

REMARKS ON SOME COMPACT SYMPLECTIC SOLVMANIFOLDS

QIANG TAN AND ADRIANO TOMASSINI

ABSTRACT. We study the hard Lefschetz property on compact symplectic solvmanifolds, i.e., compact quotients $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ of a simply-connected solvable Lie group G by a lattice Γ , admitting a symplectic structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic $2n$ -manifold, that is, M is a $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold endowed with a closed non-degenerate 2-form ω , where ω is called the *symplectic structure*. We say that a symplectic manifold (M, ω) satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition*, shortly the *HLC*, if for any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, the homomorphism

$$L^k : H_{dR}^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{n+k}(M) \\ [\alpha] \mapsto [\alpha \wedge \omega^k]$$

is surjective (cf. [23]). As a classical result, compact Kähler manifolds satisfy HLC; nevertheless, there are compact symplectic manifolds satisfying HLC, with no Kähler structure. In analogy with Riemannian Geometry, starting with the symplectic form ω , one can define a symplectic codifferential d^Λ operator; it turns out (see [17, 15, 23]) that a compact symplectic manifold satisfies the HLC if and only if the dd^Λ -Lemma holds, or, equivalently, any de Rham class $\mathfrak{a}$ of M contains a symplectic harmonic representative α , i.e., $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha]$ and $\alpha \in \ker d \cap \ker d^\Lambda$.

The aim of this paper is to study the Hard Lefschetz Condition on compact solvmanifolds, i.e., compact quotients $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ of a simply-connected solvable Lie group G by a lattice Γ endowed with a symplectic structure. We will show the following

Theorem (see Theorem 4.2) *Let G be a simply-connected 4-dimensional Lie group admitting a uniform lattice Γ and let $M = \Gamma \backslash G$. Let ω be a symplectic structure on M . Then, if ω satisfies the HLC, any other symplectic structure on M satisfies the HLC.*

Furthermore, for several examples of compact 6-dimensional symplectic solvmanifolds, we show that the same conclusion of the above Theorem holds (see Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and Remark 6.2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold. An almost complex structure J on M , i.e., a smooth $(1, 1)$ -tensor field on M satisfying $J^2 = -\text{id}$, is said to

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. symplectic solvmanifold; Hard Lefschetz Condition.

This work is supported by PRC grant NSFC 11701226 (Tan); Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province BK20170519 (Tan) and Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica” and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

be ω -compatible, if at any given $x \in M$, for every pair of tangent vectors u, v and any non-zero tangent vector w , the following hold

$$\omega_x(Ju, Jv) = \omega(u, v), \quad \omega_x(w, Jw) > 0.$$

In other words, $g_x(u, v) = \omega_x(u, Jv)$ is an *almost Kähler metric* on M and (M, J, g, ω) is an *almost Kähler manifold*.

Let (M, J) be a $2n$ -dimensional almost complex manifold and let $\Lambda^k(M)$ be the bundle of k -forms on M ; denote by $A^k(M) = \Gamma(M, \Lambda^k(M))$ the set of smooth sections of $\Lambda^k(M)$. Then J acts on $A^2(M)$ as an involution, by setting $J\alpha(u, v) = \alpha(Ju, Jv)$, for every pair of vector fields u, v on M . Denote by $A_J^+(M)$, (resp. $A_J^-(M)$) the spaces of J -invariant, (resp. J -anti-invariant) forms, i.e.,

$$A_J^\pm(M) = \{\alpha \in A^2(M) \mid J\alpha = \pm\alpha\}.$$

and by

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^\pm = \{\alpha \in A_J^\pm(M) \mid d\alpha = 0\}.$$

Then, following T.-J. Li and W. Zhang [14], define

$$H_J^\pm(M) = \{\mathfrak{a} \in H_{dR}^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^\pm \mid \mathfrak{a} = [\alpha]\}.$$

Then [14, Definition 4.12], J is said to be $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -pure-and-full if

$$H_{dR}^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

If (M, J, g, ω) is a $2n$ -dimensional almost Kähler manifold, then the space $A_0^2(M)$ of *primitive 2-forms* is given by

$$A_0^2(M) = \{\alpha \in A^2(M) \mid \omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha = 0\}$$

and the *primitive J -invariant cohomology group* $H_{J,0}^+(M)$ [19] by

$$H_{J,0}^+(M) = \{\mathfrak{a} \in H_{dR}^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^+ \cap A_0^2(M) \mid \mathfrak{a} = [\alpha]\}.$$

