arXiv:2009.09461v3 [math.QA] 22 Oct 2020

HERNANDEZ-LECLERC MODULES AND SNAKE GRAPHS

BING DUAN, JIAN-RONG LI AND YAN-FENG LUO

Abstract. In 2010, Hernandez and Leclerc studied connections between representations of quantum affine algebras and cluster algebras. In 2019, Brito and Chari defined a family of modules over quantum affine algebras, called Hernandez-Leclerc modules. We characterize the highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules. We give a non-recursive formula for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules using snake graphs, which involves an explicit formula for F-polynomials. We also give a new recursive formula for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules 2
1.2. Hernandez-Leclerc modules, snake graphs, and q-characters 3
1.3. A recursive formula for Hernandez-Leclerc modules 5
2. Preliminary 5
2.1. Cluster algebras 5
2.2. Category $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ and Hernandez-Leclerc modules 7
2.3. Snake graphs 9
2.4. Continued fractions and F-polynomials 11
3. q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules and snake graphs 12
3.1. A combinatorial description of Hernandez-Leclerc modules 12
3.2. Hernandez-Leclerc modules and snake graphs 14
3.3. q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules in term of perfect matchings of snake graphs 17
3.4. Relation with Brito and Chari's results 22
3.5. The highest and lowest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules 26
4. A new recursion for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules 33
Acknowledgements 34
References 35

Key words and phrases. Cluster algebras; Quantum affine algebras; Hernandez-Leclerc modules; Snake graphs; q-characters.

1. Introduction

Quantum groups were introduced independently by Drinfeld [13, 14] and Jimbo [26]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the corresponding untwisted quantum affine algebra with quantum parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ not a root of unity. Denote by $\mathscr{C}$ the category of finite dimensional representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. It is well-known that $\mathscr{C}$ is not semisimple but an abelian tensor category [23]. The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules can be parametrized by Drinfeld polynomials in [3–5]. Equivalently, each simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module can also be parametrized by the highest dominant monomial of its q-character [22].

Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in their seminal work [18]. A cluster algebra is a commutative ring with a set of distinguished generators called cluster variables which are defined through iterative processes known as mutations.

A finite-dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module is said to be *prime* if it admits no nontrivial tensor factorization [6]. A simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module is said to be *real* if its tensor square is also simple [28]. In [23], Hernandez and Leclerc introduced the notion of monoidal categorification of cluster algebras. An abelian tensor category is said to be a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra if the Grothendieck ring of the category is isomorphic to the cluster algebra and the classes of the real (respectively, real prime) simple modules correspond to cluster monomials (respectively, cluster variables).

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, Hernandez and Leclerc [23] introduced a full monoidal subcategory $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ of $\mathscr{C}$ whose objects are characterized by certain restrictions on the roots of the Drinfeld polynomials of their composition factors.

1.1. Highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.

As a generalization of $\mathscr{C}_1$ [23, 24], in [1] Brito and Chari introduced a subcategory $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ and a quiver Q_ξ depending on a choice of a height function ξ. These real prime simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules in $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ are called Hernandez-Leclerc modules by Brito and Chari. Brito and Chari proved that $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal categorification of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$ with coefficients of type A [1, Section 1.3]. Hernandez-Leclerc modules are in bijection with cluster variables and frozen variables, among the initial cluster variables and frozen variables correspond to some Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. Let $\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ be the Grothendieck ring of $\mathscr{C}_\xi$. Denote by ι the algebraic isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi) \to \mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ [1]. Moreover, Brito and Chari gave a non-recursion for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules in term of the known q-characters of initial simple modules [1, Proposition in Section 2.5].

In this paper, we use snake graphs to study q-characters of Hernandez-Leclec modules. Let $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We first give a combinatorial characterization of the highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.1)**.** *An Hernandez-Leclerc module corresponding to a cluster variable (excluding frozen variables) is a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module with the highest ℓ-weight*

monomial

$$Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\dots Y_{i_k,a_k},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $i_j \in I$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, *and*

(i) $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$,
(ii) $(a_j - a_{j-1})(a_{j+1} - a_j) < 0$ *for* $2 \leq j \leq k-1$,
(iii) $|a_j - a_{j-1}| = i_j - i_{j-1} + 2$ *for* $2 \leq j \leq k$.

1.2. **Hernandez-Leclerc modules, snake graphs, and q-characters.** In [29, 30], Mukhin and Young gave a purely combinatorial q-character formula for snake modules of types A_n and B_n via path descriptions. A completely different approach to compute q-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules was developed by Hernandez and Leclerc [25]. In fact, Hernandez and Leclerc proposed a geometric q-character formula conjecture for real simple modules, which implies that the truncated q-character formula will play an important role similar to the cluster character formula. Recently, Duan and Schiffler in [16] proved the geometric q-character formula for snake modules of types A_n and B_n, and characterized the associated general kernel. In [2], the authors gave an explicit q-character formula of simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules. The formula involves Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [27] which are hard to compute when the length of the highest ℓ-weight monomial of a simple module is large.

Our aim is to give a non-recursive formula for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclec modules using snake graphs. In [17], Fomin, Shapiro, and Thurston introduced an important class of cluster algebras from surfaces with or without punctures. Each cluster variable (cluster) corresponds to a tagged arc (ideal triangulation) in the surface. In [31, 32], Musiker, Schiffler, and Williams constructed a combinatorial object associated to a non-initial arc, called a labeled snake graph, to compute the Laurent expansion of any non-initial cluster variable in a cluster algebra from a surface. Later Canakci and Schiffler studied abstract snake graphs in a series of papers [7, 8, 10] and established interesting connections among cluster variables, snake graphs, and continued fractions [9, 11]. In particular, identities in the cluster algebra have been expressed in terms of snake graphs. Rabideau used continued fractions to give a combinatorial formula for F-polynomials [33] in cluster algebras with principal coefficients from surfaces. Rabideau and Schiffler proved the constant numerator conjecture for Markov numbers via snake graphs and continued fractions [34].

Given a height function ξ, we construct a unique labeled snake graph for a non-initial Hernandez-Leclerc module. Our idea is summarized by the diagram in Figure 1.

Fix a simple root system $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ of type A_n, let $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_j$ be a positive root for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Then the set of all cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$ is $\{x[-\alpha_i] \mid i \in I\} \cup \{x[\alpha_{i,j}] \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of all perfect matchings of a snake graph $\mathcal{G}$. For any $P \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$, let $x(P)$ (respectively, $y(P)$) be




FIGURE 1. Cluster variables, arcs, Hernandez-Leclerc modules, and labeled snake graphs.

the weight (respectively, height) monomial in the sense of Musiker, Schiffler, and Williams [31, 32].

By applying the theory of cluster algebras, we give a q-character formula of an arbitrary Hernandez-Leclerc module corresponding to a non-initial cluster variable (excluding frozen variables) by perfect matchings of snake graphs.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorems 3.8 and 3.17)**.** *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the labeled snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$. Then*

$$x[\alpha_{i,j}] = \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} x_\ell} \left(\frac{\sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)} \right),$$

where the sign $\oplus$ appearing in the denominator refers to the addition of a tropical semifield. In particular,

$$\chi_q(\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}])) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} \chi_q(\iota(x_\ell))} \chi_q \left(\iota\left(\frac{\sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)} \right) \right).$$

There is a unique perfect matching $P \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ such that the highest or lowest ℓ-weight monomial in $\chi_q(\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}]))$ occurs in

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} \chi_q(\iota(x_\ell))} \chi_q \left(\iota\left(\frac{x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)} \right) \right).$$

In [1, Proposition in Section 2.5], Brito and Chari gave a non-recursive formula of $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ using two sets $\Gamma_{i,j}$ and $\Gamma'_{i,j}$. The set $\Gamma_{i,j}$ consists of $(0,1)$ sequences with $(j-i+2)$ length subject to four conditions, and $\Gamma'_{i,j}$ is determined by $\Gamma_{i,j}$ consisting of $(-1,0,1)$ sequences with the same length, see Section 3.4.

On the other hand, we associate to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ perfect matchings of the corresponding labeled snake graph. Combining with Rabideau's formula for F-polynomials [33, Theorem 3.4], Theorem 3.6 gives an explicit formula for F-polynomials. Moreover, we give the evaluation of F-polynomials at a tropical semifield, see Lemma 3.7.

1.3. **A recursive formula for Hernandez-Leclerc modules.** We give a new recursive formula for Hernandez-Leclerc modules by an induction on the length of the highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.

By Brito and Chari's results [1, Theorem 1, Corollary in Section 1.3], the class of each Hernandez-Leclerc module corresponds to a cluster variable $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$, where $j-1$ is a source or sink, see the paragraph after Remark 4.2.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let $j \in I$ be a source or sink vertex and $j > i \in I$. Then*

$$x[\alpha_{i,j}]x[\alpha_{j+1,j+1}] = x[\alpha_{i,j+1}] + x[\alpha_{i,\max\{i-1,j_\bullet-1\}}]^{1-\delta_{i,j_\bullet}} x'^{\min\{1,(1-\delta_{j_\bullet,i_\bullet})d_{j_\bullet-1}+\delta_{j_\bullet,i}\}}_{\max\{i,j_\bullet\}} x[-\alpha_{j+2}]^{d_{j+1}} x'^{1-d_{j+1}}_{j+2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, $d_j = \delta_{j,j_\diamond}$, and $j_\bullet$ is the maximum source or sink vertex strictly less than j in Q_ξ.

Comparing with a recursive formula given by Brito and Chari in [1, Proposition in Section 1.5], our recursive formula needs one more step of mutation for a height function ξ such that j is source or sink, see Remark 4.2 (2).

The formula (1.1) provides a possibility of a combinatorial path formula in which we allow overlapping paths, generalizing Mukhin and Young's path formula for snake modules [29, 30].

The content of this paper is outlined as follows. In Section 2, we recall some background on cluster algebras of geometric type, Hernandez-Leclerc modules, snake graphs, and F-polynomials. In Section 3, we characterize the highest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules and give a q-character formula in term of perfect matchings of snake graphs. In Section 4, a new recursive formula for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules is introduced.

2. Preliminary

2.1. **Cluster algebras.** We recall the definition of cluster algebras [18, 20].

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the field of rational functions in n independent variables over $\mathbb{QP}$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is a semifield. For $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$ in $\mathbb{P}$, we denote by $F|_{\mathbb{P}}(u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ the evaluation of a subtraction-free rational expression F at $u_1, \ldots, u_r$.

A *tropical semifiled* $(\mathbb{P}, \oplus, \cdot)$, where $\mathbb{P} = \mathrm{Trop}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r)$, is an abelian multiplicative group freely generated by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$, the (auxiliary) addition $\oplus$ is defined by

$$\prod_j u_j^{a_j} \oplus \prod_j u_j^{b_j} = \prod_j u_j^{\min(a_j, b_j)}.$$

An important choice for coefficients or frozen variables in a cluster algebra is the tropical semifield, in this case, the corresponding cluster algebra is said to be of *geometric type*. In this paper, we pay attention to skew-symmetric cluster algebras of geometric type.

