \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k+1}} \text{ with } \alpha_k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (h) is exactly same to that of (g) because $K_{i,j}$ satisfies the same recurrence with α_j in view of (b). \square

Remark 4.2. From the above lemma, let us denote the equivalent class of $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]}$ as \tilde{i} , and denote the equivalent class of $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0,m+1]}$ as \tilde{j} .

Proposition 4.4. We have the followings.

- (1) Suppose $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Let $0 \leq j$ be the least integer satisfying $K_{i,j} = 0$ (i.e., $j \in \tilde{i}$ is the least element in the equivalent class of i). Then one has $\alpha_j \neq 0$ and

$$\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} = A_j$$

- (2) Conversely, for given A_i with $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, let $1 \leq j$ be the least integer satisfying $A_i = A_j$ (i.e., $j \in \tilde{i}$ is the least element in the equivalent class of i). Then one has $\alpha_j \neq 0$ and

$$A_i = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j}$$

Proof.

- (1) Such j exists and $j \leq i$ because $K_{i,i} = 0$. From $0 = K_{i,j} = \alpha_i \beta_j + \beta_i \alpha_j$ with $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and from Lemma 4.3-(d), one has $\alpha_j \neq 0$ and thus $\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j}$. Now, since $K_{i,j} = 0$ and $K_{i,s} \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq s < j$, using the recurrence in Lemma 4.3-(b) repeatedly,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{K_{i,j}}{K_{i,j-1}} = a_j + \frac{K_{i,j-2}}{K_{i,j-1}} = [a_j, \frac{K_{i,j-1}}{K_{i,j-2}}] = [a_j, a_{j-1}, \frac{K_{i,j-2}}{K_{i,j-3}}] \\ &\dots \\ &= [a_j, a_{j-1}, \dots, a_2, \frac{K_{i,1}}{K_{i,0}}] = [a_j, a_{j-1}, \dots, a_2, \frac{\alpha_i a_1 + \beta_i a_0}{\alpha_i}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using Lemma 3.1-(a),

$$0 = [\frac{\alpha_i a_1 + \beta_i a_0}{\alpha_i}, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j],$$

which implies

$$\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{a_0}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j]$$

(2) First we show $\alpha_j \neq 0$. If $\alpha_j = 0$, then choose the greatest $0 \leq k < j$ satisfying $\alpha_k = 0$. Such k exists because $\alpha_0 = 0$. Then, since $\alpha_s \neq 0$ for all $k < s < j$, we get $[a_j, a_{j-1}, \dots, a_{k+2}] = 0 = [a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j]$ with $k+2 \leq j$ by the Lemma 4.3-(g) and the Lemma 3.1-(b). Therefore by the Lemma 3.1-(a), we have $A_{k+1} = A_j$ with $k+1 < j$ which is a contradiction to the minimality of j in the equivalent class of i . Now we show $A_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j}$. By the assertion (a) in this Proposition, we have $\frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} = \frac{\beta_{j_0}}{\alpha_{j_0}} = A_{j_0}$ where $1 \leq j_0$ is the least integer satisfying $K_{j,j_0} = 0$. We claim $j_0 = j$ which completes the proof. If $j_0 < j$, choose the greatest $j_0 \leq k < j$ satisfying $K_{j,k} = 0$. Then, since $K_{j,s} \neq 0$ for all $k < s < j$, we have $[a_j, a_{j-1}, \dots, a_{k+2}] = 0 = [a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j]$ with $k+2 \leq j$ by the Lemma 4.3-(h) and the Lemma 3.1-(b). In the same way, we have $A_{k+1} = A_j$ with $k+1 < j$ which is a contradiction to the minimality of j in the equivalent class of i . \square

The implication of the above proposition is as follows. In general, $A_i \neq \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ even if $\alpha_i \neq 0$. However if one chooses the minimal index j satisfying $A_j = A_i$, then one has $A_i = A_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j}$ and this j is the minimal index in the equivalent class of \tilde{j} (i.e., $s = j$ is the minimal for all s satisfying $K_{j,s} = 0$). Also, if one chooses the minimal index j satisfying $\frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$ (i.e., minimal j satisfying $K_{i,j} = 0$), then one has $\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} = A_j$ and this j is the minimal index in the equivalent class of \tilde{j} (i.e., $s = j$ is the minimal for all s satisfying $A_s = A_j$). Therefore, if one excludes the equivalent class $\tilde{0}$ which corresponds all the indices i with $\alpha_i = 0$ (in particular, $\alpha_0 = 0$), the number of equivalent classes of $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]}$ and that of $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]} \setminus \tilde{0}$ are the same, and the set of minimal indices (representatives) among all equivalent classes of $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,m+1]}$ and that of $\mathbb{Z}_{[0,m+1]} \setminus \tilde{0}$ are the same set.

Example. Let us give an example to briefly explain the above proposition. Let $m = 8$ and let $F(x) = [a_9, a_8, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x] = [0, g^{102}, g^{153}, g^{164}, g^{234}, g^{73}, 1, 1, x]$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} with primitive element $g \in \mathbb{F}_{2^8}$ having the minimal polynomial $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$. Then we have

$$A_1 = A_3 = 0, \quad A_2 = A_7 = 1, \quad A_4 = g^{236}, \quad A_5 = g^{65}, \quad A_6 = A_8 = A_9 = g^{251}$$

such that $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,9]}$ is written as a disjoint union

$$\mathbb{Z}_{[1,9]} = \{1, 3\} \cup \{2, 7\} \cup \{4\} \cup \{5\} \cup \{6, 8, 9\} = \{\tilde{1}\} \cup \{\tilde{2}\} \cup \{\tilde{4}\} \cup \{\tilde{5}\} \cup \{\tilde{6}\}$$

Also one has

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_3 = 0, \quad \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\beta_7}{\alpha_7} = \frac{\beta_9}{\alpha_9} = 0, \quad \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} = 1, \quad \frac{\beta_4}{\alpha_4} = g^{236}, \quad \frac{\beta_5}{\alpha_5} = \frac{\beta_8}{\alpha_8} = g^{65}, \quad \frac{\beta_6}{\alpha_6} = g^{251}$$

such that $\mathbb{Z}_{[0,9]}$ is expressed as a disjoint union

$$\mathbb{Z}_{[0,9]} = \{0, 3\} \cup \{1, 7, 9\} \cup \{2\} \cup \{4\} \cup \{5, 8\} \cup \{6\} = \{\tilde{0}\} \cup \{\tilde{1}\} \cup \{\tilde{2}\} \cup \{\tilde{4}\} \cup \{\tilde{5}\} \cup \{\tilde{6}\}$$

4.2 Classifying Solutions of DU Equations

From Proposition 3.2, every permutation of Carlitz rank m can be written, up to affine equivalence, as

$$F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x] = [0, a_m, \dots, a_3, 1, x] \quad (9)$$

with $a_{m+1} = 0, a_2 = 1, a_1 = 0$ and $a_0 = 1$. Letting $y = [0, a_m, \dots, a_3, 1, x]$, using Lemma 3.1, one has $[y, a_m, \dots, a_3, 1, x] = 0 = [x, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, y]$. Therefore the (compositional) inverse function $G(x) = F^{-1}(x)$ is written as

$$G(x) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, x] \quad (10)$$

with the same $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1$ and $a_{m+1} = 0$. Since the expression $[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m, x]$ has the form of increasing indices and it is closely related with the equation (8), we will be interested in the cryptographic properties of $G(x)$ of this form. Having that in mind, we introduce new definitions of differential and boomerang uniformities, which are in fact swapped versions from the original ones.

Definition 4.5. Let G be any permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} and let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}$. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DU}_G(a, b) &= \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid G(x) + G(y) = a \text{ and } x + y = b\} \\ \text{BU}_G(a, c) &= \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)\} \end{aligned}$$

We will call the simultaneous equation $a = G(x) + G(y)$, $b = x + y$ as DU equation, and also call the simultaneous equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$ as BU equation.

Please note that our (equivalent) definition of boomerang uniformity comes from the result of theorem 2.3 in [20]. When G and F are inverse to each other, one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = \text{DDT}_F(a, b)$ and $\text{BU}_G(a, b) = \text{BCT}_F(a, b)$. We also define the maximum values among all $\text{DU}_G(a, b)$ and $\text{BU}_G(a, b)$ as follows.

Definition 4.6.

$$\text{DU}_G = \max_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}} \text{DU}_G(a, b), \quad \text{BU}_G = \max_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}} \text{BU}_G(a, b)$$

Remark 4.3. DU_G and BU_G are same to the original differential and boomerang uniformities of G (and also F). That is, $\text{DU}_G = \text{DU}_F = \Delta_F = \Delta_G$ and $\text{BU}_G = \text{BU}_F = \delta_F = \delta_G$.

If (u, v) is a solution of DU equation $G(x) + G(x + b) = a$, then

$$a = G(u) + G(v) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, u] + [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, v], \quad \text{with } u + v = b \quad (11)$$

By the symmetric nature of the above equation with respect to u, v , we will use the notion of unordered solution pair $\{u, v\}$, where one unordered solution pair $\{u, v\}$ corresponds to two (ordered) solution pairs (u, v) and (v, u) . Note that $(u, v) \neq (v, u)$ because $a \neq 0 \neq b$.

Definition 4.7. We define a domain pole set \mathcal{P} (of G) consisting of all p_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) satisfying $A_i = G(p_i)$ (i.e., $[0, 1, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_i] = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, p_i]$). That is, a set consisting of all $p_i = [0, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{i+1}]$.

Remark 4.4. When $G(x) = [0, 1, \gamma, x]$ with $\gamma \neq 0, 1$, then one has

$$p_1 = [0, \gamma, 1] = \frac{1}{\gamma+1}, \quad p_2 = [0, \gamma] = \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad p_3 = [0] = 0$$

Remark 4.5. In general, when $\text{Crk}(G) > 3$, p_i are not distinct since A_i are not. When duplication happens, we exclude multiplicities by considering minimal index i in the equivalent class \tilde{i} for A_i , so that we assume \mathcal{P} consists of all distinct elements.

If $\{u, v\}$ is a solution pair of the DU equation (11), there are two possibilities for u (resp. v). That is, either $u = p_i \in \mathcal{P}$ for some i or $u \notin \mathcal{P}$. If $u = p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, then $G(u) = A_i = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_i]$. If $u \notin \mathcal{P}$, then we have the following.

Lemma 4.8. If $u \notin \mathcal{P}$, then one has $G(u) = \frac{\beta_m u + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_m u + \alpha_{m-1}}$. In particular, $\alpha_m u + \alpha_{m-1} \neq 0$ for all $u \notin \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Since $G(u) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, u] \neq A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, by the Proposition 4.4-(2), $A_{m+1, u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(u) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, u]$ itself gives a single equivalence class of the index $m+1$ such that $G(u) = A_{m+1, u} = \frac{a'_{m+1}\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{a'_{m+1}\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}} = \frac{\beta_m u + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_m u + \alpha_{m-1}}$ with $a'_{m+1} = u$. \square

Definition 4.9. Let $u \neq v$ be in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . For a pair $\{u, v\}$ (resp. an ordered pair (u, v)), we say $\{u, v\}$ is

- of **Class \mathcal{A}** if $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$, and the set consisting of all $\{u, v\}$ (resp. (u, v)) of **Class \mathcal{A}** is denoted by \mathcal{A} . (i.e., $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ means $u \in \mathcal{P}, v \in \mathcal{P}$.)
- of **Class \mathcal{B}** if only one of u and v is in \mathcal{P} , and the set consisting of all $\{u, v\}$ (resp. (u, v)) of **Class \mathcal{B}** is denoted by \mathcal{B} . (i.e., $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}$ means $u \in \mathcal{P}, v \notin \mathcal{P}$ or $u \notin \mathcal{P}, v \in \mathcal{P}$.)
- of **Class \mathcal{C}** if none of u and v is in \mathcal{P} , and the set consisting of all $\{u, v\}$ (resp. (u, v)) of **Class \mathcal{C}** is denoted by \mathcal{C} (i.e., $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{C}$ means $u \notin \mathcal{P}, v \notin \mathcal{P}$.)

For a given permutation $G : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_G : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ (u, v) &\mapsto (G(u) + G(v), u + v) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then, for given nonzero $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $\psi_G^{-1}(a, b)$ is the set consisting of $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $a = G(u) + G(v)$, $b = u + v$ so that one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = \#\psi_G^{-1}(a, b)$.

Definition 4.10. For a given permutation G on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} and a map ψ_G on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_G^{(a, b)} = \mathcal{A} \cap \psi_G^{-1}(a, b), \quad \mathcal{B}_G^{(a, b)} = \mathcal{B} \cap \psi_G^{-1}(a, b), \quad \mathcal{C}_G^{(a, b)} = \mathcal{C} \cap \psi_G^{-1}(a, b)$$

Remark 4.6. From the above definition, one has the following disjoint union and the formula for $\text{DU}_G(a, b)$

$$\psi_G^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{A}_G^{(a, b)} \cup \mathcal{B}_G^{(a, b)} \cup \mathcal{C}_G^{(a, b)}, \quad \text{DU}_G(a, b) = \#\mathcal{A}_G^{(a, b)} + \#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a, b)} + \#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a, b)}$$

Now from the equation (11), Definition 4.7 and Lemma 4.8, one finds that

- $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$ consists of all ordered pair $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the equation (11). In this case, one has $u = p_i, v = p_j$ for some $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ such that $a = G(p_i) + G(p_j) = A_i + A_j$ with $b = p_i + p_j$.
- $\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)}$ consists of all ordered pair $(u, v) \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the equation (11). In this case, since only one of u, v is in \mathcal{P} , say $u = p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, using Lemma 4.8, one has the equation $a = G(p_i) + G(v) = A_i + \frac{\beta_m v + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_m v + \alpha_{m-1}}$ with $b = p_i + v$.
- $\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)}$ consists of all ordered pair $(u, v) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the equation (11). In this case, since $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$, using Lemma 4.8, one has $a = G(u) + G(v) = \frac{\beta_m u + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_m u + \alpha_{m-1}} + \frac{\beta_m v + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_m v + \alpha_{m-1}}$ with $b = u + v$.

