

# REVISITING GROENEVELD'S APPROACH TO THE VIRIAL EXPANSION

SABINE JANSEN

**ABSTRACT.** A generalized version of Groeneveld's convergence criterion for the virial expansion and generating functionals for weighted 2-connected graphs is proven. The criterion works for inhomogeneous systems and yields bounds for the density expansions of the correlation functions  $\rho_s$  (a.k.a. distribution functions or factorial moment measures) of grand-canonical Gibbs measures with pairwise interactions. The proof is based on recurrence relations for graph weights related to the Kirkwood-Salsburg integral equation for correlation functions. The proof does not use an inversion of the density-activity expansion, however a Möbius inversion on the lattice of set partitions enters the derivation of the recurrence relations.

*Keywords:* equilibrium statistical mechanics; cluster expansions; Kirkwood-Salsburg integral equation; 2-connected graphs and their generating functions.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):* 82B05; 05A15.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main results	3
3. Recurrence relations for 2-connected graphs	7
4. Inductive proof of convergence	11
5. Application to homogeneous systems	13
6. Relation with connected graphs and activity expansions	15
Appendix A. Correlation functions of Gibbs measures	19
References	23

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Graphical expansions of thermodynamic functionals and correlation functions play an important role in statistical mechanics and liquid state theory. Relevant quantities are expanded in powers of the activity  $z$  or the density  $\rho$ , leading for example to the Mayer expansion and virial expansion for the pressure. In mathematical statistical physics, the expansions are used to establish absence of phase transitions and exponential decay of correlations [Rue69], though in this regard disagreement percolation [GHM01, Der19, HTH19, BHTLV20], Dobrushin uniqueness [HZ20], approaches based on Glauber birth and death dynamics or other algorithms [FFG02, FGS16, HPP20], or recursive approaches and complex analysis

---

*Date:* 19 September 2020.

[Mee70, MP20] often yield better results; in addition to expansions, there are also bounds [Lie63]. In physical chemistry and density functional theory, diagrammatic expansions serve as a conceptual guide to various approximation schemes and closure relations notably for the Ornstein-Zernike equation, see [HM13] and the discussion and references in [KT18].

It has been conjectured that the virial expansion converges in a bigger domain than the activity expansion [Bry11, Gro67]. Examples where this is proven include hard hexagons [Joy88], hard rods on a line (Tonks gas) [Ton36, Jan15], uniformly repulsive interactions in finite volume [BM14, Mar15], and a hierarchical mixture of cubes on a lattice [Jan20]. A counter-example for an attractive double-well potential that favors dimerization of particles [Jan12] suggests that the conjecture, if true at all, perhaps only applies to non-negative potentials.

The best-known proofs of convergence for the virial expansion are based on an inversion. First one proves convergence of the activity expansion in powers of  $z$ , then one inverts the density-activity expansion  $\rho = \rho(z)$  to obtain an expansion in powers of  $\rho$  [LP64]. Clearly this approach is ill-suited to a proof or disproof of the above-mentioned conjecture: any convergence criterion for density expansions derived in this way inherits the limitations of activity expansions.

Alternative approaches were given by Groeneveld [Gro67], Pulvirenti and Tsagkarogiannis [PT12], Ramawadh and Tate [RT15], and Nguyen and Fernández [NF20]. Groeneveld's proof is based on recurrence relations for weighted graphs upon successive removal of edges incident to a given vertex. Pulvirenti and Tsagkarogiannis tackled convergence directly in the canonical ensemble. Ramawadh, Tate, Nguyen, and Fernández exploited Lagrange inversion and combinatorial features of the Penrose tree partition scheme.

The aim of the present note is to revisit Groeneveld's approach, which may help address the above-mentioned conjecture in the future. Our approach is based on a recurrence relation similar to Groeneveld's except we remove a vertex and all its incident edges in one go (Proposition 8). The recurrence relations obtained in this way are similar to the recurrence relations of the coefficients of the activity expansions of the correlation functions [Pen63, MP77] inherited from the well-known Kirkwood-Salsburg equation. The principal difference is an additional expression with a Möbius inversion on the lattice of set partitions, in part inspired by recent work by Dorlas, Rebenko, and Savoie [DRS20]. This corresponds to a version of the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation from which the activity is eliminated; a similar variant of the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation has in fact been derived from the canonical ensemble and applied to prove convergence of density expansions by Bogolyubov et al. [BH49, BPH69].

The recurrence relations allow for an inductive proof of an abstract convergence condition, which is our main result (Theorem 3). The convergence condition is similar to the convergence condition for activity expansions based on Kirkwood-Salsburg equations given by Bissacot, Fernández, Procacci [BFP10] for discrete polymer systems and Jansen and Kolesnikov [JK20] for continuum Gibbs measures. Known convergence criteria by Lebowitz and Penrose (for non-negative potentials), Groeneveld, and Nguyen and Fernández are easily recovered, in addition the theorem is applicable to inhomogeneous systems and it yields bounds for the density expansions of all factorial moment measures (also known as correlation functions

or distribution functions), thus complementing the results on the pressure and free energy for inhomogeneous systems in [JKT19].

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present our main convergence theorem and explain how to recover from it the convergence criteria by Lebowitz and Penrose [LP64], Groeneveld [Gro67], and Nguyen and Fernández [NF20]. We also discuss the relation with the work [JKT19]. The main proof ingredient is a set of recurrence relations for 2-connected graphs, presented in Section 3. Sections 4 and 5 proceed with the proofs of the main convergence theorem and the derived theorems for homogeneous systems in which we recover known convergence criteria. In Section 6 we sketch the relation between the activity and density expansions.

The results and proofs in the main body of the article are phrased in terms of generating functions of weighted labelled graphs, in principle they do not require any knowledge in statistical mechanics. This choice of presentation is motivated by interest in the virial expansions from a combinatorial point of view [Ler04, KL11, Far10, Tat15]. The relation of these generating functions with correlation functions of grand-canonical Gibbs measures is recalled in Appendix A.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$  be a measurable space and  $v$  a pair potential, i.e., a measurable function  $v : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  that is symmetric ( $v(x, y) = v(y, x)$  on  $\mathbb{X}^2$ ). Mayer's  $f$ -function is

$$f(x, y) = e^{-v(x, y)} - 1.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{C}_n \supset \mathcal{D}_n$  be the sets of all graphs, connected graphs, and 2-connected graphs with vertex set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The graphs considered here are undirected and without loops or multiple edges. Remember that a graph is 2-connected if it is connected and for every vertex  $i$ , removal of the vertex  $i$  and all incident edges results in a graph that is still connected.

The weight of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ , given  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n$ , is

$$w(G; x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{\{i, j\} \in E(G)} f(x_i, x_j),$$

the product over the empty set is defined to be one. Given a measure  $\rho$  on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ , our main goal is to investigate the convergence of the function  $\bar{d}$  on  $\mathbb{X}$  given by

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \left| \sum_{G \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}} w(G; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right| \rho(dx_2) \cdots \rho(dx_{n+1}).$$

Let us briefly recall a simple convergence condition for  $\bar{d}(x_1; \rho)$ , so as to provide some context for Theorem 3 below.

**Theorem 1.** [JKT19] *Suppose that  $v \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{X}^2$  and that there exists a non-negative measurable function  $a : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{2a(y)} \rho(dy) \leq a(x) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ . Then  $\bar{d}(x; \rho) \leq a(x) < \infty$  on  $\mathbb{X}$ .

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 3.4 in [JKT19]. The latter has a more general convergence condition and covers attractive potentials as well.

Our treatment of the virial expansion requires the introduction of another set of graphs. Let  $W$  and  $B$  be two finite disjoint sets. The set  $W$  is non-empty but  $B$  is allowed to be empty. We call elements of  $W$  white and elements of  $B$  black, and define a set of graphs  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$  with vertex set  $W \cup B$  as follows. If  $\#W \geq 2$ , then a graph  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$  if and only if:

- (i) Every black vertex is connected to at least one white vertex by a path in  $G$ .
- (ii) The previous property survives the removal of any vertex (black or white).

If  $W = \{w\}$  is a singleton, we define  $\mathcal{D}(\{w\}, B) := \emptyset$  if  $B \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\{w\}, \emptyset) = \{G\}$  with  $\{G\}$  the graph with unique vertex  $w$  and no edge. In Appendix A we recall that these graphs are associated with the density expansions of the correlation functions in statistical mechanics, see also [Ste64, Section 6]. A combinatorial motivation is provided by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$  be a graph with at least  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Let  $W$  be the set of vertices adjacent to 1, denote  $B := \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus W$ , and  $G'$  the graph obtained from  $G$  by removing 1 and all incident edges. Then  $G$  is 2-connected if and only if  $G'$  is connected and  $G'$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$  with  $\#W \geq 2$ .*

The elementary proof is left to the reader. Graphs in  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$  are connected when there are exactly two white vertices but need not be connected when there are three white vertices or more.