Let Q be a finite quiver without loops or 2-cycles. Assume without loss of generality that the vertex set of Q is $\{1,\dots,m\}$, among vertices $1,\dots,n$ $(n \leq m)$ are mutable vertices and vertices $n+1,\dots,m$ are frozen vertices. Mutating Q at a mutable vertex k, one obtains a new quiver $\mu_k(Q)$ defined as follows:

(i) add a new arrow $i \to j$ for each pair of arrows $i \to k \to j$, excluding that both i and j are frozen vertices;
(ii) reverse the orientation of each arrow incident to k; and
(iii) remove all maximal pairwise disjoint 2-cycles.

Definition 2.1. (Seeds) A seed in $\mathcal{F}$ is a pair $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q)$, where

(i) $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \{x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1},\dots,x_m\}$ is a free generating set of $\mathcal{F}$, called an *extended cluster*. The subset $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ is called a *cluster* and each element in $\mathbf{x}$ is called a *cluster variable*; $\{x_{n+1},\dots,x_m\}$ is a set of elements in $\mathbb{P}$, called a *coefficient tuple*, among them each element is called a *coefficient* or *frozen variable*; and
(ii) Q is a quiver as above.

Definition 2.2. (Seed mutations). Let $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q)$ be a seed. The seed mutation μ_k in direction $k \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ transforms $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q)$ into the seed $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}', Q')$ defined as follows.

(i) The extended cluster $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}' = \{x_1',\dots,x_m'\}$ is defined by $x_j' = x_j$ for $j \neq k$, whereas x_k' is defined by the *exchange relation*

$$x_k' = \frac{\prod\limits_{i:i\to k} x_i + \prod\limits_{j:k\to j} x_j}{x_k};$$

(ii) $Q' = \mu_k(Q)$ defined as above.

A *cluster algebra* is a $\mathbb{ZP}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by all cluster variables obtained from seed mutations.

Cluster algebras of finite type are classified by the Dynkin diagrams [19], that is, there is an exchange matrix such that the Cartan counterpart of its principle part is one of Cartan matrices of finite type. Under this correspondence, cluster variables in a cluster algebra of finite type are in bijection with almost positive roots in the root system associated to the corresponding Cartan matrix.

Theorem 2.3 ([19, Theorem 1.9])**.** *In a cluster algebra of finite type, the cluster variable* $x[\alpha]$ *for* $\alpha = \sum_{i\in I} a_i\alpha_i$ *is expressed in term of the initial cluster* $\mathbf{x}_0$ *as*

$$x[\alpha] = \frac{P_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0)}{\prod_{i\in I} x_i^{a_i}},$$

where P_α *is a polynomial over* $\mathbb{ZP}$ *with nonzero constant term. In particular,* $x[-\alpha_i] = x_i$.


In order to deal with coefficients or frozen variables, we need the following theorem from [20].

Theorem 2.4 ([20, Theorem 3.7]). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a cluster algebra over an arbitrary semifield $\mathbb{P}$, with a seed at an initial extended cluster $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$. Then the cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as follows:*

$$x_\ell = \frac{X_\ell|_{\mathcal{F}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)}{F_\ell|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)},$$

where X_ℓ is the Laurent expression of x_ℓ in the case of the principle coefficient, and F_ℓ is the specilization of X_ℓ evaluating at $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 1$, called F-polynomial.

2.2. **Category $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ and Hernandez-Leclerc modules.** Let $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Following [1], given a height function $\xi : I \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $|\xi(i) - \xi(i+1)| = 1$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$, we often extend ξ to $[0, n+1]$ by defining $\xi(0) = \xi(2)$ and $\xi(n+1) = \xi(n-1)$, and associate a quiver Q_ξ with the vertex set $I \cup I'$ and arrows defined by



if $\xi(i) = \xi(i+1) + 1$ and otherwise reverse all orientations, where $i_\diamond$ is the minimum integer $\ell \in [i, n]$ such that $\xi(\ell) = \xi(\ell+2)$ if $i < n$ and otherwise $n_\diamond = n$.

A vertex $i \in [1, n]$ is said to be a *source* or *sink* if $i = n$ or $\xi(i) = \xi(i+2)$, where we ignore all frozen vertices in Q_ξ and require that 1 is a *source* or *sink* if and only if $\xi(1) = \xi(3)$.


Let $j_\bullet = 0$ for $1 \le j \le 1_\diamond$ and $j_\bullet$ be the maximal source or sink of Q_ξ satisfying $j_\bullet < j$ for $j > 1_\diamond$. For $k \ge 1$ let

$$\overline{k} = (k+1)(1 - \delta_{k,k_\diamond}) + (k_\bullet + 1)\delta_{k,k_\diamond}.$$

Example 2.5. In A_9, let $\xi(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) = (-4, -5, -6, -5, -4, -3, -4, -5, -6)$. Then we have the following table.

I	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ξ	-4	-5	-6	-5	-4	-3	-4	-5	-6
$i_\diamond$	2	2	5	5	5	8	8	8	9
$i_\bullet$	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	8
$\overline{i}$	2	1	4	5	3	7	8	6	9

By definition, Q_ξ is the following quiver



Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathrm{Trop}(x'_j : j \in I)$ be the tropical semifield generated by $x'_j, j \in I$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$ the *cluster algebra* with an initial seed $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, x'_1, \ldots, x'_n\},$$

$x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are cluster variables and $x'_1, \ldots, x'_n$ are coefficients.

In this paper, we fix $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. For simplicity of notation, we write $Y_{i,r}$ for Y_{i,aq^r} for $i \in I$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^+$ be the free abelian monoid generated by variables $Y_{i,\xi(i)\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$. Let $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of objects all of whose Jordan-Hölder constituents are indexed by elements of $\mathcal{P}^+$. Simple modules in $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ are of the form $L(m)$, where $m \in \mathcal{P}^+$ and m is called the *highest ℓ-weight* of $L(m)$. The elements in $\mathcal{P}^+$ are called *dominant monomials*.

Following [1], for $1 \le i < j \le n$, let $i_2 < \cdots < i_{k-1}$ be an ordered enumeration of the subset

$$\{p : i < p < j \mid \xi(p-1) = \xi(p+1)\},$$

and $i_1 = i$, $i_k = j$. Define an element $\omega(i,j) \in \mathcal{P}^+$ by

$$\omega(i,j) = Y_{i_1,a_1} Y_{i_2,a_2} \cdots Y_{i_k,a_k},$$

where $a_1 = \xi(i) \pm 1$ if $\xi(i+1) = \xi(i) \mp 1$ and $a_\ell = \xi(i_\ell) \pm 1$ if $\xi(i_\ell) = \xi(i_\ell - 1) \pm 1$ for $\ell \ge 2$.

Let $\mathbf{Pr}_\xi = \{Y_{i,\xi(i)\pm 1} \mid i \in I\} \cup \{\omega(i,j) \mid i,j \in I, i \ne j\}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \{f_i = Y_{i,\xi(i)-1} Y_{i,\xi(i)+1} \mid i \in I\}$. A simple module $L(m)$ for $m \in \mathbf{Pr}_\xi \cup \mathbf{f}$ is called an *Hernandez-Leclerc module* by Brito and Chari [1], which are precisely all the prime objects in this category. The simple modules $L(f_i)$, $i \in I$, are Kirillov-Reshitikhin modules and $L(Y_{i,r})$, $i \in I$, are fundamental modules. We are interested in $L(\omega(i,j))$ for $1 \le i < j \le n$.

Following Fomin and Zelevinsky's result [19], the set of cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$ is in bijection with the set $\Phi_{\ge -1}$ of almost positive roots in the root system of type A_n. Let $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of simple roots of type A_n and let $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_j$ for $1 \le i \le j \le n$. Denote all cluster variables and coefficients by

$$\{x_i := x[-\alpha_i], x[\alpha_{i,j}], x'_i \mid 1 \le i \le j \le n\}.$$

Following [1], the cluster variable $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ is obtained by mutating the sequence $i, i+1, \ldots, j$ at the initial cluster $\{x_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ be the Grothendieck ring of $\mathscr{C}_\xi$. Denote by $[M] \in \mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ the equivalence class of $M \in \mathscr{C}_\xi$. Brito and Chari proved that $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal category of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$.

Theorem 2.6. [1, Theorem 1, Corollary in Section 1.3] *Let $\xi : I \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a height function. Then there is an isomorphism of rings $\iota : \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi) \to \mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota(x[-\alpha_i]) = [L(Y_{i,\xi(i+1)})], \quad \iota(x_i') = [L(Y_{i,\xi(i)-1}Y_{i,\xi(i)+1})],\\
&\iota(x[\alpha_{i,i_\diamond}]) = [L(Y_{i,\xi(i+1)\pm 2})], \quad \xi(i) = \xi(i+1) \pm 1,\\
&\iota(x[\alpha_{i,k}]) = [\omega(i,\overline{k})], \quad k \neq i_\diamond,\\
&\iota(x_i' x[\alpha]) = [x_i'\omega], \quad i \in I,\ \alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}, \omega = \iota(x[\alpha]).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, ι sends a cluster variable (respectively, cluster monomial) to a real prime simple object (respectively, real simple object) of $\mathscr{C}_\xi$. In particular, $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal categorication of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$.

The proof given by Brito and Chari in [1] used the fact that the set of cluster monomials forms a linear basis of cluster algebras of finite type [12, 15].

2.3. **Snake graphs.** Fix an orthonormal basis of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. A tile G is a square of fixed side-length with four vertices and four edges in the plane whose sides are parallel or orthogonal to the chosen basis. Following [7], a *snake graph* is a connected graph consisting of finitely many tiles $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$ with $d \geq 1$, such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$

(i) G_i and G_{i+1} share exactly an edge e_i and the edge is either the north edge of G_i and the south edge of G_{i+1} or the east edge of G_i and the west edge of G_{i+1}.
(ii) G_i and G_j have no edge in common whenever $|i-j| \geq 2$.
(iii) G_i and G_j are disjoint whenever $|i-j| \geq 3$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d)$ be a snake graph with tiles $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$. The $d-1$ edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{d-1}$ are called *interior edges* of $\mathcal{G}$ and the rest of edges are called *boundary edges*. Denote by ${}_S\mathcal{G}$ (respectively, ${}_W\mathcal{G}$) the south (respectively, west) edge of the first tile of $\mathcal{G}$ and denote by $\mathcal{G}^N$ (respectively, $\mathcal{G}^E$) the north (respectively, east) edge of the last tile of $\mathcal{G}$.

A snake graph $\mathcal{G}$ is called *straight* if all its tiles lie in one column or one row, and a snake graph is called *zigzag* if no three consecutive tiles are straight [9, 10].

