

Global existence of strong solutions to the planar compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data in unbounded domains*

Boqiang Lü[†] Xiaoding Shi[‡] Chengfeng Xiong[§]

Abstract

In one-dimensional unbounded domains, we consider the equations of a planar compressible magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow with constant viscosity and heat conductivity. More precisely, we prove the global existence of strong solutions to the MHD equations with large initial data satisfying the same conditions as those of Kazhikhov's theory in bounded domains (Kazhikhov 1987 Boundary Value Problems for Equations of Mathematical Physics (Krasnoyarsk)). In particular, our result generalizes the Kazhikhov's theory for the initial boundary value problem in bounded domains to the unbounded case.

Keywords. Magnetohydrodynamics; Global strong solutions; Large initial data; Unbounded domains

Math Subject Classification: 35Q35; 76N10.

1 Introduction

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is concerned with the study of the interaction between the magnetic fields and electrically conducting fluids. It is widely applied to astrophysics, geophysics and plasma physics in practice, see [2, 6, 13, 15, 20, 21, 26] and the references therein. We are concerned with the governing equations of a planar magnetohydrodynamic compressible flow written in the Lagrange variables

$$v_t = u_x, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_t + (P + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2)_x = \left(\mu \frac{u_x}{v}\right)_x, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{b}_x = \left(\lambda \frac{\mathbf{w}_x}{v}\right)_x, \tag{1.3}$$

$$(v\mathbf{b})_t - \mathbf{w}_x = \left(\nu \frac{\mathbf{b}_x}{v}\right)_x, \tag{1.4}$$

*B. LÜ is supported by NNSFC (11971217) and Jiangxi Provincial Natural Science Foundation (20202ACBL211002). X. SHI is supported by NNSFC (11671027 & 11471321).

[†]College of Mathematics and Information Science, Nanchang Hangkong University, Nanchang 330063, P. R. China (lvbq86@163.com).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, College of Mathematics and Physics, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, P.R. China (shixd@mail.buct.edu.cn).

[§]Institute of Applied Mathematics, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China (xiongcf1998@163.com).

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e + \frac{u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right)_t + \left(u \left(P + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} \right)_x \\ &= \left(\kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \mu \frac{u u_x}{v} + \lambda \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x}{v} + \nu \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x}{v} \right)_x, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $t > 0$ is time, $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ denotes the Lagrange mass coordinate, and the unknown functions $v > 0$, u , $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $e > 0$, $\theta > 0$, and P are, respectively, the specific volume of the gas, longitudinal velocity, transverse velocity, transverse magnetic field, internal energy, absolute temperature and pressure. μ and λ are the viscosity of the flow, ν is the magnetic diffusivity of the magnetic field, and κ is the heat conductivity. In this paper, we consider a perfect gas for magnetohydrodynamic flow, that is, P and e satisfy

$$P = R\theta/v, \quad e = c_v\theta + \text{const}, \quad (1.6)$$

where both specific gas constant R and heat capacity at constant volume c_v are positive constants. We also assume that λ and ν are positive constants, and that μ , κ satisfy

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu}_1 + \tilde{\mu}_2 v^{-\alpha}, \quad \kappa = \tilde{\kappa} \theta^\beta, \quad (1.7)$$

with constants $\tilde{\mu}_1 > 0$, $\tilde{\mu}_2 \geq 0$, $\tilde{\kappa} > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

The system (1.1)-(1.6) is supplemented with the initial conditions

$$(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w})(x, 0) = (v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.8)$$

and one of three types of far-field and boundary ones:

1) Cauchy problem

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad t > 0; \quad (1.9)$$

2) boundary and far-field conditions for $\Omega = (0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad \theta(0, t) = 1, \quad \mathbf{b}(0, t) = \mathbf{w}(0, t) = 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}) &= (1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad t > 0; \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

3) boundary and far-field conditions for $\Omega = (0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= \theta_x(0, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{b}(0, t) = \mathbf{w}(0, t) = 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}) &= (1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

