

Effects of neutrino magnetic moment and charge radius constraints and medium modifications of the nucleon form factors on the neutrino mean free path in dense matter

Parada T.P. Hutaauruk,^{1,2,3,*} A. Sulaksono,^{3,†} and K. Tsushima^{4,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513, Republic of Korea*

²*Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 37673, Republic of Korea*

³*Departemen Fisika, FMIPA, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus UI Depok 16424, Indonesia*

⁴*Universidade Cidade de Sao Paulo (UNICID) and Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, 01506-000, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

(Dated: March 2, 2022)

Effects of neutrino charge radius and magnetic moment constraints obtained from the astrophysical observations and reactor experiments, as well as in-medium modifications of the weak and electromagnetic nucleon form factors of the matter on the neutrino electroweak interaction with dense matter, are estimated. We use a relativistic mean-field model for the in-medium effective nucleon mass and quark-meson coupling model for nucleon form factors. We analyze the neutrino scattering cross section and mean free path in cold nuclear matter. We find that the increase of the cross section relative to that without neutrino form factors results in the decrease of the neutrino mean free path when neutrino form factors and the in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and electromagnetic form factors are simultaneously considered. The quenching of the neutrino mean free path is evaluated to be about 12-58% for the values of $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ compared with that obtained for the $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$. The decrease of the neutrino mean free path is expected to decelerate the cooling of neutron stars. Each contribution of the neutrino form factors to the neutrino mean free path is discussed.

PACS numbers: 13.15.+g, 12.15.-y 21.65.-f, 26.60.-c 13.40.-f,

Keywords: Differential cross section, Neutrino magnetic moment, Neutrino charge radius, Medium modifications Nucleon form factors, Relativistic mean-field, Quark-meson coupling model

I. INTRODUCTION

In the standard model (SM), neutrinos are assumed to be massless and to have zero magnetic moments and electric charges. However, there are several pieces of evidence for massive neutrinos with nonzero magnetic moments that bound the electron neutrino magnetic moment (μ_{ν_e}) to be smaller than $1.0 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ at 90% confidence level, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton [1]. Note that the suffixes indicating the neutrino weak (flavor) eigenstates as ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ , will be suppressed hereafter, and will be denoted by ν , otherwise stated. The other stringent bound of $\mu_\nu \lesssim 3.0 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ is also available from the study of the red giant population in the globular cluster [2]. The most recent experimental constraint comes from the GEMMA (Germanium Experiment for measurement of Magnetic Moment of Antineutrino) experiment with the upper limit of μ_ν smaller than $2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ at 90% confidence level [3].

Besides the constraints for neutrino magnetic moment (NMM), the square charge radius for electron neutrino has been reported by LAMPF (Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility) experiment with $R_\nu^2 = (0.9 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2 = (22.5 \pm 67.5) \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}^2$ [4], while the plasmon decay in the globular cluster star leads to a limit $e_\nu \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-14} e$, where e is the electron charge [2]. Other recent experimental

constraints come from the solar [5–7], atmospheric [8–12], long baseline accelerator and reactor neutrinos of neutrino flavor oscillations [13–16], and Supernova SN 1987a Observations [17–19]. They clearly show that neutrinos are massive and can have finite magnetic moments and charge radii and hence, the SM has to be extended to accommodate the neutrino mass generation.

Many theoretical models for neutrino mass generation have been proposed over the years, for example, $L - R$ gauge symmetry model [20–26], flavor dependent gauge symmetry [27], seesaw-type models [28], supersymmetry with R-parity breaking [29–31], and effective field theory (EFT) [32–34]. However, the physical origin of neutrino mass generation and neutrino properties have not yet been fully understood. Therefore, more experiments and extensive theoretical studies on neutrino properties and interactions are urgently needed.

Besides the current issues on the neutrino mass generation, several interesting ideas and scenarios on new physics and nonstandard interactions (NSIs) beyond the standard model (BSM) have also been extensively discussed in the literature, namely, neutrino charge radii and magnetic moments [35–41], nonstandard interactions [40, 42–45], and neutrino oscillations [14, 45–47]. In this work, we concentrate on neutrino interaction with the dense matter by considering the neutrino charge radius and magnetic moment constraints together with the medium modifications of nucleon structure as matter constituents, which is an extension work of Refs. [38, 39].

In the previous work [37], the weak and electromagnetic (EM) interactions of neutrino with dense matter were discussed

* phutaauruk@gmail.com

† anto.sulaksono@sci.ui.ac.id

‡ kazuo.tsushima@gmail.com; kazuo.tsushima@cruzeirosul.edu.br

without considering the in-medium modifications of nucleon form factors. The authors, including two of us, studied the implications of the neutrino form factors in neutrino-matter scattering cross sections via neutral current [37] for a bound nucleon by including the effect of the neutrino magnetic moment and charge radius, respectively. In the study, they also considered neutrino trapping. In the present work, the matter is neutron star. Therefore, the neutrino trapping is not considered. They found that the neutrino EM form factors have important role in the differential cross section (DCRS) of electron neutrino and matter scattering for $\mu_\nu \gtrsim 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu \gtrsim 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$. Furthermore, the effect of neutrino EM form factors is more pronounced at higher nuclear densities.

In recent works of Refs. [38, 39], we studied medium modifications of the weak and EM form factors of nucleons on neutrino interaction with matter in the absence of the neutrino form factors. The EM form factors reflect their internal structure. We expect that the nucleons and the substructure of the nucleon to be modified by their surrounding environment. Therefore, it is natural to anticipate that the nucleon's EM and weak properties are modified in the nuclear medium.

The lattice QCD has also attempted to study the in-medium modifications of nucleon for a few body systems in Ref. [48]. In addition recent experimental observations in electron-nucleus scattering suggest the in-medium modifications of the nucleon EM form factors, although the analysis is yet model dependent [49–54]. More reviews and discussions on medium modifications of the nucleon can be found in Refs. [38, 39, 55–58]. Triggered by the results of the studies [37–39] and experimental evidence, in the present work, we address the significance of both, neutrino form factors—magnetic moment and charge radius, and in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and EM form factors on the neutrino scattering with the matter.