A *perfect matching* P of a snake graph $\mathcal{G}$ is a subset of the set of edges of $\mathcal{G}$ such that each vertex of $\mathcal{G}$ is exactly in one edge in P. Denote by $\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of all perfect matchings of $\mathcal{G}$. A snake graph $\mathcal{G}$ has precisely two perfect matchings, called the *minimal matching* P_- and the *maximal matching* P_+ of $\mathcal{G}$, which contain only boundary edges.


A snake graph is called a *labeled snake graph* if each edge and each tile in the snake graph carries a label or weight [8]. For our snake graphs, these labels are cluster variables. Without confusion, we still use $\mathcal{G}$ to denote a labeled snake graph. Assume that the edges of a perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$. Following [31], one defines the *weight monomial* $x(P)$ of P by $x(P) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{v_i}$.

Given a snake graph $\mathcal{G}$, let $e_0 =_S \mathcal{G}$ and choose an edge $e_d \in \{\mathcal{G}^N, \mathcal{G}^E\}$. In [9], Canakci and Schiffler defined a sign function

$$f : \{e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{d-1}, e_d\} \to \{\pm\},$$

such that on every tile in $\mathcal{G}$ the north edge and the west edge have the same sign, the south edge and the east edge have the same sign, and the sign on the north edge is opposite to the sign on the south edge.

Let $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be the number of the sign in a maximal subsequence of constant sign appearing in $(f(e_0), f(e_1), f(e_2), \ldots, f(e_{d-1}), f(e_d))$. As an illustrated example, the sign function of the following snake graph is $(-,-,+,+,+,-,-,-,-,-)$, and $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 5$.



For a snake graph $\mathcal{G}$ with a sign function $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$, let $\ell_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and we agree that $\ell_0 = 0$. Following [9], one can define zigzag subsnake graphs $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_n$ of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_1 &= (G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{\ell_1 - 1}),\\
&\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots\\
\mathcal{H}_i &= (G_{\ell_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, G_{\ell_i - 1}),\\
&\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots\\
\mathcal{H}_n &= (G_{\ell_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, G_d),
\end{aligned}$$

where $(G_j, \ldots, G_k)$ is the zigzag subsnake graph with the tiles $G_j, G_{j+1}, \ldots, G_k$ if $j \leq k$, and $(G_{j+1}, \ldots, G_j)$ is the single edge e_j. The decomposition is in fact obtained by deleting the sign-changed tiles.

Following [33], once we choose the minimal perfect matching P_- of a snake graph $\mathcal{G}$, then the minimal matching $P_-|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ of a subsnake graph $\mathcal{H}_i$ is either the matching which inherits from P_- or the union of the matching which inherits from P_- and a unique interior edge.

For an arbitrary perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$, the symmetric different $P_- \ominus P$ is defined as

$$P_- \ominus P = (P_- \cup P) \backslash (P_- \cap P).$$

Lemma 2.7. [31, Lemma 4.8] *The set $P_- \ominus P$ is the set of boundary edges of a (possibly disconnected) subgraph G_P of $\mathcal{G}$, which is a union of cycles. These cycles enclose a set of ties $\cup_{j\in J} G_{i_j}$, where J is a finite index set.*

From now on, assume that the label of a tile G_i is τ_i in a labeled snake graph $\mathcal{G}$. Following [31, Definition 4.9], with the notation above, the *height monomial* $y(P)$ of a perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by

$$y(P) = \prod_{j\in J} y_{\tau_{i_j}}.$$

2.4. **Continued fractions and F-polynomials.** Following [9, 33], a finite continued fraction

$$[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] := a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots + \cfrac{1}{a_n}}}$$

is said to be *positive* if each a_i is a positive integer. Denote by $\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ the numerator of the continued fraction. Then $\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ is computed by the recursion

$$\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] = a_n\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}] + \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2}],$$

where $\mathcal{N}[a_1] = a_1$ and $\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2] = a_1a_2 + 1$. We refer the reader to [34, Proposition 2.1] for more properties of continued fractions.

For a positive continued fraction $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, let $\ell_i = \sum_{s=1}^{i} a_s$ and we agree $\ell_0 = 0$. In [9], Canakci and Schiffler established a bijection between snake graphs and positive continued fractions via the sign function of a snake graph. Fix a positive continued fraction $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, the corresponding snake graph $\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ consists of tiles $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{\ell_n-1}$ and has the sign function of the following form

$$(\underbrace{-, \ldots, -}_{a_1}, \underbrace{+, \ldots, +}_{a_2}, \underbrace{-, \ldots, -}_{a_3}, \ldots, \underbrace{\pm, \ldots, \pm}_{a_n}).$$

The snake graph $\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ has $\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ many perfect matchings [9, Theorem 3.4].

For simplicity, let $\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} x_\ell = 1$ for $j < i$. The following results from [33] will play an important role in the subsequent proof. In [33] Rabideau required that ${}_S\mathcal{G} \in P_-$ for a labeled snake graph $\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.8. [33, Lemma 3.3] *The F-polynomial associated to a zigzag snake graph $(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d)$ (the label of G_j is τ_j) is*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d}\prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{\tau_j} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{d+1}\prod_{j=k}^{d} y_{\tau_j},$$

depending on whether the minimal perfect matching P_- contains a pair of opposite boundary edges of G_1.

In [33, Definition 3.1], Rabideau defined a continued fraction of Laurent polynomial $[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n]$, where each $\mathcal{L}_i = \varphi_i C_i$ and

$$C_i = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell_{i-1}} y_{\tau_j} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{\ell_{i-1}} y_{\tau_j}^{-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=\ell_{i-1}}^{\ell_i-1} \prod_{j=\ell_{i-1}+1}^{k} y_{\tau_j} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \sum_{k=\ell_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i} \prod_{j=k}^{\ell_{i-1}} y_{\tau_j} & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here φ_i is in fact the F-polynomial associated to a certain zigzag snake graph. Note that we revise the subscripts of the letter y, because we agree that the label of G_j is τ_j.

Theorem 2.9. [33, Theorem 3.4] *The F-polynomial associated to the snake graph of the positive continued fraction $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, $a_1 > 1$, is given by the following equation*

$$F(\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n] & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ C_n^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n] & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n]$ is defined by the recursion

$$\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n] = \mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{n-1}] + \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{n-2}]$$

where $\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1] = \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2] = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 + 1$.

3. q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules and snake graphs

In this section, we give a formula for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules using snake graphs, which involves an explicit formula for F-polynomials.

3.1. **A combinatorial description of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.** We recall the definition of Hernandez-Leclerc modules in [1]. Let $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Recall that $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ is the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of objects all of whose Jordan-Hölder constituents are indexed by elements of $\mathcal{P}^+$, see Section 2.2. Brito and Chari proved that $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal categorification of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi)$. They call these modules in $\mathscr{C}_\xi$, which correspond to cluster variables and frozen variables, Hernandez-Leclerc modules.

From now on, we call an Hernandez-Leclerc module an HL-module for simplicity.

Theorem 3.1. *An HL-module corresponding to a cluster variable is a simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module with the highest ℓ-weight monomial*

$$Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\cdots Y_{i_k,a_k}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $i_j \in I$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and

(i) $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$,
(ii) $(a_j - a_{j-1})(a_{j+1} - a_j) < 0$ *for* $2 \leq j \leq k-1$,
(iii) $|a_j - a_{j-1}| = i_j - i_{j-1} + 2$ *for* $2 \leq j \leq k$.

Proof. In [1], the definition of HL-modules depends on the choice of a map $\xi : I \to \mathbb{Z}$. We will prove that every simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module with the highest ℓ-weight monomial (3.1) determines a map $\xi : I \to \mathbb{Z}$ and hence it is an HL-module in the sense of Brito and Chari. Indeed, let $m = Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\cdots Y_{i_k,a_k}$ for $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$. Let $i = i_1$, $j = i_k$. We split the proof into the following two cases.

Case 1. If $a_1 < a_2$, we define $\xi(i) = a_1 + 1, \xi(i+1) = a_1 + 2, \ldots, \xi(i_2) = a_1 + i_2 - i_1 + 1$. Condition (iii) implies that $\xi(i_2) = a_1 + i_2 - i_1 + 1 = a_2 - 1$. Using Condition (ii) and the same argument as before, we define a map $\xi : [i, j] \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} a_{2\ell-1} + x - i_{2\ell-1} + 1, & \text{if } x \in [i_{2\ell-1}, i_{2\ell}]; \\ a_{2\ell} - x + i_{2\ell} - 1, & \text{if } x \in [i_{2\ell}, i_{2\ell+1}]. \end{cases}$$

We extend ξ to the domain $[1, n]$ subject to

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x) - \xi(x+1) = 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq i-1, \\ \xi(x+1) = \xi(x-1) & \text{if } j \leq x \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

So the vertices $(j-1), j, \ldots, n$ are sources or sinks. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that

$$\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}]) = \begin{cases} [L(Y_{i,a_1})], & \text{if } j = i_\diamond, \\ [\omega(i,j)] = [L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\ldots Y_{i_k,a_k})] & \text{if } j \neq i_\diamond, \end{cases}$$

which is an HL-module in the sense of Brito and Chari.

Case 2. If $a_1 > a_2$, we define $\xi(i) = a_1 - 1, \xi(i+1) = a_1 - 2, \ldots, \xi(i_2) = a_1 - i_2 + i_1 - 1$. By Condition (iii), we have $\xi(i_2) = a_1 - i_2 + i_1 - 1 = a_2 + 1$. Using Condition (ii) and the same argument as before, we define a map $\xi : [i, j] \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} a_{2\ell-1} - x + i_{2\ell-1} - 1, & i \in [i_{2\ell-1}, i_{2\ell}]; \\ a_{2\ell} + x - i_{2\ell} + 1, & i \in [i_{2\ell}, i_{2\ell+1}]. \end{cases}$$

We extend ξ to the domain $[1, n]$ subject to

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x+1) - \xi(x) = 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq i-1, \\ \xi(x+1) = \xi(x-1) & \text{if } j \leq x \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

So the vertices $(j-1), j, \ldots, n$ are sources or sinks. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that

$$\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}]) = \begin{cases} [L(Y_{i,a_1})], & \text{if } j = i_\diamond, \\ [\omega(i,j)] = [L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\ldots Y_{i_k,a_k})] & \text{if } j \neq i_\diamond, \end{cases}$$

which is an HL-module in the sense of Brito and Chari.

Conversely, for $\omega_{i,\xi_i\pm 1} \in \mathbf{Pr}_\xi$, obviously, it can be written into the form (3.1). For any $\omega_{i,j} = \omega_{i_1,a_1}\ldots\omega_{i_k,a_k} \in \mathbf{Pr}_\xi$, by the definition of $\omega_{i,j}$, we have

$$i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k,$$

and the function ξ must be a strictly increasing height function or a strictly decreasing height function on these intervals $[i_1, i_2], \ldots, [i_{k-1}, i_k]$, and the strictly increasing intervals and the strictly decreasing intervals appear alternatively.