There is huge literature on the studies of the global existence and large time behavior of solutions to the compressible MHD system. In particular, when $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b} = 0$, the MHD system (1.1)-(1.5) reduces to the Navier-Stokes equations, which have been studied extensively in [4, 5, 7–9, 11, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23, 25, 28] and the references therein. Here, we recall briefly some results which are more relative with our problem. For constant coefficients $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and large initial data, Kazhikhov-Shelukhin [19] first obtained the global existence of solutions to the initial boundary value problem in bounded domains. When $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$ in (1.7), Huang-Shi [11] obtained the global strong solutions to the initial-boundary-value problem with the initial data $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0) \in H^1$, see also Pan-Zhang [25] for the initial data $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0) \in (H^1 \times H^2 \times H^2)$. For the Cauchy

problem in the unbounded domain, Kazhikhov [17] obtained the global existence of strong solutions with constant coefficients $\alpha = \beta = 0$, which recently be refined by Li-Shu-Xu [23] to the general case with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

Now, let's go back to the MHD system (1.1)-(1.5). For the initial-boundary-value problem in bounded domains, the global existence of strong solutions with large initial data was obtained by Kazhikhov [18] (see also Amosov-Zlotnik [1]) for the constant coefficients $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and by Huang-Shi-Sun [12] for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$ (see also Hu-Ju [10] with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$). Concerning the unbounded domains, Cao-Peng-Sun [3] established the global existence of strong solutions with large initial data. It should be pointed that the method in [3] depends heavily on the assumption of $\beta > 0$ and thus cannot be adapted to the case of constant coefficients $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Therefore, the main aim of this paper is to prove the global existence of strong solutions with constant coefficients $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in unbounded domains, which generalized Kazhikhov's result [18] to the case of unbounded domains. That is, our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and the initial data $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)$ satisfies*

$$(v_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0) \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} v_0(x) > 0, \quad \inf_{x \in \Omega} \theta_0(x) > 0, \quad (1.13)$$

and are compatible with (1.10), (1.11). Then there exists a unique global strong solution $(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w})$ to the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.9), or (1.1)-(1.8) (1.10), or (1.1)-(1.8) (1.11) satisfying for any $T > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} v - 1, u, \theta - 1, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ v_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ u_t, \theta_t, \mathbf{b}_t, \mathbf{w}_t, u_{xx}, \theta_{xx}, \mathbf{b}_{xx}, \mathbf{w}_{xx} \in L^2((\Omega) \times (0, T)), \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

and for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$

$$C^{-1} \leq v(x, t) \leq C, \quad C^{-1} \leq \theta(x, t) \leq C, \quad (1.15)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on the data and T .

Remark 1.1. *Our result can be regarded as a natural generalization of Kazhikhov's result [18] in the bounded domains to the case of unbounded domains.*

Remark 1.2. *It should be mentioned here that Theorem 1.1 still holds for the case of $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b} = 0$. This in particular yields that our result also establishes the global strong solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes equations which has been considered in Kazhikhov [17].*

We now comment on the analysis of this paper. To extend the local strong solutions whose existence is guaranteed by lemma 2.1 to be global, the main issue is to establish some necessary global a priori estimates of solutions. Motivated by Kazhikhov [18] (see also [11, 12]), we first obtain a key representation of v (see (2.8)), which together with the standard energetic estimates (2.1) derives the lower bound of v (2.3). Then, following the similar arguments as those in [23], multiplying the temperature equation (2.2) by $\theta^{-2}(\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p$ and using the boundedness of domains $(\theta < 1/2)(t)$ (see (2.14)),

we can also obtain the lower bound of θ (see (2.13)). Next, we will prove the key upper bound of v . It should be mentioned here that the method in [3] for the case $\beta > 0$ is not valid in the case $\beta = 0$ due to lack of the estimates on $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$ -norm of θ . In this paper, modifying slightly the idea due to Amosov-Zlotnik [1], we can prove the key upper bound of v (see (2.15)) by controlling the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ -norm of $(\ln v)_x$ (see (2.39)), see Lemma 2.5. Finally, using the similar arguments as those in [3, 12, 23], one can derive the necessary a priori estimates of the solutions, see Lemmas 2.6–2.8. The whole procedure will be carried out in the next section.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first state the following existence and uniqueness of local solutions which can be obtained by using the Banach theorem and the contractivity of the operator defined by the linearization of the problem on a small time interval (c.f. [16, 24, 27]).

