

RANDOM MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS: THE SELBERG-DELANGE CLASS

MARCO AYMONE

ABSTRACT. Let $1/2 \leq \beta < 1$, p be a generic prime number and f_β be a random multiplicative function supported on the squarefree integers such that $(f_\beta(p))_p$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with distribution $\mathbb{P}(f(p) = -1) = \beta = 1 - \mathbb{P}(f(p) = +1)$. Let F_β be the Dirichlet series of f_β . We prove a formula involving measure-preserving transformations that relates the Riemann ζ function with the Dirichlet series of F_β , for certain values of β , and give an application. Further, we prove that the Riemann hypothesis is connected with the mean behavior of a certain weighted partial sums of f_β .

1. INTRODUCTION.

We say that $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function if $f(nm) = f(n)f(m)$ for all non-negative integers n and m with $\gcd(n, m) = 1$, and that f has support on the squarefree integers if for any prime p and any integer power $k \geq 2$, $f(p^k) = 0$. An important example of such function is the Möbius μ : The multiplicative function supported on the squarefree integers such that at each prime p , $\mu(p) = -1$.

Many important problems in Analytic Number Theory can be rephrased in terms of the mean behavior of the partial sums of multiplicative functions. For instance, the Riemann hypothesis – The statement that all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann ζ function have real part equal to $1/2$ – is equivalent to the statement that the partial sums of the Möbius function have square root cancellation, that is, $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$ is $O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$, for all $\epsilon > 0$. In this direction, the best result up to date is of the type $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x \exp(-c(\log x)^\alpha))$, for some positive constant $c > 0$, and some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Any improvement of the type $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x^{1-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ would be a huge breakthrough in Analytic Number Theory, since it would imply that the Riemann ζ function has no zeros with real part greater than $1 - \epsilon$.

This equivalence between the Riemann hypothesis with the behavior of the partial sums of the Möbius function led Wintner [10] to investigate the behavior of a random model f for the Möbius function. This random model f is defined as follows: We

have that $f(n)$ is a random multiplicative function supported on the squarefree integers such that at primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$ (here \mathcal{P} stands for the set of primes), $(f(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with distribution $\mathbb{P}(f(p) = -1) = \mathbb{P}(f(p) = +1) = 1/2$. It is important to observe that the sequence $(f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is highly dependent, for instance, since $30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$, we have that $f(30)$ depends on the values $f(2)$, $f(3)$ and $f(5)$. Wintner proved the square root cancellation for the partial sums of f , that is, $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely, and hence the assertion that *the Riemann hypothesis is almost always true*. This upper bound has been improved several times: [3], [5], [2] and [7]. The best upper bound up to date is due to Lau, Tenenbaum and Wu [7], which states that $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = O(\sqrt{x}(\log \log x)^{2+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely, and the best Ω result is due to Harper [6] which states that for any $A > 5/2$, $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ is not $O(\sqrt{x}(\log \log x)^{-A})$ almost surely.

Here we consider a slight different model for the Möbius function. We start with a parameter $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and consider a random multiplicative function f_β supported on the squarefree integers where at primes, $(f_\beta(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with $\mathbb{P}(f_\beta(p) = -1) = \beta = 1 - \mathbb{P}(f_\beta(p) = +1)$. For $\beta = 1/2$ we recover the Wintner's model; For $\beta = 1$, f_1 is the Möbius μ ; And for $\beta < 1$, we have that $f_\beta(n)$ equal to $\mu(n)$ with high probability as β is taken to be close to 1. In this paper we are interested in the following questions.

Question 1. What can be said about the partial sums $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ for $1/2 < \beta < 1$? Does it have square root cancellation as in the Wintner's model and as we expect for the Möbius function under the Riemann hypothesis?

Question 2. If the partial sums $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ are $O(x^{1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$, almost surely, then can we say something about the partial sums of the Möbius function?

Considering the first question, observe that $\mathbb{E}f(p) = 1 - 2\beta$, and thus, we might say that at primes, $f_\beta(p)$ is equal to $1 - 2\beta$ in average. In the case $1/2 < \beta < 1$ the partial sums $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ are well understood by the Selberg-Delange method, see the book of Tenenbaum [9] chapter II.5 or the recent treatment of Granville and Koukoulopoulos [4]. Indeed, by the main result of [4], we have that for $1/2 < \beta < 1$, the following holds almost surely

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = (c_{f_\beta} + o(1)) \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2\beta}},$$

where c_{f_β} is a random constant which is positive almost surely. In particular, this implies that $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ is not $O(x^{1-\delta})$, for any $\delta > 0$, almost surely. This answers negatively our question 1.