Suppose that ξ is a strictly increasing (respectively, decreasing) function on the interval $[i_\ell, i_{\ell+1}]$ for a certain $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &a_\ell = \xi(i_\ell) - 1,\ a_{\ell+1} = \xi(i_{\ell+1}) + 1 \\ &(\text{respectively, } a_\ell = \xi(i_\ell) + 1, a_{\ell+1} = \xi(i_{\ell+1}) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ is a strictly decreasing (respectively, increasing) function on the interval $[i_{\ell-1}, i_\ell]$, we have

$$(a_\ell - a_{\ell-1})(a_{\ell+1} - a_\ell) = (\xi(i_\ell) - \xi(i_{\ell-1}) - 2)(\xi(i_{\ell+1}) - \xi(i_\ell) + 2) < 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{\ell+1} - a_\ell| &= |\xi(i_{\ell+1}) - \xi(i_\ell) + 2| = |(i_{\ell+1} - i_\ell) + 2| = i_{\ell+1} - i_\ell + 2, \\ |a_\ell - a_{\ell-1}| &= |\xi(i_\ell) - \xi(i_{\ell-1}) - 2| = |\xi(i_{\ell-1}) + 2 - \xi(i_\ell)| = i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it holds for the case that ξ is a strictly decreasing (respectively, increasing) function on the interval $[i_\ell, i_{\ell+1}]$.

Therefore, every HL-module has its highest ℓ-weight monomial of the form (3.1). □

Remark 3.2. The same HL-module may correspond to different height functions ξ, even in the same type.

3.2. **Hernandez-Leclerc modules and snake graphs.** In a cluster algebra of finite type from a surface without punctures, arcs in an initial triangulation of the surface correspond to initial variables which are parameterized by negative simple roots [19, Theorem 1.9]. The arc crossing initial arcs $-\alpha_i, -\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, -\alpha_j$ corresponds to the cluster variable parameterized by $\alpha_{i,j}$, where $i \leq j$. Fix a height function ξ, we shall construct a unique labeled snake graph for a non-initial HL-module. In general we have the relationships shown in Figure 1.

From [17], also see [35, Chapter 3], it follows that up to rotation a triangulation of a surface determines a quiver, and the quiver completely reflects the configuration of arcs in the triangulation.

Let ξ be a height function. Denote by Q a connected full subquiver of Q_ξ, where we ignore the frozen vertices. Assume without loss of generality that the set of vertices in Q is $\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ from left to right in order. We define the snake graph

$$\mathcal{G} = (G_i, G_{i+1}, \ldots, G_j)$$

associated to Q as follows. The first two tiles are placed in the same horizontal line, that is, the east edge of G_i and the west edge of G_{i+1} are the same. For each $i+2 \leq \ell \leq j$, the tile G_ℓ is placed such that $(G_{\ell-2}, G_{\ell-1}, G_\ell)$ is straight if the $(\ell-1)$-th vertex is a source or sink, and otherwise $(G_{\ell-2}, G_{\ell-1}, G_\ell)$ is zigzag. From now on, we use a digit "1" to denote the cluster variable x_1, and so on. Label each tile G_ℓ by ℓ in the interior of the tile. For any two consecutive tiles $(G_\ell, G_{\ell+1})$, each edge is labeled near the edge obeying the following rules.

(i) If G_ℓ and $G_{\ell+1}$ share exactly an edge e_ℓ and the edge is the east edge of G_ℓ and the west edge of $G_{\ell+1}$, then the north edge of G_ℓ is labeled by $(\ell+1)$ and the south edge of $G_{\ell+1}$ is labeled by ℓ.

(ii) If G_ℓ and $G_{\ell+1}$ share exactly an edge e_ℓ and the edge is the north edge of G_ℓ and the south edge of $G_{\ell+1}$, then the east edge of G_ℓ is labeled by $(\ell+1)$ and the west edge of $G_{\ell+1}$ is labeled by ℓ.


We leave edges ${}_W\mathcal{G}$, ${}_S\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G}^N$, and $\mathcal{G}^E$ no label. In particular, every edge has no label for a snake graph consisting of a tile.

The minimal (respectively, maximal) matching P_- (respectively, P_+) of $\mathcal{G}$ is chosen as follows. If there is an arrow $(i+1) \to i$ in Q, P_- is defined as the unique matching which contains only boundary edges and also contains ${}_W\mathcal{G}$, P_+ is the other matching with only boundary edges. Otherwise P_- is defined as the unique matching which contains only boundary edges and contains ${}_S\mathcal{G}$, P_+ is the other matching with only boundary edges. If Q consists of a single vertex, then we agree that ${}_S\mathcal{G} \in P_-$, ${}_W\mathcal{G} \in P_+$.


Definition 3.3. The labeled snake graph associated to the HL-module parameterized by $\alpha_{i,j}$ is the labeled snake graph determined by the connected full subquiver with vertex set $\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ in Q_ξ.

The following example explains a snake graph associated to an HL-module.

Example 3.4. Continue our previous Example 2.5 and consider the cluster variable $x[\alpha_{1,7}]$ and the corresponding HL-module $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$. The full subquiver of Q_ξ with vertex set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ is the following quiver




Following Definition 3.3, the labeled snake graph associated to $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$ is shown as follows.




The same HL-module may correspond to different labeled snake graphs, even in the same type. Consider a height function

$$\xi(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) = (-4,-5,-6,-5,-4,-3,-4,-5,-4)$$

in A_9. The quiver Q_ξ is the following quiver.



By Theorem 2.6, we have $\iota(x[\alpha_{1,8}]) = [L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})]$. Using Definition 3.3, the labeled snake graph associated to $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$ is shown as follows.



Lemma 3.5. *If a height function ξ is fixed, then an HL-module corresponding to a non-initial cluster variable (excluding frozen variables) determines a unique labeled snake graph.*

Proof. Since a height function ξ is fixed, by Theorem 2.6, we have a cluster algebra isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, Q_\xi) \to \mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ and HL-modules corresponding to non-initial cluster variables are in bijection with almost positive roots. The result follows from Definition 3.3. □

3.3. **q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules in term of perfect matchings of snake graphs.** To our purpose, we first give the dual version of Theorem 2.9 by the following theorem whose proof is completely similar to one given by Rabideau in [33, Theorem 3.4] except using the following formula from [7,8].

$$F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]) = y_{34}F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1}])F(\mathcal{H}_n) + y_{56}F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-2}]), \quad (3.2)$$

where the variables y_{34} and y_{56} are defined as follows, $y_0 = 1$.

$$y_{34} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ y_{\tau_{\ell_{n-1}}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad y_{56} = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=\ell_{n-2}}^{\ell_n-1} y_{\tau_j} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that in $\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]$ $(a_1>1)$ the minimal perfect matching contains the west edge of the first tile. Then the F-polynomial associated to $\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]$ is given by the following equation*

$$F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]) = \begin{cases} C_n^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_n] & \textit{if } n \textit{ is odd,} \\ \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_n] & \textit{if } n \textit{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where each $\mathcal{L}_i = \varphi_i C_i$ and

$$C_i = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=\ell_1}^{\ell_i-1} y_{\tau_j}^{-1} & \textit{if } i \textit{ is odd,} \\ \prod_{j=\ell_1}^{\ell_{i-1}} y_{\tau_j} & \textit{if } i \textit{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=\ell_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i} \prod_{j=k}^{\ell_i-1} y_{\tau_j} & \textit{if } i \textit{ is odd,} \\ \sum_{k=\ell_{i-1}}^{\ell_{i-1}} \prod_{j=\ell_{i-1}+1}^{k} y_{\tau_j} & \textit{if } i \textit{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We proceed by an induction on n. Consider the case that $n=1$, using Lemma 2.8 and the fact that the number of tiles in $\mathcal{G}[a_1]$ is a_1-1, we have

$$F(\mathcal{G}[a_1]) = \sum_{k=1}^{a_1}\prod_{j=k}^{a_1-1} y_{\tau_j} = \varphi_1 = C_1^{-1}C_1\varphi_1 = C_1^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1.$$

For $n=2$, using (3.2) and the fact that $\ell_1 = a_1$ and $C_1 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2]) &= y_{34}F(\mathcal{G}[a_1])F(\mathcal{H}_2) + y_{56} = y_{\tau_{a_1}}(C^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1)\varphi_2 + 1 \\ &= \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 + 1 = \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that n is odd and our formula holds for $m<n$. The minimal matching of $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the matching which inherits from P_-, shown in Figure 2, so $F(\mathcal{H}_n) = \varphi_n$. Then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]) &= F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1}])F(\mathcal{H}_n)+\prod_{j=\ell_{n-2}}^{\ell_n-1} y_{\tau_j}F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-2}])\\
&= \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]\varphi_n+\prod_{j=\ell_{n-2}}^{\ell_n-1} y_{\tau_j}C_{n-2}^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}]\\
&= \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]\varphi_n+C_n^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}]\\
&= C_n^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]+\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}])\\
&= C_n^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_n].
\end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. The minimal perfect matching of $\mathcal{H}_n$. The dashed tile is a sign-changed tile.

Assume that n is even and our formula holds for $m<n$. The minimal matching of $\mathcal{H}_n$ is a union of the matching which inherits from P_- and $e_{\ell_{n-1}}$, shown in Figure 3, so $F(\mathcal{H}_n)=\varphi_n$. Then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n]) &= y_{\tau_{\ell_{n-1}}}F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1}])F(\mathcal{H}_n)+F(\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-2}])\\
&= y_{\tau_{\ell_{n-1}}}C_{n-1}^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]\varphi_n+\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}]\\
&= \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]C_n\varphi_n+\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}]\\
&= \mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-1}]+\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_{n-2}]\\
&= \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_n].
\end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 3. The minimal perfect matching of $\mathcal{H}_n$. The dashed tile is a sign-changed tile.

□

In practice, we always assume that $a_1 > 1$ for any continued fraction $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$. Otherwise, we take the rotation of a snake graph by 180 degrees or the flips at the lines $y = -x$, it follows from [11, Proposition 3.1 (b) and (c)] that $\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] \cong \mathcal{G}[a_n, \ldots, a_2, a_1]$. In addition, $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1] = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} + 1]$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the snake graph associated to the HL-module parameterized by $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $F = \sum_{P \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)$ be its F-polynomial. Then*

$$F|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P_+)|_{\mathbb{P}} = (y_i y_{i+1} \cdots y_j)|_{\mathbb{P}}.$$


Proof. Following [31], all perfect matchings in $\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ form a poset with the minimal perfect matching P_- and the maximal perfect matching P_+. Recall that in [33] a tile G can be *turned* if two of its edges are in a perfect matching P. Let P' be the perfect matching obtained by replacing the two edges of G in P with the other two edges of G. We say that P' is obtained from P by turning the tile G. Every perfect matching is obtained from P_- or P_+ by turning a sequence of tiles.

