

Parameter estimation for Vasicek model driven by a general Gaussian noise

Xingzhi Pei

Received: 1900.01.01/ Accepted: 1900.01.01

Abstract This paper developed an inference problem for Vasicek model driven by a general Gaussian process. We construct a least squares estimator and a moment estimator for the drift parameters of the Vasicek model, and we prove the consistency and the asymptotic normality. Our approach extended the result of Xiao and Yu (2018) for the case when noise is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in [1/2, 1)$.

Keywords Vasicek model · product formula · Gaussian process · fourth moment theorem

1 Introduction

We are interested in the statistical interference for the Vasicek model defined by the following stochastic differential equation(SDE)

$$dX_t = k(\mu - X_t)dt + \sigma dG_t, t \in [0, T], T \geq 0, x_0 = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a general one-dimensional centered Gaussian process. We noticed that the volatility parameter $\sigma > 0$ can be estimated by the power variation method. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sigma = 1$. Assuming that there is only one trajectory $(X_t, t \geq 0)$, we construct a least squares estimator and a moment estimator, and study its asymptotic behavior.

The Vasicek model of Vasicek [1977] has a wide range of applications in many fields, such as economics, finance, biology, medical and environmental sciences. In the economic field, it has been used to describe the fluctuation of interest rates, please refer to Huang and Huang [2012]. In the financial field, it can also be used as a random investment model in Wu et al. [2020].

If the parameter in the drift function of model is unknown, an important problem is to estimate the drift coefficient based on the observation. When the noise is Brownian motion, the statistical inference for Vasicek process are well studied in the literature, e.g. a maximum likelihood method was proposed in Fergusson and Platen [2015], whereas Yang [2013] studied a least squares approach.

The research methods are different when the drift parameter $k > 0$ or $k < 0$. When the Brownian motion in the vasicek model was replaced by the fractional Brownian motion Xiao and Yu [2017], with the Hurst parameter greater than or equal to one-half, the asymptotic theory for k was proved, the stationary case for $k > 0$, the explosive case for $k < 0$, and the null recurrent case for $k = 0$, respectively. In these cases, the least squares method is considered, and when $k > 0$, the moment estimation method of Hu and Nualart [2010] is also considered.

X. Pei

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangxi Normal University, 330022 Nanchang, PR China

E-mail: SachikoXpei@jxnu.edu.cn

Based on this, [Xiao et al. \[2018\]](#) extended their work to Vasicek-type models driven by sub-fBm. For the case of non-ergodic and null recurrent, the least squares method was studied. In addition, it can be extended to a more general self-similar process, such as Hermite process, (see [Nourdin and Tran \[2019\]](#)).

Moreover, when the Brownian motion is replaced by a Gaussian process with self-similarity [Yu \[2020\]](#), based on some conditions of G , the least squares method was studied and its asymptotic behavior was completed with non-ergodic case $k < 0$.

In this paper, we consider the Vasicek model driven by a general Gaussian process that fails to be self-similar or have stationary increments, when the persistence parameter k is positive.

This paper refers to [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#) and makes the following assumptions about the second-order partial derivative form of the covariance function of a general Gaussian process.

Assumption 1.1 For $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, the covariance function $R(t, s) = E[G_t G_s]$ for any $t \neq s \in [0, \infty)$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} R(t, s) = C_\beta |t - s|^{2\beta-2} + \Psi(t, s), \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$|\Psi(t, s)| \leq C'_\beta |ts|^{\beta-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the constants $\beta, C_\beta > 0, C'_\beta \geq 0$ do not depend on T . Moreover, for any $t \geq 0$, $R(0, t) = 0$.

We can see that fractional Brownian motion and some other Gaussian processes satisfy Assumption 1.1. From this assumption, we obtain the result as follow. When $k > 0$, the estimator of μ is continuous-time sample mean, (see [Hu and Nualart \[2010\]](#)).