Here we provide a different proof of a negative answer to our question 1 for certain values of β , that is, the statement that we do not have square root cancellation for $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ for certain values of β , almost surely. Further, by considering the question 2, we show that the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the square root cancellation of a certain weighted partial sums of f_β .

Before we state our results, let us introduce some notation. Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, let ω be a generic element of Ω , and $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a measure-preserving transformation, *i.e.*, $\mathbb{P}(T^{-1}(A)) = \mathbb{P}(A)$, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. We see the random multiplicative function f_β defined over the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as a function $f_\beta : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$, that is, $f_\beta(n)$ is a random variable such that $f_\beta(n, \omega) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Moreover, the Dirichlet series of f_β , say $F_\beta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_\beta(n)}{n^s}$, is a random analytic function defined over the half plane $\mathbb{H}_1 := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1\}$, that is $F_\beta : \mathbb{H}_1 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is such that $F_\beta(s, \omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_\beta(n, \omega)}{n^s}$ is analytic in the half plane \mathbb{H}_1 , for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $\beta = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$, and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a certain probability space, where it is defined f_β for all values of $\beta \in [1/2, 1]$. Let $F_\beta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_\beta(n)}{n^s}$. Then there exists a measure-preserving transformation $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $T^{2^n} = \text{identity}$ and such that the following formula holds for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$:*

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta(s)^{2^n-1}} = \frac{1}{F_{1/2}(s, \omega)} \prod_{k=1}^{2^n} F_\beta(s, T^k \omega).$$

In particular, if $\beta = 3/4$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{F_{3/4}(s, \omega) F_{3/4}(s, T\omega)}{F_{1/2}(s, \omega)}.$$

Corollary 1.1. *For an integer $n \geq 1$ and $\beta = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$, we have that for any $\delta > 0$, $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n)$ is not $O(x^{1-\delta})$ almost surely.*

Here we outline our proof of the Corollary 1.1. It utilizes the fact that the event in which $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$ is contained in the event in which the Dirichlet series $F_\beta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta\}$. Moreover, one can easily check that for $\beta > 1/2$, $F_\beta(1) = 0$ almost surely. In the Wintner's proof [10] of the square root cancellation of $\sum_{n \leq x} f_{1/2}(n)$, it has been proved that $F_{1/2}(s)$ is almost surely a non-vanishing analytic function over the half plane $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2\}$. Thus, if we assume that for some $\delta > 0$, $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$, almost surely, then the event in which

$F_\beta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\{Re(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ also has probability 1. Now the left side of (1) has a zero of multiplicity $2^n - 1$ at $s = 1$, and since T preserves measure, the right side of the same equation has a zero of multiplicity at least 2^n at the same point, which is a contradiction, and hence the event in which $F_\beta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\{Re(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ can not hold with probability 1. Moreover, by the Euler product formula for $Re(s) > 1$ (here \mathcal{P} stands for the set of primes)

$$(2) \quad F_\beta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 + \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s} \right),$$

we see that the event in which F_β has analytic continuation to $\{Re(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ is a tail event, in the sense that it does not depend in any outcome of a finite number of the random variables $f_\beta(p_1), \dots, f_\beta(p_r)$, where p_1, \dots, p_r are primes. The Kolmogorov zero or one law states that each tail event has probability either equal to 0 or 1. Thus, the event in which F_β has analytic continuation to $\{Re(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ has probability 0, and hence the event in which $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$ also has probability 0.

Now we turn our attention to Question 2. As mentioned above, clearly the event in which $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$ has probability 0, and hence, the Question 2 as it is stated does not makes sense. However, if we consider a weighted sum of f_β , then we can obtain an equivalence between the Riemann hypothesis with the mean behavior of a certain weighted partial sums of f_β . Before we state our next result, let $d(n)$ be the quantity of distinct primes that divide n .

