

KHAVINSON PROBLEM FOR HYPERBOLIC HARMONIC MAPPINGS IN HARDY SPACE

JIAOLONG CHEN, DAVID KALAJ, AND PETAR MELENTIJEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we partly solve the generalized Khavinson conjecture in the setting of hyperbolic harmonic mappings in Hardy space. Assume that $u = \mathcal{P}_\Omega[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\mathcal{P}_\Omega[\phi]$ denotes the Poisson integral of ϕ with respect to the hyperbolic Laplacian operator Δ_h in Ω , and Ω denotes the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n or the half-space \mathbb{H}^n . For any $x \in \Omega$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x; l)$ denote the optimal numbers for the gradient estimate

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x) \|\phi\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})}$$

and gradient estimate in the direction l

$$|\langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x; l) \|\phi\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})},$$

respectively. Here q is the conjugate of p . If $q = \infty$ or $q \in [\frac{2K_0-1}{n-1} + 1, \frac{2K_0}{n-1} + 1] \cap [1, \infty)$ with $K_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n,q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n,q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|})$ for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,q}(x; \pm e_n)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, where $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. However, if $q \in (1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n,q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n,q}(x; t_x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,q}(x; t_{e_n})$ for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Here t_w denotes any unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\langle t_w, w \rangle = 0$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $n \geq 2$, let \mathbb{R}^n denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, sometimes we identify each point x with a column vector. For two column vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use $\langle x, y \rangle$ to denote the inner product of x and y . The ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$ and the sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = r\}$ are denoted by $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r)$, respectively. In particular, let $\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}^n(1)$, $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(1)$, $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{B}^2 = \mathbb{D}$. We also denote $\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : x_n > 0\}$, $\mathbb{S}_-^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : x_n < 0\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, x_n > 0\}$. As is usual we identify \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$. With this convention we have that $\partial\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

A mapping $u \in C^2(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be *hyperbolic harmonic* if u satisfies the hyperbolic Laplace equation

$$\Delta_h u(x) = (1 - |x|^2)^2 \Delta u(x) + 2(n-2)(1 - |x|^2) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B05; Secondary 42B30.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic harmonic mappings, Hardy space, the generalized Khavinson conjecture, estimates of the gradient.

where Δ denotes the usual Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n . Meanwhile, a mapping $u \in C^2(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be hyperbolic harmonic if u satisfies the hyperbolic Laplace equation

$$\Delta_h u(x) = x_n^2 \Delta u(x) - (n-2)x_n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}(x) = 0.$$

For convenience, in the rest of this paper, we call Δ_h the *hyperbolic Laplacian operator*.

When $n = 2$, we easily see that hyperbolic harmonic mappings coincide with harmonic mappings. In this paper, we focus our investigations on the case when $n \geq 3$.

1.1. Hardy space for hyperbolic harmonic mappings. For $p \in (0, \infty]$, let $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ denote the space of Lebesgue measurable mappings from \mathbb{S}^{n-1} into \mathbb{R} satisfying $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in (0, \infty), \\ \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{|f(\xi)|\}, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here and hereafter, $d\sigma$ denotes the normalized surface measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} so that $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = 1$. Similarly, let $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ denote the space of Lebesgue measurable mappings from \mathbb{R}^{n-1} into \mathbb{R} satisfying $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |f(x)|^p dV_{n-1}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in (0, \infty), \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \{|f(x)|\}, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here and hereafter, dV_{n-1} denotes the Lebesgue volume measure in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

If $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, we define the *invariant Poisson integral* or *Poisson-Szegő integral* of ϕ in \mathbb{B}^n by (cf. [19, Definition 5.3.2])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi](x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta) \phi(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta) = \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^2} \right)^{n-1}$$

is the *Poisson-Szegő kernel* with respect to Δ_h satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = 1$$

(cf. [19, Lemma 5.3.1(c)]).

If $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then the Poisson-Szegő integral of ϕ is the function $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ defined by (cf. [19, Section 5.6])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') \phi(y') dV_{n-1}(y'),$$

where $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, $x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') = c_n \left(\frac{x_n}{|x' - y'|^2 + x_n^2} \right)^{n-1}$$

is the Poisson-Szegö kernel with respect to Δ_h satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') dV_{n-1}(y') = 1.$$

By calculations, we have

$$(1.3) \quad c_n = \frac{2^{n-2} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}},$$

where Γ is the Gamma function.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we use $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R})$ to denote the Hardy space consisting of hyperbolic harmonic mappings of the form $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ with $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$. Similarly, by $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})$ we denote the Hardy space consisting of hyperbolic harmonic mappings of the form $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ with $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

1.2. The Khavinson problem. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and q be its conjugate. Assume that $u = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, where Ω denotes \mathbb{B}^n or \mathbb{H}^n . For fixed $x \in \Omega$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x; l)$ denote the optimal numbers for the gradient estimate

$$(1.4) \quad |\nabla u(x)| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x) \|\phi\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})}.$$

and gradient estimate in the direction l

$$(1.5) \quad |\langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x; l) \|\phi\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R})}.$$

respectively. Since

$$|\nabla u(x)| = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle|,$$

we clearly have

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Omega, q}(x; l).$$

The generalized Khavinson conjecture states:

Conjecture 1.1. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

(1) for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|});$$

(2) for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; \pm e_n).$$

This conjecture actually dates back to 1992. Khavinson [8] obtained a sharp pointwise estimate for the radial derivative of bounded harmonic functions in \mathbb{B}^3 . In a private conversation with Gresin and Maz'ya, he conjectured that the same estimate holds for the norm of the gradient of bounded harmonic functions. Later, this conjecture was formulated by Kresin and Maz'ya in [10] for bounded harmonic

functions in \mathbb{B}^n . In the same paper, they obtained the sharp inequalities for the radial and tangential derivatives of such functions and solved the analogous problem for harmonic functions with the L^p integrable boundary values for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. Also, the same authors in [11] solved the half-space analog of this problem for $p = 1$, $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$. Later, Kalaj and Marković [6] established an analogous result for harmonic functions from \mathbb{D} into \mathbb{C} with L^p integrable boundary values, $p \geq 1$.

For bounded harmonic functions in high dimension, Marković [14] considered the problem in a special situation when $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and x is near the boundary. Kalaj [5] proved the conjecture in \mathbb{B}^4 , and Melentijević [15] confirmed the conjecture in \mathbb{B}^3 . Very recently, Liu [12] showed that the conjecture is true in \mathbb{B}^n with $n \geq 3$. See [1, 7, 13, 17] and references therein for further discussions on the gradient estimates for analytic and harmonic functions.