Again, by the symmetry of the mapping ψ_G (i.e., $\psi_G(u, v) = \psi_G(v, u)$), the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$, $\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)}$ are even, and one can also define analogue versions for unordered sets for $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$, $\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)}$. So when we say $\{u, v\}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$, we are abusing our notations so that it implies both (u, v) and (v, u) are in $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that a permutation $G(x) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, x]$ has $\alpha_m \neq 0$. Then one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ or 2, and one gets $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{a\alpha_m^2}) = 0$ and $x^2 + b\alpha_m x + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ for all $x \in \alpha_m \mathcal{P} + \alpha_{m-1}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)}$. Since $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$, by the Lemma 4.8, one has

$$\begin{aligned} a = G(u) + G(v) &= \frac{u\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{u\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}} + \frac{v\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{v\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{(u+v)(\alpha_m\beta_{m-1} + \beta_m\alpha_{m-1})}{(u\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})(v\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})} = \frac{b}{(u\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})(v\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 4.3-(c). Therefore, letting $M = u\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}$ and $N = v\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}$, the following quadratic equation

$$0 = (X + M)(X + N) = X^2 + b\alpha_m X + \frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$$

has unique solutions $M, N \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and thus $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{a\alpha_m^2}) = 0$. Since $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$, the roots M, N are not in $\alpha_m \mathcal{P} + \alpha_{m-1}$, which means $x^2 + b\alpha_m x + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ for all $x \in \alpha_m \mathcal{P} + \alpha_{m-1}$. Conversely when $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{a\alpha_m^2}) = 0$ with $x^2 + b\alpha_m x + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ for all $x \in \alpha_m \mathcal{P} + \alpha_{m-1}$, then the solution $\{M, N\}$ of the quadratic equation satisfies $M, N \notin \alpha_m \mathcal{P} + \alpha_{m-1}$. Therefore $u = \frac{M + \alpha_{m-1}}{\alpha_m}, v = \frac{N + \alpha_{m-1}}{\alpha_m}$ are not in \mathcal{P} , and thus $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

Remark 4.7. *Letting $x_i = \alpha_m p_i + \alpha_{m-1}$ for each $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, the last condition $x_i^2 + b\alpha_m x_i + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ in the above proposition can be rephrased as a parametrized form $a \neq \frac{b}{\alpha_m x_i b + x_i^2}$ for all i .*

Remark 4.8. *Consequence of the above proposition is that, if $\alpha_m \neq 0$ and $\#\psi_G^{-1}(a, b) = \text{DU}_G(a, b)$ is greater than two, then those extra solutions (u, v) are ALL from Class \mathcal{A} or Class \mathcal{B} (i.e., at least one of u, v are in \mathcal{P}).*

Since the number $\#\mathcal{P}$ is the number of distinct A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), it is at most m . Therefore, when m is small, we can do enumerative counting or we may even use an algorithmic approach for relatively small m , which is mechanical in nature and can be implemented on software.

4.3 Carlitz Rank of APN Permutation

Using Proposition 4.11, we present a simple result on the relation between APN and Carlitz rank.

Theorem 4.12. *Let n be even and let $G(x) = [0, 1, a_3, \dots, a_m, x]$ be a permutation of Carlitz rank m over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $\#\mathcal{P} = \ell$. If $\ell < \frac{2^{n-1}}{3}$, then G is not APN.*

Proof. Let us first suppose $\alpha_m = 0$, then by Lemma 4.8, we have $G(x) = \frac{x\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_{m-1}}$ for all $x \notin \mathcal{P}$. Therefore fixing any nonzero $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, one has

$$G(u) + G(u+b) = \frac{u\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_{m-1}} + \frac{(b+u)\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_{m-1}} = \frac{b\beta_m}{\alpha_{m-1}} \neq 0$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $u, u+b \notin \mathcal{P}$. Since there are at most 2ℓ possible values of $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that either u or $b+u$ are in \mathcal{P} , there exists at least $2^n - 2\ell > 2^{n-1} + \ell > 8$ number of solutions for the DU equation $a = G(x) + G(x+b)$ with $a = \frac{b\beta_m}{\alpha_{m-1}}$ and b . Now let us consider the case $\alpha_m \neq 0$. Using Proposition 4.4, one chooses $p_k \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $G(p_k) = \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m}$. Then, for all b with $b+p_k \notin \mathcal{P}$, one has

$$a = G(p_k) + G(b+p_k) = \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m} + \frac{(b+p_k)\beta_m + \beta_{m-1}}{(b+p_k)\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_m(b\alpha_m + p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})},$$

and thus

$$ab\alpha_m^2 + a\alpha_m(p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}) = 1 \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{1}{ab\alpha_m^2} = 1 + \frac{p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}}{b\alpha_m}$$

If $p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1} \neq 0$, then $1 + \frac{p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1}}{b\alpha_m}$ takes all the values of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha_m^2}) = 0$ for 2^{n-1} values of b . Because $a = \frac{1}{\alpha_m(b\alpha_m + p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1})}$ is expressed as a parametrization of b , for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $a = \frac{b}{\alpha_m x_i b + x_i^2}$ is possible only (if they exist) for two values of $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ since b is a root of nontrivial quadratic equation $\alpha_m^2 b^2 + \alpha_m(p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1} + x_i)b + x_i^2 = 0$, where $x_i = \alpha_m p_i + \alpha_{m-1}$. Thus, by removing these 2ℓ values of b , one can use Proposition 4.11, and also removing at most ℓ values of b where $b+p_k \in \mathcal{P}$, we conclude that, assuming $3\ell < 2^{n-1}$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $b+p_k \notin \mathcal{P}$ and $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$G(p_k) + G(b+p_k) = a = G(u) + G(v)$$

Therefore one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$ and G is not APN. If $p_k\alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1} = 0$, then one has $\frac{1}{ab\alpha_m^2} = 1$ for all b and thus $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha_m^2}) = 0$ because n is even. \square

Remark 4.9.

1. Since $\ell \leq m$ where $m = \text{Crk}(G)$, if $m < \frac{2^{n-1}}{3}$ then G is not APN. That is, any APN permutation G on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ must have $\text{Crk}(G) \geq \frac{2^{n-1}}{3}$. In particular, the APN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^6} found by Dillon [5] has Carlitz rank ≥ 11 .

2. No APN permutation on \mathbb{F}_{2^8} is found at this moment. However, if it exists, it must have Carlitz rank $\geq \frac{2^7}{3} \approx 42.67$

5 Permutations of Carlitz Rank Three and α, β of Convergents

Using the tools that we presented in previous sections, we now show how the cryptographic properties such as boomerang and differential uniformities of a permutation of Carlitz rank 3 can be computed. Therefore let us consider Carlitz rank 3 case, i.e. $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x] = ((x^{q-2} + \beta)^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2}$ with $\beta \neq 0, 1$. Please note that $G(x)$ is a (compositional) inverse of $F(x) = [0, \beta, 1, x]$ and they are affine equivalent to each other via the relation $G(x) = \beta F(\beta x)$. When $\beta \neq 0, 1$, it is trivial to check $\text{Crk}(G) = 3$ (i.e., $\text{Crk}(G) < 3$ is not possible.) As we already mentioned in Remark 4.4, we have $p_1 = [0, \beta, 1] = \frac{1}{\beta+1}, p_2 = [0, \beta] = \frac{1}{\beta}, p_3 = 0$. We now introduce a new constant α defined as $\alpha = \beta + 1$, which will make our subsequent computations much easier. Then we can rewrite the lists of pole set \mathcal{P} and image pole set $G(\mathcal{P})$ as

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{[0, \beta, 1], [0, \beta], [0]\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\} \quad (13)$$

$$G(\mathcal{P}) = \{G(p_1), G(p_2), G(p_3)\} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\} = \{0, 1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\} \quad (14)$$

Since all A_i are distinct, one has the following nice correspondence by Proposition 4.4,

$$A_1 = 0 = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1}, \quad A_2 = 1 = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, \quad A_3 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_3}$$

Also, since G has the form of fraction when $x \notin \mathcal{P}$ by Lemma 4.8, we have

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} A_i & \text{if } x = p_i \in \mathcal{P} \\ \frac{\beta x + 1}{\alpha x + 1} & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{P} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where $\alpha = \beta + 1$.

Remark 5.1. Although our main interest in this article is about the boomerang and differential uniformity, the permutation $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ has other nice cryptographic properties such as high algebraic degree and high nonlinearity. For the definitions and fundamentals of the above notions, please refer [6, 8, 10, 11, 15]. By Lagrange interpolation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha x + 1)^{2^n-2} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(x + \frac{1}{\alpha})^{2^n-1} + (x + \frac{1}{\beta})^{2^n-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha}x^{2^n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}x^{2^n-2} + \text{lower terms}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the algebraic degree of G is $n - 1$. It is shown in [22] that the nonlinearity of G is $\geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 3$, but using a refined technique, one can prove that the nonlinearity is $\geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 2$.

For given nonzero $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, let us consider the quadratic polynomial (for the case $m = 3$) in the proof of Proposition 4.11,

$$f(X) = X^2 + b\alpha X + \frac{b}{a} = (X + \alpha u + 1)(X + \alpha v + 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X], \quad (16)$$

where $a = G(u) + G(v)$, $b = u + v$. Now we rephrase Proposition 4.11 for the case $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ of Carlitz rank three.

Corollary 5.1. For $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$, one always has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} \leq 2$, and one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$ with a, b satisfying $a \neq (\alpha a + 1)b$ and $a \neq \beta(\alpha a + \beta)b$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 4.11, one only needs to check the condition $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \alpha\mathcal{P} + 1$. Since $\mathcal{P} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\}$, one has $\alpha\mathcal{P} + 1 = \{0, \frac{1}{\beta}, 1\}$. One can easily check $f(0) = \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$, $f(1) = 1 + b\alpha + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $a \neq (\alpha a + 1)b$, and $f(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{b\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{b}{a} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $a \neq \beta(\alpha a + \beta)b$. \square

By replacing X by $\alpha X + 1$ from $f(X)$, we get

$$\mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha} + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = (X + u)(X + v) \quad (17)$$

For each domain pole $p_i \in \mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\}$, we define three polynomials

$$h_1^{(a,b)}(X) = \mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} = (x + \frac{1}{\alpha} + u)(X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + v) \quad (18)$$

$$h_2^{(a,b)}(X) = \mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta^2} = (x + \frac{1}{\beta} + u)(X + \frac{1}{\beta} + v) \quad (19)$$

$$h_3^{(a,b)}(X) = \mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(X + 0) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha} + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = (x + u)(X + v) \quad (20)$$

Remark 5.2. One easily checks $\mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) = \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} \neq 0$. Also $\mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ if and only if $a = \beta(\alpha a + \beta)b$, and $\mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(0) = 0$ if and only if $a = (\alpha a + 1)b$. Therefore Corollary 5.1 can be restated as follows; one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}^{(a,b)}(X) = 0$ has solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} none of which is a pole. Also note that the reducibility of \mathcal{R} is equivalent to the reducibility of h_i for any $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We also define bivariate polynomial $H_a(X, Y)$ as

$$H_a(X, Y) = X^2 + XY + \frac{Y}{\alpha^2 a}$$

Note that we have a very natural relation (which we will use repeatedly in analyzing BU equations in Section 7) between $H_a(X, Y)$ and $h_1^{(a,t)}(X) = \mathcal{R}^{(a,t)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha})$. That is, if $X = s$ is a solution of $h_1^{(a,t)}(X)$, then

$$0 = h_1^{(a,t)}(s) = s^2 + st + \frac{t}{\alpha^2 a} = H_a(s, t) \quad (21)$$

We will check whether the above equation holds when s, t are given as translations of b_i which are linear fractional transformations of a , and checking $H_a(s, t) = 0$ is equivalent of finding a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} of certain polynomials with indeterminate a .

6 Differential Uniformity of G

By utilizing the methods that were introduced in previous sections, we want to derive information of the boomerang and differential uniformity of $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Our first goal is a complete classification of the differential uniformity of G , which will be needed when we discuss boomerang uniformity in Section 7.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ with $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and let $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x] = ((x^{2^n-2} + \beta)^{2^n-2} + 1)^{2^n-2}$ be a permutation of Carlitz rank three. Then one has the differential uniformity $\text{DU}_G \in \{4, 6, 8\}$ where $\text{DU}_G = 8$ if and only if $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$. If $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 \neq 0$, then by defining the following polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z]$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12}(Z) &= Z^4 + \frac{1}{\beta}Z^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}Z + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \\ f_{13}(Z) &= Z^4 + \alpha Z^2 + \beta Z + 1, \end{aligned}$$

the following holds.

- 1 If at least one of $f_{12}(Z)$ and $f_{13}(Z)$ has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , then $\text{DU}_G = 6$.
- 2 If none of $f_{12}(Z)$ and $f_{13}(Z)$ has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , then $\text{DU}_G = 4$.