Theorem 1.2. *The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (2\beta - 1)^{-d(n)} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1/2+\epsilon}), \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0, \text{ almost surely,}$$

for each $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} < \beta < 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Here we let p denote a generic prime number and \mathcal{P} to be the set of primes. We use $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $f(x) = O(g(x))$ whenever there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq c|g(x)|$, for all x in a certain set X – This set X could be all the interval $x \in [1, \infty)$ or $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0$. We say that $f(x) = o(g(x))$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$. The notation $d|n$ means that d divides n . Here $*$ stands for the Dirichlet convolution $(f * g)(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$. We denote $d(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1$, that is, the quantity of distinct primes that divides n . For a set A , $\mathbb{1}_A(x)$ stands for the indicator function of the set A , that is, $\mathbb{1}_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $\mathbb{1}_A(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A$.

3. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

3.1. Construction of the probability space. We let \mathcal{P} be the set of primes, $\Omega = [0, 1]^{\mathcal{P}} = \{\omega = (\omega_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} : \omega_p \in [0, 1] \text{ for all } p\}$, \mathcal{F} the Borel sigma algebra of Ω and \mathbb{P} be the product of Lebesgue measures in \mathcal{F} . We set $f_\beta(p)$ as

$$f_\beta(p, \omega) = -\mathbb{1}_{[0, \beta]}(\omega_p) + \mathbb{1}_{(\beta, 1]}(\omega_p).$$

It follows that $(f_\beta(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ are i.i.d. with distribution $\mathbb{P}(f_\beta(p) = -1) = \beta = 1 - \mathbb{P}(f_\beta(p) = +1)$. Also, we say that f_β are uniformly coupled for different values of β .

3.2. Construction of the measure-preserving transformation. Now if $\beta = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ with $n \geq 1$ an integer, we partitionate the interval $[1/2, 1]$ into 2^n subintervals $I_k = (a_{k-1}, a_k]$ of length $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ and with endpoints $a_k = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}$. It follows that $a_0 = 1/2$, $a_{2^n-1} = \beta$ and $a_{2^n} = 1$.

Let $T_p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the following interval exchange transformation: For $\omega_p \in [0, 1/2]$, $T_p(\omega_p) = \omega_p$; In each interval I_k as above the restriction $T_p|_{I_k}$ is a translation; $T_p(I_1) = I_{2^n}$ and for $k \geq 2$, $T_p(I_k) = I_{k-1}$. It follows that the k th iterate $T_p^k(I_k) = I_{2^n}$ and T^{2^n} is the identity. Also, for each prime p , T_p and its iterates preserve the Lebesgue measure and hence, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by $T\omega := (T_p(\omega_p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ preserves \mathbb{P} , and so its iterates.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We let F_β be the Dirichlet series of f_β and $I_k = (a_{k-1}, a_k]$ be as above. Notice that $a_0 = 1/2$ and $a_{2^n} = 1$, and hence $F_{a_0} = F_{1/2}$ and $F_{a_{2^n}} = F_1 = \frac{1}{\zeta}$. Observe that

$$F_{1/2}\zeta = \frac{F_{a_0}}{F_{a_{2^n}}} = \frac{F_{a_0}}{F_{a_1}} \cdot \frac{F_{a_1}}{F_{a_2}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{F_{a_{2^n-1}}}{F_{a_{2^n}}}.$$

Now, by the Euler product formula (2), we have that for all $\text{Re}(s) > 1$

$$\frac{F_{a_k}}{F_{a_{k+1}}}(s, \omega) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1 + \frac{f_{a_k}(p, \omega_p)}{p^s}}{1 + \frac{f_{a_{k+1}}(p, \omega_p)}{p^s}} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{p^s + \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(\omega_p)}{p^s - \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(\omega_p)}.$$

Thus, as all I_k have same length, we see that each $\frac{F_{a_k}}{F_{a_{k+1}}}$ is equal in probability distribution to the last $\frac{F_{a_{2^n-1}}}{F_{a_{2^n}}}$. Moreover, if T is as above, since $\mathbb{1}_{I_k}(\omega_p) = \mathbb{1}_{I_{2^n}} \circ T_p^k(\omega_p)$, we have that

$$\frac{F_{a_k}}{F_{a_{k+1}}}(s, \omega) = \frac{F_{a_{2^n-1}}}{F_{a_{2^n}}}(s, T^k \omega) = F_\beta(s, T^k \omega) \zeta(s).$$

Thus

$$F_{1/2}(s, \omega) \zeta(s) = \zeta(s)^{2^n} \prod_{k=1}^{2^n} F_\beta(s, T^k \omega),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