We will denote by $P_J : A_0^2(M) \rightarrow A_0^2(M)$ the *generalized Lejmi differential operator* (see [13] and [19]) defined on the space $A_0^2(M)$ as

$$P_J(\psi) = \Delta_g \psi - \frac{1}{n} g(\Delta_g \psi, \omega) \omega,$$

where Δ_g is the Hodge Laplacian and $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the metric induced by g on the space of 2-forms.

In the sequel we will assume that M is a compact symplectic solvmanifold, that is a compact quotient $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ of a simply-connected solvable Lie group G by a lattice Γ , endowed with a symplectic structure ω . A compact solvmanifold $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ is said to be *completely solvable* if the adjoint representation ad_X of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G has real eigenvalues for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

3. THE dd^A -LEMMA ON SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS AND SYMPLECTIC COHOMOLOGIES

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. Then the non degenerate 2-form ω induces a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -bilinear form ω^{-1} on $A^k(M)$, setting pointwise on simple elements

$$\omega^{-1}(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k, \beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k) = \det(\omega^{-1}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)),$$

where ω^{-1} is the natural bilinear form induced by ω on T^*M and then extending ω^{-1} linearly on $A^k(M)$. Then the *symplectic star operator* $*_s : A^k(M) \rightarrow A^{2n-k}(M)$ is defined by the following representation formula: given any $\beta \in A^k(M)$, for every $\alpha \in A^k(M)$, set

$$\alpha \wedge *_s \beta = \omega^{-1}(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

It turns out that $*_s^2 = \text{id}$. Denote as usual by L , Λ and H the three basic operators defined respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} L : A^k(M) &\rightarrow A^{k+2}(M), & L &:= \omega \wedge \cdot \\ \Lambda : A^k(M) &\rightarrow A^{k-2}(M), & \Lambda &:= *_s^{-1} L *_s \\ H : A^k(M) &\rightarrow A^k(M), & H &:= [L, \Lambda]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{L, \Lambda, H\}$ gives rise to an action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ on $A^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A^k(M)$, namely a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple on $A^*(M)$.

The *symplectic codifferential* d^Λ is defined, acting on k -forms, by means of the following formula

$$(1) \quad d^\Lambda|_{A^k(M)} = (-1)^{k+1} *_s d *_s.$$

In view of the basic symplectic identity (see e.g., [5]), it turns out that the symplectic codifferential is expressed by

$$(2) \quad d^\Lambda = [d, \Lambda].$$

Since $*_s^2 = \text{id}$, it is $(d^\Lambda)^2 = 0$; then Brylinski defined the following natural symplectic cohomology

$$H_{d^\Lambda}^k(M) := \frac{\ker d^\Lambda \cap A^k(M)}{\text{Im } d^\Lambda \cap A^k(M)},$$

showing that the symplectic star operator $*_s$ induces an isomorphism between $H_{dR}^k(M)$ and $H_{d^\Lambda}^{2n-k}(M)$. Later, Tseng and Yau [21] introduced the *Bott-Chern* and *Aeppli symplectic cohomologies* respectively as

$$H_{d+d^\Lambda}^k(M) := \frac{\ker(d + d^\Lambda) \cap A^k(M)}{\text{Im } dd^\Lambda \cap A^k(M)},$$

and

$$H_{dd^\Lambda}^k(M) := \frac{\ker(dd^\Lambda) \cap A^k(M)}{(\text{Im } d + \text{Im } d^\Lambda) \cap A^k(M)}.$$

Then such cohomologies groups are the symplectic counterpart of the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups respectively defined in the complex setting. Tseng and Yau developed a Hodge theory for such cohomologies, showing that Bott-Chern and Aeppli symplectic cohomologies on a compact symplectic manifold are isomorphic to the kernel of suitable 4-order elliptic self-adjoint differential operators. Consequently, the symplectic cohomology groups are finite-dimensional vector spaces on a compact symplectic manifold.

