

THE PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS WITH STOCHASTIC WIND DRIVEN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

TIM BINZ, MATTHIAS HIEBER, AMRU HUSSEIN, AND MARTIN SAAL

ABSTRACT. The primitive equations for geophysical flows are studied under the influence of *stochastic wind driven boundary conditions* modeled by a cylindrical Wiener process. We adapt an approach by Da Prato and Zabczyk for stochastic boundary value problems to define a notion of solutions. Then a rigorous treatment of these stochastic boundary conditions, which combines stochastic and deterministic methods, yields that these equations admit a unique, local pathwise solution within the anisotropic $L_t^q H_z^{-1,p} L_{xy}^p$ -setting. This solution is constructed in critical spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the primitive equations in a cylindrical domain $\mathcal{D} = G \times (-h, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, where $G = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and $h > 0$. Let us denote by $v: \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the horizontal velocity of the fluid and by $p_s: G \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its surface pressure on a time interval $(0, T)$, where $T > 0$. We consider the set of equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla_H v + w(v) \cdot \partial_z v - \Delta v + \nabla_H p_s &= f, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}_H \bar{v} &= 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ v(0) &= v_0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{v}(x, y) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^0 v(x, y, \xi) d\xi$, and the vertical velocity $w = w(v)$ with $w(x, y, -h) = w(x, y, 0) = 0$ is given by $w(v)(x, y, z) = - \int_{-h}^z \operatorname{div}_H v(x, y, \xi) d\xi$. Here $(x, y) \in G$ denote the horizontal coordinates and $z \in (-h, 0)$ the vertical one. There exist several equivalent formulations of the primitive equations, depending on whether the vertical velocity $w = w(v)$ is completely substituted by the horizontal velocity v and the full pressure by the surface pressure, respectively, compare e.g. [HK16].

It is the aim of this article to study the above set of equations subject to *stochastic wind driven boundary conditions*. These boundary conditions on the atmosphere-ocean interface describe the balance of the shear stress of the ocean and the horizontal wind force. In contrast to previous works on deterministic wind driven boundary conditions described by Lions, Temam and Wang in [LTW93], we investigate here for the first time stochastic wind driven boundary conditions of the form $\partial_z v = h_b \partial \omega$ for a given cylindrical Wiener process $\omega(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle g, e_n \rangle W_b(t) e_n$. For a precise definition of this condition, we refer to Section 4 below.

Wind driven boundary conditions for the coupled atmosphere and ocean primitive equations within the deterministic setting were introduced and studied by Lions, Temam and Wang in their fundamental article [LTW93]. For related results concerning deterministic wind driven boundary conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations we refer to the work of Desjardins and Grenier [DG00], Bresch and Simon [BS01] and Dalibard and Saint-Raymond [DSR09]. Here, the equations (1.1) are supplemented by mixed boundary conditions on $\Gamma_u = G \times \{0\}$, $\Gamma_b = G \times \{-h\}$ and $\Gamma_l = \partial G \times (-h, 0)$ of the form

$$(1.2) \quad v, p_s \text{ are periodic on } \Gamma_l \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_z v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_z v = c \varrho^{air} (v^{air} - v) \cdot |v^{air} - v| \text{ on } \Gamma_u \times (0, T).$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q86; Secondary: 35R60, 60H15, 76D03, 76M35, 76U60, 35K61.

Key words and phrases. stochastic wind driven boundary conditions, primitive equations, hydrostatic Neumann map, critical spaces.

Here v^{air} denotes the velocity of the wind, ϱ^{air} the density of the atmosphere and c the drag coefficient. The boundary condition (1.4) is interpreted as the physical law describing the driving mechanism on the atmosphere-ocean interface as a balance of the shear stress of the ocean and the horizontal wind force. Indeed, the shear stress of the ocean, i.e. the tangential component of the stress tensor is given by $\partial_z v + \nabla_H w$, which due to the flatness of the interface, i.e. $w = 0$ on Γ_u , equals $\partial_z v$, for details see [LTW93].

At first glance a natural boundary condition on the interface would be an adherence condition, i.e. $v = v^{air}$, at the interface. These conditions are, however, not being used due to the occurrence of boundary layers in the atmosphere and in the ocean at the surface. The above condition (1.4) takes into account these boundary layers. Since the velocity of air is much slower than the one of the ocean, the term v is frequently neglected and the condition

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_z v = c \varrho^{air} v^{air} \cdot |v^{air}| \text{ on } \Gamma_u \times (0, T)$$

is used instead, see e.g. [TZ96, GM97].

In this article we extend the above setting in the following direction: we introduce wind driven *stochastic* boundary conditions on the surface of the ocean and analyze the primitive equation subject to these boundary conditions as an SPDE. Stochastic wind driven boundary conditions have been considered before within the setting of the shallow water equations e.g. by Cessi and Louazei [CL01] from a modeling point of view. For numerical results and statistical analysis of wind stress time series in the context of the Ekman equation, we refer to the work [GBP15] of Buffoni, Cappeletti and Picco. Our result seems to be the first rigorous result concerning stochastic boundary conditions driven by wind. A similar setting has been considered very recently for the 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in [AL23]. More precisely, given a cylindrical Wiener process W on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with respect to a filtration \mathcal{F} and adapted functions H_f and h_b , we consider for the horizontal velocity of the fluid $V: \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and the surface pressure $P_s: \Omega \times G \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is a probability space endowed with the filtration \mathcal{F} , the equations

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} dV + (V \cdot \nabla_H V + w(V) \cdot \partial_z V - \Delta V + \nabla_H P_s) dt &= H_f dW, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}_H \bar{V} &= 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ V(0) &= V_0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \end{cases}$$

subject to boundary conditions (1.2) and (1.3), but where the deterministic condition (1.4) or (1.5) is replaced by a stochastic boundary condition modeling the wind as

$$(1.7) \quad \partial_z V = h_b \partial_t \omega \quad \text{on } \Gamma_u \times (0, T).$$

Here h_b is a function defined on $\Gamma_u \times (0, T)$ and we assume that ω can be written as

$$(1.8) \quad \omega(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle g, e_n \rangle W_b(t) e_n,$$

where g is a suitable function defined on Γ_u , W_b is another cylindrical Wiener process on \mathcal{H} with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F} , and (e_n) is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} .

Our strategy to prove the existence of a unique, local pathwise solution for equations (1.6) and (1.7) is based on a combination of stochastic and deterministic maximal L^q -regularity methods. It can be summarized as follows: First, in order to eliminate the pressure term we apply the hydrostatic Helmholtz projection \mathbb{P} to equation (1.6) (compare e.g. [HK16]) and rewrite the stochastic primitive equations as a semilinear stochastic evolution equation of the form

$$(1.9) \quad dV + AV \, dt = F(V, V) \, dt + H_f dW, \quad V(0) = V_0.$$

Here A denotes the hydrostatic Stokes operator defined as $A = -\mathbb{P}\Delta$ and $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the bilinear convection term. In [HK16] this has been done in the space $L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D})$. Here, however, the choice of the ground space and correspondingly the domain $D(A)$ will give us some freedom, compare Section 3 below, needed to include the stochastic boundary conditions.

Secondly, we rewrite the stochastic boundary condition as a forcing term. Indeed, a general obstacle to handle stochastic boundary conditions is a lack of regularity to make sense of (1.7). Here, we adapt an approach due to Da Prato and Zabczyk [DPZ96] for stochastic boundary conditions to the given

situation: A solution V to equation (1.6) subject to (1.2), (1.3) and the stochastic condition (1.7) is expressed by a solution to the equation

$$(1.10) \quad dZ_b(t) + AZ_b(t) dt = A[\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] dW_b(t), \quad Z_b(0) = 0,$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$(1.11) \quad Z_b \text{ are periodic on } \Gamma_l \times (0, T), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z Z_b = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_b \times (0, T).$$

Here \mathcal{N} denotes the so-called Neumann operator mapping deterministic inhomogeneous boundary data to the solution of the associated stationary hydrostatic Stokes problem. This hydrostatic Neumann operator is constructed in Subsection 3.5 below. This construction allows us to view the stochastic boundary condition as a stochastic forcing term. For a similar approach within the setting of parabolic equations in divergence form we also refer to [SV11]. Here, we call V a solution to (1.6) subject to (1.2), (1.3) and the stochastic condition (1.7) if $V_b := V - Z_b$ solves the equation

$$(1.12) \quad dV_b + AV_b dt = F(V_b + Z_b, V_b + Z_b) dt + H_f dW, \quad V(0) = V_0$$

subject to the same homogeneous boundary conditions (1.11). As discussed in detail by Da Prato and Zabczyk in [DPZ96, Section 13], if this solution V were sufficiently regular, then it would constitute a solution to the actual inhomogeneous problem. We note that Z_b is given by

$$(1.13) \quad Z_b(t) := A \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} [\mathcal{N}h_b(s)g] dW_b(s), \quad t > 0.$$

Thirdly, we investigate the solution Z_f of the linearized system with linear noise

$$dZ_f + A_p Z_f dt = H_f dW, \quad Z_f(0) = Z_0.$$

Subsequently, we consider pathwise the remainder term $v := V - Z$ for $Z := Z_f + Z_b$, which solves (almost surely) the *deterministic and nonautonomous*, semilinear evolution equation

$$(1.14) \quad \partial_t v + Av = F(v + Z, v + Z), \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

where the initial value Z_0 contains the probabilistic part of the initial value V_0 and $v_0 := V_0 - Z_0$ its deterministic part. This equation will be treated by the theory of semilinear evolution equations in critical spaces due to Prüss, Simonett and Wilke [PSW18, PW17]. This will enable us to prove the existence of a *unique, local* solution to (1.14), whereby we use the theory of time weighted maximal L_t^q -regularity.

After these reformulations, the main challenge is now to assure sufficient regularity properties of Z which allow us to solve (1.14) pathwise in the deterministic maximal regularity framework. To this end, we use results on maximal stochastic regularity due to van Neerven, Veraar and Weis [vNVW12b]. The latter are applicable due to the fact that A admits a bounded H^∞ -calculus in $L_\sigma^p(\mathcal{D})$, see [GGH⁺17], which carries over to further anisotropic spaces by isomorphy. By the theory of stochastic maximal integrals the regularity stochastic convolutions of the form (1.13) increases by an order of $A^{1/2}$ provided that $A\mathcal{N}(h_b(\cdot)g)$ lies in the corresponding ground space. Now,

$$\mathcal{N}(\cdot): W^{1-1/r+m,p}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2 \rightarrow H^{2+m,p}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 = H_z^{2+m,p} L_{xy}^p \cap L_z^p H_{xy}^{2+m,p},$$

where it is essential to observe that here $[\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g]$ satisfies the inhomogeneous boundary conditions (3.5). Hence, $[\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] \in D(A)$ holds only if we shift the linear operator to a weaker setting. Our strategy here, is to make this shift only with respect to the vertical z -directions, where the inhomogeneous boundary condition is rendered incognisable in $H_z^{s,p}$ below the threshold of $s < 1 + 1/p$. Heuristically, this gives a vertical regularity for Z_b of order $H_z^{s-1,p}$ with $s - 1 < 1/p$, and thus we have less than one vertical derivative available. This makes it necessary to consider anisotropic ground spaces of the type $H_z^{s-2,p} H_{xy}^{m,p}$ for some s as above and $m \geq 0$.