The height monomial $y(P')$ of P' is defined recursively by $y(P_-) = 1$ and if P' is above P and obtained by turning a tile G_ℓ then $y(P') = y_\ell y(P)$. In the following, we will prove that for each step of turning a tile, $y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}}$, meaning that $y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}}$ contains all possible factors with multiplicities appearing in $y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}$. As a conclusion, $F|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P_+)|_{\mathbb{P}}$.

In our setting, if P is above P_- and obtained by turning a tile G_ℓ, then $y(P) = y_\ell$ and either $\ell \notin \{i, j\}$ is a source or $\ell \in \{i, j\}$ such that $\ell \to l$ for a certain $l \in I$. In general, if P' is above P and obtained by turning a tile G_ℓ then $y(P') = y_\ell y(P)$, and at least one of the following two cases occur:

(i) either $\ell \notin \{i, j\}$ is a source or $\ell \in \{i, j\}$ such that $\ell \to l$ for a certain $l \in I$, where y_l is not a factor in $y(P)$,
(ii) there exists a certain $l \in I$ (not unique) such that $l \to \ell$ in Q_ξ, where y_l is a factor in $y(P)$.

For the case (i), $y_\ell = x'_\ell$ or all possible factors in the denominator of y_ℓ cannot be cancelled by $y(P)$, and hence $y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}}$. For the case (ii), all possible arrows near the vertex ℓ are shown as follows.



So checking case by case from up to down and from left to right

$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{x'_k}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ because } x'_\ell \text{ is cancelled by the numerator in } y_l,$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{1}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ because } x'_\ell \text{ is cancelled by the numerator in } y_l,$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{x'_k}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = x_\ell'^{-1}y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}},$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{1}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = x_\ell'^{-1}y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}},$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{x'_\ell}{x'_l}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ because } x'_l \text{ is cancelled by the numerator in } y_l,$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{x'_\ell}{x'_l}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ because } x'_l \text{ is cancelled by the numerator in } y_l,$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{1}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ because } x'_\ell \text{ is cancelled by the numerator in } y_k,$$
$$y(P')|_{\mathbb{P}} = (\frac{1}{x'_\ell}y(P))|_{\mathbb{P}} = x_\ell'^{-1}y(P)|_{\mathbb{P}},$$

the seventh equation is because both G_l and G_k have been turned from P_- before turning the tile G_ℓ. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathscr{C}_\xi$ be the full subcategory introduced by Brito and Chari [1] and $\mathcal{G}$ be the labeled snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$. Then*

$$x[\alpha_{i,j}] = \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} x_\ell}\left(\frac{\sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)}\right),$$

where the sign $\oplus$ appearing in the denominator refers to the (auxiliary) addition in a tropical semifield $\mathbb{P} = \mathrm{Trop}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r)$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$ and $y_\ell = \prod_{j\to\ell} u_j \prod_{\ell\to j} u_j^{-1}$ is a Laurent monomial in r variables $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$ for $i \leq \ell \leq j$. In particular,

$$\chi_q(\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}])) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} \chi_q(\iota(x_\ell))}\chi_q\left(\iota(\frac{\sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)})\right).$$

Proof. The proof of the first part follows [31, Theorem 4.10] and [20, Theorem 3.7] (see Theorem 2.4). The second one follows from [1, Theorem 1, Corollary in Section 1.3] (see Theorem 2.6). □

We explain Theorem 3.8 by the following example.




TABLE 1. Perfect matchings of the labeled snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{1,7}]$.

Example 3.9. Continue our previous Examples 3.4. Since x_8, x_9 are not mutated, our coefficients are in $\mathrm{Trop}(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6, x'_7, x'_8, x'_9, x_8, x_9)$. By Theorem 3.8, we substitute variables by

$$y_1 = \frac{x'_1}{x'_2}, \quad y_2 = x'_2, \quad y_3 = \frac{x'_4}{x'_3}, \quad y_4 = \frac{x'_5}{x'_4}, \quad y_5 = \frac{1}{x'_5}, \quad y_6 = \frac{x'_6}{x'_7}, \quad y_7 = \frac{x'_7 x_8}{x'_8}.$$

All possible perfect matchings of the labeled snake graph associated to the HL-module $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$ are shown in Table 1. In Table 1 we list the monomial $x(P)y(P)$ in the below of $P \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$.

By Theorem 3.6, the associated F-polynomial is given by

$$F(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) = F(\mathcal{G}[2,3,3]) = C_3^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3],$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = 1 + y_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = y_2(1 + y_3 + y_3y_4), \quad \mathcal{L}_3 = y_2^{-1}y_3^{-1}y_4^{-1}y_5^{-1}y_6^{-1}y_7^{-1}(1 + y_7 + y_6y_7).$$

By computation, we have

$$F(\mathcal{G}[2,3,3]) = (1+y_1)y_2(1+y_3+y_3y_4)(1+y_7+y_6y_7)+y_2y_3y_4y_5y_6y_7(1+y_1)+(1+y_7+y_6y_7).$$

Using Lemma 3.7, we have

$$F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) = (y_1y_2y_3y_4y_5y_6y_7)|_{\mathbb{P}} = \frac{1}{x_3'x_8'}.$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
x[\alpha_{1,7}] &= \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7} \sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} \frac{x(P)y(P)}{(\oplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})}y(P))} \\
&= \frac{x_5x_3'x_8'}{x_2x_7} + \frac{x_5x_8x_3'x_7'}{x_2x_6x_7} + \frac{x_8x_3'x_6'}{x_2x_6} + \frac{x_5x_2'x_3'x_8'}{x_1x_2x_3x_7} + \frac{x_5x_8x_2'x_3'x_7'}{x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7} + \frac{x_8x_2'x_3'x_6'}{x_1x_2x_3x_6} + \frac{x_5x_1'x_3'x_8'}{x_1x_3x_7} \\
&+ \frac{x_5x_2'x_4'x_8'}{x_1x_3x_4x_7} + \frac{x_2x_5x_1'x_4'x_8'}{x_1x_3x_4x_7} + \frac{x_5x_8x_1'x_3'x_7'}{x_1x_3x_6x_7} + \frac{x_5x_8x_2'x_4'x_7'}{x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7} + \frac{x_2x_5x_8x_1'x_4'x_7'}{x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7} + \frac{x_8x_1'x_3'x_6'}{x_1x_3x_6} \\
&+ \frac{x_8x_2'x_4'x_6'}{x_1x_3x_4x_6} + \frac{x_2x_8x_1'x_4'x_6'}{x_1x_3x_4x_6} + \frac{x_2x_1'x_5'x_8'}{x_1x_4x_7} + \frac{x_2x_8x_1'x_5'x_7'}{x_1x_4x_6x_7} + \frac{x_2x_8x_1'x_5'x_6'}{x_1x_4x_5x_6} + \frac{x_2'x_5'x_8'}{x_1x_4x_7} \\
&+ \frac{x_8x_2'x_5'x_7'}{x_1x_4x_6x_7} + \frac{x_8x_2'x_5'x_6'}{x_1x_4x_5x_6} + \frac{x_8x_2'x_6'}{x_1x_5} + \frac{x_2x_8x_1'x_6'}{x_1x_5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing x_ℓ, x_ℓ' by q-characters of the corresponding initial simple modules, we obtain the q-character of $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$.

3.4. **Relation with Brito and Chari's results.** In [1, Proposition in Section 2.5], Brito and Chari gave a non-recursive formula of $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ using two sets $\Gamma_{i,j}$ and $\Gamma'_{i,j}$. Recall that in [1, Section 2.3], the set $\Gamma_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in I$ is defined as follows. $\Gamma_{i,j} = \{0\}$ if $j < i$ and if $i \le j$ then $\Gamma_{i,j}$ consists of $(0,1)$ sequences $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon_i, \dots, \varepsilon_{j+1})$ with $(j - i + 2)$ length subject to the following four conditions:

$$\varepsilon_i + \cdots + \varepsilon_{i_\diamond} \le 1 \le \varepsilon_i + \cdots + \varepsilon_{i_\diamond+1} \quad i_\diamond \le j, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond} \le 1 \le \varepsilon_{m+1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond+1} \quad i_\diamond \le m = m_\diamond < j_\bullet, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\max\{i, j_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_j \le 1, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_{j+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = j_\diamond, \\ 1 - (\varepsilon_{\max\{i, j_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

The set $\Gamma'_{i,j}$ is determined by $\Gamma_{i,j}$ consisting of $(-1,0,1)$ sequences $\varepsilon' := (\varepsilon'_i, \ldots, \varepsilon'_{j+1})$ [1, Section 2.4]:

(1) if $i_\bullet = m_\bullet$ or $\varepsilon_{\max\{i,(m_\bullet)_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{m_\bullet} = 1$, then

$$\varepsilon'_m = \begin{cases} (\delta_{m,m_\diamond} - 1)\varepsilon_{m+1} - \delta_{m,m_\diamond} & \text{if } \varepsilon_{\max\{i,m_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_m = 0, \\ \delta_{m,m_\diamond} - (\varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_{m+1}) & \text{if } \varepsilon_{\max\{i,m_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_m = 1, \end{cases}$$

(2) if $m_\bullet \geq i$ and $\varepsilon_{\max\{i,(m_\bullet)_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{m_\bullet} = 0$, then $\varepsilon'_m = \delta_{m,m_\diamond}(1 - \varepsilon_{m+1})$, and
(3) if $j = j_\diamond$, then $\varepsilon'_{j+1} = 1 - (\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_j)$, otherwise $\varepsilon'_{j+1} = \varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}} + \cdots + \varepsilon_j$.

Following [1, Section 2.5], for any $\varepsilon \in \Gamma_{i,j}$ and the corresponding $\varepsilon' \in \Gamma'_{i,j}$, one defines

$$m^\varepsilon_{i,j} = x_{i-1}^{(1-\varepsilon_i)} x_i^{\varepsilon'_i} \cdots x_j^{\varepsilon'_j} x_{j+1}^{\varepsilon'_{j+1}}, \quad f^\varepsilon_{i,j} = (x'_i)^{\varepsilon_i} \cdots (x'_j)^{\varepsilon_j} (x'_{j+1})^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})\varepsilon_{j+1}}.$$

Example 3.10. For $x[\alpha_{1,7}]$ in Example 3.9, the sets $\Gamma_{1,7}$ and $\Gamma'_{1,7}$, monomials $m^\varepsilon_{1,7}$ and $f^\varepsilon_{1,7}$ are listed in Table 2. The $(i+1)$-th row $(1 \leq i \leq 23)$ in Table 2 corresponds to the i-th perfect matching in Table 1 from up to down and from left to right.

In general, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ be the snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ $(i \leq j)$. Then $|\Gamma_{i,j}| = |\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})| = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$.*

Proof. By [1, Lemma in Section 2.3], we have $|\Gamma_{i,j}| = |\Gamma_{i,j-1}| + |\Gamma_{i,j_\bullet-1}|$ for $j > i$ and $|\Gamma_{i,j}| = 1$ for $j < i$.

