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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity, $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, and $M$ be an $R$-module. In this paper, we study and investigate some properties of $S$-primary submodules of $M$. Among the other results, it is shown that this class of modules contains the family of primary (resp. $S$-prime) submodules properly.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, all rings are commutative with identity elements, and all modules are unital left modules. $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbb{Q}$ will denote respectively the natural numbers, the ring of integers and the field of quotients of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Consider a non-empty subset $S$ of $R$. We call $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ if (i) $0 \notin S$, (ii) $1 \in S$, and (iii) $s s^{\prime} \in S$ for all $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ [12]. Note that $S=R-p$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ for every prime ideal $p$ of $R$. Let $N$ and $K$ be two submodules of an $R$-module $M$ and $J$ an ideal of $R$. Then the residual $N$ by $K$ and $J$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(N:_{R} K\right)=\{r \in R \mid r K \subseteq N\} \\
\left(N:_{M} J\right)=\{m \in M \mid J m \subseteq N\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Particularly, we use $A n n_{R}(M)$ instead of $\left(0:_{R} M\right)$, and we use $\left(N:_{M} s\right)$ instead of $\left(N:_{M} R s\right)$, where $R s$ is the principal ideal generated by an element $s \in R$. The sets of prime ideals and maximal ideals of $R$ are denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and $\operatorname{Max}(R)$, respectively.

A submodule $P$ of $M$ is called prime if $P \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$ and $e \in M$ satisfy $r e \in P$, then $r \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $e \in P$. The set of all prime submodule of $M$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$ (See [3,7]).

In [11], the authors introduce the concept of $S$-prime submodule and investigate some properties of this class of modules. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $P$ be a submodule of $M$ with $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $P$ is said to be an $S$-prime submodule if there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s r \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in P$. Particularly, an ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be an $S$-prime ideal if $I$ is an $S$-prime submodule of the $R$-module $R$.

The notion of $S$-primary submodule was introduced in [5]. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $P$ be a submodule of $M$ with $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $P$ is said to be an $S$-primary submodule if there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. The author has not specified the properties of this family of modules excepts a few results about a certain $R$-module.

[^0]In this paper, we will study the family of $S$-primary submodules extensively and investigate some of their properties. In fact, this family of modules is a generalization of primary (resp. $S$-prime) submodules.

Among the other results, we provide some notions that each one is equivalent to $S$-primary (see Theorem 2.2). Examples 2.4 and 2.5 show that these new modules contain the family of primary and $S$-prime submodules properly. Further It is proved that if $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, then $S^{-1} P$ is also an $S$-primary submodule of $S^{-1} M$ (see Proposition 2.7). Example 2.8 shows that the converse is not true in general. Also we show that $S$-primary submodules has a good behavior with direct sums (see Theorems 2.20 and 2.21). Moreover, we provide some useful characterization concerning $S$-primary submodules (see Theorems 2.17, 2.24, and 2.25).

## 2. Main Results

Definition 2.1. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $P$ be a submodule of $M$ with $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $P$ is said to be an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in P$, where $m \in M$ and $r \in R$, then $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$ [5, Definition 2.27]. In particular, we say that an ideal $I$ of $R$ is an $S$-primary ideal if $I$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $R$-module $R$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. For a submodule $P$ of an $R$-module $M$ with $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$;
(b) There exists $s \in S$ such that for every $r \in R$, the endomorphism
$r: s(M / P) \rightarrow s(M / P)$ given by $s \bar{m}=s m+P \mapsto r s \bar{m}=r s m+P$ is injective or $(r s)^{t}(M / P)=(\overline{0})$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$;
(c) There exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r N \subseteq P$, where $N$ is a submodule of $M$ and $r \in R$, then $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s N \subseteq P$;
(d) There exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $J N \subseteq P$, where $N$ is a submodule of $M$ and $J$ is an ideal of $R$, then $s J \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s N \subseteq P$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). By hypothesis, there exists $s \in S$ such that for every $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ if $r m \in P$, then we have $s m \in P$ or $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Now for each $r \in R$, we define the endomorphism $r: s(M / P) \rightarrow s(M / P)$ by $s m+P \mapsto r s m+P$. We show that this endomorphism is injective or $r s \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Assume $r s \notin$ $\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Then we show the other part holds. To see let $\overline{r s m}=r s m+P=P=$ $\overline{0}$. So we have $(r s) m \in P$. So by hypothesis, $s m \in P$ or $s(r s)=r s^{2} \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. We conclude $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $s m \in P$, as required.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. It is clear.
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (c). It is clear.
(c) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$. Let $J N \subseteq P$, where $J$ is an ideal of $R$ and $N$ is a submodule of $M$. We will show that there exists $s \in S$ such that $s N \subseteq P$ or $s J \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Clearly, we have $r N \subseteq P$ for every $r \in J$. So by part (c), there exists $s \in S$ such that $s N \subseteq P$ or $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ for every $r \in J$, as desired.
$(\mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Take $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $r m \in P$. Now, put $J=R r$ and $N=R m$. Then we can conclude that $J N=\operatorname{Rrm} \subseteq P$. By assumption, there is an $s \in S$ so
that $s J=R r s \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s N=R s m \subseteq P$ and so either $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$, as required.