Define

$$\psi((x_i)_{i \in I}; (x_j)_{j \in J}) := \sum_{G \in \mathcal{D}(I, J)} w(G; (x_i)_{i \in I \cup J}). \quad (2)$$

Notice

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{D}(\{s\}; \emptyset)} w(G; x_1, \dots, x_s) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j)). \quad (3)$$

Further define

$$\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n})| \rho(dx_{s+1}) \cdots \rho(dx_{s+n}).$$

The contribution from  $n = 0$  is  $|\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset)|$ .

We view  $\bar{g}(\cdot; \rho)$  as a function from  $\sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ . The disjoint union  $\sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s$  is equipped with the direct sum of the product  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{X}^s$ , the function  $\bar{g}(\cdot; \rho)$  is measurable.

For a concise formulation of our main convergence theorem, we introduce a nonlinear operator on non-negative functions. For  $m : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  a measurable function, define a new function  $\mathbf{K}_\rho m : \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  as follows. For  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ , set

$$(\mathbf{K}_\rho m)(x_1) = 1$$

and introduce the auxiliary function

$$(R_\rho m)(x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| m(y_1, \dots, y_j) \rho^j(d\mathbf{y}). \quad (4)$$

For  $s \geq 2$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{X}^s$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{K}_\rho m)(x_1, \dots, x_s) &= \prod_{i=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_i)) \left( m(x_2, \dots, x_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| m(x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_j) \rho^j(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((R_\rho m)(x_1))^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

The fixed-point equation  $\mathbf{K}_\rho m = m$  is closely related to the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation, see Eq. (48) in Appendix A. Our main theorem is the following abstract convergence condition.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose there exists a measurable function  $m : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $(R_\rho m)(x_1) < 1$  for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$  and*

$$(\mathbf{K}_\rho m)(x_1, \dots, x_s) \leq m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \quad (5)$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{X}^s$ . Then

$$\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \leq m(x_1, \dots, x_s) < \infty$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{X}^s$  and

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) \leq -\log(1 - (R_\rho m)(x_1)) < \infty$$

for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ .

The theorem is inspired by a similar convergence condition for activity expansion [JK20], see Theorem 13 below. Theorem 3 is proven in Section 4.

Before we discuss the relation of Theorem 3 to Theorem 1, let us explain how to recover some known convergence criteria. We specialize to  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and translationally invariant pair potentials  $v(x, y) = v(0, y - x)$  which we write as  $v(y - x)$  instead. We assume that the pair potential  $v$  is stable, i.e., there exists  $B \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} v(x_i - x_j) \geq -nB$$

for all  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Further assume that

$$C := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-v(y)} - 1| \mathbf{d}y$$

is finite. Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We work with translationally invariant measures  $\rho\lambda(\mathbf{d}x)$  where  $\rho > 0$  and write  $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}; \rho)$  and  $\bar{d}(x_1; \rho)$  instead of  $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}; \rho\lambda)$  and  $\bar{d}(x_1; \rho\lambda)$ . The following theorems are proven in Section 5 by specializing Theorem 3 to different choices of weight functions  $m(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $v$  be a stable translationally invariant pair potential in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\rho > 0$ . Set  $u := e^{2B}$ . Assume there exists  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that*

$$ue^{C\rho\kappa} \leq \kappa(2 - e^{C\rho\kappa}). \quad (6)$$

Then for all  $s \geq 2$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \leq \kappa^s$$

and

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) \leq -\log\left(2 - e^{C\rho\kappa}\right) < \infty.$$

Notice that condition (6) is equivalent to (think  $\mu = C\rho\kappa$ )

$$\rho \leq \frac{1}{Cu} \sup_{\mu > 0} \mu(2e^{-\mu} - 1) \simeq \frac{0.14477}{Cu}.$$

For  $u = 1$  (non-negative potentials), the right-hand side is precisely the radius of convergence given by Lebowitz and Penrose [LP64]. For  $u > 1$  ( $B > 0$ ), the bound given here is less good than the bound  $\sup_{\mu > 0} (1+u)e^{-\mu} - 1) \mu / (Cu^2)$  given in [LP64]. The density expansions of correlation functions are treated as well by Lebowitz and Penrose, see [LP64, Section 7].

**Theorem 5** (Groeneveld recovered). *Let  $v$  be a stable translationally invariant pair potential in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\rho > 0$ . Set  $u := e^{2B}$ . Assume there exists  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that*

$$(1+u)e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1 \leq \kappa. \quad (7)$$

Then for all  $s \geq 2$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \leq \kappa^{s-1}$$

and

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) \leq -\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1)\right) < \infty.$$

Notice that condition (7) is equivalent to (think  $\mu = C\rho\kappa$ )

$$\rho \leq \frac{1}{C} \sup_{\mu > 0} \frac{\mu}{(1+u)e^\mu - 1} =: R_{\text{Groe}}.$$

The right-hand side is equal to the radius of convergence given by Groeneveld [Gro67, §4, Corollary 2]. The bounds for  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{d}$  are not explicitly stated in [Gro67] but should follow from the considerations therein. For non-negative potentials, we may take  $B = 0$ ,  $u = 1$ , and a numerical evaluation yields  $R_{\text{Groe}} \simeq 0.23196/C$ . (For non-negative potentials, Groeneveld also states a better bound  $0.27846/C$ , but his work [Gro67] does not include a proof and the forthcoming work that should have had the proof does not seem to have been published.)

Next we further specialize to non-negative pair potentials. For  $\mu > 0$ , define

$$\Psi(\mu) := 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} \prod_{i=1}^k |f(0, y_i)| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (1 + f(y_i, y_j)) \, d\mathbf{y}.$$

**Theorem 6** (Nguyen-Fernández recovered). *Let  $v$  be a non-negative, translationally invariant pair potential in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\rho > 0$ . Suppose there exists a scalar  $\kappa > 0$  such that*

$$2\Psi(\rho\kappa) - 1 \leq \kappa. \quad (8)$$

Then for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{X}^s$ , we have

$$\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \leq \kappa^{s-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j))$$

and

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) \leq -\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\Psi(\rho\kappa) - 1)\right) < \infty.$$

Notice that condition (8) is equivalent to (think  $\mu = \rho\kappa$ )

$$\rho \leq \sup_{\mu > 0} \frac{\mu}{2\Psi(\mu) - 1} =: R_{\text{NF}}.$$

The right-hand side is precisely the lower bound to the radius of convergence of the virial series proven by Nguyen and Fernández [NF20, Corollary 4.6]. For hard spheres in dimension  $d = 2$ , a numerical evaluation yields  $R_{\text{NF}} \simeq 0.300224/C$  [NF20, Application 4.9]. The precise bound on  $\bar{g}$  in Theorem 6 is new.

Turning back to general measure spaces  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ , let us address the relation between Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. To that aim we first derive a simpler convergence condition, for non-negative pair potentials. We make the ansatz

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) = e^{b(x_1) + \dots + b(x_s)} \quad (9)$$

for some measurable function  $b : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then

$$(R_\rho m)(x_1) = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{b(y)} \rho(dy)\right) - 1.$$

Using  $1 + f \leq 1$ , it is easily checked that if

$$\frac{\exp(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{b(y)} \rho(dy))}{2 - \exp(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{b(y)} \rho(dy))} \leq e^{b(x)} \quad (10)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , with strictly positive denominator, then the sufficient convergence condition (5) is met. Because of

$$\frac{1}{2 - e^t} = \frac{1}{1 - (e^t - 1)} \geq 1 + (e^t - 1) = e^t$$

for all  $t \in [0, \log 2)$ , condition (10) implies

$$\exp\left(2 \int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{b(y)} \rho(dy)\right) \leq e^{b(x)} \quad (11)$$

hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |f(x, y)| e^{b(y)} \rho(dy) \leq \frac{b(x)}{2}$$

and  $\rho$  satisfies condition (1) from Theorem 1 with  $a(x) = b(x)/2$ .

Put differently, Theorem 3 applied to the simplest weight function (9) yields a convergence condition that is *worse* than the condition (1) from [JKT19]. Condition (10) however is similar to the Lebowitz-Penrose condition from Theorem 4. Theorems 5 and 6 suggest that criteria better than Theorem 1 might be obtained from our Theorem 3 with other weight functions  $m$  also in the inhomogeneous case, but this is beyond the purpose of the present work.

