

On conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 10$

Leetika Kathuria

Mehr Chand Mahajan DAV College for Women, Chandigarh, India.

Madhu Raka*

Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India.

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a lattice in n -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ reduced in the sense of Korkine and Zolotareff and having a basis of the form $(A_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (a_{2,1}, A_2, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}, A_n)$. A famous conjecture of Woods in Geometry of Numbers asserts that if $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n = 1$ and $A_i \leq A_1$ for each i then any closed sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $\sqrt{n/4}$ contains a point of $\mathbb{L}$. Together with a result of C. T. McMullen (2005), the truth of Woods' Conjecture for a fixed n , implies the long standing classical conjecture of Minkowski on product of n non-homogeneous linear forms for that value of n . In an earlier paper 'Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 126, 2016, 501-548' we proved Woods' Conjecture for $n = 9$. In this paper, we prove Woods' Conjecture and hence Minkowski's Conjecture for $n = 10$.

MSC : 11H31, 11H46, 11J20, 11J37, 52C15.

Keywords : Lattice; Covering; Non-homogeneous; Product of linear forms; Critical determinant.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a lattice in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. By the reduction theory of quadratic forms introduced by Korkine and Zolotareff [15], a cartesian co-ordinate system may be chosen in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in such a way that $\mathbb{L}$ has a basis of the form

$$(A_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (a_{2,1}, A_2, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}, A_n),$$

*The research is supported by CSIR, sanction no. ES/ 21(1042)/17/ EMR-II.

where $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ are all positive and further for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ any two points of the lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$ with basis

$$(A_i, 0, \dots, 0), (a_{i+1,i}, A_{i+1}, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (a_{n,i}, a_{n,i+1}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}, A_n)$$

are at a distance at least A_i apart. Here we shall be considering the following conjecture of Woods :

Conjecture (Woods). If $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n = 1$ and $A_i \leq A_1$ for each i then any closed sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $\sqrt{n}/2$ contains a point of $\mathbb{L}$.

This conjecture is known to be true for $n \leq 9$. Woods [21, 22, 23] proved it for $4 \leq n \leq 6$. Hans-Gill et al. [10, 11] proved it for $n = 7$ and $n = 8$. In a previous paper, the authors [13] proved it for $n = 9$. In [14], the authors have obtained estimates to the Conjecture of Woods for $10 \leq n \leq 33$. In particular we obtained a weaker result for $n = 10$ that if hypothesis of Woods' Conjecture holds, then any closed sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{10}$ of radius $\frac{\sqrt{10.3}}{2}$ contains a point of $\mathbb{L}$. In 2017, Regev et al. [19] showed that Woods' Conjecture is not always true, it is false for $n \geq 30$. It will be of great interest to find the largest (smallest) value of n , $10 \leq n \leq 29$, for which Woods' Conjecture is true (false). In this direction, we find that Woods' Conjecture is true for $n = 10$.

Woods [22, 23] showed that his conjecture implies the following conjecture:

Conjecture I. If Λ is a lattice of determinant 1 and there is a sphere $|X| < R$ which contains no point of Λ other than O and has n linearly independent points of Λ on its boundary then Λ is a covering lattice for the closed sphere of radius $\sqrt{n}/4$. Equivalently every closed sphere of radius $\sqrt{n}/4$ lying in $\mathbb{R}^n$ contains a point of Λ .

It is well known that together with the result of McMullen [16], truth of Conjecture I for a fixed n would imply the following long standing classical conjecture attributed to Minkowski on the product of n non-homogeneous linear forms in n variables:

Conjecture (Minkowski). Let $L_i = a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{in}x_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be n real linear forms in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ having determinant $\Delta = \det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$. For any given real numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n$ there exist integers $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that

$$|(L_1 + c_1) \cdots (L_n + c_n)| \leq |\Delta|/2^n.$$

Minkowski's Conjecture is known to be true for $n \leq 9$. For more detailed history of Minkowski's Conjecture and related results, see Gruber [8], Gruber and Lekkerkerker [9], Bambah et al. [1] and Hans-Gill et al. [10]. While answering

a question of Shapira and Weiss [20], the authors along with Hans-Gill [12] have given another proof of Minkowski's Conjecture for $n \leq 7$.

In this paper we shall prove

Theorem 1. *Woods' Conjecture is true for $n = 10$.*

Therefore Conjecture I and hence Minkowski's Conjecture is proved for $n = 10$. One notes that falsehood of Woods' Conjecture for $n \geq 30$ does not mean that Minkowski's Conjecture is also false for those n .