The main purpose of this paper is to consider the generalized Khavinson conjecture in the setting of hyperbolic harmonic mappings in Hardy space. For the case when $q \in [1, \infty)$, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q \in (1, \frac{n}{n-1})$.*

(1) *For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x),$$

where t_x is any unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\langle t_x, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle = 0$.

(2) *For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; \pm e_n) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; t_{e_n}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x).$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q \in [\frac{2K_0-1}{n-1} + 1, \frac{2K_0}{n-1} + 1] \cap [1, \infty)$, where $K_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.*

(1) *For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x).$$

(2) *For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; t_{e_n}) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; \pm e_n) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x).$$

In the following, we give two special cases of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. (1) *For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x; l) \equiv \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-|x|^2)^{\frac{2n-1}{n}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} (1+|x|^2) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, 1}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, 1}(x; l) \equiv \frac{2(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})(1-|x|^2)}.$$

(2) *For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x; l) \equiv \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{2^{n+1}\sqrt{\pi}x_n^{\frac{2n-1}{n}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,1}(x; l) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,1}(x) \equiv \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})x_n}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(a)_k$ denote the *factorial function* with $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_k = a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1)$. If a is neither zero nor a negative integer, then (cf. [18, Page 23])

$$(1.7) \quad (a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the *generalized hypergeometric series* by

$$(1.8) \quad {}_rF_s(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \dots (a_r)_k}{k! (b_1)_k \dots (b_s)_k} x^k,$$

where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$) and b_j is neither zero nor a negative integer (cf. [4, Chapter IV] or [18, Chapter 5]). If $r = s + 1$, then the series converges for $|x| < 1$ and diverges for $|x| > 1$. If $r = s + 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s b_i - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i > 0$, then the series is absolutely convergent on $|x| = 1$.

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^n$ or \mathbb{H}^n . Using an explicit formula for $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x; t_x)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega,q}(x; \frac{x}{|x|})$, we can reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 as follows, respectively.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p \in (n, \infty)$ and q be its conjugate.*

(1) *If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq 2(n-1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})(1+|x|^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})(1-|x|^2)^{n(q-1)+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} \\ \times \left({}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4|x|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(2) *If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{2^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \pi^{\frac{nq-n+1}{2q}} x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} \\ \times \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p \in \{\infty\} \cup [\frac{2K_1+n-1}{2K_1}, \frac{2K_1+n-2}{2K_1-1}]$ and q be its conjugate, where $K_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

(1) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq 2(n-1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})(1+|x|^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})(1-|x|^2)^{n(q-1)+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} \\ \times \left({}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4|x|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(2) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{2^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \pi^{\frac{nq-n+1}{2q}} x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} \\ \times \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By using the expression of generalized hypergeometric series and letting $q = 1$, $\frac{n+1}{n-1}$ or $\frac{n}{n-1}$ in Theorem 1.5, respectively, we easily arrive at the following three results, and we omit the proofs of them.

Corollary 1.1. (1) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-|x|^2)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}.$$

(2) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})x_n}.$$

Corollary 1.2. (1) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2(n-1)\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{(1-|x|^2)^{\frac{3n-1}{n+1}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{n-1})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n^2+1}{2n-2})}(1+|x|^2)^2 + \frac{4\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{n-1})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n^2+2n-1}{2n-2})}|x|^2 \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}.$$

(2) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{2^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \pi^{\frac{3n-1}{2n-2}} x_n^{\frac{3n-1}{n+1}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{n-1})}{\Gamma(\frac{n^2+1}{2n-2})} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{n-1})}{2\Gamma(\frac{n^2+2n-1}{2n-2})} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}.$$

Corollary 1.3. (1) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^n(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2(n-1)\|\phi\|_{L^n(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{(1-|x|^2)^{\frac{2n-1}{n}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} (1+|x|^2) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

(2) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\|\phi\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}}{2^{n+1}\sqrt{\pi}x_n^{\frac{2n-1}{n}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

For the case when $q = \infty$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.6. (1) For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x) = 2(n-1) \frac{(1+|x|)^{n-2}}{(1-|x|)^n}.$$

(2) For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; \pm e_n) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x) = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}x_n^n}.$$

Using an explicit formula for $\mathbf{C}_{\Omega, \infty}(x)$, we can reformulate Theorem 1.6 as follows, where $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^n$ or \mathbb{H}^n .

Theorem 1.7. (1) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq 2(n-1) \frac{(1+|x|)^{n-2}}{(1-|x|)^n} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

(2) If $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ and $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have the following sharp inequality:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}x_n^n} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some representations for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$ when $q \in [1, \infty)$. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.1~1.5 for the unit ball case. In Section 4, we show Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 for the unit ball case. In Section 5, we establish some representation for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$. In Section 6, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.1~1.7 for the half-space case.

2. CASE $q \in [1, \infty)$ AND REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$

In this section, we first establish a general integral representation formula for the sharp quantity $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$ when $q \in [1, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-|x|^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\eta - x|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. In order to calculate $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$, we let p be the conjugate of q with $p \in (1, \infty]$, $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ in \mathbb{B}^n . For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, it follows from (1.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta) = -2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \frac{x|x-\zeta|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(x-\zeta)}{|x-\zeta|^{2n}}$$

(cf. [2, Equation (2.25)]). Obviously, the mapping $(x, \zeta) \mapsto \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where $r \in (0, 1)$. Then one can easily obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle \phi(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

and so,

$$(2.4) \quad |\langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

On the other hand, for every $p \in (1, \infty]$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let

$$\phi_l(\zeta) = |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^{q/p} \text{sign} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle$$

on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and $u_l = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi_l]$ in \mathbb{B}^n . Then we have

$$|\langle \nabla u_l(x), l \rangle| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\phi_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

This, together with (1.5) and (2.4), implies that for any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In the following, we calculate the integral above. For any $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, let $\zeta = T_x(\eta)$, where

$$T_x(\eta) = x - (1-|x|^2) \frac{\eta - x}{|\eta - x|^2}.$$

Then $\zeta = T_x(\eta)$ is a transformation from \mathbb{S}^{n-1} onto \mathbb{S}^{n-1} (cf. [2, Section 2.5]) satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad x - \zeta = (1-|x|^2) \frac{\eta - x}{|\eta - x|^2}, \quad |x - \zeta| = \frac{1-|x|^2}{|\eta - x|}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{(1-|x|^2)^{n-1}}{|\eta - x|^{2n-2}} d\sigma(\eta)$$