### 3. RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR 2-CONNECTED GRAPHS

Remember the set  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of 2-connected graphs with vertex set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The graph  $G$  with vertices 1, 2 and edge  $\{1, 2\}$  is considered 2-connected. For  $n \geq 2$ , let

$$D_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{D}_n} w(G; x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Further remember the weights  $\psi((x_i)_{i \in I}, (x_j)_{j \in J})$  from (2) and (3) and the graphs  $\mathcal{D}(I, J)$  defined in Section 2. To lighten notation, when there is no risk of confusion we use the shorthand

$$\psi(I, J) := \psi((x_i)_{i \in I}, (x_j)_{j \in J}), \quad D(I) = D_{\#I}((x_i)_{i \in I}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}(V)$  be the collection of set partitions  $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$  of  $V$  (non-empty disjoint sets with union  $V$ , order irrelevant).

**Proposition 7.** *For all  $n \geq 2$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} D(\{1, \dots, n\}) &= \sum_{L \subset \{2, \dots, n\}} \prod_{\ell \in L} f(x_1, x_\ell) \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(\{2, \dots, n\})} \prod_{r=1}^m \psi(L \cap V_r, (\{2, \dots, n\} \setminus L) \cap V_r). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $n = 2$ , then  $D(\{1, 2\}) = f(x_1, x_2)$  and the proposition is trivial. Consider  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $L \subset \{2, \dots, n\}$  the set of vertices adjacent to 1, and  $G'$  the graph with vertex set  $\{2, \dots, n\}$  obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex 1 and all incident edges. By Lemma 2,  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  if and only if  $G'$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(L, \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus L)$  and  $G'$  is connected. Define

$$\psi_c(I, J) = \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D}(I, J) \\ G \text{ connected}}} w(G; (x_i)_{i \in I \cup J}),$$

then

$$D(\{1, \dots, n\}) = \sum_{L \subset \{2, \dots, n\}} \prod_{\ell \in L} f(x_1, x_\ell) \psi_c(L, \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus L). \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, for every  $J \subset \{2, \dots, n\}$ , summing over connected component of graphs  $G \in \psi(L, J)$ , one finds

$$\psi(L, J) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(L \cup J)} \prod_{r=1}^m \psi_c(L \cap V_r, J \cap V_r)$$

where we define  $\psi(\emptyset, B) = 0$  for all  $B$ . Define  $b_L(V) := \psi(L \cap V, V \setminus L)$  and  $a_L(V) = \psi_c(L \cap V, V \setminus L)$ , then we may rewrite the previous equation as

$$b_L(L \cup J) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(L \cup J)} a_L(V_1) \cdots a_L(V_m).$$

A standard formula for the Möbius inversion on the lattice of set partitions [MM91, Section 6.2] then yields

$$a_L(L \cup J) = \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(L \cup J)} b_L(V_1) \cdots b_L(V_m)$$

which gives

$$\psi_c(L, J) = \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(L \cup J)} \prod_{r=1}^m \psi(L \cap V_r, J \cap V_r). \quad (13)$$

The proposition follows by inserting (13) into (12).  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two non-empty disjoint finite sets with  $\#I \geq 2$ , and  $x_i, i \in I \cup J$ , elements in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Pick  $\iota(I) \in I$  and set  $I' := I \setminus \iota(I)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(I; J) = \prod_{i \in I'} (1 + f(x_{\iota(I)}, x_i)) & \left( \psi(I'; J) + \sum_{\substack{L \subset J: \ell \in L \\ L \neq \emptyset}} \prod_{\ell \in L} f(x_{\iota(I)}, x_\ell) \right. \\ & \left. \times \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{(L_1, \dots, L_m) \\ (J_1, \dots, J_m)}} \psi(I' \cup L_1; J_1) \psi(L_2; J_2) \cdots \psi(L_m; J_m) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum is over tuples such that

- $L_1, \dots, L_m$  are pairwise disjoint with  $L_1$  possibly empty but  $L_2, \dots, L_m$  non-empty, and  $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_m$ .
- $J_1, \dots, J_m$  are pairwise disjoint with  $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_m = J \setminus L$ . Each  $J_i$  is allowed to be empty.

The proposition is analogous to [Pen63, Eq. (5.9)], [PU09, Lemma 6.2] and [Jan19, Lemma 4.1] which give a recurrence relation for the coefficients of the activity expansion of the correlation functions.

*Proof.* We prove first a simpler formula with a different weight function  $\widehat{\psi}$  (Eq. (14)), and then we express  $\widehat{\psi}$  with the help of an inverse Möbius transform (Eq. (18)).

Let  $G \in \mathcal{D}(I, J)$  and  $L \subset J$  the set of black vertices incident to the white vertex 1. Removing the vertex 1 and all incident vertices from  $G$  results in a graph  $G' \in \mathcal{D}(I' \cup L, J \setminus L)$ . The graph  $G'$  necessarily has the following property: every black vertex in  $J$  connects to  $I'$ . Indeed, if it didn't, then in  $G$  every path linking the black vertex to a white vertex would pass through 1 hence removal of the white vertex 1 would destroy the defining property (i) of  $\mathcal{D}(I, J)$ , contradicting  $G \in \mathcal{D}(I, J)$ . Thus we have checked that if  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(I, J)$ , then  $G'$  is in the set  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I'; L; J \setminus L)$  given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I'; L; J \setminus L) = \{G' \in \mathcal{D}(I' \cup L; J \setminus L) : \text{every } j \in J \text{ connects to } I'\}.$$

Conversely, if  $G'$  is a graph in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I'; L; J \setminus L)$ , then adding the vertex  $\iota(I)$ , the edges  $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and none, some, or all of the edges  $\{\iota(I), i\}$  with  $i \in I'$ , gives a graph in  $G'$ . Define

$$\widehat{\psi}(I', L, J \setminus L) = \sum_{G' \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I', L, J \setminus L)} w(G; \mathbf{x}_{I' \cup L \cup J}).$$

The considerations above yield

$$\psi(I; J) = \prod_{i \in I'} (1 + f(x_{\iota(I)}, x_i)) \sum_{L \subset J} \prod_{\ell \in L} f(x_{\iota(I)}, x_\ell) \widehat{\psi}(I', L, J \setminus L), \quad (14)$$

the contribution from  $L = \emptyset$  is  $\widehat{\psi}(I', J)$ .

Next we express the  $\widehat{\psi}$ -weights for  $L \neq \emptyset$  in terms of the  $\psi$ -weights with an inverse Möbius transform. To that aim, keeping  $I'$  fixed, we define a new set of graphs that corresponds, roughly, to the connected components of graphs  $G \in$

$\mathcal{D}(I', L, J \setminus L)$ . For  $W, B$  disjoint finite sets (white and black vertices), define

$$\mathcal{D}_c(W, B) := \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I', W \setminus I', B), & I' \subset W, \\ \{G' \in \mathcal{D}(W, B) : G' \text{ is connected}\}, & I' \cap W = \emptyset, \\ \emptyset, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The case distinction in the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_c(W, J)$  is only needed for  $\#I' \geq 2$ ; when  $\#I' = 1$  and  $W \supset I'$ , a graph  $G' \in \mathcal{D}(W, B)$  is in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(I', W \setminus I', B)$  if and only if it is connected. Further set

$$\psi_c(W, B) = \sum_{G' \in \mathcal{D}_c(W, B)} w(G; \mathbf{x}_{W \cup B})$$

and notice that for all  $W \supset I'$ ,

$$\psi_c(W, B) = \widehat{\psi}(I', W \setminus I', B). \quad (15)$$

Consider first the simpler case when  $I'$  has cardinality 1. Let  $W$  and  $B$  be two finite disjoint sets and  $G'$  a graph in  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$ . The graph  $G'$  splits into connected components  $G'_1, \dots, G'_m$  with vertex sets  $V_1, \dots, V_m$ . Notice  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m = W \cup B$ . Set

$$M_k := V_k \cap W, \quad B_k := V_k \cap B \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