In this paper we employ a relativistic mean-field (RMF) model to describe the matter. As for describing the in-medium nucleon weak and EM form factors, we adopt the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model [59]. The RMF and QMC models have been widely and successfully used in the finite nuclei and nuclear matter. We adopt a linear response approximation for describing the scattering of neutrinos with the proton, neutron, electron, and muon, which are the standard constituents of neutron star matter. We then calculate the cross section to estimate the neutrino mean free path (NMFP) by combining both theoretical approaches. In the present work, we consider the NMM and charge radius, which are absent in our previous studies of Refs. [38, 39], obtained from the observations and reactor experiments together with the density-dependent nucleon form factor.

We find that the neutrino differential cross sections of the electroweak neutrino scattering with the constituents of matter are insensitive to increasing nuclear density. However, the neutrino cross section is sensitive to the magnetic moment and charge radius of neutrino. It gives a counter effect to the in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and electromagnetic form factor effects. The effect becomes pronounced for larger magnitude of neutrino magnetic moment and neutrino charge radius.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly

present neutrino differential cross sections for scattering with the constituents of matter in the presence of both neutrino form factors (magnetic moment and charge radius) and in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and EM form factors. In Sec. III, we present the neutrino differential cross section and mean free path. In Sec. IV, our numerical results are presented, and their implications are discussed. Section V is devoted for a summary.

II. NEUTRAL CURRENT NEUTRINO SCATTERING WITH MATTER AND THE FORM FACTORS

Here we briefly review the differential cross section of neutrino scattering with the matter in the weak and EM interactions. In the calculation, we consider neutrino magnetic moment and charge radius, as well as nucleon form factors. Effective Lagrangian density for the neutrino with the constituents of matter in terms of the current-current interaction has a form [38, 39]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[l_W^\mu \mathcal{J}_\mu^{\text{W}(j)} \right] + \frac{4\alpha_{\text{em}}}{q^2} \left[l_{\text{EM}}^\mu \mathcal{J}_\mu^{\text{EM}(j)} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the first term of the effective Lagrangian is the weak interaction for lepton $l_W^\mu = [\bar{\nu}_e(k') \Gamma_W^\mu \nu_e(k)]$, and for nucleon $\mathcal{J}_\mu^{\text{W}(j)} = [\bar{\psi}_j(p') J_\mu^{\text{W}(j)} \psi_j(p)]$, and the second term of the effective Lagrangian is the EM interaction for lepton $l_{\text{EM}}^\mu = [\bar{\nu}_e(k') \Gamma_{\text{EM}}^\mu \nu_e(k)]$, and for nucleon $\mathcal{J}_\mu^{\text{EM}(j)} = [\bar{\psi}_j(p') J_\mu^{\text{EM}(j)} \psi_j(p)]$, $G_F \simeq 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $\alpha_{\text{em}}^{-1} \simeq 137$ [60] are the Fermi (weak) coupling constant and EM fine structure constant, respectively. Here, $\nu_e(k)$ and $\bar{\nu}_e(k')$ are respectively the initial and final state neutrino spinors. The $\psi_j(p)$ and $\bar{\psi}_j(p')$ stand for the initial and final spinors of the target fermion $j = (n, p, e^-, \mu^-)$, and q is the transferred four-momentum. Here, the Dirac neutrino is considered [61, 62], and we will focus on the electron neutrino. Note that we do not consider the neutrino oscillation in the present work.

A. Neutrino Magnetic Moment and Charge Radius

The neutrino weak interaction vertex is defined by $\Gamma_W^\mu = \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5)$ in Eq. (1), whereas the EM interaction vertex of Dirac neutrinos is described by four form factors. Applying the current conservation, it then gives [61, 63–65]

$$\Gamma_{\text{EM}}^\mu(q^2) = (f_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{m_\nu}{m_e}\right) f_2(q^2)) \gamma^\mu + g_1(q^2) \gamma^\mu \gamma^5, \\ - [f_2(q^2) + i g_2(q^2) \gamma^5] \frac{P^\mu}{2m_e}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_1(q^2)$, $g_1(q^2)$, $f_2(q^2)$, and $g_2(q^2)$ are the Dirac, anapole, magnetic, and electric form factors, respectively. The $g_1(q^2) = 0$ when neutrino mass is not zero. The $P_\mu = k_\mu + k'_\mu$ with m_ν (m_e) being the neutrino (electron) mass. Following

Refs. [63–66] in the static limit the derivative of the Dirac and anapole form factors against q^2 yield respectively the vector charge radius $\langle R_V^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{df_1(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0}$ and the axial-vector

charge radius $\langle R_A^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{dg_1(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0}$. In the Breit frame, where $q_0 = 0$, it gives $f_1(q^2) \simeq \frac{1}{6} \langle R_V^2 \rangle q^2 = -\frac{1}{6} \langle R_V^2 \rangle q^2$, and $g_1(q^2) \simeq \frac{1}{6} \langle R_A^2 \rangle q^2 = -\frac{1}{6} \langle R_A^2 \rangle q^2$, where $f_1(0) = g_1(0) = 0$ are used. The sum $\langle R^2 \rangle \equiv \langle R_V^2 \rangle + \langle R_A^2 \rangle$ is commonly defined. Further details about neutrino form factors can be found in Refs. [67–69].

The form factors of $f_2(q^2)$ and $g_2(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$ identify explicitly the neutrino magnetic moment and the charge parity (CP) violating electric dipole moment as $\mu_\nu^m = f_2(0)\mu_B$ and $\mu_\nu^e = g_2(0)\mu_B$, where the neutrino magnetic moment is expressed as $\mu_\nu^2 \equiv (\mu_\nu^m)^2 + (\mu_\nu^e)^2$ [70], and $\mu_B = e/2m_e$ is the Bohr magneton. The value of the neutrino magnetic moment is estimated around $10^{-10}\mu_B$ as in Refs. [2, 71–75].