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, there exists some $T_0 > 0$ such that the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.9), or (1.1)-(1.8) (1.10), or (1.1)-(1.8) (1.11) has a unique strong solution $(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w})$ with positive $v(x, t)$ and $\theta(x, t)$ satisfying (1.14).*

Then, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it only remains to obtain some a priori estimates (see (2.3), (2.13), (2.15), (2.16), (2.36), (2.46), and (2.55)), where the constants depend only on T and the data of the problem. Thus, one can use the a priori estimates to continue the local solutions to the whole interval $[0, T]$.

Next, without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda = \nu = \mu = \tilde{\kappa} = R = c_v = 1$. We first state the following basic energy estimates.

Lemma 2.2. *It holds that for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$,*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + (v - \ln v - 1) + (\theta - \ln \theta - 1) \right) dx + \int_0^T W(t) dt \leq e_0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$W(t) \triangleq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} + \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v\theta} \right) dx,$$

and

$$e_0 \triangleq 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u_0^2 + |\mathbf{w}_0|^2 + v_0|\mathbf{b}_0|^2}{2} + (v_0 - \ln v_0 - 1) + (\theta_0 - \ln \theta_0 - 1) \right) dx.$$

Proof. Using (1.1)-(1.4), the energy equation (1.5) can be rewritten as

$$\theta_t + \frac{\theta}{v} u_x = \left(\frac{\theta_x}{v} \right)_x + \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v}. \quad (2.2)$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $1 - v^{-1}$, (1.2) by u , (1.3) by \mathbf{w} , (1.4) by \mathbf{b} , and (2.2) by $1 - \theta^{-1}$,

respectively, one obtains after adding the resultant equalities altogether that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + (\theta - \ln \theta - 1) + (v - \ln v - 1) \right)_t \\
& + \frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} + \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v\theta} \\
& = \left(\frac{\theta_x}{v} + \frac{uu_x}{v} + \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x}{v} + \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x}{v} \right)_x + u_x \\
& - \left(u \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\theta_x}{v\theta} \right)_x,
\end{aligned}$$

which along with (1.9) or (1.10) or (1.11) yields (2.1) and completes the proof Lemma 2.2. \square

Next, we will give out the following lower bound of v .

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$,*

$$v(x, t) \geq C, \quad (2.3)$$

where (and in what follows) C denotes a generic positive constant depending only on $T, \|(v_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \inf_{x \in \Omega} v_0(x)$, and $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \theta_0(x)$.

Proof. Letting

$$\sigma \triangleq \frac{u_x}{v} - \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) = (\ln v)_t - \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right)$$

owing to (1.1), we write (1.2) as

$$u_t = \sigma_x. \quad (2.4)$$

For any $x \in \Omega$, denoting $N = [x]$, one obtains after integrating (2.4) over $[N, x] \times [0, t]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_N^x u dy - \int_N^x u_0 dy &= \ln v - \ln v_0 \\
&- \int_0^t \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) d\tau - \int_0^t \sigma(N, \tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$v(x, t) = B_N(x, t) Y_N(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) d\tau \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$B_N(x, t) \triangleq v_0 \exp \left\{ \int_N^x u dy - \int_N^x u_0 dy \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$Y_N(t) \triangleq \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \sigma(N, \tau) d\tau \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Denoting by

$$g(x, t) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{v} \right) d\tau,$$

it deduces from (2.5) that

$$g_t = \frac{\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{v} = \frac{\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{B_N(x, t)Y_N(t) \exp\{g\}},$$

which gives

$$\exp\{g\} = 1 + \int_0^t \frac{\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{B_N(x, \tau)Y_N(\tau)} d\tau.$$

Combining this with (2.5) leads to

$$v(x, t) = B_N(x, t)Y_N(t) \left(1 + \int_0^t \frac{(\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2)}{B_N(x, \tau)Y_N(\tau)} d\tau \right). \quad (2.8)$$

On the one hand, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\left| \int_N^x (u(y, t) - u_0(y)) dy \right| \leq \left(\int_N^{N+1} u^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_N^{N+1} u_0^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C,$$

which together with (2.6) implies

$$C^{-1} \leq B_N(x, t) \leq C, \quad (2.9)$$

where, and in what follows, C is a constant independent of N .