We use the notations and method of proof of Hans-Gill et al. [10, 11]. In this method, we need to maximize /minimize frequently functions of several variables. For $n=7$ and 8, Hans-Gill et al. [10, 11] did all the calculations by hand using calculus only. While proving it for $n = 9$, see [13], we reduced the number of variables one by one using calculus and replaced them with values where it could have its optimum value. This way we obtained functions in at most 3 variables. Finally we arrived at a conclusion by plotting 2 or 3 dimensional graphs in software Mathematica. Because of the heavy calculation work, the proof became very lengthy. The detailed proof of Woods' Conjecture for $n = 9$ consisting of 132 pages can be seen at arXiv:1410.5743v1[math.NT]. With the increase of one more dimension i.e. for $n = 10$, the same process is extremely difficult to give a result without using some computational package. Here we use Optimization tools of the software Mathematica (non-linear global optimization) to prove Woods' Conjecture for $n = 10$.

All these optimization computations were initially verified by package Lingo. The authors are very grateful to Lindo Systems for providing its access free of charge.

2 Preliminary Lemmas

For a unit sphere S_n with center O in $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $\Delta(S_n)$ be the *critical determinant* of S_n , defined as

$$\Delta(S_n) = \inf\{d(\Lambda) : \Lambda \text{ has no non-zero point in the interior of } S_n\},$$

where $d(\Lambda)$ denotes the determinant of the lattice Λ .

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ reduced in the sense of Korkine and Zolotareff and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ be defined as in Section 1. We state below some preliminary lemmas. Lemmas 1 and 2 are due to Woods [21], Lemma 3 is due to Korkine and Zolotareff [15] and Lemma 4 is due to Pendavingh and Van Zwam [18]. In Lemma 5, the cases $n = 2$ and 3 are classical results of Lagrange and Gauss; $n = 4$ and 5 are due to Korkine and Zolotareff [15] while $n = 6, 7$ and 8 are due to Blichfeldt [5].

Lemma 1. If $2\Delta(S_{n+1})A_1^n \geq d(\mathbb{L})$, then any closed sphere of radius

$$R = A_1\{1 - (A_1^n \Delta(S_{n+1})/d(\mathbb{L}))^2\}^{1/2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$ contains a point of $\mathbb{L}$.

Lemma 2. For a fixed integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, denote by $\mathbb{L}_1$ the lattice in $\mathbb{R}^i$ with the reduced basis

$$(A_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (a_{2,1}, A_2, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \dots, a_{i,i-1}, A_i)$$

and denote by $\mathbb{L}_2$ the lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i}$ with the reduced basis

$$(A_{i+1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (a_{i+2,i+1}, A_{i+2}, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (a_{n,i+1}, a_{n,i+2}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}, A_n).$$

If any sphere in $\mathbb{R}^i$ of radius r_1 contains a point of $\mathbb{L}_1$ and if any sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i}$ of radius r_2 contains a point of $\mathbb{L}_2$ then any sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $(r_1^2 + r_2^2)^{1/2}$ contains a point of $\mathbb{L}$.

Lemma 3. For all relevant i , $A_{i+1}^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}A_i^2$ and $A_{i+2}^2 \geq \frac{2}{3}A_i^2$.

Lemma 4. For all relevant i , $A_{i+4}^2 \geq 0.46873A_i^2$.

Lemma 5. $\Delta(S_n) = 1/\sqrt{2}, 1/2, 1/2\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}/8, 1/8$ and $1/16$ for $n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ and 8 respectively.

3 Plan of the Proof

As remarked earlier, we use the notation and approach of Hans-Gill et al. [10] and that of [11]. We include some of the details given there for the convenience of the reader. We assume that Woods' Conjecture is false for $n = 10$ and derive a contradiction. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be lattice satisfying the hypothesis of the conjecture for $n = 10$ i.e. $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_{10} = 1$ and $A_i \leq A_1$ for each i . Suppose that there exists a closed sphere of radius $\sqrt{10}/2$ in $\mathbb{R}^{10}$ that contains no point of $\mathbb{L}$. Write $A = A_1^2$, $B = A_2^2$, $C = A_3^2, \dots, J = A_{10}^2$. So we have $ABCDEFGHIJ = 1$.

If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ is an ordered partition of n , then the conditional inequality arising from it, by using Lemmas 1 and 2, is also denoted by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$. If the conditions in an inequality $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ are satisfied then we say that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ holds.

For example the inequality $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ results in the conditional inequality :

$$\text{if } 2I \geq J \text{ then } A + B + C + D + E + F + G + H + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J} > 10. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $4I - 2I^2/J \leq 2J$, the second inequality in (3.1) gives

$$A + B + C + D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10. \quad (3.2)$$

One may remark here that the condition $2I \geq J$ is necessary only if we want to use inequality (3.1), but it is not necessary if we want to use the weaker inequality (3.2). This is so because if $2I < J$, using the partition $(1, 1)$ in place of (2) for the relevant part, we get the upper bound $I + J$ which is clearly less than $2J$. We shall call inequalities of type (3.2) as *weak* inequalities and inequalities of type (3.1) as *strong* inequalities.