B. Medium modifications of nucleon form factors

After defining neutrino form factors, here we present the nucleon electroweak form factors in free-space and medium. We briefly present the free-space nucleon form factors, which the weak and EM vertices of the current operators are respectively given by [71, 76, 77], $J_\mu^W = F_1^W(q^2)\gamma_\mu - G_A(q^2)\gamma_\mu\gamma^5 + iF_2^W(q^2)\frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{2M_N} + \frac{G_P(q^2)}{2M_N}q_\mu\gamma^5 + \frac{F_3^W(q^2)}{2M_N}q_\mu + i\frac{G_2(q^2)}{2M_N}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu\gamma_5$, and $J_\mu^{\text{EM}} = F_1^{\text{EM}}(q^2)\gamma_\mu + iF_2^{\text{EM}}(q^2)\frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{2M_N}$, where M_N is the nucleon mass. The scalar form factor $F_3^W(Q^2)$ in the vector part of weak interaction and the axial tensor form factor $G_2(q^2)$ in the axial part equal to be zero because of the conservation of vector current (CVC) and if we assume SU(2) (isospin) symmetry, that determined on the mass shell. The induced pseudoscalar form factor of $G_P(q^2)$ will be ignored in the present work. This term contributes very small to the cross section, which is proportional to (lepton mass) $^2/M_N^2$ [78]. In this work we consider symmetric nuclear matter and the isospin symmetry breaking contribution is small. However, the second class current (proportional $F_3^W(q^2)$ and $G_2(q^2)$) like longitudinal component of the weak vector current is possible to be non-zero in the electro-weak interaction, even in the limit of exact isotopic symmetry, by considering off the mass shell. A violation of isotopic symmetry that associated with the rising additional term of the order of the quark mass difference between the up and down quarks results the nonconservation of the charge-changing component of the vector part of weak current [79]. The complete formula for free-space weak form factors $F_1^W(0)$, $G_A(0)$ and $F_2^W(0)$ and electromagnetic form factors $F_1^{\text{EM}}(0)$ and $F_2^{\text{EM}}(0)$ can be found in Refs. [37–39]. Note that, in this work, q^2 dependence of the form factor is weak and neglected, since the medium is being probed at $q^2 = 0$. In such a small transferred momentum of q^2 , the quark counting rules can be held. The quark counting rules are usually applied in the extremely high q^2 , although the quark counting rules are not a kind of rigorous theories that directly

derived from QCD.

Here we emphasize that we are interested in the in-medium modified nucleon form factors in neutrino-nucleon scattering. Hence, the free-space form factors $G_A(q^2)$, $F_2^W(q^2)$, and $F_2^{\text{EM}}(q^2)$ are replaced by respectively the in-medium modified form factors $G_A^*(q^2)$, $F_2^{W*}(q^2)$, and $F_2^{\text{EM}*}(q^2)$. However the weak-vector and EM charges $F_1^{W*}(0)$ and $F_1^{\text{EM}*}(0)$ do not change (normalized) from the free space. For the sake of consistency the $F_1^{W*}(q^2)$ and $F_1^{\text{EM}*}(q^2)$ are kept. Henceforth, those quantities in nuclear medium are represented with an asterisk.

The nucleon form factors in medium are calculated in the QMC model [38, 39, 59, 80–94]. Employing the G -parity argument, we use the charged-current weak-interaction vector and axial-vector form factors for free-space nucleons. The form factors $F_1^W(q^2)$ and $F_2^W(q^2)$ are assumed to be the same as those of the EM form factors $F_1^{\text{EM}}(q^2)$ and $F_2^{\text{EM}}(q^2)$, respectively, based on the isospin invariance. The $F_1^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2)$ and $F_2^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2)$ are connected to the electric $G_E(q^2)$ and magnetic $G_M(q^2)$ Sachs form factors as $G_E(q^2) = F_1^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4M_N^2}F_2^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2)$, and $G_M(q^2) = F_1^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2) + F_2^{W,\text{EM}}(q^2)$, respectively. Note that the induced pseudoscalar form factor $G_P(q^2)$ is dominated by the pion pole and can be calculated using the partial conservation of the axial current (PCAC) relation [96, 97].

In the Breit frame the in-medium nucleon form factors are calculated [82, 98] using the improved cloudy bag model (ICBM) in Ref. [99] and the QMC model defines as $G_{E,M,A}^{*\text{QMC}}(q^2) = \left(\frac{M_N^*}{E_N^*}\right)^2 G_{E,M,A}^{*\text{sph}}(\bar{q}^2)$, where the subscriptions of E, M, A , which are the electric, magnetic, and axial-vector form factors, and $\bar{q}^2 = (M_N^*/E_N^*)^2 q^2$, respectively. The multiplication factor of $(M_N^*/E_N^*)^2$ originally comes from the Lorentz contraction, which the in-medium nucleon energy is expressed as $E_N^* = \sqrt{M_N^{*2}(\sigma) + q^2/4}$. This is obtained from the static spherical MIT bag quark wave functions of nucleons [99] using the in-medium inputs calculated by the QMC model. The Peierls-Thouless projection, which accounts the center-of-mass and recoil corrections as well as the Lorentz contraction of the internal quark wave function, are considered in the ICBM.

The ratios of the $G_{E,M,A}^{*\text{QMC}}/(G_{E,M,A}^{\text{ICBM}})_{\text{free}}$ are then calculated. From these ratios, the in-medium nucleon form factors are determined using the empirical form factors extracted in free space. The in-medium nucleon form factors $G_{E,M,A}^*(q^2)$ is obtained as $G_{E,M,A}^*(q^2) = \left[\frac{G_{E,M,A}^{*\text{QMC}}(q^2)}{(G_{E,M,A}^{\text{ICBM}})_{\text{free}}(q^2)} \right] G_{E,M,A}^{\text{emp}}(q^2)$. Here we do not consider the pion cloud effect in the calculation of the axial-vector form factor. The q^2 dependence of the normalized axial-vector form factor is reproduced quite well [82] by using the empirical parametrization in free space.

In our present work we use the standard values in the QMC model, current quark mass $m_u = m_d = 5$ MeV (SU(2) isospin symmetry) and the free-space nucleon bag radius $R_N = 0.8$ fm [59, 80, 92, 95]. The result for the ratios of the




FIG. 1. (Color online.) Ratio of the in-medium to free-space nucleon weak and EM form factors at $q^2 = 0$ as a function of ρ_B/ρ_0 , where $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. The figure is adopted from Ref. [38].

in-medium to free-space nucleon form factors as a function of ρ_B/ρ_0 is shown in Fig. 1. It shows that the form factors of the nucleons ($G_A(0)$, and $F_2(0)$) are modified in the medium. The ratio of $G_A(0)$ in medium to vacuum decrease as the baryon density increases, whereas the ratios of $F_2(0)$ in medium to vacuum increase as the baryon density increases. The change of $G_A \approx \int (\text{UU} - \frac{1}{3}\text{LL})$ and $F_2 \approx \int 2\text{UL}$ in medium is due to, in medium, the lower component L of the quark Dirac spinor is enhanced (more relativistic). This leads to decreasing of G_A and increasing of F_2 , where U is the upper component of the quark Dirac spinor. We remind that the nucleon form factor ratios are obtained using the quark substructure of nucleons. Later the in-medium modified nucleon form factors are used as inputs to calculate the neutrino differential cross sections and mean free path.