By definition, a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is said to satisfy the *dd^Λ-lemma* (see [21, Definition 3.12]) if the natural map $H_{d+d^\Lambda}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow H_{dR}^\bullet(M)$ is injective, i.e., every d^Λ -closed, d -exact form is also dd^Λ -exact, that is

$$(3) \quad \ker d^\Lambda \cap \text{Im } d = \text{Im } dd^\Lambda.$$

Since

$$*_s(\ker d^\Lambda \cap \text{Im } d) = \ker d \cap \text{Im } d^\Lambda,$$

then (3) holds if and only if the following holds

$$(4) \quad \ker d \cap \text{Im } d^\Lambda = \text{Im } dd^\Lambda.$$

We collect all the known results just recalling the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold. Then the following facts are equivalent:*

- i) the Hard-Lefschetz condition holds on (M, ω) , i.e., for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the maps

$$L^k : H_{dR}^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{n+k}(M)$$

are isomorphisms;

- ii) any de Rham cohomology class $\mathfrak{a}$ has a symplectic harmonic representative, i.e., $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha]$, where $d\alpha = 0$ and $d^\Lambda \alpha = 0$;
- iii) the dd^Λ -lemma holds;
- iv) the natural maps induced by the identity $H_{d+d^\Lambda}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow H_{dR}^\bullet(M)$ are injective;
- v) the natural maps induced by the identity $H_{d+d^\Lambda}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow H_{dd^\Lambda}^\bullet(M)$ are isomorphisms.

For the proof see [5, Conjecture 2.2.7], [15, Corollary 2], [17, Proposition 1.4], [23, Theorem 0.1], [6, Theorem 5.4], [21, Proposition 3.13]. Therefore, according to the above Theorem, starting with a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition, then the de Rham cohomology algebra $H_{dR}^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_{dR}^k(M)$ carries an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action. Indeed, by ii), every de Rham cohomology class of M contains a symplectic harmonic representative. Hence, the symplectic star operator $*_s$, and consequently, the operator $\Lambda = *_s^{-1} L *_s$ are well defined on $H_{dR}^*(M)$, by taking symplectic harmonic representatives.

Summing up, the de Rham cohomology of compact symplectic manifolds satisfying HLC shares with that of Kähler manifolds an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. In the latter case and also in the Hyper-Kähler setting, Figueroa-O'Farrill, Köhl, and Spence [9], following an idea of Witten [22], showed that such an action and the Hodge-Lefschetz theory of compact (Hyper)-Kähler manifolds derive from the supersymmetry, more in particular, from the symmetries of certain supersymmetric sigma models (see also [24]). Finally, it has to be remarked that HLC, or equivalently, the notion of dd^Λ -Lemma on compact symplectic manifolds, is a special case of the notion of the $dd^{\mathcal{J}}$ -Lemma on generalized complex manifolds. For general results of such a notion and for other results in the context of supersymmetry we refer to [6] and [20] respectively.

4. HARD LEFSCHETZ CONDITION ON 4-DIMENSIONAL COMPACT SYMPLECTIC SOLVMANIFOLDS

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold. Then, it is easy to see that the set of all symplectic forms on M , $SF(M)$, is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{b^2(M)}$, where $b^2(M)$ is the second Betti number of M . Let

$$SF_{HLC}(M) \triangleq \{\Omega \in SF(M) : (M, \Omega) \text{ has HLC}\}.$$

Since every Kähler manifold has the Hard Lefschetz Condition (cf. [10]), then we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.1. *Every symplectic form on torus of dimension $2n$ is cohomologous to a Kähler form and thus has the Hard Lefschetz Condition.*

Proof. Let ω be a symplectic form on the torus, then it is cohomologous to a symplectic form ω_0 which can be expressed as a constant coefficient combination of the standard bases $\{dx^i \wedge dx^j\}$. For such an ω_0 there exists a calibrated almost complex structure J_0 , which is in fact integrable. Therefore, ω_0 is Kähler, and consequently it satisfies the HLC. The same holds for ω . $\square$

K. Hasegawa has proven the following result in [11]: A compact solvmanifold admits a Kähler structure if and only if it is a finite quotient of a complex torus which has a structure of a complex torus bundle over a complex torus. In particular,

a compact solvmanifold of completely solvable type has a Kähler structure if and only if it is a complex torus.