Remark 6.1. The proof of the above theorem will be given at the end of this section. One novel property of the above theorem is that one can determine DU_G very quickly. In other words, letting $f(Z) = f_{12}(Z)f_{13}(Z)$, one can compute $\gcd(f(Z), Z^{2^n} - Z)$ in just $O(n^3)$ bit operations using repeated squaring $Z^{2^i} \pmod{f}$. The gcd is nontrivial if and only if f has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Remark 6.2. Please note that we replaced the trace conditions of many previous works with the solvability of quartic polynomials. (In fact, the trace condition is equivalent to the solvability of quadratic polynomials.) We will derive a similar theorem on boomerang uniformity later.

From the information of \mathcal{P} and $G(\mathcal{P})$ in the equations (13) and (14), there are only 3 possibilities of $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and corresponding values of (a, b) . Namely

$$a = 1 = G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(\frac{1}{\beta}), \quad b = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{when } \{u, v\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\} \quad (22)$$

$$a = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(0), \quad b = \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{when } \{u, v\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, 0\} \quad (23)$$

$$a = \frac{1}{\alpha} = G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(0), \quad b = \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \text{when } \{u, v\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, 0\} \quad (24)$$

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that one has two pairs of $\{u, v\} \neq \{u', v'\}$ satisfying

$$G(u) + G(v) = a = G(u') + G(v')$$

such that $\{u, v\}$ is of **Class** \mathcal{A} . Then one has the followings.

- (a) $\{u', v'\}$ is not of **Class** \mathcal{A} , i.e., $\{u', v'\} \in \mathcal{B}$ or $\{u', v'\} \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (b) If $\{u', v'\}$ is of **Class** \mathcal{B} , say $u' \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v' \notin \mathcal{P}$, then one has $\{u, v, u'\} = \mathcal{P}$ (i.e., $\{u, v, u'\}$ and $\{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\}$ are the same set), and v' is uniquely determined as $v' = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$.
- (c) If $u + v = u' + v'$, then $\{u', v'\} \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_4$.

Proof.

(a). Suppose on the contrary that both $u', v' \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, since there are only three poles, among u, v, u', v' , two of them must be the same pole, say $u = u'$, then from $G(u) + G(v) = G(u') + G(v')$ and since G is a permutation, one has $v = v'$ which contradict $\{u, v\} \neq \{u', v'\}$.

(b). It is clear (similarly as in the first statement) that all three u, v, u' are distinct. Therefore the equation $G(u) + G(v) = G(u') + G(v')$ is written as

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = 0 + 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = G(u) + G(v) + G(u') = G(v')$$

Then one can check $v' = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$ is a unique solution, since $v' \notin \mathcal{P}$ implies $G(v') = \frac{\beta \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} + 1}{\alpha \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} + 1} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

(c). We use (b) so that $\{u', v'\} \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\{u, v, u', v'\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\}$. Therefore $u + v = u' + v'$ if and only if $0 = u + v + u' + v' = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} = \frac{\alpha + \beta^2}{\alpha^2 \beta}$, which happens exactly when $0 = \alpha + \beta^2 = 1 + \beta + \beta^2$. \square

Proposition 6.3. For $(a, b) \in \{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}), (\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}), (\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})\}$, i.e., when a, b are expressed as

$$a = G(p_i) + G(p_j), \quad b = p_i + p_j,$$

one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 2, 4$, or 6 , and is classified as

- (1) $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$ when (i) $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_4$ and (ii) $h_1^{(a,b)}(X) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a}$ has a solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .
- (2) $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 4$ if only one of the conditions among (i), (ii) is satisfied.
- (3) $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 2$ if none of the conditions among (i), (ii) is satisfied.

Proof. From Lemma 6.2-(a), one gets $\#\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$. From Lemma 6.2-(b) and (c), one has $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ or 2 , and $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ happens exactly when $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_4$ such that $p_i + p_j + p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} = 0$ and $b = p_i + p_j = p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$ with $\{p_i, p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\{p_k, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Since one can easily check $a \neq (\alpha + 1)b$ and $a \neq \beta(\alpha + \beta)b$ for all $(a, b) \in \{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}), (\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}), (\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})\}$, from Corollary 5.1, one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ or 2 , and $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$ which is equivalent to the solvability of $h_1^{(a,b)}(X) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} from the equation (18). \square

The above proposition explains all possible values of $\text{DU}_G(a, b)$ when the set $\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)}$ is not empty (i.e., when there are poles p_i, p_j such that $a = G(p_i) + G(p_j)$ and $b = p_i + p_j$). Now we will discuss the other cases of a, b satisfying DU equation. Consider the following DU equation, $a = G(x) + G(y)$, $b = x + y$, where there is no solution $\{x, y\} = \{u, v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ (i.e., no solution satisfying $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$). Then any possible solution $\{u, v\}$ is either of **Class B** or **Class C**. From Corollary 5.1 and Remark 5.2 we know $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ or 2 , and $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}^{(a,b)}(X) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha} + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$ has two solutions u, v in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} such that $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$ (i.e., $a \neq (\alpha + 1)b$ and $a \neq \beta(\alpha + \beta)b$). Note that the reducibility of $\mathcal{H}^{(a,b)}(X)$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is also equivalent to $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$.

The case for **Class B** can be explained as follows. When $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)}$, then since only one of u, v is in $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\}$, one may write $\{u, v\} = \{p_i, b + p_i\}$ such that

$$a = G(p_i) + G(b + p_i) \quad \text{with } b + p_i \notin \mathcal{P} \quad (25)$$

For given nonzero a and pole p_i , there is unique $b = b_i$ (depending on a and p_i) satisfying the above equation because G is a permutation. For each pole $\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0$, one can easily find such b_i as

$$\mathcal{B}(1) : \quad a = G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) \quad \text{with } b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha + \beta)} \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(2) : \quad a = G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) \quad \text{with } b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha+1)} \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(3) : \quad a = G(0) + G(b_3) \quad \text{with } b_3 = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} \quad (28)$$

where $\mathcal{B}(i)$ means that $\{p_i, b_i + p_i\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that the denominators of b_i are never zero because $b_i + p_i \notin \mathcal{P}$.

Remark 6.3. When we have $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)}$ such that one of u, v is p_i , we simply say $\{u, v\}$ satisfies DU equations of type $\mathcal{B}(i) : a = G(u) + G(v)$, $b_i = u + v$. Also, throughout this paper, we will fix the values b_1, b_2 and b_3 as above.

For every $(a, b) = (a, b_i)$ parametrized by a , one has $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b_i)} \geq 2$ because there is an obvious solution pair $\{p_i, b_i + p_i\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Conversely, if there exists (a, b) such that $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} \geq 2$, then there is $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} = \mathcal{B} \cap \psi_G^{-1}(a, b)$ satisfying DU equation with $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}$, which implies exactly one of u, v (say u) is in \mathcal{P} so that $u = p_i$ for some i and $b = b_i$ because $a = G(p_i) + G(b + p_i)$.

Using the same argument, $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} \geq 4$ is possible only when $b = b_i = b_j$ for different i, j . In other words, if there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $b_i = b_j$, then letting $b = b_i (= b_j)$, one has 2 solutions of unordered pairs $\{u, v\} = \{p_i, b + p_i\}, \{p_j, b + p_j\}$ (or 4 solutions of ordered pairs) satisfying

$$a = G(u) + G(v), \quad b = u + v$$

We summarize the conditions on a satisfying $b_i = b_j$ as follows,

$$a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \text{ such that } b_1 = b_2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)} = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)} \Leftrightarrow a^2 + a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \text{ such that } b_1 = b_3 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)} = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} \Leftrightarrow a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) = 0 \quad (30)$$

$$a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \text{ such that } b_2 = b_3 \Leftrightarrow \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)} = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} \Leftrightarrow a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Finally, $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} = 6$ is possible only when $b_1 = b_2 = b_3$, which implies that three quadratic equations in a (29),(30),(31) have a common root. By solving 3 simultaneous quadratic equations, we find that a common solution exists if and only if $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$, and $a = \beta$ is a unique solution with corresponding $b = 1$. In this case, letting $(a, b) = (\beta, 1)$, one has

$$h_1^{(a,b)}(X) = X^2 + bX + \frac{b}{\alpha^2 a} = X^2 + X + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta} = X^2 + X + \frac{1}{\beta^4},$$

where

$$\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^4}\right) = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}\right) = 0 \quad (\because \beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0)$$

Therefore $h_1^{(a,b)}(X) = 0$ has a solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , which implies one also has a solution $\{u, v\}$ in $\mathcal{C}_G^{(\beta,1)}$ by Corollary 5.1.

To summarize, we have the following.

Proposition 6.4. For a given permutation $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} of Carlitz rank three, one has $\text{DU}_G = 8$ if and only if $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.

Remark 6.4. It should be mentioned that $(a, b) = (\beta, 1)$ is the unique pair having the maximum $\text{DU}_G(\beta, 1) = 8$ when $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$, and the four pairs $\{u, v\}$ of solutions satisfying DU equation $\beta = G(u) + G(v)$, $1 = u + v$ can be computed explicitly using the equation (26),(27),(28) and they are

$$\{u, v\} : \quad \{\beta^2, \beta^2 + 1\} \in \mathcal{B}(1), \quad \{\beta^2 + \beta, \beta^2 + \beta + 1\} \in \mathcal{B}(2), \quad \{0, 1\} \in \mathcal{B}(3), \quad \{\beta, \beta + 1\} \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $\beta^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \beta^2 + \beta = \frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}$. Also note that the set of all u and v appearing in the above list covers all the elements of \mathbb{F}_8 .

Among the three basic equations (26),(27),(28) related to the **Class** \mathcal{B} , two equations (26) and (28) have the following important properties which will be used repeatedly when one needs to compute $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2})$.

Lemma 6.5.

(a) Suppose $a = G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b + \frac{1}{\alpha})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, then one has

$$\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} = \frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1$$

(b) Suppose $a = G(0) + G(b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, then one has

$$\frac{1}{\alpha b} = \frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1$$

Proof. The above two equations are, in fact, the same equations as in (26) and (28), however we will give proofs for clarity. For the first statement,

$$a = G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = 0 + \frac{\beta(b + \frac{1}{\alpha}) + 1}{\alpha(b + \frac{1}{\alpha}) + 1} = \frac{\beta b + \frac{1}{\alpha}}{\alpha b} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{b\alpha^2}$$

By multiplying $\frac{1}{a}$ to the above equation, one gets desired expression. For the second statement,

$$a = G(0) + G(b) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta b + 1}{\alpha b + 1} = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha b + 1)} = \frac{1}{b\alpha^2 + \alpha}$$

Therefore by multiplying $b\alpha^2 + \alpha$ to the above equation,

$$ab\alpha^2 + a\alpha = 1$$

and we get the desired expression dividing both sides of the above equation by $ab\alpha^2$. \square

Now we are ready to state our complete classification of differential uniformity of a permutation G of Carlitz rank three. We already mentioned that $\text{DU}_G = 8$ is the maximum value possible and this happens exactly when $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$, where $\text{DU}_G = 8$ is obtained as $8 = \#\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)} + \#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} + \#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0 + 6 + 2$ with $(a, b) = (\beta, 1)$. It is obvious that, for any nonzero a, b and $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$, one has $\#\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ if $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} \geq 2$ by Lemma 6.2-(c). Therefore, by assuming $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$ and $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 \neq 0$, if one has $\text{DU}_G = 6$, then the only possible case is that there exists (a, b) such that $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = \#\mathcal{A}_G^{(a,b)} + \#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} + \#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0 + 4 + 2$. Since one has $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} = 4$ if and only if exactly two of b_1, b_2, b_3 are the same, to find the conditions for which $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$, we only need to check $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2})$ at these points (a, b) .

When $b = b_1 = b_2$, then from the equation (29),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a^2 + a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right) + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right) + 1 = \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1 \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1 \right) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 6.5-(a). For $b = b_1 = b_2$, one can easily check the conditions $a \neq (\alpha a + 1)b, \beta(\alpha a + \beta)b$ in Corollary 5.1 are satisfied. Therefore, one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$ and this happens when $\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} = z + z^2$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Thus by defining the following polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12}(Z) &= (Z^2 + Z + 1)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(Z^2 + Z + 1) + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z] \\ &= Z^4 + \frac{1}{\beta}Z^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}Z + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$ at (a, b) (with a satisfying the equation in (29) and $b = b_1 = b_2$) implies that the above quartic polynomial $f_{12}(Z)$ has a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $f_{12}(z) = 0$. Conversely, if there is $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $f_{12}(z) = 0$, then letting $a = \frac{\beta}{\alpha(z^2+z+1)}$ and $b = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}$, one finds $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$.

When $b = b_1 = b_3$, then from the equation (30),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} = 1 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a}\right)^2 + \beta \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a}\right) + \beta = \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1\right)^2 + \beta \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1\right) + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality again comes from Lemma 6.5-(a). For $b = b_1 = b_3$, one can also easily check the conditions $a \neq (\alpha a + 1)b, \beta(\alpha a + \beta)b$ in Corollary 5.1 are satisfied. Since one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$ and this happens when $\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} = z + z^2$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, by defining the following polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_{13}(Z) &= (Z^2 + Z + 1)^2 + \beta(Z^2 + Z + 1) + \beta \\ &= Z^4 + \alpha Z^2 + \beta Z + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$ at (a, b) (with a satisfying the equation in (30) and $b = b_1 = b_3$) implies that the above quartic polynomial $f_{13}(Z)$ has a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $f_{13}(z) = 0$. Conversely, if there is $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $f_{13}(z) = 0$, then letting $a = \frac{\beta}{\alpha(z^2+z+1)}$ and $b = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}$, one finds $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$.