III. NEUTRINO DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION AND MEAN FREE PATH

Using the effective Lagrangian density of Eq. (1), we derive the differential cross section per volume of the neutrino scattering with a target particle. The expression for the differential cross section is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{V} \frac{d^3\sigma}{d^2\Omega' dE'_\nu} \right) = & -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{E'_\nu}{E_\nu} \left[\bar{G}_F^2 \left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{W})} \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha_{\text{em}}}{q^2} \right)^2 \left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{EM})} \\ & \left. + \frac{8\pi \bar{G}_F \alpha_{\text{em}}}{q^2} \left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{INT})} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with E'_ν and E_ν is the neutrino final and initial energies, respectively. The $\left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{W})}$, $\left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{EM})}$, and $\left(L_\nu^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}} \right)^{(\text{INT})}$ are respectively the contraction of the leptonic

and hadronic parts for the weak interaction (W), the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic parts for the EM interaction (EM) and the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic parts for the interference of the weak and EM interactions (INT), where $L_\nu^{\alpha\beta}$ is the leptonic tensor and $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Im}}$ is hadronic polarization tensor. The details of analytic derivations of the polarization tensors and the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic parts for the weak and EM interactions as well as other quantities in Eq. (3), can be found in Refs. [37, 72].

In the scattering of neutrino with constituents of matter, the nuclear matter is described using the RMF model [100–108]. The effective mass of the nucleon M_N^* is obtained approximately around $0.78 M_N$ at the normal nuclear matter density $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. This value is consistent with those obtained in the QMC model [59, 80–94]. The complete parameter sets used in the RMF model as well as other quantities such as the binding energy, compression modulus and symmetry energy coefficient can be found in Refs. [100–108].

The inverse mean free path of the neutrino (INMFP) is easily obtained by integrating the differential cross section of Eq. (3) over the energy transfer q_0 and the three-momentum transfer $|\mathbf{q}|$. Then, the expression for INMFP at a fixed baryon density is given by [101]

$$\lambda^{-1}(E_\nu) = \int_{q_0}^{2E_\nu - q_0} d|\mathbf{q}| \int_0^{2E_\nu} dq_0 \frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{E'_\nu E_\nu} \frac{2\pi}{V} \frac{d^3\sigma}{d^2\Omega' dE'_\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where $E'_\nu = E_\nu - q_0$ is relation between the neutrino final and initial energies. The maximum value of q_0 for scattering with nucleons is found to be a function of effective mass and fermi momentum at fixed \mathbf{q} , it then gives $q_0^{\text{max}} = \sqrt{M_N^{*2} + (p_F + |\mathbf{q}|)^2} = E_F \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{(M_N^*/p_F)^2 + 1}} |\mathbf{q}|$. The maximum value of q_0 increases as M_N^* decreases at give value of p_F . At low density, the fraction of the electrons and muons scattering with neutrinos are very small and the $p_F^{e,\mu}$ are very much larger than their free mass, which implies $q_0^{\text{max}} \approx |\mathbf{q}|$ and the minimum value of $q_0 = 0$. Hence the range of q_0 is larger than that of nucleon targets. This kinematic cutoff is responsible for the sharp peak structure of DCRS of neutrino scattering with the target nucleon. The detailed explanations about the determination of the lower and upper limits in the integral can be found in Ref. [101].

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We present the differential cross section and mean free path for neutrino scattering with constituents of matter at vanishing temperature by considering neutrino magnetic moment and charge radius and in-medium modified nucleon form factors. Here the cross section and mean free path are evaluated at the energy transfer q_0 at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ and the initial neutrino energy $E_\nu = 5 \text{ MeV}$, which is the typical kinematics for the cooling phase of a neutron star [38, 109, 110]. In the later stages of the NS cooling phase, the ground state of NS is reached when the temperature decreases below a few MeV




FIG. 2. (Color online.) Differential cross section of neutrino scattering with constituents of matter for both $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ and in-medium modifications of nucleon form factors as a function of q_0 at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ and $E_\nu = 5 \text{ MeV}$.




FIG. 3. (Color online) Differential cross section of neutrino scattering with constituents of matter for both $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ and in-medium modifications of nucleon form factors as a function of q_0 at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ and $E_\nu = 5 \text{ MeV}$.

and it becomes cool, dense and highly degenerate. Hence the approximation temperature $T = 0$ is very good and valid in such a dense system.

In Fig. 2, we show the total sum of the differential cross sections for $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ (the same order magnitude with MUNU experiment [1]) and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ for different densities. The magnitude of the total sum of the differential cross sections increases dramatically, which is almost twice of the total sum of the differential cross sections for $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$ or for $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$. However, the magnitude of the total sum of the differential cross sections does not vary significantly as the nuclear density increases.

In Fig. 3 we show the differential cross section for different

densities for $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$, which is obtained from the astrophysical bound. Comparing with $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ the total cross section of Fig. 3 does not change drastically. It indicates the effect of the $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ is less significant for total cross section, as expected. However, the cross section for the $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ significantly changes from that with free-space nucleon form factors.

Figure 4 shows the differential cross section of neutrino for $\mu_\nu = 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$, which are taken from the GEMMA experiment. The shape and magnitude of the cross section are almost the same as in Fig. 3, as expected.

We then observe effects of both neutrino magnetic moment




FIG. 4. (Color online) Differential cross section of neutrino scattering with constituents of matter for both $\mu_\nu = 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ and in-medium modifications of nucleon form factors as a function of q_0 at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ and $E_\nu = 5 \text{ MeV}$.

and charge radius and in-medium nucleon weak and EM form factors on NMFP. Figure 5(a) shows NMFP for the free-space nucleon form factors (dotted line) and in-medium modified nucleon form factors (solid line) with $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$ [38, 39] at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ and $E_\nu = 5 \text{ MeV}$. The result obtained is consistent with the result in Ref. [38]. As expected, NMFP becomes longer by including the in-medium modified nucleon weak and EM form factors, than that calculated with the free-space nucleon form factors.