For the linear part of the equations shifting the scales is possible by abstract extrapolation scales. However, the limiting factor is the availability of the corresponding non-linear estimates in such weak settings. In the Navier-Stokes equations the non-linearity can take the form $\operatorname{div}(u \otimes u)$ thus allowing for a shift to spaces such as $H^{-1,p}(\mathcal{D})$. In contrast, for the primitive equations the non-linearity takes the form $\operatorname{div}((v, w(v)) \otimes v)$, where $w(v)$ still contains derivatives of v by (2.2) below. However, we still achieve non-linear estimates in the anisotropically weak space $H_z^{-1,p} L_{xy}^p$ which lead to unique strong solutions

in this setting. This should not be confused with weak solutions or the so-called z -weak solutions for the primitive equations, compare e.g. [Ju17]. In the estimate on $F(Z_b, Z_b)$, where Z_b is as in (1.13) and has less than one derivative in z -direction, we can compensate for this by adding some regularity in horizontal direction by some $m > 0$. Thus, we have to assume that $h_b(\cdot)g \in L_\sigma^{2q}(0, T; W^{1+1/p+\varepsilon}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2)$ to solve (1.14) in $L_\mu^q(0, T; H_z^{-1,p}L_{xy}^p)$ for suitable time-weights σ and μ , and for $p \in (3, 4]$ and for some q .

To extend the local solutions to a global one suitable *a priori* bounds are needed. In the deterministic setting *a priori* bounds in the maximal L_t^2 - L_x^2 -setting are available by the classical result of Cao and Titi, cf. [CT07]. However, for the setting needed here, *a priori* bounds in $H_z^{-1,p}L_{xy}^p$ -spaces would be needed which are – as of now – not available, and which are subject of a future work.

Recently, Agresti and Veraar [AV22a, AV22b] developed a *local* theory of critical spaces for stochastic evolution equations analogously to the ideas in [PSW18] for deterministic equations. One major difference is that due to the weaker smoothing of the stochastic convolution, the conditions on the weights used by them are more restrictive as in the deterministic case. By using our approach when solving (1.14) we are able to allow spatially rougher data v_0 than one could handle by considering (1.14) in the context of stochastic critical spaces.

Recall that the mathematical analysis of the *deterministic* primitive equations has been pioneered by Lions, Teman and Wang in their articles [LTW92a, LTW92b, LTW93], where the existence of a global, weak solution to the primitive equations is proven. For global weak solutions subject to (1.4) we refer to [PTZ08]. The uniqueness property of weak solutions for initial data in L^2 remains an open problem until today. A landmark result on the global strong well-posedness of the *deterministic* primitive equations subject to homogeneous Neumann conditions for initial data in H^1 was shown by Cao and Titi in [CT07] by the method of energy estimates. For mixed Dirichlet-Neumann conditions we refer to the work [KZ07] of Kukavica and Ziane. A different approach to the deterministic primitive equations, based on methods of evolution equations, has been introduced in [GGH⁺20b, HK16]. This approach is based on the hydrostatic Stokes operator A and the hydrostatic Stokes semigroup defined for $p \in (1, \infty)$ on the hydrostatic solenoidal L^p -spaces. For a survey on results concerning the deterministic primitive equations using the approach of energy estimates, we refer to [LT16]; for a survey concerning the approach based on evolution equations, see [HH20].

The three dimensional *stochastic* primitive equations with deterministic boundary conditions but stochastic forcing term have been studied before by several authors. Indeed, for the situation of additive noise, there are existence and uniqueness results for pathwise, strong solutions within the L^2 -setting; see [GH09]. They consider deterministic initial data in $H^1(\mathcal{D})$ and choose Neumann boundary conditions on the bottom and top.

A global well-posedness result for pathwise strong solutions of the primitive equations with deterministic and homogeneous boundary conditions was established for multiplicative white noise in time in [DGHT11, DGHTZ12] and later under weaker assumptions on the noise in [BS21]. Here Neumann boundary conditions are used for the top and the bottom in [DGHTZ12] and a Dirichlet boundary condition on the bottom combined with a mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions on the top in [DGHT11]. Further results concerning the existence of ergodic invariant measures, weak-martingale solutions and Markov selection were shown in [DZ17] and [GHKVZ14]. For results in two dimensions, see e.g. [GHT11]. It seems that here for the first time stochastic boundary conditions are studied for the primitive equations.

In the following, we elaborate on our strategy outlined above: We fix the setting and some notation in Section 2. In order to adapt the approach by Da Prato and Zabczyk to the stochastic primitive equations with stochastic boundary conditions we have to analyse the linearized equations carefully for both the deterministic setting in Section 3 and then the stochastic setting in Section 4. Then we are in the position to define our notion of solution and to give our main result on the local existence and uniqueness of solutions and its proof in Section 5.

2. FIRST FORMULATION OF THE STOCHASTIC PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS

We consider the stochastic primitive equations in the isothermal setting on a cylindrical spatial domain

$$\mathcal{D} = G \times (-h, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{with} \quad G = (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \quad \text{where } h > 0,$$

on a time interval $(0, T)$ with $T > 0$, and a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . The upper, bottom and lateral parts of the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$, respectively, are denoted by

$$\Gamma_u = G \times \{0\}, \quad \Gamma_b = G \times \{-h\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_l = \partial G \times (-h, 0).$$

The unknowns are the horizontal velocity of the fluid and the surface pressure

$$V : \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_s : \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

respectively. These are governed by the following already reformulated stochastic primitive equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} dV + (V \cdot \nabla_H V + w(V) \cdot \partial_z V - \Delta V + \nabla_H P_s) dt = H_f dW, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}_H \bar{V} = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \\ V(0) = V_0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \end{cases}$$

for given initial data $V_0 : \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and stochastic forcing $H_f dW$ defined by a cylindrical Wiener process and a given function H_f made precise in Subsection 4.1 below. Here $(x, y) \in G$ denote the horizontal coordinates, $z \in (-h, 0)$ the vertical one, and

$$\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2, \quad \nabla_H = (\partial_x, \partial_y)^T, \quad \operatorname{div}_H V = \partial_x V_1 + \partial_y V_2, \\ \text{and} \quad \bar{V} := \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^0 V(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) d\xi.$$

The vertical velocity $w = w(V)$ in (2.1) is given by

$$(2.2) \quad w(V)(x, y, z) = - \int_{-h}^z \operatorname{div}_H V(x, y, \xi) d\xi,$$

because of the boundary condition

$$w(V) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \cup \Gamma_u \times (0, T).$$

The equations (2.1) are supplemented by the boundary conditions on the lateral part

$$(2.3) \quad V, P_s \text{ are periodic on } \Gamma_l \times (0, T),$$

on the bottom part

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_z V = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \times (0, T),$$

and a stochastic forcing term modeling the wind is imposed on the upper part by

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_z V = h_b \partial_t \omega \text{ on } \Gamma_u \times (0, T).$$

Here, $h_b : \Gamma_u \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given real valued function, the assumptions on which are made precise in Subsection 4.2 below, and $\partial_t \omega$ stands for a noise term defined by a cylindrical Wiener process which is discussed in Subsection 4.1 below.

3. LINEAR DETERMINISTIC THEORY

3.1. Anisotropic $H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{m,p}$ -spaces. General vector valued function space and distributions in anisotropic spaces are discussed systematically by Amann in [Ama19] for corner-domains in \mathbb{R}^n , and the anisotropic scalar case can be found in [Tri10, Section 10.1]. In both instances it is assumed that the differentiability has a fixed sign for all directions. Here, we aim to extend this to Sobolev spaces with negative order in z -direction and positive order in x - y -direction. Note that the vector valued periodic case in isotropic spaces for positive differentiability is discussed also for instance by Arendt and Bu in [AB02] and by Nau in [Nau12].

For a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$, we define the periodic isotropic \mathcal{H} -valued Bessel potential spaces with respect to the horizontal variables dealing with negative orders via duality

$$H_{per}^{s,p}(G; \mathcal{H}) := \overline{C_{per}^\infty(\overline{G}; \mathcal{H})}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^{s,p}(G; \mathcal{H})}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{per}^{-s,p}(G; \mathcal{H}) := (H_{per}^{s,p'}(G; \mathcal{H}))'.$$

Here, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $C_{per}^\infty(\overline{G}; \mathcal{H})$ is the space of smooth on \overline{G} with values in \mathcal{H} which are periodic of any order, cf. also [Nau12, Chapter 2], and we have identified \mathcal{H} and its dual \mathcal{H}' via the Riesz isomorphism. For $s = 0$ we have $H^{0,p} = L^p$.

To define anisotropic function spaces, consider first more generally for a given Banach space X the space of test functions

$$\mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X) := \{v \in C^\infty([-h, h]; X) : v \text{ periodic and even w.r.t. the } z\text{-direction}\},$$

and we set $C_{per, ev}^\infty([-h, h]; X) := \mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X)$. The image of the restriction operator

$$R : \mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_N(X) := \mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X)|_{(-h, 0)}, \quad v \mapsto v|_{(-h, 0)}$$

satisfies Neumann-type boundary conditions on top and bottom, that is,

$$\partial_z^{2n-1} v(-h) = \partial_z^{2n-1} v(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{D}_N(X) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

compare also [Nau12, Chapter 7]. Moreover, the even extension operator

$$E_{ev} : \mathcal{D}_N(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X), \quad E_{ev} v(z) := \begin{cases} v(z), & z \in [-h, 0], \\ v(-z), & z \in [0, h], \end{cases}$$

is well-defined. The operators E_{ev} and R define isomorphisms since they satisfy

$$E_{ev} R v = v \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X), \quad \text{and} \quad R E_{ev} v = v \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{D}_N(X).$$

If X is reflexive, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$, we define the spaces

$$H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; X) := \overline{\mathcal{D}_N}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^{s,p}(-h, 0; X)}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_N^{-s,p}(-h, 0; X) := H_N^{s,p'}(-h, 0; X)'$$

identifying X with its bi-dual X'' . The completion has been taken with respect to the $H^{s,p}(-h, 0; X)$ -norm of the X -valued Bessel potential spaces, compare e.g. [Ama19, Subsection VII.1.2] for their definition. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ these are the classical Sobolev space, i.e., $H^{s,p} = W^{s,p}$. Using Bochner spaces, cf. e.g. [ABHN11, Section 1.1], one can define consistently $L^p(-h, 0; X)$ even for $p \in [1, \infty]$, and one identifies $L^p(-h, 0; L^p(G; \mathcal{H})) = L^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$ using Fubini's theorem. Then, we define

$$H_z^{s,p}(H_{x,y}^{m,q}(\mathcal{H})) := H_N^{s,p}((-h, 0); H_{per}^{m,q}(G; \mathcal{H})) \quad \text{for } m, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } p, q \in (1, \infty),$$

where we use the short hand notation $H_z^{s,p} H_{x,y}^{m,q}$ if $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ or if there is no ambiguity.

