

AN EQUIDISTRIBUTION THEOREM FOR BIRATIONAL MAPS OF \mathbb{P}^k

TAEYONG AHN

ABSTRACT. We prove an equidistribution theorem of positive closed currents for a certain class of birational maps $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ satisfying $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_+^n(I^+) \cap \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_+^n(I^-) = \emptyset$, where f_- is the inverse of f_+ and I^\pm are the sets of indeterminacy for f_\pm , respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ω be a Fubini-Study form chosen so that $\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \omega^k = 1$. For an integer $1 \leq p \leq k$, \mathcal{C}_p denotes the space of positive closed (p, p) -currents of unit mass on \mathbb{P}^k where the mass is defined by $\|S\| := \langle S, \omega^{k-p} \rangle$ for positive closed (p, p) current S on \mathbb{P}^k . For an open subset $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^k$, $\mathcal{C}_p(W)$ is the set of currents $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ with $\text{supp} S \subseteq W$.

In [2], the following equidistribution theorem for regular polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^k was proved.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.3 in [2], See also [1], [8]). *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be a regular polynomial automorphism of \mathbb{C}^k of degree $d \geq 2$ and $s > 0$ an integer such that $\dim I^+ = k - s - 1$ and $\dim I^- = s - 1$ where I^\pm are the sets of indeterminacy of f, f^{-1} , respectively. Then, for an integer $0 < p \leq s$, for $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ whose super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of mean 0 is continuous near I^- , $d^{-pn}(f^n)^*S$ converges to the Green (p, p) -current T_+^p for f in the sense of currents where $T_+^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-pn}(f^n)^*\omega^p$.*

(For the notion of the local continuity and Hölder continuity of super-potentials, see Section 3.) The motivation of this note is to further study Theorem 1.1 in the case of certain birational maps of \mathbb{P}^k .

Let $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a birational map of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ and f_- its inverse. Let δ denote the algebraic degree of f_- . Let I^\pm denote the indeterminacy sets of f_\pm and $I_\infty^\pm := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_\pm^n(I^\pm)$, respectively. Let $s > 0$ be an integer such that $\dim I^+ = k - s - 1$ and $\dim I^- = s - 1$. The main theorem of this note is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a birational map of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ such that $I_\infty^+ \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$ and that there exists an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ such that $V \cap I_\infty^+ = \emptyset$ and $I_\infty^- \subset f_+(V) \subseteq V$. Then, for an integer $0 < p \leq s$, for every $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ whose super-potential is Hölder continuous in V , then we have $d^{-pn}(f_+^n)^*S$ converges to T_+^p in the sense of currents where $T_+^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-pn}(f_+^n)^*\omega^p$.*

If we assume I_∞^- is attracting for f_+ , we obtain

Date: December 23, 2021.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume the hypotheses in Theorem 1.2 and further that I_∞^- is attracting for f_+ . Then, for an integer $0 < p \leq s$, for a generic analytic subset H of pure dimension $k - p$ which means $H \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$, we have*

$$d^{-pn}(f_+^n)^*[H] \rightarrow cT_+^p$$

in the sense of currents where c is the degree of H .

Here, a compact subset A of \mathbb{P}^k is called an attracting set if it has an open neighborhood U , called a trapping neighborhood, such that $f_+(U) \subseteq U$ and $A = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_+^n(U)$ where $f_+^n := f_+ \circ \cdots \circ f_+$, n -times.

Among numerous studies on the birational maps on \mathbb{P}^k , listing some works related to equidistribution of inverse images of positive closed currents, in [4], Diller proved that in \mathbb{P}^2 , the equidistribution is true for $S \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $\text{supp} S \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$. In [6], Dinh-Sibony defined notions of regular birational maps and $PC_p(V)$ -currents for an open subset V of \mathbb{P}^k , which is equivalent to its super-potential \mathcal{U}_S being continuous in $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus \overline{V}$ and proved that if the initial current $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ satisfies a regularity condition in terms of $PC_p(V)$, then the equidistribution is true in a certain open subset of \mathbb{P}^k where V is an open neighborhood of I_∞^+ . In [10], De Thélin-Vigny prove that for f_+ with $I_\infty^+ \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$, outside a super-polar set of \mathcal{C}_s , equidistribution in Theorem 1.2 holds. They gave a sufficient condition in terms of super-potentials for the equidistribution. The condition in [10] is not stated in terms of the set I_∞^- . In this note we focus on a sufficient condition in terms of the set I_∞^- of critical values of f_+ as in [4] and equidistribution on the whole \mathbb{P}^k .

The condition $I_\infty^+ \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$ was introduced in [4] and [10]. From the dynamical view point, that is, considering iteration of f_\pm , it seems reasonable to regard I_∞^- for birational maps as a generalization of I^- in Theorem 1.1 rather than I^- alone. Along the same lines, the regularity condition in Theorem 1.1 may be translated into $I_\infty^+ \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$ for birational maps. If we compare the class of birational maps in this note, in [10] and in [6], ours contains the case of [6] and ours belongs to the case of [10].

For the proof, we basically follow and refine the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.4 in [2]. The main difficulty is to get uniform estimates of $\int_W S \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)}$ with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in a neighborhood W of I_∞^- for smooth $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ where $U_{(\cdot)}$ denotes the Green quasi-potential of a given current and Λ is a constant multiple of the operator $(f_+)_*$. For this, we use the idea in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [2], which essentially means that a Green quasi-potential can be approximated from below by a negative closed current with a small error. (See the proof of Proposition 2.3.6 in [8].) Also, there are subtle differences between Theorem 1.2 and the case of regular polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^k . Firstly, we do not know whether for every $U \Subset \mathbb{P}^k \setminus I_\infty^+$, a super-potential of T_+^p is continuous in U . This is needed to bound the dynamical super-potentials from above. As a replacement for this, we will use a convergence appearing in a proof of Theorem 3.2.4 in [10]. Next, in general, I^- may not be invariant under f_+ and I_∞^- may not be an attracting set. For the former part, we construct another invariant current for f_+ which is denoted by R_∞ in Proposition 5.9 and for the latter part, the assumption of the existence of the neighborhood V such that $V \cap I_\infty^+ = \emptyset$ and $I_\infty^- \subset f_+(V) \Subset V$ resolves the difficulty.