Sometimes, instead of Lemma 1, we are able to use the fact that Woods Conjecture is true for dimensions less than or equal to 9. The use of this is indicated by putting $*$ on the corresponding part of the partition. For example, the inequality $(6^*, 4)$ is

$$\text{if } G^4 ABCDEF \geq 2 \text{ then } 6(ABCDEF)^{\frac{1}{6}} + 4G - \frac{1}{2}G^5 ABCDEF > 10, \quad (3.3)$$

the hypothesis of the conjecture in 6 variables being satisfied.

We observe that the inequalities of the type $(3, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, $(2, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, $(1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$, $(1, 2, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$, $(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ etc. always hold.

We can assume $A > 1$, because if $A \leq 1$, we must have $A = B = C = D = E = F = G = H = I = J = 1$. In this case Woods' Conjecture can be seen to be true using inequality $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Also it is known that $A \leq \gamma_{10} < 2.2636302$ (See [6, 7]), where γ_n denotes the Hermite's constant for minima of positive definite quadratic forms.

Each of $B, C, \dots, J$ can either be > 1 or ≤ 1 . This give rise to $2^9 = 512$ cases. Case 1, where each of $B, C, D, \dots, J > 1$ does not arise as $ABCDEFGHIJ = 1$. In Section 4, the 353 easy cases have been considered under Propositions 1-4. The remaining 158 cases need much more intricate analysis of the available inequalities. Out of these 158 cases, 151 cases are somewhat less difficult and have been dealt in Section 5. The remaining 7 cases are very difficult to solve as the ranges of the variables have to be divided into many sub-intervals. So these cases have been dealt separately in Section 6. For the cases in Sections 5 and 6, we have used the Optimization tool of the software Mathematica(non-linear global optimization) for the optimization of the functions in 10 variables $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J$ under a number of constraints.

We write all the 512 cases in lexicographical order. For the 353 easy cases, the inequalities used to get a contradiction and the propositions where they are dealt with are listed in Table I. For 158 difficult cases either the proposition where these are dealt with or the main inequalities used to get a contradiction are also listed in Table I.

Remark: In many cases there are alternative ways to get a contradiction. We have chosen to describe the method which we find convenient.

Table I

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
1	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	—	ABCDEFGHJ=1
2	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
3	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)
4	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
5	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
6	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
7	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(v)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)
8	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	6	—
9	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
10	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
11	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)
12	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
13	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(v)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)
14	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
15	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	6	—
16	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	7	—
17	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
18	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
19	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)
20	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
21	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)
22	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
23	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1)
24	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 2, 3, 1)
25	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(v)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
26	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
27	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 1, 3, 2, 1)
28	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
29	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	6	—
30	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
31	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	7	—
32	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	7	—
33	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
34	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
35	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)
36	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
37	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)
38	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
39	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 2, 1, 3, 1)
40	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 2, 1, 3, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
41	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)
42	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
43	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1)
44	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
45	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(3, 2, 3, 1, 1)
46	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
47	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1)
48	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	6	—
49	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	3(v)	(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
50	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
51	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 3, 1, 2, 1)
52	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
53	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(3, 3, 2, 1, 1)
54	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
55	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(vii)	(3, 3, 3, 1)
56	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 3, 3, 1)
57	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	-	(1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1)
58	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
59	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1)
60	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
61	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	7	—
62	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
63	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	7	—
64	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	7	—
65	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(i)	(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
66	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
67	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)
68	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
69	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
70	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
71	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1)
72	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1)
73	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
74	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
75	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1)
76	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
77	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)
78	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
79	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)
80	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
81	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
82	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
83	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1)
84	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
85	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)
86	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
87	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 2, 2, 3, 1)
88	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 2, 3, 1)
89	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1)
90	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
91	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 2, 3, 2, 1)
92	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
93	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	-	(2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1)
94	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
95	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	6	-
96	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1)
97	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(v)	(1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
98	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
99	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1)
100	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
101	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)
102	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
103	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(2, 3, 1, 3, 1)
104	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 3, 1, 3, 1)
105	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1)
106	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
107	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 3, 2, 2, 1)
108	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
109	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(2, 3, 3, 1, 1)
110	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
111	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
112	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
113	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	-	(2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
114	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
115	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	-	(2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1)
116	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
117	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	-	(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)
118	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
119	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	-	(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)
120	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
121	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	6	—
122	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
123	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	6	—
124	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
125	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	7	—
126	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
127	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	6	—
128	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	$(1, 4, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$
129	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(i)	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
130	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
131	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ii)	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
132	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
133	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ii)	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
134	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
135	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(vi)	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)$
136	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)$
137	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ii)	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
138	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
139	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iii)	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)$
140	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
141	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(vi)	$(3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
142	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
143	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
144	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	$(1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
145	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	$(1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
146	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
147	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	$(1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$
148	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
149	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	$(1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$
150	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤		$(8^*, 2)$
151	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	$(3, 1, 2, 3, 1)$
152	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	$(1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1)$
153	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(vi)	$(3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$
154	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
155	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(ix)	$(3, 1, 3, 2, 1)$
156	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
157	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	-	$(1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$
158	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
159	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	-	$(1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$
160	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	$(1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
161	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	(1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
162	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
163	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)
164	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
165	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)
166	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
167	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	(3, 2, 1, 3, 1)
168	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 2, 1, 3, 1)
169	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(iii)	(1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)
170	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
171	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iv)	(1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)
172	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
173	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(3, 2, 3, 1, 1)
174	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
175	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1)
176	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1)
177	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	3(vi)	(3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
178	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
179	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(3, 3, 1, 2, 1)
180	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
181	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(3, 3, 2, 1, 1)
182	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
183	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(vii)	(3, 3, 3, 1)
184	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 3, 3, 1)
185	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	-	(1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
186	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
187	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1)
188	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
189	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	6	—
190	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
191	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
192	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
193	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(v)	(1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
194	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
195	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)
196	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
197	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
198	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
199	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1)
200	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
201	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
202	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
203	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 2, 2, 1)
204	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
205	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 1)
206	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
207	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
208	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	(3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)
209	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	-	(1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
210	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
211	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 2, 1, 2, 1)
212	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
213	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	-	(1, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)
214	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
215	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 2, 3, 1)
216	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	4	(3, 1, ..., 1), (1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)
217	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	-	(1, 3, 3, 1, 1, 1)
218	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
219	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 3, 2, 1)
220	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
221	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	-	(1, 3, 3, 1, 1, 1)
222	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
223	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)
224	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	4	(3, 1, ..., 1), (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
225	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	-	(1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
226	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
227	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
228	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
229	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	-	(1, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
230	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
231	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
232	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 4), (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
233	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
234	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
235	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	-	(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)
236	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
237	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	-	(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
238	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
239	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
240	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	4	(3, 1, ..., 1)(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
241	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	-	$(1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
242	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
243	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	-	$(1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$
244	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
245	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	-	$(1, 2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
246	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
247	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
248	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1), (3, 1, \dots, 1)$
249	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	6	—
250	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
251	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	-	$(2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
252	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
253	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	6	—
254	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
255	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	-	$(3, 1, \dots, 1)$
256	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	-	$(3, 1, \dots, 1)$
257	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(i)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
258	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
259	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
260	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
261	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
262	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
263	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(viii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)$
264	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)$
265	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
266	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
267	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)$
268	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
269	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(viii)	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
270	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
271	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	-	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
272	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$
273	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	$(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
274	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
275	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	$(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$
276	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
277	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	$(2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$
278	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
279	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	$(2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1)$
280	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1)$