Lemma 2.3. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then we have the following.
(a) If $P$ is a primary submodule of $M$ such that $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) If $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ and $S \subseteq u(R)$, where $u(R)$ denotes the set of units in $R$, then $P$ is a primary submodule of $M$.

Proof. This is clear.
By setting $S=\{1\}$, we conclude that every primary submodule is an $S$-primary submodule by Lemma 2.3. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.4. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Q} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}}\right)$, where $p_{i}$ are distinct positive prime integers. Take the submodule $P=(0)$ and the multiplicatively closed subset

$$
S=\left\{1, p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \ldots p_{n}^{m_{n}} \mid \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, m_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}
$$

First note that $(P: \mathbb{Z} M)=(0)$ and $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}(0, \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{1})=(0, \overline{0}, \overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{0}) \in P$. Since $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n} \notin \sqrt{\left(P:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right)}$ and $(0, \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{1}) \notin P, P$ is not a primary submodule of $M$. Put $s=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}$ and let

$$
k\left(\frac{p}{q}, \overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{x_{n}}\right)=\left(\frac{k p}{q}, \overline{k x_{1}}, \overline{k x_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{k x_{n}}\right) \in P
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left(\frac{p}{q}, \overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{x_{n}}\right) \in M$. Then $k p=0$. This yields that $k=0$ or $p=0$. If $k=0$, there is nothing to prove. Thus assume that $p=0$. Then $s\left(\frac{p}{q}, \overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{x_{n}}\right) \in P$. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

We recall that a submodule $P$ of an $R$-module $M$ is $S$-prime if there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s r \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in P$ 11. Clearly, every $S$-prime submodule is $S$-primary. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.5. Consider $M=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Set $S=\mathbb{Z} \backslash 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $P=(\overline{0})$. Thus we have $\left(P:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right)=4 \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 . \overline{2} \in(\overline{0})$. Since for every $s \in S, 2 s \notin(P: \mathbb{Z} M)$ and $s . \overline{2} \notin P, P$ is not an $S$-prime submodule of $M$. Put $s=1$ and let $k \bar{a}=\overline{0}$. If $\bar{a}=\overline{0}$, there is nothing to prove. Thus assume that $\bar{a} \neq \overline{0}$. Then $k=2 k^{\prime}$ for some $k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $k \in \sqrt{(P: \mathbb{Z} M)}$. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Remark 2.6. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Recall that the saturation $S^{*}$ of $S$ is defined as

$$
S^{*}=\left\{x \in R \left\lvert\, \frac{x}{1}\right. \text { is a unit of } S^{-1} R\right\}
$$

It is obvious that $S^{*}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ containing $S$ 6.
Proposition 2.7. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $M$ be an $R$-module. Then we have the following.
(a) If $S_{1} \subseteq S_{2}$ are multiplicatively closed subsets of $R$ and $P$ is an $S_{1}$-primary submodule of $M$, then $P$ is an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M$ in case $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$.
(b) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if and only if $P$ is an $S^{*}$-primary submodule of $M$.
(c) If $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, then $S^{-1} P$ is a primary submodule of $S^{-1} R$-module $S^{-1} M$.

Proof. (a) It is clear.
(b) Assume that $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. We need to prove that $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ and $S^{*}$ are disjoint. Suppose there exists $x \in\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S^{*}$. As $x \in S^{*}, \frac{x}{1}$ is a unit of $S^{-1} R$ and so $\left(\frac{x}{1}\right)\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)=1$ for some $a \in R$ and $s \in S$. This yields that $u s=u x a$ for some $u \in S$. Now we have that $u s=u x a \in$ $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S$, a contradiction. Thus $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S^{*}=\emptyset$. Now as $S \subseteq S^{*}$, by part (b), $P$ is an $S^{*}$-primary submodule of $M$. Conversely, assume that $P$ is an $S^{*}$-primary submodule of $M$. Let $r m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then there exists $x \in S^{*}$ such that $x r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $x m \in P$. As $\frac{x}{1}$ is a unit of $S^{-1} R$, there exist $u, s \in S$ and $a \in R$ such that us $=u x a$. Put $u s=s^{\prime} \in S$. Then note that $s^{\prime} r=(u s) r=u a x r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s^{\prime} m \in P$. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(c) Let $\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)\left(\frac{m}{t}\right) \in S^{-1} P$, where $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1} R$ and $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1} M$. Then urm $\in P$ for some $u \in S$. Since $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, there is an $s^{\prime} \in S$ so that $s^{\prime} u r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s^{\prime} m \in P$. This yields that $\frac{r}{s}=\frac{s^{\prime} u r}{s^{\prime} u s} \in$ $S^{-1} \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)} \subseteq \sqrt{\left(S^{-1} P:_{S^{-1} R} S^{-1} M\right)}$ or $\frac{m}{t}=\frac{s^{\prime} m}{s^{\prime} t} \in S^{-1} P$. Hence, $S^{-1} P$ is a primary submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

The following example shows that the converse of part (c) of Proposition 2.7 is not true in general.