The spaces $\mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_N(X)$ are equipped with the topology induced by the semi-norms given by $\sup_z \|\partial_z^\alpha v(z)\|_X$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, one defines the spaces of distributions $\mathcal{D}'_{per, ev}$ and \mathcal{D}'_N as the respective topological duals. For these the pull backed maps of E_{ev} and R again induce isomorphisms. On these spaces of distributions we define the map

$$J_z^s := (1 - \partial_z^2)^{s/2} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If X is a UMD space, then J_z^s defines a map on the distributions on the torus which restricts to a map on $\mathcal{D}_{per, ev}(X)$. In fact, this is a discrete Fourier multiplier with symbol $(1 + k_z^2)^{s/2}$ for $k_z \in (\pi/h)\mathbb{Z}$ which induces an isomorphism

$$J_z^s : H_N^{m,p}(-h, 0; X) \rightarrow H_N^{m-s,p}(-h, 0; X), \quad s, m \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } p \in (1, \infty)$$

suppressing the dependence on X and m, r, p in this notation, cf. e.g. [Ama19, Section 2.1]. Also, one has isomorphisms

$$J_H^m : L^q(G; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_{per}^{m,q}(G; \mathcal{H}), \quad J_H^m v = (1 - \Delta_H)^{m/2} v, \quad m \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } q \in (1, \infty)$$

Then

$$\|v\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{x,y}^{m,q}} = \left\| \|J_z^s J_H^m v(\cdot, z)\|_{L^q(G; \mathcal{H})} \right\|_{L^p(-h, 0)} \quad \text{for } m, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } p, q \in (1, \infty).$$

3.2. Solenoidal spaces and the hydrostatic Helmholtz projection. Similarly to the Navier-Stokes equations, an appropriate framework for the primitive equations are *hydrostatically solenoidal vector fields* satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}_H \bar{v} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{v} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^0 v(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) d\xi.$$

Hence, for \mathcal{H} a separable Hilbert space, one defines for $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$L_{\bar{\sigma}}^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) := \overline{\{v \in \mathcal{D}_N(-h, 0; C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\bar{G}); \mathcal{H})^2 : \operatorname{div}_H \bar{v} = 0\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2}},$$

cf. [HK16] for the scalar valued case with $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ for which we use the abbreviation $L_{\bar{\sigma}}^p(\mathcal{D})$.

Moreover, there exists a continuous projection, the *hydrostatic Helmholtz projection*,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{P} : L^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 \rightarrow L_{\bar{\sigma}}^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}), \quad \mathbb{P}v = \tilde{v} + \mathbb{P}_2 \bar{v},$$

where

$$\tilde{v} = v - \bar{v}, \quad \bar{v} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^0 v(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) d\xi,$$

and \mathbb{P}_2 is the actual two-dimensional Helmholtz projection on G defined for periodic functions. The scalar valued case has been discussed in [HK16, GGH⁺17]. The more general \mathcal{H} -valued case can be drawn back to the scalar case by considering an orthonormal basis (e_n) of \mathcal{H} , and then the corresponding statements follow componentwise from the scalar valued case. Note that \mathbb{P} is given by a discrete Fourier multiplier, cf. e.g. [Hus20, Section 2.1] for the L^2 -case, which extends to all spaces $H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{r,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))$ for $s, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. In particular the averaging in (3.1) can be replaced by a projection onto the 0th Fourier mode in z -direction.

So, to include solenoidal functions, we define for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s, r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) := \{v \in H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H})) : \mathbb{P}v = v\},$$

and we set

$$X^s(\mathcal{H})_{\bar{\sigma}, p} := X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,0}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \text{where} \quad X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^0(\mathcal{H}) = L_{\bar{\sigma}}^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}).$$

If $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and if there is no ambiguity we shorten the notation to $X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m} = X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that here due to the periodicity

$$\mathbb{P}H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{r,p}(G; \mathcal{H})) = X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Remark 3.1 (Geometry of the spaces). The spaces $H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))$ and $X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$ are UMD spaces and for $p \geq 2$ they are of type 2. This holds since the spaces $X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) \subset H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))$ are closed subspaces, and the latter are isomorphic to $L^p(-h, 0; L^p(G; \mathcal{H}))$ which has the respective properties, cf. e.g. [HvNVW17].

Remark 3.2 (Extension of operators from scalar to \mathcal{H} -valued spaces). Note that by [Ste93, I.8.24] bounded operators on $L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D})$ admit an extension to $L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$ with identical norm and analogously for the other function spaces discussed above.

3.3. The hydrostatic Stokes operator. Next, observe that the operators

$$\Delta_z v = \partial_z^2 v \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_H v = (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) v$$

are given via discrete Fourier multipliers on periodic test functions, and therefore these extend to operators in $H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{z,N} v &= \Delta_z v, & v &\in H_N^{s+2,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))^2, & \text{and} \\ \Delta_{H,N} v &= \Delta_H v, & v &\in H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{\text{per}}^{m+2,p}(G; \mathcal{H}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Note that the operators $\Delta_{z,N}$, $\Delta_{H,N}$, and \mathbb{P} commute since these operators are compatible with the extension and restriction operators E_{ev} and R , and hence they are similar to the corresponding operators in the periodic spaces where they are given in terms of symbols. Thereby, the analysis reduces to the periodic setting, and this has been used for instance also in many works by Cao, Li and Titi,

compare e.g. [LT16] and the references therein. In particular Fourier series methods are available. This allows us to define on $X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the *hydrostatic Stokes operator*

$$(3.2) \quad A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)} := -\mathbb{P}\Delta_{z,N} - \mathbb{P}\Delta_{H,N} \quad \text{with } D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}) = X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s+2,m}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m+2}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For simplicity we set $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s)} := A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,0)}$. This is consistent with the definition in [HK16, Section 4] for the scalar case, since

$$(3.3) \quad A_{p,\mathcal{H}} := A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(0)} = -\mathbb{P}\Delta v, \quad D(A_p) := \{v \in H_{per,H}^{2,p}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 \cap L_{\vec{\sigma}}^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) : \partial_z v|_{\Gamma_N} = 0\},$$

where the part of the boundary where Neumann boundary conditions are imposed is abbreviated by

$$\Gamma_N = \Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_b.$$

We drop the subscript and write $A_p^{(s,m)}$, $A_p^{(s)}$, and A_p , respectively, if $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ or if there is no ambiguity.

Remark 3.3 (Properties of the hydrostatic Stokes operator). Note that the hydrostatic Helmholtz projection and the operators $\Delta_{z,N}$ and $\Delta_{H,N}$ are resolvent commuting since one can reduce this to the periodic setting. Note that this does not hold any more if other than Neumann boundary conditions on top and bottom are imposed, cf. e.g. [GGH⁺17]. Moreover, here the Kalton-Weis theorem on the sum of commuting operators, see [KW01], is applicable, giving the closedness of $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}$ and that

$$\mathbb{P}\Delta_{z,N} + \mathbb{P}\Delta_{H,N} = \Delta_{z,N}\mathbb{P} + \Delta_{H,N}\mathbb{P} = (\Delta_{z,N} + \Delta_{H,N})\mathbb{P}.$$

In addition $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,l)}$ and $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(0,0)}$ are similar.

3.4. Maximal L_t^q -regularity and interpolations spaces. One setting for the Cauchy problem

$$(\partial_t + A)v = f, \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

assuming that X_1, X_0 are Banach spaces such that X_1 is densely embedded into X_0 and $A \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_0)$, are time-weighted vector valued L^q - and Sobolev spaces. For $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\mu \in (1/q, 1]$, $T \in (0, \infty]$ these are defined by

$$L_\mu^q(0, T; X_i) := \{v \in L_{loc}^1(0, T; X_i) : t^{1-\mu}v \in L^q(0, T; X_i)\} \text{ and}$$

$$H_\mu^{1,q}(0, T; X_i) := \{v \in L_\mu^q(0, T; X_i) \cap H_{loc}^{1,1}(0, T; X_i) : \partial_t v \in L_\mu^q(0, T; X_i)\} \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, 1\},$$

cf. [PS16, Section 3.2.4]. The time weighted maximal regularity space is then

$$L_\mu^q(0, T; X_1) \cap H_\mu^{1,q}(0, T; X_0) \subset L_\mu^q(0, T; X_0).$$

The natural trace space $X_{\mu-1/q,q}$ is determined by means of real interpolation spaces $X_{\theta,q} := (X_0, X_1)_{\theta,q}$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, see e.g. [PS16, Section 3.3.4].

Here, for the operators $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}$ we denote for fixed $T \in (0, \infty]$ the ground space and the time weighted maximal regularity space

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}^q(X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})) := L_\mu^q(0, T; X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}))$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}^q(X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})) := H_\mu^{1,q}(0, T; X_{\vec{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})) \cap L_\mu^q(0, T; D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})),$$

which we abbreviate by \mathbb{E}_0 and \mathbb{E}_1 , respectively, if there is no ambiguity. To formulate the following description of trace spaces we define the following Besov spaces. For $s \geq 0$, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, and a Banach space X let

$$B_{pq,per}^s(G; \mathcal{H}) := \overline{C_{per}^\infty(\overline{G}; \mathcal{H})}^{\|\cdot\|_{B_{pq}^s(G; \mathcal{H})}},$$

$$B_{pq,per,ev}^s(-h, h; X) := \overline{\{u \in C^\infty[-h, h; X] \text{ periodic and even}\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{B_{pq}^s(-h, h; X)}},$$

$$B_{pq,N}^s(-h, 0; X) := B_{pq,per,ev}^s(-h, h; X)|_{(-h,0)},$$

and for $s < 0$ the corresponding spaces are defined via duality, where B_{pq}^s denotes Besov spaces which are defined as restrictions of Besov spaces on the whole space, cf. [Tri10, Definitions 3.2.2].