The in-medium modifications of the nucleon form factors increase NMFP by 9-38% [38, 39]. The neutrino-nucleon interaction in a nuclear medium is weaker than those in free space. As we know, the nucleon weak-vector (F_2^W) and EM form factors are enhanced in the nuclear medium. However, the quenched axial-vector coupling constant $G_A^*(0)$ dominantly contributes to reducing the total cross section, which results to enhance NMFP.

In Fig. 5(b) we show NMFP for both $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$ [38, 39] (solid line) and for $\mu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$ (long dashed line) with the in-medium modified nucleon form factors as a function of ρ_B/ρ_0 . The NMFP decreases as the nuclear density increases. It indicates that the neutrino magnetic moment reduces NMFP by 5-60%.

Figure 5(c) shows NFMP decreases as the nuclear density increases for $\mu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \mu_B$. This result shows the neutrino charge radius decreases NMFP by 12-58%.

In Fig. 5(d) we show NMFP for $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ as a function of ρ_B/ρ_0 . The NFMP is more reduced significantly as the nuclear density increases. The neutrino magnetic moment and charge radius reduce NMFP by 15-67%. This result implies that it decelerates the cooling of neutron stars, meaning that neutrinos need more time to escape from neutron stars.

Comparing with the result of the radius of proto-neutron star (PNS) calculated in the RG(SLy4) model with $M_B = 1.6 M_\odot$ for $t = 0.1$ and 5.1 s [111] and the radius of PNS has less

than 20 km in the literature, as in Fig. 5, our predictions for $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$, $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$, $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$, and $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ show that the NMFPs are greater than the PNS radius. However, the PNS becomes less transparent to neutrinos for the $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$.

In the left panel of Fig. 6 we show NMFP for the $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$. The NFMP significantly suppresses with increasing the nuclear density. However comparing with NFMP in Fig. 5(c), the difference in NMFP is small. It means neutrino charge radius has more significant role than neutrino magnetic moment for NMFP. The contribution with $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$ for NMFP is about 1-2% compared with that for $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$. Figure 6 shows that the NMFPs for the $\mu_\nu = 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ are also greater than the PNS radius, as predicted. Moreover, we observe NMFP for the $\mu_\nu = 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{MeV}^{-1}$ as in the right panel in Fig. 6. It indicates the contribution of neutrino magnetic moment is less significant for NMFP.

For $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$ and free nucleon form factors, the increasing the neutrino energy $E_\nu = 50 \text{ MeV}$ which is the typical kinematics for the first seconds after the collapse will decrease the DCRS of neutrino. This leads to decrease the NMFP. If we take neutrino energy below 5 MeV i.e. $E_\nu = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$, or neutrino energy in the range of a few keV, i.e. $E_\nu \approx [0.2-20] \text{ keV}$, which is typical of the cooling time scale of thousands of years in PNS. Decreasing the neutrino energy will decrease the DCRS of neutrino. It will lead to increase the NMFP. By taking medium nucleon form factor and $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$, the DCRS will decrease, which leads the NMFP increases. If we consider in-medium modification nucleon form factor and $\mu_\nu \neq 0$ and $R_\nu \neq 0$, it will increase the magnitude of DCRS by factor 3.




FIG. 5. (Color online) Neutrino mean free path as a function of nuclear density ρ_B/ρ_0 at $|\mathbf{q}| = 2.5$ MeV and $E_\nu = 5$ MeV. The radius of PNS with $M_B = 1.6 M_\odot$ for $t = 0.1$ and 5.1 s is taken from Ref. [111].

V. SUMMARY

To summarize, we have studied the effects of magnetic moment and charge radius of neutrino and in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and electromagnetic form factors on the neutrino scattering differential cross section and mean free path in dense matter. The in-medium-modified nucleon form factors are calculated by the quark-meson coupling model, and the nuclear matter is described in the relativistic mean field model.

The neutrino differential cross sections of the electroweak neutrino scattering with the constituents of matter are insensitive to increasing nuclear density. However, the neutrino cross section is sensitive to the magnetic moment and charge radius of neutrino. It gives a counter effect to the in-medium modifications of the nucleon weak and electromagnetic form factor effects. The effect becomes pronounced for larger magnitude of neutrino magnetic moment and neutrino charge radius. This result is to decrease the neutrino mean free path. The NMFP for $\mu_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ and $\mu_\nu = 0$

and $R_\nu = 0$ is estimated to be decreased about 15-67%.

Result for $\mu_\nu = 1 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$, and $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$, which are taken from the reactor experiments of MUNU collaboration, the NMFP are estimated to be reduced 10–15% and 3–36%, respectively, compared to that obtained with $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$ [38].

Result for $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$, which (μ_ν) is obtained from the astrophysical observation, the NMFP is shorter than that with the in-medium nucleon form factors. The contribution of the neutrino charge radius is more significant than the neutrino magnetic moment. The contribution of $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$ and $R_\nu = 0$ for NMFP is about 1-2 % compared to that with $\mu_\nu = 0$ and $R_\nu = 0$ [38].

At higher density the matter compositions in the neutron star cores are expected to change and it will affect the neutrino interaction with constituents of matter. It would be fascinating to include the density dependence of the lepton fractions of matter composition, since the lepton fraction affects the electron neutrino transport in protoneutron stars [112]. These issues will be considered for our future work.




FIG. 6. (Color online) Neutrino mean free path as a function of nuclear density ρ_B/ρ_0 at $|q| = 2.5$ MeV and $E_\nu = 5$ MeV. The radius of PNS with $M_B = 1.6 M_\odot$ for $t = 0.1$ and 5.1 s is taken from Ref. [111].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.T.P.H. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) No. 2018R1A5A1025563 and No. 2019R1A2C1005697. The work of A.S. is partly supported by DRPM UI's (PUTI-Q1 and PUTI-Q2) grants No:NKB-1368/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2020 and No:NKB-1647/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2020. The work

of K.T. was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) Process, No. 313063/2018-4, and No. 426150/2018-0, and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) Process, No. 2019/00763-0, and was also part of the projects, Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia – Nuclear Physics and Applications (INCT-FNA), Brazil, Process. No. 464898/2014-5, and FAPESP Temático, Brazil, Process, No. 2017/05660-0.