Example 2.8. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Q}$. Take the submodule $N=\mathbb{Z}$ and the multiplicatively closed subset $S=\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $(N: \mathbb{Z} M)=(0)$. Let $s$ be an arbitrary element of $S$. Choose a prime number $p$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p, s)=1$. Then note that $p \frac{1}{p}=1 \in N$. But $s p \notin \sqrt{(N: \mathbb{Z} M)}$ and $\frac{s}{p} \notin N$, it follows that $N$ is not an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Since $S^{-1} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Q}$ is a field, $S^{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a vector space. Therefore the proper submodule $S^{-1} N$ is a primary submodule of $S^{-1} \mathbb{Q}$.
Proposition 2.9. Suppose $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is an $R$-homomorphism. Then we have the following.
(a) If $P^{\prime}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M^{\prime}$ provided that $\left(f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} M\right) \cap S=$ $\emptyset$, then $f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) If $f$ is an epimorphism and $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ with $k e r(f) \subseteq P$, then $f(P)$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M^{\prime}$.
Proof. (a) Let $r m \in f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ for some $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. This yields that $f(r m)=r f(m) \in P^{\prime}$. Since $P^{\prime}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M^{\prime}$, there is an $s \in S$ so that $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} M^{\prime}\right)}$ or $s f(m) \in P^{\prime}$. Now we will show that $\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} M^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} M\right)$. Take $x \in\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} M^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have $x M^{\prime} \subseteq P^{\prime}$. Since $f(M) \subseteq M^{\prime}$, we conclude that $f(x M)=x f(M) \subseteq$ $x M^{\prime} \subseteq P^{\prime}$. This implies that $x M \subseteq f^{-1}(f(M)) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and thus $x \in$
$\left(f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} M\right)$. As $\sqrt{\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} M^{\prime}\right)} \subseteq \sqrt{\left(f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} M\right)}$, we can conclude either $s a \in \sqrt{\left(f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $f^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) First note that $\left(f(P):_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Otherwise there would be an $s \in$ $\left(f(P):_{R} M^{\prime}\right) \cap S$. Since $s \in\left(f(P):_{R} M^{\prime}\right), s M^{\prime} \subseteq f(P)$, but then $f(s M)=$ $s f(M)=s M^{\prime} \subseteq f(P)$. By taking their inverse images under $f$, we have

$$
s M \subseteq s M+\operatorname{ker}(f) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(P))=P+\operatorname{ker}(f)=P
$$

That means $s \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$, which contradictions $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Now take $r \in R$ and $m^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ with $r m^{\prime} \in f(P)$. As $f$ is an epimorphism, there is an $m \in M$ such that $m^{\prime}=f(m)$. Then $r m^{\prime}=r f(m)=f(r m) \in f(P)$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(f)$ is a subset of $P$, we get $r m \in P$. As $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, there is an $s \in S$ so that $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Since $\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)} \subseteq \sqrt{\left(f(P):_{R} M^{\prime}\right)}$, we have $s r \in \sqrt{\left(f(P):_{R} M^{\prime}\right)}$ or $f(s m)=s f(m)=s m^{\prime} \in f(P)$. Accordingly, $f(P)$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M^{\prime}$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and take a submodule $L$ of $M$. Then we have the following.
(a) If $P^{\prime}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ with $\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} L\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, then $L \cap P^{\prime}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $L$.
(b) Suppose that $P$ is a submodule of $M$ with $L \subseteq P$. Then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if and only if $P / L$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M / L$.
Proof. (a) Consider the injection $i: L \rightarrow M$ defined by $i(m)=m$ for all $m \in L$. Then note that $i^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)=L \cap P^{\prime}$. Now we will show that $\left(i^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R}\right.$ $L) \cap S=\emptyset$. Assume that $s \in\left(i^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right):_{R} L\right) \cap S$. Then we have $s L \subseteq$ $i^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)=L \cap P^{\prime} \subseteq P^{\prime}$. This implies that $s \in\left(P^{\prime}:_{R} L\right) \cap S$, a contradiction. The rest follows from Proposition 2.9 (a).
(b) Assume that $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Then consider the canonical homomorphism $\pi: M \rightarrow M / L$ defined by $\pi(m)=m+L$ for all $m \in M$. By Proposition 2.9 (b), $P / L$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M / L$. Conversely, assume that $P / L$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M / L$. Let $r m \in P$ for some $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. This yields that $r(m+L)=r m+L \in P / L$. As $P / L$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M / L$, there is an $s \in S$ so that $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P / L:_{R} M / L\right)}=\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s(m+L)=s m+L \in P / L$. Therefore, we have $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Hence, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