In the rest of this section we focus on Hard Lefschetz Condition 4-dimensional compact homogeneous manifolds $M = \Gamma \backslash G$, where G is a simply-connected 4-dimensional Lie group and Γ is a uniform lattice in G , endowed with a symplectic structure.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a simply-connected 4-dimensional Lie group admitting a uniform lattice Γ and let $M = \Gamma \backslash G$. Let ω be a symplectic structure on M . Then, if ω satisfies the HLC, any other symplectic structure on M satisfies the HLC.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G . First of all, recall that according to [7, Theorem 9], a 4-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra is solvable. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a unimodular and symplectic 4-dimensional Lie algebra. According to [18], we have the following list:

- 0) $\mathbb{R}^4$
- 1) $\mathfrak{nil}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$;
- 2) $\mathfrak{nil}^4$;
- 3) $\mathfrak{sol}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$;
- 4) $\mathfrak{r}'_{3,0} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Denoting by $\{e^1, \dots, e^4\}$ a basis of the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$, we can present the Lie algebras above by the following Maurer-Cartan structure equations:

$$0) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^4, \quad de^i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

$$1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{nil}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ de^1 = 0, \quad de^2 = 0, \quad de^3 = -e^{12}, \quad de^4 = 0.$$

$$2) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{nil}^4, \\ de^1 = e^{24}, \quad de^2 = e^{34}, \quad de^3 = 0, \quad de^4 = 0.$$

$$3) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sol}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ de^1 = 0, \quad de^2 = e^{12}, \quad de^3 = -e^{13}, \quad de^4 = 0.$$

$$4) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}'_{3,0} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ de^1 = 0, \quad de^2 = -e^{13}, \quad de^3 = e^{12}, \quad de^4 = 0,$$

where $e^{ij} := e^i \wedge e^j$ and so on. By assumption ω is a symplectic structure on M satisfying the HLC. Therefore, in view of Benson and Gordon Theorem (cf. [3, 4]), every symplectic structure on any compact quotient corresponding to case 1) and 2) does not satisfies HLC. The compact quotients corresponding to cases 0) and 4) are complex solvmanifolds, namely *Complex Tori* and *Hyperelliptic Surfaces* respectively, so that any symplectic structure on such manifolds satisfies the HLC.

Finally, if $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ is a compact quotient corresponding to case 3), we easily compute

- $H_{dR}^1(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [e^1], [e^4] \rangle$
- $H_{dR}^2(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [e^{14}], [e^{23}] \rangle;$
- $H_{dR}^3(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [e^{123}], [e^{234}] \rangle.$

Let $\omega_0 = Ae^{14} + Be^{23}$, for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $AB \neq 0$. Then ω_0 is a symplectic structure on M and it is immediate to check that it satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition. Let now ω be an arbitrary symplectic form on M . Then

$$\omega = \omega_0 + d\eta$$

and, consequently, ω satisfies the HLC too. $\square$

5. ALMOST KÄHLER STRUCTURES AND HARD LEFSCHETZ CONDITION ON NAKAMURA MANIFOLDS

The construction of completely solvable Nakamura manifolds (cf. [16]) is well known. For the sake of completeness we briefly recall it. Let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ have two real positive distinct eigenvalues

$$\mu_1 = e^\lambda, \quad \mu_2 = e^{-\lambda}.$$

Set

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & e^\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $P \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\Lambda = PAP^{-1}$$

Define $\Gamma := P\mathbb{Z}^2 + iP\mathbb{Z}^2$; then Γ is a uniform discrete subgroup in $\mathbb{C}^2$, so that

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma$$

is a 2-dimensional complex torus and the map

$$F : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

$$F(z) = \Lambda z, \quad \text{where } z = (z^1, z^2)^t,$$

induces a biholomorphism of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ by setting $\tilde{F}([z]) = [F(z)]$. Indeed, it is immediate to check that $\tilde{F}$ is well defined and that $\tilde{F}$ is a biholomorphism, with $\tilde{F}^{-1}([z]) = [F^{-1}(z)]$.