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt. \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, following [Xiao and Yu \[2017\]](#), when $k > 0$, the second moment estimator is given by

$$\hat{k} = \left[\frac{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2}{C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1)} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}. \quad (1.5)$$

The LSE is motivated by the argument of minimize a quadratic function of k and μ , respectively

$$L(k, \mu) = \int_0^T (X_t - k(\mu - X_t))^2 dt. \quad (1.6)$$

Solving the equations, we can obtain the LSE of k and μ , denoted by \hat{k}_{LS} and $\hat{\mu}_{LS}$, respectively.

$$\hat{k}_{LS} = \frac{X_T \int_0^T X_t dt - T \int_0^T X_t dX_t}{T \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\int_0^T X_t dt)^2}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{LS} = \frac{X_T \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \int_0^T X_t dX_t \int_0^T X_t dt}{X_T \int_0^T X_t dt - T \int_0^T X_t dX_t}, \quad (1.8)$$

where the integral $\int_0^T X_t dX_t$ can be interpret as an Itô-Skorohod integral ([Xiao and Yu \[2017\]](#)).

In this paper, we will prove the strong consistency and the central limit theorems for the four estimators, these results are stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2 When assumption 1.1 is satisfied, both the least squares estimator and the moment estimator of μ and k are strongly consistent, i.e

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu} = \mu, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{LS} = \mu, a.s.. \quad (1.9)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{k} = k, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{k}_{LS} = k, a.s.. \quad (1.10)$$

Theorem 1.3 *Assume assumption 1.1 is satisfied. When $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$, both $T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu} - \mu)$ and $T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu}_{LS} - \mu)$ are asymptotically normal as $T \rightarrow \infty$, namely,*

$$T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu} - \mu) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \frac{1}{k^2}), T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu}_{LS} - \mu) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \frac{1}{k^2}), \quad (1.11)$$

when $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, both $\sqrt{T}(\hat{k} - k)$ and $\sqrt{T}(\hat{k}_{LS} - k)$ are asymptotically normal as $T \rightarrow \infty$, namely,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{k}_{LS} - k) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, 4ka^2\sigma_\beta^2), \sqrt{T}(\hat{k} - k) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \sigma_\beta^2 k/4\beta^2) \quad (1.12)$$

where $a = C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1)k^{-2\beta}$, $\sigma_\beta^2 = (4\beta - 1)[1 + \frac{\Gamma(3-4\beta)\Gamma(4\beta-1)}{\Gamma(2\beta)\Gamma(2-2\beta)}]$.

The outline of the paper is the following. First, we provide some basic elements of stochastic calculus with respect to the Gaussian process which are helpful for some of the arguments we use and some of the technical results used in various proofs. In Sect.3 and 4 we derive our estimator, prove consistency and asymptotic normality respectively.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we describe some basic facts on stochastic calculus with respect to the Gaussian process and recall the main results in [Nualart et al. \[2005\]](#) concerning the central limit theorem for multiple integrals, for more complete presentation on the subject can be find in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#).

Defined on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , the \mathcal{F} is generated by the Gaussian family G . Denote $G = G_t, t \in [0, T]$ as a continuous centered Gaussian process, and suppose in addition that the covariance function R is continuous.

$$\mathbb{E}(G_t G_s) = R(s, t), s, t \in [0, T], \quad (2.1)$$

let ε denote the space of all real valued step functions on $[0, T]$. The Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} is defined as the closure of ε endowed with the inner product.

$$\langle \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}, \mathbb{1}_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathbb{E}((G_b - G_a)(G_d - G_c)). \quad (2.2)$$

If $G = G_h, h \in \mathfrak{H}$ as the isonormal Gaussian process on the probability space, indexed by the elements in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , G is a Gaussian family of random variables as follows

$$\mathbb{E}(G_g G_h) = \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}, \forall g, h \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (2.3)$$

The following proposition is an extension of Theorem 2.3 of [Jolis \[2007\]](#), which gives the inner products representation of the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and the References therein.