An $R$-module $M$ is said to be a multiplication module if for every submodule $N$ of $M$ there exists an ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $N=I M$ 4].
Proposition 2.11. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. The following statements hold.
(a) If $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, then $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.
(b) If $M$ is multiplication module and $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$, then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Proof. (a) Let $x y \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ for some $x, y \in R$. Then $x y m \in P$ for all $m \in M$. As $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s x \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s y m \in P$ for all $m \in M$. If $s x \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $s x \notin \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Then $s y m \in P$ for all $m \in M$ so that $s y \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$. Therefore, $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.
(b) Let $J$ be an ideal of $R$ and $N$ a submodule of $M$ with $J N \subseteq P$. Then we can conclude that $J\left(N:_{R} M\right) \subseteq\left(J N:_{R} M\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{R} M\right)$. As $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$, there is an $s \in S$ so that $s\left(N:_{R} M\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $s J \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Thus, we can conclude that $s N=s\left(N:_{R} M\right) M \subseteq$ $\left(P:_{R} M\right) M=P$ or $s J \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 (d), $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Remark 2.12. (a) Assume that $M$ is a multiplication $R$-module and $K, L$ are two submodules of $M$. The product of $K$ and $L$ is defined as $K L=\left(K:_{R}\right.$ $M)\left(L:_{R} M\right) M$ [1].
(b) Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ a submodule of $M$. The radical of $N$, denoted by $\operatorname{rad}(N)$, is the intersection of all prime submodules of $M$ containing $N$; that is, $\operatorname{rad}(N)=\bigcap\{P \mid N \subseteq P, P \in \operatorname{Spec}(M)\}[8]$.

As an immediate consequence of the Proposition 2.11 and Theorem 2.2 (d), we have the following explicit result.

Corollary 2.13. Suppose that $M$ is a multiplication $R$-module and $P$ a submodule of $M$ provided that $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, where $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$;
(b) There exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $L N \subseteq P$, where $L$ and $N$ are submodules of $M$, then $s\left(L:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s N \subseteq P$.
Corollary 2.14. Suppose that $M$ is a finitely generated multiplication $R$-module and $P$ is a submodule of $M$ provided that $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, where $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$;
(b) There exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $L N \subseteq P$, where $L$ and $N$ are submodules of $M$, then $s L \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(P)$ or $s N \subseteq P$.
Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Assume that $L N \subseteq P$, where $L$ and $N$ are submodules of $M$. By Remark 2.12 (a), $L N=\left(L:_{R} M\right) N \subseteq P$. Then there exists $s \in S$ so that $s\left(L:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s N \subseteq P$ by Theorem[2.2(d). Since $M$ is multiplication, by [4, Theorem 2.12], we have $s\left(L:_{R} M\right) M=s L \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)} M=\operatorname{rad}(P)$ or $s N \subseteq P$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Assume that $J N \subseteq P$, where $N$ is a submodule of $M$ and $J$ is an ideal of $R$. Set $K:=J M$. As $M$ is a multiplication module, Then we have

$$
K N=\left(K:_{R} M\right)\left(N:_{R} M\right) M=J\left(N:_{R} M\right) M=J N \subseteq P
$$

By assumption, there exists $s \in S$ so that $s K \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(P)$ or $s N \subseteq P$. As $M$ is finitely generated, by [9, Thoerem 4.4], $s K \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(P)$ implies that

$$
s J \subseteq\left(s K:_{R} M\right) \subseteq\left(\operatorname{rad}(P):_{R} M\right)=\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}
$$

Therefore $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ by Corollary 2.13 ,

Remark 2.15. (a) Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $p$ be a maximal ideal of $R$. In [4, $T_{p}(M)$ is defined as follows

$$
T_{p}(M)=\{m \in M \mid(1-r) m=0 \text { for some } r \in P\} .
$$

Clearly $T_{p}(M)$ is a submodule of $M$. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be $p$-cyclic provided there exist $q \in p$ and $m \in M$ such that $(1-q) M \subseteq R m$ [4].
(b) Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then $M$ is a multiplication $R$-module if and only if for every maximal ideal $p$ of $R$ either $M=T_{p}(M)$ or $M$ is $p$-cyclic [4, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 2.16. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R, p$ be an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) ideal of $R$ and $M$ be a faithful multiplication $R$-module. Then there exists a fixed $s \in S$ and whenever $a m \in p M$, where $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, implies that $s a \in \sqrt{p}$ (resp. $s a \in p$ ) or $s m \in p M$.

Proof. It is enough to prove it for $S$-primary submodules. The technique is similar for $S$-prime. As $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal, there exists $s \in S$, whenever $r r^{\prime} \in p$, where $r, r^{\prime} \in R$, then $s r \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s r^{\prime} \in p$. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ satisfy $a m \in p M$. Suppose $s a \notin \sqrt{p}$. Set $K:=\left(p M:_{R} s m\right)$. Assume that $K \neq R$. Then there exists a maximal ideal $Q$ of $R$ so that $K \subseteq Q . m \notin T_{Q}(M)$, since otherwise, there exists $q \in Q$ such that $(1-q) m=0$ and so $(1-q) s m=0$. This implies that $(1-q) \in K \subseteq Q$, a contradiction. By [4, Theorem 1.2] $M$ is $Q$-cyclic, that is there exist $m^{\prime} \in M$ and $q \in Q$ such that $(1-q) M \subseteq R m^{\prime}$. In particular, $(1-q) m=s^{\prime} m^{\prime},(1-q) a m=p^{\prime} m^{\prime}$ for some $s^{\prime} \in R$ and $p^{\prime} \in p$. Thus $\left(a s^{\prime}-p^{\prime}\right) m^{\prime}=0$. Now $(1-q)\left(A n n_{R}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right) M \subseteq$ $\left(A n n_{R}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right) R m^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$ implies $(1-q) A n n_{R}(m) \subseteq A n n_{R}(M)=\mathbf{0}$, because $M$ is faithful, and hence $(1-q) a s^{\prime}=(1-q) p^{\prime} \in p$. As $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal, $s s^{\prime} \in p$ or $s a \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s(1-q)^{n} \in p$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But $p \subseteq K \subseteq Q$ so that in each case, we have a contradiction. It follows that $K=R$ and $s m \in p M$, as required.