By identifying $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\mathbb{R}^5$ by $(s, z^1, z^2) \mapsto (s, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4)$, where $z^1 = x^1 + ix^3$, $z^2 = x^2 + ix^4$, set

$$T_1 : \mathbb{R}^5 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$$

$$T_1(s, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = (s + \lambda, e^{-\lambda}x^1, e^\lambda x^2, e^{-\lambda}x^3, e^\lambda x^4),$$

then $T_1(s, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = T_1(s, z^1, z^2) = (s + \lambda, F(z^1, z^2))$. Therefore T_1 induces a transformations of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, by setting

$$T_1(s, [(z^1, z^2)]) = (s + \lambda, [F(z^1, z^2)]).$$

Define

$$N^6 := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \frac{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}{< T_1 >}.$$

Then N^6 is a compact 6-dimensional solvmanifold of completely solvable type.

We give a numerical example. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\mu_{1,2} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$. We set

$$\mu_1 = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} = e^{-\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = e^{\lambda},$$

i.e., $\lambda = \log(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2})$. Then

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ -1 & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the uniform lattice Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} < \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5+3\sqrt{5}}{10} \\ \frac{5-3\sqrt{5}}{10} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{-\sqrt{5}}{5} \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{5+3\sqrt{5}}{10} \\ \frac{5-3\sqrt{5}}{10} \end{bmatrix} >.$$

By using previous notations, it is straightforward to check that

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} e^1 := ds, \\ e^2 := dt, \\ e^3 := e^s dx^1, \\ e^4 := e^{-s} dx^2, \\ e^5 := e^s dx^3, \\ e^6 := e^{-s} dx^4. \end{cases}$$

gives rise to a global coframe on N^6 , where dt is the global 1-form on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Therefore, with respect to $\{e^i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}}$ the structure equations are the following:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = 0, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = e^{13}, \\ de^4 = -e^{14}, \\ de^5 = e^{15}, \\ de^6 = -e^{16}. \end{cases}$$

Then (J, ω, g) defined respectively as

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} Je^1 := -e^2, \\ Je^3 := -e^4, \\ Je^5 := -e^6, \end{cases}$$

$$(7) \quad \omega := e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56},$$

and $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ give rise to an almost Kähler structure on N^6 . Furthermore,

$$\begin{cases} \psi^1 := e^1 + ie^2, \\ \psi^2 := e^3 + ie^4, \\ \psi^3 := e^5 + ie^6. \end{cases}$$

is a complex co-frame of $(1, 0)$ -forms on (M^6, J) ; one can compute

$$\begin{cases} d\psi^1 = 0, \\ d\psi^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^{1\bar{2}} + \psi^{\bar{1}2}), \\ d\psi^3 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^{1\bar{3}} + \psi^{\bar{1}3}), \end{cases}$$

Since $b_1(N^6) = 2$, $b_2(N^6) = 5$ (see [2]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{dR}^1(N^6) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \psi^1 + \psi^{\bar{1}}, i(\psi^{\bar{1}} - \psi^1) \rangle \\ H_{dR}^2(N^6) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \langle i\psi^{1\bar{1}}, i\psi^{2\bar{2}}, i\psi^{3\bar{3}}, i(\psi^{2\bar{3}} + \psi^{3\bar{2}}), \langle i(\psi^{2\bar{3}} - \psi^{3\bar{2}}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} H_J^+(N^6) &= \mathbb{R} \langle i\psi^{1\bar{1}}, i\psi^{2\bar{2}}, i\psi^{3\bar{3}}, i(\psi^{2\bar{3}} + \psi^{3\bar{2}}) \rangle \\ H_J^-(N^6) &= \mathbb{R} \langle i(\psi^{2\bar{3}} - \psi^{3\bar{2}}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and the primitive J -invariant cohomology

$$H_{J,0}^+(N^6) = \langle i(\psi^{1\bar{1}} - \psi^{3\bar{3}}), i(\psi^{2\bar{2}} - \psi^{3\bar{3}}), i(\psi^{2\bar{3}} + \psi^{3\bar{2}}) \rangle,$$

so that the dimensions of such groups are

$$h_J^+ = 4, \quad h_J^- = 1, \quad h_{J,0}^+ = 3.$$

Then according to [19, Proposition 2.3]

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker P_J = h_{J,0}^+ + h_J^- = 3 + 1 = 4 = b_2 - 1,$$

and J is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -pure-and-full. We can show the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let Ω be any symplectic form on a Nakamura manifold N^6 . Then Ω satisfies the HLC. Thus, $SF_{HLC}(N^6) = SF(N^6)$.*