When $b = b_2 = b_3$, then by using the equations (27) and (28), one gets

$$\frac{\beta b + 1}{\alpha \beta b + 1} = G\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) + G\left(b + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) = a = G(0) + G(b) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha b + 1)},$$

and solving the above equation in terms of b , one has

$$0 = b^2 + \frac{1}{\beta}b + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha b}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha b}\right)^2 \quad (34)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha b}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha b}\right) + 1 = \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} + 1\right) + 1, \quad (35)$$

where the last equality come from Lemma 6.5-(b). Since one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$, we get the same polynomial $f_{12}(Z)$ by replacing $\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}$ with $z + z^2$ from the expression (35).

Therefore, $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$ at (a, b) (with b satisfying the equation in (34) and $b = b_2 = b_3$) implies that $f_{12}(Z)$ has a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Conversely, if there is $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $f_{12}(z) = 0$, then letting $b = \frac{1}{\alpha(z^2+z+1)}$ and $a = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha b+1)}$, one finds $\text{DU}_G(a, b) = 6$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 :

Everything is already shown except that G is not APN. That is, we must show that there do exist a and b such that $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$. By the equation (26), one has $\#\mathcal{B}_G^{(a,b)} \geq 2$ for every $(a, b) = (a, b_1)$ parametrized by a . Also, the parametrized $a = a$ and $b = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a+\beta)}$ must satisfy, by Lemma 6.5-(a), $\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2} = 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha a}$. The exceptional values of a where Corollary 5.1 is not applicable (i.e., the a satisfying $b = \frac{a}{\alpha a+1}$ or $b = \frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a+\beta)}$) is at most two, since $\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a+\beta)} = \frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a+\beta)}$ is impossible and $\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a+\beta)} = \frac{a}{\alpha a+1}$ is possible only when there is $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $a^2 + a + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = 0$. Since half of the trace values $\text{Tr}(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha a})$ take zero, there exist a, b such that $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = \text{Tr}(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha a}) = 0$, so that $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 2$ and one concludes $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$. \square

7 Boomerang Uniformity of G

Our goal in this section is to classify all $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ having the boomerang uniformity 6, which is the least possible case for a permutation of Carlitz rank three. We first state the following main theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ with $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and let $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x] = ((x^{2^n-2} + \beta)^{2^n-2} + 1)^{2^n-2}$ be a permutation of Carlitz rank three. Define the following polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z]$.*

$$\begin{aligned} h_{12}(Z) &= Z^4 + Z^3 + Z^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4}, \\ h_{13}(Z) &= Z^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}Z^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4}, \\ g_1(Z) &= Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^3 + Z + 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(Z^4 + Z^2), \\ g_2(Z) &= Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^4 + Z^3 + \beta Z^2 + \beta Z + 1, \\ \phi(Z) &= Z^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{BU}_G = 6$ if and only if none of the above five polynomials has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Remark 7.1. *The proof of the above theorem will be given through a series of steps by eliminating possible cases of $(a, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ where $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ happen. In fact, if one of the above polynomials has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , then the root is in the list of a or c with the property $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$. That is why we stick to the expression of $\phi(Z)$ even if we may replace it by simpler form $Z^2 + Z + \frac{1}{\beta}$ or even by the condition on $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\beta})$.*

Remark 7.2. *As in the case of differential uniformity, our method determines, in polynomial time, whether a given G has boomerang uniformity 6. That is, letting $g(Z)$ be the product of all the above five polynomials, $\text{gcd}(g(Z), Z^{2^n} - Z)$ is computed using repeated squaring $Z^{2^i} \pmod{g}$ in $O(n^3)$ bit operations.*

Remark 7.3. *To the authors' knowledge, on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with even n , nobody so far found a permutation which is a modification of the inverse function having the boomerang uniformity 4. Therefore our result on boomerang uniformity 6 is the lowest in this class of permutations.*

To give a proof of Theorem 7.1, we will repeatedly use the following definition of boomerang uniformity, which is in fact obtained in [20] (Theorem 2.3) as an equivalent statement of the original boomerang uniformity. That is, $\text{BU}_G(a, c)$ is the number of $(a, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying the following BU equation,

$$G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c) \quad (36)$$

An alternative formula for $\text{BU}_G(a, c)$ is also obtained in [3] (Prop. 3), and the boomerang formulaes in [3] and [20] imply that any solution $\{x, y\} = \{u, v\}$ of the above BU equation falls in one of the two cases depending on whether $u + v \neq c$ or $u + v = c$.

1. If $u + v \neq c$, then $\{u, v\}$ generates 2 solutions $\{u, v\}, \{u + c, v + c\}$ of unordered pairs for the BU equation. That is, $(x, y) = (u, v), (v, u), (u + c, v + c), (v + c, u + c)$ are 4 solutions of the BU equation.
2. If $u + v = c$, then $\{u, v\}$ in fact is a solution of the DU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a, x + y = c$ which gives only 2 solutions of ordered pair $(u, v), (v, u)$ for the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$, because the last equation $a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$ becomes redundant when $(x, y) = (u, v)$. However when $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$ and if $\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}$ are two (unordered pairs of) solutions of the DU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a, x + y = c$, then we can also say that $\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}$ are two solutions of $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$ arising from $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$.

Therefore, when $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$, we may say $\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}$ are two solutions of the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$, and we may assume $\{u', v'\} = \{u + c, v + c\}$ in the first case where $u + v \neq c$.

Also, we can classify the two solutions $\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}$ depending on whether they are of **Class \mathcal{A}** , **Class \mathcal{B}** or **Class \mathcal{C}** . In other words, when we say the BU equation $G(u) + G(v) = a = G(u + c) + G(v + c)$ of type $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$, it means that $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\{u + c, v + c\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}$ means only one of u, v are in \mathcal{P} , when we say the BU equation is of type $\{\mathcal{B}(i), \mathcal{C}\}$, then it means that $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $u = p_i$ and $\{u + c, v + c\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Similarly, when we say the BU equation $G(u) + G(v) = a = G(u + c) + G(v + c)$ of type $\{\mathcal{A}(i, j), \mathcal{C}\}$, it means that $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\{u, v\} = \{p_i, p_j\}$ and $\{u + c, v + c\} \in \mathcal{C}$.

Similarly as in the analysis of the differential uniformity, we will classify possible solutions $\{u, v\}$ of the BU equation in (36) depending on whether $\{u, v\}$ is of **Class \mathcal{A}** , **Class \mathcal{B}** or **Class \mathcal{C}** . When $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ at some point (a, c) , then there are 4 different pairs of solutions $\{u_i, v_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and we will give a detailed analysis for each possible case. Fortunately it will turn out that there are only three possible cases

$$\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}, \quad \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\},$$

whose meaning will be explained soon.

7.1 $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$

Lemma 7.2. Suppose $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$ and suppose that one has the following relations satisfied by 4 different pairs of $\{u_1, v_1\}, \{u_2, v_2\}, \{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u_1) + G(v_1) &= a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), & u_1 + v_1 &= u_2 + v_2 \\ G(x_1) + G(y_1) &= a = G(x_2) + G(y_2), & x_1 + y_1 &= x_2 + y_2 \end{aligned}$$

such that $\{u_1, v_1\}$ is of **Class** \mathcal{A} . Then $a \in \{1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ and one has the followings.

- (a) $\{u_2, v_2\}$ is of **Class** \mathcal{C} . That is, $u_2, v_2 \notin \mathcal{P}$.
- (b) Among two pairs $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}$, one is of **Class** \mathcal{B} (say $\{x_1, y_1\}$) such that $\{u_1, v_1, x_1, y_1\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\}$ and the other is of **Class** \mathcal{C} .

Proof. $a \in \{1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ is obvious since $a = G(p_i) + G(p_j)$ with $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, 0\}$.

(a). This comes from Lemma 6.2-(a), (c).

(b). This comes from Lemma 6.2-(a), (b), where $\{x_1, y_1\} \in \mathcal{B}$ is uniquely determined as $0 = G(u_1) + G(v_1) + G(x_1) + G(y_1) = G(p_1) + G(p_2) + G(p_3) + G(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})$. \square

From Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 7.2, we conclude that, assuming $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$, if one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ such that the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$ has a solution $\{p_i, p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}$, then we have a combination two BU equations of type $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$. That is, one has

$$\begin{aligned} G(p_i) + G(p_j) &= a = G(u) + G(v), & p_i + p_j &= u + v & \text{with } \{p_i, p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}, \{u, v\} \in \mathcal{C} \\ G(p_k) + G(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) &= a = G(u') + G(v'), & p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} &= u' + v' & \text{with } \{p_k, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \in \mathcal{B}, \{u', v'\} \in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

where $\{p_i, p_j, p_k\} = \mathcal{P}$ such that one of the following holds;

- (i) $\{u + c, v + c\} = \{p_i, p_j\}$ and $\{u' + c, v' + c\} = \{p_k, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\}$: In this case, one has

$$c \in \{p_i + u, p_i + v\} \cap \{p_k + u', p_k + v'\}$$

because $\{p_i + u, p_i + v\} = \{c, p_i + p_j + c\}$ and $\{p_k + u', p_k + v'\} = \{c, p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} + c\}$.

- (ii) $\{u + c, v + c\} = \{p_i, p_j\}$ with $c = p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$: In this case, one has

$$p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \in \{p_i + u, p_i + v\}$$

because $\{p_i + u, p_i + v\} = \{c, p_i + p_j + c\}$ with $c = p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$.

- (iii) $\{u' + c, v' + c\} = \{p_k, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\}$ with $c = p_i + p_j$: In this case, one has

$$p_i + p_j \in \{p_k + u', p_k + v'\}$$

because $\{p_k + u', p_k + v'\} = \{c, p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} + c\}$ with $c = p_i + p_j$.

To summarize, $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ for the case the case (i) comes from $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$ with $b = u + v$ and $\text{DU}_G(a, b') \geq 4$ with $b' = u' + v'$, and the case (ii) comes from $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$ with $b = u + v$ and $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$ with $c = u' + v'$, and the case (iii) comes from $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$ with $c = u + v$ and $\text{DU}_G(a, b') \geq 4$ with $b' = u' + v'$. Also note that one always have $u + v \neq u' + v'$ because $p_i + p_j \neq p_k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$ when $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$.

Now will discuss three possible combinations of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{A}(1, 2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : (a, b, b') &= (1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) \\ \{\mathcal{A}(1, 2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(\frac{1}{\beta}) &= 1 = G(u) + G(v), \quad u + v = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) &= 1 = G(u') + G(v'), \quad u' + v' = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

where one of the following three cases holds;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\} \text{ and } \{u' + c, v' + c\} = \{0, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \\ \Rightarrow c &\in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v\} \cap \{u', v'\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(h_1^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}, h_3^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}) = 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\} \text{ with } c = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \Rightarrow \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v\} \Rightarrow h_1^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) = 0 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \{u' + c, v' + c\} &= \{0, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \in \{u', v'\} \Rightarrow h_3^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where h_1 and h_3 defined in Section 5 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + u)(X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + v) = X^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^3\beta}, \\ h_3^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}(X) = (X + u')(X + v') = X^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^4}, \end{aligned}$$

and Res means the resultant of two polynomials. When the given two polynomials $f(X) = f_0X^2 + f_1X + f_2$ and $g(X) = g_0X^2 + g_1X + g_2$ are quadratic, the resultant $\text{Res}(f, g)$ is defined as

$$\text{Res}(f, g) = \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & 0 & g_0 & 0 \\ f_1 & f_0 & g_1 & g_0 \\ f_2 & f_1 & g_2 & g_1 \\ 0 & f_2 & 0 & g_2 \end{vmatrix} \quad (37)$$

the determinant of the 4 by 4 matrix. Direct computations using the above two quadratic polynomials show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(h_1^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}, h_3^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^8\beta}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_1^{(1, \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})}(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^4\beta}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_3^{(1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2})}(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^4\beta^2}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with the combination of $\{\mathcal{A}(1, 2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$, then one has $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{A}(1,3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : (a, b, b') &= (\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta}) \\ \{\mathcal{A}(1,3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(0) &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = G(u) + G(v), \quad u + v = \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = G(u') + G(v'), \quad u' + v' = \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where one of the following three cases holds;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, 0\} \text{ and } \{u' + c, v' + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \\ \Rightarrow c &\in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v\} \cap \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u', \frac{1}{\beta} + v'\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(h_1^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}, h_2^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}) = 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, 0\} \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta} \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v\} \Rightarrow h_1^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}(\frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta}) = 0 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \{u' + c, v' + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{\alpha} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u', \frac{1}{\beta} + v'\} \Rightarrow h_2^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + u)(X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + v) = X^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta}, \\ h_2^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + \frac{1}{\beta} + u')(X + \frac{1}{\beta} + v') = X^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Direct computations using the above two polynomials show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(h_1^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}, h_2^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^6\beta^4}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_1^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})}(\frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^4\beta^2}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_2^{(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta})}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^3\beta^2}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with the combination of $\{\mathcal{A}(1,3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$, then one has $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{A}(2,3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : (a, b, b') &= (\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2}) \\ \{\mathcal{A}(2,3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(0) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} = G(u) + G(v), \quad u + v = \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} = G(u') + G(v'), \quad u' + v' = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

where one of the following three cases holds;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\beta}, 0\} \text{ and } \{u' + c, v' + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \\ \Rightarrow c &\in \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u, \frac{1}{\beta} + v\} \cap \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u', \frac{1}{\alpha} + v'\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(h_2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}, h_1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}) = 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \{u + c, v + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\beta}, 0\} \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \in \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u, \frac{1}{\beta} + v\} \Rightarrow h_2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}) = 0 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \{u' + c, v' + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\} \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{\beta} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta} \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u', \frac{1}{\alpha} + v'\} \Rightarrow h_1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + \frac{1}{\beta} + u)(X + \frac{1}{\beta} + v) = X^2 + \frac{1}{\beta}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}, \\ h_1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + u')(X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + v') = X^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}X + \frac{1}{\alpha^3} \end{aligned}$$

Direct computations using the above two polynomials show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(h_2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}, h_1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^5 \beta^2}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})}(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^4 \beta}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1), \\ h_1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^2})}(\frac{1}{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta^2}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with the combination of $\{\mathcal{A}(2, 3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}$, then one has $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.