Proposition 3.4 (Bounded H^∞ -calculus). *For $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)} + \nu$ admits for any $\nu > 0$ a bounded H^∞ -calculus of angle zero. In particular, $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}$ has the property of deterministic maximal L_μ^q -regularity on any finite time interval $(0, T)$ for $T \in (0, \infty)$ and for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $\mu \in (1/q, 1]$, that is the solution operator for*

$$(\partial_t + A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})v = f, \quad v(0) = 0$$

is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}^q(X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})) \rightarrow \{v \in \mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}^q(X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})) : v(0) = 0\}, \quad f \mapsto v.$$

Moreover, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} D((A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})^\theta) &= X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2\theta,m}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,2\theta+m}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ and} \\ (X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}))_{\theta,q} &= \mathbb{P}B_{pq,N}^{s+2\theta}(-h, 0; H^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H})^2) \cap \mathbb{P}H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; B_{pq,per}^{2\theta+m}(G; \mathcal{H})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It was shown in [GGH⁺17, Theorem 3.1] that $A_p + \nu$ admits for any $\nu > 0$ a bounded H^∞ -calculus of angle zero in $L_\sigma^p(\mathcal{D})$ and by Remark 3.2 this carries over to $L_\sigma^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$, and then by similarity – cf. Remark 3.3 – to $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)} + \nu$ for $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$.

The domains of the fractional powers are hence given via the complex interpolation functor $[\cdot, \cdot]_\theta$ as

$$\begin{aligned} D((A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})^\theta) &= [X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})]_\theta \\ &= [X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2,m}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,2+m}(\mathcal{H})]_\theta \\ &= [X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2,m}(\mathcal{H})]_\theta \cap [X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,2+m}(\mathcal{H})]_\theta \\ &= X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2\theta,m}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,2\theta+m}(\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Here to show the last equality, one combines retract and co-retract arguments with interpolation results for Bessel potential spaces, compare e.g. [HHK16] for a similar argument. The pre-ultimate equality follows from [EPS03, Lemma 9.5] or [GGS93, Theorem 3.1] which are applicable here since in (3.2) the operators $A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}$ have been defined as the sum of two resolvent commuting operators with – up to a shift – bounded H^∞ -calculus of angle zero.

Similarly, one determines that the real interpolation spaces are given in terms of Besov spaces as

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2,m}(\mathcal{H}))_{\theta,q} &= \mathbb{P}B_{pq,N}^{s+2\theta}(-h, 0; H^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H})^2), \quad \text{and} \\ (X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}), X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,2+m}(\mathcal{H}))_{\theta,q} &= \mathbb{P}H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; B_{pq,per}^{2\theta+m}(G; \mathcal{H})^2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3.5. The hydrostatic Neumann map. Consider the stationary hydrostatic Stokes equation

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta V + \nabla_H P_s &= 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \operatorname{div}_H \bar{V} &= 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \int_{\mathcal{D}} V &= 0, \end{cases}$$

subject to the boundary conditions for given g

$$(3.5) \quad V, P_s \text{ are periodic on } \Gamma_l, \quad \partial_z V = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z V = g \text{ on } \Gamma_u.$$

The boundary data will be taken from the \mathcal{H} -valued Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces

$$W_{per}^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H}) := \overline{C_{per}^\infty(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})}},$$

where $W^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})$ is defined as restriction of $W^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{H})$. One has also $W_{per}^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H}) = B_{rr,per}^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 3.5 (Hydrostatic Neumann map). *Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, $r \geq 2$, and $m \geq 0$. For $g \in W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2$ there exist unique*

$$\begin{aligned} V &\in L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) \cap H^{2+m,r}(\Omega; \mathcal{H})^2 \text{ with } \int_{\mathcal{D}} V = 0 \text{ and} \\ P_s &\in H^{1,r}(G; \mathcal{H}) \text{ with } \int_G P_s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

solving (3.4) and (3.5). The Neumann map given by

$$\mathcal{N}: W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2 \rightarrow L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) \cap H^{2+m,r}(\Omega; \mathcal{H})^2, \quad g \mapsto V$$

is continuous. In particular the following embedding is continuous

$$\mathcal{N}(W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2) \hookrightarrow D(A_{r,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}) \quad \text{for } s \in [-3/2, 1/r - 1).$$

For the Neumann map in the setting of diffusion equations, we refer to [Ama93]. For an abstract version of the Neumann map (there called Dirichlet operator) we refer to [BE20, CENN03, Gre87] and the references therein.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. To rewrite the problem (3.4) and (3.5), we introduce the *maximal hydrostatic Stokes operator* A_{\max} in $L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$ for average free functions given by

$$A_{\max}V := -\mathbb{P}\Delta V, \quad D(A_{\max}) := \{V \in W_{per}^{2,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 \cap L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) : \partial_z V|_{\Gamma_b} = 0, \int_{\mathcal{D}} V = 0\}.$$

Further, consider the outer normal derivative on the upper boundary

$$L: D(A_{\max}) \rightarrow W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2, \quad f \mapsto (\partial_z f)(\cdot, \cdot, 0).$$

We point out that $A_{\max}|_{\ker(L)} = A_r|_{\{V: \int_{\mathcal{D}} V=0\}}$ is the hydrostatic Stokes operator with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions restricted to the average free functions. Then, (3.4) with (3.5) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} A_{\max}V &= 0, \\ LV &= g. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For $r \in (1, \infty)$ the operator L is surjective.*

Proof. By [Tri10] it follows using periodic extensions and restrictions that there is for $g \in W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2$

$$G_g \in W_{per}^{2,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 \quad \text{such that} \quad \partial_z G_g(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = g \text{ and } \partial_z G_g(\cdot, \cdot, -h) = 0.$$

We set $\varphi := \operatorname{div}_H \overline{G} \in W^{1,r}(G; \mathcal{H})^2$. Now the Bogovskii operator B on G for periodic boundary conditions yields a function $H_\varphi := B\varphi \in W^{2,r}(G; \mathcal{H})^2$ which we extend constantly in z -direction and with a slight abuse of notation still denote by H_φ . Then $H_\varphi \in W^{2,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2$, and it satisfies $\partial_z H_\varphi = 0$, in particular $\partial_z H_\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = 0$ and $\partial_z H_\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, -h) = 0$, and $\overline{H_\varphi} = H_\varphi$, and therefore $\operatorname{div}_H(\overline{H_\varphi}) = \operatorname{div}_H(H_\varphi) = \varphi$. Hence $F := G_g - H_\varphi \in W^{2,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_H(\overline{F}) = \operatorname{div}_H(\overline{G_g}) - \operatorname{div}_H(\overline{H_\varphi}) = \varphi - \varphi = 0$$

and $\partial_z F(\cdot, \cdot, -h) = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{F} := F - \int_{\mathcal{D}} F \in D(A_{\max})$. Finally, we obtain

$$L\tilde{F} = \partial_z F(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = \partial_z G_g(\cdot, \cdot, 0) - \partial_z H_\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = g. \quad \square$$

The operator $A_r|_{\{V: \int_{\mathcal{D}} V=0\}} = A_{\max}|_{\ker(L)}$ is boundedly invertible, which follows from [GGH⁺17]. Further, A_{\max} is closed and L is relatively A_{\max} -bounded by the trace theorem. Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\max} \\ L \end{pmatrix}: D(A_{\max}) \rightarrow \{V \in L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) : \int_{\mathcal{D}} V = 0\} \times W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2$$

is closed. Finally, by Lemma 3.6 the operator $L: D(A_{\max}) \rightarrow W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2$ is surjective. Now [CENN03, Lemma 2.2] implies that for every $g \in W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2$ there exists a unique solution V of (3.6) and that the Neumann map

$$\mathcal{N}: W_{per}^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2 \rightarrow \{V \in L_{\bar{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) : \int_{\mathcal{D}} V = 0\}, \quad g \mapsto V$$

is continuous. For $m > 0$, note that the solution V of (3.6) satisfies in particular $\Delta V = \nabla_H P_s$ and

$$\partial_z V|_{\Gamma_u} = g, \quad \partial_z V|_{\Gamma_b} = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} V = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad V \text{ periodic on } \Gamma_l.$$

Using the boundary and the divergence free conditions it follows by taking the vertical average and applying div_H , compare also [GGH⁺20a], that

$$-\operatorname{div}_H \Delta_H \bar{V} - \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{div}_H g = -\frac{1}{h} \operatorname{div}_H g = \Delta_H P_s,$$

and hence the pressure term $\nabla_H P_s$ is given by

$$\nabla_H P_s = \frac{1}{h} \nabla_H \Delta_H^{-1} \operatorname{div}_H g.$$

Now $g \in W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u, \mathcal{H})^2$ implies $\nabla_H P_s \in W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u, \mathcal{H})^2$. Therefore the second claim follows by regularity theory of the Poisson equations.

For the last claim one uses that

$$H^{s+2,r}(-h, 0; X) = H_{z,N}^{s+2,r}(-h, 0; X) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq 2+s < 1+1/r.$$

To include negative differentiability consider for $1/r + 1/r' = 1$

$$H^{|s+2|,r'}(-h, 0; X) = H_{z,N}^{|s+2|,r'}(-h, 0; X) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |2+s| < 1+1/r' = 2-1/r,$$

and then by duality

$$H^{s+2,r}(-h, 0; X) = H_{z,N}^{s+2,r}(-h, 0; X) \quad \text{for } -2+1/r < 2+s < 0.$$

Moreover,

$$H^{2+m,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 = H^{2+m,r}(-h, 0; L^r(G; \mathcal{H})) \cap L^r(-h, 0; H^{2+m,r}(G; \mathcal{H})),$$

and by the mixed derivative theorem [PS16, Corollary 4.5.10] applied to $(-\Delta_H)^{(2+m)/2}$ and $(-\partial_z^2)^{(2+m)/2}$ with $\theta = 2/(2+m)$

$$H_{z,N}^{2+m,r}(-h, 0; L^r(G; \mathcal{H})) \cap L^r(-h, 0; H_{per}^{2+m,r}(G; \mathcal{H})) \hookrightarrow H_{z,N}^2(-h, 0; H_{per}^{m,r}(G; \mathcal{H})).$$

Hence, since $2+s < 1+1/r < 2$, that is $s < 1/r - 1 < 0$, and $L_z^r \hookrightarrow H_z^{s,r}$

$$H^{2+m,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})^2 \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow$$

$$H_{z,N}^{2+s,r}(-h, 0; H^{m,r}(G; \mathcal{H})) \cap H_{z,N}^{s,r}(-h, 0; H_{per}^{2+m,r}(G; \mathcal{H})) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) = D(A_{r,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}). \quad \square$$

4. LINEAR STOCHASTIC THEORY

4.1. Cylindrical Wiener processes and stochastic convolutions. Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space with a filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_t$. An \mathcal{F} -cylindrical Wiener process (or cylindrical Brownian motion) on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a bounded linear operator

$$W_{\mathcal{H}}: L^2((0, \infty); \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

such that for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $0 \leq t \leq t'$:

- (a) The random variable $W_{\mathcal{H}}(t)f := W_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \otimes f)$ is centered Gaussian and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable;
- (b) $\mathbb{E}[W_{\mathcal{H}}(t')f \cdot W_{\mathcal{H}}(t)g] = t \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$;
- (c) The random variable $W_{\mathcal{H}}(t')f - W_{\mathcal{H}}(t)f$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

From now on we fix the separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and the filtration \mathcal{F} . Let $(e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} , then $\beta_n(t) := W_{\mathcal{H}}(t)e_n$ is a standard \mathcal{F} -Brownian motion, and we have the representation

$$W_{\mathcal{H}}(t): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \quad W_{\mathcal{H}}(t)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(t) \langle f, e_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and $(W_{\mathcal{H}}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ defines a family of linear operators called the cylindrical Wiener process $W_{\mathcal{H}}$.

