

ON THE ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS TO NON-LINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

SIMON BLATT

ABSTRACT. We give an easy proof of the fact that C^∞ solutions to non-linear elliptic equations of second order

$$\phi(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0$$

are analytic. Following ideas of Kato [10], the proof uses an inductive estimate for suitable weighted derivatives. We then conclude the proof using Cauchy's method of majorants [3].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Characterization of analytic functions	2
2.2. L^2 -estimates	2
2.3. A Banach algebra	3
2.4. Binomials	3
2.5. Higher order chain rule	3
3. The proof	5
3.1. Reduction of the problem	5
3.2. A recursive inequality	5
3.3. Cauchy's method of majorants	6
References	7

1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note we consider $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $n \geq 2$, of the fully non-linear equation

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0 \text{ on } \Omega.$$

We assume that

$$\phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times S(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a real analytic function that is elliptic in the sense that the functions $a_{ij}(x, y, p, q) := \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, y, p, q)$ satisfy

$$0 < a_{ij}(x, y, p, q) \xi_i \xi_j \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will give an easy and elementary proof of the following well-known result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be a solution to (1.1), $x_0 \in \Omega$ and ϕ be analytic in a neighborhood of $(x_0, u(x_0), Du(x_0), D^2(x_0))$. Then u is real analytic near x_0 .*

Date: March 17, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A20, 35B65.

Key words and phrases. non-linear elliptic equation, real analytic solutions, Faa di Brunos formula, method of majorants, Hilbert's 19th problem.

Shortly after Hilbert asked whether solution to regular variational problems are always analytic in his famous speech at the ICM in 1900 [9, Problem 19], Bernstein gave the first proof of the theorem above for the case $n = 2$ [2] under the assumption that $u \in C^3$. Gevrey [7] extend the result to parabolic equations inventing a completely different method and Petrowsky [14] generalized Bernstein's result to systems in arbitrary dimensional space. The most general result can be found in [6] and [13, 12]

In this article we want to give a simple proof of analyticity of the solution u as above. Our proof uses cut-off functions in a way inspired by the work of Kato [10] to derive an recursive estimate for the weighted differentials of u . Unfortunately, Kato only considered the case

$$\Delta u = u^2$$

in his paper and announced to extend his proof to arbitrary non-linear equations. In [8] Hashimoto tried to do so. In this paper we clarify the proof of Hashimoto.

Our proof combines basic L^2 estimates for the Laplacian with a higher order chain rule to derive in straight forward way a recursive estimate for weighted derivatives. We use Cauchy method of majorants [3] to show that these recursive estimates imply the analyticity of u . More precisely, we compare the quantities to the derivative of a solution to an analytic ordinary differential equation in the proof and use that such solutions are analytic.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Characterization of analytic functions. Our proof relies on the following well known fact:

Lemma 2.1. *A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is analytic if and only if for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$ there exist constants $C = C_K, A = A_K < \infty$ such that for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq CA^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!.$$

A proof can be found in [11]. Combining this with Sobolev's embedding theorem we immediately get

Corollary 2.2. *A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is analytic if and only if for every $x \in \Omega$ there is a radius $r > 0$ and constants $C, A < \infty$ such that for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(B_r(x))} \leq CA^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$$

2.2. L^2 -estimates. We start with the following well-known L^2 -estimate for the Laplacian.

Proposition 2.3. *For $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support we have*

$$\|D^2 u\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Applying Green's first identity twice we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta u \Delta u dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta \partial_i u \partial_i u dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ji} u \partial_{ji} u dx.$$

□

For $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and let us set

$$\|f\|_{H^m} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2}.$$

We have

Proposition 2.4. *For $u \in C^{2+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support we have*

$$\|D^2 u\|_{H^m} = \|\Delta u\|_{H^m}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.3 we get

$$\|\partial^\alpha D^2 u\|_{L^2} = \|D^2 \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2} = \|D^\partial \Delta u\|_{L^2}.$$

Summing over all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$ yields the result. \square

2.3. A Banach algebra. We will use in an essential way that $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach algebra. More precisely we have the following:

Proposition 2.5 ([1]). *For $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support we have*

$$\|fg\|_{H^m} \leq C\|f\|_{H^m}\|g\|_{H^m}.$$

Remark 2.6. *In order to get rid of the constant in the last proposition, we work with the norms*

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^m} := C\|f\|_{H^m}$$

as then

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{H}^m} = C\|fg\|_{H^m} \leq C^2\|f\|_{H^m}\|g\|_{H^m} \leq \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^m}\|g\|_{\tilde{H}^m}.$$

2.4. Binomials. We will use the following result to deal with terms coming from the generalized product rule:

Proposition 2.7 ([10, Proposition 2.1]). *Let α be a multiindex and $k \leq |\alpha|$. Then*

$$\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=k} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \binom{|\alpha|}{k}.$$

Proof. Can be seen comparing the coefficients in the Taylor series expansions of the identity

$$(1+t)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (1+t)^{\alpha_n} = (1+t)^{|\alpha|}$$

\square

2.5. Higher order chain rule. The following fact for higher derivatives will be of essential importance in our proof:

Proposition 2.8. *Let $g : \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two C^k -functions. Then for an multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{m_1}$ of length $|\alpha| \leq k$ and $x \in \Omega$ the derivative*

$$\partial^\alpha (f \circ g)(x) = P_{m_1, m_2}^\alpha(\{\partial^\gamma f(g(x))\}_{|\gamma| \leq |\alpha|}, \{\partial^\gamma g_i\}_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha})$$

where P_{m_1, m_2}^α is a linear combination with positive coefficients of terms of the form

$$\partial_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_l}}^l (g(x)) \partial^{\gamma_1} g_{i_1} \cdots \partial^{\gamma_l} g_{i_l}$$

with $1 \leq l \leq |\alpha|$ and $|\gamma_1| + \cdots + |\gamma_l| = |\alpha|$.

For $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ we will use the notation P^k instead of P_{m_1, m_2}^α . We leave the easy inductive proof of this statement to the reader. Although very precise formulas of the higher order chain rule were given by Faa di Bruno [5] for the univariate case and by for example Constanini and Savits in [4] for the multivariate case, the above proposition contains all that is needed in our proof.

Let us derive two easy consequences of Proposition 2.8. The first one will allow us to reduce the multivariate case to the univariate one.

Lemma 2.9. *For constants $a_\gamma = a_{|\gamma|}, b_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ depending only on the length of the multiindex γ we have*

$$P_{m_1, m_2}^\alpha(\{a_{|\gamma|}\}, \{b_{|\gamma|}\}) = P^{|\alpha|}(\{a_{|\gamma|}\}, \{b_{|\gamma|}\}).$$

Proof. Plugging functions g and f of the form

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}) = \tilde{g}(x_1 + \dots + x_{m_1}) \cdot (1, \dots, 1)^t$$

and

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{m_2}) = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{y_1 + \dots + y_{m_2}}{m_2}\right)$$

into the higher order chain rule (Proposition 2.8) we get from

$$f \circ g = (\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g})(x_1 + \dots + x_{m_1})$$

that

$$P_{m_1, m_2}^\alpha(\{\partial^\gamma f(g(x))\}_{|\gamma| \leq |\alpha|}, \{\partial^\gamma g_i\}_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha}) = P^{|\alpha|}(\{\partial^l \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}(x))\}_{l \leq |\alpha|}, \{\partial^l \tilde{g}\}_{0 \leq l \leq |\alpha|}).$$

So for constants $a_\gamma = a_{|\gamma|}$, $b_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ depending only on the length of the multiindex γ we have

$$P_{m_1, m_2}^\alpha(\{a_{|\gamma|}\}, \{b_{|\gamma|}\}) = P^{|\alpha|}(\{a_{|\gamma|}\}, \{b_{|\gamma|}\}).$$

□

We will use Lemma 2.9 to estimate derivatives of analytic function in x , $u(x)$, $Du(x)$ and $D^2u(x)$. Let $u \in C^\infty(B_1(0), \mathbb{R})$ and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times S(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is infinitely often differentiable on a neighborhood of the image

$$K = \{(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) : x \in B_1(0)\}.$$

For a fixed cutoff function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, 1])$ with support contained in $B_1(0)$ and $\rho = 1$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ we will consider the quantities

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{M}_N := \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\tilde{H}^m} & \text{for } N = 0, 1, 2 \\ \tilde{M}_N := \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \|\rho^{N-3} \partial^\alpha u\|_{\tilde{H}^m} & \text{for } N \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_N := \tilde{M}_{N+2} + \tilde{M}_{N+1} + \tilde{M}_N + 1$$

for all $N \geq 0$. We prove the following.