In the following Theorem, The Theorem 2.11 in [11] will be extended by removing the condition "finitely generated".

Theorem 2.17. Let $M$ be a multiplication $R$-module and $P$ a submodule of $M$ provided that $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, where $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) submodule of $M$.
(b) $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) ideal of $R$.
(c) $P=I M$ for some $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) ideal $I$ of $R$ with $\operatorname{Ann}(M) \subseteq$ $I$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. It is clear from Proposition 2.11 (a).
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. It is clear.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. As $M$ is a faithful multiplication $R / A n n_{R}(M)$-module, by Corollary 2.10 (b) , $I / A n n_{R}(M)$ is an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) ideal of $R / A n n_{R}(M)$. Hence $P=I M$ is an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) submodule of $R / A n n_{R}(M)$-module $M$ by Lemma 2.16. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary (resp. $S$-prime) submodule of $R$-module $M$, as required.

Proposition 2.18. Let $P$ be an $S$-primary submodule of multiplication $R$-module $M$. Suppose that $N \cap L \subseteq P$ for some submodules $N$ and $L$ of $M$. Then $s N \subseteq P$ or $s L \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(P)$ for some $s \in S$.
Proof. Since $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule, there exists $s \in S$ such that for every $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, if $r m \in P$, then $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Let $s N \nsubseteq P$. Then $s m^{\prime} \notin P$ for some $m^{\prime} \in N$. Take an element $a \in\left(L:_{R} M\right)$. This yields that $a m^{\prime} \in\left(L:_{R} M\right) N \subseteq L \cap N \subseteq P$. As $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ and $s m^{\prime} \notin P$, we can conclude that $s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ so that $s\left(L:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. As $M$ is a multiplication module, by [4, Theorem 2.12] we have

$$
s L=s\left(L:_{R} M\right) M \subseteq \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)} M=\operatorname{rad}(P)
$$

Lemma 2.19. Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$ and $S=S_{1} \times S_{2}$ where $S_{i}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{i}$. Suppose $p=p_{1} \times p_{2}$ is an ideal of $R$. So the following are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.
(b) $p_{1}$ is an $S_{1}$-primary ideal of $R_{1}$ and $p_{2} \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$ or $p_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$-primary ideal of $R_{2}$ and $p_{1} \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Since $(1,0)(0,1)=(0,0) \in p$, there exists $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$ so that $s(1,0)=\left(s_{1}, 0\right) \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s(0,1)=\left(0, s_{2}\right) \in p$ and thus $p_{1} \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $p_{2} \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $p_{1} \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. As $P \cap S=\emptyset$, we have $p_{2} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$. Let $x y \in p_{2}$ for some $x, y \in R_{2}$. Since $(0, x)(0, y) \in p$ and $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$. We get either $s(0, x)=\left(0, s_{2} x\right) \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s(0, y)=\left(0, s_{2} y\right) \in p$ and this yields $s_{2} x \in \sqrt{p_{2}}$ or $s_{2} y \in p_{2}$. Therefore, $p_{2}$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R_{2}$. In the other case, one can easily show that $p_{1}$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R_{1}$.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Assume that $p_{1} \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $p_{2}$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R_{2}$. Then there exists $s_{1} \in p_{1} \cap S_{1}$. Let $(a, b)(c, d)=(a c, b d) \in p$ for some $a, c \in R_{1}$ and $b, d \in R_{2}$. This yields that $b d \in p_{2}$ and thus there exists $s_{2} \in S_{2}$ so that $s_{2} b \in \sqrt{p_{2}}$ or $s_{2} d \in p_{2}$. Put $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$. Then note that $s(a, b)=\left(s_{1} a, s_{2} b\right) \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s(c, d) \in p$. Therefore, $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$. In other case, one can similarly prove that $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.