It is easily checked that each  $G'_k$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(W_k, B_k)$  for all  $k$ . In addition, each  $B_k$  is non-empty. Thus we find

$$\psi(W, B) = \sum_{m=1}^{\#(W \cup B)} \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}} \prod_{k=1}^m \psi_c(V_k \cap W, V_k \cap B) \quad (16)$$

where the sum is over set partitions of  $W \cup B$  and we set  $\psi(W', B') = \emptyset$  if  $W' = \emptyset$ . Using the well-known formula for the inverse Möbius transform on the lattice of set partitions, see e. g. [MM91, Chapter 2.6], we deduce

$$\psi_c(W, B) = \sum_{m=1}^{\#(W \cup B)} (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}} \prod_{k=1}^m \psi(V_k \cap W, V_k \cap B) \quad (17)$$

with summation over set partitions of  $W \cup B$ . We apply the formula to  $W = I' \cup L$  and  $B = J \setminus L$  with disjoint  $I'$  and  $J$ , and  $L \subset J$ . In view of (15), the left side of (16) is  $\widehat{\psi}(I', L, J \setminus L)$ . On the right side of (15), we get rid of the factorial  $(m-1)!$  by ordering the blocks of the partitions. The uniquely defined block containing the singleton  $I'$  is labelled  $V_1$ . There are  $(m-1)!$  ways to order the remaining blocks, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(I', L, J \setminus L) &= \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} \\ &\times \sum_{(V_1, \dots, V_m)} \psi(V_1 \cap (I' \cup L), V_1 \cap (J \setminus L)) \prod_{k=2}^m \psi(V_k \cap L, V_k \cap (J \setminus L)) \end{aligned}$$

with summation over *ordered* set partitions of  $W \cup B = I' \cup J$  such that  $V_1 \supset I'$ . The correspondence  $L_k = V_k \cap L$ ,  $J_k = V_k \cap J$  allows us to rewrite the previous

equation as

$$\widehat{\psi}(I', L, J \setminus L) = \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{(L_1, \dots, L_m) \\ (J_1, \dots, J_m)}} \widehat{\psi}(I' \cup L_1, J_1) \prod_{k=2}^m \psi(L_k, J_k) \quad (18)$$

with the domain of summation formulated as in the lemma.

A similar reasoning shows that (18) stays valid when  $\#I \geq 2$ . Instead of connected components of graphs  $G'$ , one needs to look at connected components of the graph obtained by identifying all vertices of  $I'$ . The details are left to the reader.

The proposition follows by inserting (18) into (14).  $\square$

#### 4. INDUCTIVE PROOF OF CONVERGENCE

The recurrence relation from Proposition 8 allows for an inductive proof of Theorem 3. The induction is over the maximum number  $N$  of black vertices, as in [Uel04]. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_s) &:= |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset)| \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; y_{s+1}, \dots, y_{s+n})| \rho^n(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Notice

$$\bar{g}_\rho^{(0)}(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j))$$

because of (3), moreover

$$\bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}(x_1) = 1 \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The recursive relation from Proposition 8 translates into a recursive inequality for the partial sums.

**Proposition 9.** *For all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , and every  $\iota \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_\rho^{(N+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_s) &\leq \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s: \\ j \neq \iota}} (1 + f(x_\iota, x_j)) \left( \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}((x_j)_{j \neq \iota}) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \prod_{i=1}^k |f(x_\iota, y_i)| \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}((x_j)_{j \neq \iota}, y_1, \dots, y_k) \rho^k(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}) \right) \\ &\times \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \prod_{i=1}^k |f(x_\iota, y_i)| \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}(y_1, \dots, y_k) \rho^k(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}) \right\}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with the convention  $1/(1-q) = \infty$  when  $q \geq 1$ .

In particular, for  $\iota = 1$  we obtain

$$\bar{g}_\rho^{(N+1)} \leq \mathbf{K}_\rho \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}. \quad (21)$$

The proposition is similar to [Jan19, Proposition 4.1].

*Proof.* To lighten notation we drop the  $\rho$ -index and write down the proof for  $\iota = 1$  only, the proof in the general case is similar. For  $\iota = 1$  the right-hand side of (20) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_j)) \left\{ \bar{g}^{(N)}(x_2, \dots, x_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r |f(x_1, y_i)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{(L_1, \dots, L_m)} \bar{g}^{(N)}(x_2, \dots, x_s, \mathbf{y}_{L_1}) \prod_{j=2}^m \bar{g}^{(N)}(\mathbf{y}_{L_j}) \right) \rho^r(d\mathbf{y}) \right\} \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over tuples  $(L_1, \dots, L_m)$  of pairwise disjoint sets with union  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  and possibly empty  $L_1$ . When  $m = 1$  we set  $\prod_{j=2}^m = 1$ . Let us define

$$\psi^{(N)}(I; J) = \begin{cases} \psi(I; J), & \#J \leq N, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Replacing  $\psi$  with  $\psi^{(N)}$  on the right-hand side of the definition (19) allows us to extend the summation from  $n \leq N$  to  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We insert this representation of  $\bar{g}_s^{(N)}$  into (22) and see that the right-hand side of (20) is of the form

$$g_0((x_j)_{j \in I'}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} g_n((x_j)_{j \in I'}; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n}) \rho(dx_{s+1}) \cdots \rho(dx_{s+n}) \quad (23)$$

with  $I' = \{2, \dots, s\}$ ,

$$g_0((x_j)_{j \in I'}) = \prod_{i \in I'} (1 + f(x_1, x_i)) |\psi^{(N)}(I'; \emptyset)|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_n((x_j)_{j \in I'}; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n}) &= e^{-W(x_i; (x_j)_{j \in I'})} \left( |\psi^{(N)}(I'; \{s+1, \dots, s+n\})| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{L \subset [n]: \ell \in L \\ L \neq \emptyset}} \prod_{\ell \in L} |f(x_i, y_\ell)| \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{(L_1, \dots, L_m), \\ (J_1, \dots, J_m)}} |\psi^{(N)}(I' \cup L_1; J_1)| \cdots |\psi^{(N)}(L_m; J_m)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $(L_1, \dots, L_m)$  and  $(J_1, \dots, J_m)$  pairwise disjoint,  $L_2, \dots, L_m$  non-empty, and  $\cup_i L_i = L$ ,  $\cup_i J_i = \{s+1, \dots, s+n\} \setminus L$ . Proposition 8 yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_n((x_j)_{j \in I'}; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n}) &\geq |\psi^{(N+1)}(\{1, \dots, s\}; \{s+1, \dots, s+n\})| \\ g_0((x_j)_{j \in I'}) &\geq |\psi^{(N+1)}(\{1, \dots, s\}; \emptyset)|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the expression (23) is larger or equal to  $\bar{g}^{(N+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ . We have already observed that the right-hand side of (20) is larger or equal to (23). The proof is complete.  $\square$

The relation for 2-connected graphs from Proposition 7 allows us to relate  $\bar{d}(x_1; \rho)$  and  $\bar{g}(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho)$ .

**Proposition 10.** *We have*

$$\bar{d}(x_1; \rho) \leq -\log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| \bar{g}(y_1, \dots, y_j) \rho^j(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) \right)$$

with the convention  $-\log(1 - q) = \infty$  for  $q \geq 1$ .

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 9 and it is therefore omitted.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $m : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfy condition (5). Because of  $(\mathbf{K}_\rho m)(x_1) = 1$  by definition of  $\mathbf{K}_\rho$ , we have  $m(x_1) \geq 1$  for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ . Condition (5) implies

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \geq \prod_{j=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_j)) m(x_2, \dots, x_s)$$

and a straightforward induction over  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  yields

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \geq \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j)). \quad (24)$$

Next we show by induction over  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  that the functions  $\bar{g}_\rho^{(N)}$  from (19) satisfy

$$\bar{g}_s^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \leq m(x_1, \dots, x_s). \quad (25)$$

For  $N = 0$ , we have in view of (24)

$$\bar{g}_\rho^{(0)}(x_1, \dots, x_s) = |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset)| = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j)) \leq m(x_1, \dots, x_s).$$

For the induction step, suppose that (25) holds true for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We apply the recursive inequality from Proposition 9 with  $\iota = 1$  in the form (21) and deduce

$$\bar{g}_\rho^{(N+1)} \leq \mathbf{K}_\rho \bar{g}_\rho^{(N)} \leq \mathbf{K}_\rho m \leq m.$$

This completes the induction. Passing to the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in (25) we obtain the bound for  $\bar{g}(\cdot; \rho) \leq m$ . The bound on  $\bar{d}(x_1; \rho)$  then follows from Proposition 10.  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATION TO HOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

Because of the stability of the pair potential, for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{X}^s$  there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j \neq i} v(x_i - x_j) \geq -2B. \quad (26)$$

Let  $\iota(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  be the smallest such  $i$  and given a map  $m : \sqcup \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , define

$$(\Pi m)(x_1, \dots, x_s) := m(x_i, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_s), \quad i = \iota(x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

where  $\widehat{x}_i$  means omission of the variable. Thus  $\Pi$  puts the selected index first and leaves the order of the variables otherwise unchanged. The conclusions of Theorem 3 hold true as well if we can find a weight function such that

$$(R_\rho \Pi m)(x_1) = (R_\rho m)(x_i) < 1, \quad \mathbf{K} \Pi m \leq m. \quad (27)$$

Permuting variables is fairly standard in the context of Kirkwood-Salsburg equations [Rue69].