In this note, the C^α -norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^\alpha}$, the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$, the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_U$ on a set U are computed in terms of the sum of the coefficients of a given form with respect to a fixed finite atlas.

2. CURRENTS

In this note, we assume some familiarity of the reader to pluripotential theory and currents. For details, consult [3] and [11] for instance. In this section, we introduce some notions and notations that we will use in this note.

Let $1 \leq q \leq k$ and W an open subset of \mathbb{P}^k . The following spaces and norms are useful in the study of currents. For instance, see [9], [5], [2]. Let D_q be the real vector space spanned by \mathcal{C}_q and $D_q^0(W)$ the subspace of D_q of currents R which are cohomologous to 0 and satisfy $\text{supp} R \Subset W$. We define $\|R\|_* := \inf\{\|R_+\| : R = R_+ - R_-, R_\pm \text{ positive and closed}\}$ on $D_q^0(W)$. Let $\tilde{D}_q^0(W) := \{R \in D_q^0(W) : \|R\|_* \leq 1\}$. The topology on $\tilde{D}_q^0(W)$ is the subspace topology of the space of currents in W . Note that the space $\tilde{D}_q^0(W)$ is compact. The norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ bounds the mass norm. So, $\tilde{D}_q^0(W)$ is metrizable. More precisely, if $\gamma > 0$ is a constant, we define for $R \in \tilde{D}_q^0(W)$

$$\|R\|_{-\gamma} := \sup\{|\langle R, \phi \rangle|, \phi \text{ is a test form of bi-degree } (k-q, k-q) \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{C^\gamma} \leq 1\}.$$

In a similar fashion, we have

Definition 2.1 (See [7]). Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be an L^1 -function. We say that ϕ is a DSH function if outside a pluripolar set, ϕ can be written as a difference of two quasipleurisubharmonic functions. Two DSH functions are identified if they are equal to each other outside a pluripolar set.

If ϕ is a DSH function on \mathbb{P}^k , we define the DSH-norm of ϕ by

$$\|\phi\|_{\text{DSH}} := \|\phi\|_{L^1} + \|dd^c \phi\|_*.$$

On \mathbb{P}^k , we have a good smooth approximation of positive closed currents. The following is from [8]. We will simply call it the standard regularization or the θ -regularization of a current. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k) \simeq \text{PGL}(k+1, \mathbb{C})$, we choose and fix a holomorphic chart such that $|y| < 2$ and $y = 0$ at $\text{id} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)$. We denote by τ_y the automorphism corresponding to y . We choose a norm $|y|$ of y so that it is invariant under the involution $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{-1}$. Fix a smooth probability measure ρ with compact support in $\{y : |y| < 1\}$ such that ρ is radial and decreasing as $|y|$ increases. Then, the involution $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{-1}$ preserves ρ . Let $h_\theta(y) := \theta y$ denote the multiplication by $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ and for $|\theta| \leq 1$ define $\rho_\theta := (h_\theta)_* \rho$. Then, ρ_0 becomes the Dirac mass at $\text{id} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)$. We define for $R \in \mathcal{C}_q$,

$$R_\theta := \int_{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)} (\tau_y)_* R d\rho_\theta(y) = \int_{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)} (\tau_{\theta y})_* R d\rho(y) = \int_{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)} (\tau_{\theta y})^* R d\rho(y).$$

Note that $R_\theta \in \mathcal{C}_q$.

Proposition 2.2 (Proposition 2.1.6 in [8]). If $\theta \neq 0$, then $R_\theta \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is a smooth form which depends continuously on R . Moreover, for every $\alpha \geq 0$ there is a constant c_α independent of R such that

$$\|R_\theta\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c_\alpha \|R\| |\theta|^{-2k^2-4k-\alpha}.$$

3. SUPER-POTENTIALS

For the details of super-potentials on \mathbb{P}^k , we refer the reader to [8]. For the reader's convenience, we summarize some definitions and properties of super-potentials on \mathbb{P}^k .

Definition 3.1. Let $0 < q \leq k$ be an integer. For smooth $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$, the super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of S of mean 0 is a function defined on \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1} by

$$\mathcal{U}_S(R) = \langle U_S, R \rangle$$

where $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}$ and U_S is a quasi-potential of S of mean 0, which is a $(q-1, q-1)$ -current such that $S - \omega^s = dd^c U_S$ and $\langle U_S, \omega^{k-q+1} \rangle = 0$.

For a general current $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$,

$$\mathcal{U}_S(R) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(R)$$

where S_θ is the standard regularization of S as in Section 2 and \mathcal{U}_{S_θ} is its super-potential of S_θ of mean 0.

Among various quasi-potentials, there is a good one for a computational purpose. It is called the Green quasi-potential and given by an integral formula.

Proposition 3.2 (Proposition 2.3.2 in [8]). Let Δ be the diagonal submanifold of $\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k$ and Ω a closed real smooth (k, k) -form cohomologous to $[\Delta]$. Then, there is a negative $(k-1, k-1)$ -form K on $\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k$ smooth outside Δ such that $dd^c K = [\Delta] - \Omega$ which satisfies the following inequality near Δ :

$$\|K(\cdot)\|_\infty \lesssim -\text{dist}(\cdot, \Delta)^{2-2k} \log \text{dist}(\cdot, \Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla K(\cdot)\|_\infty \lesssim \text{dist}(\cdot, \Delta)^{1-2k}.$$

Moreover, there is a negative dsh function η and a positive closed $(k-1, k-1)$ -form Θ smooth outside Δ such that $K \geq \eta\Theta$, $\|\Theta(\cdot)\|_\infty \lesssim \text{dist}(\cdot, \Delta)^{2-2k}$ and $\eta - \log \text{dist}(\cdot, \Delta)$ is bounded near Δ .