Theorem 2.20. Suppose that $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ and $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$-module and $S=S_{1} \times S_{2}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, where $M_{i}$ is a $R_{i}$-module and $S_{i}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{i}$ for each $i=1,2$. Assume $P=P_{1} \times P_{2}$ is a submodule of $M$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) $P_{1}$ is an $S_{1}$-primary submodule of $M_{1}$ and $\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$ or $P_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M_{2}$ and $\left(P_{1}: R_{1} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$.
Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). By Proposition 2.11, $\left(P:_{R} M\right)=\left(P_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \times\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right)$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$ and so by Lemma 2.19, either $\left(P_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $\left(P_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Now we will show that $P_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M_{2}$. Let $r m \in P_{2}$ for some $r \in R_{2}$ and $m \in M_{2}$. Then $(1, r)(0, m)=(0, r m) \in P$. As $P$ is an $S$-primary, there is an $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$ so that $s(1, r)=\left(s_{1}, s_{2} r\right) \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s\left(0:_{R} m\right)=\left(0, s_{2} m\right) \in$ $P$. This implies that $s_{2} r \in \sqrt{\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right)}$ or $s_{2} m \in P_{2}$. Therefore, $P_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$ is
an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M_{2}$. In the other case, it can be similarly show that $P_{1}$ is an $S_{1}$-primary submodule of $M_{1}$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Assume that $\left(P_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M_{2}$. Then there exists $s_{1} \in\left(P_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1}$. Let $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=$ $\left(r_{1} m_{1}, r_{2} m_{2}\right) \in P$ for some $r_{i} \in R_{i}$ and $m_{i} \in M_{i}$, where $i=1,2$. Then $r_{2} m_{2} \in$ $P_{2}$. As $P_{2}$ is an $S_{2}$-primary submodule of $M_{2}$, there is an $s_{2} \in S_{2}$ so that $s_{2} r_{2} \in \sqrt{\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right)}$ or $s_{2} m_{2} \in P_{2}$. Now put $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$. Then note that $s\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\left(s_{1} r_{1}, s_{2} r_{2}\right) \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\left(s_{1} m_{1}, s_{2} m_{2}\right) \in P_{1} \times P_{2}=P$. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Similarly one can show that if $P_{1}$ is an $S_{1}$-primary submodule of $M_{1}$ and $\left(P_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Theorem 2.21. Let $M=M_{1} \times M_{2} \times \cdots M_{n}$ and $R=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times \cdots R_{n}$-module and $S=S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots S_{n}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, where $M_{i}$ is a $R_{i}$-module and $S_{i}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Assume $P=P_{1} \times P_{2} \times \cdots P_{n}$ is a submodule of $M$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) $P_{i}$ is an $S_{i}$-primary submodule of $M_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ and $\left(P_{j}:_{R_{j}}\right.$ $\left.M_{j}\right) \cap S_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}-\{i\}$.

Proof. We apply induction on $n$. For $n=1$, the result is true. If $n=2$, then $(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow$ (b) follows from Theorem 2.20. Assume that (a) and (b) are equivalent when $k<n$. Now, we shall prove $(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ when $k=n$. Let $P=P_{1} \times P_{2} \times \ldots \times P_{n}$. Put $P^{\prime}=P_{1} \times P_{2} \times \ldots \times P_{n-1}$ and $S^{\prime}=S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \ldots \times S_{n-1}$. Then by Theorem 2.20, the necessary and sufficient condition for $P=P^{\prime} \times P_{n}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ is that $P^{\prime}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M^{\prime}$ and $\left(P_{n}:_{R_{n}} M_{n}\right) \cap S_{n} \neq \emptyset$ or $P_{n}$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M_{n}$ and $\left(P^{\prime}:_{R^{\prime}} M^{\prime}\right) \cap S^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, where $M^{\prime}=$ $M_{1} \times M_{2} \times \ldots \times M_{n-1}$ and $R^{\prime}=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times \ldots \times R_{n-1}$. The rest follows from the induction hypothesis.

Lemma 2.22. Suppose that $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Then the following statements hold for some $s \in S$.
(a) $\left(P:_{M} s^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ for all $s^{\prime} \in S$.
(b) $\left(\left(P:_{R} M\right):_{R} s^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(\left(P:_{R} M\right):_{R} s\right)$ for all $s^{\prime} \in S$

Proof. (a) Take an element $m^{\prime} \in\left(P:_{M} s^{\prime}\right)$, where $s^{\prime} \in S$. Then $s^{\prime} m^{\prime} \in P$. Since $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s s^{\prime} \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m^{\prime} \in P$. As $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, we get $s m^{\prime} \in P$, namely $m^{\prime} \in\left(P:_{M} s\right)$.
(b) Follows from part (a).