(cf. [14, Page 250]). Combining (2.2) and (2.6), we get

$$\nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta) = -2(n-1)\eta \frac{|\eta - x|^{2n-2}}{(1 - |x|^2)^n},$$

which, together with (2.7), yields that

$$|\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\zeta) = 2^q(n-1)^q \frac{|\eta - x|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q}{(1 - |x|^2)^{n(q-1)+1}} d\sigma(\eta).$$

Therefore, for any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{2^q(n-1)^q}{(1 - |x|^2)^{n(q-1)+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\eta - x|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\eta).$$

From this and (2.5), we see that Lemma 2.1 is true. \square

Based on Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following two results. The first one is about the symmetry property of the quantity $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$, which is useful.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and unitary transformation A in \mathbb{R}^n , we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(Ax; Al).$$

Proof. For any unitary transformation A , by replacing η with $A\eta$ in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(Ax; Al) \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |A\eta - Ax|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle A\eta, Al \rangle|^q d\sigma(A\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\eta - x|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let

$$(2.8) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\eta - x|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q d\sigma(\eta).$$

Then we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2})_k (\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2})_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^{2k} \\ & \quad \times \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(1)_{k-j} (2j)!} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $l_\alpha = \sin \alpha \cdot e_{n-1} + \cos \alpha \cdot e_n$ and $e_{n-1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Proof. For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$, we deduce from (2.8) and [15, Lemma 1] that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \frac{2\pi}{n-2} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\eta_n|^q (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho\eta_n \cos \alpha - 2\rho\eta_{n-1} \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} d\sigma(\eta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |x|^q (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x \cos \alpha - 2\rho y \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dx dy \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 |x|^q \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x \cos \alpha - 2\rho y \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dy dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let $y = t\sqrt{1-x^2}$, where $t \in (-1, 1)$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$. Elementary calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x \cos \alpha - 2\rho y \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dy \\
&= (1 - x^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x \cos \alpha - 2\rho t\sqrt{1-x^2} \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $m \geq 0$ and $s \in (-1, 1)$, we have

$$(1-s)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{k} (-s)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-m)_k}{k!} s^k$$

(cf. [4, Page 85]). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x \cos \alpha - 2\rho t\sqrt{1-x^2} \sin \alpha)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \\
&= (1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \left(1 - \frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} (x \cos \alpha + t\sqrt{1-x^2} \sin \alpha) \right)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \\
&= (1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1)(1-q))_k}{k!} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^k (x \cos \alpha + t\sqrt{1-x^2} \sin \alpha)^k \\
&= (1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1)(1-q))_k}{k!} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^k \\
&\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j (-k)_j}{j!} x^j t^{k-j} (1-x^2)^{\frac{k-j}{2}} \sin^{k-j} \alpha \cos^j \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.9) and (2.10), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\pi}{n-2} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \\ &= (1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1)(1-q))_k}{k!} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^k \frac{(-1)^j (-k)_j}{j!} \\ & \quad \times \int_{-1}^1 |x|^q x^j (1-x^2)^{\frac{n-3+k-j}{2}} dx \int_{-1}^1 t^{k-j} (1-t^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} dt \cdot \sin^{k-j} \alpha \cos^j \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the fact (cf. [18, Pages 18 and 19])

$$\int_{-1}^1 |x|^q x^{2j} (1-x^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}+k-j} dx = \int_0^1 x^{\frac{q-1}{2}+j} (1-x)^{\frac{n-3}{2}+k-j} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}+j) \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}+k-j)}{\Gamma(k+\frac{n+q}{2})}$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^{2k-2j} (1-t^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} dt = \int_0^1 t^{k-j-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} dt = \frac{\Gamma(k-j+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}-1)}{\Gamma(k-j+\frac{n-1}{2})}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) &= (1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1)(1-q))_{2k}}{\Gamma(2k+1)} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^{2k} \frac{(-2k)_{2j}}{\Gamma(2j+1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}+j) \Gamma(k-j+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{n+q}{2})} \cdot \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (cf. [18, Pages 23 and 24]).

$$(2.11) \quad (2a)_{2k} = 2^{2k} (a)_k \left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right)_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2b) = 2^{2b-1} \Gamma(b) \Gamma(b + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) (1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}\right)_k}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(j+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^{2k} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(-k)_j \left(\frac{1}{2}-k\right)_j \Gamma(k-j+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(j+1) \Gamma(k+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}+j)}{\Gamma(k+\frac{n+q}{2})} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(-k)_j \Gamma(k-j+1) = (-1)^j \Gamma(k+1) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}-k\right)_j \Gamma(k-j+\frac{1}{2}) = (-1)^j \Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2}).$$

This, together with (2.11), means

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}\right)_k}{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(k-j+1)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2} + j)}{\Gamma(k + \frac{n+q}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2}\right)^{2k} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}\right)_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2}\right)^{2k} \\
&\quad \times \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(1)_{k-j}(2j)!} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1~1.5 FOR THE UNIT BALL CASE

The aim of this section is to prove Theorems 1.1~1.5 for the unit ball case. First, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.2(1) and 1.5(1), which is based upon the ideas from [16]. Based on the proof of Theorem 1.2(1), we prove the other results.

3.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.2(1) and 1.5(1). (I) First, we prove Theorem 1.2(1). For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, in order to find the sharp quantity $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$, we choose an unity transformation A in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Ax = \rho l_\alpha$ and $Al = e_n$, where $\rho = |x| \in [0, 1)$, $l_\alpha = \sin \alpha \cdot e_{n-1} + \cos \alpha \cdot e_n$ and $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$. By Lemma 2.2, (2.1) and (2.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(Ax; Al) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove the theorem, it remains to calculate $C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}\right)_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2}\right)^{2k} \\
&\quad \times \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(1)_{k-j}(2j)!} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the right side of the above equation, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, we let

$$B_{q, k}(j) = \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(1)_{k-j}(2j)!}.$$

Claim 3.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{k!} \leq B_{q,k}(j) \leq 4^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(2k)!}.$$

The first equality occurs if and only if $j = 0$, and the second one occurs if and only if $j = k$.

From the fact that

$$\binom{k}{j} = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(k-j+1)},$$

we obtain

$$B_{n,k}(j) \Big/ \binom{k}{j} = \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(j+1)}{(2j)! \Gamma(k+1)}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, set

$$a_{q,k}(j) = \frac{4^j \Gamma(j + \frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(j+1)}{(2j)! \Gamma(k+1)}.$$

Since

$$\frac{a_{q,k}(j+1)}{a_{q,k}(j)} = \frac{4(j + \frac{q+1}{2})(j+1)}{(2j+2)(2j+1)} = \frac{2j+q+1}{2j+1} > 1,$$

we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{k!} = a_{q,k}(0) \leq B_{n,k}(j) \Big/ \binom{k}{j} = a_{q,k}(j) \leq a_{q,k}(k) = \frac{4^k \Gamma(k + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(2k)!},$$

which implies that Claim 3.1 is true.