From the above observations regarding $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$, one obtains the following result.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_4$ and let $G(x) = ((x^{2^n-2} + \beta)^{2^n-2} + 1)^{2^n-2} = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ be a permutation with Carlitz rank three. Suppose that $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ for some $(a, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x+c) + G(y+c)$ has a solution $\{x, y\} = \{p_i, p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then one has $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.*

Remark 7.4. *Since the case $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ is uninteresting in the sense that $\text{DU}_G = 8$ which we already showed in Section 6 and since the above proposition settled the case where the $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with one of the solutions of the BU equation in **Class** \mathcal{A} , from now on, we always assume that $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 \neq 0$ and no **Class** \mathcal{A} solution exist when we discuss the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x+c) + G(y+c)$.*

7.2 $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$

Since the BU equation with a solution $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ is settled in previous section, and since $\{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}\}$ is not possible by Proposition 4.11 or by Corollary 5.1, the only remaining types are $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$. We will first discuss the type $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$. Similarly as in the previous section, we divide the cases into Case (i) and Case (ii), (iii). By the symmetry of the type $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$, the case (ii), (iii) can be discussed together.

7.2.1 $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$: Case (i)

In this subsection, we will show that, if $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ happens, then either $h_{12}(Z)$ or $h_{13}(Z)$ introduced in Theorem 7.1 has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : \\ &\quad \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \\ &\quad \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad \{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $c \in \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \cap \{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\}$. Therefore c is a common root of two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(a,b_1)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_1)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})(X + v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = X^2 + b_1X + \frac{b_1}{\alpha^2 a} \\ h_2^{(a,b_2)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})(X + v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = X^2 + b_2X + \frac{b_2}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{b_2}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_1 \neq b_2$ and two quadratic polynomials $h_1^{(a,b_1)}(X), h_2^{(a,b_2)}(X)$ have a unique common root c , the resultant of two polynomials must be zero. Therefore, after a routine computation with $b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha+\beta)}$ and $b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha+1)}$ (See the expression of b_1, b_2, b_3 in the equations (26),(27) and (28)), one has

$$0 = \text{Res}(h_1^{(a,b_1)}, h_2^{(a,b_2)}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha\beta a(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+1)} \right\}^2 \left(a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4} \right)$$

Since $a(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+1) \neq 0$ because $b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \notin \mathcal{P}$, one must have

$$0 = a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4}$$

Therefore by defining

$$h_{12}(Z) = Z^4 + Z^3 + Z^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z], \quad (38)$$

one concludes that, if there are a, c with $\text{BU}(a, c) \geq 8$ which come from the combination $\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$, then one has $h_{12}(a) = 0$. Conversely, the existence of a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $h_{12}(z) = 0$ guarantees the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ having $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$. Note that one can explicitly construct such point (a, c) from a root of $h_{12}(Z) = 0$. Namely, if $h_{12}(z) = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, then the common solution c satisfying $h_1^{(a,b_1)}(c) = 0 = h_2^{(a,b_2)}(c)$ can be expressed as $c = \frac{\alpha z + \beta^2}{\alpha^2 z(\alpha^2 z^2 + \alpha^2 z + \beta^2)}$ so that one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with $(a, c) = (z, \frac{\alpha z + \beta^2}{\alpha^2 z(\alpha^2 z^2 + \alpha^2 z + \beta^2)})$.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} :$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(b_3) &= a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\} \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $c \in \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \cap \{u_3, v_3\}$. Therefore c is a common root of two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(a,b_1)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_1)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})(X + v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = X^2 + b_1X + \frac{b_1}{\alpha^2 a} \\ h_3^{(a,b_3)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X) = (X + u_3)(X + v_3) = X^2 + b_3X + \frac{b_3}{\alpha} + \frac{b_3}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since the two quadratic polynomials $h_1^{(a,b_1)}(X), h_3^{(a,b_3)}(X)$ have a unique common root c , the resultant of two polynomials must be zero. Therefore, after a routine computation with $b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha+\beta)}$ and $b_3 = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}$, one has

$$0 = \text{Res}(h_1^{(a,b_1)}, h_3^{(a,b_3)}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a^2(\alpha+\beta)} \right\}^2 \left(a^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} a^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4} \right)$$

which implies

$$0 = a^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}a^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4}$$

By defining

$$h_{13}(Z) = Z^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}Z^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z], \quad (39)$$

one concludes that, if there are a, c with $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ coming from the combination $\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$, then one has $h_{13}(a) = 0$. Conversely, the existence of a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $h_{13}(z) = 0$ guarantees the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ having $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$. Note that one can explicitly construct such point (a, c) from a root of $h_{12}(Z) = 0$. Namely, if $h_{13}(z) = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, then the common solution c satisfying $h_1^{(a, b_1)}(c) = 0 = h_3^{(a, b_3)}(c)$ can be expressed as $c = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2 z(\alpha^2 z^2 + \alpha\beta z + \beta)}$ so that one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with $(a, c) = (z, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2 z(\alpha^2 z^2 + \alpha\beta z + \beta)})$.

$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} :$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) &= a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad \{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(b_3) &= a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\} \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $c \in \{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \cap \{u_3, v_3\}$. Therefore c is a common root of two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} h_2^{(a, b_2)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})(X + v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = X^2 + b_2X + \frac{b_2}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{b_2}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta^2} \\ h_3^{(a, b_3)}(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(X) = (X + u_3)(X + v_3) = X^2 + b_3X + \frac{b_3}{\alpha} + \frac{b_3}{\alpha^2 a} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since the two quadratic polynomials $h_2^{(a, b_2)}(X), h_3^{(a, b_3)}(X)$ have a unique common root c , the resultant of two polynomials must be zero. Therefore, after a routine computation with $b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a+1)}$ and $b_3 = \frac{\alpha a+1}{\alpha^2 a}$, one has

$$0 = \text{Res}(h_2^{(a, b_2)}, h_3^{(a, b_3)}) = \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2(\alpha a + 1)} \right\}^2 \left(a^4 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^5} \right)$$

which implies

$$0 = a^4 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^5}$$

By defining

$$h_{23}(Z) = Z^4 + \frac{1}{\alpha}Z^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}Z^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^5} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z], \quad (40)$$

one concludes that the existence of a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $h_{23}(z) = 0$ guarantees the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ having $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$. However, it should be mentioned that $h_{23}(Z)$ is birationally isomorphic to $h_{12}(Z)$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} in the sense that

$$h_{23}(Z) = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta^7}(\alpha Z + \beta)^4 h_{12}\left(\frac{\beta^2 Z}{\alpha Z + \beta}\right)$$

such that the existence of a root of $h_{12}(Z)$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is equivalent to the existence of a root of $h_{23}(Z)$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Therefore the polynomial $h_{23}(Z)$ is redundant in our analysis of the boomerang uniformity and will not be used later.

Remark 7.5. More precisely, the two polynomials $h_{12}(Z)$ and $h_{23}(Z)$ are isomorphic via linear transformation between homogeneous versions. That is, letting

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}_{12}(Z, W) &= Z^4 + Z^3W + Z^2W^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4}W^4, \\ \tilde{h}_{23}(Z, W) &= Z^4 + \frac{1}{\alpha}Z^3W + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}Z^2W^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^5}W^4,\end{aligned}$$

it holds that

$$\tilde{h}_{23}(Z, W) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\tilde{h}_{12}\left(Z, \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}Z + \frac{1}{\beta}W\right)$$

7.2.2 $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}'$: Case (ii),(iii)

In this subsection, we will show that, if $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ happens, then either $g_1(Z)$ or $g_2(Z)$ introduced in Theorem 7.1 has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

We consider the situation $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ where this happens as a combination of $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}'$: Case (ii),(iii), where the prime symbol is used at $\{\mathcal{B}(j), \mathcal{C}\}'$ to emphasize that $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$ happens at the second BU equation. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{B}(i), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(j), \mathcal{C}\}' : \\ \{\mathcal{B}(i), \mathcal{C}\} : G(p_i) + G(b_i + p_i) = a = G(u_i) + G(v_i), \quad \{u_i + c, v_i + c\} = \{p_i, b_i + p_i\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(j), \mathcal{C}\}' : G(p_j) + G(p_j + c) = a = G(u_j) + G(v_j), \quad u_j + v_j = c\end{aligned}$$

where the two equations $\{p_i, b_i + p_i\}$ and $\{p_j, b_j + p_j\}$ are of **Class \mathcal{B}** (i.e., $b_i + p_i, c + p_j \notin \mathcal{P}$), and $\{u_i, v_i\}$ and $\{u_j, v_j\}$ are of **Class \mathcal{C}** (i.e., $u_i, v_i, u_j, v_j \notin \mathcal{P}$).

Note that such c satisfying the above system of simultaneous BU equations, if it exists, is uniquely determined as $c = b_j$ satisfying $a = G(p_j) + G(p_j + b_j)$, and the solutions of the second BU equation account for $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$. Since $i \neq j$, there are exactly 6 types of such combination $\{\mathcal{B}(i), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(j), \mathcal{C}\}'$ for $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2)$.

For each of the six types of combinations, we will repeatedly use the following bivariate equation

$$H_a(X, Y) = X^2 + XY + \frac{Y}{\alpha^2 a}$$

which was introduced in the equation (21), and we will derive that X and Y can be parametrized in terms of either a or c such that $H_a(X, Y)$ becomes a polynomial of one variable and the existence of a, c with $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ is equivalent of solvability of the polynomial in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . In this way, we will use the equation $H_a(X, Y) = 0$ repeatedly for each of six types of $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}'$ so that we will derive the following corresponding equations as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}\textcircled{1} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2) = 0 \\ \textcircled{2} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, b_3) = 0 \\ \textcircled{3} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2) = 0 \\ \textcircled{4} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_3, b_1) = 0 \\ \textcircled{5} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_2, b_1) = 0 \\ \textcircled{6} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' &\Rightarrow H_a(b_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, b_3) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Now we will discuss the above six types of $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}'$ and will conclude that one only needs to consider solvability of the polynomials $g_1(Z)$ and $g_2(Z)$, and other polynomials arising in this subsection are all isomorphic to one of $g_1(Z), g_2(Z)$ or $h_{12}(Z)$.

① $\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' :$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) &= a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad \{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(c + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad u_1 + v_1 = c \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $b_1 = c \in \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u_2, \frac{1}{\beta} + v_2\}$ because $\{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} = \{c, b_2 + c\}$. Since $\frac{1}{\beta} + u_2, \frac{1}{\beta} + v_2$ are two roots of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta})$ with $b_1 \in \{\frac{1}{\beta} + u_2, \frac{1}{\beta} + v_2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = h_1^{(a, b_2)}(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= H_a(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2) = H_a(\frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a + \beta)}, \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)}) = \frac{1}{\beta a(\alpha a + \beta)^2(\alpha a + 1)} \left(a^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with $b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} = \frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a + \beta)}$ and $b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)}$. Therefore, the existence of a satisfying the above cubic polynomial determines c via the relation $c = b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}$ such that $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 6$ is satisfied. To guarantee $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$, one must have $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $u_1, v_1 \notin \mathcal{P}$ satisfying

$$G(u_1) + G(v_1) = a, \quad u_1 + v_1 = c$$

Since $0 = a^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}$ from the equation (41) and since $c = b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}$, one finds $c \neq \frac{a}{\alpha a + 1}, \frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)}$. Therefore the existence of such u_1, v_1 in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is equivalent of having $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ac\alpha^2}) = 0$ by Corollary 5.1. One can re-express the cubic polynomial in (41) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} = 1 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^3 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{ac\alpha^2} + 1 \right)^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{ac\alpha^2} + 1 \right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 6.5-(a). Since $\frac{1}{ac\alpha^2} = z^2 + z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ac\alpha^2}) = 0$, by defining

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(Z) &= (Z^2 + Z + 1)^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(Z^2 + Z + 1)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z] \\ &= Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^3 + Z + 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(Z^4 + Z^2), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

the existence of a, c with $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ for the case $\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}'$ guarantees the existence of a root of $g_1(Z) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Conversely, if there is $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $g_1(z) = 0$, then by defining

$$a = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2 + z + 1}, \quad c = b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)},$$

one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' :$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(b_3) &= a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}' : G(\tfrac{1}{\alpha}) + G(c + \tfrac{1}{\alpha}) &= a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad u_1 + v_1 = c \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $b_1 = c \in \{u_3, v_3\}$ because $\{u_3, v_3\} = \{c, b_3 + c\}$. Therefore $c = b_1$ is a root of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(X) = (X + u_3)(X + v_3)$, and one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(b_1) = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(b_1 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{\alpha}) = h_1^{(a, b_3)}(b_1 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha}) \\ &= H_a(b_1 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha}, b_3) = H_a(\tfrac{a+1}{\alpha a + \beta}, \tfrac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}) = \frac{1}{\alpha a^2 (\alpha a + \beta)^2} \left(a^3 + a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The cubic polynomial in the above equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^3 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right)^2 + \alpha \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha a} \right) + \beta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{a c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^2 + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{a c \alpha^2} + 1 \right) + \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where the last equality again comes from Lemma 6.5-(a). In a similar way, by defining

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(Z) &= (Z^2 + Z + 1)^3 + (Z^2 + Z + 1)^2 + \alpha(Z^2 + Z + 1) + \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[Z] \\ &= Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^4 + Z^3 + \beta Z^2 + \beta Z + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

the existence of a, c with $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ for the case $\{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}'$ guarantees the existence of a root of $g_2(Z) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Conversely, the existence of a solution $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $g_2(z) = 0$ guarantees the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$, and such point (a, c) can be constructed explicitly using z .