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
281	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(viii)	(2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
282	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
283	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1)
284	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
285	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	-	(2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
286	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
287	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
288	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
289	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
290	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
291	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)
292	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
293	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	(2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)
294	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
295	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1)
296	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1)
297	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(iii)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)
298	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
299	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iv)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1)
300	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
301	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1)
302	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
303	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1)
304	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1)
305	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	3(viii)	(2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
306	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
307	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1)
308	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
309	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1)
310	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
311	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(2, 1, 3, 3, 1)
312	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 3, 3, 1)
313	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	-	(2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
314	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
315	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1)
316	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
317	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
318	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
319	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
320	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
321	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(ii)	(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
322	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
323	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(iii)	(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)
324	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
325	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(iii)	(2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
326	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
327	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1)
328	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1)
329	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(iii)	(2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
330	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
331	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(iv)	(2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1)
332	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
333	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	-	(2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)
334	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
335	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)
336	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)
337	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(iii)	(2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
338	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
339	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(iv)	(2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1)
340	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
341	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(iv)	(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)
342	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
343	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 2, 2, 3, 1)
344	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 2, 3, 1)
345	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1)
346	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
347	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 2, 3, 2, 1)
348	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
349	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
350	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
351	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
352	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
353	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(viii)	(2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
354	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
355	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1)
356	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
357	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)
358	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
359	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(2, 3, 1, 3, 1)
360	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 3, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
361	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ix)	(2, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1)
362	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
363	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(x)	(2, 3, 2, 2, 1)
364	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
365	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(2, 3, 3, 1, 1)
366	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
367	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 3, 1, 1)
368	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
369	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	-	(2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
370	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
371	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1)
372	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
373	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)
374	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
375	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
376	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
377	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
378	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2),
379	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
380	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
381	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
382	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
383	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	-	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
384	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
385	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	3(v)	(3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
386	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
387	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	>	3(viii)	(3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)
388	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
389	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	>	3(viii)	(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)
390	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
391	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(vi)	(3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)
392	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1)
393	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	>	3(viii)	(3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
394	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
395	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)
396	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
397	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(vi)	(3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)
398	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
399	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)
400	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
401	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	>	3(viii)	(3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)
402	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
403	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)
404	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
405	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(3, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)
406	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
407	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 1, 2, 3, 1)
408	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 1, 2, 3, 1)
409	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	3(vi)	(3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
410	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
411	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 1, 3, 2, 1)
412	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
413	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	5(ii)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
414	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
415	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)
416	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
417	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	>	3(viii)	(3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
418	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
419	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	3(ix)	(3, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)
420	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
421	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	3(ix)	(3, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)
422	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
423	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 2, 1, 3, 1)
424	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	-	(3, 2, 1, 3, 1)
425	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	3(ix)	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)
426	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
427	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	3(x)	(3, 2, 2, 2, 1)
428	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
429	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(3, 2, 3, 1, 1)
430	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
431	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	(2, 1, ..., 1), (3, 2, 3, 1, 1)
432	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
433	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	3(vi)	(3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)
434	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
435	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	3(xi)	(3, 3, 1, 2, 1)
436	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	(7*, 3)
437	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	3(xi)	(3, 3, 2, 1, 1)
438	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	(8*, 2)
439	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	3(vii)	(3, 3, 3, 1)
440	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	2	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
441	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
442	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
443	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	-	$(3, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
444	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
445	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	-	$(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
446	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
447	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
448	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
449	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	>	-	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
450	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
451	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
452	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
453	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
454	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
455	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
456	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	≤	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
457	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
458	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
459	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
460	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
461	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
462	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
463	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
464	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
465	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	>	-	$(3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
466	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
467	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$
468	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
469	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$
470	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
471	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
472	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
473	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	>	5(ii)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$
474	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
475	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
476	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
477	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
478	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
479	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
480	>	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$