On the other hand, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\int_N^{N+1} (v - \ln v - 1 + \theta - \ln \theta - 1) dx \leq e_0,$$

which together with Jensen's inequality yields that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\alpha_1 \leq \int_N^{N+1} v(x, t) dx \leq \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_1 \leq \int_N^{N+1} \theta(x, t) dx \leq \alpha_2, \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ are two roots of

$$z - \ln z - 1 = e_0.$$

Furthermore, multiplying (2.8) by $\frac{1}{Y_N(t)}$ and integrating the resultant equality over $[N, N+1]$, we obtain after using (2.10), (2.1), and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Y_N(t)} \int_N^{N+1} v(x, t) dx &\leq C \int_N^{N+1} B_N(x, t) \left(1 + \int_0^t \frac{\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{B_N(x, \tau)Y_N(\tau)} d\tau \right) dx \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \frac{1}{Y_N(\tau)} \int_N^{N+1} \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}v|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dx d\tau \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \frac{1}{Y_N(\tau)} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which combined with Grönwall's inequality and (2.10) shows that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\frac{1}{Y_N(t)} \leq C. \quad (2.12)$$

This together with (2.8), (2.9), and the fact that C is independent of N yields (2.3) and thus finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Now, with the similar arguments in [3, 23], we can obtain the following lower bound of the temperature θ .

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$,*

$$\theta \geq C. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. The proof is similar as those in [3, 23]. We will sketch them here for completeness. Denoting by

$$(\theta > 2)(t) = \{x \in \Omega | \theta(x, t) > 2\}, \quad (\theta < 1/2)(t) = \{x \in \Omega | \theta(x, t) < 1/2\},$$

it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &\geq \int_{(\theta < 1/2)(t)} (\theta - \ln \theta - 1) dx + \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} (\theta - \ln \theta - 1) dx \\ &\geq (\ln 2 - 1/2) |(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + (1 - \ln 2) |(\theta > 2)(t)| \\ &\geq (\ln 2 - 1/2) (|(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + |(\theta > 2)(t)|), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$|(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + |(\theta > 2)(t)| \leq \frac{2e_0}{2 \ln 2 - 1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Next, for any $p > 2$, integrating (2.2) multiplied by $\theta^{-2} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p$ with $(\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \triangleq \max \{\theta^{-1} - 2, 0\}$ over Ω , we obtain after using (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^{p+1} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v\theta^2} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x}{v\theta} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v\theta^2} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v\theta^2} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx + C \int_{\Omega} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (2.14) leads to

$$\left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^p$$

with C independent of p . This, in particular, implies that there exists some positive constant C independent of p such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (2.14), it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

which derives (2.13) and thus finishes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

Now, we will prove the the following upper bound of v , which is crucial for deducing the desired a priori estimates.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$,*

$$v(x, t) \leq C. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} (v_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} ((1 + \theta)v_x^2 + u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. First, multiplying (1.3) by \mathbf{w} and integrating the resultant equality over Ω by parts, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x| |\mathbf{b}| dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} v |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} dx + C, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where in the last inequality one has used (2.1). Denoting by

$$\tilde{V}(t) \triangleq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} dx + V(t) + 1 \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$V(t) \triangleq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} + \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v \theta} \right) dx, \quad (2.19)$$

it deduces from (2.17) and (2.1) that

$$\int_0^T \tilde{V}(t) dt \leq C. \quad (2.20)$$

Next, using (1.1), we rewrite (1.2) as follows

$$(\ln v)_{xt} = u_t + \left(\frac{\theta}{v} \right)_x + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x. \quad (2.21)$$