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' :$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\tfrac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \tfrac{1}{\beta}) &= a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad \{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\tfrac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \tfrac{1}{\beta}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' : G(0) + G(c) &= a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad u_3 + v_3 = c \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $b_3 = c \in \{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\}$ because $\{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} = \{c, b_2 + c\}$. Therefore $c = b_3$ is a root of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})(X + v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})$, and one has

$$0 = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(b_3 + \tfrac{1}{\beta}) = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(b_3 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha\beta} + \tfrac{1}{\alpha}) = h_1^{(a, b_2)}(b_3 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha\beta}) = H_a(b_3 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2)$$

Now we want to express the input values $b_3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}$ and b_2 of the bivariate polynomial H_a using the parameter c to derive the same polynomial that we considered before. Using $c = b_3 = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}$, one has $b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)} = \frac{\alpha^2 c + \beta}{\alpha^2 \beta c}$. Therefore

$$0 = H_a(b_3 + \tfrac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2) = H_a(c + \tfrac{1}{\alpha\beta}, \tfrac{\alpha^2 c + \beta}{\alpha^2 \beta c}) = \frac{1}{c} \left(c^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} c + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta} \right) \quad (47)$$

In a similar way to previous cases, one may use Lemma 6.5-(b) to rewrite the above cubic polynomial as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} c + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta} = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^3 = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^3 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last expression is exactly same to the expression in the equation (42). Therefore we have exactly the same polynomial

$$g_1(Z) = Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^3 + Z + 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(Z^4 + Z^2)$$

as in the case $\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}'$ so that the existence of a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $g_1(z) = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ with the type $\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}'$. Note that such a and c are explicitly given as

$$c = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2 + z + 1}, \quad a = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha c + 1)} (= G(0) + G(c))$$

④ $\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' :$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}' : G(0) + G(c) &= a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad u_3 + v_3 = c \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $b_3 = c \in \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ because $\{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} = \{c, b_1 + c\}$. Since $\frac{1}{\alpha} + u_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v_1$ are two roots of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_1)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = h_1^{(a, b_1)}(X)$ with $c = b_3 \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v_1\}$, one gets

$$0 = h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_3) = H_a(b_3, b_1) = H_a(c, \frac{\alpha c + 1}{\alpha^2(\beta c + 1)}) = \frac{\beta}{\beta c + 1} \left(c^3 + \frac{1}{\beta} c^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta} c + \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta} \right) \quad (48)$$

and, using Lemma 6.5-(b), the above cubic polynomial is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c^3 + \frac{1}{\beta} c^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta} c + \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^3 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right)^2 + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c} \right) + \beta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c \alpha^2} + 1 \right)^2 + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\alpha c \alpha^2} + 1 \right) + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last expression is exactly same to the expression in the equation (45). Therefore we have the exactly same polynomial

$$g_2(Z) = Z^6 + Z^5 + Z^4 + Z^3 + \beta Z^2 + \beta Z + 1$$

as in the combination $\{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}'$, and the existence of a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $g_2(z) = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ of the type $\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}'$.

⑤ $\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' :$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \\ \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' : G\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) + G(c + \frac{1}{\beta}) &= a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad u_2 + v_2 = c \end{aligned}$$

In this case, one has $b_2 = c \in \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ because $\{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} = \{c, b_1 + c\}$. Since $\frac{1}{\alpha} + u_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v_1$ are two roots of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_1)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = h_1^{(a, b_1)}(X)$ with $b_2 \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha} + u_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + v_1\}$,

$$0 = h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_2) = H_a(b_2, b_1) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2 a(\alpha a + \beta)(\alpha a + 1)^2} \left(a^4 + a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4} \right) \quad (49)$$

with $b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}$ and $b_2 = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)}$. The above quartic polynomial (of a) has a close connection with $h_{12}(Z)$ (See the equation (38)) that we defined in Section 7.2.1. In fact, by defining

$$f_1(Z) = Z^4 + Z^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}Z + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4},$$

it is straightforward to verify

$$f_1\left(\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}Z + 1\right) = \frac{\beta^4}{\alpha^4}Z^4 h_{12}\left(\frac{1}{Z}\right),$$

which implies that there is one to one correspondence between the roots of f_1 and the roots of h_{12} , and f_1 can be discarded in our analysis. Note that the above isomorphism can also be given using homogeneous polynomials in view of Remark 7.5.

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' :$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(b_3) = a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\}$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}' : G\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) + G\left(c + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) = a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad u_2 + v_2 = c$$

In this case, one has $b_2 = c \in \{u_3, v_3\}$ because $\{u_3, v_3\} = \{c, b_3 + c\}$. Since u_3, v_3 are two roots of $\mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(X) = (X + u_3)(X + v_3)$ with $b_2 = c \in \{u_3, v_3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}(b_2) = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_3)}\left(b_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \\ &= H_a\left(b_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, b_3\right) = H_a\left(\frac{\alpha^2 a + 1}{\alpha\beta(\alpha a + 1)}, \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2 a^2 (\alpha a + 1)^2} \left(a^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^5}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We claim that the above quartic polynomial (of a) is also isomorphic to the polynomial $h_{12}(Z)$. That is, by defining

$$f_2(Z) = Z^4 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}Z^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3}Z + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^5},$$

it is straightforward to verify

$$f_2\left(Z + \frac{1}{\alpha^3}\right) = \frac{\alpha^7}{\beta^6}Z^4 h_{12}\left(\frac{\beta^3}{\alpha^4 Z}\right)$$

and f_2 can also be eliminated in our analysis.

7.3 $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$

In Section 6, we showed that $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$ can happen when both $(p_i, b + p_i), (p_j, b + p_j)$ are of **Class** \mathcal{B} (i.e., $b + p_i, b + p_j \notin \mathcal{P}$) such that

$$G(p_i) + G(b + p_i) = a = G(p_j) + G(b + p_j), \quad (51)$$

where $\{p_i, b + p_i\}, \{p_j, b + p_j\} \in \mathcal{B}$ and the above BU equation is called of type $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\}$. For given $a \notin \{1, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$, the DU equation $G(p_i) + G(b + p_i) = a$ has a unique solution $b = b_i$ with $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}, \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)}, \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}\}$, and the conditions on a for which the above simultaneous equation (51) has a solution are stated in the equations (29), (30) and (31).

In this section, we will show that the only possible case having $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ of the type $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ is the case where the polynomial $\phi(Z) = Z^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$ (defined in Theorem 7.1) has a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . When we consider $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ where $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ happens, we only need to consider three different cases of $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 2\}, \{2, 3, 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{B}(i), \mathcal{B}(j)\} : G(p_i) + G(b + p_i) &= a = G(p_j) + G(b + p_j), \quad b = b_i = b_j \\ \{\mathcal{B}(k), \mathcal{C}\} : G(p_k) + G(b_k + p_k) &= a = G(u) + G(v), \quad b_k = u + v \end{aligned}$$

and, for each $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 2\}, \{2, 3, 1\}$, one of the following holds;

- (i) $\{p_j + c, b + p_j + c\} = \{p_i, b + p_i\}$ and $\{u + c, v + c\} = \{p_k, b_k + p_k\}$: In this case, one has

$$c \in \{p_i + p_j, p_i + p_j + b\} \cap \{p_k + u, p_k + v\}$$

because $\{p_i + p_j, p_i + p_j + b\} = \{c, b + c\}$ and $\{p_k + u, p_k + v\} = \{c, b_k + c\}$.

- (ii) $\{p_j + c, b + p_j + c\} = \{p_i, b + p_i\}$ with $c = b_k = u + v$: In this case, one has

$$b_k \in \{p_i + p_j, p_i + p_j + b\}$$

because $\{p_i + p_j, p_i + p_j + b\} = \{c, b + c\}$ with $c = b_k$.

- (iii) $\{u + c, v + c\} = \{p_k, b_k + p_k\}$ with $c = b = b_i = b_j$: In this case, one has

$$b \in \{p_k + u, p_k + v\}$$

because $\{p_k + u, p_k + v\} = \{c, b_k + c\}$ with $c = b$.

Now letting

$$r_{ij}(X) = (X + p_i + p_j)(X + p_i + p_j + b) \quad \text{with } b = b_i = b_j,$$

we will discuss three possible combinations of $\{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$. Our subsequent arguments have certain similarity with the case of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\} + \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ but involve much delicate computations so that we will give rather detailed explanations if necessary.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$:

$$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(3)\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = a = G(0) + G(b_1), \quad b = b_1 = b_3$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = a = G(u_2) + G(v_2), \quad b_2 = u_2 + v_2$$

Letting $r_{13}(X) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha})(X + b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})$ and $h_2^{(a, b_2)}(X) = \mathcal{H}^{(a, b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta}) = (X + \frac{1}{\beta} + u_2)(X + \frac{1}{\beta} + v_2)$, one of the following three cases holds;

- (i) $\{c, b_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ and $\{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\}$

$$\Rightarrow c \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \cap \{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(r_{13}, h_2^{(a, b_2)}) = 0$$

- (ii) $\{c, b_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ with $c = b_2 \Rightarrow b_2 \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \Rightarrow r_{13}(b_2) = 0$

- (iii) $\{u_2 + c, v_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\}$ with $c = b = b_1 = b_3 \Rightarrow b \in \{u_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}, v_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \Rightarrow h_2^{(a, b_2)}(b) = 0$

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (i) :

Since the resultant is invariant under the translation of X , one needs to check $0 = \text{Res}(r_{13}(X), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\beta})) = \text{Res}(r_{13}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{13}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}) &= X^2 + b_1X + \frac{1}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\beta} + b_1) = X^2 + \frac{a}{\beta}X + \frac{a+1}{\beta^2} \\ \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= X^2 + b_2X + \frac{b_2}{\alpha^2a} = X^2 + (a + \frac{\beta^2+\alpha}{\alpha\beta})X + \frac{\beta^2+\alpha}{\alpha\beta^2}a + \frac{1}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the linear expression of $b_1 = \frac{a}{\beta}$ and $b_2 = a + \frac{\beta^2+\alpha}{\alpha\beta}$ come from $b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)} = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} = b_3$ (i.e., $\alpha^2 a^2 + \alpha\beta a + \beta = 0$). Via explicit computations, one has

$$\text{Res}(r_{13}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha})) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2\beta^3}(\alpha^2 a^2 + \alpha\beta a + \beta) = 0$$

Conversely, if the following polynomial

$$\phi(Z) = Z^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \quad (52)$$

has a root $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\phi(z) = 0$, then letting $(a, c) = (z, \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\alpha\beta})$ where $c = b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}$ is the common root of $r_{13}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2^{(a,b_2)}(X)$, one gets $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$. So, in this case, the necessary and sufficient condition for $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ is the existence of solution of $\phi(Z) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , and a solution exists if and only $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$.

Remark 7.6. Note that we are always assuming $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 \neq 0$ throughout sections after Remark 7.4 in Section 7.1. However when $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$, then from $\beta^2 + \beta = \frac{1}{\beta}$, one has $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \text{Tr}(\beta^2 + \beta) = 0$. Therefore $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ implies $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$, and eliminating the case $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ also eliminates the case $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (ii) :

Using the (linear) expression of $b_1 = \frac{1}{\beta}a$, $b_2 = a + \frac{\beta^2+\alpha}{\alpha\beta}$, $r_{13}(b_2) = (b_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha})(b_2 + b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = 0$ implies $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ which cannot happen.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (iii) :

One has $0 = \mathcal{H}_2^{(a,b_2)}(b) = \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(b + \frac{1}{\beta}) = \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_2)}(b + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = H_a(b + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_2)$ with $b = b_1 = b_3$ which implies that the three simultaneous equations (41), (47) and (30) must be satisfied, and it is straightforward to show the common solution does not exist.