*Proof of Theorem 4.* In the sufficient condition (27) we make the ansatz

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) := \kappa^s.$$

In order for  $m$  to satisfy condition (27), it is enough that

$$u\left(\kappa^{s-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} C^j \rho^j \kappa^{s-1+j}\right) \left\{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} C^j \rho^j \kappa^j\right\}^{-1} \leq \kappa^s$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} C^j \kappa^j \rho^j < 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1 < 1, \quad u \frac{e^{C\rho\kappa}}{1 - (e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1)} \leq \kappa$$

which is indeed satisfied under the conditions of the theorem. Therefore condition (27) and the conclusions of Theorem 3 hold true. Theorem 4 follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* In the sufficient condition (27) we make the ansatz

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) := \kappa^{s-1}.$$

In order for  $m$  to satisfy condition (27), it is enough that

$$u\left(\kappa^{s-2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} C^j \rho^j \kappa^{s-2+j}\right) \left\{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \rho^j \kappa^{j-1}\right\}^{-1} \leq \kappa^{s-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} C^j \kappa^{j-1} \rho^j < 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}(e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1) < 1, \quad u \frac{e^{C\rho\kappa}}{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(e^{C\rho\kappa} - 1)} \leq \kappa$$

which is indeed satisfied under the conditions of the theorem. Therefore condition (27) and the conclusions of Theorem 3 hold true. Theorem 5 follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.* In Theorem 3 we make the ansatz

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \kappa^{s-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j)).$$

Then

$$(R_\rho m)(x_1) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \Psi(\rho\kappa) \quad (x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The numerator on the left-hand side of (5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + f(x_i, x_j)) \left( \kappa^{s-2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq s, \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (1 + f(x_i, y_j)) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(y_i, y_j)) \kappa^{s-2+j} \rho^j d\mathbf{y} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For non-negative pair potentials, we have  $1 + f = e^{-v} \leq 1$ . We bound the terms  $1 + \Psi(x_i, y_j)$  on the second line of the previous displayed equation by 1 and see that (28) is bounded from above by

$$\kappa^{s-2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (1 + f(x_i, x_j)) \Psi(\rho\kappa).$$

Therefore Theorem 3 is applicable if

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}(\Psi(\kappa\rho) - 1) < 1, \quad \frac{\Psi(\kappa\rho)}{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\Psi(\kappa\rho) - 1)} \leq \kappa$$

which is indeed true if  $2\Psi(\kappa\rho) - 1 \leq \kappa$ .  $\square$

## 6. RELATION WITH CONNECTED GRAPHS AND ACTIVITY EXPANSIONS

Remember the set  $\mathcal{C}_n$  of connected graphs with vertex set  $[n]$ . The *Ursell function* is

$$\varphi_n^\top(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{C}_n} w(G; x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Given a measure  $z$  on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ , we define a new measure  $\rho_1^z$  on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$  by

$$\rho_1^z(dq) = z(dq) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \varphi_{n+1}^\top(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) z^n(d\mathbf{x}) \right) \quad (29)$$

whenever the right-hand side is absolutely convergent. We may also view  $\rho_1^z$  as a measure-valued formal power series; it is the exponential generating function for rooted connected graphs. When convergent the measure  $\rho_1^z$  corresponds to the intensity measure (one-particle density) of a grand-canonical Gibbs measure at activity  $z$ , see Appendix A. The inverse of the map  $z \mapsto \rho_1^z$  is given by

$$z(dq) = \rho(dq) \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} D_{n+1}(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho^n(d\mathbf{x}) \right), \quad (30)$$

see [JKT19, Theorem 3.4] for a rigorous statement for inhomogeneous systems, addressing issues of convergence as well. Theorem 11 below is similar to the latter but works under a different conditions on  $\rho$ . Precisely, we assume that for some measurable function  $m : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , the measure  $\rho$  satisfies the conditions from Theorem 3 (i.e.,  $(R_\rho m)(x_1) < 1$  on  $\mathbb{X}$  and (5)) and in addition

$$\frac{1 + (R_\rho m)(x_1)}{1 - (R_\rho m)(x_1)} \leq m(x_1) \quad (31)$$

for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ . Notice that Theorem 3 guarantees the absolute convergence of the series in (30), with

$$z(dq) \leq \rho(dq) e^{\bar{d}(q;\rho)} \leq \rho(dq) (1 - R_\rho m(q))^{-1}.$$

The additional condition (31) ensures that not only are all the density expansions convergent, but in addition the activity is in the domain of convergence of the activity expansions, see Lemma 14.

*Remark.* Condition (31) is automatically satisfied for weight functions  $m(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \kappa^s$  with  $\kappa$  as in Theorem 4, i.e., in the Lebowitz-Penrose domain. This extends to the inhomogeneous weights  $m(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \exp(b(x_1) + \dots + b(x_s))$  with  $b$  and  $\rho$  as in (10). However condition (31) excludes weight functions  $m$  with  $m(x_1) = 1$

for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ , as used in the proof of Theorems 5 and 6 (Groeneveld and Nguyen-Fernández domain).

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\rho$  and  $m$  satisfy the conditions from Theorem 3 and in addition condition (31). Define the measure  $z$  by (30). Then the series defining  $\rho_1^z(dq)$  is absolutely convergent and  $\rho_1^z = \rho$ .*

Before we turn to the proof, we address factorial moment measures (a.k.a.  $s$ -point correlation functions or distribution functions). Let  $W$  and  $B$  be two finite disjoint sets, with  $W$  non-empty. Elements of  $W$  are called white, elements of  $B$  are called black. We define  $\mathcal{C}(W, B)$  as the set of graphs with vertex set  $W \cup B$  such that every black vertex is connected to at least one white vertex by a path in  $G$ . Set

$$\varphi((x_i)_{i \in W}; (x_j)_{j \in B}) := \sum_{G \in \mathcal{C}(W, B)} w(G; (x_i)_{i \in W \cup B}).$$

We define a function  $\alpha(\cdot; z) : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) &= \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_s; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n}) z(dx_{s+1}) \cdots z(dx_{s+n}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The convergence of  $\alpha$  is addressed in Theorem 12 below. Assuming absolute convergence of the series, we define a family of measures  $\rho_s^z$  on  $(\mathbb{X}^s, \mathcal{X}^s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , by

$$\rho_s^z(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) z(dx_1) \cdots z(dx_s).$$

The measures  $\rho_s^z$  are the factorial moment measures ( $s$ -point correlation functions) of a Gibbs measure at activity  $z$ , see [Ste64, Section 4] and Proposition 16. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) &= \psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_s; x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+n}) \rho(dx_{s+1}) \cdots \rho(dx_{s+n}). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\rho$  and  $z$  be as in Theorem 11, and  $\rho_1^z$  as in (29). Then the series defining  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z)$  are absolutely convergent, moreover*

$$\rho_s^z(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho_1^z) \rho_1^z(dx_1) \cdots \rho_1^z(dx_s) \quad (s \geq 2).$$

(The absolute convergence of  $g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho_1^z)$  follows from the equality  $\rho_1^z = \rho$  proven in Theorem 11, the conditions on  $\rho$ , and Theorem 3.)

The proofs of Theorems 11 and 12 build on a similar convergence result for generating functions  $\alpha$  from (32) from [JK20] which we briefly recall. For  $\tilde{m} : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$(\mathbf{T}_z \tilde{m})(x_1) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| \tilde{m}(y_1, \dots, y_j) z^n(d\mathbf{y})$$

and for  $s \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{T}_z \tilde{m})(x_1, \dots, x_s) &= \prod_{i=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_i)) \left( \tilde{m}(x_2, \dots, x_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j |f(x_1, y_i)| \tilde{m}(x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_j) z^j(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We define  $\bar{\alpha} : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by a formula similar to (32) but with absolute values around  $\varphi$ . Theorem 3 was modeled after the following theorem.

**Theorem 13.** [JK20] *Let  $z$  be a measure on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . Suppose there exists a non-negative measurable function  $\tilde{m} : \sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$\mathbf{T}_z \tilde{m} \leq \tilde{m} \quad (35)$$

on  $\sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s$ . Then  $\bar{\alpha} \leq \tilde{m} < \infty$  on  $\sqcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X}^s$ .

The result is formulated in [JK20] for non-negative potentials only, in fact as a necessary and sufficient condition, the sufficient condition is easily extended to general pair potentials.