Lemma 2.10. *We have*

$$\|\rho^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha f(x, u, Du, D^2u)\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \leq P^{|\alpha|}(\{E^{|\gamma|} \|D^{|\gamma|} f\|_{C^m(K)}\}, \{M_k\}_{k=0, \dots, |\alpha|})$$

where $E = (1 + \|\rho\|_{\tilde{H}^m})^3$.

Proof. Applying Faa di Brunos formula (Proposition 2.8) to $f \circ g$ where

$$g(x) = (x, Du(x), D^2u(x))$$

we get

$$\rho^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha f(x, u, Du, D^2u) = \rho^{|\alpha|} P_{n, n^2+2n+1}^\alpha(\{\partial^\gamma f\}, \{\partial^\gamma g\})$$

where $P_{n, n^2+2n+1}^\alpha(\{\partial^\gamma f\}, \{\partial^\gamma g\})$ is a linear combination with positive coefficients of terms of the form

$$\partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}}^l f(g(x)) \partial^{\gamma_1} g_{i_1} \dots \partial^{\gamma_l} g_{i_l}$$

with $1 \leq l \leq |\alpha|$ and $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_l = \alpha$. Note that due to the special structure of g we have $\partial^\gamma g_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $|\gamma| = 1$ and $\partial^\gamma g_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $|\gamma| \geq 2$. As for such terms we get using that H^m is a Banach algebra (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho^{|\alpha|} \partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}}^l f(g(x)) \partial^{\gamma_1} g_{i_1} \dots \partial^{\gamma_l} g_{i_l}\|_{\tilde{H}^m(B_1(0))} &\leq E^l \|\partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}}^l f(g(x))\|_{\tilde{H}^m(B_1(0))} M_{|\gamma_1|} \dots M_{|\gamma_l|} \\ &\leq E^l \|\partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}}^l f(g(x))\|_{\tilde{H}^m(B_1(0))} M_{|\gamma_1|} \dots M_{|\gamma_l|} \end{aligned}$$

where $E = (\|\rho\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + 1)^3$. We hence deduce using Lemma 2.9 that

$$\|\rho^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha f(x, u, Du, D^2u)\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \leq C P^{|\alpha|}(\{E^{|\gamma|} \|D^{|\gamma|} f\|_{C^m(K)}\}, \{M_k\}_{k=0, \dots, |\alpha|})$$

□

3. THE PROOF

After the above preliminaries we are now in the position to rather quickly prove Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Reduction of the problem. Let $x \in \Omega$. We will show that u is analytic in a neighborhood of x_0 in Ω . We first linearize the equation. Differentiating (1.1), we see that the functions $v = \partial_k u$ satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(x, u, Du, D^2u) \partial_{ij} v_k(x) &= -b_i(x, u, Du, D^2u) \partial_i v_k(x) + c(x, u, \Delta u, D^2u) v_k + d_k(x, u, Du, D^2u) \\ &= f_k(x, u, Du, D^2u) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(x, y, p, q) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, y, p, q), & b_i(x, y, p, q) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial p_i}(x, y, p, q), \\ c(x, y, p, q) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, y, p, q), & d_k(x, y, p, q) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k}(x, y, p, q) \end{aligned}$$

and hence also f_k are real analytic functions. We freeze the coefficients, i.e. we set $a_{ij}^0 := a_{ij}(0, u(0), Du(0), D^2u(0))$ and observe that the v_k solve the equation

$$a_{ij}^0 \partial_{ij} v_k = \tilde{a}_{ij}(x, u, Du, D^2u) \partial_{ij} v_k + f_k(x, u, Du, D^2u)$$

where $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}^0 - a_{ij}$.