$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}$:

$$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{B}(3)\} : G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = a = G(0) + G(b_2), \quad b = b_2 = b_3$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = a = G(u_1) + G(v_1), \quad b_1 = u_1 + v_1$$

Letting $r_{23}(X) = (X + \frac{1}{\beta})(X + b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})$ and $h_1^{(a,b_1)}(X) = \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_1)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + u_1)(X + \frac{1}{\alpha} + v_1) = X^2 + b_1X + \frac{b_1}{\alpha^2a}$, one of the following three cases holds;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \{c, b_2 + c\} &= \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \text{ and } \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \\ \Rightarrow c &\in \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \cap \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(r_{23}, h_1^{(a,b_1)}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad \{c, b_2 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \text{ with } c = b_1 \Rightarrow b_1 \in \{\frac{1}{\beta}, b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}\} \Rightarrow r_{23}(b_1) = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad \{u_1 + c, v_1 + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \text{ with } c = b = b_2 = b_3 \Rightarrow b \in \{u_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \Rightarrow h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b) = 0$$

$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (i) :

Please note that the resultant has a multiplicative expression $\text{Res}(r_{23}, h_1^{(a, b_1)}) = h_1^{(a, b_1)}(\frac{1}{\beta})h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})$. We will show that $h_1^{(a, b_1)}(\frac{1}{\beta}) \neq 0 \neq h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta})$ (i.e., $\text{Res}(r_{23}, h_1^{(a, b_1)}) \neq 0$) under our basic assumption $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 \neq 0$. When $c = \frac{1}{\beta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(a, b_1)}(\frac{1}{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} + b_1(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a}) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)}(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta^2 a(\alpha a + \beta)} (\alpha^3 a(\alpha a + \beta) + \alpha^2 \beta a + \beta^2) = \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \beta^2 a(\alpha a + \beta)} (\alpha^4 a^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2 a + \beta^2) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2 a(\alpha a + \beta)} \left(a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By using the relation $a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} = 0$ (i.e., the condition $b_2 = b_3$ in the equation (31)), the last expression in the above equations can be written as

$$a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}a + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^4} = a^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha}a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}a = \frac{1}{\alpha}a(a + \beta)$$

Thus $h_1^{(a, b_1)}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ can happen only if $a = \beta$, however again from $b_2 = b_3$,

$$0 = a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} = \beta^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} (\alpha^3 \beta + \alpha^2 + 1) = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^3} (\alpha^3 + \beta) = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^3} (\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. In a similar manner, when $c = b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{a}{\alpha a + 1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) &= \frac{a^2}{(\alpha a + 1)^2} + b_1 \left(\frac{a^2}{(\alpha a + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a} \right) = \frac{a^2}{(\alpha a + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)} \left(\frac{a^2}{(\alpha a + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^3 a(\alpha a + \beta)(\alpha a + 1)^2} (\alpha^4 a^4 + \alpha^4 a^3 + 1) = \frac{\alpha}{a(\alpha a + \beta)(\alpha a + 1)^2} \left(a^4 + a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h_1^{(a, b_1)}(b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ can happen only when $a^4 + a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} = 0$, however using the same relation $a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} = 0$ again,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = a^4 + a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} &= a^4 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}a^2 + a^3 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} = \left(a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a \right)^2 + a \left(a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}a \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^6} + a \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}a \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^4} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}a^2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3}a + \frac{1}{\alpha^6} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \left(a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}a \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^6} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{\alpha^6} = \frac{1}{\alpha^6}(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (ii) :

Using $b_2 = b_3$, one finds the linear expression $b_2 = b_3 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}a + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}$ and $b_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^3}(a + 1)$. From this, one concludes $r_{23}(b_1) = (b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta})(b_1 + b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ implies $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ when $b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta} = 0$, which is not possible. Also, $b_1 + b_2 + \frac{1}{\beta} = 0$ implies $a = \alpha, b_1 = \beta^2 + \beta + 1, b_2 = b_3 = \beta^3$ and $\beta^5 = 1$. This last case gives $\text{DU}_G(a, b_1) = 2 < 4$ (i.e., there is no $u_1, v_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $a = G(u_1) + G(v_1)$, $b_1 = u_1 + v_1$)

because $b_1 = \frac{a}{\alpha a + 1}$ and one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ by Corollary 5.1. Therefore $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ is not possible in this case.

$\{\mathcal{B}(2), \mathcal{B}(3)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (iii) :

One has $0 = h_1^{(a,b_1)}(b) = \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_1)}(b + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = H_a(b, b_1)$ with $b = b_2 = b_3$ which implies that the three simultaneous equations (48), (49) and (31) must be satisfied, and it is easy to show the common solution does not exist.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(2)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$:

$$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(2)\} : G(\frac{1}{\alpha}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = a = G(\frac{1}{\beta}) + G(b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta}), \quad b = b_1 = b_2$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\} : G(0) + G(b_3) = a = G(u_3) + G(v_3), \quad b_3 = u_3 + v_3$$

Letting $r_{12}(X) = (X + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})(X + b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})$ and $h_3^{(a,b_3)}(X) = \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X) = (X + u_3)(X + v_3)$, one of the following three cases holds;

$$(i) \quad \{\frac{1}{\beta} + c, b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \text{ and } \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\}$$

$$\Rightarrow c \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}\} \cap \{u_3, v_3\} \Rightarrow \text{Res}(r_{12}, h_3^{(a,b_3)}) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \{\frac{1}{\beta} + c, b_1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + c\} = \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\} \text{ with } c = b_3 \Rightarrow b_3 \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}\} \Rightarrow r_{12}(b_3) = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad \{u_3 + c, v_3 + c\} = \{0, b_3\} \text{ with } c = b = b_1 = b_2 \Rightarrow b \in \{u_3, v_3\} \Rightarrow h_3^{(a,b_3)}(b) = 0$$

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(2)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (i) :

Since the resultant is invariant under the translation of X , one needs to check $0 = \text{Res}(r_{12}(X), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X) = \text{Res}(r_{12}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{12}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= X^2 + b_1X + \frac{1}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\beta} + b_1) = X^2 + \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2\beta}X + \frac{\alpha a + \beta^2}{\alpha^2\beta^2} \\ \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}) &= X^2 + b_3X + \frac{b_3}{\alpha^2a} = X^2 + \frac{\alpha a + \beta^2 + \alpha}{\alpha\beta^2}X + \frac{(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta^2)a + \alpha\beta^2 + 1}{\alpha^2\beta^4} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the linear expression of $b_1 = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2\beta}$ and $b_3 = \frac{\alpha a + \beta^2 + \alpha}{\alpha\beta^2}$ come from $b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha a + \beta)} = \frac{a+1}{\beta(\alpha a + 1)} = b_2$ (i.e., $\alpha^2 a^2 + \alpha^2 a + \beta^2 = 0$ from the equation (29)). Via explicit computations, one has

$$\text{Res}(r_{12}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha}), \mathcal{H}^{(a,b_3)}(X + \frac{1}{\alpha})) = \frac{1}{\alpha^6\beta^8}(\alpha a + \alpha^3 + \beta^2)^2(\alpha\beta a + \alpha\beta + 1)$$

The above resultant is zero if and only if $a \in \{\frac{\alpha^3 + \beta^2}{\alpha}, \frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{\alpha\beta}\}$, however in this case, one has $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ which is a contradiction. That is, when $a = \frac{\alpha^3 + \beta^2}{\alpha}$, one may proceed as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha^2 a^2 + \alpha^2 a + \beta^2 = (\alpha^3 + \beta^2)^2 + \alpha(\alpha^3 + \beta^2) + \beta^2 \\ &= \alpha^6 + \beta^4 + \alpha^4 + \alpha\beta^2 + \beta^2 = \alpha^6 + 1 + \beta^3 \\ &= \beta^6 + \beta^4 + \beta^3 + \beta^2 = \beta^2(\beta + 1)(\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

The case $a = \frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{\alpha\beta}$ can be dealt in a similar manner.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(2)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (ii) :

Again using the linear expression of $b_1 = b_2$ and b_3 , one finds $r_{12}(b_3) = 0$ implies $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 1 = 0$ when $b_3 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} = 0$, which is not possible. When $b_3 + b_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} = 0$, one finds $a = \alpha^4 = b_3, b_1 = b_2 = \beta^3 + \beta + 1$ and $\beta^5 = 1$. Similarly one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b_3) = 2 < 4$ (i.e., there is no $u_3, v_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $a = G(u_3) + G(v_3)$, $b_3 = u_3 + v_3$) because $b_3 = \frac{a}{\beta(\alpha a + \beta)}$ and one has $\#\mathcal{C}_G^{(a,b)} = 0$ by Corollary 5.1. Therefore $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$ is not possible in this case.

$\{\mathcal{B}(1), \mathcal{B}(2)\} + \{\mathcal{B}(3), \mathcal{C}\}$ Case (iii) :

One has $0 = h_3^{(a,b_3)}(b) = \hbar^{(a,b_3)}(b) = \hbar^{(a,b_3)}(b + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = H_a(b + \frac{1}{\alpha}, b_3)$ with $b = b_1 = b_2$ which implies that the three simultaneous equations (44), (50) and (29) must be satisfied, and it is easy to show the common solution does not exist.

Proof of Theorem 7.1 :

From our previous analysis of all possible types of combinations of differential uniformities producing $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 8$, we already showed that one has $\text{BU}_G \leq 6$ if and only if none of the above mentioned five polynomials h_{12}, h_{13}, g_1, g_2 and ϕ have a root in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Therefore it remains to show that there do exist $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 6$. We will start from the following BU equation,

$$G(0) + G(b) = a = G(u) + G(v), \quad b = u + v \quad \text{with } b = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} \quad (53)$$

The equation $a = G(0) + G(b)$ ($b \notin \mathcal{P}$) is always satisfied with the parametrization $b = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 a}$, which gives one to one correspondence between $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathcal{P}$. To satisfy the second equation $a = G(u) + G(v)$ for some $u, v \notin \mathcal{P}$ with $u + v = b$, one needs to have $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$. Since the equation $a = G(0) + G(b)$ implies $\frac{1}{\alpha b} + 1 = \frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}$ as is already mentioned in the Lemma 6.5-(b), one gets $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\alpha b} + 1) = 0$. Via one to one correspondence, $\frac{1}{\alpha b} + 1$ takes all values of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} except 3 values, and half of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} take zero trace value. Therefore one has $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{\alpha b} + 1) = 0$ for approximately 2^{n-1} values of a (or b). That is, one has $\text{DU}_G(a, b) \geq 4$ with $b = \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha^2 a}$ for approximately 2^{n-1} values of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Now letting $c = u$ or $c = v$, the BU equation $G(x) + G(y) = a = G(x + c) + G(y + c)$ has 2 solutions $\{x, y\} = \{0, b\}, \{u, v\}$ (i.e., 4 solutions of ordered pair (x, y)), which means $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 4$. We claim that there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, among those 2^{n-1} values producing u, v , such that $\text{DU}_G(a, c) \geq 2$ for some $c = u$ or v . More precisely we will show that there is a satisfying $\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{a\alpha^2}) = 0$. From the equation (16), one has

$$\begin{aligned} b &= a(u\alpha + 1)(v\alpha + 1) = a(uv\alpha^2 + b\alpha + 1) = au(b + u)\alpha^2 + ab\alpha + a \\ &= au^2\alpha^2 + uab\alpha^2 + ab\alpha + a = au^2\alpha^2 + u(\alpha a + 1) + \frac{\alpha a + 1}{\alpha} + a \\ &= au^2\alpha^2 + u(\alpha a + 1) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{\alpha b} + 1 = \frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}$ (i.e., $ab\alpha^2 = \alpha a + 1$) is used. Therefore, using the same equation again, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= au^2\alpha^2 + u(\alpha a + 1) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + b = au^2\alpha^2 + u(\alpha a + 1) + \frac{1}{a\alpha^2} \\ &= u \left(au\alpha^2 + (\alpha a + 1) + \frac{1}{au\alpha^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$0 = au\alpha^2 + (\alpha a + 1) + \frac{1}{au\alpha^2}$$

Using the symmetry between u and v , we get the same equation for v and a so that

$$au\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{au\alpha^2} = \alpha a + 1 = av\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{av\alpha^2} \quad (54)$$

(Note that the above equation can also be derived using the fact $au\alpha^2 \cdot av\alpha^2 = 1$ (i.e., $uv = \frac{1}{a^2\alpha^4}$) which can be derived directly from the equation (17) by comparing constant terms.) Now, for the moment, suppose that there is $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha a + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha a + 1}\right) = 0 \quad (55)$$

Then one has $\text{Tr}(ab\alpha^2) = 1$ and $\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}\right) = 0$ because $ab\alpha^2 = \alpha a + 1$. From the equation (54), one gets

$$1 = \text{Tr}(ab\alpha^2) = \text{Tr}(au\alpha^2) + \text{Tr}(av\alpha^2) = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{au\alpha^2}\right) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{av\alpha^2}\right)$$

Therefore the existence of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha a + 1}\right) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha a + 1) = 1$ implies

$$\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{ab\alpha^2}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{au\alpha^2}\right) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{av\alpha^2}\right) = 1$$

Thus, choosing $c = u$ or v satisfying $\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{aca^2}\right) = 0$, one has $\text{BU}_G(a, c) \geq 6$. Finally, it remains to show that there exists such a satisfying (55). The result on Koolsterman sum (See [18]) implies $-2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} < \sum_{z \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(z + \frac{1}{z})} < 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}$. Letting k be the number of $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}(z + \frac{1}{z}) = 1$,

the result on Koolsterman sum implies k is bounded as $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 < k < 2^{n-1} + 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Therefore there are plenty of $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}(z + \frac{1}{z}) = 1$ and one can choose $\alpha a + 1 = z$ or $\frac{1}{z}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}(\alpha a + 1) = 1$. \square