Proof. The first and second de Rham cohomology groups of N^6 can be expressed in terms of the real coframe $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{dR}^1(N^6) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \langle e^1, e^2 \rangle \\ H_{dR}^2(N^6) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \langle e^{12}, e^{34}, e^{56}, e^{36}, e^{45} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let Ω be a symplectic form on N^6 . Then Ω can be written as

$$\Omega = c_1 e^{12} + c_2 e^{34} + c_3 e^{56} + c_4 e^{36} + c_5 e^{45} + d\eta,$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and η is a suitable 1-form on N^6 . We obtain that

$$\Omega^3 = 6c_1(c_2c_3 + c_4c_5)e^{123456} + d\eta'.$$

Since by assumption Ω is a symplectic structure on N^6 , we get

$$(8) \quad c_1(c_2c_3 + c_4c_5) \neq 0.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$[\Omega]^2 \cup [e^1] = [2(c_2c_3 + c_4c_5)e^{13456}],$$

$$[\Omega]^2 \cup [e^2] = [2(c_2c_3 + c_4c_5)e^{23456}],$$

and

$$[\Omega] \cup [e^{12}] = [c_2 e^{1234} + c_3 e^{1256} + c_4 e^{1236} + c_5 e^{1245}],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [e^{56}] = [c_1 e^{1256} + c_2 e^{3456}],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [e^{34}] = [c_1 e^{1234} + c_3 e^{3456}],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [e^{45}] = [c_1 e^{1245} + c_4 e^{3456}],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [e^{36}] = [c_1 e^{1236} + c_5 e^{3456}].$$

Therefore, by the above computations it turns out that

$$\begin{cases} [\Omega]^2 & : H_{dR}^1(N^6) \rightarrow H_{dR}^5(N^6) \\ [\Omega] & : H_{dR}^2(N^6) \rightarrow H_{dR}^4(N^6) \end{cases}$$

are isomorphisms if and only if

$$c_1(c_2 c_3 + c_4 c_5) \neq 0,$$

which is exactly the condition (8). This ends the proof. $\square$

6. A SIX-DIMENSIONAL COHOMOLOGICALLY KÄHLER MANIFOLD WITH NO KÄHLER METRICS

Let $G(c)$ be the connected completely solvable Lie group of dimension 5 consisting of matrices of the form

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{cz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & e^{cz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{cz} & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{cz} & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_i, y_i, z \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and c is a nonzero real number. Then a global system of coordinates x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 and z for $G(c)$ is given by $x_i(a) = x_i, y_i(a) = y_i$ and $z(a) = z$. A standard calculation shows that a basis for the right invariant 1-forms on $G(c)$ consists of

$$\{dx_1 - cx_1 dz, dy_1 - cy_1 dz, dx_2 - cx_2 dz, dy_2 - cy_2 dz, dz\}.$$

Alternatively, the Lie group $G(c)$ may be described as a semidirect product $G(c) = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\psi} \mathbb{R}^4$, where $\psi(z)$ is the linear transformation of $\mathbb{R}^4$ given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{cz} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-cz} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{cz} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-cz} \end{pmatrix},$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $G(c)$ has a discrete subgroup

$$\Gamma(c) = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}^4$$

such that the quotient space $\Gamma(c) \backslash G(c)$ is compact. Therefore, the forms

$$dx_i - cx_i dz, \quad dy_i - cy_i dz, \quad dz, \quad i = 1, 2$$

descend to 1-forms α_i, β_i and γ $i = 1, 2$ on $\Gamma(c) \backslash G(c)$.