For the definition of the stochastic integral with respect to $W_{\mathcal{H}}$ we refer to the paper by van Neerven, Veraar and Weis [vNVW12b]. Mostly, the stochastic integral is defined for functions taking values in the space of γ -radonifying operators $\gamma(\mathcal{H}; X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m})$, see [HvNVW17, Chapter 9] for more details. Here, we will use instead $X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$ similar to the L^p -setting in [vNVW12b], because the spaces $\gamma(\mathcal{H}; X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m})$ and $X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$ are isomorphic by [HvNVW17, Theorem 9.3.6],

$$(4.1) \quad \gamma(\mathcal{H}; X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}) \cong X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } p \in (1, \infty), \text{ and } s, m \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will use the latter spaces throughout the paper where both ways to define the stochastic integral are equivalent.

Consider the hydrostatic Stokes equations in $X_{\sigma,r}^{s,m}$ with \mathcal{F} -adapted stochastic forcing H_f with values in $X_{\sigma,r}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H})$

$$(4.2) \quad dZ_f(t) + A_r^{(s,m)} Z_f(t) dt = H_f(t) dW_{\mathcal{H}}(t), \quad Z(0) = Z_0.$$

A *strong solution* is given by the stochastic convolution

$$(4.3) \quad Z_f(t) := e^{-tA_r^{(s,m)}} Z_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_r^{(s,m)}} H_f(s) dW(s).$$

if certain regularity conditions made precise in Proposition 4.1 below hold. By Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.1, we can apply here the theory of stochastic maximal L^r -regularity developed by van Neerven, Veraar and Weis, cf. [vNVW12b, vNVW12a] to define and estimate Z_f given in (4.3). For the case discussed here, we summarize the result as follows applying the results from [AV22a, Section 3]. Note that the time weights in there translate to the ones used here (which follows the notation in [PS16]) by $\kappa/q = 1 - \mu$, where $\kappa \in [0, q/2 - 1)$ that is $\mu = 1 - \kappa/q$ and $\mu \in (1/2 + 1/q, 1]$.

Proposition 4.1 (Stochastic maximal regularity for the hydrostatic Stokes equations). *Let $0 < T < \infty$, $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$, $r, q \geq 2$ with $r > 2$ if $q \neq 2$, and $\mu \in (1/2 + 1/q, 1]$ with $\mu = 1$ if $p = q = 2$. Then for any strongly \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable*

$$Z_0: \Omega \rightarrow (X_{r,\sigma}^{s,m}, D((A_r^{(s,m)}))_{\mu-1/q,q}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_f \in L^q(\Omega; L_\mu^q(0, T; D((A_{r,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})^{1/2})))$$

being \mathcal{F} -adapted, the stochastic convolution (4.3) is well-defined, Z_f given by (4.3) is \mathcal{F} -adapted and defines the unique solution to (4.2) satisfying pathwise

$$Z_f \in H_\mu^{\theta,q}(0, T; D((A_r^{(s,m)})^{1-\theta})) \cap C([0, T]; (X_{r,\sigma}^{s,m}, D(A_r^{(s,m)}))_{\mu-1/q,q}) \quad \text{for any } \theta \in [0, 1/2).$$

Note that here H_f is defined in the general \mathcal{H} -valued setting, where \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space and we have used the identification (4.1), while all other functions have values in finite dimensional spaces.

Remark 4.2 (L^q -estimates in the probability space). Assuming in Proposition 4.1 additionally $Z_0 \in L^q(\Omega; (X_{r,\sigma}^{s,m}, D((A_r^{(s,m)}))_{\mu-1/q,q}))$, it follows that in Proposition 4.1 even

$$Z_f \in L^q(\Omega; H_\mu^{\theta,q}(0, T; D(A_r^{1/2-\theta})) \cap C([0, T]; (X_{r,\sigma}^{s,m}, D((A_r^{(s,m)}))_{\mu-1/q,q}))), \quad \theta \in [0, 1/2),$$

and the corresponding stochastic maximal L^q -regularity estimate holds, see [AV22a, Proposition 3.10]. However, the pathwise regularity result of Proposition 4.1 is sufficient for our purpose to construct pathwise solution.

4.2. Rewriting the stochastic boundary condition as a forcing term. To give a precise definition of a solution to (2.1) with boundary conditions (2.3) - (2.5), we assume that there are functions

$$(4.4) \quad g: \Gamma_u \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad h_b: \Gamma_u \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\Gamma_u} h_b(\cdot) g = 0,$$

and a cylindrical Wiener process W_b defined on \mathcal{H} with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F} such that the noise term can be written given an orthonormal basis (e_n) of \mathcal{H} as

$$\omega(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle g, e_n \rangle W_b(t) e_n = W_b(t) g.$$

Examples of such ω have been discussed also in [SV11, Example 4.6]. For $r \geq 2$ and $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$h_b(t) g \in W_{per}^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T).$$

Considering the equation

$$dZ_b(t) + A_r^{(s,m)} Z_b(t) dt = 0, \quad Z_b(0) = 0,$$

subject to (2.3), (2.4), and the inhomogeneous stochastic boundary conditions

$$\partial_z Z_b = h_b \partial_t \omega \text{ on } \Gamma_u \times (0, T),$$

then we call Z_b a *strong pathwise solution*, if

$$(4.5) \quad Z_b(t) := A_r^{(s,m)} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_r^{(s,m)}} [\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] dW_b(t),$$

where \mathcal{N} denotes the hydrostatic Neumann operator defined in Subsection 3.5 and the stochastic integral is defined as the one in (4.3), compare also [DPZ96, Section 13] for an analogous definition. Here we identify similarly to (4.1)

$$\gamma(\mathcal{H}; W_{per}^{s,r}(\Gamma_u)) \cong W_{per}^{s,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } r \in (1, \infty), \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 4.3 (Pathwise regularity of the boundary forcing). *Let $0 < T < \infty$, $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$, $r, q \geq 2$ with $r > 2$ if $q \neq 2$, and $\mu \in (1/2 + 1/q, 1]$ with $\mu = 1$ if $p = q = 2$, and*

$$h_b(t)g \in L_\mu^q(0, T; W^{1-1/r+m,r}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2),$$

then pathwise

$$Z_b \in L_\mu^q(0, T; D((A_r^{(s,m)})^{1/2})) \quad \text{for } m \geq 0 \text{ and } s \in [-3/2, 1/r - 1]$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, where the restriction on s enters, one has

$$[\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] \in L_\mu^q(0, T; H_{per,H}^{2,r}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H}) \cap L_{\overline{\sigma}}^r(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})) \subset L_\mu^q(0, T; D(A_{r,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)})).$$

Hence,

$$A_r^{(s,m)} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_r} [\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] dW_b(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_r} A_{r,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)} [\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] dW_b(t).$$

By the stochastic maximal regularity – similarly to the situation in Proposition 4.1 – the spatial regularity increases by an order of $1/2$, and the claim follows. \square

5. LOCAL PATHWISE WELL-POSEDNESS

5.1. Notion of solution and main result. Now we are in the position to adapt the approach by Da Prato and Zabczyk, compare [DPZ96, Section 13], to define a notion of solution to the stochastic primitive equations with *inhomogeneous* stochastic boundary conditions. To this end, suppose that V solves the primitive equations with inhomogeneous stochastic boundary conditions (2.1), and Z_b be given by (4.5), that is,

$$Z_b(t) := A_r^{(s,m)} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_r^{(s,m)}} [\mathcal{N}h_b(t)g] dW_b(t).$$

Then we set

$$(5.1) \quad V_b := V - Z_b.$$

Applying the hydrostatic Helmholtz projection \mathbb{P} , this solves the stochastic differential equation

$$(5.2) \quad dV_b + AV_b dt = F(V_b + Z) dt + H_f dW, \quad V_b(0) = V_0.$$

which is subject to the now *homogeneous* boundary conditions

$$V_b \text{ are periodic on } \Gamma_l \times (0, T), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z V_b = 0 \text{ on } (\Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_b) \times (0, T).$$

Here we defined the bilinear map $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ by

$$(5.3) \quad F(v, v') := \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla_H v' + w(v) \partial_z v').$$

As discussed in detail by Da Prato and Zabczyk in [DPZ96, Section 13], if the solution V were sufficiently regular, then it would constitute a solution to the actual inhomogeneous problem. This motivates the following notion of solution: We call $V = V_b + Z_b$ a *pathwise z -weak solution* to (2.1) on $(0, T)$ for

$T > 0$ subject to the inhomogeneous stochastic boundary condition (2.4)–(2.5) with initial condition V_0 if Z_b is given by (4.5), $V_0: \Omega \rightarrow X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}$,

$$V_b: \Omega \rightarrow L_\mu^q(0, T; D(A_p^{(-1)})) \cap C([0, T]; X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}),$$

V_b is adapted, and these solves pathwise the equation (5.2). Note that then the surface pressure P_s can be recovered from V_b .