Adding (2.21) multiplied by $(\ln v)_x$ and (1.4) multiplied by $v \mathbf{b}$ together, one obtains after integrating the resultant equality over Ω by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} ((\ln v)_x^2 + v^2 |\mathbf{b}|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + \frac{\theta}{v} (\ln v)_x^2 \right) dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u (\ln v)_x dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x (\ln v)_x}{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} v \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{b} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Setting

$$M_v(t) \triangleq 1 + \max_{x \in \Omega} v(x, t), \quad (2.23)$$

the last term on the righthand side of (2.22) can be estimated as follows

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{b} dx \right| \leq C M_v(t) \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x| |\mathbf{b}| dx \leq C M_v(t) \tilde{V}(t) \quad (2.24)$$

due to (2.17).

In order to handle the third term on the righthand side of (2.22), integration by parts together with (2.2) and (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x (\ln v)_x}{v} dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \ln v \left(\frac{\theta_x}{v} \right)_x dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \ln v \left((\theta - 1)_t + \frac{\theta}{v} u_x - \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} \right) dx \\
&= - \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 1) \ln v dx \right)_t + \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta - 1) u_x}{v} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta u_x}{v} \ln v dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} \ln v dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

On the one hand, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta - 1)}{v} u_x dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 1)^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C \int_{(\theta \leq 2)(t)} (\theta - 1)^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} \left(\theta^{1/2} - 2^{1/2} \right)_+^2 \theta dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C + C \max_{x \in \Omega} \left(\theta^{1/2} - 2^{1/2} \right)_+^2 \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C + C \left(\int_{(\theta > 2)} |\theta_x| \theta^{-1/2} dx \right)^2 \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x^2}{\theta^2 v} dx \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} v \theta dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + CV(t) M_v(t) + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where one has used (2.3) and the following estimates

$$\int_{(\theta \leq 2)(t)} (\theta - 1)^2 dx + \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} (\theta - \ln \theta - 1) dx \leq C \tag{2.27}$$

owing to (2.1) and (2.14). On the other hand, the straight calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta}{v} u_x \ln v dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta - 1}{v} u_x \ln v dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x \ln v}{v} dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 1)^2 dx \right) \ln M_v(t) \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \ln^2 v dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx + V(t) M_v(t) + 1 \right) \ln M_v(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where one has used (2.3), (2.26), and the following fact

$$\int_{\Omega} \ln^2 v dx \leq C \int_{(v \leq 2)(t)} (v - 1)^2 dx + C \int_{(v > 2)(t)} v dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} (v - \ln v - 1) dx \leq C \tag{2.29}$$

due to (2.1) and (2.3).

Putting (2.26) and (2.28) into (2.25) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x (\ln v)_x}{v} dx \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} -(\theta - 1) \ln v dx + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} dx + \tilde{V}(t) M_v(t) \right) \ln M_v(t),$$

which together with (2.22) and (2.24) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} ((\ln v)_x^2 + |v \mathbf{b}|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + \frac{\theta}{v} (\ln v)_x^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{d}{dt} B(t) + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} dx + \tilde{V}(t) M_v(t) \right) \ln M_v(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where

$$B(t) \triangleq \int_{\Omega} u (\ln v)_x dx - \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 1) \ln v dx$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\theta - 1| |\ln v| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx + C + \int_{(\theta \leq 2)(t)} (\theta - 1)^2 dx \\ & \quad + C \int_{\Omega} \ln^2 v dx + \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta dx \ln M_v(t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx + C + C \ln \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx + C \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

due to (2.1), (2.27), (2.29), and the following fact

$$M_v(t) \leq C + C \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx. \quad (2.32)$$

Indeed, the direct calculations combined with (2.29) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (v - 2)_+ & \leq C \left(\int_{(v > 2)(t)} v^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x^2}{v^2} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{(v > 2)(t)} v dx M_v(t) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C M_v^{1/2}(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with Young's inequality leads to (2.32).