-
- [1] Z. Daraktchieva *et al.* [MUNU], Limits on the neutrino magnetic moment from the MUNU experiment, *Phys. Lett. B* **564**, 190-198 (2003).
- [2] G. G. Raffelt, Limits on neutrino electromagnetic properties: An update, *Phys. Rept.* **320**, 319-327 (1999).
- [3] A. G. Beda, V. B. Brudanin, V. G. Egorov, D. V. Medvedev, V. S. Pogosov, E. A. Shevchik, M. V. Shirchenko, A. S. Starostin and I. V. Zhitnikov, Gemma experiment: The results of neutrino magnetic moment search, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **10**, 139-143 (2013).
- [4] R. C. Allen, H. H. Chen, P. J. Doe, R. Hausammann, W. P. Lee, X. Q. Lu, H. J. Mahler, M. E. Potter, K. C. Wang, T. J. Bowles, R. L. Burman, R. D. Carlini, D. R. F. Cochran, J. S. Frank, E. Piasetzky, V. D. Sandberg, D. A. Krakauer and R. L. Talaga, Study of electron-neutrino electron elastic scattering at LAMPF, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 11-28 (1993).
- [5] A. Friedland, Do solar neutrinos probe neutrino electromagnetic properties?, [arXiv:hep-ph/0505165 [hep-ph]].
- [6] A. Cisneros, Effect of neutrino magnetic moment on solar neutrino observations, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **10**, 87-92 (1971).
- [7] R. Davis, Jr., D. S. Harmer and K. C. Hoffman, Search for neutrinos from the sun, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 1205-1209 (1968).
- [8] Y. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande], Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562-1567 (1998).
- [9] G. Barr, T. K. Gaisser and T. Stanev, Flux of Atmospheric Neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 3532-3534 (1989).
- [10] K. S. Hirata *et al.* [Kamiokande-II], Experimental Study of the Atmospheric Neutrino Flux, *Phys. Lett. B* **205**, 416-420 (1988).
- [11] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube], Searching for eV-scale sterile neutrinos with eight years of atmospheric neutrinos at the IceCube neutrino telescope, [arXiv:2005.12943 [hep-ex]].
- [12] J. Brunner [ANTARES], Atmospheric Neutrino Oscillations in Antares, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **237-238**, 269-271 (2013).
- [13] M. H. Ahn *et al.* [K2K], Indications of neutrino oscillation in a 250 km long baseline experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 041801 (2003).
- [14] O. Yasuda, Neutrino Oscillations at low energy long baseline experiments in the presence of nonstandard interactions and parameter degeneracy, *PTEP* **2020**, no.6, 063B03 (2020).
- [15] K. Abe *et al.* [Hyper-Kamiokande Working Group], A Long Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Experiment Using J-PARC Neutrino Beam and Hyper-Kamiokande, [arXiv:1412.4673 [physics.ins-det]].
- [16] R. Acciarri *et al.* [DUNE], Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), [arXiv:1512.06148 [physics.ins-det]].
- [17] I. Goldman, Y. Aharonov, G. Alexander and S. Nussinov, Implications of the Supernova Sn1987a Neutrino Signals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1789 (1988).
- [18] J. M. Lattimer and J. Cooperstein, Limits on the Neutrino