Proposition 2.1 *Denote $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$ as the set of bounded variation functions on $[0, T]$, then $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$ is dense in \mathcal{V} and we have*

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^2} R(t, s) v_f(dt) v_g(ds), \forall f, g \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}, \quad (2.4)$$

where v_g is the Lebesgue-Stieljes signed measure associated with g^0 defined as

$$g^0 = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } x \in [0, T]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, if covariance function $R(t, s)$ satisfies Assumption 1.1, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^2} R(t, s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds, \forall f, g \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}. \quad (2.6)$$

Corollary 2.2 *If Assumption 1.1 is satisfied, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T , such that for all $s, t \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(G_t - G_s)^2 \leq C_\beta |t - s|^{2\beta}, \quad (2.7)$$

and when $s = 0$, we have $E(G_t^2) \leq C'_\beta t^{2\beta}$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(G_t - G_s)^2 &= \int_{[s,t]^2} \frac{\partial^2 R(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} du dv \\ &\leq \int_{[s,t]^2} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} du dv + \int_{[s,t]^2} |uv|^{\beta-1} du dv \leq C_\beta |t - s|^{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, we deduce the desired result.

Remark 2.3 Denote $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ as the p th tensor product and the p th symmetric tensor product of the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Let \mathcal{H}_p be the Wiener chaos with respect to G . It is defined as the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $H_p(G(h))h \in \mathfrak{H}$, where H_p is the p th Hermite polynomial defined by

$$H_p(x) = \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, p \geq 1, \quad (2.9)$$

and $H_0(x) = 1$. We have the identity $I_p(h^{\otimes p}) = H_p(G(h))$ for any $h \in H$ where $I_p(\cdot)$ is the generalized Wiener-Itô stochastic integral. Then the map I_p provides a linear isometry between $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ and \mathcal{H}_p . Here $H_0 = R$ and $I_0(x) = x$ by the convention.

We choose e_k to be a complete orthonormal system in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . The q -th contraction between $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$ is an element in \mathfrak{H}^{m+n-2q} that is defined by

$$f \underset{q}{\otimes} g = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_q} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}} \underset{q}{\otimes} \langle g, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_q} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}}, \text{ for } q = 1, \dots, m \wedge n. \quad (2.10)$$

Then we have the following product formula for the multiple integrals,

$$I_p(g) I_q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{p \wedge q} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(g \underset{r}{\otimes} h). \quad (2.11)$$

The following theorem 2.3, known as the fourth moment theorem, provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the asymptotic theory of the persistent parameter k , (see [Nualart et al. \[2005\]](#)).

Theorem 2.4 *Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. consider a collection of elements $f_T, T > 0$ such that $f_T \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$ for every $T > 0$. Assume further that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[I_n(f_T)^2] = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} n! \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes n}}^2 = \sigma^2. \quad (2.12)$$

then the following conditions are equivalent:

$$(1) \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[I_n(f_T)^4] = 3\sigma^4.$$

$$(2) \text{For every } q=1, \dots, n-1, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \|f_T \otimes f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(n-q)}} = 0.$$

(3) As T tends to infinity, the n -th multiple integrals $\{I_n(f_T), T \geq 0\}$ converge in distribution to a Gaussian random variable $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

3 Strong consistency

3.1 The moment estimator

If $k > 0$, we can consider estimators of k and μ . The estimators are motivated by [Hu and Nualart \[2010\]](#) where the stationary and ergodic properties of a process were used to construct a new estimator for k in the fOU model. Then we first consider strong consistency of $\hat{\mu}$, the solution of the model in (1.1) is given by

$$X_t = \mu(1 - e^{-kt}) + \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s, \quad (3.1)$$

so the estimator of μ is the continuous-time sample mean

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt. \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we can rewrite $\hat{\mu}$ as,

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (1 - e^{-kt}) \mu dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right) dt. \quad (3.3)$$

For the second term in (3.3), we first define some important functions that will be used in the proof. Denote $F_T = \int_0^T e^{-kt} \int_0^t e^{ks} dG_s dt$, using stochastic Fubini theorem to obtain

$$F_T = \int_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt = \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} (1 - e^{-k(T-s)}) dG_s = G_T - Z_T, \quad (3.4)$$

where $Z_T = \int_0^T e^{-k(T-s)} dG_s$ is the Wiener-Itô stochastic integral. And in the remaining part of this paper, C will be a generic positive constant independent of T whose value may differ from line to line.