The first step in the proofs of Theorems 11 and 12 is to check that the conditions of Theorem 13 are met, thus guaranteeing the absolute convergence of the series defining  $\rho_s^z$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . Set

$$\tilde{m}(x_1, \dots, x_s) := m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - R_\rho m(x_i)). \quad (36)$$

**Lemma 14.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 11, the activity  $z$  defined in (30) and the function  $\tilde{m}$  from (36) satisfy condition (35) from Theorem 13.*

*Proof.* Set  $\bar{z}(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\mathbf{d}x_1)(1 - R_\rho m(x_1))^{-1}$  and notice that

$$\tilde{m}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \bar{z}^s(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}) = m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \rho^s(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}).$$

Using (31), we get

$$\mathbf{T}_z \tilde{m}(x_1) \leq \mathbf{T}_{\bar{z}} \tilde{m}(x_1) = 1 + R_\rho m(x_1) \leq (1 - R_\rho m(x_1)) m(x_1) = \tilde{m}(x_1).$$

For  $s \geq 2$ , we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_z \tilde{m}(x_1, \dots, x_s) &\leq \mathbf{T}_{\bar{z}} \tilde{m}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - R_\rho m(x_i)) \mathbf{K}_\rho m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - R_\rho m(x_i)) m(x_1, \dots, x_s) \\ &= \tilde{m}(x_1, \dots, x_s). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 11.* We follow the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [JKT19]. The absolute convergence of the series defining  $\rho_1^z$  is guaranteed by Theorem 13 and Lemma 14, it remains to show that  $\rho_1^z = \rho$ . First we note that

$$\rho_1^z(\mathbf{d}q) = z(\mathbf{d}q) \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} D_{n+1}(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho_1^z(\mathbf{d}x_1) \cdots \rho_1^z(\mathbf{d}x_n) \right)$$

as an equality of formal power series in  $z$  (see Lemma 3.8 in [JKT19] and the references therein, and [Ler04, Theorem 1.3]), which implies

$$z(dq) = \rho_1^z(dq) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} D_{n+1}(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho_1^z(dx_1) \cdots \rho_1^z(dx_n)\right) \quad (37)$$

as an equality of formal power series. Eq. (37) plays the role of the fixed point equation (FP') in [JKT19, Section 2.3]. Let us write  $\tilde{\zeta}[\rho](dq)$  for the measure-valued power series on the right-hand side of (30), so that (30) becomes  $z = \tilde{\zeta}[\rho]$ . Let us also write  $\rho_1[z](dq)$  instead of  $\rho_1^z$ . Then (37) reads

$$z = \tilde{\zeta}[\rho_1[z]]$$

or equivalently,  $\text{id} = \tilde{\zeta} \circ \rho_1$  with the notion of composition of measure-valued formal power series given in [JKT19, Appendix A]. Proceeding as in [JKT19, Lemma 2.1] one finds a measure-valued formal power series  $\zeta[\rho]$  such that  $\rho_1 \circ \zeta = \text{id}$ . It follows that

$$\zeta = \text{id} \circ \zeta = (\tilde{\zeta} \circ \rho_1) \circ \zeta = \tilde{\zeta} \circ (\rho_1 \circ \zeta) = \tilde{\zeta} \circ \text{id} = \tilde{\zeta},$$

hence also  $\rho_1 \circ \tilde{\zeta}[\rho] = \rho$  as an equality of formal power series in  $\rho$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(dq) &= \tilde{\zeta}[\rho](dq) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \varphi_{n+1}^\top(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\zeta}[\rho](dx_i)\right) \\ &= \rho(dq) e^{-d(q;\rho)} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \varphi_n^\top(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-d(x_i;\rho)} \rho^n(d\mathbf{x})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

as an equality of formal power series in  $\rho$ , where

$$d(q, \rho) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} D_{n+1}(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho^n(d\mathbf{x}).$$

The right-hand side of (38) is a power series that is absolutely convergent under our assumptions on  $\rho$ . Indeed if we put absolute values around the expansion coefficients of  $\exp(-d(x_i, \rho))$ , we obtain simply  $\exp(\bar{d}(x_i, \rho))$  which is smaller than  $(1 - R_\rho m(x_i))^{-1}$ . The proof of Lemma 14 shows that the measure  $\bar{z}(dx) = (1 - R_\rho m(x_i))^{-1} \rho(dx)$  is in the domain of convergence of the series with coefficients  $|\varphi_{n+1}^\top(q, x_1, \dots, x_n)|$ . Thus the right-hand side of (38) is absolutely convergent and the equality holds true as an equality of convergent expressions.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 12.* The absolute convergence of  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z)$  follows from Theorem 13 and Lemma 14. Standard combinatorial considerations in the spirit of [Ste64], [Ler04], and [JKT19, Lemma 3.8] show that

$$\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho_1^z) \prod_{i=1}^s e^{d(x_i; \rho_1^z)} \quad (39)$$

as an equality of formal power series in  $z$ . This reflects that every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}(W, B)$  with  $W, B$  two finite non-empty sets, splits into a graph  $G' \in \mathcal{D}(W, B')$ , with  $B' \subset B$ , and a collection of connected graphs  $(G''_i)_{i \in W \cup B'}$  such that each  $G''_i$  contains the vertex  $i$ . Writing  $V(G''_i)$  for the vertex set of  $G''_i$ , we have  $\bigcup_{i \in W \cup B'} (V(G''_i) \setminus \{i\}) = B \setminus B'$ . The set  $V(G''_i) \setminus \{i\}$  may be empty, it contains precisely those black vertices for which every path in  $G$  to a white vertex different from  $i$  passes through  $i$ .

In Eq. (39) we insert  $z = \tilde{\zeta}[\rho]$  and obtain the formal power series equality

$$\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; \tilde{\zeta}[\rho]) \prod_{i=1}^s e^{-d(x_i; \rho)} = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho). \quad (40)$$

Our assumptions guarantee that the latter also holds true as an equality of convergent expressions. It follows that

$$\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) z(dx_1) \cdots z(dx_s) = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \rho(dx_1) \cdots \rho(dx_s).$$

By Theorem 11,  $\rho_1^z = \rho$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF GIBBS MEASURES

The classes of graphs  $\mathcal{C}(W, B)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(W, B)$  and their generating functions  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z)$  and  $g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho)$  enter the activity and density expansions of correlation functions of grand-canonical Gibbs measures. This is well-known; for a derivation on the level of formal power series, see e.g. [Ste64]. The convergence of density expansions of the correlation functions (in homogeneous systems) is addressed e.g. in [LP64, BPH69]. In fact the correlation functions for homogeneous systems can be treated directly in the finite-volume canonical ensemble, see [PT15] for the two-point function and [KT18] for the truncated and direct correlation function and the Ornstein-Zernike equation.

This appendix provides a statement adapted to our inhomogeneous setup (Proposition 17). In addition, we comment on the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation (Proposition 18). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$  be a Polish space,  $\mathcal{X}_b$  the collection of bounded measurable sets, and  $\Gamma$  the space of locally finite point configurations, i.e.,

$$\Gamma := \{ \eta \subset \mathbb{X} \mid \forall A \in \mathcal{X}_b : \#(\eta \cap A) < \infty \}.$$

The space  $\Gamma$  is equipped with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the mappings  $\gamma \mapsto \#(\eta \cap A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{X}_b$ . The interaction of a point at  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  with a configuration  $\eta \in \Gamma$  is

$$H(x \mid \eta) := \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \eta} v(x, y), & \text{if the sum is absolutely convergent,} \\ \infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

More generally, we set

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_s \mid \eta) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} v(x_i, x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^s H(x_i \mid \eta).$$

In the following  $z$  is a locally finite, diffuse measure on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ , i.e.,  $z(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $z(A) < \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{X}_b$ .

**Definition 15.** A probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{F})$  is a Gibbs measure at activity  $z$  for the pair potential  $v$  if

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{x \in \eta} F(x, \eta) \right] = \int z(dx) \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-H(x \mid \eta)} F(x, \eta \setminus \{x\}) \right]. \quad (\text{GNZ})$$

for all measurable functions  $F : \mathbb{X} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[f] = \int_{\Gamma} f d\mathbb{P}$  the expected value with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

This definition uses the GNZ equation (named after Georgii, Nguyen, and Zessin) instead of the DLR equation (Dobrushin, Lanford, Ruelle) more familiar in mathematical physics; for the equivalence of the two characterizations, the reader is referred to the recent survey [Der19].