- Magnetic Moment from SN 1987a, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 23-26 (1988).
- [19] R. Barbieri and R. N. Mohapatra, Limit on the Magnetic Moment of the Neutrino from Supernova SN 1987a Observations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 27 (1988).
- [20] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Unified Lepton-Hadron Symmetry and a Gauge Theory of the Basic Interactions, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 1240-1251 (1973).
- [21] M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Nonlinear Realization and Hidden Local Symmetries, Phys. Rept. **164**, 217-314 (1988).
- [22] R. N. Mohapatra and R. E. Marshak, Local B-L Symmetry of Electroweak Interactions, Majorana Neutrinos and Neutron Oscillations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1316-1319 (1980).
- [23] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Left-Right Gauge Symmetry and an Isoconjugate Model of CP Violation, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 566-571 (1975).
- [24] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Exact Left-Right Symmetry and Spontaneous Violation of Parity, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 1502 (1975).
- [25] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, A Natural Left-Right Symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2558 (1975).
- [26] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Model for Large Transition Magnetic Moment of the ν_e , Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 228 (1989).
- [27] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Dark matter and B -meson anomalies in a flavor dependent gauge symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.5, 055041 (2019).
- [28] S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, Leptogenesis, Phys. Rept. **466**, 105-177 (2008).
- [29] R. Barbier, C. Berat, M. Besancon, M. Chemtob, A. Deandrea, E. Dudas, P. Fayet, S. Lavignac, G. Moreau, E. Perez and Y. Sirois, R-parity violating supersymmetry, Phys. Rept. **420**, 1-202 (2005).
- [30] S. P. Martin, A Supersymmetry primer, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **21**, 1-153 (2010).
- [31] V. D. Barger, G. F. Giudice and T. Han, Some New Aspects of Supersymmetry R-Parity Violating Interactions, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2987 (1989).
- [32] I. Brivio and M. Trott, The Standard Model as an Effective Field Theory, Phys. Rept. **793**, 1-98 (2019).
- [33] T. Li, X. D. Ma and M. A. Schmidt, Constraints on the charged currents in general neutrino interactions with sterile neutrinos, [arXiv:2007.15408 [hep-ph]].
- [34] M. Chala and A. Titov, One-loop running of dimension-six Higgs-neutrino operators and implications of a large neutrino dipole moment, [arXiv:2006.14596 [hep-ph]].
- [35] K. Fujikawa and R. Shrock, The Magnetic Moment of a Massive Neutrino and Neutrino Spin Rotation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 963 (1980).
- [36] C. Kalemouw-Williams, P. T. P. Hutaauruk, A. Sulaksono and T. Mart, Neutrino electromagnetic form-factor and oscillation effects on neutrino interaction with dense matter, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 017303 (2005).
- [37] A. Sulaksono, C. Kalemouw-Williams, P. T. P. Hutaauruk and T. Mart, Neutrino electromagnetic form-factors effect on the neutrino cross section in dense matter, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 025803 (2006).
- [38] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, Y. Oh and K. Tsushima, Impact of medium modifications of the nucleon weak and electromagnetic form factors on the neutrino mean free path in dense matter, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.1, 013009 (2018).
- [39] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, Y. Oh and K. Tsushima, Effects of medium modifications of nucleon form factors on neutrino scattering in dense matter," JPS Conf. Proc. **26**, 024031 (2019).
- [40] G. Sinev, Constraining Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions and Estimating Future Neutrino-Magnetic-Moment Sensitivity With COHERENT,
- [41] P. Jaikumar, K. R. S. Balaji and C. Gale, Neutrino emission in neutron matter from magnetic moment interactions, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 055804 (2004).
- [42] W. Altmannshofer, M. Tammara and J. Zupan, Non-standard neutrino interactions and low energy experiments, JHEP **09**, 083 (2019).
- [43] O. G. Miranda and H. Nunokawa, Non standard neutrino interactions: current status and future prospects, New J. Phys. **17**, no.9, 095002 (2015).
- [44] L. J. Flores, N. Nath and E. Peinado, Non-standard neutrino interactions in U(1) model after COHERENT data, JHEP **06**, 045 (2020).
- [45] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler and J. Salvado, Updated Constraints on Non-Standard Interactions from Global Analysis of Oscillation Data, JHEP **08**, 180 (2018).
- [46] F. Capozzi, G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, Status of three-neutrino oscillation parameters, circa 2013, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 093018 (2014).
- [47] L. Wolfenstein, Neutrino Oscillations in Matter, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369-2374 (1978).
- [48] E. Chang *et al.* (NPLQCD Collaboration), Scalar, axial, and tensor interactions of light nuclei from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 152002 (2018).
- [49] S. Malov *et al.* (The Jefferson Laboratory Hall A Collaboration), Polarization transfer in the $^{16}\text{O}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})^{15}\text{N}$ reaction, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 057302 (2000).
- [50] S. Dieterich *et al.*, Polarization transfer in the $^4\text{He}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})^3\text{H}$ reaction, Phys. Lett. B **500**, 47 (2001).
- [51] S. Strauch (Jefferson Lab E93-049 Collaboration), Medium modification of the proton form factor, Eur. Phys. J. A **19s01**, 153 (2004).
- [52] I. C. Cloët, G. A. Miller, E. Piasetzky, and G. Ron, Neutron properties in the medium, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 082301 (2009).
- [53] M. Paolone *et al.* (E03-104 Collaboration), Polarization transfer in the $^4\text{He}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})^3\text{H}$ reaction at $Q^2 = 0.8$ and 1.3 (GeV/c) 2 , Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 072001 (2010).
- [54] S. P. Malace *et al.*, Precise extraction of the induced polarization in the $^4\text{He}(e, e'\vec{p})^3\text{H}$ reaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 052501 (2011).
- [55] R. Schiavilla, O. Benhar, A. Kievsky, L. E. Marcucci, and M. Viviani, Polarization transfer in $^4\text{He}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})^3\text{H}$: Is the ratio G_{EP}/G_{MP} modified in the nuclear medium?, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 072303 (2005).
- [56] S. Strauch, Hadron medium modifications, EPJ Web Conf. **36**, 00016 (2012).
- [57] W. K. Brooks, S. Strauch, and K. Tsushima, Medium modifications of hadron properties and partonic processes, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **299**, 012011 (2011).
- [58] O. Hen, G. A. Miller, E. Piasetzky, and L. B. Weinstein, Nucleon-nucleon correlations, short-lived excitations, and the quarks within, Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 045002 (2017).
- [59] P. A. M. Guichon, A possible quark mechanism for the saturation of nuclear matter, Phys. Lett. B **200**, 235 (1988).
- [60] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).
- [61] J. F. Nieves, Electromagnetic properties of Majorana neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 3152 (1982).
- [62] R. E. Shrock, Electromagnetic Properties and Decays of Dirac and Majorana Neutrinos in a General Class of Gauge Theories, Nucl. Phys. B **206**, 359-379 (1982).