Here, the inequalities are up to a constant multiple independent of the point in $\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k \setminus \Delta$. The norm $\|\nabla K\|_\infty$ is the sum $\sum_j |\nabla K_j|$, where the K_j 's are the coefficients of K for a fixed atlas of $\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k$.

We consider a fixed kernel K throughout the rest of the note. The Green quasi-potential U_S of S is defined by

$$U_S(z) := \int_{\zeta \neq z} K(z, \zeta) \wedge S(\zeta).$$

Using the notion of super-potentials, we can define the operator f_+^* on \mathcal{C}_q where f_+ is a birational map in Theorem 1.2.

Definition 3.3 (Definition 5.1.4 in [8]). We say that $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is f_+^* -admissible if there is a current $R_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}$ which is smooth on a neighborhood of I^+ , such that the super-potential of S are finite at $\Lambda_{k-q+1}(R_0)$.

Proposition 3.4 (Proposition 5.1.8 in [8]). Let S be an f_+^* -admissible current in \mathcal{C}_p . Let \mathcal{U}_S and $\mathcal{U}_{L(\omega^p)}$ be super-potentials of S and $L_p(\omega^p)$. Then, we have

$$\lambda_p(f_+)^{-1} \lambda_{p-1}(f_+) \mathcal{U}_S \circ \Lambda_{k-p+1} + \mathcal{U}_{L_p(\omega^p)}$$

is equal to a super-potential of $L_p(S)$ for $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$, smooth in a neighborhood of I^+ .

Lemma 3.5 (Lemma 3.1.5 in [10]). *Let $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ for $0 < q \leq k$. Let $n > 0$ be such that S is $(f_+^n)^*$ -admissible then for all j with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $L^j(S)$ is well defined, f_+^* -admissible and $L^{j+1}(S) = L_{j+1}(S)$. In particular, $L^n(S) = L_n(S)$.*

4. LOCALLY REGULARITY OF SUPER-POTENTIALS

In [2], the notions of locally bounded/continuous superpotentials were given as below. Similarly, we define local Hölder continuity. The notion of the Hölder continuity of superpotentials was given in [5]. We will write \mathcal{U}_S for the super-potential of a current $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ of mean 0.

Definition 4.1. *Let $1 \leq q \leq k$. Let $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ and W an open subset of \mathbb{P}^k . The super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of S of mean m is said to be bounded in W if there exists a constant $C_S > 0$ such that for any smooth current $R \in \tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(W)$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{U}_S(R)| \leq C_S.$$

The super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of S of mean m is said to be continuous in W if \mathcal{U}_S continuously extends to $\tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(W)$ with respect to the subspace topology of the space of currents in W .

The super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of S of mean m is said to be Hölder continuous in W if \mathcal{U}_S continuously extends to $\tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(W)$ and Hölder continuous with respect to one of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma}$ on $\tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(W)$.

Note that when $W = \mathbb{P}^k$, our notion coincides with the definition in [5]. Since $\tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(W)$ is compact, \mathcal{U}_S is continuous in an open subset $W \subset \mathbb{P}^k$, then it is bounded in an open subset $W \subset \mathbb{P}^k$.

Remark 4.2. *By interpolation theory, for any $\gamma \geq \gamma' > 0$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma} \leq \|\cdot\|_{-\gamma'} \leq c(\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma})^{\gamma'/\gamma}$. So, if \mathcal{U}_S is Hölder continuous for one $\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma}$, then it is Hölder continuous for all $\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma}$.*

Remark 4.3. *For $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$, its super-potential \mathcal{U}_S is continuous in an open subset $W \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ if and only if S is $PC_q(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus \overline{W})$. The equivalence can be observed via the Green quasi-potential kernel in Proposition 3.2.*

Proposition 4.4. *If a super-potential \mathcal{U}_S of $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is bounded in an open subset $W \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ and if $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}$ is smooth outside a compact subset $K \Subset W$, then $\mathcal{U}_S(R)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $\chi : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\text{supp } \chi \Subset W$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on K . Then, $dd^c((1 - \chi)U_R)$ is a smooth $(k - q + 1, k - q + 1)$ -current and $dd^c(\chi U_R)$ is a current in $D_{k-q+1}^0(W)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{U}_S(R) = \mathcal{U}_S(dd^c((1 - \chi)U_R)) + \mathcal{U}_S(dd^c(\chi U_R)) + \mathcal{U}_S(\omega^{k-p+1})$$

and so, it is finite as desired. \square

5. BIRATIONAL MAPS

In this section, we summarize well-known properties of birational maps on \mathbb{P}^k . For details, see [10] for instance.