After a suitable translation and a change of coordinates we can assume that $x_0 = 0$ and

$$a_{ij}^0 = \delta_{ij}$$

and hence that the v_k solve

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta v_k = \tilde{a}_{ij}(x, u, Du, D^2u) \partial_{ij} v_k + f_k(x, u, Du, D^2u) = R_k.$$

Scaling $\tilde{u}(x) = \frac{1}{r^2} u(rx)$ for $r > 0$ small enough we can gain $B_1(0) \subset \Omega$ and that the a_{ij} have an arbitrarily small oscillation on $B_1(0)$, say smaller than ε for an $\varepsilon > 0$ to be chosen below. As \tilde{a}_{ij} and f_k are analytic there are constants $C, A < \infty$ such that for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n \times n^2}$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|\partial^\alpha a_{ij}(x, u, Du, D^2u)\|_{C^m(B_1(0))}, \|\partial^\alpha f_k(x, u, Du, D^2u)\|_{C^m(B_1(0))} \leq CA^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!.$$

3.2. A recursive inequality. For a fixed cutoff function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), [0, 1]$ with support contained in $B_1(0)$ and $\rho = 1$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ we now consider the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_N &:= \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \text{ for } N = 0, 1, 2 \\ \tilde{M}_N &:= \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \|\rho^{N-3} \partial^\alpha u\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \text{ for } N \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_N := \tilde{M}_{N+2} + \tilde{M}_{N+1} + \tilde{M}_N + 1$$

for all $N \geq 0$. We prove the following estimate:

Proposition 3.1. *We have for all $N \geq 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{N+1} &\leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{(N+1)-l} \\ &\quad + CP^N(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) + CNM_N + CN(N-1)M_{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us now consider the weighted functions $\rho^{|\alpha|}(x)\partial^{\alpha+\beta}v_k(x)$ for a multiindices α and β with $|\beta| = 2$. Setting $N = |\alpha|$ we get using Proposition (2.4) and Proposition (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho^N \partial^{\alpha+\beta} v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} &\leq \|\partial^\beta \rho^N \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \|[\rho^N, \partial^\beta] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta \rho^N \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \|[\rho^N, \partial^\beta] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \\ &\leq C \|\rho^N \partial^\alpha \Delta v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + C \|[\Delta, \rho^N] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \|[\rho^N, \partial^\beta] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \\ &= C \|\rho^N \partial^\alpha R_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + C \|[\Delta, \rho^N] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \|[\rho^N, \partial^\beta] \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.\end{aligned}$$

Here, $[A, B] = AB - BA$ denotes the commutator. Using the identity

$$\partial_{ij}(\rho^N h) = \{N(N-1)\rho^{N-2}\partial_i\rho\partial_j\rho + N\rho^{N-1}\partial_{ij}\rho\}h + N\rho^{N-1}(\partial_i\rho\partial_j h + \partial_j\rho\partial_i h) + \rho^N\partial_{ij}h$$

together with the fact that H^m is a Banach algebra we get

$$I_2 + I_3 \leq C(NM_N + N(N-1)M_{N-1}).$$

To estimate the term I_1 we use

$$\|\rho^N \tilde{a}_{ij} \partial^\alpha \partial_{ij} v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \leq \|\tilde{a}_{ij}\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho^N \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \|a_{ij}\|_{W^{m,\infty}} \|\rho^N \partial^\alpha v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^{m-1}} \leq \varepsilon M_{N+1} + CM_N.$$

We get using first the product rule and then Faa di Brunos formula together with the fact that H^m is a Banach algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho^N \partial^\alpha (\tilde{a}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k)\|_{\tilde{H}^m} &\leq \|\rho^N \tilde{a}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} + \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \|\rho^{|\gamma|} \partial^\gamma \tilde{a}_{ij} \rho^{|\alpha|-|\gamma|} \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} \partial_{ij} v_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + CM_N + C \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} P^{|\gamma|}(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{|\alpha|-|\gamma|+1}\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.10 and (3.2) in the last step. Using Proposition 2.7 we deduce

$$(3.3) \quad \|\rho^{N+1} \partial^\alpha (\tilde{a}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k)\|_{\tilde{H}^m} \leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + CM_N + C \sum_{0 < l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{(N+1)-l}.$$