Case	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Proposition	Inequalities
481	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	>	-	$(3, 1, \dots, 1)$
482	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
483	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1), (4, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
484	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
485	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1), (4, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$
486	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
487	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
488	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
489	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	>	-	$(3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1),$
490	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
491	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
492	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
493	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
494	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
495	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
496	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
497	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	>	-	$(2, 1, \dots, 1)$
498	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
499	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
500	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
501	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
502	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
503	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
504	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
505	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	>	5(i)	$(2, 1, \dots, 1), (4, 1, \dots, 1)$
506	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
507	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
508	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	≤	1	$(7^*, 3)$
509	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
510	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	≤	1	$(8^*, 2)$
511	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	>	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
512	>	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	≤	2	$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$

4 Easy Cases

Proposition 1. *Cases where $I > 1$, $J \leq 1$ or where $H > 1$, $I \leq 1$, $J \leq 1$ do not arise.*

Proof is similar to that of Propositions 1 and 2 of [11].

This settles $128 + 64$ cases. □

Proposition 2. *Cases in which $B \leq 1$ and at most two out of C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J are greater than 1 do not arise.*

Proof is similar to that of Proposition 3(i) of [11].

This settles another 23 cases. □

Lemma 6. *Let $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$ be positive real numbers, each < 2.2636302 and satisfying*

$$X_1 > 1, \quad X_1 X_2 \cdots X_{10} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = |X_i - 1|. \quad (4.1)$$

Then the following hold :

(i) *If $X_i > 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + X_3 + \cdots + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(ii) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + 4X_3 - \frac{2X_3^2}{X_4} + X_5 + \cdots + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(iii) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_3 = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + 4X_3 - \frac{2X_3^2}{X_4} + 4X_5 - \frac{2X_5^2}{X_6} + X_7 + X_8 + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(iv) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 3, 5, 7, 9, 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_4 = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + 4X_3 - \frac{2X_3^2}{X_4} + 4X_5 - \frac{2X_5^2}{X_6} + 4X_7 - \frac{2X_7^2}{X_8} + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(v) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_5 = 4X_1 - \frac{X_1^3}{X_2 X_3} + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 + X_8 + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(vi) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 4, 7, 8, 9, 10$ and $X_7 \leq X_1, X_8 \leq X_1, X_9 \leq X_1, X_{10} \leq X_1$ then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_6 = 4X_1 - \frac{X_1^3}{X_2 X_3} + 4X_4 - \frac{X_4^3}{X_5 X_6} + X_7 + X_8 + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(vii) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 4, 7, 10$ and $X_{10} \leq X_1$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_7 = 4X_1 - \frac{X_1^3}{X_2 X_3} + 4X_4 - \frac{X_4^3}{X_5 X_6} + 4X_7 - \frac{X_7^3}{X_8 X_9} + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(viii) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ and $X_i \leq X_1 X_4$ for $i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$, $X_5 \leq X_4$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_8 = 4X_1 - \frac{X_1^3}{X_2 X_3} + 4X_4 - \frac{2X_4^2}{X_5} + X_6 + X_7 + X_8 + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(ix) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 3, 5, 8, 9, 10$ and $X_2 \leq X_1, X_4 \leq X_3, X_i \leq X_1 X_5$, for $i = 8, 9, 10$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_9 = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + 4X_3 - \frac{2X_3^2}{X_4} + 4X_5 - \frac{X_5^3}{X_6 X_7} + X_8 + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(x) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 3, 5, 7, 10$ and $X_2 \leq X_1, X_4 \leq X_3, X_6 \leq X_5, X_{10} \leq X_1 X_7$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{10} = 4X_1 - \frac{2X_1^2}{X_2} + 4X_3 - \frac{2X_3^2}{X_4} + 4X_5 - \frac{2X_5^2}{X_6} + 4X_7 - \frac{X_7^3}{X_8 X_9} + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