Let $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a birational map of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ and f_- its inverse. Let δ denote the algebraic degree of f_- . Let I^\pm denote the indeterminacy sets of f_\pm and

$I_\infty^\pm := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_+^n(I^\pm)$, respectively. Let $s > 0$ be an integer such that $\dim I^+ = k - s - 1$ and $\dim I^- = s - 1$. Let C^\pm be the critical sets for f^\pm :

$$C^+ := f_+^{-1}(I^-) \quad \text{and} \quad C^- := f_-^{-1}(I^+).$$

Then, we have $I^+ \subset C^+$, $I^- \subset C^-$ and $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-$ is a biholomorphism (p.42 in [10]). We also have

$$\begin{aligned} f_- \circ f_+ &= \text{id on } \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+ \quad \text{and} \quad f_+ \circ f_- = \text{id on } \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-; \\ f_+(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus I^+) &\subseteq (\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-) \cup I^- \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus I^-) \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+) \cup I^+. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq q \leq k$ and $n > 0$, we define $\lambda_q(f_+^n)$ by

$$\lambda_q(f_+^n) := \|(f_+^n)^*(\omega^q)\| = \|(f_+^n)_*(\omega^{k-q})\|.$$

Proposition 5.1 (Proposition 3.1.2 in [10]). *We have $\lambda_q(f_+) = d^q$ for $q \leq s$ and $\lambda_q(f_+) = \delta^{k-q}$ for $q \geq s$. In particular, $d^s = \delta^{k-s}$.*

Proposition 5.2 (Corollary 3.1.4 in [10]). *We have $(f_+^n)^* = (f_+^n)_*$ for smooth currents in \mathcal{C}_q and $\lambda_q(f_+^n) = (\lambda_q(f_+))^n$ for all $0 \leq q \leq k$.*

We define two operators acting on \mathcal{C}_q :

$$L_q := (\lambda_q(f_+))^{-1} f_+^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_q := (\lambda_{k-q}(f_+))^{-1} (f_+)_*.$$

Note that the operators L_q and Λ_q are well-defined for currents in \mathcal{C}_q which are smooth near I^- and I^+ , respectively.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $0 < q \leq k$. Let R be a smooth current of bidegree (q, q) . Then,*

$$(f_+)_* R = (f_-)^* R$$

as a current on \mathbb{P}^k and $\text{supp}(f_+)_ R = (f_-)^* R \subseteq \overline{(f_+)^{-1}(\text{supp} R \setminus C_-)} = \overline{f_- (\text{supp} R \setminus C_-)}$.*

Proof. Notice that $(f_+)_* R$ and $(f_-)^* R$ are both forms with L^1 -coefficients. So, they do not charge any algebraic sets of dimension $\leq k - 1$. Let φ be a smooth test form of bidegree $(k - q, k - q)$. Then, we have

$$\langle (f_+)_* R, \varphi \rangle = \langle R, (f_+)^* \varphi \rangle = \langle R, (f_+)^* \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+}.$$

Since $f_- : \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^- \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+$ is a biholomorphism, the change of coordinates by f_- implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R, (f_+)^* \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+} &= \langle (f_-)^* R, (f_-)^*((f_+)^* \varphi) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-} \\ &= \langle (f_-)^* R, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-} = \langle (f_-)^* R, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The second last inequality is from $f_+ \circ f_- : \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^- \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^+$ being identity on $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus C^-$.

The support property is from direct computations together with the fact that the currents $(f_+)_* R$ and $(f_-)^* R$ have L^1 -coefficients. \square

Corollary 5.4. *For $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}$ smooth outside I_∞^- , $\Lambda_{k-q+1}^n(R)$ is smooth outside I_∞^- .*

Together with Lemma 3.5, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 5.5. *If $S \in \mathcal{C}_q$ admits a super-potential bounded in a neighborhood of I_∞^- , then for every $m, n \geq 0$, $L_q^n(S)$ is well defined and $L_q^{m+n}(S) = L_q^m(L_q^n(S))$.*

Proof. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}$ be a smooth current. Then, by Corollary 5.4 and Lemma 3.5, $\Lambda_{k-q+1}^n(R)$ is well defined and smooth outside I_∞^- . So, by Proposition 4.4, the superpotential of S is finite at $\Lambda_{k-q+1}^n(R)$ for every n . Definition 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 finish the proof. \square

Now, we consider the Green current of order q associated to f_+ . We further assume the existence of an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ such that $V \cap I_\infty^+ = \emptyset$ and $I_\infty^- \subset f_+(V) \Subset V$ as in Theorem 1.2. Then, there is a strictly positive distance between I_∞^+ and I_∞^- . Then, this satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.6 in [10]. As a result of it, we have the existence of the Green current of order q as below:

Theorem 5.6 (Theorem 3.2.2 in [10]). *Let $0 < q \leq s$. The sequence $(L_q^m(\omega^q))$ converges in the Hartog's sense to the Green current T_+^q of order q of f . Further, $\mathcal{U}_{T_+^s}([I^-]) > -\infty$.*

The following proposition can be obtained via a slight modification of Lemma 5.4.2 and Lemma 5.4.3 in [8].

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose that there is an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ such that $V \cap I_\infty^+ = \emptyset$ and $I_\infty^- \subset f_+(V) \Subset V$ as in Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < q \leq s$. Then, the Green current T_+^q of order q is Hölder continuous on $\mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}(V)$.*

Here, the Hölder continuity is with respect to the same $\|\cdot\|_{-\gamma}$ -norm ($\gamma > 0$) as in Definition 4.1, but the difference is that we are taking a different set $\mathcal{C}_{k-q+1}(V)$ of currents other than $\tilde{D}_{k-q+1}^0(V)$.

In the rest of this section, we construct a $(k-p+1, k-p+1)$ -current R_∞^p such that $\Lambda_{k-p+1}(R_\infty^p) = R_\infty^p$ and $\text{supp } R_\infty^p \subset \overline{I_\infty^-}$ where $\Lambda_{k-s+1} = d^{-(p-1)}(f_+)_*$ for $0 < p \leq s$.

We will first construct such a current R_∞^s of bidegree $(k-s+1, k-s+1)$ and then consider the case of bidegree $(k-p+1, k-p+1)$. The current $[I^-]$ denotes the current of integration on the regular part of I^- . It is not difficult to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose that $I_\infty^+ \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$. For all $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the currents $\Lambda_{k-s+1}^i([I^-])$ are well-defined positive closed currents of bidegree $(k-s+1, k-s+1)$ and they have the same mass as $[I^-]$ does. Also, we have $\text{supp } \Lambda_{k-s+1}^i([I^-]) \subseteq f_+^i(I^-)$.*

Consider the following sequence of currents:

$$R_n := (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \Lambda_{k-s+1}^i([I^-])$$

Then, the sequence $\{R_n\}$ has bounded mass. So, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{R_{n_j}\}$ in the sense of currents. Let R_∞^s denote one of its limit currents.