By the assumption in the theorem that $q \in [\frac{2K_0-1}{n-1} + 1, \frac{2K_0}{n-1} + 1] \cap [1, \infty)$ and $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2} \right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2} \right)_k \geq 0.$$

Now, we infer from (1.7), (1.8), (2.11), (2.12) and Claim 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & \frac{\sqrt{\pi} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2})_k (\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2})_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^{2k} \frac{4^k \Gamma(k + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(2k)!} \\ & \quad \times \binom{k}{j} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4^k (\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2})_k (\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2})_k \Gamma(k + \frac{q+1}{2})}{(2k)! \Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^{2k} \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The equality occurs if and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi$, which shows

$$(3.3) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \leq C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\pm \rho e_n; e_n).$$

Similarly, we get from (2.12) and Claim 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{\pi} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \\ & \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2})_k (\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2})_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{k!} \binom{k}{j} \sin^{2k-2j} \alpha \cos^{2j} \alpha \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2})_k (\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2})_k \Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{k! \Gamma(k + \frac{q+n}{2})} \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (1.7) and (1.8), yields

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{\pi} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \\ & \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1 + \rho^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The equality occurs if and only if $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$, which implies

$$(3.5) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \geq C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho e_{n-1}; e_n) = C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho e_n; e_{n-1}).$$

Then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and $t_x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $\langle t_x, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle = 0$, by (1.6), (3.1), (3.3), (3.5) and Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x) &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|e_n; e_{n-1}) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|l_\alpha; e_n) \\ &\leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|e_n; \pm e_n) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x) < \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2(1) is complete.

(II) By (1.4), (1.6), (3.1) and (3.2), we see that Theorem 1.5(1) is true. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3(1). For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by (3.1) and letting $q = 1$ in (3.2) and (3.4), respectively, we get

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, 1}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, 1}(x; l) \equiv \frac{2(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})(1 - |x|^2)}.$$

Similarly, by (3.1) and letting $q = \frac{n}{n-1}$ in (3.2) and (3.4), respectively, we obtain

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x; l) \equiv \frac{2(n-1)}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{2n-1}{n}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} (1 + |x|^2) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Hence, Theorem 1.3(1) follows. \square

3.3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1(1) and 1.4(1). (I) First, we prove Theorem 1.1(1). Since $q \in (1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, we see that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2} \right)_k \left(\frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2} \right)_k < 0.$$

For any $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$, similar arguments as in the proofs of (3.2) and (3.4) guarantee that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\sqrt{\pi} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \\ \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \right)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\sqrt{\pi} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(1+\rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \\ \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \right).$$

The equality holds in (3.6) for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi$. The equality holds in (3.7) for $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore,

$$(3.8) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \geq C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho e_n; \pm e_n) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho l_\alpha; e_n) \leq C_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(\rho e_n; e_{n-1}).$$

Then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $t_x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $\langle t_x, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle = 0$, we obtain from (1.6), (3.1), (3.8) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|e_n; \pm e_n) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|l_\alpha; e_n) \\ &\leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(|x|e_n; e_{n-1}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) < \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; t_x).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1(1) is complete.

(II) By (1.4), (1.6), (3.1) and (3.7), we see that Theorem 1.4(1) is true. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.6 AND 1.7 FOR THE UNIT BALL CASE

The aim of this section is to prove Theorems 1.6(1) and 1.7(1). First, we establish a representation for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l)$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|.$$

Proof. Let $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi]$ in \mathbb{B}^n , where $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$. For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$|\langle \nabla u(x), l \rangle| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle| \cdot \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})},$$

which means

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle|.$$

Next, we show the sharpness of (4.1). For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by (2.2), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle = -2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \frac{\langle x|x-\zeta|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(x-\zeta), l \rangle}{|x-\zeta|^{2n}}.$$

Obviously, the mapping $(x, l, \zeta) \mapsto \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle$ is continuous in $\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, there exists $\zeta^* = \zeta^*(x, l) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle = \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta^*), l \rangle,$$

where $\zeta^*(x, l)$ means that the point ζ^* depends only on x and l .

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we let

$$\phi_i(\zeta) = \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} \quad \text{and} \quad u_i(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi_i](x),$$

where $\Omega_i = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : |\zeta - \zeta^*| \leq \frac{1}{i}\}$ and χ is the indicator function. Obviously, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\|\phi_i\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} = 1$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \langle \nabla u_i(x), l \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Claim 4.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle \cdot \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} d\sigma(\zeta) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle.$$

By the definition of χ_{Ω_i} and the continuity of the mapping $(x, l, \zeta) \mapsto \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle$, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle - \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta^*), l \rangle) \cdot \chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta) = 0.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $m_1 = m_1(\varepsilon)$ such that for any $i \geq m_1$,

$$|\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle - \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta^*), l \rangle| \cdot \chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} d\sigma(\zeta) = 1$, then for any $i \geq m_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} d\sigma(\zeta) - \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta^*), l \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |(\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle - \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta^*), l \rangle) \cdot \chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)| \cdot \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}(\zeta)}{\|\chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}} d\sigma(\zeta) \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.3), yields that Claim 4.1 is true.

Now, it follows from (4.4) and Claim 4.1 that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla u_i(x), l \rangle = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle \cdot \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_i\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

This, together with (4.1), shows that for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle,$$

which is what we need. \square

For any $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$, we let $l_\alpha = \sin \alpha \cdot e_{n-1} + \cos \alpha \cdot e_n$ and

$$(4.5) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\left| \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \alpha \cdot \zeta_{n-1} + \left(\zeta_n - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right) \cos \alpha \right|}{|\rho e_n - \zeta|^{2n}},$$