(xi) *If $X_i > 1$ for $i = 4, 7, 9, 10$, $X_8 \leq X_7, X_9 \leq X_1 X_4 X_7, X_{10} \leq X_1 X_4 X_7$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{11} = 4X_1 - \frac{X_1^3}{X_2 X_3} + 4X_4 - \frac{X_4^3}{X_5 X_6} + 4X_7 - \frac{2X_7^2}{X_8} + X_9 + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

Proof is similar to that of Lemma 5 of [11], so omitted. $\square$

Remark. The above lemma can be generalized for arbitrary n .

Proposition 3. *The following cases do not arise.*

- (i) (3), (5), (9), (17), (33), (65), (129), (257)
- (ii) (11), (19), (21), (35), (37), (41), (67), (69), (73), (81), (131), (133), (137), (145), (161), (259), (261), (265), (273), (289), (321).
- (iii) (43), (75), (83), (85), (139), (147), (149), (163), (165), (169), (267), (275), (277), (291), (293), (297), (323), (325), (329), (337).
- (iv) (171), (299), (331), (339), (341).
- (v) (7), (13), (25), (49), (97), (193), (385).
- (vi) (135), (141), (153), (177), (391), (397), (409), (433).
- (vii) (55), (183), (439).
- (viii) (263), (269), (281), (305), (353), (387), (389), (393), (401), (417).
- (ix) (23), (71), (77), (89), (99), (101), (105), (155), (167), (173), (179), (181), (279), (283), (295), (301), (307), (309), (327), (345), (355), (357), (361), (395), (403), (405), (419), (421), (425).
- (x) (87), (91), (107), (343), (347), (363), (427).
- (xi) (27), (39), (45), (51), (53), (103), (109), (151), (311), (359), (365), (407), (411), (423), (429), (435), (437).

Each part of Proposition 3 follows from corresponding part of Lemma 6, after selecting suitable inequality. The inequality used for each case has been mentioned in Table I. $\square$

Lemma 7. *Let $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$ be positive real numbers, each < 2.2636302 , satisfying (4.1). Let*

$$\gamma = \sum_{\substack{4 \leq i \leq 10 \\ X_i \leq 1}} x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \sum_{\substack{4 \leq i \leq 10 \\ X_i > 1}} x_i$$

Suppose that $\gamma \leq x_1 \leq 0.5$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{12} = 4X_1 - X_1^4 X_4 \dots X_{10} + X_4 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 10.$$

Proof is similar to that of Lemma 6(i) of [11], so omitted. $\square$

Proposition 4. *The Cases 216, 224, 240 do not arise.*

Proof. These cases are dealt by using the above lemma. The suitable inequalities used for each case, have been mentioned in the Table I. $\square$

5 Difficult Cases

In this section we consider 151 cases, which need more intricate analysis of available inequalities. These cases have been solved using the Optimization tool of the software Mathematica(non-linear global optimization) for the optimization of the functions in 10 variables $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J$ under a number of constraints.

NMinimize and NMaximize implement several algorithms for finding constrained global optima. The methods are flexible enough to cope with functions that are not differentiable or continuous and are not easily trapped by local optima. The constraints to NMinimize and NMaximize may be either a list or a logical combination of equalities, inequalities, and domain specifications. Equalities and inequalities may be nonlinear. The settings for Accuracy Goal and Precision Goal specify the number of digits to seek in both the value of the position of the maximum, and the value of the function at the maximum. NMaximize continues until either of the goals specified by AccuracyGoal or Precision Goal is achieved. The default settings for Accuracy Goal and Precision Goal are Working Precision/2. Method is an option for various algorithm-intensive functions that specifies what internal methods they should use. With the default setting Method $\rightarrow$ Automatic Mathematica will automatically try to pick the best method for a particular computation.