Recall that $\mathcal{G}$ has $\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ many perfect matchings [9, Theorem 3.4] and

$$\mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n - 1, 1] = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n - 1] + \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}].$$

In the following, we prove that $|\Gamma_{i,j}| = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ by an induction on $j - i$. If $j = i$, then $\mathcal{N}[2] = 2$ and by the proof of [1, Proposition in Section 2.5], we have

$$\Gamma_{i,i} = \begin{cases} \{(0,1),(1,1)\} & \text{if } i = i_\diamond, \\ \{(0,1),(1,0)\} & \text{if } i \neq i_\diamond, \end{cases} \quad \Gamma'_{i,i} = \begin{cases} \{(-1,1),(-1,0)\} & \text{if } i = i_\diamond, \\ \{(-1,0),(-1,1)\} & \text{if } i \neq i_\diamond. \end{cases}$$

Assume that our formula holds for $j - i \leq k$ and $|\Gamma_{i,i+k}| = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, where $\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ is the snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,i+k}]$. If $i + k \neq (i+k)_\diamond$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{i,i+k+1}| &= |\Gamma_{i,i+k}| + |\Gamma_{i,(i+k+1)_\diamond - 1}| = \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] + \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathcal{N}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{G}[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n + 1]$ is the snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,i+k+1}]$.

$\Gamma_{1,7}$	$\Gamma'_{1,7}$	$m^{\varepsilon}_{1,7}$	$f^{\varepsilon}_{1,7}$
$(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1)$	$(0,-1,0,0,1,0,-1,0)$	$x_2^{-1}x_5x_7^{-1}$	$x'_3x'_8$
$(0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0)$	$(0,-1,0,0,1,-1,-1,1)$	$x_2^{-1}x_5x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_3x'_7$
$(0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0)$	$(0,-1,0,0,0,-1,0,1)$	$x_2^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_3x'_6$
$(0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1)$	$(-1,-1,-1,0,1,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_5x_7^{-1}$	$x'_2x'_3x'_8$
$(0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0)$	$(-1,-1,-1,0,1,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_5x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_3x'_7$
$(0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,-1,-1,0,0,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_3x'_6$
$(1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1)$	$(-1,0,-1,0,1,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_5x_7^{-1}$	$x'_1x'_3x'_8$
$(0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1)$	$(-1,0,-1,-1,1,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_5x_7^{-1}$	$x'_2x'_4x'_8$
$(1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1)$	$(-1,1,-1,-1,1,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_5x_7^{-1}$	$x'_1x'_4x'_8$
$(1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0)$	$(-1,0,-1,0,1,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_5x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_3x'_7$
$(0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0)$	$(-1,0,-1,-1,1,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_5x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_4x'_7$
$(1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0)$	$(-1,1,-1,-1,1,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_5x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_4x'_7$
$(1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,0,-1,0,0,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_3x'_6$
$(0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,0,-1,-1,0,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_4x'_6$
$(1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,1,-1,-1,0,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_3^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_4x'_6$
$(1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1)$	$(-1,1,0,-1,0,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_4^{-1}x_7^{-1}$	$x'_1x'_5x'_8$
$(1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0)$	$(-1,1,0,-1,0,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_4^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_5x'_7$
$(1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0)$	$(-1,1,0,-1,-1,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_4^{-1}x_5^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_5x'_6$
$(0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1)$	$(-1,0,0,-1,0,0,-1,0)$	$x_1^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_7^{-1}$	$x'_2x'_5x'_8$
$(0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0)$	$(-1,0,0,-1,0,-1,-1,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_5x'_7$
$(0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0)$	$(-1,0,0,-1,-1,-1,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_4^{-1}x_5^{-1}x_6^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_5x'_6$
$(0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,0,0,0,-1,0,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_5^{-1}x_8$	$x'_2x'_6$
$(1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0)$	$(-1,1,0,0,-1,0,0,1)$	$x_1^{-1}x_2x_5^{-1}x_8$	$x'_1x'_6$

TABLE 2. The sets $\Gamma_{1,7}$ and $\Gamma'_{1,7}$, monomials $m^{\varepsilon}_{1,7}$ and $f^{\varepsilon}_{1,7}$.

If $i+k=(i+k)_\diamond$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|\Gamma_{i,i+k+1}| &= |\Gamma_{i,i+k}| + |\Gamma_{i,(i+k+1)_\diamond-1}| = \mathcal{N}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n] + |\Gamma_{i,i+k-1}| \\ &= \mathcal{N}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n-1,1] + \mathcal{N}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n-1] \\ &= \mathcal{N}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n-1,2].\end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{G}[a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n-1,2]$ is the snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,i+k+1}]$. □

We refine Theorem 3.11 as follows.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{G}=(G_1,\ldots,G_{j-i+1})$ be the labeled snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$. Then*

$$|\{(\varepsilon_i,\dots,\varepsilon_{i_\diamond+1}) \mid (\varepsilon_i,\dots,\varepsilon_{i_\diamond+1},\dots)\in\Gamma_{i,j}\}| = |\mathrm{Match}(G_1,\dots,G_{i_\diamond-i+2})|, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{(\varepsilon_{m+1},\dots,\varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond+1}) \mid (\dots,\varepsilon_{m+1},\dots,\varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond+1},\dots)\in\Gamma_{i,j}\}|\\ &= |\mathrm{Match}(G_{m-i+2},\dots,G_{(m+1)_\diamond-i+2})|,\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{(\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_{j+1}) \mid (\dots,\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_{j+1})\in\Gamma_{i,j}\}|\\ &= |\mathrm{Match}(G_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}-i+1},\dots,G_{j-i+1})|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Indeed, by (3.3), the set in the left hand side of (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\{(\varepsilon_i,\dots,\varepsilon_{i_\diamond+1})\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(i_\diamond-i+2)} \mid (\varepsilon_i+\cdots+\varepsilon_{i_\diamond},\varepsilon_{i_\diamond+1})\in\{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}\},$$

the cardinality of the set is $2(i_\diamond-i)+3$; by (3.4), the set in the left hand side of (3.8) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{(\varepsilon_{m+1},\dots,\varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond+1})\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{((m+1)_\diamond-m+1)} \mid (\varepsilon_{m+1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond},\varepsilon_{(m+1)_\diamond+1})\\ \in\{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

the cardinality of the set is $2((m+1)_\diamond-m)+1$; by (3.5)–(3.6), the set in the left hand side of (3.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}\Big\{(\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_{j+1})\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(j-\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}+2)} \mid (\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_{j+1})\\ \in\{(0,1),(1,1)\}\Big\}, & \text{if } j=j_\diamond,\\ \Big\{(\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_{j+1})\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(j-\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}+2)} \mid (\varepsilon_{\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}},\dots,\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_{j+1})\\ \in\{(0,1),(1,0)\}\Big\}, & \text{if } j\neq j_\diamond,\end{cases}$$

the cardinality of the set is $(j-\max\{i,j_\bullet+1\}+2)$.

In the other hand, the snake graph in (3.7) or (3.8) is a union of a zigzag snake graph and a tile, whereas the snake graph in (3.9) is a zigzag snake graph. A zigzag snake graph with d tiles has $(d+1)$ many perfect matchings. Finally, we apply the following formula

$$\mathcal{N}[a,2]=2a+1,$$

where a is one less than the number of tiles in a snake graph. □

Remark 3.13. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the labeled snake graph associated to $x[\alpha_{i,j}]$ and $\Gamma_{i,j}$ the set defined in the beginning of this section. There is a partial order on the set $\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ [31]. We expect that the following are true and they are equivalent.

(1) There exsits a partial order on $\Gamma_{i,j}$ such that there is a bijection from $\Gamma_{i,j}$ to $\mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ preserving the order.

(2) For any $\varepsilon \in \Gamma_{i,j}$, there exists a unique perfect matching $P^\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ such that


$$m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon} f_{i,j}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{x(P^\varepsilon)y(P^\varepsilon)}{(\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} x_\ell)y(P_+)|_{\mathbb{P}}}.$$

3.5. **The highest and lowest ℓ-weight monomials of Hernandez-Leclerc modules.** In this subsection, we determine the highest and lowest ℓ-weight monomials in the q-character of an HL-module using perfect matchings of snake graphs.


Lemma 3.14. *Let Q_ξ be a quiver associated to a height function ξ. Assume that there is no source or sink vertex in the interval (i, j). Then there exists a unique perfect matching P such that*

$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{(x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_j)F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j)} = \frac{x_i' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i} \text{ or } \frac{x_i' x_{i+1}' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+1}}.$$

Proof. If $\xi(i) = \xi(i+1) + 1$, then there is a full subquiver of Q_ξ, which is one of the following quivers




(3.10)




(3.11)

If $\xi(i) = \xi(i+1) - 1$, then there is a full subquiver of Q_ξ, which is one of the following quivers



(3.12)



(3.13)

By our Definition 3.3, the labeled snake graph associated to $\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}])$ is a zigzag labeled snake graph $\mathcal{G} = (G_i, G_{i+1}, \ldots, G_j)$. Let P_- (respectively, P_+) be the minimal (respectively, maximal) perfect matching of $\mathcal{G}$. Define another labeled perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows:

$$P = \begin{cases} P_+ & \text{for (3.10)},\\ {}_W\mathcal{G} \cup e_1 \cup P_-|_{(\mathcal{G}\setminus G_i)} & \text{for (3.11)},\\ P_- & \text{for (3.12)},\\ {}_W\mathcal{G} \cup e_1 \cup P_+|_{(\mathcal{G}\setminus G_i)} & \text{for (3.13)}. \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{x_{i-1}x_{j+1}^{\delta_{j,j\diamond}}x'^{(1-\delta_{j,j\diamond})}_{j+1}} & \text{for (3.10)},\\ \dfrac{1}{x_{i-1}x'_{i+1}x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j\diamond})}} & \text{for (3.11)},\\ \dfrac{1}{x'_i x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j\diamond})}} & \text{for (3.12)},\\ \dfrac{1}{x'_i x_{j+1}^{\delta_{j,j\diamond}}x'^{(1-\delta_{j,j\diamond})}_{j+1}} & \text{for (3.13)}. \end{cases}$$

By computation, we have

$$\frac{x(P)}{x_i x_{i+1}\dots x_j} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{x_i} & \text{for (3.10) and (3.12)},\\ \dfrac{1}{x_i x_{i+1}} & \text{for (3.11) and (3.13)}, \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{y(P)}{F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j)} = \begin{cases} x_i' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})} & \text{for (3.10) and (3.12)},\\ x_i' x_{i+1}' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})} & \text{for (3.11) and (3.13)}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{(x_i x_{i+1}\dots x_j)F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{x_i' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i} & \text{for (3.10), (3.12)},\\ \dfrac{x_i' x_{i+1}' x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+1}} & \text{for (3.11), (3.13)}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the uniqueness of P follows from the uniqueness of the perfect matching with the height monomial $y(P)$, because the set of all perfect matchings in a zigzag snake graph forms a total order, and a weight monomial does not affect the associated height monomial. □