Proposition 5.9.

$$\Lambda_{k-s+1}(R_\infty^s) = R_\infty^s, \quad \text{supp } R_\infty^s \subset \overline{I_\infty^-}.$$

Proof. The first part is clear from $\text{supp } R_{n_j} \subset I_\infty^-$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For a convergent subsequence $\{R_{n_j}\}$, we have

$$\Lambda_{k-s+1}(R_{n_j}) - R_{n_j} = (n_j+1)^{-1} (\Lambda_{k-s+1}^{n_j+1}([I^-]) - [I^-])$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since Λ_{k-s+1} is continuous for currents in \mathcal{C}_{k-s+1} smooth near I^+ and the mass of $\Lambda_{k-s+1}^{n_j}$ is bounded in $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $\Lambda_{k-s+1}(R_\infty^s) = R_\infty^s$ by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$. \square

For $0 < p \leq s$, from Proposition 5.7, a super-potential $\mathcal{U}_{T_+^{s-p}}$ of T_+^{s-p} is Hölder continuous in V . Since $\text{supp } R_\infty^s \subset I_\infty^- \Subset V$, the current $R_\infty^p := (T_+^{s-p}) \wedge R_\infty^s$ is well-defined.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $0 < p \leq s$. Assume the existence of the neighborhood V of I_∞^- in Theorem 1.2. Then, we have*

$$\Lambda_{k-p+1}(R_\infty^p) = R_\infty^p.$$

Proof. By use of the standard regularization and the Hartog's convergence, we may assume that T_+^{p-s} is smooth. Note that, R_∞^s has support in V . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{k-p+1}(R_\infty^p) &= d^{-(p-1)}(f_+)_*((T_+^{s-p}) \wedge R_\infty^s) = d^{-(p-1)}(f_+)_*(d^{-(s-p)}(f_+)^*(T_+^{s-p}) \wedge R_\infty^s) \\ &= d^{-(s-1)}T_+^{s-p} \wedge (f_+)_*R_\infty^s = T_+^{s-p} \wedge R_\infty^s = R_\infty^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

We also obtain the following corollary from Proposition 5.7.

Corollary 5.11. *Let $0 < p \leq s$. Assume the existence of the neighborhood V of I_∞^- in Theorem 1.2, then the value $\mathcal{U}_{T_+^p}(R_\infty^p)$ is finite.*

The argument in p.53 of [10] works for any $0 < p \leq s$. So, we obtain

Proposition 5.12. *The sequence*

$$d^{-n}\mathcal{U}_{T_+^p} \circ \Lambda_{k-p+1}^n$$

goes to 0 on smooth forms in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1} .

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 AND THEOREM 1.3

For the rest of the note, the current $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ denotes the current in Theorem 1.2. For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we set up environment as in [8] and [2]. For simplicity, we will write L and Λ for L_p and Λ_{k-p+1} , respectively. Also, $S_n := L^n(S) = d^{-pn}(f_+^n)^*(S)$ and $T^p := T_+^p$.

Definition 6.1. *For $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$, we define the dynamical super-potential \mathcal{V}_S by*

$$\mathcal{V}_S := \mathcal{U}_S - \mathcal{U}_{T^p} - c_S, \quad \text{where } c_S := \mathcal{U}_S(R_\infty) - \mathcal{U}_{T^p}(R_\infty)$$

and the dynamical Green quasi-potential of S by

$$V_S := U_S - U_{T^p} - (m_S - m_{T^p} + c_S)\omega^{p-1}$$

where U_S , U_{T^p} are the Green quasi-potentials of S , T^p , and m_S , m_{T^p} are their mean, respectively.

The lemma below can be proved in the same way as in Lemma 5.5.5 in [8].

Lemma 6.2 (See Lemma 5.5.5 in [8]). (1) $\mathcal{V}_S(R_\infty^p) = 0$,
 (2) $\mathcal{V}_S(R) = \langle V_S, R \rangle$ for smooth $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ and
 (3) $\mathcal{V}_{L(S)} = d^{-1}\mathcal{V}_S \circ \Lambda$ for currents in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1} smooth near I_∞^+ .

Different from the case of Theorem 1.1, since we cannot say that a super-potential \mathcal{U}_{T^p} of T^p is continuous in an open subset $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^k \setminus I_\infty^+$, we cannot say that $\mathcal{U}_S - \mathcal{V}_S$ is bounded from above on $\mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}(W)$ by a constant independent of S in general. However, we have Proposition 5.12 as an alternative for this.

We further introduce some more notations. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be a smooth current. We choose and fix a constant λ such that $1 < \lambda < d$ throughout the proof. Let $\eta_n := \min\{\eta, -\lambda^n\} + \lambda^n$ where η is a DSH function in Proposition 3.2. Then, the DSH-norm of η_n is bounded in dependent of n and $K \geq \eta\Theta \geq \eta_n\Theta - \lambda^n\Theta$ where η and Θ are a function and a current in Proposition 3.2.

For a positive or negative current S' and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$U'_{n,S'} := \int_{\zeta \neq z} \lambda^n \Theta(\zeta, z) \wedge S'(\zeta), \quad U''_{n,S'} := \int_{\zeta \neq z} \eta_n(\zeta, z) \Theta(\zeta, z) \wedge S'(\zeta)$$

and

$$U'_{\Lambda^n(R)} := \int_{\zeta \neq z} \lambda^n \Theta(\zeta, z) \wedge \Lambda^n(R)(\zeta), \quad U''_{\Lambda^n(R)} := \int_{\zeta \neq z} \eta_n(\zeta, z) \Theta(\zeta, z) \wedge \Lambda^n(R)(\zeta).$$

Note that if S' is closed, then, $U'_{n,S'}$ is closed and its mass is $c_m \lambda^n \|S'\|$ for a constant $c_m > 0$ which is independent of n and S' .