Remark 3.1 (see [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#)) For a function $\phi(r) \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$, we define two norms as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 &= C_\beta \int_{[0,T]^2} \phi(r_1 \phi(r_2)) |r_1 - r_2|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2, \\ \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2 &= C'_\beta \int_{[0,T]^2} |\phi(r_1 \phi(r_2))| (r_1 - r_2)^{\beta-1} dr_1 dr_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

For a function $\varphi(r, s)$ in $[0, T]^2$, define an operator from $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}^{\otimes 2}$ to $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$ as follows,

$$(K\varphi)(r) = \int_0^T |\varphi(r, u)| u^{\beta-1} du. \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.2 (see [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#)) Suppose that Assumption 1.1 holds, then for any $\phi(r) \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$,

$$|\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 - \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2| \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2, \quad (3.7)$$

and for any $\varphi, \psi \in ((V)_{0,T})^{\odot 2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 - \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2| &\leq \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + 2C'_\beta \|K\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2, \\ |\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} - \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}| &\leq |\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}| + 2C'_\beta |\langle K\varphi, K\psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The next two propositions are about the asymptotic behaviors of the second moment of F_T and the increment $F_t - F_s$ with $0 \leq t, s \leq T$, respectively. First, we need a technical lemma as follows.

Lemma 3.3 Assume $\beta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T \in [0, \infty)$,

$$e^{-kT} \int_0^T e^{kr} r^{\beta-1} dr \leq C(1 \wedge T^{\beta-1}), \quad (3.9)$$

(see lemma 3.3 of [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#)).

Proposition 3.4 When $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, we can find that

$$E(F_T^2) \leq C_\beta T^{2\beta}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof By Itô isometry, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_T|^2] = \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

the inequality (3.7) implies that

$$|\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 - \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2| \leq \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2, \quad (3.12)$$

clearly, we have

$$0 \leq \int_0^T (1 - e^{-k(T-u)}) u^{\beta-1} du \leq \int_0^T u^{\beta-1} du \leq CT^\beta, \quad (3.13)$$

so,

$$\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_0^T (1 - e^{-k(T-u)}) u^{\beta-1} du\right)^2 \leq CT^{2\beta}. \quad (3.14)$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 &= \frac{1}{k^2} \int_{[0,T]^2} (1 - e^{-k(T-u)})(1 - e^{-k(T-v)}) |u - v|^{2\beta-2} dudv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \int_{[0,T]^2} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} dudv + \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-k(T-u)} e^{-k(T-v)} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} dudv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \left[\frac{T^{2\beta}}{(2\beta-1)\beta} + \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{k^{2\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, $\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq C'_\beta [T^{2\beta}]$, we obtain the desired result in (3.10).

Proposition 3.5 Assume that assumption 1.1 holds, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that for all $s, t \geq 0$,

$$E[|F_t - F_s|^2] \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} |t - s|^{2\beta}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof Firstly, the equality (3.4) implies that

$$E[|F_t - F_s|^2] \leq 2[E(|G_t - G_s|^2) + 2E(|Z_t - Z_s|^2)]. \quad (3.17)$$

From lemma 1.2, we have $\mathbb{E}(G_t - G_s)^2 \leq C_\beta |t - s|^{2\beta}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(|Z_t - Z_s|^2) &= E\left[\int_0^t e^{-k(t-u)} dG_u - \int_0^s e^{-k(s-v)} dG_v\right]^2 \\ &= E\left[e^{-kt} \int_s^t e^{ku} dG_u + (e^{-kt} - e^{-ks}) \int_0^s e^{kv} dG_v\right]^2 \\ &\leq E\left[e^{-kt} \int_s^t e^{ku} dG_u\right]^2 + E[(e^{-k(t-s)} - 1)e^{-ks} \int_0^s e^{kv} dG_v]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

For the second term in (3.18), we have

$$E[(e^{-k(t-s)} - 1)e^{-ks} \int_0^s e^{kv} dG_v]^2 \leq C|t - s|^{2\beta}. \quad (3.19)$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{-kt} \int_s^t e^{ku} dGu]^2 &\leq \int_{[s,t]^2} e^{-k(t-u)-k(t-v)} |u-v|^{2\beta-2} dudv + \left(\int_s^t e^{-k(t-u)} u^{\beta-1} du \right)^2 \\ &\leq C' |t-s|^{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result.