- [63] P. Vogel and J. Engel, Neutrino electromagnetic form factors, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 3378 (1989).
- [64] C. Giunti and A. Studenikin, Neutrino electromagnetic properties, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **72**, 2089 (2009).
- [65] C. Broggini, C. Giunti, and A. Studenikin, Electromagnetic properties of neutrinos, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2012**, 459526 (2012).
- [66] K. Fujikawa and R. Shrock, Neutrino electroweak radius, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 013007 (2004).
- [67] C. Giunti, K. A. Kouzakov, Y.-F. Li, A. V. Lokhov, A. I. Studenikin, and S. Zhou, Electromagnetic neutrinos in laboratory experiments and astrophysics, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **528**, 198 (2016).
- [68] E. Nardi, On the neutrino vector and axial vector charge radius, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **670**, 118 (2003).
- [69] P. Vilain *et al.* (CHARM II Collaboration), Experimental study of electromagnetic properties of the muon neutrino in neutrino-electron scattering, *Phys. Lett. B* **345**, 115 (1995).
- [70] B. K. Kerimov, S. M. Zeinalov, V. N. Alizade, and A. M. Mourão, The role of the neutrino electromagnetic moments in the stellar energy loss rate, *Phys. Lett. B* **274**, 477 (1992).
- [71] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, A. Sulaksono, and T. Mart, Effects of the neutrino electromagnetic form factors on the neutrino and antineutrino mean free paths difference in dense matter, *Nucl. Phys. A* **782**, 400c (2007).
- [72] A. Sulaksono, P. T. P. Hutaauruk, and T. Mart, Isovector-channel role of relativistic mean field models in the neutrino mean free path, *Phys. Rev. C* **72**, 065801 (2005).
- [73] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, C. K. Williams, A. Sulaksono, and T. Mart, Neutron fraction and neutrino mean free path predictions in relativistic mean field models, *Phys. Rev. C* **70**, 068801 (2004).
- [74] D. A. Krakauer *et al.*, Limits on the neutrino magnetic moment from a measurement of neutrino-electron elastic scattering, *Phys. Lett. B* **252**, 177 (1990).
- [75] M. Agostini *et al.* (Borexino Collaboration), Limiting neutrino magnetic moments with Borexino Phase-II solar neutrino data, [arXiv:1707.09355](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.09355).
- [76] H. Kim, J. Piekarewicz, and C. J. Horowitz, Relativistic nuclear structure effects in quasielastic neutrino scattering, *Phys. Rev. C* **51**, 2739 (1995).
- [77] M. Nowakowski, E. A. Paschos, and J. M. Rodríguez, All electromagnetic form factors, *Eur. J. Phys.* **26**, 545 (2005).
- [78] J.-M. Gaillard and G. Sauvage, Hyperon beta decays, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **34**, 351 (1984).
- [79] M. I. Krivoruchenko, F. Šimkovic and S. Kovalenko, Longitudinal component of the weak vector current of spin-1/2 nuclear isodoublets, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **12**, 657-666 (2015).
- [80] K. Saito, K. Tsushima, and A. W. Thomas, Nucleon and hadron structure changes in the nuclear medium and the impact on observables, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **58**, 1 (2007).
- [81] D. H. Lu, K. Tsushima, A. W. Thomas, A. G. Williams, and K. Saito, Electromagnetic form factors of the bound nucleon, *Phys. Rev. C* **60**, 068201 (1999).
- [82] D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, and K. Tsushima, Medium modification of the nucleon axial form factor, [arXiv:nucl-th/0112001](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0112001).
- [83] A. W. Thomas, Modification of hadron structure and properties in medium, *PoS Hadron2013*, 018 (2013).
- [84] K. Tsushima, K. Saito, and F. M. Steffens, Effect of bound nucleon internal structure change on nuclear structure functions, *Phys. Lett. B* **612**, 5 (2005).
- [85] K. Tsushima, A. Sibirtsev, and A. W. Thomas, Kaon properties and cross sections in the nuclear medium, *J. Phys. G* **27**, 349 (2001).
- [86] P. T. P. Hutaauruk and K. Tsushima, Electroweak properties of kaons in a nuclear medium, [[arXiv:1910.08133 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.08133)].
- [87] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, Y. Oh and K. Tsushima, Electroweak properties of pions in a nuclear medium, *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, no.1, 015202 (2019).
- [88] P. T. P. Hutaauruk, Y. Oh and K. Tsushima, Pion structure in a nuclear medium, *JPS Conf. Proc.* **26**, 031031 (2019).
- [89] K. Tsushima, H. C. Kim and K. Saito, Effect of the bound nucleon form-factors on charged current neutrino nucleus scattering, *Phys. Rev. C* **70**, 038501 (2004).
- [90] M. K. Cheoun, K. S. Choi, K. S. Kim, K. Saito, T. Kajino, K. Tsushima and T. Maruyama, Effects of the density-dependent weak form factors on the neutrino reaction via neutral current for the nucleon in nuclear medium and ^{12}C , *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, no.6, 065502 (2013).
- [91] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas, and K. Tsushima, Fock terms in the quark-meson coupling model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **650**, 313 (1999).
- [92] K. Saito, K. Tsushima, and A. W. Thomas, Variation of hadron masses in finite nuclei, *Phys. Rev. C* **55**, 2637 (1997).
- [93] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn and V. F. Weisskopf, A New Extended Model of Hadrons, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3471 (1974).
- [94] P. A. M. Guichon, K. Saito, E. N. Rodionov and A. W. Thomas, The Role of nucleon structure in finite nuclei, *Nucl. Phys. A* **601**, 349 (1996).
- [95] K. Saito and A. W. Thomas, A quark-meson coupling model for nuclear and neutron matter, *Phys. Lett. B* **327**, 9 (1994).
- [96] A. W. Thomas, Chiral symmetry and the bag model: A new starting point for nuclear physics, *Adv. Nucl. Phys.* **13**, 1 (1984).
- [97] G. A. Miller, Building the nucleus from quarks: The cloudy bag model and the quark description of the nucleon-nucleon wave functions, *Int. Rev. Nucl. Phys.* **1**, 189 (1984).
- [98] D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, K. Tsushima, A. G. Williams, and K. Saito, In-medium electron-nucleon scattering, *Phys. Lett. B* **417**, 217 (1998).
- [99] D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, and A. G. Williams, Electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon in an improved quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **57**, 2628 (1998).
- [100] C. Shen, U. Lombardo, N. Van Giai and W. Zuo, Neutrino mean free path in neutron stars, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 055802 (2003).
- [101] S. Reddy, M. Prakash, and J. M. Lattimer, Neutrino interactions in hot and dense matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 013009 (1998).
- [102] R. J. Furnstahl, B. D. Serot, and H.-B. Tang, A chiral effective Lagrangian for nuclei, *Nucl. Phys. A* **615**, 441 (1997), **A640**, 505(E) (1998).
- [103] N. K. Glendenning and S. A. Moszkowski, Reconciliation of neutron-star masses and binding of the Λ in hypernuclei, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2414 (1991).
- [104] M. Chiapparini, H. Rodrigues, and S. B. Duarte, Neutrino trapping in nonstrange dense stellar matter, *Phys. Rev. C* **54**, 936 (1996).
- [105] P. G. Reinhard, The relativistic mean field description of nuclei and nuclear dynamics, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **52**, 439 (1989).
- [106] B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, The relativistic nuclear many body problem, *Adv. Nucl. Phys.* **16**, 1 (1986).
- [107] B. D. Serot, Quantum hydrodynamics, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **55**, 1855 (1992).
- [108] J. P. Blaizot, Nuclear compressibilities, *Phys. Rep.* **64**, 171 (1980).
- [109] C. J. Horowitz and M. A. Perez-Garcia, Realistic neutrino opacities for supernova simulations with correlations and weak magnetism, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 025803 (2003).
- [110] R. Niembro, P. Bernardos, M. López-Quelle, and S. Marcos,

- Neutrino cross section and mean free path in neutron stars in the framework of the Dirac-Hartree-Fock approximation, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 055802 (2001).
- [111] A. R. Raduta, F. Nacu and M. Oertel, EoS for hot neutron stars, [arXiv:2109.00251 [nucl-th]].
- [112] A. Sulaksono and L. Satiawati, Effects of density-dependent lepton fraction on the properties of protoneutron stars, Phys. Rev. C **87**, no.6, 065802 (2013).