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need the following estimate. Observe that Lemma 2.3.9 in [8] does not need closedness of the current. Its proof consists of disintegration and singularity estimate. so, we have

Lemma 6.3 (Lemma 2.3.9 in [8]). *Let S' be a positive current of bidegree (p, p) with bounded mass. Then, we have*

$$\left| \int U''_{n,S'} \wedge \omega^{k-p+1} \right| \lesssim e^{-\lambda^n} \|S'\|.$$

The inequality is up to a constant multiple independent of n and S' .

Now, we start to prove Theorem 1.2. It is a direct consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4. *Assume the hypotheses in Theorem 1.2. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be a smooth current. Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{V}_{S_n}(R) = d^{-n} \mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) \rightarrow 0$$

in the sense of currents.

We begin with an estimate near I_∞^- in Lemma 6.10.

Lemma 6.5. *There exist open subsets $W_3 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_0 \subseteq V$ such that $f_+(W_i) \subseteq W_i$.*

Proof. Note that f_+ is holomorphic outside I^+ . Since $V \cap I^+ = \emptyset$, $f_+(\overline{V})$ is compact in V . So, simply take $f_+(\overline{V}) \subseteq W_3 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_0 \subseteq V$. \square

Let $\chi : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on W_1 and $\text{supp } \chi \subseteq W_0$. Let $M > 1$ be a constant such that $\|Df_-\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3} < M$. Here, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}$ denotes the uniform norm of coefficients on $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3$ with respect to a fixed finite atlas of \mathbb{P}^k .

Lemma 6.6. *Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be smooth outside I_∞^- . Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of R and n such that*

$$\|dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)})\|_* \leq cM^{3kn}\|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}$$

where $U_{(\cdot)}$ denotes the Green quasi-potential of a given current.

Proof. We can write

$$dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) = dd^c\chi \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} + d\chi \wedge d^c U_{\Lambda^n(R)} + dU_{\Lambda^n(R)} \wedge d^c\chi + \chi(\Lambda^n(R) - \omega^{k-p+1}).$$

The last term is bounded below by ω^{k-p+1} . Since the first three terms are all smooth since $\Lambda^n(R)$ is smooth outside I_∞^- . They are all bounded by the C_1 -norm of $U_{\Lambda^n(R)}$ on the support of $d\chi$ or $d^c\chi$ which is compact outside W_3 . Hence, by Proposition 5.3, $\|\Lambda^n(R)\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3} \leq c_1 M^{3kn}\|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}$ for some $c_1 > 0$. Then, due to Lemma 2.3.5 in [8], we get the desired estimate. \square

Since the above estimate only depends on the uniform norm of $\Lambda^n(R)$ on the support of $d\chi$ and $d^c\chi$, we see that there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$, which only depend on the distance between W_3 and $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_1$, such that the same estimate as in Lemma 6.6 holds for all $\delta > 0$ with $\delta < \delta_0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\|dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta})\|_* \leq cM^{3kn}\|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}.$$

So, we have $c^{-1}M^{-3kn}\|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}^{-1}dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}) \in \tilde{D}_{k-p+1}^0(W_1)$ for $\delta > 0$ with $|\delta| < \delta_0$.

Lemma 6.7. *For $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, we have*

$$\|dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) - dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta})\|_{-2} \lesssim \delta.$$

The inequality is up to a constant multiple independent of n and δ .

Proof. Let φ be a smooth test form with $\|\varphi\|_{C^2} \leq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) - dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}), \varphi \rangle &= \langle U_{\Lambda^n(R)} - U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}, \chi dd^c\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda^n(R) - (\Lambda^n(R))_\delta, U_{\chi dd^c\varphi} \rangle \leq \langle \Lambda^n(R), U_{\chi dd^c\varphi} - (U_{\chi dd^c\varphi})_\delta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3.5 in [8], we have

$$|\langle dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) - dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}), \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \|U_{\chi dd^c\varphi}\|_{C^1} \delta \lesssim \|\chi dd^c\varphi\|_\infty \delta \lesssim \delta.$$

\square

Lemma 6.8. *Let S_θ be a standard regularization of S for sufficiently small $0 < |\theta| \ll 1$. For $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, we have*

$$\left| \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}) \right| \lesssim \delta^{-2k^2-4k-2} e^{-\lambda^n} + \lambda^n.$$

Here, the inequality is independent of θ , δ and n .

Indeed, the θ is chosen so that $\text{supp}(dd^c\chi \wedge U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta})_\theta \Subset V$. This condition is completely determined by the function χ .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}) &= \int S_\theta \wedge \chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} - \int \chi \omega^p \wedge U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} \\ &\geq \int S_\theta \wedge \chi U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} + \int S_\theta \wedge \chi U''_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} - \int \chi \omega^p \wedge U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the first integral $\int S_\theta \wedge \chi U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}$. Note that $U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}$ is closed and its mass is a constant multiple of λ^n .

$$\int S_\theta \wedge \chi U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} = \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c \chi \wedge U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} + \int \omega^s \wedge \chi U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} \gtrsim -\lambda^n.$$

Since $\text{supp } dd^c \chi \wedge U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} \Subset W_0$ and $\|dd^c \chi \wedge U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}\|_* \lesssim \lambda^n$, the first integral is estimated from the boundedness of \mathcal{U}_{S_θ} in $W_0 \Subset V$. The second integral is bounded by the mass of $U'_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}$. So, we get the last inequality.