Denote by $\mathcal{G} = (G_i, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_j)$ the snake graph with sign function $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ associated to the HL-module parameterized by $\alpha_{i,j}$. We have the following observation: The vertices $(i+\ell_1-1), (i+\ell_2-1), \dots, (i+\ell_{l-1}-1)$ are sources or sinks in Q_ξ. Hence by Definition 3.3, these tiles $G_{i+\ell_1-1}, G_{i+\ell_2-1}, \dots, G_{i+\ell_{l-1}-1}$ are sign-changed tiles.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_t = (G_{i+\ell_{t-1}}, G_{i+\ell_{t-1}+1}, \dots, G_{i+\ell_t-2}),\ 1 \le t \le l,$$

be all the (labeled) subsnake graphs in the decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$. Let P_- (respectively, P_+) be the minimal (respectively, maximal) perfect matching of $\mathcal{G}$. Denote by $P_-|_{\mathcal{H}_t}$ (respectively, $P_+|_{\mathcal{H}_t}$) the minimal (respectively, maximal) perfect matching obtained by restricting P_- (respectively, P_+) to $\mathcal{H}_t$, where $1 \le t \le l$. Define another (labeled) perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows. If i is a source or sink in Q_ξ, we define

$$P|_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \begin{cases} {}_W\mathcal{G} \cup e_1 \cup P_-|_{(\mathcal{H}_1 \setminus G_i)} & \text{if } i \text{ is a source},\\ {}_W\mathcal{G} \cup e_1 \cup P_+|_{(\mathcal{H}_1 \setminus G_i)} & \text{if } i \text{ is a sink}, \end{cases}$$

and otherwise let

$$P|_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \begin{cases} P_-|_{\mathcal{H}_1} & \text{if } i \to i' \text{ in } Q_\xi,\\ P_+|_{\mathcal{H}_1} & \text{if } i' \to i \text{ in } Q_\xi. \end{cases}$$

For $1 < t \leq l$, let

$$P|_{\mathcal{H}_t} = \begin{cases} P_-|_{\mathcal{H}_t} & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1}) \to (i+\ell_{t-1})' \text{ in } Q_\xi, \\ P_+|_{\mathcal{H}_t} & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1})' \to (i+\ell_{t-1}) \text{ in } Q_\xi. \end{cases}$$

Define P as the gluing of $P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}$ for $1 \leq t \leq l$ and it is a perfect matching of $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 3.15. If i is a source or sink in Q_ξ, then we define the revised height monomial

$$\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } i \text{ is a source,} \\ y(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) & \text{if } i \text{ is a sink and } l = 1, \\ y_{i+\ell_1-1}y(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) & \text{if } i \text{ is a sink and } l > 1. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, for $1 \leq t \leq l$ we define the revised height monomial

$$\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1}) \to (i+\ell_{t-1})' \text{ in } Q_\xi, \\ y(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}) & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1})' \to (i+\ell_{t-1}) \text{ in } Q_\xi \text{ and } t = l, \\ y_{i+\ell_t-1}y(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}) & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1})' \to (i+\ell_{t-1}) \text{ in } Q_\xi \text{ and } t \neq l. \end{cases}$$

We immediately have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.16. *With the notation above, there exists a unique perfect matching $P \in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})$ such that*

$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{(\prod_{s=i}^{j} x_s)F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{x'_i x'_{i+1} x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \ldots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \ldots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} & \textit{if } i \textit{ is a source or sink,} \\ \dfrac{x'_i x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \ldots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \ldots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the definition of P, if i is a source or sink in Q_ξ, then we have

$$x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{s=2}^{\ell_1-2} x_{i+s} & \text{if } l = 1, \\ \prod_{s=2}^{\ell_1-1} x_{i+s} & \text{if } l > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } i \text{ is a source,} \\ \prod_{s=1}^{\ell_1-2} y_{i+s} & \text{if } i \text{ is a sink and } l = 1, \\ \prod_{s=1}^{\ell_1-1} y_{i+s} & \text{if } i \text{ is a sink and } l > 1, \end{cases}$$

and otherwise for $1 \leq t \leq l$

$$x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}+1}^{\ell_t-2} x_{i+s} & \text{if } t = l, \\ \prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}+1}^{\ell_t-1} x_{i+s} & \text{if } t < l, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1}) \to (i+\ell_{t-1})' \text{ in } Q_\xi, \\ \prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}}^{\ell_t-2} y_{i+s} & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1})' \to (i+\ell_{t-1}) \text{ in } Q_\xi \text{ and } t = l, \\ \prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}}^{\ell_t-1} y_{i+s} & \text{if } (i+\ell_{t-1})' \to (i+\ell_{t-1}) \text{ in } Q_\xi \text{ and } t \neq l. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j) = y(P_+)|_{\mathbb{P}} = \left(\prod_{t=1}^{l-1} (\prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}}^{\ell_t-1} y_{i+s})|_{\mathbb{P}} \right) (\prod_{s=\ell_{l-1}}^{\ell_l-2} y_{i+s})|_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

We consider zigzag snake graphs

$$(G_{i+\ell_{t-1}}, G_{i+\ell_{t-1}+1}, \ldots, G_{i+\ell_t-1}) = \mathcal{H}_t \cup G_{i+\ell_t-1}$$

for $1 \leq t \leq l-1$ and

$$(G_{i+\ell_{l-1}}, G_{i+\ell_{l-1}+1}, \ldots, G_{i+\ell_l-2}) = \mathcal{H}_l.$$

By checking case by case, for $1 \leq t \leq l-1$, $x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t})$ is just the weight monomial on $\mathcal{H}_t \cup G_{i+\ell_t-1}$ with the height monomial $\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_j})$, and $x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_l})$ is the weight monomial on $\mathcal{H}_l$ with the height monomial $\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_l})$.

Since these vertices $(i+\ell_1-1), (i+\ell_2-1), \ldots, (i+\ell_{l-1}-1)$ are sources or sinks in Q_ξ, by Lemma 3.14, we have

$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{(\prod_{s=1}^{j} x_s)F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j)} = \left(\prod_{t=1}^{l-1} \frac{x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t})\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t})}{(\prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}}^{\ell_t-1} x_{i+s})(\prod_{s=\ell_{t-1}}^{\ell_t-1} y_{i+s})|_{\mathbb{P}}} \right) \frac{x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_l})\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_l})}{(\prod_{s=\ell_{l-1}}^{\ell_l-2} x_{i+s})(\prod_{s=\ell_{l-1}}^{\ell_l-2} y_{i+s})|_{\mathbb{P}}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \dfrac{x'_i x'_{i+1}}{x_i x_{i+1}} \left(\prod_{t=2}^{l-1} \dfrac{x'_{i+\ell_{t-1}}}{x_{i+\ell_{t-1}}} \right) \dfrac{x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} & \text{if } i \text{ is a source or sink,} \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \dfrac{x'_{i+\ell_{j-1}}}{x_{i+\ell_{j-1}}} \right) \dfrac{x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, the uniqueness of P follows from the uniqueness of the perfect matching of each $\mathcal{H}_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq l$. □

By Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.1, assume without loss of generality that

$$\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}]) = [L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\dots Y_{i_k,a_k})]$$

for $i = i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$. Denote by $\mathcal{G} = (G_i, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_j)$ the snake graph with sign function $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ associated to the HL-module $L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\dots Y_{i_k,a_k})$. Then by Lemma 3.16, either $l = k$ or $|l-k| = 1$, and $l = k$ if and only if i is not a source or sink and j is a source or sink.

Theorem 3.17. *With the notation above, the highest or lowest ℓ-weight monomial in the q-character of an arbitrary HL-module $L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\dots Y_{i_k,a_k})$ occurs in*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\chi_q(\iota(x'_i x'_{i+1} x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \dots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}))}{\chi_q(\iota(x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \dots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}))} & \text{if } i \text{ is a source or sink,} \\ \dfrac{\chi_q(\iota(x'_i x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \dots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}))}{\chi_q(\iota(x_i x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \dots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}))} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\chi_q(\prod_{\ell=i}^{j} \iota(x_\ell))\chi_q(\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}])) = \chi_q\left(\iota\left(\frac{\sum_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} x(P)y(P)}{\bigoplus_{P\in \mathrm{Match}(\mathcal{G})} y(P)}\right)\right). \tag{3.14}$$

By [21, Corollary 6.9], for an arbitrary simple $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module $L(m)$, the lowest ℓ-weight monomial in $\chi_q(L(m))$ is the product of the lowest ℓ-weight monomials of fundamental modules whose highest ℓ-weight monomials are factors of m, and the highest or lowest ℓ-weight monomial is unique. Hence the lowest ℓ-weight monomial in both sides of Equation (3.14) should be same. By Lemma 3.16, there exists a unique perfect matching P of the snake graph associated to the HL-module $L(Y_{i_1,a_1}Y_{i_2,a_2}\dots Y_{i_k,a_k})$ such that


$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{x'_i x'_{i+1} x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \dots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \dots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} (\prod_{s=i}^{j} x_s) & \text{if } i \text{ is a source or sink,} \\ \dfrac{x'_i x'_{i+\ell_1} x'_{i+\ell_2} \dots x'_{i+\ell_{l-1}} x_{j+1}^{(1-\delta_{j,j_\diamond})}}{x_i x_{i+\ell_1} x_{i+\ell_2} \dots x_{i+\ell_{l-1}}} (\prod_{s=i}^{j} x_s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2.6, for any $1 \leq \ell \leq k$,


$$\iota(x_{i_\ell}) = [L(Y_{i_\ell,\xi(i_\ell+1)})], \quad \iota(x'_{i_\ell}) = [L(Y_{i_\ell,\xi(i_\ell)-1}Y_{i_\ell,\xi(i_\ell)+1})].$$

Comparing the highest ℓ-weight monomial and the lowest ℓ-weight monomial in both sides of Equation (3.14), and by their uniqueness property, our result holds. □

Example 3.18. Continue our previous Example 3.9, the minimal perfect matching P_- of $\mathcal{G}$ and each $P_-|_{\mathcal{H}_t}$ $(t = 1, 2, 3)$ are shown in the left and right hand side of the following figure respectively.