where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$, we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = 2(n-1)(1+\rho^2)(1-\rho^2)^{n-2} C_\infty(\rho e_n; l_\alpha).$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by (4.2), we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x, \zeta), l \rangle| \\ &= 2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\langle x|x-\zeta|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(x-\zeta), l \rangle|}{|x-\zeta|^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \rho e_n$ and $l = l_\alpha$, where $\rho \in [0, 1)$. By calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\langle x|x-\zeta|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(x-\zeta), l \rangle|}{|x-\zeta|^{2n}} \\ &= (1+\rho^2) \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\left| \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \alpha \cdot \zeta_{n-1} + \left(\zeta_n - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right) \cos \alpha \right|}{|\rho e_n - \zeta|^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with Lemma 4.1, (4.5) and (4.7), implies that (4.6) holds true. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and unitary transformation A in \mathbb{R}^n , we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(Ax; Al).$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and unitary transformation A in \mathbb{R}^n , it follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(Ax; Al) \\ &= 2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\langle Ax|Ax-\zeta|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(Ax-\zeta), Al \rangle|}{|Ax-\zeta|^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi = A^{-1}\zeta$. By the fact $|A\xi - Ax| = |\xi - x|$ and $\langle Ax, Al \rangle = \langle x, l \rangle$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(Ax; Al) &= 2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\langle Ax|x-\xi|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(Ax-A\xi), Al \rangle|}{|x-\xi|^{2n}} \\ &= 2(n-1)(1-|x|^2)^{n-2} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\langle x|x-\xi|^2 + (1-|x|^2)(x-\xi), l \rangle|}{|x-\xi|^{2n}} \\ &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

4.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.6(1) and 1.7(1). (I) First, we prove Theorem 1.6(1). For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we choose an unity transformation A in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Ax = \rho e_n$ and $Al = l_\alpha$, where $\rho = |x| \in [0, 1)$, $l_\alpha = \sin \alpha \cdot e_{n-1} + \cos \alpha \cdot e_n$ and $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$. For any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, by (1.6), Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x) &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(Ax; Al) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) \\ &= 2(n-1)(1+\rho^2)(1-\rho^2)^{n-2} \sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove the theorem, it remains to estimate the quantity $\sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha)$.

Claim 4.2. For any $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; \pm e_n) = \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)(1-\rho)^{2n-2}}.$$

Using (4.5) and spherical coordinate transformation (cf. [2, Equation (2.2)]), we find

$$C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = \max_{\beta \in [0, \pi]} \max_{\gamma \in [0, \pi]} \frac{\left| \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma + \left(\cos \beta - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right) \cos \alpha \right|}{(1+\rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \beta)^n}.$$

Since the maximum in γ is attained either at $\gamma = 0$ or $\gamma = \pi$, we get

$$(4.9) \quad C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = \max_{\beta \in [0, 2\pi]} \frac{\left| \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta + \left(\cos \beta - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right) \cos \alpha \right|}{(1+\rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \beta)^n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.10) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) &\geq C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; \pm e_n) = \max_{\beta \in [0, 2\pi]} \frac{\left| \cos \beta - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right|}{(1+\rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \beta)^n} \\ &\geq \frac{\left| 1 - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right|}{(1-\rho)^{2n}} = \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)(1-\rho)^{2n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.9) and CauchySchwarz inequality, we have

$$C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{2n-2}} \max_{\beta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \beta \right)^2 + \left(\cos \beta - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2} \right)^2}{(1+\rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \beta)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sin \beta\right)^2 + \left(\cos \beta - \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2}\right)^2}{(1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \beta)^2} = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2)^2},$$

we have

$$C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2)(1 - \rho)^{2n-2}}.$$

This, together with (4.10), implies

$$\sup_{\alpha \in [0, \pi]} C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; l_\alpha) = C_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(\rho e_n; \pm e_n) = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2)(1 - \rho)^{2n-2}},$$

and so, the claim is true.

By (4.8), Claim 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we see that for any $x \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x; \pm \frac{x}{|x|}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, \infty}(x) = 2(n-1) \frac{(1 + |x|)^{n-2}}{(1 - |x|)^n}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.6(1) is complete.

(II) By (1.4) and (4.11), we see that Theorem 1.7(1) is true. \square

5. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$ WITH $q \in [1, \infty)$

For $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the gradient estimate

$$|\nabla U(w)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi w_2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}^2} |U(x)|$$

is sharp if U is a bounded harmonic function in \mathbb{H}^2 . Using the conformal transformation from \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{H}^2 given by $w = \frac{i(1+z)}{1-z}$, one easily transfers the above inequality into the following pointwise optimal estimate:

$$|\nabla U(z)| \leq \frac{4}{\pi(1 - |z|^2)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}^2} |U(x)|,$$

where this time U is a bounded harmonic function in \mathbb{D} . For the above inequalities, we refer to [3, 9, 14].

Assume that $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ with $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $T : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ is the Möbius transform given by

$$T(w) = -e_n + \frac{2(w + e_n)}{|w + e_n|^2}.$$

It follows from [19, Exercise 3.5.17 and Theorem 5.3.5] that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi](x) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi](T(w)) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}^n}[\phi \circ T](w),$$

where $x = T(w) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. However, it seems that this transformation does not provide any fruitful connection between the two sharp constants $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}^n, q}(x; l)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$.

The main purpose of this section is to establish some general representations for the sharp quantity $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$ when $q \in [1, \infty)$. Before the proofs, for convenience, we use x' to denote the point $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 5.1. For $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $y = (y', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\psi(y) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \in \mathbb{S}_-^{n-1}$. Then we have

$$dS_{n-1}(\psi(y)) = \frac{x_n}{|y-x|^n} dV_{n-1}(y'),$$

where dS_{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue surface measure.

Proof. Let $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, $y = (y', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\psi(y) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \in \mathbb{S}_-^{n-1}$. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \psi_j(y) = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{|y-x|} - \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y-x|^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \psi_j(y) = 0,$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ and $\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$ For any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$\Phi(w) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1^2 & w_1 w_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ w_2 w_1 & w_2^2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ w_n w_1 & \cdots & w_n w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{1}{|w|^4} \begin{pmatrix} |w|^2 - w_1^2 & w_1 w_2 & \cdots & w_1 w_{n-1} & 0 \\ w_2 w_1 & |w|^2 - w_2^2 & \cdots & w_2 w_{n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{n-1} w_1 & w_{n-1} w_2 & \cdots & |w|^2 - w_{n-1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Making elementary calculations, we obtain the Jacobian matrix

$$D\psi(y) = (\nabla \psi_1(y) \cdots \nabla \psi_n(y))^T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|y-x|} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \frac{1}{|y-x|} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\Phi(y-x)}{|y-x|^3}$$

and

$$(D\psi(y))^T D\psi(y) = \Psi(y-x),$$

where T is the transpose and $\nabla \psi_i$ are understood as column vectors. Since the eigenvalues of $(D\psi(y))^T D\psi(y)$ are

$$\lambda_1^2 = 0, \quad \lambda_2^2 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1}^2 = \frac{1}{|y-x|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n^2 = \frac{(y_n - x_n)^2}{|y-x|^4},$$

we see that

$$dS_{n-1}(\psi(y)) = \frac{|y_n - x_n|}{|y-x|^n} dV_{n-1}(y') = \frac{x_n}{|y-x|^n} dV_{n-1}(y').$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Based on Lemma 5.1, we get the following integral representation of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x, l)$, where $q \in [1, \infty)$.