Proposition 3.6 *Under the hypothesis 1.1, and $\gamma > \beta$ we can obtain that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_T}{T^\gamma} = 0$ almost surely.*

Proof The proof is similar as [Chen et al. \[2017\]](#). When $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, Chebyshev's inequality, the hypercontractivity of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p(\gamma - \beta) > -1$,

$$p\left(\frac{F_n}{n^\gamma} > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{EF_n^p}{n^{\gamma p} \varepsilon^p} \leq \frac{C(EF_n^2)^{p/2}}{n^{\gamma p} \varepsilon^p} \leq \frac{C}{n^{p(\gamma-\beta)}}. \quad (3.21)$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies for $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n}{n^\gamma} = 0, a.s.. \quad (3.22)$$

Second, there exist two constants $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ independent of T such that any $|t-s| \leq 1$,

$$E[|F_t - F_s|^2] \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} |t-s|^{2\beta}. \quad (3.23)$$

Then the Garsia-Rumsey inequality implies that for any real number $p > \frac{4}{\alpha}$, $q > 1$, and integer $n \geq 1$,

$$|F_t - F_s| \leq R_{p,q} n^{q/p}, \forall t, s \in [n, n+1], \quad (3.24)$$

where $R_{p,q}$ is a random constant independent of n . Finally, since $|\frac{F_T}{T^\gamma}| \leq \frac{1}{T^\gamma} |F_T - F_n| + \frac{n^\gamma}{T^\gamma} |\frac{F_n}{n^\gamma}|$, where $n = [T]$ is the biggest integer less than or equal to a real number T , we have $\frac{F_T}{T^\gamma}$ converges to 0 almost surely as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3.7 *Let X_T be given by (3.1), then*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \rightarrow C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) k^{-2\beta} + \mu^2, \quad (3.25)$$

almost surely, as T tends to infinity.

Proof From the expression of X_t in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt}) + \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s]^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt})]^2 dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right]^2 dt + \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt}) \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s] dt \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

For the term I_1 , it is easy to see that

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt})]^2 dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu^2. \quad (3.27)$$

Using an argument similar to that in (3.4) of [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#), we have

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right]^2 dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) k^{-2\beta}. \quad (3.28)$$

We can deduce that

$$I_3 = \frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right) dt - \frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right) dt, \quad (3.29)$$

a standard calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right) dt &= \frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T dG_s \int_s^T e^{-k(2t-s)} dt \\ &= \frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2k} (e^{-ks} - e^{-k(2T-s)}) dG_s \\ &= \frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-ks} dG_s - \frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-k(2T-s)} dG_s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

For the first term in (3.30), set $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-ks} dG_s = M_T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_T^2] &= \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-ks} \cdot e^{-kr} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 R(s,r)}{\partial s \partial r} ds dr \\ &\leq \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-ks} \cdot e^{-kr} |s-r|^{2\beta-2} ds dr + \left(\int_0^T e^{-ks} s^{\beta-1} ds \right)^2 \leq C_\beta T^{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

When $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ and $p(\beta-1) < -1$,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{M_n}{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{E|M_n|^p}{n^p \varepsilon^p} \leq \frac{E(|M_n|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{n^p \varepsilon^p} \leq n^{p(\beta-1)}, \quad (3.32)$$

we can obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_n}{n} = 0, a.s..$

Second, there exist a constant $C_\beta > 0$ independent of T such that any $|t-s| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[|M_t - M_s|^2] &= \int_{[s,t]^2} e^{-ks} e^{-kr} \frac{\partial^2 R(m,n)}{\partial m \partial n} dmdn \\ &\leq \int_{[s,t]^2} e^{-ks} e^{-kr} |m-n|^{2\beta-2} dmdn + \left(\int_{[s,t]} e^{-ks} e^{-kr} |mn|^{\beta-1} dmdn \right)^2 \\ &\leq E[|G_t - G_s|^2] \leq C_\beta (t-s)^{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Then the Garsia-Rumsey inequality implies that for any real number $p > \frac{4}{\alpha}$, $q > 1$, and integer $n \geq 1$,

$$|M_t - M_s| \leq R_{p,q} n^{q/p}, \forall t, s \in [n, n+1], \quad (3.34)$$

where $R_{p,q}$ is a random constant independent of n . Finally, since $|\frac{M_T}{T}| \leq \frac{1}{T} |M_T - M_n| + \frac{n}{T} \frac{|M_n|}{n}$. where $n = [T]$ is the biggest integer less than or equal to a real number T , we have $\frac{M_T}{T}$ converges to 0 almost surely as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we imply that

$$\frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-ks} dG_s \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.35)$$

For the last term in (3.30), we obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-k(2T-s)} dG_s = e^{-kT} \frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-k(T-s)} dG_s \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \quad (3.36)$$

where the last step is from [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#).