By definition, the required perfect matching P of $\mathcal{G}$ is



By the definition of $x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_t})$ for $t = 1, 2, 3$ and Definition 3.15, we have

$$x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = x_2, \quad x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_2}) = x_4x_5, \quad x(P|_{\mathcal{H}_3}) = x_7,$$
$$\widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = y_1y_2, \quad \widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_2}) = 1, \quad \widetilde{y}(P|_{\mathcal{H}_3}) = y_6y_7.$$

Using Lemma 3.16, we have

$$\frac{x(P)y(P)}{(\prod_{s=1}^{7} x_s)F|_{\mathbb{P}}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_7)} = \left(\frac{x_2y_1y_2}{x_1x_2(y_1y_2)|_{\mathbb{P}}}\right)\left(\frac{x_4x_5}{x_3x_4x_5(y_3y_4y_5)|_{\mathbb{P}}}\right)\left(\frac{x_7y_6y_7}{x_6x_7(y_6y_7)|_{\mathbb{P}}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{x'_1x'_3x'_6x_8}{x_1x_3x_6}.$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.17, the highest ℓ-weight monomial and the lowest ℓ-weight monomial in the q-character of $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6})$ occur in

$$\frac{\chi_q(\iota(x'_1x'_3x'_6x_8))}{\chi_q(\iota(x_1x_3x_6))} = \frac{\chi_q(L(Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-3})L(Y_{3,-7}Y_{3,-5})L(Y_{6,-4}Y_{6,-2})L(Y_{8,-6}))}{\chi_q(L(Y_{1,-5})L(Y_{3,-5})L(Y_{6,-4}))},$$

and they are

$$\frac{(Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-3})(Y_{3,-7}Y_{3,-5})(Y_{6,-4}Y_{6,-2})(Y_{8,-6})}{(Y_{1,-5})(Y_{3,-5})(Y_{6,-4})} = Y_{1,-3}Y_{3,-7}Y_{6,-2}Y_{8,-6},$$
$$\frac{(Y_{9,5}^{-1}Y_{9,7}^{-1})(Y_{7,3}^{-1}Y_{7,5}^{-1})(Y_{4,6}^{-1}Y_{4,8}^{-1})(Y_{2,4}^{-1})}{Y_{9,5}^{-1}Y_{7,5}^{-1}Y_{4,6}^{-1}} = Y_{2,4}^{-1}Y_{4,8}^{-1}Y_{7,3}^{-1}Y_{9,7}^{-1},$$

respectively.

4. A new recursion for q-characters of Hernandez-Leclerc modules


In this section, we give a recursive formula for HL-modules by an induction on the length of the highest ℓ-weight monomials of HL-modules.

Let $d_j = \delta_{j,j_\diamond}$ for $j \in I$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $j \in I$ be a source or sink and $j > i \in I$. Then*


$$x[\alpha_{i,j}]x[\alpha_{j+1,j+1}] = x[\alpha_{i,j+1}] + x[\alpha_{i,\max\{i-1,j_\bullet-1\}}]^{1-\delta_{i,j_\bullet}} x'^{\min\{1,(1-\delta_{j_\bullet,i_\bullet})d_{j_\bullet-1}+\delta_{j_\bullet,i}\}}_{\max\{i,j_\bullet\}} x[-\alpha_{j+2}]^{d_{j+1}} x'^{1-d_{j+1}}_{j+2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. By [1, Lemma in Section 2.2], if there is an arrow $(j-1) \to j$ in Q_ξ, then after mutating the sequence $i, (i+1), \dots, (j-1)$ we obtain the following arrows at vertices j and $(j+1)$:



where $a_j = 1 - \delta_{i,j_\bullet}$ and $b_j = \min\{1, (1-\delta_{j_\bullet,i_\bullet})d_{j_\bullet-1} + \delta_{j_\bullet,i}\}$.

We mutate vertices j and $(j+1)$ in order and obtain the following arrows connecting to the vertex j:



Nextly, we mutate the vertex j and compare the denominators in the exchange relation using Theorem 2.3, then

$$x[\alpha_{i,j}]x[\alpha_{j+1,j+1}] = x[\alpha_{i,j+1}] + x[\alpha_{i,\max\{i-1,j_\bullet-1\}}]^{a_j} x'^{b_j}_{\max\{i,j_\bullet\}} x[-\alpha_{j+2}]^{d_{j+1}} x'^{1-d_{j+1}}_{j+2}.$$

If there is an arrow $j \to (j-1)$ in Q_ξ, then we reverse all the orientations and obtain the same equation. □

Remark 4.2. (1) The q-character of $\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j+1}])$ is the product of q-characters of $\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j}])$ and $\iota(x[\alpha_{j+1,j+1}])$ except those terms appearing in the product of q-characters of $\iota(x[\alpha_{i,\max\{i-1,j_\bullet-1\}}]^{a_j})$, $\iota(x'^{b_j}_{\max\{i,j_\bullet\}})$, $\iota(x[-\alpha_{j+2}]^{d_{j+1}})$, and $\iota(x'^{1-d_{j+1}}_{j+2})$.

(2) Our recursive formula (4.1) is different from a recursive formula given by Brito and Chari [1, Proposition in Section 1.5], which are exchange relations corresponding to the mutation sequence $(i, i+1, \ldots, j+1)$, whereas our recursive formula needs to mutate $(i, i+1, \ldots, j, j+1, j)$ for a height function ξ such that j is source or sink.

(3) It is possible by Equation (4.1) for us to give a combinatorial path formula in which we allow overlapping paths, generalizing Mukhin and Young's path formula for snake modules [29, 30].

In practice, given an HL-module, we construct a height function ξ such that $\iota(x[\alpha_{i,j+1}])$ is an HL-module (up to isomorphic) for some $i < j$, and $j, (j+1)$ being sources or sinks, see our construction in Theorem 3.1. Hence Equation (4.1) reduces to

$$x[\alpha_{i,j+1}] = x[\alpha_{i,j}]x[\alpha_{j+1,j+1}] - x[\alpha_{i,\max\{i-1,j_\bullet-1\}}]^{a_j} x'^{b_j}_{\max\{i,j_\bullet\}} x[-\alpha_{j+2}]^{d_{j+1}}$$


giving a recursive formula for the q-character of an HL-module by an induction on the length of its highest ℓ-weight monomial.

We end this section with an example illustrating Theorem 4.1.

Example 4.3. Let $L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-7})$ be an HL-module in type A_4. By Theorem 3.1, we take

$$\xi(1) = -6,\ \xi(2) = -5,\ \xi(3) = -6,\ \xi(4) = -5,$$

and we have a convention that $\xi(0) = -5$, $\xi(5) = -6$. The quiver Q_ξ is as follows.



Take $j = 2$ being a source in Q_ξ and by Theorem 4.1, we have

$$L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-7}) = L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4})L(Y_{3,-7}) - L(Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-7})L(Y_{4,-6}).$$

The q-character of $L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4}Y_{3,-7})$ is the product of q-characters of $L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4})$ and $L(Y_{3,-7})$, except those terms which are in the product of q-characters of $L(Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-7})$ and $L(Y_{4,-6})$. In the product of q-characters of $L(Y_{1,-7}Y_{2,-4})$ and $L(Y_{3,-7})$, there are 400 monomials, among them 75 monomials are the same as the monomials in the product of q-characters of $L(Y_{1,-5}Y_{1,-7})$ and $L(Y_{4,-6})$.

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771191, 12001254). Jian-Rong Li is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): M 2633-N32 Meitner Program. Bing Duan is grateful to Professor Ralf Schiffler for helpful discussions about snake graphs and cluster expansion formulas.

References

[1] M. Brito, V. Chari, *Tensor products and q-characters of HL-modules and monoidal categorifications*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. 6 (2019), 581–619.

[2] W. Chang, B. Duan, C. Fraser, and J.-R. Li, *Quantum affine algebras and Grassmannians*, Math. Z. 296 (2020), no. 3–4, 1539–1583.

[3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 142 (1991), no. 2, 261–283.

[4] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[5] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*. Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 59–78, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[6] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Factorization of representations of quantum affine algebras*, Modular interfaces (Riverside, CA, 1995), 33–40, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[7] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Snake graph calculus and cluster algebras from surfaces*, J. Algebra 382 (2013), 240–281.

[8] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Snake graph calculus and cluster algebras from surfaces II: self-crossing snake graphs*, Math. Z. 281 (2015), no. 1–2, 55–102.

[9] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Cluster algebras and continued fractions*, Compos. Math. 154 (2018), no. 3, 565–593.

[10] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Snake graph calculus and cluster algebras from surfaces III: Band graphs and snake rings*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2019, no. 4, 1145–1226.

[11] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Snake graphs and continued fractions*, European J. Combin. 86 (2020), 103081, 19 pp.

[12] G. Cerulli Irelli, B. Keller, D. Labardini-Fragoso, P.-G. Plamondon, *Linear independence of cluster monomials for skew-symmetric cluster algebras*, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), no. 10, 1753–1764.

[13] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 283 (1985), no. 5, 1060–1064.

[14] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 296 (1987), no. 1, 13–17; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 36 (1988), no. 2, 212–216.

[15] L. Demonet, *Categorification of skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 14 (2011), no. 6, 1087–1162.

[16] B. Duan, R. Schiffler, *A geometric q-character formula for snake modules*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 102 (2020) 846–878.

[17] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, D. Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes*, Acta Math. 201 (2008), no. 1, 83–146.

[18] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 2, 497–529.

[19] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 1, 63–121.

[20] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), no. 1, 112–164.

[21] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *Combinatorics of q-characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 216 (2001), no. 1, 23–57.

[22] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *The q-characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W-algebras*, Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics

(Raleigh, NC, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 248, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 163–205.
[23] D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. 154 (2010), no. 2, 265–341.
[24] D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of type A and D*, Symmetries, Integrable Systems and Representations, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics Statistics 40 (2013), 175–193.
[25] D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *A cluster algebra approach to q-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin module*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 18 (2016), no. 5, 1113–1159.
[26] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985), no. 1, 63–69.
[27] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), no. 2, 165–184.
[28] B. Leclerc, *Imaginary vectors in the dual canonical basis of $U_q(n)$*, Transform. Groups 8 (1) (2003) 95–104.
[29] E. Mukhin and C. A. S. Young, *Path description of type B q-characters*, Adv. Math. 231(2012), no. 2, 1119–1150.
[30] E. Mukhin and C. A. S. Young, *Extended T-systems*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 18 (2012), no. 3, 591–631.
[31] G. Musiker, R. Schiffler, L. Williams, *Positivity for cluster algebras from surfaces*, Adv. Math. 227 (2011), no. 6, 2241–2308.
[32] G. Musiker, R. Schiffler, L. Williams, *Bases for cluster algebras from surfaces*, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), no. 2, 217–263.
[33] M. Rabideau, *F-polynomial formula from continued fractions*, J. Algebra 509 (2018), 467–475.
[34] M. Rabideau, R. Schiffler, *Continued fractions and orderings on the Markov numbers*, Adv. Math. 370 (2020), 107231.
[35] R. Schiffler, *Quiver representations*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, Cham, 2014.

Bing Duan, School of mathematics and statistics, Lanzhou University, 730000, P. R. China; School of physical science and technology, Lanzhou University, 730000, P. R. China.
Email address: duanb@lzu.edu.cn

Jian-Rong Li, Institute of Mathematics and Scientific Computing, University of Graz, Graz 8010, Austria.
Email address: lijr07@gmail.com

Yan-Feng Luo, School of mathematics and statistics, Lanzhou University, 730000, P. R. China.
Email address: luoyf@lzu.edu.cn