Given Z_f as in (4.3) which solves

$$dZ_f(t) + AZ_f(t) dt = H_f(t) dW(t), \quad Z(0) = Z_0,$$

one considers the difference

$$v := V_b - Z_f = V - Z \quad \text{with} \quad Z := Z_f + Z_b,$$

where the stochastic term cancels out and which therefore solves the *deterministic* equation

$$(5.4) \quad \partial_t v + Av = F(v + Z, v + Z), \quad v(0) = v_0, \quad \text{where } v_0 = V_0 - Z_0.$$

This will be solved in the following framework. Recall from Section 3 that for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m}(\mathcal{H}) &= \{v \in H_N^{s,p}(-h, 0; H_{per}^{m,p}(G; \mathcal{H})): \mathbb{P}v = v\}, \\ X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) &= \{v \in H_N^{-1,p}(-h, 0; L_{per}^p(G; \mathcal{H})): \mathbb{P}v = v\}, \\ A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)} &= \mathbb{P}\Delta_{z,N} + \mathbb{P}\Delta_{H,N} \quad \text{with } D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(s,m)}) = X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s+2,m}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{s,m+2}(\mathcal{H}), \\ A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(-1)} &= \mathbb{P}\Delta_{z,N} + \mathbb{P}\Delta_{H,N} \quad \text{with } D(A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(-1)}) = X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{1,0}(\mathcal{H}) \cap X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1,2}(\mathcal{H}), \end{aligned}$$

where we omit \mathcal{H} in the notation when $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$ and we identify the \mathcal{H} -valued spaces with the γ -radonifying operators, cf. (4.1). We are now in the position to formulate the main result of this article.

Theorem 5.1 (Local z -weak pathwise well-posedness). *Let $0 < T < \infty$, $p \in (3, 4]$, $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $\mu := 5/8 + 3/4p + 3\varepsilon/8$ and $q > 2$ such that $\mu \in (1/2 + 1/q, 1]$ and $\sigma = (1 + \mu)/2 \in (1/2 + 1/2q, 1]$. Let be given a strongly \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable*

$$Z_0: \Omega \rightarrow (X_{p,\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}, D(A_p^{(-1)}))_{\sigma-1/2q, 2q} \quad \text{and} \quad H_f \in L^{2q}(\Omega; L_\sigma^{2q}(0, T; D((A_{p,\mathcal{H}}^{(-1)})^{1/2})))$$

being \mathcal{F} -adapted,

$$h_b(t)g \in L_\sigma^{2q}(0, T; W^{1+1/p+\varepsilon,p}(\Gamma_u; \mathcal{H})^2), \quad \text{and} \quad v_0 \in (X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}, D(A_p^{(-1)}))_{\mu-1/q, q}.$$

Then there exists a unique, local in time z -weak pathwise solution to the stochastic primitive equations (2.1) subject to (2.3)–(2.5)

$$V = v + Z_f + Z_b.$$

Here, Z_f is given by (4.3) and Z_b by (4.5) and pathwise for $s = 1/2 - 1/p - \varepsilon/2$, and $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_f &\in H_\sigma^{\theta, 2q}(0, T; D((A_p^{-1})^{1-\theta})) \cap C([0, T]; (X_{p,\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}, D(A_p^{(-1)}))_{\sigma-1/2q, 2q}), \\ Z_b &\in H_\sigma^{\theta, q}(0, T; D((A_p^{(s, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2-\theta})) \cap C([0, T]; (X_{r,\bar{\sigma}}^{s,m}, D(A_p^{(s, 2/p+\varepsilon)}))_{\sigma-1/2q, 2q}), \end{aligned}$$

and there is a $T^* \in (0, T]$ such that v is a deterministic function with

$$v \in H_\mu^{1,q}(0, T^*; X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}) \cap L_\mu^q(0, T^*; D(A_p^{(-1)})),$$

which depends continuously on v_0 .

Remark 5.2. All terms in the equation (1.12), reformulated in (5.4) below, can be made sense of within these regularity classes as the estimates in Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 show for the non-linear term, and the linear term is given via the duality pairing

$$\langle \partial_z v, \partial_z \phi \rangle + \langle \Delta_H v, \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathbb{P}H_z^{1,p'} L_{xy}^{p'} \cap \mathbb{P}H_z^{-1,p'} H_{xy}^{2,p'}.$$

The restriction $p \in (3, 4]$ is a technical assumption due to the non-linear estimates, and the regularity of Z_f and Z_b . On the one hand certain embeddings which require $p > 3$ are employed, cf. (5.7) below. On the other hand, one has to assure that the embedding of the range of the Neumann map into a

certain operator domain, see Proposition 3.5, remains valid, where the larger p the less derivatives are available, cf. (5.6) below. Balancing these two requirements leads us here to the range $p \in (3, 4]$.

Remark 5.3 (Blow up criteria). For the deterministic part v of the pathwise solution solving (5.4) below, there are blow-up criteria of Serrin type in maximal regularity spaces: Let T^{\max} be the maximal existence time of v , then by [PSW18, Theorem 2.4]

- (1) $v \in L^q(0, T'; D((A^{-1})^\mu))$ for all $T' < T^{\max}$;
- (2) if $T^{\max} < \infty$, then $v \notin L^q(0, T^{\max}; D((A_p^{(-1)})^\mu))$,

where μ is the time-weight from Theorem 5.1. Blow-up criteria in the stochastic – not only pathwise setting – are discussed in [AV22a, Section 4].

5.2. Non-linear estimates. Recall that, similarly to [HK16, Section 5], we defined in (5.3) the bilinear map $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ by

$$F(v, v') := \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla_H v' + w(v) \partial_z v'),$$

and set $F(v) := F(v, v)$. Using the product rule and that $(v, w(v))$ is divergence free one rewrites $F(v, v') = \mathbb{P} \partial_z(wv') + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}_H(v \otimes v')$, and hence

$$F(v, v') = F_z(v, v') + F_H(v, v')$$

with

$$F_z(v, v') := \mathbb{P}(\partial_z(wv')) \quad \text{and} \quad F_H(v, v') = \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}_H(v \otimes v').$$

Moreover, we set for brevity

$$X_\beta := D((A_p^{(-1)})^\beta) \quad \text{for } \beta \geq 0.$$

Lemma 5.4 (Estimate on the non-linearity part I). *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on h, p such that for $\beta_z = 1/2 + 3/4p$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_z(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{-1}} &\leq C \|v\|_{X_{\beta_z}} \|v'\|_{X_{\beta_z}}, \\ \|F_z(v, Z')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{-1}} &\leq C \|v\|_{X_{\beta_z}} \|Z'\|_{H_z^{1/2p, p} H_{xy}^{1/p, p}}, \\ \|F_z(Z, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{-1}} &\leq C \|Z\|_{H_z^{-1+1/2p, p} H_{xy}^{1+1/p, p}} \|v'\|_{X_{\beta_z}}, \\ \|F_z(Z, Z')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{-1}} &\leq C \|Z\|_{H_z^{-1+1/2p, p} H_{xy}^{1+1/p, p}} \|Z'\|_{H_z^{1/2p, p} H_{xy}^{1/p, p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Due to the boundedness of \mathbb{P} , the definition of $H_z^{-1, p} L_{xy}^p$ which implies that $\partial_z: L_z^p L_{xy}^p \rightarrow H_z^{-1, p} L_{xy}^p$ is a bounded operator, then by Hölder's inequality where $q_1, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$ and $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ are such that $1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/p$ and $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p$ we estimate with some $C > 0$

$$\|F_z(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma}, p}^{-1}} \leq C \|\partial_z(wv')\|_{H_z^{-1, p} L_{xy}^p} \leq C \|w(v)v'\|_{L_z^p L_{xy}^p} \leq C \|w(v)\|_{L_z^{p_1} L_{xy}^{q_1}} \|v'\|_{L_z^{p_2} L_{xy}^{q_2}}.$$

Next, we employ the notations $\int_0^z \phi$ for the function $(x, y, z) \mapsto \int_0^z \phi(x, y, \xi) d\xi$ and $\phi_0 := (\int_0^z \phi) - \bar{\phi}$ which satisfies $\partial_z \phi_0 = \phi$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus with respect to the z -coordinate. Then by duality and using that $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \subset L_z^{p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}$ is dense, where p'_1 and q'_1 are such that $1/p_1 + 1/p'_1 = 1$

and $1/q_1 + 1/q'_1 = 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w(v)\|_{L_z^{p_1} L_{xy}^{q_1}} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}} w(v) \cdot \phi \right| : \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{L_z^{p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_G \int_{-h}^0 w(v) \cdot \partial_z \phi_0 \right| : \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D}), \|\partial_z \phi_0\|_{L_z^{p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_G \left(\int_{-h}^0 \operatorname{div}_H v \cdot \phi_0 + [w(v) \cdot \phi_0]_{-h}^0 \right) \right| : \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D}), \|\partial_z \phi_0\|_{L_z^{p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{div}_H v \cdot \psi \right| : \psi \in H_z^{1,p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1} \text{ with } \|\psi\|_{H_z^{1,p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}} \leq 1 + C_P \right\} \\
&= (1 + C_P)^{-1} \|\operatorname{div}_H v\|_{H_z^{-1,p_1} L_{xy}^{q_1}} \\
&\leq (1 + C_P)^{-1} \|v\|_{H_z^{-1,p_1} H_{xy}^{1,q_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used an integration by parts together with $\partial_z w(v) = -\operatorname{div}_H v$ and the fact that the boundary term vanishes due to the boundary conditions $w(v)(\cdot, \cdot, -h) = w(v)(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = 0$. Moreover it has been used that $\phi_0 \in H_z^{1,p'_1} L_{xy}^{q'_1}$ where $\phi_0(\cdot, \cdot, -h) = \phi_0(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = 0$ and therefore ϕ_0 satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant $C_P > 0$. In particular for $p_1 = p_2 = 2p$ and $q_1 = q_2 = 2p$, one obtains by the embeddings

$$H_z^{-1+1/2p,p} \hookrightarrow H_z^{-1,2p}, \quad H_z^{1/2p,p} \hookrightarrow L_z^{2p}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_{xy}^{1/p,p} \hookrightarrow L_{xy}^{2p},$$

where the respective Sobolev indices agree, that

$$\|F_z(v, v')\|_{X_{\overline{\sigma},p}^{-1}} \leq C \|v\|_{H_z^{-1+1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1+1/p,p}} \|v'\|_{H_z^{1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1/p,p}}.$$

Next, using the mapping properties of Δ_z and Δ_H (and that these operators commute with \mathbb{P}), one can express the anisotropic Sobolev norms by the following graph norms