8 Application to Involutions with Boomerang Uniformity 6 and Implementations

An involution F is a permutation whose compositional inverse is itself, i.e., $F \circ F(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Due to the natural (sequence like) structure of the permutations with Carlitz form, one can easily construct involutions in Carlitz form. For example, we easily see that $F(x) = [a_{m+1}, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 + a_0x]$ is an involution if $a_0 = 1$ and $a_{m+1-i} = a_{1+i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ (i.e., palindromic structure). Now let us consider involutions of Carlitz rank three of the form $F(x) = [a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1 + a_0x] = [\gamma, \beta, \beta, \gamma + x]$, or equivalently,

$$F(x) = (((x + \gamma)^{2^n-2} + \beta)^{2^n-2} + \beta)^{2^n-2} + \gamma \quad (56)$$

where $\beta \neq 0$. From Proposition 3.2-(2), $F(x)$ is affine equivalent to $[0, \beta^2, 1, x]$. Also since

$$[0, \beta^2, 1, x] = x^2 \circ [0, \beta, 1, x] \circ x^{2^{n-1}},$$

we see that $[0, \beta^2, 1, x]$ is affine equivalent to $[0, \beta, 1, x]$. We already mentioned in Section 5 that $[0, \beta, 1, x]$ and $[0, 1, \beta, x]$ are affine equivalent via the relation $\beta[0, \beta, 1, \beta x] = [0, 1, \beta, x]$. Therefore, $F(x)$ with any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ in (56) is affine equivalent to $[0, 1, \beta, x]$ whose boomerang and differential uniformity are investigated in Section 6 and 7. We summarize this result in the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\beta \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Then the involution $F(x) = [\gamma, \beta, \beta, \gamma + x]$ with any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is affine equivalent to $[0, 1, \beta, x]$, hence the involution F has the same boomerang uniformity with $[0, 1, \beta, x]$.*

Corollary 8.2. *Up to affine equivalence, there is one to one correspondence between permutations of Carlitz rank 3 and involutory permutations of Carlitz rank 3. In other words, any permutation of Carlitz rank 3 can be expressed as an involution via affine equivalence.*

Remark 8.1. *One may choose $\gamma = 0$ such that the involution $F(x) = [0, \beta, \beta, x]$ can be written as*

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\beta} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\beta x + 1}{\alpha^2 x + \beta} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = \beta + 1$. Therefore the above involutions with β satisfying Theorem 7.1 provide a COMPLETE LIST of involutions (up to affine equivalence) with boomerang uniformity six. Please note that $[0, 1, \beta, x]$ that we considered in Section 6, 7 is a compositional inverse of $[0, \beta, 1, x]$, while $[0, \beta, \beta, x]$ is an involution which is affine equivalent to both $[0, \beta, 1, x]$ and $[0, 1, \beta, x]$.

It should be mentioned that, in [17, 22], involutions of the form $\pi(x)^{2^n-2}$ with 3-cycle $\pi(x) = (0 \beta \beta^{-1})$ are considered and some conditions for which $\pi(x)^{2^n-2}$ becomes differentially 4-uniform are stated in terms of trace conditions of β . Since $\text{Crk}(\pi(x)^{2^n-2}) = 3$, they found some classes of differentially 4-uniform involutions of Carlitz rank 3. However, using Theorem 6.1 and the above theorem, we have a complete answer for the conditions for which an involution F with $\text{Crk}(F) = 3$ becomes differentially 4-uniform. Also, using Theorem 7.1 and the above theorem, we have a complete answer for the conditions for which an involution F with $\text{Crk}(F) = 3$ becomes boomerang 6-uniform.

By using Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.1, we did implementations using a software SageMath for the permutation $G(x) = [0, 1, \beta, x]$ on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} for even $4 \leq n \leq 22$. The result is shown in Table 1,

n	the number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ with $\text{BU}_G = 6$	the number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ with $\text{DU}_G = 4$ and $\text{BU}_G = 6$	Timing (seconds)
4	4	0	0.013
6	6	6	0.006
8	16	8	0.035
10	80	50	0.149
12	264	180	0.676
14	1148	784	3.456
16	3696	2080	21.1
18	16020	9828	244.5
20	63760	38120	3346.7
22	252538	152020	57717.7

Table 1: Number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ having optimal BU_G and DU_G

where the number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ such that $\text{BU}_G = 6$ and the number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ such that $\text{BU}_G = 6, \text{DU}_G = 4$ are listed in the second and third column respectively. In our experiments, it is enough to try $\frac{2^n}{n}$ number of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for each n , because $[0, 1, \beta, x]$ is affine equivalent to $[0, 1, \beta^{2^i}, x]$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) from the equivalence $[0, \beta^{2^i}, 1, x] = x^{2^i} \circ [0, \beta, 1, x] \circ x^{2^{n-i}}$. Please note that we have not checked the equivalences such as EA or CCZ equivalences among the permutations that we found. Our experiments were performed via SageMath on Intel Core i7-4770 3.40GHz with 8GB memory processor.

9 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a new methodology for computing boomerang and differential uniformity of permutations of low Carlitz rank. We applied our method to permutations of Carlitz rank 3, and obtained a complete list of permutations having the boomerang uniformity six, which is the least possible case. We also gave complete classifications of all possible differential uniformities of permutations of Carlitz rank 3. As a consequence, we discovered new classes of permutations having the boomerang uniformity six and differential uniformity four, which were previously unknown.

Since all permutations F on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} of Carlitz rank 3 have high algebraic degree $n-1$ and also high nonlinearity $\geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 2$, a permutation F with low boomerang uniformity is a good example of cryptographic S-box. In addition, due to the nice structure of Carlitz form, one can always choose an involutory permutation F (via affine equivalence) of boomerang uniformity six, which is also a new result.

For future research, we hope our approach with inductive technique can be extended to permutations with Carlitz rank ≥ 4 and find new families of permutations with good cryptographic properties.

References

- [1] E. Aksoy, A. Cesmelioglu, W. Meidl and A. Topuzoğlu, On the Carlitz rank of permutation polynomials, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, Vol. 15, pp. 428-440 (2009) DOI : 10.1016/j.faa.2009.02.006
- [2] E. Biham, O. Dunkelman and N. Keller, New results on boomerang and rectangle attacks, *FSE 2002*, LNCS 2365, pp 1-16 Springer Verlag (2002) DOI : 10.1007/3-540-45661-9_1
- [3] C. Boura and A. Canteaut, On the boomerang uniformity of cryptographic sboxes, *IACR Transactions on Symmetric Cryptology*, Vol. 3, pp. 290-310 (2018) DOI:10.13154/tosc.v2018.i3.290-310
- [4] C. Bracken, C. H. Tan and Y. Tan, Binomial differentially 4-uniform permutations with high nonlinearity, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 537-546 (2012) DOI :10.1016/j.faa.2013.08.006
- [5] K.A. Browning, J.F. Dillon, M.T. McQuistan and A.J. Wolfe, An APN permutation in dimension six. In *Finite Fields: Theory and Applications - FQ9*, volume 518 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pp 33-42, AMS, (2010) DOI : 10.1090/conm/518

- [6] L. Budaghyan, Construction and Analysis of Cryptographic Functions, Springer International Publishing (2014) DOI : 10.1007/978-3-319-12991-4
- [7] M. Calderini and I. Villa, On the boomerang uniformity of some permutation polynomials. Cryptography and Communications (2020) DOI : 10.1007/s12095-020-00439-x
- [8] A. Canteaut, Lecture Notes on Cryptographic Boolean Functions, Available at <https://www.rocq.inria.fr/secret/Anne.Canteaut/poly.pdf>
- [9] A. Canteaut, L. Kölsch, C. Li, C. Li, K. Li, L. Qu, and F. Wiemer, On the differential-linear connectivity table of vectorial boolean functions, Available at : <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.07445v1>
- [10] C. Carlet, Vectorial Boolean functions for cryptography. In: Crama Y., Hammer P.L. (eds.) Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering, pp. 398–469. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- [11] C. Carlet, Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes. In: Crama Y., Hammer P.L. (eds.) Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering, pp. 398–469. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- [12] A. Çesmelioglu, W. Meidl and A. Topuzoglu, On the cycle structure of permutation polynomials, Finite Fields and Their Applications, Vol. 14, pp. 593-614 (2008) DOI : 10.1016/j.ffa.2007.08.003
- [13] A. Çesmelioglu, W. Meidl and A. Topuzoglu, Permutations of finite fields with prescribed properties, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 259, pp. 536-545 (2014) DOI : 10.1016/j.cam.2013.07.036
- [14] C. Cid , T. Huang, T. Peyrin, Y. Sasaki and L. Song, Boomerang connectivity table: A new cryptanalysis tool. EUROCRYPT 2018, LNCS 10821, 683–714 (2018) DOI : 10.1007/978-3-319-78375-8_22
- [15] T. Cusick and P. Stănică, Cryptographic Boolean Functions and Applications 2nd Ed., Academic Press, ISBN: 9780128111307 (2017) DOI : 10.1016/B978-0-12-374890-4.X0001-8
- [16] O. Dunkelman, Efficient Construction of the Boomerang Connection Table, Available at: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/631.pdf>
- [17] S. Fu and X. Feng, Involutory differentially 4-uniform permutations from known constructions, Designs Codes and Cryptography Vol. 87, pp. 31-56, 2019. DOI : 10.1007/s10623-018-0482-5
- [18] G. Lachaud and J. Wolfmann, The weights of the orthogonals of the extended quadratic binary Goppa codes, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory Vol.36, No 3. pp. 686-692 (1990) DOI: 10.1109/18.54892
- [19] K. Li, C. Li and T. Helleseht, and L. Qu, Cryptographically Strong Permutations from the Butterfly Structure, Available at : <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.02640v2>
- [20] K. Li, L. Qu, B. Sun and C. Li, New Results About the Boomerang Uniformity of Permutation Polynomials, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol. 65, No.11, pp. 7542-7553 (2019) DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2019.2918531

- [21] N. Li, Z. Hu, M. Xiong and X. Zeng, 4-uniform BCT permutations from generalized butterfly structure, Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00464v1>
- [22] Y. Li, M. Wang and Y. Yu, Constructing Differentially 4-uniform Permutations over $GF(2^{2k})$ from the Inverse Function Revisited, eprint.iacr.org/2013/731
- [23] Y. Li, M. Wang and Y. Yu, Constructing low differential uniformity functions from known ones, Chinese Journal of Electronics, 22(3), pp 495-499 (2013)
- [24] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Encyclopedia of Applied and Computational Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-70529-1_192
- [25] S. Mesnager, C. Tang and M. Xiong, On the boomerang uniformity of quadratic permutations, To appear at Designs Codes and Cryptography Available at : <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/277.pdf> DOI : 10.1007/s10623-020-00775-2
- [26] K. Nyberg, Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography, Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT '93, LNCS 765, pp. 55-64, (1994) DOI : 10.1007/3-540-48285-7_6
- [27] J. Peng and C.H. Tan, New differentially 4-uniform permutations by modifying the inverse function on subfields, Cryptography and Communications Vol. 9, pp 363-378 (2017) DOI : 10.1007/s12095-016-0181-x
- [28] J. Peng, C. Tan and Q. Wang, A new family of differentially 4-uniform permutations over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$ for odd k . Science China Mathematics, Vol. 59 No.6 1221–1234 (2016) DOI : 10.1007/s11425-016-5122-9
- [29] L. Qu, Y. Tan, C.H. Tan and C. Li, Constructing differentially 4-uniform permutations over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$ via the switching method. IEEE Trans. Information Theory, Vol. 59, No.7, pp.4675–4686 (2013) DOI : 10.1109/TIT.2013.2252420
- [30] L. Qu, Y. Tan, C. Li, and G. Gong, More constructions of differentially 4-uniform permutations on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, Vol. 78, pp. 391-408 (2016)
- [31] P. Stănică, Investigations on c-Boomerang Uniformity and Perfect Nonlinearity, Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.11859.pdf>
- [32] D. Tang, C. Carlet and X. Tang, Differentially 4-uniform bijections by permuting the inverse function, Des. Codes. Cryptogr. 77 pp 117-141 (2015) DOI : 10.1007/s10623-014-9992-y
- [33] S. Tian, C. Boura and L. Perrin, Boomerang uniformity of popular S-box constructions, To appear at Des. Codes. Cryptogr., Available at : <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1002.pdf> DOI : 10.1007/s10623-020-00785-0
- [34] Z. Tu, N. Li, X. Zeng and J. Zhou, A Class of Quadrinomial Permutations With Boomerang Uniformity Four, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol. 66, No. 6, pp.3753-3765 (2020) DOI : 10.1109/TIT.2020.2969578
- [35] D. Wagner, The boomerang attack, FSE 1999, LNCS 1636, pp. 156-170, 1999. DOI : 10.1007/3-540-48519-8_12

- [36] Y.P. Wang, Q. Wang and W.G. Zhang, Boomerang uniformity of normalized permutation polynomials of low degree, *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* 31, pp 307-322 (2020) DOI : 10.1007/s00200-020-00431-1
- [37] Y. Yu, M. Wang and Y. Li, Constructing differentially 4 uniform permutations from known ones, *Chin. J. Electron.*, pp. 495-499 (2013)
- [38] Z. Zha, L. Hu and S. Sun, Constructing new differentially 4-uniform permutations from the inverse function, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, Vol. 25, pp 64-78 (2014) DOI : 10.1016/j.ffa.2013.08.003