Lemma 5.2. *For $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle|^q \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. Assume that p is the conjugate of q with $p \in (1, \infty]$, $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $u = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi]$ in \mathbb{H}^n . Let $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $y = (y', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, by (1.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x) &= -2(n-1)c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_n^{n-1}(x_i - y_i)}{(|x' - y'|^2 + x_n^2)^n} \phi(y') dV_{n-1}(y') \\ &= 2(n-1)c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_n^{n-1}(y_i - x_i)}{|y - x|^{2n}} \phi(y') dV_{n-1}(y') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} u(x) = (n-1)c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{x_n^{n-2}}{|y - x|^{2n-2}} + \frac{2x_n^{n-1}(y_n - x_n)}{|y - x|^{2n}} \right) \phi(y') dV_{n-1}(y'),$$

where c_n is the constant from (1.3). Therefore, for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$(5.1) \quad \nabla u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') \phi(y') dV_{n-1}(y')$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') = (n-1)c_n \left(\frac{x_n^{n-2} e_n}{|y - x|^{2n-2}} + \frac{2x_n^{n-1}(y - x)}{|y - x|^{2n}} \right).$$

In order to calculate the quantity $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$, we first estimate the right-hand side of (5.2). Since $x_n > 0$ and $y_n = 0$, then $x \neq y$. Let

$$e_{xy} = \frac{y - x}{|y - x|}.$$

By calculations, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2x_n^{n-1}(y - x)}{|y - x|^{2n}} &= \frac{2x_n^{n-2}}{|y - x|^{2n-2}} \cdot \frac{x_n(y - x)}{|y - x|^2} = \frac{-2x_n^{n-2}}{|y - x|^{2n-2}} \left\langle \frac{y - x}{|y - x|}, e_n \right\rangle \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} \\ &= \frac{-2x_n^{n-2}}{|y - x|^{2n-2}} \langle e_{xy}, e_n \rangle e_{xy}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(5.4) \quad \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') = (n-1)c_n x_n^{n-2} \frac{e_n - 2\langle e_{xy}, e_n \rangle e_{xy}}{|y - x|^{2n-2}},$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= (n-1)c_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_n^{(n-2)q} |\langle e_n - 2\langle e_{xy}, e_n \rangle e_{xy}, l \rangle|^q}{|y-x|^{2(n-1)q}} dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 5.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad & \frac{x_n^{(n-2)q}}{|y-x|^{2(n-1)q}} dV_{n-1}(y') = x_n^{n(1-q)-1} \left(\frac{x_n}{|y-x|} \right)^{2(n-1)q-n} \frac{x_n}{|y-x|^n} dV_{n-1}(y') \\
&= x_n^{n(1-q)-1} \langle \xi, -e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Combing (5.6) and (5.6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_-^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle|^q \cdot \langle \xi, -e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle|^q \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$.

Next we show the sharpness of (5.2). Fix $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $w \in \mathbb{H}^n$ with $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. For any $y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we define

$$\phi_l(y') = |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, y'), l \rangle|^{\frac{q}{p}} \cdot \text{sign} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, y'), l \rangle$$

and $u_l(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi_l](x)$. The similar arguments as above show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, y')|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \\
&= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{w_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi|^q \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (5.1) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla u_l(w), l \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\phi_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the arbitrary of w and l shows the sharpness of (5.2). This, together with (5.7), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle|^q dV_{n-1}(y') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^{\frac{n(q-1)+1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle|^q \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

For $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let

$$(5.8) \quad C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle|^q \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi).$$

Thus, in order to estimate $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$, we only need to calculate $C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$. By spherical coordinate transformation, we can reformulate $C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l)$ as follows.

Lemma 5.3. *For $q \in [1, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have*

$$C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) = \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)q}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q (1 + \langle \eta, e_n \rangle)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dS_{n-1}(\eta).$$

Proof. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$ and $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. By (5.8), we get

$$C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \left| 2\xi_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \xi_k l_k + (2\xi_n^2 - 1)l_n \right|^q \xi_n^{2(n-1)q-n} dS_{n-1}(\xi).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n &= \cos \theta_1, \\ \xi_{n-1} &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \\ &\vdots \\ \xi_2 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1}, \\ \xi_1 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1 \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-2} \in [0, \pi]$ and $\theta_{n-1} \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then we obtain from [2, Section 2.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{n-2} \theta_1 d\theta_1 \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-3} \theta_2 d\theta_2 \dots \int_0^\pi \sin \theta_{n-2} d\theta_{n-2} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} h(2\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, l) |\cos \theta_1|^{2(n-1)q-n} d\theta_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &h(2\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, l) \\ &= |\sin 2\theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1} l_1 + \sin 2\theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} l_2 \\ &\quad + \dots + \sin 2\theta_1 \cos \theta_2 l_{n-1} + \cos 2\theta_1 l_n|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vartheta_1 = 2\theta_1$ and $\vartheta_i = \theta_i$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} d\vartheta_1 \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-3} \vartheta_2 d\vartheta_2 \cdots \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta_{n-2} d\vartheta_{n-2} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} h(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_{n-1}, l) \left(\cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \right)^{2(n-1)q-n} d\vartheta_{n-1} \\ &= \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \vartheta_1 d\vartheta_1 \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-3} \vartheta_2 d\vartheta_2 \cdots \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta_{n-2} d\vartheta_{n-2} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} h(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_{n-1}, l) \frac{\left(\cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \right)^{2(n-1)(q-1)}}{2^{n-1}} d\vartheta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n &= \cos \vartheta_1, \\ \eta_{n-1} &= \sin \vartheta_1 \cos \vartheta_2, \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_2 &= \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \cdots \sin \vartheta_{n-2} \cos \vartheta_{n-1}, \\ \eta_1 &= \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \cdots \sin \vartheta_{n-2} \sin \vartheta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \{-e_n\}$,

$$\left| \cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \eta_n}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_{n-1}, l) = |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q.$$

Hence,

$$C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) = \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)q}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \eta, l \rangle|^q (1 + \eta_n)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dS_{n-1}(\eta).$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1~1.7 FOR THE HALF-SPACE CASE

The aim of this section is to prove Theorems 1.1~1.7 for the half-space case.