Now, adding (1.2) multiplied by u and (1.4) by \mathbf{b} together, integrating the resultant

equality over $(0, 1) \times (0, t)$, it follows from (2.3), (2.26), and (2.29) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} dx ds \\
& \leq C + C \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta u_x}{v} dx ds \right| \\
& \leq C + C \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{(\theta - 1)u_x}{v} - \frac{(v - 1)u_x}{v} + u_x \right) dx ds \right| \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx ds + C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 1)^2 dx ds + C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (v - 1)^2 dx ds \quad (2.33) \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx ds + C \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t \left(\int_{(v \leq 2)(t)} (v - 1)^2 dx + M_v(s) \int_{(v > 2)(t)} v dx \right) ds \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx ds + C \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives directly

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} dx ds \leq C + C \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds, \quad (2.34)$$

which combined with (2.30) and (2.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\Omega} (\ln v)_x^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + \frac{\theta}{v} (\ln v)_x^2 \right) dx ds \\
& \leq C \ln \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} M_v(s) + C \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \ln \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} M_v(s) + C \quad (2.35) \\
& \leq C \left(2 + \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \right) \ln \left(2 + \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality one has used the following fact

$$fg \leq e^f - f - 1 + (1 + g) \ln(1 + g) - g, \quad \text{for any } f \geq 0, g \geq 0,$$

with

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \ln \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} M_v(s), \quad g = 2C \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds.$$

Then, the combination of (2.32) with (2.35) gives

$$M_v(t) \leq C \left(2 + \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \right) \ln \left(2 + \int_0^t \tilde{V}(s) M_v(s) ds \right),$$

which along with (2.20) and Grönwall's inequality derives (2.15). Furthermore, (2.16) is deduced directly from (2.15), (2.35), and (2.20). The proof Lemma 2.5 is completed. \square

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx \\
& + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2) dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.36)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, rewriting (1.3) as

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{xx}}{v} - \frac{\mathbf{w}_x v_x}{v^2} + \mathbf{b}_x, \quad (2.37)$$

multiplying (2.37) by \mathbf{w}_{xx} , and integrating the resultant equality over $\Omega \times (0, T)$ by parts, we obtain after using (2.16) and Cauchy inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt \\ & \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 v_x^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dt \\ & \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} dt \\ & \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where in the third inequality one has used the following fact

$$|f|^2 = \int_x^\infty (|f|^2)_y dy \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f| |f_x| dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_x|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.39)$$

for any $f \in H^1(\Omega)$.

The combination of (2.38) with (2.15) leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.40)$$

Thus, it follows from (2.40), (2.37), and (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 dx dt & \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + v_x^2 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dt \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Next, rewriting (1.4) as

$$\mathbf{b}_t = \frac{\mathbf{w}_x}{v} + \frac{\mathbf{b}_{xx}}{v^2} - \frac{\mathbf{b}_x v_x}{v^3} - \frac{\mathbf{b} u_x}{v}, \quad (2.42)$$

multiplying (2.42) by \mathbf{b}_{xx} and integrating the resultant equality over $\Omega \times (0, T)$ by

parts, we deduce from (2.16), (2.3), (2.1), (2.39), and Cauchy inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 v_x^2 + u_x^2 |\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dt + \max_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \\
& \leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx \\
& \leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

which along with (2.15) implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.44}$$

This combined with (2.39) and (2.16) yields

$$\max_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C. \tag{2.45}$$

Finally, it follows from (2.42), (2.3), (2.44), (2.16), (2.45), and (2.39) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dx dt & \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 u_x^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.40), (2.41), and (2.44) gives (2.36) and thus finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.7. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + u_{xx}^2) dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.46}$$

Proof. First, we rewrite (1.2) as follows

$$u_t = \frac{u_{xx}}{v} - \frac{u_x v_x}{v^2} - \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \frac{\theta v_x}{v^2} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x. \tag{2.47}$$

Multiplying (2.47) by u_{xx} and integrating the result over $\Omega \times (0, T)$ by parts, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\theta_x^2 + \theta^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta^2 \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} u_x^2 \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx dt \tag{2.48} \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dt + C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_{xx}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C_1 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where one has used (2.16), (2.36), (2.45), (2.39), and the following estimates:

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\int_x^\infty \partial_y (\theta - 2)_+ dy \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} |\theta_y| dy \right)^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx \tag{2.49}$$

owing to (2.14).