We estimate the second integral $\int S_\theta \wedge \chi U''_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}$. From the negativity of η_n and the positivity of Θ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int S_\theta \wedge \chi U''_{n,(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta} &= \int \chi(z) S_\theta(z) \wedge \eta_n(z, \zeta) \wedge \Theta(z, \zeta) \wedge (\Lambda^n(R))_\delta(\zeta) \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{-2k^2-4k-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k} \chi(z) S_\theta(z) \wedge \eta_n(z, \zeta) \wedge \Theta(z, \zeta) \wedge \omega^{k-s+1}(\zeta) \\ &= \delta^{-2k^2-4k-2} \int U''_{n, \chi S_\theta} \wedge \omega^{k-p+1} \gtrsim -\delta^{-2k^2-4k-2} e^{-\lambda^n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is from Lemma 6.3. □

From the hypothesis on $S \in \mathcal{C}_p$ in Theorem 1.2, let $\alpha > 0$ and $C_\alpha > 0$ be two constants such that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ with sufficiently small $|\theta|$ as in Lemma 6.8, $|\mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(R) - \mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(R')| \leq C_\alpha(\|R - R'\|_{-2})^\alpha$ for $R, R' \in \tilde{D}_{k-p+1}^0(W_0)$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be a current smooth outside I_∞^- . Let S_θ be a standard regularization of S for sufficiently small $|\theta|$ as in Lemma 6.8. We have*

$$\int_{W_1} S_\theta \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} \gtrsim -\lambda^n$$

for all sufficiently large n . The inequality is independent of θ and n .

Proof. From the negativity of the Green quasi-potential, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_1} S_\theta \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} &\geq \int \chi S_\theta \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} \\ &= \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) + \int \chi \omega^p \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be a small constant to be determined later. Then, the last quantity can be written as

$$\int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}) + \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) - dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta})) + \int \chi \omega^p \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)}$$

From the Hölder continuity of \mathcal{U}_{S_θ} in W_0 with Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.7, the second integral can be estimated as below:

$$\left| \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (dd^c(\chi U_{\Lambda^n(R)}) - dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta})) \right| \lesssim C_\alpha c M^{3kn} \|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3} \left(\frac{\delta}{c M^{3kn} \|R\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3}} \right)^\alpha.$$

Since the mass of quasi-potential is uniformly bounded, the third integral is uniformly bounded. From Lemma 6.8, the first integral can be approximated by

$$\int U_{S_\theta} \wedge dd^c(\chi U_{(\Lambda^n(R))_\delta}) \gtrsim -\delta^{-2k^2-4k-2} e^{-\lambda^n} - \lambda^n.$$

Altogether, if we choose $\delta = 1/(2M^{3k})^{n/\alpha}$, we have

$$\int_{W_1} S_\theta \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)} \gtrsim -\lambda^n$$

for all sufficiently large n . □

Lemma 6.10. *Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be a current smooth outside I_∞^- . Let S_θ be a standard regularization of S for sufficiently small $|\theta|$ as in Lemma 6.8. We have*

$$\int_{W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge \Lambda^n(R) \gtrsim -\lambda^n$$

for all sufficiently large n . The inequality is independent of θ and n .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge \Lambda^n(R) &= \int_{z \in W_2} \int_{\zeta \neq z} S_\theta(\zeta) \wedge K(z, \zeta) \wedge \Lambda^n(R)(z) \\ &= \int_{z \in W_2} \int_{\zeta \in W_1 \setminus \{z\}} S_\theta(\zeta) \wedge K(z, \zeta) \wedge \Lambda^n(R)(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{z \in W_2} \int_{\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_1} S_\theta(\zeta) \wedge K(z, \zeta) \wedge \Lambda^n(R)(z) \end{aligned}$$

From the estimate of K in Proposition 3.2, the second integral is bounded by a constant independent of θ and n . From the negativity of the Green quasi-potential, the first integral is bounded by

$$\int_{W_1} S_\theta \wedge U_{\Lambda^n(R)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 6.9, we get the estimate. □

Proof of Proposition 6.4. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$ be a smooth current. By Lemma 6.2, we can write

$$\mathcal{V}_{S_n}(R) = d^{-n} \mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R)).$$

By the definition, we have $\mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) = \mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) - \mathcal{U}_{T^s}(\Lambda^n(R)) - c_S \|\Lambda^n(R)\|$. Since the super-potentials on \mathbb{P}^k are upper semicontinuous on \mathcal{C}_{k-s+1} which is compact, $\mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R))$ is bounded from above. So, Proposition 5.12 implies that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow 0} d^{-n} \mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) \leq 0$. So, we only consider the estimate of $d^{-n} \mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R))$ from below.

We consider $\mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R))$. From the definition of the super-potential, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(\Lambda^n(R)).$$

Hence, we estimate $\mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(\Lambda^n(R))$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ with sufficiently small $|\theta|$.

In the rest of the proof, the inequalities \lesssim, \gtrsim are up to a constant multiple independent of θ and n .

Let $\varepsilon_n > 0$ be a sufficiently small positive number to be determined later and $U_{(\cdot)}$ denotes the Green quasi-potential of a given current with respect to a fixed Green quasi-potential kernel in Proposition 3.2. Since S_θ is smooth and has the same mean and mass as S does, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(\Lambda^n(R)) &= \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge \Lambda^n(R) - m_S \|\Lambda^n(R)\| \\ &= \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R) - (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n}) + \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n} - m_S \|\Lambda^n(R)\|\end{aligned}$$

From the negativity of the Green quasi-potential, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_{S_\theta}(\Lambda^n(R)) &\geq \int_{W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge \Lambda^n(R) + \int_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R) - (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n}) \\ &\quad + \int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n} - m_S \|\Lambda^n(R)\|.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the first integral. From Lemma 6.10, we have

$$\int_{W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge \Lambda^n(R) \gtrsim -\lambda^n$$

for all sufficiently large n .