6.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.6(2) and 1.7(2). (I) First, we prove Theorem 1.6(2). For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we infer from (1.5), (1.6) and (5.1) that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \sup_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle|.$$

Let $e_{xy} = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$, where $y = (y', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then (5.4) and the fact $\frac{x_n}{|y-x|} = \langle e_{xy}, -e_n \rangle$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle| &= (n-1)c_n \frac{x_n^{n-2} |\langle e_n - 2\langle e_{xy}, e_n \rangle e_{xy}, l \rangle|}{|y-x|^{2n-2}} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} |\langle e_n - 2\langle e_{xy}, e_n \rangle e_{xy}, l \rangle| \cdot \langle e_{xy}, -e_n \rangle^{2n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_n is the constant from (1.3). Note that $e_{xy} \in \mathbb{S}_-^{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad \sup_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle| &= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_-^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle| \cdot \langle \xi, -e_n \rangle^{2n-2} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |\langle e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi, l \rangle| \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the equality $|e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi|^2 = 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad \sup_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle| &\leq \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |e_n - 2\langle \xi, e_n \rangle \xi| \cdot \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2n-2} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \langle \xi, e_n \rangle^{2n-2} = \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (1.3) and (6.1), implies

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; l) \leq \frac{(n-1)c_n}{x_n^n} = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} x_n^n}.$$

In the following, we show that the constant in (6.5) is sharp. For each $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, by (6.2)~(6.4), we see that

$$(6.6) \quad \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle| = |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, x'), \pm e_n \rangle| = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} x_n^n}.$$

Fix $w = (w', w_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define

$$\phi_j(y') = \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}}$$

in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $u_j(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}[\phi_j](x)$ in \mathbb{H}^n , where $\Omega_j = \{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |y' - w'| \leq \frac{1}{j}\}$. Then for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $\|\phi_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})} = 1$ and

$$(6.7) \quad \langle \nabla u_j(x), l \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}} dV_{n-1}(y').$$

For $y', w' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, using (5.3) and the definition of χ_{Ω_j} , we find

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') - \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle| \cdot \chi_{\Omega_j}(y') \\ &\leq (n-1)c_n x_n^{n-2} \frac{|y-x|^{2n-2} - |w-x|^{2n-2}}{|y-x|^{2n-2}|w-x|^{2n-2}} + 2(n-1)c_n x_n^{n-1} \left| \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^{2n}} - \frac{w-x}{|w-x|^{2n}} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $w = (w', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|y-x|^{2n-2} - |w-x|^{2n-2}}{|y-x|^{2n-2}|w-x|^{2n-2}} &\leq \frac{|y-w|}{x_n^{4(n-1)}} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-3} |y-x|^k |w-x|^{2n-3-k} \\ &\leq \frac{(2n-2)|y'-w'|}{x_n^{4(n-1)}} (|w-x| + |y'-w'|)^{2n-3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^{2n}} - \frac{w-x}{|w-x|^{2n}} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{(y-x)(|w-x|^{2n} - |y-x|^{2n})}{x_n^{4n}} + \frac{(y-w)|y-x|^{2n}}{x_n^{4n}} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|y-x| \cdot |y-w|}{x_n^{4n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} |y-x|^k |w-x|^{2n-1-k} + \frac{|y-w| \cdot |y-x|^{2n}}{x_n^{4n}} \\
& \leq \frac{(2n+1)|y'-w'|}{x_n^{4n}} (|w-x| + |y'-w'|)^{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') - \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle| \cdot \chi_{\Omega_j}(y') = 0,$$

which means for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $m_2 = m_2(\varepsilon, x, w)$ such that for any $j \geq m_2$ and $y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$|\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') - \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle| \cdot \chi_{\Omega_j}(y') < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}} dV_{n-1}(y') = 1$, then for any $j \geq m_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}} dV_{n-1}(y') - \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y') - \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle| \cdot \chi_{\Omega_j}(y') \cdot \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}} dV_{n-1}(y') \leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (6.7), we conclude

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla u_j(x), l \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, y'), l \rangle \frac{\chi_{\Omega_j}(y')}{\|\chi_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}} dV_{n-1}(y') = \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(x, w'), l \rangle.$$

Replacing x by w and l by $\pm e_n$ in the above equalities, we obtain from (6.6) that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla u_j(w), \pm e_n \rangle = \langle \nabla \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(w, w'), \pm e_n \rangle = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} x_n^n} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence, the sharpness of (6.5) follows and we get

$$(6.8) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; l) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \infty}(x; \pm e_n) = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} x_n^n}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.6(2) is complete.

(II) By (1.4) and (6.8), we see that Theorem 1.7(2) is true. \square

6.2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1~1.5 for the half-space case. For $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, choose an unitary transformation A such that $Ae_n = l_\alpha$ and $Al = e_n$, where $l_\alpha = \sin \alpha \cdot e_{n-1} + \cos \alpha \cdot e_n$ and $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.9) \quad C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) &= \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)q}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle A\eta, Al \rangle|^q (1 + \langle Ae_n, A\eta \rangle)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dS_{n-1}(A\eta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)q}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \zeta, e_n \rangle|^q (1 + \langle l_\alpha, \zeta \rangle)^{(n-1)(q-1)} dS_{n-1}(\zeta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)(2q-1)}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \zeta, e_n \rangle|^q |\zeta - l_\alpha|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} dS_{n-1}(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

(I) First, we prove Theorems 1.2(2) and 1.5(2). Assume that $q \in [\frac{2K_0-1}{n-1} + 1, \frac{2K_0}{n-1} + 1] \cap [1, \infty)$, where $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2.8), (3.2) and (3.4), we know that for any $\rho \in [0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.10) \quad & \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) (1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \zeta, e_n \rangle|^q |\zeta - \rho l_\alpha|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leq {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.11) \quad & \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) (1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)(q-1)}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \zeta, e_n \rangle|^q |\zeta - \rho l_\alpha|^{2(n-1)(q-1)} d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& \geq {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; \frac{4\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{q+n}{2} - \frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2} - \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2} - \frac{q+1}{2} > 0$$

and

$$\frac{q+n}{2} - \frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2} - \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2} > 0.$$

Then we deduce from [18, Chapter 5] that the above two series are absolutely convergent when $\rho \leq 1$. Let $\rho = 1$. It follows from (6.9)~(6.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.12) \quad & C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \\
& \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \omega_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{q(n-1)} \Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.13) \quad & C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \\
& \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \omega_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{q(n-1)} \Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1 - (n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where ω_{n-1} denotes the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . The equality holds in (6.10) for $l_\alpha = \pm e_n$, which means the equality holds in (6.12) when $l = \pm e_n$. Further, the equality holds in (6.11) for $l_\alpha = \pm e_{n-1}$, which means the equality holds

in (6.13) when $l = t_{e_n}$, where $t_{e_n} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\langle t_{e_n}, e_n \rangle = 0$. These, together with Lemma 5.2 and (5.8), yield that for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$(6.14) \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; t_{e_n}) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; \pm e_n) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x).$$

Hence, Theorem 1.2(2) holds true and Theorem 1.5(2) follows from (1.4), (5.8), (6.12) and Lemma 5.2.