Combining the above result, we obtain

$$\frac{2\mu}{T} \int_0^T e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right) dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.37)$$

This implies that

$$I_3 = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt}) \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s] dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.38)$$

By (3.26)-(3.38), as T tends to infinity, we imply that

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) k^{-2\beta} + \mu^2. \quad (3.39)$$

Hence, the second moment estimator \hat{k} is strongly consistent, namely, $\hat{k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} k$.

3.2 The least squares estimator

Proposition 3.8 *Let $(X_t, t \in [0, T])$ be given by (3.1), then*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} C_\gamma, \quad (3.40)$$

as T tends to infinity, where C_γ denotes a suitable positive constant.

Proof By (1.1), we represent the stochastic integral $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t$ as

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t = \frac{k\mu}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{k}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dG_t. \quad (3.41)$$

By (3.1), we have that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dG_t = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mu(1 - e^{-kt}) dG_t + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dG_t. \quad (3.42)$$

For the first term in (3.42), by (3.35), we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mu(1 - e^{-kt}) dG_t = \frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T dG_t - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-kt} dG_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.43)$$

From proposition 3.7 in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#), we know that $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dG_t$ converges almost surely, as T tends to infinity to 0. Then, combining (3.42) and (3.43), it's suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dG_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.44)$$

Meanwhile, by proposition 3.6, we imply that

$$\frac{k\mu}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{k}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} C_\gamma. \quad (3.45)$$

Therefore, we can obtain the desired result in (3.40).

Proposition 3.9 *Let $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then we have $\hat{\mu}_{LS} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$.*

Proof Recall that,

$$\hat{\mu}_{LS} - \mu = \frac{\frac{X_T}{T} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu \left(\frac{X_T}{T} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t \right)}{\frac{X_T}{T} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t}. \quad (3.46)$$

Denote partI as follow, and according to proposition 3.8, we have

$$partI = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu \right] \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.47)$$

Denote partII as follow, by proposition 3.6, it's easy to see that

$$partII = \frac{X_T}{T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \frac{\mu}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Finally, we obtain the desired result.

Proposition 3.10 *Let $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then we have $\hat{k}_{LS} \xrightarrow{a.s.} k$.*

Proof Since the expression for \hat{k}_{LS} in (1.7), we write

$$\hat{k}_{LS} - k = \frac{\frac{1}{T^2} X_T \int_0^T X_t dt - k \mu \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dG_t + k \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2}. \quad (3.49)$$

First, denote partA as follow,

$$partA = k \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2 - k \mu \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.50)$$

Then, denote part B as follow,

$$\begin{aligned} partB &= \frac{1}{T^2} X_T \int_0^T X_t dt = \frac{X_T}{T} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt. \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{T} \mu (1 - e^{-kT}) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s \right] \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

From proposition 3.7 in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T^2} X_T \int_0^T X_t dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (3.52)$$

Combining (3.44), (3.50) and (3.52), it proves the claim of the Proposition.

4 Asymptotic behaviors for $k > 0$

4.1 The moment estimator

We need several lemmas, providing sufficient conditions to prove the asymptotic normality of $\hat{\mu}$.

Lemma 4.1 *When $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$,*

$$T^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

almost surely as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t dt - \mu\right) &= T^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mu(1 - e^{-kt})dt + \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt - \mu\right) \\
&= T^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{T^{\frac{3}{2}-\beta}}\int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt \\
&= \frac{k}{T^{\frac{3}{2}-\beta}}\int_0^T (1 - e^{-k(T-s)})dG_s \\
&= \frac{k}{T^{\frac{3}{2}-\beta}} \cdot G_T - \frac{k\|f_T\|}{T^{\frac{3}{2}-\beta}} \frac{I_1(f_T)}{\|f_T\|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\frac{3}{2} - \beta > \beta$, $\frac{G_T}{T^\beta}$ and $\frac{I_1(f_T)}{\|f_T\|}$ are also the standard normal distribution of random variables, which together with Proposition 3.5 proves the claim of the lemma.