Proposition 2.23. Suppose that $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module, $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, and $P$ is a submodule of $M$ satisfying $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=$ $\emptyset$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.
(b) $S^{-1} P$ is a primary submodule of $S^{-1} M$ and there is an $s \in S$ satisfying $\left(P:_{M} s^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ for all $s^{\prime} \in S$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). It is clear from Proposition 2.7 (c) and Lemma 2.22.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Take $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a m \in P$. Then $\frac{a}{1} \cdot \frac{m}{1} \in S^{-1} P$. Since $S^{-1} P$ is a primary submodule of $S^{-1} M$ and $M$ is finitely generated, we can conclude that $\frac{a}{1} \in \sqrt{\left(S^{-1} P:_{S^{-1} R} S^{-1} M\right)}=\sqrt{S^{-1}\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $\frac{m}{1} \in S^{-1} P$. Then $u a \in$ $\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $u^{\prime} m \in P$ for some $u, u^{\prime} \in S$. By assumption, there is an $s \in S$ so that $\left(P:_{R} s^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{R} s\right)$ for all $s^{\prime} \in S$. If $u a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$, then $a^{n} M \subseteq\left(P:_{M}\right.$ $\left.u^{n}\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{R} s\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. If $u^{\prime} m \in P$, a similar argument shows that $s m \in P$. Therefore, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Theorem 2.24. Suppose that $P$ is a submodule of $M$ provided $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if and only if $\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ is a primary submodule of $M$ for some $s \in S$.
Proof. Assume $\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ is a primary submodule of $M$ for some $s \in S$. Let $a m \in P$, where $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. As $a m \in\left(P:_{M} s\right)$, we get $a \in \sqrt{\left(\left(P:_{M} s\right):_{R} M\right)}$ or $m \in$ $\left(P:_{M} s\right)$. This yields that $a s \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Conversely, assume that $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Then there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $a m \in P$, where $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Now we prove that $\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ is primary. Take $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $r m \in\left(P:_{M} s\right)$. Then srm $\in P$. As $P$ is $S$-primary, we get $s^{2} r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. If $s m \in P$, then there is nothing to show. Assume that $s m \notin P$. Then $s^{2} r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ and hence $s r \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$. Thus $r^{n} \in\left(\left(P:_{R} M\right):_{R} s^{n}\right) \subseteq\left(\left(P:_{R} M\right):_{R} s\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 2.22. Thus, we can conclude that $r^{n} \in\left(\left(P:_{M} s\right):_{R} M\right)$, namely $r \in \sqrt{\left(\left(P:_{M} s\right):_{R} M\right)}$. Hence $\left(P:_{M} s\right)$ is a prime submodule of $M$.

Theorem 2.25. Suppose that $P$ is a submodule of $M$ provided $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Jac}(R)$, where $\operatorname{Jac}(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of $R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is a primary submodule of $M$.
(b) $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is a primary ideal of $R$ and $P$ is an $(R-\mathfrak{m})$-primary submodule of $M$ for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$.
Proof. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Since $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Jac}(R),\left(P:_{R} M\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$ and hence $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap(R-\mathfrak{m})=\emptyset$. The rest follows from Lemma 2.3 (a).
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Let $a m \in P$ with $a \notin\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ for some $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$. As $P$ is an $(R-\mathfrak{m})$-primary submodule of $M$, there exists $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \notin \mathfrak{m}$ such that $a s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s_{\mathfrak{m}} m \in P$. As $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is a primary ideal of $R$ and $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \notin \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$, we have $a s_{\mathfrak{m}} \notin\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ and so $s_{\mathfrak{m}} m \in P$. Now consider the set $\Omega=\left\{s_{\mathfrak{m}} \mid \exists \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R), s_{\mathfrak{m}} \notin \mathfrak{m}\right.$ and $\left.s_{\mathfrak{m}} m \in P\right\}$. Then note that $(\Omega)=R$. To see this, take any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ containing $\Omega$. Then the definition of $\Omega$ requires that there exists $s_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}} \in \Omega$ and $s_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}} \notin \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. As $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, we have $s_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Thus $(\Omega)=R$, and this yields $1=r_{1} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}}+r_{2} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}+\cdots+r_{n} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{n}}$ for some $r_{i} \in R$ and $s_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}} \notin \mathfrak{m}_{i}$ with $s_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}} m \in P$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{i} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. This yields that $m=r_{1} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} m+r_{2} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}} m+\cdots+r_{n} s_{\mathfrak{m}_{n}} m \in P$. Therefore, $P$ is a primary submodule of $M$.

Now we determine all primary submodules of a module over a quasi-local ring in terms of $S$-primary submodules.

Corollary 2.26. Suppose $M$ is a module over a quasi-local ring ( $R, \mathfrak{m}$ ). Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is a primary submodule of $M$.
(b) $\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is a primary ideal of $R$ and $P$ is an $(R-\mathfrak{m})$-primary submodule of $M$ for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$.

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 2.25

Remark 2.27. (a) Suppose that $M$ is an $R$-module. The idealization $R(+) M$ $=\{(a, m) \mid a \in R, m \in M\}$ of $M$ is a commutative ring whose addition is component-wise and whose multiplication is defined as $(a, m)\left(b, m^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(a b, a m^{\prime}+b m\right)$ for each $a, b \in R$ and $m, m^{\prime} \in M$. If $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $P$ is a submodule of $M$, then $S(+) P=\{(s, p) \mid s \in$ $S, p \in P\}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R(+) M$ [2, 10].
(b) Radical ideals of $R(+) M$ have the form $I(+) M$, where $I$ is a radical ideal of $R$. If $J$ is an ideal of $R(+) M$, then $\sqrt{J}=\sqrt{I}(+) M$. In particular, if $I$ is an ideal of $R$ and $N$ is a submodule of $M$, then $\sqrt{I(+) N}=\sqrt{I}(+) M$ 2, Theorem 3.2 (3)].

Proposition 2.28. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $p$ be an ideal of $R$ such that $p \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(M)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is a primary ideal of $R$.
(b) $p(+) M$ is a primary ideal of $R(+) M$.

Proof. This is straightforward.
Theorem 2.29. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R, p$ be an ideal of $R$ provided $p \cap S=\emptyset$ and $M$ be an $R$-module. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.
(b) $p(+) M$ is an $S(+) 0$-primary ideal of $R(+) M$.
(c) $p(+) M$ is an $S(+) M$-primary ideal of $R(+) M$.