For the second integral, we will use the fact that the mass of the Green quasi-potential is uniformly bounded. Proposition 5.3 implies that $\Lambda^n(R)$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3$, and from $\|Df_-\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3} < M$ and $f_+(W_3) \Subset W_3$, we have $\|\Lambda^n(R)\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_3} \lesssim M^{3kn}$. So, we have

$$\|\Lambda^n(R) - (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_2} \lesssim M^{-3kn} \varepsilon_n$$

and since the mass of U_{S_θ} is uniformly bounded, the second integral is

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus W_2} U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R) - (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n}) \gtrsim -M^{-3kn} \varepsilon_n$$

For the last integral, thanks to Lemma 3.2.10 in [8] and Proposition 2.1.6 in [8], we have

$$\int U_{S_\theta} \wedge (\Lambda^n(R))_{\varepsilon_n} \gtrsim \log \varepsilon_n.$$

If we choose $\varepsilon_n := \min\{1/2, M^3/2\}^{kn}$, then we have $\mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) \gtrsim -\lambda^n$ for all sufficiently large n . Since $1 < \lambda < d$, we have $\liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} d^{-n} \mathcal{U}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) \geq 0$. Together with Proposition 5.12 again, we get $\liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} d^{-n} \mathcal{V}_S(\Lambda^n(R)) \geq 0$ \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let φ be a smooth test form of bidegree $(k-p, k-p)$. Since φ is smooth, there exists $m_\varphi > 0$ such that $m_\varphi \omega^{k-s+1} + dd^c \varphi \geq 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_n - T^p, \varphi \rangle &= \langle dd^c V_{S_n}, \varphi \rangle = \langle V_{S_n}, dd^c \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle V_{S_n}, m_\varphi \omega^{k-p+1} + dd^c \varphi \rangle - \langle V_{S_n}, m_\varphi \omega^{k-p+1} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

If we apply Proposition 6.4 to both terms, we see the desired convergence. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $0 < p \leq s$. Let H be an analytic subset of pure dimension $k - p$ and suppose that $H \cap I_\infty^- = \emptyset$. Let c_H denote the degree of H . Let W be a subset of the trapping neighborhood U of I_∞^- such that $H \cap W = \emptyset$. Then, since U is a trapping neighborhood, there exists an N such that $I_\infty^- \Subset f_+^N(W) \Subset W$. Indeed, there exists N such that $I_\infty^- \subset f_+^N(U) \Subset W$. Note that I_∞^+ , I_∞^- and T^p remain the same if we replace f by f^N .

In the proof of Proposition 6.4, by applying the same lemmas and propositions to $(f_+)^N$, λ^N and $\Lambda^j(R)$ in place of f_+ , λ and R , we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{c_H^{-1}[H]}((\Lambda^N)^n(\Lambda^j(R))) \gtrsim -(\lambda^N)^n$ for each $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$. By considering a subsequence, Proposition 5.12 implies that $d^{-Nn}\mathcal{U}_{T^p}((\Lambda^N)^n(\Lambda^j(R))) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$. Applying the same argument in Proposition 6.4, we see that for a given smooth $R \in \mathcal{C}_{k-p+1}$, $\mathcal{V}_{L^{Nn}(c_H^{-1}[H])}(\Lambda^j(R)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ holds for each $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$, which means $d^{-p(Nn+j)}(f_+^{Nn+j})^*(c_H^{-1}[H])$ converges to T^p for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. So, the only limit points of $d^{-pn}(f_+^n)^*(c_H^{-1}[H])$ is T^p , which completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.11. *In our method, one obstacle against measuring the speed of convergence is lack of the speed of convergence in Proposition 5.12. In the case of [6], adapting their notation, the convergence is exponentially fast on U^+ . In this case, simply Hölder continuity of the super-potential of T^p replaces Proposition 5.12.*

REFERENCES

- [1] AHN, T: Equidistribution in higher codimension for holomorphic endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^k . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), no. 5, 3359–3388.
- [2] AHN, T: Local regularity of super-potentials and equidistribution of positive closed currents on \mathbb{P}^k . *Math. Ann.* 371 (2018), no. 3–4, 1163–1190.
- [3] DEMAILLY, J. -P.: Complex Analytic and Algebraic Geometry, www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly.
- [4] DILLER, J.: Dynamics of birational maps of \mathbb{P}^2 , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 45 (1996), 721–772.
- [5] DINH, T. -C & NGUYEN, V. -A, & VU, D. -V.: Super-potentials, densities of currents and number of periodic points for holomorphic maps. *Adv. Math.* 331 (2018), 874–907.
- [6] DINH, T. -C & SIBONY, N.: Dynamics of regular birational maps in \mathbb{P}^k , *Journal of Functional Analysis* 222 (2005), 202–216.
- [7] DINH, T. -C & SIBONY, N.: Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 81 (2006), 221–258.
- [8] DINH, T. -C & SIBONY, N.: Super-potentials of positive closed currents, intersection theory and dynamics, *Acta Math.* 203 (2009), 1–82.
- [9] DINH, T. -C & SIBONY, N.: Super-potentials for currents on compact Kähler manifolds and dynamics of automorphisms. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 19 (2010), no. 3, 473–529.
- [10] DE THÉLIN, H. & VIGNY, G.: Entropy of meromorphic maps and dynamics of birational maps, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* No. 122 (2010), vi+98 pp.
- [11] SIBONY, N.: Dynamique des applications rationnelles de \mathbb{P}^k , *Panoramas & Synthèses* 8 (1999), 97–185.

(AHN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, INHA UNIVERSITY, 100 INHA-RO, MICHUHOL-GU, INCHEON 22212, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: t.ahn@inha.ac.kr