Then, motivated by [22], integrating (2.2) multiplied by $(\theta - 2)_+ \triangleq \max_{x \in \Omega} \{\theta - 2, 0\}$ over $\Omega \times (0, T)$, one obtains after using (2.36) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v} dx dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\theta_0 - 2)_+^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} (\theta - 2)_+ dx dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta(\theta - 2)_+}{v} u_x dx dt \tag{2.50} \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + (\theta - 2)_+^2 + u_x^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + 1 \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.1) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt & = \int_0^T \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta_x^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{(\theta \leq 2)(t)} \theta_x^2 dx dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{(\theta \leq 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v} dx dt + C,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.50) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dx + C_2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + 1 \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

Thus, one obtains after adding (2.51) multiplied by $2C_2^{-1}C_1$ to (2.48) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (u_x^2 + (\theta - 2)_+^2) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}^2 + \theta_x^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + 1 \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

The straight calculations together with (2.15) and (2.27) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{1/2} & \leq \left(\theta^{1/2} - 2^{1/2} \right)_+ + C \leq \int_{(\theta \geq 2)(t)} \frac{|\theta_x|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} dx + C \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{(\theta \geq 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{(\theta \geq 2)(t)} v\theta dx \right)^{1/2} + C \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{(\theta \geq 2)(t)} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} dx \right)^{1/2} + C, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (2.1) yields

$$\int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta dt \leq C + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.53)$$

The Grönwall's inequality together with (2.52) and (2.53) leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} (u_x^2 + (\theta - 2)_+^2) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}^2 + \theta_x^2) dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.54)$$

Finally, it is easy to derive from (2.47), (2.3), (2.54), (2.16), (2.45), (2.39), (2.49), and (2.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt & \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2 + \theta_x^2 + \theta^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} (u_x^2 + (\theta - 2)_+^2) \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u_{xx}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx \right) dt \\ & \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (2.54) gives (2.46) and thus completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. \square

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]} \theta(x,t) + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\theta_t^2 + \theta_{xx}^2) dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.55)$$

Proof. First, multiplying (2.2) by θ_t and integrating the resultant equality over Ω , it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v} dx \right)_t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x^2 u_x}{v^2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_t (-\theta u_x + u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2)}{v} dx \\
&\leq C \sup_{x \in \Omega} (|u_x|) \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 u_x^2 dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (u_x^4 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^4) dx \tag{2.56} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx \\
&\quad + C \sup_{x \in \Omega} ((\theta - 2)_+^2 + u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) \left(\int_{\Omega} (u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) dx + 1 \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} (\theta_x^2 + u_{xx}^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2) dx + C,
\end{aligned}$$

where one has used (2.3), (2.49), (2.39), (2.36), and (2.54). Thus, Grönwall's inequality together with (2.56), (2.54), and (2.36) implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx dt \leq C, \tag{2.57}$$

which combined with (2.49) gives

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]} \theta(x,t) \leq C. \tag{2.58}$$

Finally, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\frac{\theta_{xx}}{v} = \frac{\theta_x v_x}{v^2} - \frac{u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} + \frac{\theta u_x}{v} + \theta_t,$$

which together with (2.15), (2.3), (2.58), (2.16), (2.36), (2.46), (2.39), and (2.57) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\theta_x^2 v_x^2 + u_x^4 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^4 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 + u_x^2 + \theta_t^2) dx dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} \theta_x^2 dt + \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \Omega} (|u_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dt \\
&\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.57)–(2.58) proves (2.55) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.8. \square

References

- [1] A.A. Amosov, A.A. Zlotnik, A difference scheme on a non-uniform mesh for the equations of one-dimensional magnetic gas dynamics, U.S.S.R. Compu. Maths. Math. Phys., **29** (1989), 129–139.