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{H_z^{-1+1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1+1/p,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/4p} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2+1/2p} v \right\|_{X_{\overline{\sigma},p}^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \\
\|v'\|_{H_z^{1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1/2p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2+1/4p} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2p} v \right\|_{X_{\overline{\sigma},p}^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the mixed derivative theorem, see e.g. [PS16, Corollary 4.5.10], which applies to Δ_z and Δ_H , one gets that

$$D \left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\beta_z} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{\beta_z} \right) \hookrightarrow D \left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/4p} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2+1/2p} \right)$$

if for some $\beta_z > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ one has $\theta\beta_z = 1/4p$ and $(1 - \theta)\beta_z = 1/2 + 1/2p$. For instance this holds for

$$\beta_z = 1/2 + 3/4p \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = (1/4p)/\beta_z.$$

Note that in $H_z^{-1,p} L_{xy}^p$ for $\beta_z \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad D \left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\beta_z} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{\beta_z} \right) &= H_z^{-1+2\beta_z,p} L_{xy}^p \cap H_z^{-1,p} H_{xy}^{2\beta_z,p} \\
&= D \left((\Delta_z + \Delta_H)^{\beta_z} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

since the domains of the fractional powers are given by complex interpolation and by [EPS03, Lemma 9.5] or [GGS93, Theorem 3.1]. Similarly to the above

$$D \left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\beta_z} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{\beta_z} \right) \hookrightarrow D \left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2+1/4p} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2p} \right)$$

if for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ one has $(1 - \theta)\beta_z = 1/2 + 1/4p$ and $\theta\beta_z = 1/2p$ which holds for

$$\beta_z = 1/2 + 3/4p \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = (1/2p)/\beta_z.$$

Hence using again (5.5), we obtain the first inequality

$$\|F_z(v, v')\|_{X_{\overline{\sigma},p}^{-1}} \leq C \|v\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{1/2+3/4p})} \|v'\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{1/2+3/4p})}.$$

Replacing v' by Z' and/or v by Z , the other estimates follow analogously. \square

Lemma 5.5 (Estimate on the non-linearity part II). *Let $p \in (2, \infty)$, $s \in [-1 + 1/p, 0]$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on h, p such that for $\beta_{H,1} = 1/2 + |s|/2 + 1/p + \varepsilon/2$ and $\beta_{H,2} = 1 - |s|/2$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_H(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|v\|_{X_{\beta_{H,1}}} \|v'\|_{X_{\beta_{H,2}}} + \|v'\|_{X_{\beta_{H,1}}} \|v\|_{X_{\beta_{H,2}}}), \\ \|F_H(v, Z')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|v\|_{X_{\beta_{H,1}}} \|Z'\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} + \|Z'\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|v\|_{X_{\beta_{H,2}}}), \\ \|F_H(Z, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|v'\|_{X_{\beta_{H,1}}} \|Z\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} + \|Z\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|v'\|_{X_{\beta_{H,2}}}), \\ \|F_H(Z, Z')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|Z'\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|Z\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} + \|Z\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|Z'\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $F_H(v, v') = \mathbb{P}(v \nabla_H v' + v' \nabla_H v)$. By the embedding

$$\mathbb{P} H_z^{s,r} L_{xy}^p \hookrightarrow X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1} \quad \text{for } s \geq -1 + 1/r - 1/p \quad \text{and} \quad r \in (1, p],$$

and the boundedness of \mathbb{P} , one obtains for some $C > 0$ that

$$\|F_H(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} \leq C \|F_H(v, v')\|_{H_z^{s,r} L_{xy}^p}.$$

By the mixed derivative theorem

$$D(A_p^{(-1)}) \hookrightarrow D((1 - \Delta_z)^{1/2} (1 - \Delta_H)^{1/2}) = L_z^p H_{xy}^{1,p}.$$

For $p \geq 2$ therefore $\mathbb{P}(v \nabla_H v' + v' \nabla_H v)$ is a regular distribution. Hence the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of $H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}$ and $H_z^{s,r} L_{xy}^p$ for $s \leq 0$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$, is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_H(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}} \phi \cdot \mathbb{P}(v \nabla_H v' + v' \nabla_H v) \right| : \phi \in \mathbb{P} H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}, \|\phi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int \mathbb{P} \phi \cdot (v \nabla_H v' + v' \nabla_H v) \right| : \phi \in \mathbb{P} H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}, \|\phi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int (\phi v) \cdot \nabla_H v' + (\phi v') \cdot \nabla_H v \right| : \phi \in \mathbb{P} H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}, \|\phi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int (-\Delta_z + 1)^{-s/2} (\phi v) \cdot (-\Delta_z + 1)^{s/2} \nabla_H v' \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (-\Delta_z + 1)^{-s/2} (\phi v') \cdot (-\Delta_z + 1)^{s/2} \nabla_H v \right| : \phi \in \mathbb{P} H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}, \|\phi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \|\varphi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} : \phi \in \mathbb{P} H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}, \|\phi\|_{H_z^{-s,r'} L_{xy}^{p'}} \leq 1 \} \\ &\quad \cdot (\|v\|_{H_z^{-s,r_1} L_{xy}^{p_1}} \|\nabla_H v'\|_{H_z^{s,r_2} L_{xy}^{p_2}} + \|v'\|_{H_z^{-s,r_1} L_{xy}^{p_1}} \|\nabla_H v\|_{H_z^{s,r_2} L_{xy}^{p_2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $1/r' + 1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = 1$. Here we have used that that by duality for f and g sufficiently regular

$$\int f \cdot g = \int (-\Delta_z + 1)^{s/2} (-\Delta_z + 1)^{-s/2} f \cdot g = \int (-\Delta_z + 1)^{-s/2} f \cdot (-\Delta_z + 1)^{s/2} g.$$

Taking $r = p/2$ for $p > 2$ and $r_1 = r_2 = p$, $p_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = p$, we obtain by the Sobolev embedding $H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p} \hookrightarrow L_{xy}^\infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_H(v, v')\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|v\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} L_{xy}^\infty} \|\nabla_H v'\|_{H_z^{s,p} L_{xy}^p} + \|v'\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} L_{xy}^\infty} \|\nabla_H v\|_{H_z^{s,p} L_{xy}^p}) \\ &\leq C \left(\|v\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|v'\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} + \|v'\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} \|v\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we write $|s| = -s$ to clarify the signs. As before, one can express then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H_z^{-s,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z^{(-1)} + 1)^{1/2+|s|/2} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/p+\varepsilon/2} v \right\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}} \quad \text{and,} \\ \|v\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2-|s|/2} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2} v \right\|_{X_{\bar{\sigma},p}^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the mixed derivative theorem

$$D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\beta_{H,1}} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{\beta_{H,1}}\right) \hookrightarrow D\left((-\Delta_z^{(-1)} + 1)^{1/2+|s|/2}(-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/p+\varepsilon/2}\right)$$

if for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ one has $\theta\beta_{H,1} = 1/2 + |s|/2$ and $(1-\theta)\beta_{H,1} = 1/p + \varepsilon/2$, which holds for

$$\beta_{H,1} = 1/2 + |s|/2 + 1/p + \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = (1/2 + |s|/2)/\beta_{H,1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\beta_{H,2}} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{\beta_{H,2}}\right) \hookrightarrow (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2-|s|/2}(-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2}$$

if for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ one has $\theta\beta_{H,2} = 1/2 - |s|/2$ and $(1-\theta)\beta_{H,2} = 1/2$, which holds for

$$\beta_{H,2} = 1 - |s|/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = (1/2 - |s|)/\beta_{H,2}.$$

Hence, we can estimate

$$\|F_H(v, v')\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1}} \leq C(\|v\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{\beta_{H,1}})} \|v'\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{\beta_{H,2}})} + \|v'\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{\beta_{H,1}})} \|v\|_{D((A_p^{(-1)})^{\beta_{H,2}})}).$$

This proves the first estimate and combining it with the previous estimates, the other estimates follow analogously. \square

5.3. Local well-posedness.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Here, we apply the result from [PW17] to solve (5.4). Due to Proposition 3.4 the hydrostatic Stokes operator has a bounded H^∞ -calculus and hence to apply result from [PW17], we have only to add suitable estimates on the non-linearity. By the bilinearity of $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ one has

$$F(Z + v, Z + v) - F(Z + v', Z + v') = F(v - v', v) + F(v', v - v') + F(Z, v - v') + F(v - v', Z).$$

To control the linear terms $F(Z, v - v') + F(v - v', Z)$ and the force term $F(Z, Z)$, we can use first the estimates from Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. Then the regularity results from Proposition 4.3 allow us to assure the necessary regularity of Z . By shifting the scales also in the horizontal direction,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z\|_{H_z^{|s|,p} H_{xy}^{2/p+\varepsilon,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z^{(-1)} + 1)^{1/2} v \right\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1+|s|,2/p+\varepsilon}} \quad \text{and,} \\ \|Z\|_{H_z^{s,p} H_{xy}^{1,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2-|s|} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2-1/p-\varepsilon/2} \right\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1+|s|,2/p+\varepsilon}}, \\ \|Z\|_{H_z^{-1+1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1+1/p,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{\max\{1/4p-|s|/2,0\}} (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2-1/2p-\varepsilon/2} \right\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1+|s|,2/p+\varepsilon}}, \\ \|Z'\|_{H_z^{1/2p,p} H_{xy}^{1/p,p}} &= \left\| (-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2+1/4p-|s|/2} \right\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1+|s|,2/p+\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the mixed derivative theorem

$$D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2}\right) \hookrightarrow D\left((-\Delta_z^{(-1)} + 1)^{1/2-|s|/2}(-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2-1/p-\varepsilon/2}\right)$$

if for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ one has $\theta/2 = 1/2 - |s|$ and $(1-\theta)/2 = 1/2 - 1/p - \varepsilon/2$, which holds for

$$\theta/2 = 1/2 - |s| \quad \text{and} \quad (1-\theta)/2 = 1/2 - 1/p - \varepsilon/2$$

if we chose $|s| = 1/2 - 1/p - \varepsilon/2$. Moreover, we require by Proposition 4.3 that

$$(5.6) \quad |s| = 1/2 - 1/p - \varepsilon/2 < 1/p \quad \text{that is} \quad p \leq 4,$$

where ε has been chosen sufficiently small. Moreover, with this choice

$$D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2}\right) \hookrightarrow D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{\max\{1/4p-|s|/2,0\}}(-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2-1/2p-\varepsilon/2}\right),$$

then

$$(5.7) \quad D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2} \cap (-\Delta_H + 1)^{1/2}\right) \hookrightarrow D\left((-\Delta_z + 1)^{1/2+1/4p-|s|/2}\right) \quad \text{for } p > 3.$$