3.4. Proof of Corollary 1.1.

Proof. A standard result about Dirichlet series, is that the Dirichlet series of an arithmetic function f , say $F(s)$, is the Mellin transform of the partial sums of f . Indeed, we have that for s in the half plane of convergence of $F(s)$,

$$F(s) = s \int_1^\infty \frac{\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)}{x^{s+1}} dx.$$

Thus, we can conclude that the event in which the partial sums $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ are $O(x^\alpha)$ is contained in the event in which the Dirichlet series $F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$ is analytic in the half plane $\{Re(s) > \alpha\}$. Thus, under the assumption that $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$ almost surely, we have that $F_\beta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{f_\beta(n)}{n^s}$ has analytic continuation to the half plane $\{Re(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ almost surely. Moreover, we can check that $F_\beta(1) = 0$ almost surely. Indeed, by taking the logarithm of the Euler product formula (2) and then using Taylor expansion of each logarithm, we see that

$$(3) \quad F_\beta(s) = \exp \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s} + A_\beta(s) \right),$$

where $A_\beta(s) = O_{\sigma_0}(1)$ for all $Re(s) \geq \sigma_0 > 1/2$. Since $\mathbb{E} f_\beta(p) = 1 - 2\beta < 0$ for all primes p , we have by the Kolmogorov two series Theorem that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s} = -\infty$ almost surely, and hence, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} F_\beta(s) = 0$ almost surely.

If T is the measure-preserving transformation as in Theorem 1.1, then the same is almost surely true for $F_\beta(s, T^k \omega)$. Further, in the Wintner's proof [10] of the square root cancellation of $\sum_{n \leq x} f_{1/2}(n)$, it has been proved that $F_{1/2}(s)$ is almost surely a non-vanishing analytic function over the half plane $\{Re(s) > 1/2\}$. Indeed, this can be proved by the formula (3).

A well known fact is that the Riemann ζ function has a simple pole at $s = 1$, and hence, $\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}$ has a simple zero at the same point. Moreover we recall that if an analytic function G has a zero at $s = s_0$, then there exists a non-vanishing analytic function H at $s = s_0$ and a non-negative integer m , called the multiplicity of the zero s_0 , such that

$G(s) = (s - s_0)^m H(s)$. Thus the left side of

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)^{2^n-1}} = \frac{1}{F_{1/2}(s, \omega)} \prod_{k=1}^{2^n} F_\beta(s, T^k \omega).$$

has a zero of multiplicity $2^n - 1$ at $s = 1$ while the right side of the same equation has a zero of multiplicity at least 2^n at the same point, almost surely, which is a contradiction. Thus we see that the probability of the event in which $F_\beta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta$ is strictly less than one. Now we can check by the Euler product formula (2) that the event in which F_β has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta$ is a tail event for $\delta < 1$, *i.e.*, whether F_β has analytic continuation to $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ does not depend in any outcome of a finite number of random variables $\{f_\beta(p) : p \leq y\}$. Indeed, we can write

$$F_\beta(s) = \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 + \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p > y} \left(1 + \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s}\right),$$

and since $\prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 + \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)$ is a non-vanishing analytic function in $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$, we obtain that $F_\beta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta$ ($\delta < 1$) if and only if $X_y(s) := \prod_{p > y} \left(1 + \frac{f_\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)$ has analytic continuation to the same half plane. Since $X_y(s)$ is independent of $\{f_\beta(p) : p \leq y, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and the random variables $(f_\beta(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ are independent, we conclude that the event in which F_β has analytic continuation to $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ is a tail event.

Thus by the Kolmogorov zero or one law, we have that the probability in which F_β has analytic continuation to $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \delta\}$ is zero, and hence the probability of $\sum_{n \leq x} f_\beta(n) = O(x^{1-\delta})$ is also zero. \square

3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. We begin by observing that the function $g_\beta(n) := (2\beta - 1)^{-d(n)} f_\beta(n)$ is multiplicative and supported on the squarefree integers. Moreover, at each prime p , $g_\beta(p) = \frac{f_\beta(p)}{2\beta - 1}$, and hence $\mathbb{E}g_\beta(p) = -1$. If $\beta > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$, we have that

$$A_\beta(s) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \frac{g_\beta(p)^m}{p^{ms}}$$

converges absolutely for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$, and hence defines a random analytic function in this half plane. Moreover, $A_\beta(s) = O_{\sigma_0}(1)$ uniformly for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \sigma_0 > 1/2$. Thus, by the Euler product formula (2) for g_β , we have that the Dirichlet series $G_\beta(s) :=$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{\beta}(n)}{n^s}$ can be represented in the half plane $Re(s) > 1$ as

$$G_{\beta}(s) = \exp \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{\beta}(p)}{p^s} + A_{\beta}(s) \right).$$