L.C. de Andrés, M. Fernández, M. de León, and J.J. Mencía considered the manifold $M^6(c) = G(c)/\Gamma(c) \times S^1$ which is a compact completely solvmanifold (see [1]). Moreover, M. Fernández, V. Muñoz and J. A. Santisteban have proven that

$M^6(c)$ does not admit any Kähler metric (cf. [8]). Here, there are 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma$ and η on $M^6(c)$ such that

$$(9) \quad d\alpha_i = -c\alpha_i \wedge \gamma, \quad d\beta_i = -c\beta_i \wedge \gamma, \quad d\gamma = d\eta = 0,$$

where $i = 1, 2$ and such that at each point of $M^6(c)$, $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma, \eta\}$ is a basis for the 1-forms on $M^6(c)$. Using Hattori's theorem [12], they compute the real cohomology of $M^6(c)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{dR}^0(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^1(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\gamma], [\eta] \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^2(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2], [\gamma \wedge \eta] \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^3(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma], \\ &\quad [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \eta], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \eta], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \eta], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \eta] \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^4(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta], \\ &\quad [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta] \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^5(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \eta] \rangle, \\ H_{dR}^6(M^6(c)) &\simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\langle [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Betti number of $M^6(c)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} b^0 &= b^6 = 1, \\ b^1 &= b^5 = 2, \\ b^2 &= b^4 = 5, \\ b^3 &= 8. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by (g, J, ω) be an almost Kähler structure on $M^6(c)$, where we choose

$$g = \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \otimes \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \otimes \beta_2 + \gamma \otimes \gamma + \eta \otimes \eta$$

and

$$\omega = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 + \gamma \wedge \eta.$$

So J is given by

$$J\alpha_1 = -\beta_1, \quad J\alpha_2 = -\beta_2, \quad J\gamma = -\eta.$$

It is clear that the maps

$$[\omega] : H_{dR}^2(M^6(c)) \rightarrow H_{dR}^4(M^6(c))$$

and

$$[\omega]^2 : H_{dR}^1(M^6(c)) \rightarrow H_{dR}^5(M^6(c))$$

are isomorphisms. Thus, $(M^6(c), \omega)$ satisfies the Hard Lefschetz Condition. By simple calculation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} H_J^- &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{[\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1]\}, \\ H_J^+ &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{[\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1], [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1], [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2], [\gamma \wedge \eta]\}, \\ \ker P_J &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1, \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1, \\ &\quad \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 - \gamma \wedge \eta, \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 - \gamma \wedge \eta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\dim \ker P_J = 4 = b^2 - 1$. Of course, J is C^∞ pure and full (cf. [19]).

In the following, we will study the other symplectic structures on $M^6(c)$. Set

$$\xi_1 = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1, \quad \xi_2 = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 - \gamma \wedge \eta, \quad \xi_3 = \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 - \gamma \wedge \eta$$

and $\theta = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1$. Let $\Omega = c\omega + c_1\xi_1 + c_2\xi_2 + c_3\xi_3 + a\theta$, where $c, c_i, a \in \mathbb{R}$. By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^3 &= 6(c^3 - cc_1^2 - cc_2^2 - cc_3^2 + ca^2 - cc_2c_3 \\ &\quad + c_1^2c_2 + c_1^2c_3 - c_2c_3^2 - c_2a^2 - c_2^2c_3 - c_3a^2)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then Ω is a symplectic form if and only if

$$(10) \quad c^3 - cc_1^2 - cc_2^2 - cc_3^2 + ca^2 - cc_2c_3 + c_1^2c_2 + c_1^2c_3 - c_2c_3^2 - c_2a^2 - c_2^2c_3 - c_3a^2 \neq 0.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$[\Omega]^2 \cup [\gamma] = [2(c^2 - c_1^2 + a^2 + cc_2 + cc_3 + c_2c_3)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma],$$

$$[\Omega]^2 \cup [\eta] = [2(c^2 - c_1^2 + a^2 + cc_2 + cc_3 + c_2c_3)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \eta],$$

and

$$[\Omega] \cup [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1] = [(c - c_2 - c_3)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta + (c + c_3)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2] = [(c - c_2 - c_3)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta + (a - c_1)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1] = [(c - c_2 - c_3)\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta - (a + c_1)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2] = [(c - c_2 - c_3)\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta + (c + c_2)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2],$$

$$[\Omega] \cup [\gamma \wedge \eta] = [(c + c_2)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta + (c + c_3)\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta \\ + (c_1 + a)\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta + (c_1 - a)\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta].$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{cases} [\Omega]^2 & : H_{dR}^1(M^6(c)) \rightarrow H_{dR}^5(M^6(c)) \\ [\Omega] & : H_{dR}^2(M^6(c)) \rightarrow H_{dR}^4(M^6(c)) \end{cases}$$

are isomorphisms if and only if

$$(11) \quad (c - c_2 - c_3)(c^2 - c_1^2 + a^2 + cc_2 + cc_3 + c_2c_3) \neq 0,$$

which is exactly the condition (10). It means that all symplectic forms on $M^6(c)$ satisfy the Hard Lefschetz Condition. Therefore, we proved the following