The common and obvious constraints, which arise from Lemmas 3 and 4, for all cases are :

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 < A < 2.2636302, \quad B &\geq (3/4) \times A, \quad B \leq A, \\
 C &\geq (3/4) \times B, \quad C \geq (2/3) \times A, \quad C \leq A, \\
 D &\geq (3/4) \times C, \quad D \geq (2/3) \times B, \quad D \geq (1/2) \times A, \quad D \leq A, \\
 E &\geq (3/4) \times D, \quad E \geq (2/3) \times C, \quad E \geq (1/2) \times B, \quad E \geq 0.46873 \times A, \quad E \leq A, \\
 F &\geq (3/4) \times E, \quad F \geq (2/3) \times D, \quad F \geq (1/2) \times C, \quad F \geq 0.46873 \times B, \quad F \leq A, \\
 G &\geq (3/4) \times F, \quad G \geq (2/3) \times E, \quad G \geq (1/2) \times D, \quad G \geq 0.46873 \times C, \quad G \leq A, \\
 H &\geq (3/4) \times G, \quad H \geq (2/3) \times F, \quad H \geq (1/2) \times E, \quad H \geq 0.46873 \times D, \quad H \leq A, \\
 I &\geq (3/4) \times H, \quad I \geq (2/3) \times G, \quad I \geq (1/2) \times F, \quad I \geq 0.46873 \times E, \quad I \leq A, \\
 J &\geq (3/4) \times I, \quad J \geq (2/3) \times H, \quad J \geq (1/2) \times G, \quad J \geq 0.46873 \times F, \quad J \leq A.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also use the following 88 constraints which arise from all the possible *weak* inequalities corresponding to the partitions of 10 with summands equal to 1 and 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2B + C + D + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + 2C + D + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + 2E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + 2F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + D + E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + D + E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, & \\
&2B + 2D + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + 2C + 2E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + 2F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + 2E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + 2F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + D + E + 2G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + E + F + 2H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + 2E + F + G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + 2F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + B + 2D + E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + 2E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + D + 2F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + E + 2G + H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + D + 2F + G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, & A + B + 2D + E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + 2E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, & A + B + C + D + 2F + G + H + 2J > 10, \\
&2B + C + D + E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, & A + 2C + D + E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, & A + B + C + 2E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, \\
&2B + C + D + E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + 2C + D + E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + D + E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, \\
&2B + 2D + 2F + G + H + I + J > 10, & A + 2C + 2E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + 2F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + C + 2E + 2G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + D + 2F + 2H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + 2E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + 2F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + 2D + E + 2G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&A + B + C + 2E + F + 2H + 2J > 10, & 2B + 2D + E + 2G + H + I + J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + 2E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + 2D + 2F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&2B + C + D + E + 2G + 2I + J > 10, & A + 2C + D + E + 2G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&2B + 2D + E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, & 2B + 2D + E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, \\
&2B + C + 2E + F + 2H + I + J > 10, & A + B + 2D + 2F + G + H + 2J > 10, \\
&A + B + 2D + E + 2G + H + 2J > 10, & A + 2C + D + E + F + 2H + 2J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + E + 2G + H + 2J > 10, & A + 2C + 2E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, \\
&A + 2C + D + 2F + G + H + 2J > 10, & A + 2C + D + 2F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
&2B + 2D + E + F + G + H + 2J > 10, & 2B + C + D + 2F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
& & 2B + C + 2E + F + G + 2I + J > 10, \\
& & 2B + C + D + E + F + 2H + 2J > 10, \\
& & 2B + C + D + E + 2G + H + 2J > 10,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2B + C + D + 2F + G + H + 2J &> 10, & 2B + C + 2E + F + G + H + 2J &> 10, \\
A + B + C + 2E + 2G + H + 2J &> 10, & 2B + 2D + 2F + 2H + I + J &> 10, \\
A + 2C + 2E + 2G + 2I + J &> 10, & A + B + 2D + 2F + 2H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + C + 2E + 2G + 2I + J &> 10, & A + 2C + D + 2F + 2H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + 2D + E + 2G + 2I + J &> 10, & A + 2C + 2E + F + 2H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + 2D + 2F + G + 2I + J &> 10, & A + 2C + 2E + 2G + H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + C + D + 2F + 2H + 2J &> 10, & 2B + 2D + E + F + 2H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + 2D + 2F + G + H + 2J &> 10, & 2B + C + 2E + F + 2H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + 2D + E + 2G + H + 2J &> 10, & 2B + C + 2E + 2G + H + 2J &> 10, \\
2B + 2D + 2F + 2H + 2J &> 10.
\end{aligned}$$

Some specific constraints due to the numerical bounds on the variables arise in the individual case. In order to write all these constraints in Mathematica Notebook, we call $A = x1, B = x2, \dots, J = x10$. Let ϕ_l and ψ_l for $l \geq 1$, be non-linear functions in 10 variables $A, B, \dots, J$, listed below. The functions ϕ_l 's are associated with various conditional inequalities and the same have been written against the respective function. In each of the cases, we find using Mathematica that Global maximum of ϕ_l is always less than 10 and the Global minimum of ψ_l is always greater than 2 under all the above mentioned constraints and some specific constraints relating to the numerical bounds on the variables, which consequently contradicts to the corresponding inequality (as mentioned in Section 3).