Proposition 4.2 For $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, we obtain for $\hat{\mu}$ defined by (1.4).

$$T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu} - \mu) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \frac{1}{k^2}). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{1-\beta}(\hat{\mu} - \mu) &= T^{1-\beta}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mu(1 - e^{-kt})dt + \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt - \mu\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{T^\beta}\int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt \\
&= \frac{G_T}{kT^\beta} - \frac{1}{kT^\beta}\int_0^T e^{-k(T-s)} dG_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From Proposition 3.8 in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#), we have $\frac{1}{kT^\beta}\int_0^T e^{-k(T-s)} dG_s \rightarrow 0$, and $\frac{G_T}{T^\beta}$ is a standard normal distribution. Finally, by the Slutsky's theorem we get the asymptotic normality (4.3) holds.

Proposition 4.3 Denote a constant that depends on k and β as $a := C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta-1)k^{-2\beta}$, then for $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{k} - k) \rightarrow N(0, a^2 \sigma_\beta^2 / 4\beta^2), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\sigma_\beta^2 = (4\beta - 1)[1 + \frac{\Gamma(3-4\beta)\Gamma(4\beta-1)}{\Gamma(2\beta)\Gamma(2-2\beta)}]$.

Proof First, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t dt\right)^2 - a\right) &= \sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt})]^2 dt + \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \left[\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s\right]^2 dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{T}\int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt})\int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s] dt - \left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t dt\right)^2 - a\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, we have

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T [\mu(1 - e^{-kt})]^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t dt\right)^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Meanwhile, by (3.35), we can write

$$\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T \frac{1}{k}e^{-ks} dG_s \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \tag{4.8}$$

From Proposition 3.8 in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#), we have

$$\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} e^{-k(2T-s)} dG_s \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Those two facts now imply that,

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2 - a \right) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, a^2 \sigma_\beta^2 / k). \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, since the delta method implies that the asymptotic normality holds.

4.2 The least squares estimator

Let us now discuss the asymptotic normality of LSE $\hat{\mu}_{LS}$ and \hat{k}_{LS} .

Proposition 4.4 For $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T^{1-\beta} (\hat{\mu}_{LS} - \mu) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \frac{1}{k^2}). \quad (4.11)$$

Proof By the representation of (3.46),

$$T^{1-\beta} (\hat{\mu}_{LS} - \mu) = \frac{T^{1-\beta} (partI + partII)}{\frac{X_T}{T} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t}. \quad (4.12)$$

First, Combining (3.40) and Proposition 4.1, $T^{1-\beta} \cdot partI$ can write as,

$$T^{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dX_t \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu \right] \xrightarrow{law} N(0, \frac{1}{k^2}). \quad (4.13)$$

Using arguments similar to strong convergence of $\hat{\mu}$, we can easily obtain

$$T^{1-\beta} \cdot partII \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Then, applying Slutsky's theorem, we obtain the desired result.

Proposition 4.5 when $k > 0$, and $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, then the following convergence results hold true

$$\sqrt{T} (\hat{k}_{LS} - k) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, 4ka^2 \sigma_\beta^2). \quad (4.15)$$

Proof From (3.49), we have

$$\sqrt{T} (\hat{k}_{LS} - k) = \frac{\left(\sqrt{T} \frac{1}{T^2} X_T \int_0^T X_t dt - k\mu \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dG_t + k \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2 \right)}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2}. \quad (4.16)$$