Moreover, by the same argumet, there exists an analytic function $B(s)$ with the same properties of $A_{\beta}(s)$ such that

$$\zeta(s) = \exp \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p^s} + A_{\beta}(s) \right).$$

Now observe that

$$H_{\beta}(s) := G_{\beta}(s)\zeta(s) = \exp \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{\beta}(p) + 1}{p^s} + A_{\beta}(s) + B(s) \right).$$

Now, by the Kolmogorov one series Theorem, $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{\beta}(p)+1}{p^s}$ converges almost surely for all $Re(s) > 1/2$, and hence it defines, almost surely, a random analytic function in this half plane. Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 of [1], for fixed $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$, we have that for all large $t > 0$, $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{\beta}(p)+1}{p^{\sigma+it}} \ll (\log t)^{1-\sigma} \log \log t$, almost surely. Thus, for each fixed $1/2 < \sigma$,

$$H_{\beta}(\sigma + it), 1/H_{\beta}(\sigma + it) \ll t^{\epsilon},$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely. A well known consequence of the Riemann Hypothesis, is that $1/\zeta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $Re(s) > 1/2$ and for each fixed $\sigma > 1/2$, $1/\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll t^{\epsilon}$, for all $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, if we assume the Riemann hypothesis, we obtain that $G_{\beta}(s)$ has analytic continuation to $Re(s) > 1/2$ given by $G_{\beta}(s) = H_{\beta}(s)/\zeta(s)$, and for each fixed $\sigma > 1/2$, $G_{\beta}(\sigma + it) \ll t^{\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely. Now a convergence result for Dirichlet series (see for instance Theorem 2.8, page 223 of [9]) gives that $G_{\beta}(s)$ converges for all $Re(s) > 1/2$. Now by Kroenecker's Lemma (see [8] page 390), we have that $\sum_{n \leq x} g_{\beta}(n) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely. On the other hand, if $\sum_{n \leq x} g_{\beta}(n) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, almost surely, then $G_{\beta}(s)$ is almost surely analytic in $Re(s) > 1/2$, and thus $G_{\beta}(s)/H_{\beta}(s)$ also is almost surely analytic in $Re(s) > 1/2$. Since, $1/\zeta(s) = G_{\beta}(s)/H_{\beta}(s)$, we have that $1/\zeta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $Re(s) > 1/2$. This last assertion is equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AYMONE AND V. SIDORAVICIUS, *Partial sums of biased random multiplicative functions*, J. Number Theory, 172 (2017), pp. 343–382.
- [2] J. BASQUIN, *Sommes friables de fonctions multiplicatives aléatoires*, Acta Arith., 152 (2012), pp. 243–266.

- [3] P. ERDŐS, *Some unsolved problems*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl., 6 (1961), pp. 221–254.
- [4] A. GRANVILLE AND D. KOUKOULOPOULOS, *Beyond the LSD method for the partial sums of multiplicative functions*, Ramanujan J., 49 (2019), pp. 287–319.
- [5] G. HALÁSZ, *On random multiplicative functions*, in Hubert Delange colloquium (Orsay, 1982), vol. 83 of Publ. Math. Orsay, Univ. Paris XI, Orsay, 1983, pp. 74–96.
- [6] A. J. HARPER, *Bounds on the suprema of Gaussian processes, and omega results for the sum of a random multiplicative function*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 23 (2013), pp. 584–616.
- [7] Y.-K. LAU, G. TENENBAUM, AND J. WU, *On mean values of random multiplicative functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 141 (2013), pp. 409–420.
- [8] A. N. SHIRYAEV, *Probability*, vol. 95 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, second ed., 1996. Translated from the first (1980) Russian edition by R. P. Boas.
- [9] G. TENENBAUM, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, vol. 46 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Translated from the second French edition (1995) by C. B. Thomas.
- [10] A. WINTNER, *Random factorizations and Riemann’s hypothesis*, Duke Math. J., 11 (1944), pp. 267–275.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

Email address: aymone.marco@gmail.com