Proof. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Let $(x, m)\left(y, m^{\prime}\right)=\left(x y, x m^{\prime}+y m\right) \in p(+) M$, where $x, y \in R$ and $m, m^{\prime} \in M$. Then we get $x y \in p$. As $p$ is $S$-primary, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s x \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s y \in p$. Now put $s^{\prime}=(s, 0) \in S(+) 0$. Then we have $s^{\prime}(x, m)=(s x, s m) \in \sqrt{p}(+) M=\sqrt{p(+) M}$ or $s^{\prime}\left(y, m^{\prime}\right)=\left(s y, s m^{\prime}\right) \in p(+) M$. Therefore, $p(+) M$ is an $S(+) 0$-primary ideal of $R(+) M$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. It is clear from Proposition 2.7 .
$(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Let $x y \in p$ for some $x, y \in R$. Then $(x, 0)(y, 0) \in p(+) M$. Since $p(+) M$ is $S(+) M$-primary, there exists $s=\left(s_{1}, m_{1}\right) \in S(+) M$ such that $s(x, 0)=$ $\left(s_{1} x, x m_{1}\right) \in \sqrt{p(+) M}=\sqrt{p}(+) M$ or $s(y, 0)=\left(s_{1} y, y m_{1}\right) \in p(+) M$ and hence we get $s_{1} x \in \sqrt{p}$ or $s_{1} y \in p$. Therefore $p$ is an $S$-primary ideal of $R$.

Remark 2.30. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $A n n_{R}(M) \cap S=\emptyset$. We say that $M$ is an $S$-torsion-free module in the case that there is an $s \in S$ such that if $r m=0$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s m=0$ or $s r=0$ [11, Definition 2.23].
Proposition 2.31. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Assume that $P$ is a submodule of $M$ and $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $A n n_{R}(M) \cap S=\emptyset$.

Then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if and only if the factor module $M / P$ is a $\pi(S)$-torsion-free $R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$-module, where $\pi: R \rightarrow R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ is the canonical homomorphism.
Proof. Suppose that $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$. Let $\overline{a m}=0_{M / P}$, where $\bar{a}=a+\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ and $\bar{m}=m+P$ for some $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. This yields that $a m \in P$. As $P$ is $S$-primary, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in$ $P$. Then we can conclude that $\pi(s) \bar{a}=0_{R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}}$ or $\pi(s) \bar{m}=0_{M / P}$. Therefore, $M / P$ is a $\pi(S)$-torsion-free $R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$-module. For the other direction, suppose that $M / P$ is a $\pi(S)$-torsion-free $R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$-module. Let $a m \in P$, where $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Put $\bar{a}=a+\sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ and $\bar{m}=m+P$. Then note that $\overline{a m}=0_{M / P}$. As $M / P$ is a $\pi(S)$-torsion-free $R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$-module, there exists $s \in S$ such that $\pi(s) \bar{a}=0_{R / \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}}$ or $\pi(s) \bar{m}=0_{M / P}$. This yields that $s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}$ or $s m \in P$. Accordingly, $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$.

Definition 2.32. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $A n n_{R}(M) \cap S=\emptyset$. We say that $M$ is a quasi $S$-torsion-free module, if there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m=0$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $s m=0$ or $(s r)^{t}=0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to Definition 2.32 Proposition 2.31 can be expressed as follows.
Proposition 2.33. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Assume that $P$ is a submodule of $M$ and $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $\operatorname{Ann}_{R}(M) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $P$ is an $S$-primary submodule of $M$ if and only if the factor module $M / P$ is a quasi $\pi^{\prime}(S)$-torsion-free $R /\left(P:_{R} M\right)$-module, where $\pi^{\prime}: R \rightarrow R /\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ is the canonical homomorphism.
Theorem 2.34. Let $M$ be a module over an integral domain $R$. The following are equivalent:
(a) $M$ is a torsion-free module;
(b) $M$ is a quasi $(R-p)$-torsion-free module for each $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$;
(c) $M$ is a quasi $(R-\mathfrak{m})$-torsion-free module for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. It is clear.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. It is clear.
$($ c) $\Rightarrow($ a). Assume that $a \neq 0$. Take $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$. As $M$ is quasi $(R-\mathfrak{m})$-torsionfree, there exists $s_{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ so that $s_{m} m=0$ or $\left(s_{m} a\right)^{t}=0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. As $R$ is an integral domain, $\left(s_{m} a\right)^{t} \neq 0$. Now, put $\Omega=\left\{s_{m} \in R \mid \exists \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R), s_{m} \notin\right.$ $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\left.s_{m} m=0\right\}$. A similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2.25 shows that $\Omega=R$. Then we have $\left(s_{m_{1}}\right)+\left(s_{m_{2}}\right)+\cdots+\left(s_{m_{n}}\right)=R$ for some $\left(s_{m_{i}}\right) \in \Omega$. this implies that $R m=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(s_{m_{i}}\right) m=(0)$ and hence $m=0$. This means $M$ is a torsion-free module.
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