Estimating the term $\|f_k\|_{\tilde{H}^m}$ in a similar way yields

$$I_1 \leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + CM_N + C \sum_{0 < l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{(N+1)-l} + CP^N(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\})$$

and hence finally

$$\begin{aligned}M_{N+1} &\leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + C \sum_{0 < l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{E^k A^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{(N+1)-l} \\ &\quad + CP^N(\{E^k A^k k!\}, M_k) + CNM_N + CN(N-1)M_{N-1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. Cauchy's method of majorants. From Proposition 3.1 we get for $A_1 = E \cdot A$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned}M_{N+1} &\leq C\varepsilon M_{N+1} + C \sum_{0 < l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{A_1^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{(N+1)-l} \\ &\quad + CP^N(\{A_1^k k!\}, M_k) + CNM_N + CN(N-1)M_{N-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us now look for a suitable majorant for the right-hand side. For $A_1 = E \cdot A$ we set

$$G_1(z) := \frac{1}{2} + C \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_1^n (z - M_0)^n$$

and

$$G_2(z) := C \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_1^n (z - M_0)^n + \frac{M_1}{2}.$$

We consider a solution w to the differential equation

$$w'(t) = G_1(w)w' + G_2(w) + C(t + t^2))w'$$

with $w(0) = M_0$. As $|G_1(w) + C(t + t^2)| < 1$ near to 0 this differential equations is equivalent to

$$w'(t) = \frac{G_2(w)}{1 - (G_1(w) + Ct + Ct^2)}$$

near to $w = 0$ and hence has this initial value problem has a unique analytic solution on an open set containing 0. Using the product and chain rule, one see that the derivatives $\tilde{M}_N = w^{(N)}(0)$ satisfy $\tilde{M}_1 = M_1$ and the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_{N+1} = & \frac{1}{2}M_{N+1} + C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \binom{N}{l} P^l(\{A_1^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) M_{N+1-l} \\ & + CP^N(\{CA_1^k k!\}, \{M_k\}) + CNM_N + CN(N-1)M_{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $N \geq 1$. Comparing the last equality with inequality (3.4) we inductively deduce that

$$M_N \leq \tilde{M}_N \leq \tilde{C}B^N N!$$

if $C\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Lemma 2.1 then yields that u is analytic on $B_1(0)$

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert A. Adams. *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [2] S. Bernstein. Sur la nature analytique des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre. *Mathematische Annalen*, 59(1-2):20–76, 1904.
- [3] Augustin Louis Cauchy. *Mémoire sur l'emploi du calcul des limites dans l'intégration des équations aux dérivées partielles*. 1842.
- [4] G. M. Constantine and T. H. Savits. A multivariate Faà di Bruno formula with applications. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 348(2):503–520, 1996.
- [5] F Faà Di Bruno. Note sur une nouvelle formule de calcul différentiel. *Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1(359-360):12, 1857.
- [6] Avner Friedman. On the regularity of the solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic systems of partial differential equations. *J. Math. Mech.*, 7:43–59, 1958.
- [7] Maurice Gevrey. Sur la nature analytique des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles. Premier mémoire. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure. Troisième Série*, 35:129–190, 1918.
- [8] Yoshiaki Hashimoto. A remark on the analyticity of the solutions for non-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 29(2):271–281, 2006.
- [9] David Hilbert. Mathematical problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(10):437–479, 07 1902.
- [10] Keiichi Kato. New idea for proof of analyticity of solutions to analytic nonlinear elliptic equations. *SUT Journal of Mathematics*, 32(2):157–161, 1996.
- [11] Steven G. Krantz. A primer of real analytic functions, 1992.
- [12] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. I. Analyticity in the interior. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 80:198–218, 1958.
- [13] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. II. Analyticity at the boundary. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 80:219–237, 1958.
- [14] I. G. Petrowsky. Sur l'analyticité des solutions des systèmes d'équations différentielles. *Rec. Math. N. S. [Mat. Sbornik]*, 5(47):3–70, 1939.

(Simon Blatt) DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PARIS LODRON UNIVERSITÄT SALZBURG, HELLBRUNNER STRASSE 34, 5020 SALZBURG, AUSTRIA

E-mail address, Simon Blatt: simon.blatt@sbg.ac.at