- [2] H. Cabannes, Theoretical Magnetofluidynamics. Academic Press, New York (1970)
- [3] Y. Cao, Y. Peng, Y. Sun, On the global strong solutions to Magnetohydrodynamics with density-dependent viscosity and degenerate heat-conductivity in unbounded domains, submitted.
- [4] G.Q. Chen, D.H. Wang, Global solutions for nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data, J. Differ. Equ., **182**(2002), 344-376.
- [5] G.Q. Chen, D.H. Wang, Existence and continuous dependence of large solutions for the magnetohydrodynamics equations. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **54** (2003), 608-632.
- [6] R. Duan, F. Jiang, S. Jiang, On the Rayleigh Taylor instability for incompressible, inviscid magnetohydrodynamic flows. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **71** (2011) 1990-2013.
- [7] J.S. Fan, S.X. Huang, F.C. Li, Global strong solutions to the planar compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data and vacuum. Kinetic & Related Models, **10** (2017), 1035-1053.
- [8] J.S. Fan, S. Jiang, G. Nakamura, Vanishing shear viscosity limit in the magnetohydrodynamics equations. Commun. Math. Phys., **270** (2007), 691-708.
- [9] D. Hoff, E. Tsyganov, Uniqueness and continuous dependence of weak solutions in compressible magnetohydrodynamics. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **56** (2005), 791-804.
- [10] Y. Hu, Q. Ju, Global large solutions of magnetohydrodynamics with temperature-dependent heat conductivity. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **66** (2015), 865-889.
- [11] B. Huang, X.D. Shi, Nonlinearly exponential stability of compressible Navier-Stokes system with degenerate heat-conductivity. J. Differ. Equ., **268**(2020), 2464-2490.
- [12] B. Huang, X.D. Shi, Y. Sun, Global strong solutions to magnetohydrodynamics with density-dependent viscosity and degenerate heat-conductivity. Nonlinearity, **32** (2019), 4395-4412.
- [13] A. Jeffrey, T. Taniuti, Non-Linear Wave Propagation. With Applications to Physics and Magnetohydrodynamics. Academic Press, New York (1964)
- [14] H.K. Jenssen, T.K. Karper, One-dimensional compressible flow with temperature dependent transport coefficients. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **42** (2010), 904-930.
- [15] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Y.J. Wang, On the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for incompressible viscous magnetohydrodynamic equations. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ., **39** (2014), 399-438.
- [16] S. Kawashima, T. Nishida, Global solutions to the initial value problem for the equations of onedimensional motion of viscous polytropic gases. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **21** (1981), 825-837.
- [17] A. V. Kazhikhov, Cauchy problem for viscous gas equations. Siberian Math. J. **23**(1982), 44-49.

- [18] A. V. Kazhikhov, A priori estimates for the solutions of equations of magnetic gas dynamics, Boundary value problems for equations of mathematical physics, Krasnoyarsk, 1987. In Russian.
- [19] A. V. Kazhikhov, V. V. Shelukhin, Unique global solution with respect to time of initial boundary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas. J. Appl. Math. Mech., **41** (1977), 273-282.
- [20] A. G. Kulikovskiy, G. A. Lyubimov, Magnetohydrodynamics. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1965)
- [21] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media. 2nd edn. Pergamon, New York (1984)
- [22] J. Li, Z. L. Liang, Some uniform estimates and large-time behavior of solutions to onedimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system in unbounded domains with large data. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **220**(2016), 1195-1208.
- [23] K.X. Li, X.L. Shu, X.J. Xu, Global existence of strong solutions to compressible Navier-Stokes system with degenerate heat conductivity in unbounded domains. Math Meth Appl Sci., **43**(2020), 1543-1554.
- [24] J. Nash, Le problme de Cauchy pour les quations diffrentielles d'un fluide gnral. Bull. Soc. Math. France, **90** (1962), 487-497.
- [25] R.H. Pan, W.Z. Zhang, Compressible Navier-Stokes equations with temperature dependent heat conductivities. Commun. Math. Sci., **13**(2015), 401-425.
- [26] R.V. Polovin, V.P. Demutskii, Fundamentals of Magnetohydrodynamics. Consultants Bureau, New York (1990)
- [27] A. Tani, On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, **13** (1977), 193-253.
- [28] D.H. Wang, Large solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **63** (2003), 1424-1441.