where we first consider only two terms of it, namely, the $\sqrt{T} \cdot partA$ can write as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T} \left[k \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2 - \frac{k\mu}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right] &= \sqrt{T} \left[\left(\frac{k}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - k\mu \right) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right] \\ &= \sqrt{T} \left[\left(\frac{k}{T} \int_0^T \mu (1 - e^{-kt}) dt + \frac{k}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt - k\mu \right) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{k\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T -e^{-kt} dt + \frac{k}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt \right] \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where $\frac{k\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T -e^{-kt} dt \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$, we can imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_s dt &= \frac{k}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T dG_s \int_s^T e^{-k(t-s)} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T dG_s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T e^{-k(T-s)} dG_s \\ &= \frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{-kT} \int_0^T e^{ks} dG_s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Using the Proposition 3.1, we can obtain that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{-kT} \int_0^T e^{ks} dG_s \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T}(\hat{k}_{LS} - k) &= -\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T X_t dG_t + (\frac{X_T}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}}) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2} \\ &= -\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \mu(1 - e^{-kt}) dG_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_t dG_s}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2} + \frac{(\frac{X_T}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}}) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2} \\ &= -\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}} G_T + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T e^{-kt} dG_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_t dG_s + \frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \\ &= \frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}} (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu) + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T e^{-kt} dG_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_t dG_s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

It's also clear that $\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T e^{-kt} dG_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$, Using the lemma 4.1, we can imply that $\frac{G_T}{\sqrt{T}} (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \mu) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. Hence we have

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{k}_{LS} - k) = \frac{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)} dG_t dG_s}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2} = \frac{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} I_2(e^{-k(t-\cdot)})}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - (\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt)^2}, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\sigma_\beta^2 = (4\beta - 1)[1 + \frac{\Gamma(3-4\beta)\Gamma(4\beta-1)}{\Gamma(2\beta)\Gamma(2-2\beta)}]$.

From (4.8) in [Chen and Zhou \[2020\]](#), we know that $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} I_2(e^{-k(t-\cdot)}) \xrightarrow{law} N(0, 4ka^2\sigma_\beta^2)$. Thus, combining with (3.25), the Slutsky's theorem implies that the asymptotic normality holds.

Acknowledgements This research is partly supported by NSFC(No.11961033).

References

- Y. Chen and H. Zhou. Parameter estimation for an ornstein-uhlenbeck process driven by a general gaussian noise. *Acta Mathematica Scientia(2020+)*, accepted, *arXiv:2002.09641*, 2020.
- Y. Chen, Y. Hu, and Z. Wang. Parameter estimation of complex fractional ornstein-uhlenbeck processes with fractional noise. *ALEA*, 14(1):613–629, 2017.
- K. Fergusson and E. Platen. Application of maximum likelihood estimation to stochastic short rate models. *Annals of Financial Economics*, 10(02):1550009, 2015.
- Y. Hu and D. Nualart. Parameter estimation for fractional ornstein-uhlenbeck processes. *Statistics probability letters*, 80(11-12):1030–1038, 2010.
- J.-Z. Huang and M. Huang. How much of the corporate-treasury yield spread is due to credit risk? *The Review of Asset Pricing Studies*, 2(2):153–202, 2012.
- M. Jolis. On the wiener integral with respect to the fractional brownian motion on an interval. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 330(2):1115–1127, 2007.
- I. Nourdin and T. D. Tran. Statistical inference for vasicek-type model driven by hermite processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 129(10):3774–3791, 2019.
- D. Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, volume 1995. Springer, 2006.

-
- D. Nualart, G. Peccati, et al. Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *The Annals of Probability*, 33(1):177–193, 2005.
- O. Vasicek. An equilibrium characterization of the term structure. *Journal of financial economics*, 5(2):177–188, 1977.
- S. Wu, Y. Dong, W. Lv, and G. Wang. Optimal asset allocation for participating contracts with mortality risk under minimum guarantee. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 49(14):3481–3497, 2020.
- W. Xiao and J. Yu. Asymptotic theory for estimating drift parameters in the fractional vasicek model. *Econometric Theory*, 35:198–231, 2017.
- W. Xiao, X. Zhang, and Y. Zuo. Least squares estimation for the drift parameters in the sub-fractional vasicek processes. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 197:141–155, 2018.
- B. H. Yang. Estimating long-run pd, asset correlation, and portfolio level pd by vasicek models. *Journal of Risk Model Validation*, 7(4), 2013.
- Q. Yu. Statistical inference for vasicek-type model driven by self-similar gaussian processes. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 49(2):471–484, 2020.