The intensity measure (one-particle density)  $\rho_1$  of a Gibbs point process is absolutely continuous with respect to the activity, with

$$\rho_1(dx) = z(dx)\mathbf{E}[e^{-H(x|\eta)}].$$

More generally, the factorial moment measure of order  $s$  (also known as  $s$ -point correlation function or distribution function) satisfies

$$\rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = z(dx_1) \cdots z(dx_s) \mathbf{E}[e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_s|\eta)}]. \quad (41)$$

For simplicity we stick to the case where the grand-canonical partition function

$$\Xi(z) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} e^{-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} v(x_i, x_j)} z^n(d\mathbf{x})$$

is finite, which amounts to working in finite volume. Under this condition the (grand-canonical) Gibbs measure is uniquely defined and given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(\eta \in A) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Xi(z)} \left( \mathbb{1}_A(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \mathbb{1}_A(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) e^{-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} v(x_i, x_j)} z^n(d\mathbf{x}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

**Proposition 16.** *Let  $z$  be a locally finite, diffuse measure on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$  with  $\Xi(z) < \infty$ . Suppose that  $z$  satisfies the sufficient convergence condition from Theorem 13. Then the factorial moment measures of the uniquely defined Gibbs measure  $\mathbf{P}$  are given by*

$$\rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) z(dx_1) \cdots z(dx_s) \quad (43)$$

with  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z)$  defined in (32).

*Remark.* The proposition uses only the absolute convergence of the series for  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}; z)$ , the precise sufficient convergence condition does not matter.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $\rho$  be a locally finite, diffuse measure on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . Suppose that  $\rho$  satisfies the conditions from Theorem 11 and that the measure  $z$  defined by*

$$z(dq) = \rho(dq) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} D_{n+1}(q, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho^n(d\mathbf{x})\right) \quad (44)$$

satisfies  $\Xi(z) < \infty$ . Then the intensity measure of the uniquely defined Gibbs measure  $\mathbf{P}$  is  $\rho_1 = \rho$  and the correlation functions are

$$\rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho) \rho(dx_1) \cdots \rho(dx_s)$$

with  $g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho)$  defined in (33).

*Proof of Proposition 17.* The proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 16 and Theorems 11 and 12.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 16.* The statement is well-known on the level of formal power series [Ste64, Section 4], we present a self-contained proof for the reader's convenience. In view of Eq. (41) we have to evaluate the expected value of  $\exp(-H(\mathbf{x} | \eta))$ , which we do with the help of (42). Let

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} v(x_i - x_j).$$

We want to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_s | \eta)}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Xi(z)} \left( e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_s)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_{s+n})} z(dx_{s+1}) \cdots z(dx_{s+n}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The integrand is equal to

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{s+n}} \prod_{\{i, j\} \in E(G)} f(x_i, x_j).$$

Set  $W := \{1, \dots, s\}$  and  $B := \{s+1, \dots, s+n\}$ . Given  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{s+n}$ , let  $B_1 \subset B$  be the set of vertices that are connected to  $W$  by a path in  $G$ , and  $B_2 := B \setminus B_1$ . Then there is no edge that links  $B_2$  to  $W \cup B_1$ , and  $G$  splits into two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with respective vertex sets  $W \cup B_1$  and  $B_2$ . These combinatorial considerations set up a one-to-one correspondence between graphs  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{s+n}$  and pairs  $(G_1, G_2)$  such that

- (i)  $G_1$  is a graph in  $\mathcal{C}(W, B_1)$  with  $B_1 \subset B$ .
- (ii)  $G_2$  is a graph with vertex set  $B_2 = B \setminus B_1$ .

It follows that

$$e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_{s+n})} = \sum_{(B_1, B_2)} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_s; (x_i)_{i \in B_1}) e^{-H((x_j)_{j \in B_2})}$$

where the summation is over pairs  $(B_1, B_2)$  of disjoint sets with  $B_1 \cup B_2 = B = \{s+1, \dots, s+n\}$ . As a consequence the term in parentheses in (45) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \sum_{I \subset [n]} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_s; \mathbf{y}_I) e^{-H(\mathbf{y}_{[n] \setminus I})} z^n(d\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z) \Xi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The absolute convergence of  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z)$  is guaranteed by Theorem 13. The factor  $\Xi(z)$  is cancelled by the factor  $1/\Xi(z)$  in (45) and we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-H(x_1, \dots, x_s | \eta)}] = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_s; z).$$

The proposition now follows from (41).  $\square$

We conclude with two remarks related Kirkwood-Salsburg equation, valid for all Gibbs measures i.e. also in infinite volume ( $\Xi(z) = \infty$ ). The first remark explains how the convergence condition in Theorem 3 arises from the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation and can be understood without any reference to recurrence relations of weighted graphs. As is well-known, under some additional growth conditions on its correlation functions a probability measure is a Gibbs measure if and only if the

correlation functions satisfy the Kirkwood-Salsburg equations [Rue70, KK03]. In our notation the latter read

$$\rho_1(dx_1) = z(dx_1) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, y_i) \rho_n(d(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \right) \quad (47)$$

and for  $s \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) &= \prod_{i=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_i)) z(dx_1) \left( \rho_{s-1}(d(x_2, \dots, x_s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, y_i) \rho_{s-1+n}(d(x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_n)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

The last equation uses somewhat abusive notation, some readers may prefer the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}^s} F(x_1, \dots, x_s) \rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) &= \prod_{i=2}^s (1 + f(x_1, x_i)) \left( \int_{\mathbb{X}^s} F(\mathbf{x}) (z \otimes \rho_{s-1})(d\mathbf{x}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^{s+n}} F(x_1, \dots, x_s) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, x_{s+i}) (z \otimes \rho_{s-1+n})(d(x_1, \dots, x_{s+n})) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

valid for all measurable functions  $F : \mathbb{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . Now, assuming that the term in parentheses on the right-hand side of Eq. (47) is non-zero for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ , we can invert the relation and express  $z(dx_1)$  as a function of the correlation functions  $\rho_s$ . Plugging this relation into (48) we obtain a set of integral equations for the correlation functions where the activity  $z$  no longer appears. This translates into a set of integral equations for the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\rho_s$  with respect to  $\rho_1^{\otimes s}$ . This set of integral equations is similar to the equation  $\mathbf{K}_\rho m = m$  with  $\mathbf{K}_\rho$  defined above Theorem 3, the only difference being that Mayer's  $f$ -function appears without absolute values and the geometric series for  $(1 - R_\rho m(x_1))^{-1}$  is replaced with  $(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, y_i) \rho_n(d\mathbf{y}))^{-1}$ .

Therefore the convergence condition  $\mathbf{K}_\rho m \leq m$  is a natural counterpart of the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation, manipulated in such a way that the activity  $z$  disappears. For homogeneous systems, such a set of equations can actually be derived directly from the canonical ensemble, see [BPH69].

The second remark concerns the additional condition (31) on  $\rho$  needed in our proof of Proposition 17. As pointed out before Theorem 11, the additional condition is needed in order to ensure that not only do the density expansions converge, but in addition the activity defined as a function of  $\rho$  is in the domain of convergence of the activity expansions. In self-explanatory notation, for homogeneous systems the condition ensures

$$\rho \leq \sup_{z \leq R_{\text{May}}} \rho(z)$$

with  $R_{\text{May}}$  the radius of convergence of the Mayer series (activity expansion of the pressure). Clearly we would like to get rid of this condition. After all, as mentioned in the introduction, for non-negative interactions we expect that  $\rho > \sup_{z \leq R_{\text{May}}} \rho(z)$  and the density expansions should retain their physical relevance *beyond* the domain of convergence of the activity expansions. Therefore a relevant observation is that the Kirkwood-Salsburg equations hold true without the additional assumption (31).

**Proposition 18.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 3, the family of measures  $\rho_s$  defined by  $\rho_s(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)) = g(x_1, \dots, x_s; \rho)\rho(dx_1) \cdots \rho(dx_s)$  satisfies the Kirkwood-Salsburg equations at the activity  $z$  given by (44).*

The proof is based on the recurrence relation from Propositions 7 and 8, it is similar to the proof of Proposition 9, the details are left to the reader.

Proposition 18 still leaves us with the task of proving that there exists a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{F})$  with factorial moment measures  $\rho_s, s \geq 1$  (the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation would then imply that it is a Gibbs measure). For that one has to prove so-called *Lenard positivity* following [Len75] or try to adapt the strategy from [NPZ13]. Another option is to impose slightly more stringent conditions on  $\rho$  so that  $z$  and  $\rho_s$  fall into a domain where the Kirkwood-Salsburg equation has a unique solution. We leave this as an open problem for future work.

*Acknowledgments.* I thank Tobias Kuna and Dimitrios Tsagkarogiannis for many helpful discussions and literature guidance, and Roberto Fernández and Nguyen Tong Xuan for comments on the work [NF20].