(II) Next, we show Theorems 1.1(2) and 1.4(2). Assume that $q \in (1, \frac{n}{n-1})$. By (2.8), (3.6), (3.7) and (6.9), we arrive at the following two sharp estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \\ & \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\omega_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{q(n-1)}\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \\ & \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\omega_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{q(n-1)}\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-q)}{2}, \frac{1-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}; \frac{q+n}{2}; 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we obtain from (2.8), (3.8), (6.9) and Lemma 5.2 that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; e_n) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x; t_{e_n}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, q}(x).$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1(2) holds true and Theorem 1.4(2) follows from Lemma 5.2, (5.8) and (6.15).

(III) Now, we present the proof of Theorem 1.4(2). For any $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, by letting $q = \frac{n}{n-1}$ in (6.12) and (6.13), we have

$$(6.16) \quad C_{\mathbb{H}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x; l) \equiv \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})}{2^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n^2}{2n-2})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, \frac{n}{n-1}}(x; l).$$

Similarly, for any $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, by letting $q = 1$ in (6.12) and (6.13), we get

$$(6.17) \quad C_{\mathbb{H}^n, 1}(x; l) \equiv \frac{2^{2-n}\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1+n}{2})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, 1}(x) \equiv \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n, 1}(x; l).$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.4(2) follows from Lemma 5.2, (5.8), (6.16) and (6.17). \square

Remark 6.1. In the case $q = 2$, we can find a very explicit sharp point estimate for the half-space case. For any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, it follows from (5.8), (6.9), (6.14), Lemma 5.2 and [15, Lemma 1] (or [2, Theorem G]) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{H}^n, 2}(x; l) & \leq C_{\mathbb{H}^n, 2}(x; \pm e_n) = \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \eta, e_n \rangle|^2 |1 - \langle \eta, e_n \rangle|^{2(n-1)} dS_{n-1}(\eta) \\ & = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2^{2n-3}\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} t^2 (1-t)^{n-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \cos 2s$, where $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Then

$$C_{\mathbb{H}^n,2}(x; \pm e_n) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2^{n-3}\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin 2s)^{n-2} (\cos 2s)^2 (\sin s)^{2n-2} ds.$$

Note that for any $i, j \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^i s \cos^j s ds = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{j+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{i+j}{2} + 1)}$$

(cf. [18, Page 19]). Therefore,

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin 2s)^{n-2} (\cos 2s)^2 (\sin s)^{2n-2} ds = \frac{2^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n+3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3n-3}{2})}{\Gamma(2n)}.$$

Hence, we obtain from Lemma 5.2 and (5.8) that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,2}(x; l) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,2}(x) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{H}^n,2}(x; \pm e_n) = \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}x_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{4\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n+3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3n-3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(2n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}x_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{4\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n+3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3n-3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(2n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Funding. The first author is partially supported by NSFS of China (No. 11571216, 11671127 and 11801166), NSF of Hunan Province (No. 2018JJ3327), China Scholarship Council and the construct program of the key discipline in Hunan Province. The third author is partially supported by MPNTR grant 174017, Serbia.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BURGETH: *A Schwarz lemma for harmonic and hyperbolic-harmonic functions in higher dimensions*. Manuscripta Math., **77** (1992), 283-291.
- [2] J. CHEN, M. HUANG, A. RASILA AND X. WANG: *On Lipschitz continuity of solutions of hyperbolic Poisson's equation*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **57** (2018), 32 pp.
- [3] F. COLONNA: *The Bloch constant of bounded harmonic mappings*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., **38** (1989), 829-840.
- [4] A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER AND F. TRICOMI: *Higher Transcendental Functions*. Vol. I. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [5] D. KALAJ: *A proof of Khavinson conjecture in \mathbb{R}^4* . Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **49** (2017), 561-570.
- [6] D. KALAJ AND M. MARKOVIĆ: *Optimal estimates for the gradient of harmonic functions in the unit disk*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **7** (2013), 1167-1183.
- [7] D. KALAJ AND M. VUORINEN: *On harmonic functions and the Schwarz lemma*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (2012), 161-165.
- [8] D. KHAVINSON: *An extremal problem for harmonic functions in the ball*. Canad. Math. Bull., **35** (1992), 218-220.
- [9] G. KRESIN AND V. MAZ'YA: *Sharp real-part theorems. A unified approach*. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [10] G. KRESIN AND V. MAZ'YA: *Sharp pointwise estimates for directional derivatives of harmonic functions in a multidimensional ball*. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.), **169** (2010), 167-187.

- [11] G. KRESIN AND V. MAZ'YA: *Optimal estimates for the gradient of harmonic functions in the multidimensional half-space*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **28** (2010), 425-440.
- [12] C. LIU: *A proof of the Khavinson conjecture*. Math. Ann., to appear. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-020-01983-w>)
- [13] A. MACINTYRE AND W. ROGOSINSKI: *Extremum problems in the theory of analytic functions*. Acta Math., **82** (1950), 275-325.
- [14] M. MARKOVIĆ: *Solution to the Khavinson problem near the boundary of the unit ball*. Constr. Approx., **45** (2017), 243-271.
- [15] P. MELENTIJEVIĆ: *A proof of the Khavinson conjecture in \mathbb{R}^3* . Adv. Math., **352** (2019), 1044-1065.
- [16] P. MELENTIJEVIĆ: *Seminorms of the weighted Berezin transform onto the Bloch type space*. Submitted.
- [17] M. PROTTER AND H. WEINBERGER: *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1967, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.
- [18] E. RAINVILLE: *Special functions*. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1960.
- [19] M. STOLL: *Harmonic and subharmonic function theory on the hyperbolic ball*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

JIAOLONG CHEN, KEY LABORATORY OF HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING AND STOCHASTIC INFORMATION PROCESSING (HPCSIP) (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION OF CHINA), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN 410081, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: jiaolongchen@sina.com

UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, CETINJSKI PUT B.B. 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO

E-mail address: davidkalaj@gmail.com

MATEMATIČKI FAKULTET, UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, SERBIA

E-mail address: petarmel@matf.bg.ac.rs