Theorem 6.1. *Let Ω be any symplectic form on $M^6(c)$. Then Ω satisfies the HLC. Thus, $SF_{HLC}(M^6(c)) = SF(M^6(c))$.*

Remark 6.2. *In addition, we still calculate two other compact completely solvmanifolds $N^6(c)$ and $P^6(c)$ in [8]. We have found that all symplectic forms on these two manifolds satisfy the Hard Lefschetz Condition.*

At last, we want to propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 6.3. *Suppose that M is a compact completely solvable manifold. Let ω be a symplectic structure on M . If ω satisfies the HLC, then any other symplectic structure on M satisfies the HLC.*

Acknowledgments. The first author would like to thank professor Hongyu Wang for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.C. de Andrés, M. Fernández, M. de León, J.J. Mencía, Some six-dimensional compact symplectic and complex solvmanifolds, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* **12** (1992), 59–67.
- [2] P. de Bartolomeis, A. Tomassini, On solvable generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), 1281–1296.
- [3] C. Benson, C.S. Gordon, Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds, *Topology* **27** (1988), 513–518.
- [4] C. Benson, C.S. Gordon, Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **108** (1990), 971–980.
- [5] J. L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, *J. Differential. Geom.* **28** (1988), 93–114.

- [6] G. R. Cavalcanti, New aspects of the dd^c -Lemma, Oxford Thesis D. Phil. Thesis, [arXiv:math/05001406v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/05001406v1).
- [7] B. Y. Chu, Symplectic homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **197** (1974), 145–159.
- [8] M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J.A. Santisteban, Cohomologically Kähler manifolds with no Kähler metrics, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **52** (2003), 3315–3325.
- [9] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Köhl, B. Spence, Supersymmetry and the cohomology of (hyper)Kähler manifolds, *Nuclear Physics B* **503** (1997), 614–626.
- [10] P.A. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, *New York, Wiley* 1978.
- [11] K. Hasegawa, A note on compact solvmanifolds with Kähler structures, *Osaka J. Math.* **43** (2006), 131–135.
- [12] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** (1960), 289–331.
- [13] M. Lejmi, Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension 4, *Mat. Res. Lett.* **17** (2010), 601–612.
- [14] T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17** (2009), 651–683.
- [15] O. Mathieu, Harmonic cohomology classes of symplectic manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **70** (1995), 1–9.
- [16] I. Nakamura, Complex parallelisable manifolds and their small deformations, *J. Diff. Geom.* **10** (1975), 85–112.
- [17] S. A. Merkulov, Formality of canonical symplectic complexes and Frobenius manifolds, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices.* **14** (1998), 727–733.
- [18] G. Ovando, Four Dimensional Symplectic Lie Algebras, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **47** (2006), 419–434.
- [19] Q. Tan, H. Wang, J. Zhou, Primitive cohomology of real degree two on compact symplectic manifold, *Manuscripta Math.* **148** (2015), 535–556.
- [20] A. Tomasiello, Reformulating supersymmetry with a generalized Dolbeault operator, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2** 010 (2008), [arXiv:0704.2613](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2613).
- [21] L.S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: I, *J. Differ. Geom.* **91** (2012), 383–416.
- [22] E. Witten, Constraints on Supersymmetry Breaking*, *Nuclear Physics B* **202** (1982), 253–316.
- [23] D. Yan, Hodge Structure on Symplectic Manifolds, *Adv. in Math.* **120** (1996), 143–154.
- [24] B. Zumino, Supersymmetry and Kähler manifolds, *Phys. Lett. B* **87** (1979), 203–206.

QIANG TAN(✉): SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY, ZHENJIANG, JIANGSU 212013, CHINA

E-mail address: tanqiang@ujs.edu.cn

ADRIANO TOMASSINI: DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE ED INFORMATICHE, UNITÀ DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124, PARMA, ITALY

E-mail address: adriano.tomassini@unipr.it