Hence, we can estimate using Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 to obtain with $\beta = \max\{\beta_z, \beta_{H,1}, \beta_{H,2}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(Z + v, Z + v) - F(Z + v', Z + v')\|_{X_{\sigma,p}^{-1}} &\leq C(\|v\|_{X_\beta} + \|v'\|_{X_\beta}) \|v - v'\|_{X_\beta} \\ &\quad + C \|Z\|_{D((A_p^{(-1+|s|, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2})} \|v - v'\|_{X_\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^2 C_j (\|v\|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j} + \|v'\|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j}) \|v - v'\|_{X_\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_1 = 1$ while $\rho_2 = 0$, and $C_1 = C$ and $C_2 = C \|Z\|_{D((A_p^{(-1+|s|, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2})}$. In proof of the result from [PW17], one just has to shorten the estimate on the terms involving Z to become

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^T \|Z\|_{D((A_p^{(-1+|s|, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2})} \|v - v'\|_{X_\beta} t^{(1-\mu)q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|Z\|_{L_{\sigma'}^{2q}(0,T;D((A_p^{(-1+|s|, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2}))} \|v - v'\|_{L_\sigma^{2q}(0,T;X_\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = \sigma' = (1 + \mu)/2$. Then one proceeds as there by the estimate

$$\|v - v'\|_{L_{\sigma'}^{2q}(0,T;X_\beta)} \leq C \|v - v'\|_{H_\mu^{1-\beta,q}(0,T;X_\beta)}$$

while keeping the term $\|Z\|_{L_{\sigma'}^{2q}(0,T;D((A_p^{(-1+|s|, 2/p+\varepsilon)})^{1/2}))}$. Note that with the choice of s and p one has $\beta_z < \beta = \beta_{H,1} = \beta_{H,2}$. Hence the critical weight is

$$\mu_c = 1/q + 3\beta/2 - 1/2 \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = 3/4 + 1/2p + \varepsilon/4$$

as desired. \square

Acknowledgements. Matthias Hieber gratefully acknowledges the support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Research Unit 5528. Amru Hussein has been generously supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – project number 508634462 and by MathApp – Mathematics Applied to Real-World Problems – part of the Research Initiative of the Federal State of Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany. Martin Saal gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the research fellowship SA 3887/1-1.

REFERENCES

- [AL23] A. Agresti and E. Luongo, *Global well-posedness and interior regularity of 2D Navier-Stokes equations with stochastic boundary conditions*, 2023.
- [AV22a] Antonio Agresti and Mark Veraar, *Nonlinear parabolic stochastic evolution equations in critical spaces part i. stochastic maximal regularity and local existence*, *Nonlinearity* **35** (2022), no. 8, 4100.
- [AV22b] ———, *Nonlinear parabolic stochastic evolution equations in critical spaces part ii.*, *J. Evol. Equ.* **22** (2022), no. 56.
- [Ama93] H. Amann, *Nonhomogeneous linear and quasilinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems*, *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis*, 1993, pp. 9–126.
- [Ama19] ———, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Vol. II*, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2019.
- [ABHN11] W. Arendt, Ch. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [AB02] W. Arendt and S. Bu, *The operator-valued marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and maximal regularity*, *Math. Z.* **240** (2002), no. 2, 311–343.
- [BE20] T. Binz and K.-J. Engel, *First order evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions*, *Phil. Trans. A* (2020).
- [BS01] D. Bresch and J. Simon, *On the effect of friction on wind driven shallow lakes*, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **3** (2001), 231–258.
- [BS21] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Jakub Slavík, *Well-posedness of the 3d stochastic primitive equations with multiplicative and transport noise*, *Journal of Differential Equations* **296** (2021), 617–676.
- [CT07] Ch. Cao and E. Titi, *Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics*, *Annals of Mathematics* **166** (2007), 245–267.
- [CENN03] V. Casarino, K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel, and G. Nickel, *A semigroup approach to boundary feedback systems*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **47** (2003), 289–306.
- [CL01] P. Cessi and S. Louazel, *Decadal oceanic response to stochastic wind forcing*, *J. Physical Oceanography* **34** (2001), 3020–3029.
- [DPZ96] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, vol. 229, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.

- [DSR09] A. Dalibard and L. Saint-Raymond, *Resonant wind-driven oceanic motions*, C.R. Acad. Sci Paris **347** (2009), 451–456.
- [DGHT11] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, and R. Temam, *Local martingale and pathwise solutions for an abstract fluids model*, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **240** (2011), 1123–1144.
- [DGHTZ12] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam, and M. Ziane, *Global existence and regularity for the 3d stochastic primitive equations of the ocean and atmosphere with multiplicative white noise*, Nonlinearity **25** (2012), no. 7, 2093–2118.
- [DG00] B. Desjardin and E. Grenier, *On the homogeneous model of wind-driven ocean circulation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **60** (2000), 43–60.
- [DZ17] Z. Dong and R. Zhang, *Markov selection and w -strong feller for 3d stochastic primitive equations*, Sci. China Math. **60** (2017), 1873–1900.
- [EPS03] J. Escher, J. Prüss, and G. Simonett, *Analytic solutions for a Stefan problem with Gibbs-Thomson correction*, J. Math. Phys. **563** (2003), 1–52.
- [GGS93] Mariko Giga, Yoshikazu Giga, and Hermann Sohr, *L_p estimates for the stokes system*, Functional analysis and related topics, 1991, 1993, pp. 55–67.
- [GGH⁺17] Y. Giga, M. Gries, M. Hieber, A. Hussein, and T. Kashiwabara, *Bounded H^∞ -calculus for the hydrostatic stokes operator on L^p -spaces and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 9, 3865–3876.
- [GGH⁺20a] ———, *Analyticity of solutions of primitive equations*, Math. Nachr. **293** (2020), no. 2, 284–304.
- [GGH⁺20b] ———, *The hydrostatic stokes operator and well-posedness of the primitive equations on spaces of bounded functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), no. 108561, 3865–3876.
- [GBP15] Andrea Cappelletti Giuseppe Buffoni and Paola Picco, *On the ekman equations for ocean currents driven by a stochastic wind*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications **33** (2015), no. 2, 356–382.
- [GHKVZ14] N. Glatt-Holtz, I. Kukavica, V. Vicol, and M. Ziane, *Existence and regularity of invariant measures for the three dimensional stochastic primitive equations*, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014).
- [GHT11] N. Glatt-Holtz and R. Temam, *Pathwise solutions of the 2-d stochastic primitive equations*, Appl. Math. Optim. **63** (2011), no. 3, 401–433.
- [Gre87] G. Greiner, *Perturbing the boundary conditions of a generator*, Houston J. Math **13** (1987), 213–229.
- [GM97] E. Grenier and N. Masmoudi, *Ekman layers of rotating fluids: the case of well-prepared initial data*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equ. **22** (1997), 953–975.
- [GH09] B. Guo and D. Huang, *3D stochastic primitive equations of the large-scale ocean: global well-posedness and attractors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **286** (2009), 697–723.
- [HHK16] M. Hieber, A. Hussein, and T. Kashiwabara, *Global strong L^p well-posedness of the 3d primitive equations with heat and salinity diffusion*, J. Differ. Equ. **261** (2016), no. 12, 6950–6981.
- [HK16] M. Hieber and T. Kashiwabara, *Global strong well-posedness of the three dimensional primitive equations in L^p -spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **221** (2016), no. 3, 1077–1115.
- [HH20] Matthias Hieber and Amru Hussein, *An approach to the primitive equations for oceanic and atmospheric dynamics by evolution equations*, Fluids under pressure, 2020, pp. 1–109.
- [Hus20] A. Hussein, *Partial and full hyper-viscosity for navier-stokes and primitive equations*, J. Differ. Equ. **269** (2020), no. 4, 3003–3030.
- [HvNVW17] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis, *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. II*, Springer, [Cham], 2017.
- [Ju17] N. Ju, *On H^2 solutions and z -weak solutions of the 3D primitive equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **66** (2017), no. 3, 973–996.
- [KW01] N. Kalton and L. Weis, *The H^∞ -calculus and sums of closed operators*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 319–345.
- [KZ07] I. Kukavica and M. Ziane, *On the regularity of the primitive equations of the ocean*, Nonlinearity **20** (2007), 2739–2753.
- [LT16] J. Li and E. Titi, *Recent advances concerning certain class of geophysical flows*, Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids, 2016.
- [LTW92a] J. L. Lions, R. Temam, and Sh. H. Wang, *New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications*, Nonlinearity **5** (1992), 237–288.
- [LTW92b] ———, *On the equations of the large-scale ocean*, Nonlinearity **5** (1992), 1007–1053.
- [LTW93] ———, *Models for the coupled atmosphere and ocean. (CAO I,II)*, Comput. Mech. Adv. **1** (1993), 3–119.
- [Nau12] T. Nau, *L^p -theory of cylindrical boundary value problems. an operator-valued fourier multiplier and functional calculus approach.*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2012.
- [PTZ08] M. Petcu, R. Temam, and M. Ziane, *Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics*, Handbook of numerical analysis, 2008.
- [PS16] J. Prüss and G. Simonett, *Moving interfaces and quasilinear parabolic evolution equations*, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [PSW18] J. Prüss, G. Simonett, and M. Wilke, *Critical spaces for quasilinear parabolic evolution equations and applications*, J. Differ. Equ. **264** (2018), 2028–2074.
- [PW17] J. Prüss and M. Wilke, *Addendum to the paper "On quasilinear parabolic evolution equations in weighted L^p -spaces II"*, J. Evol. Eq. **7** (2017), no. 4, 1381–1388.

- [SV11] Roland Schnaubelt and Mark Veraar, *Stochastic equations with boundary noise*, Parabolic problems: The herbert amann festschrift, 2011, pp. 609–629.
- [Ste93] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [TZ96] R. Temam and M. Ziane, *Navier-stokes equations in thin domains with various boundary conditions*, Adv. Diff. Equ. **1** (1996), 499–546.
- [Tri10] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces (reprint of 1983 edition)*, Springer AG, Basel, 2010.
- [vNVW12a] Jan van Neerven, Mark Veraar, and Lutz Weis, *Maximal L^p -regularity for stochastic evolution equations*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **44** (2012), no. 3, 1372–1414.
- [vNVW12b] ———, *Stochastic maximal l^p -regularity*, Ann. Probab. **40** (2012), 788–812.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TU DARMSTADT, SCHLOSSGARTENSTR. 7, 64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

Email address: `binz@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

Email address: `hieber@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

Email address: `msaal@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TU KAISERSLAUTERN, PAUL-EHRICH-STRASSE 31, 67663 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY

Email address: `hussein@mathematik.uni-kl.de`