#### REFERENCES

- [BFP10] R. Bissacot, R. Fernández, and A. Procacci, *On the convergence of cluster expansions for polymer gases*, J. Stat. Phys. **139** (2010), no. 4, 598–617.
- [BH49] N. N. Bogolyubov and B. I. Hacet, *On some mathematical problems of the theory of statistical equilibrium*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **66** (1949), 251–274.
- [BHTLV20] V. Beneš, C. Hofer-Temmel, G. Last, and J. Večeřa, *Decorrelation of a class of Gibbs particle processes and asymptotic properties of U-statistics*, J. Appl. Probab. **57** (2020), no. 3, 928955.
- [BM14] D. C. Brydges and D. H. U. Marchetti, *On the virial series for a gas of particles with uniformly repulsive pairwise interactions*, Online preprint arXiv:1403.1621 [math-ph], 2014.
- [BPH69] N. N. Bogoljubov, D. Ja. Petrina, and B. I. Hacet, *The mathematical description of the equilibrium state of classical systems on the basis of the formalism of a canonical ensemble*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **1** (1969), no. 2, 251–274.
- [Bry11] D.C. Brydges, *Mayer expansions*, News Bull. Int. Assoc. Math. Phys., April, 2011, pp. 11–15.
- [Der19] D. Dereudre, *Introduction to the theory of Gibbs point processes*, Stochastic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2237, Springer, Cham, 2019, pp. 181–229.
- [DRS20] T. C. Dorlas, A. L. Rebenko, and B. Savoie, *Correlation of clusters: partially truncated correlation functions and their decay*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 3, 033303, 28.
- [Far10] W. G. Faris, *Combinatorics and cluster expansions*, Probab. Surv. **7** (2010), 157–206.
- [FFG02] P. A. Ferrari, R. Fernández, and N. L. Garcia, *Perfect simulation for interacting point processes, loss networks and Ising models*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **102** (2002), no. 1, 63–88.
- [FGS16] R. Fernández, P. Groisman, and S. Saglietti, *Stability of gas measures under perturbations and discretizations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **28** (2016), no. 10, 1650022, 46 pp.
- [GHM01] H.-O. Georgii, O. Häggström, and C. Maes, *The random geometry of equilibrium phases*, Phase transitions and critical phenomena, Vol. 18, Phase Transit. Crit. Phenom., vol. 18, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2001, pp. 1–142.
- [Gro67] J. Groeneveld, *Estimation methods for Mayer's graphical expansions. IV. Estimation methods of degree 2*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. B **70** (1967), 490–507.
- [HM13] J.-P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids: with applications to soft matter*, 4 ed., Academic Press, Elsevier, 2013.
- [HPP20] T. Helmuth, W. Perkins, and S. Petti, *Correlation decay for hard spheres via Markov chains*, Online preprint arXiv:2001.05323 [math.PR], 2020.
- [HTH19] C. Hofer-Temmel and P. Houdebert, *Disagreement percolation for Gibbs ball models*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **129** (2019), no. 10, 3922–3940. MR 3997666

- [HZ20] P. Houdebert and A. Zass, *An explicit continuum Dobrushin uniqueness criterion for Gibbs point processes with non-negative pair potentials*, Online preprint arXiv:2009.06352 [math.PR], 2020.
- [Jan12] S. Jansen, *Mayer and virial series at low temperature*, J. Stat. Phys. **147** (2012), no. 4, 678–706.
- [Jan15] ———, *Cluster and virial expansions for the multi-species Tonks gas*, J. Stat. Phys. **161** (2015), no. 5, 1299–1323.
- [Jan19] ———, *Cluster expansions for Gibbs point processes*, Adv. Appl. Probab. **51** (2019), 1129–1178.
- [Jan20] ———, *Thermodynamics of a hierarchical mixture of cubes*, J. Stat. Phys. **179** (2020), no. 2, 309–340.
- [JK20] S. Jansen and L. Kolesnikov, *Activity expansions for Gibbs correlation functions*, Proceedings of the XI international conference on stochastic and analytic methods in mathematical physics (Yerevan, Armenia), Lectures in pure and applied mathematics, vol. 6, Universitätsverlag Potsdam, 2020, pp. 145–154.
- [JKT19] S. Jansen, T. Kuna, and D. Tsagkarogiannis, *Virial inversion and density functionals*, Online preprint arXiv:1906.02322 [math-ph], 2019.
- [Joy88] G. S. Joyce, *On the hard-hexagon model and the theory of modular functions*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **325** (1988), no. 1588, 643–702.
- [KK03] Y. G. Kondratiev and T. Kuna, *Correlation functionals for Gibbs measures and Ruelle bounds*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **9** (2003), no. 1, 9–58.
- [KL11] A. Koauche and P. Leroux, *Mayer and Ree-Hoover weights of infinite families of 2-connected graphs*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **61A** (2009/11), Art. B61Af, 28 pp.
- [KT18] T. Kuna and D. Tsagkarogiannis, *Convergence of density expansions of correlation functions and the Ornstein-Zernike equation*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **19** (2018), no. 4, 1115–1150.
- [Len75] A. Lenard, *States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles. II. Characterization of correlation measures*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **59** (1975), no. 3, 241–256.
- [Ler04] P. Leroux, *Enumerative problems inspired by Mayer’s theory of cluster integrals*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 32, 28 pp.
- [Lie63] E. H. Lieb, *New method in the theory of imperfect gases and liquids*, J. Mathematical Phys. **4** (1963), 671–678.
- [LP64] J. L. Lebowitz and O. Penrose, *Convergence of virial expansions*, J. Mathematical Phys. **5** (1964), 841–847.
- [Mar15] D. H. U. Marchetti, *The virial series for a gas of particles with uniformly repulsive pairwise interaction and its relation with the approach to the mean field*, Braz. J. Probab. Stat. **29** (2015), no. 2, 502–539.
- [Mee70] E. Meeron, *Bounds, successive approximations, and thermodynamic limits for distribution functions, and the question of phase transitions for classical systems with non-negative interactions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25** (1970), 152–155.
- [MM91] V. A. Malyshev and R. A. Minlos, *Gibbs random fields*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 44, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991, Cluster expansions, Translated from the Russian by R. Kotecký and P. Holický.
- [MP77] R. A. Minlos and S. K. Pogosjan, *Estimates of Ursell functions, group functions and their derivatives*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **31** (1977), no. 2, 199–213, English translation: Theoret. and Math. Phys. **31** (1977), no. 2, 408–418.
- [MP20] M. Michelen and W. Perkins, *Analyticity for classical gasses via recursion*, Online preprint arXiv:2008.00972 [math-ph], 2020.
- [NF20] T. X. Nguyen and R. Fernández, *Convergence of cluster and virial expansions for repulsive classical gases*, J. Stat. Phys. **179** (2020), no. 2, 448–484.
- [NPZ13] B. Nehring, S. Poghosyan, and H. Zessin, *On the construction of point processes in statistical mechanics*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 6, 063302.
- [Pen63] O. Penrose, *Convergence of fugacity expansions for fluids and lattice gases*, J. Mathematical Phys. **4** (1963), 1312–1320.
- [PT12] E. Pulvirenti and D. Tsagkarogiannis, *Cluster expansion in the canonical ensemble*, Comm. Math. Phys. **316** (2012), no. 2, 289–306.

- [PT15] ———, *Finite volume corrections and decay of correlations in the canonical ensemble*, J. Stat. Phys. **159** (2015), no. 5, 1017–1039.
- [PU09] S. Poghosyan and D. Ueltschi, *Abstract cluster expansion with applications to statistical mechanical systems*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), no. 5, 053509.
- [RT15] S. Ramawadh and S.J. Tate, *Virial expansion bounds through tree partition schemes*, Online preprint, arXiv:1501.00509 [math-ph], 2015.
- [Rue69] D. Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics: Rigorous results*, World Scientific, 1969.
- [Rue70] ———, *Superstable interactions in classical statistical mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **18** (1970), 127–159.
- [Ste64] G. Stell, *Cluster expansions for classical systems in equilibrium*, The Equilibrium Theory of Classical Fluids (H. L. Frisch and J. L. Lebowitz, eds.), Benjamin, New York, 1964, pp. 171–261.
- [Tat15] S. J. Tate, *A solution to the combinatorial puzzle of Mayer's virial expansion*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D **2** (2015), no. 3, 229–262.
- [Ton36] L. Tonks, *The complete equation of state of one, two and three-dimensional gases of hard elastic spheres*, Phys. Rev. **50** (1936), 955–963.
- [Uel04] D. Ueltschi, *Cluster expansions and correlation functions*, Moscow Mathematical Journal **4** (2004), no. 2, 511–522.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT, THERESIENSTR. 39, 80333 MÜNCHEN; MUNICH CENTER FOR QUANTUM SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (MCQST), SCHELLINGSTR. 4, 80799 MÜNCHEN, GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* [jansen@math.lmu.de](mailto:jansen@math.lmu.de)