Figure 1 shows the Mathematica Model of ϕ_3 used in the Case 8 and Figure 2 shows Mathematica Model of ψ_2 used in Case 48.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= \frac{A^3}{BCD}; \psi_2 = \frac{B^3}{CDE}; \psi_3 = \frac{C^3}{DEF}; \psi_4 = \frac{D^3}{EFG}; \psi_5 = \frac{E^3}{FGH}; \psi_6 = \frac{F^3}{GHI}; \psi_7 = \frac{G^3}{HIJ}; \\
\phi_1 &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + C + D + 4E - \frac{E^3}{FG} + H + I + J; & (2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_2 &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + C + D + E + 4F - \frac{F^3}{GH} + I + J; & (2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\
\phi_3 &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + 4G - \frac{1}{2} \frac{G^4}{HIJ}; & (2, 2, 2, 4) \\
\phi_4 &= 4A - \frac{A^3}{BC} + D + E + F + 4G - \frac{G^3}{HI} + J; & (3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1) \\
\phi_5 &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + E + 4F - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F^4}{GHI} + J; & (2, 2, 1, 4, 1) \\
\phi_6 &= 4A - \frac{A^3}{BC} + D + E + 4F - \frac{F^3}{GH} + I + J; & (3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\
\phi_7 &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{1}{2} \frac{E^4}{FGH} + I + J; & (2, 2, 4, 1, 1) \\
\phi_8 &= 4A - \frac{A^3}{BC} + D + 4E - \frac{E^3}{FG} + H + I + J; & (3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_9 &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{2D^2}{E} + 4F - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F^4}{GHI} + J; & (1, 2, 2, 4, 1) \\
\phi_{10} &= A + 4B - \frac{1}{2} \frac{B^4}{CDE} + 4F - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F^4}{GHI} + J; & (1, 4, 4, 1) \\
\phi_{11} &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{2D^2}{E} + 4F - \frac{2F^2}{G} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{12} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2} \frac{A^4}{BCD} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + I + J; & (4, 2, 2, 1, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{13} &= A + 4B - \frac{1}{2}\frac{B^4}{CDE} + 4F - \frac{2F^2}{G} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (1, 4, 2, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{14} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + I + J; & (2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{15} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{C^4}{DEF} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + I + J; & (2, 4, 2, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{16} &= A + 4B - \frac{1}{2}\frac{B^4}{CDE} + 4F - \frac{2F^2}{G} + H + I + J; & (1, 4, 2, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{17} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{A^4}{BCD} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + G + H + I + J; & (4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{18} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + G + H + I + J; & (2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{19} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + G + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{20} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{C^4}{DEF} + G + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (2, 4, 1, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{21} &= A + 4B - \frac{1}{2}\frac{B^4}{CDE} + F + G + H + I + J; & (1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{22} &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{D^3}{EF} + G + H + I + J; & (1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{23} &= 4A - \frac{A^3}{BC} + D + E + F + G + H + I + J; & (3, 1, \dots, 1) \\
\phi_{24} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{A^4}{BCD} + E + F + G + H + I + J; & (4, 1, \dots, 1) \\
\phi_{25} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{1}{2}\frac{E^4}{FGH} + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J}; & (2, 2, 4, 2) \\
\phi_{26} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + C + 4D - \frac{1}{2}\frac{D^4}{EFG} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (2, 1, 4, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{27} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{C^4}{DEF} + 4G - \frac{1}{2}\frac{G^4}{HIJ}; & (2, 4, 4) \\
\phi_{28} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{C^4}{DEF} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J}; & (2, 4, 2, 2) \\
\phi_{29} &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{1}{2}\frac{D^4}{EFG} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (1, 2, 4, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{30} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{C^4}{DEF} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + I + J; & (2, 4, 2, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{31} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{A^4}{BCD} + 4E - \frac{1}{2}\frac{E^4}{FGH} + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J}; & (4, 4, 2) \\
\phi_{32} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{A^4}{BCD} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J}; & (4, 2, 2, 2) \\
\phi_{33} &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{1}{2}\frac{D^4}{EFG} + H + I + J; & (1, 2, 4, 1, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{34} &= 4A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{A^4}{BCD} + 4E - \frac{1}{2}\frac{E^4}{FGH} + I + J; & (4, 4, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{35} &= 4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{1}{2}\frac{E^4}{FGH} + I + J; & (2, 2, 4, 1, 1) \\
\phi_{36} &= 4A - \frac{A^3}{BC} + 4D - \frac{1}{2}\frac{D^4}{EFG} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J; & (3, 4, 2, 1) \\
\phi_{37} &= A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{2D^2}{E} + 4F - \frac{2F^2}{G} + H + I + J; & (1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. *Cases in which $B \leq 1$ and*

- (i) *any three out of C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J are greater than 1 and $A < 1.196$ or*
- (ii) *any four out of C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J are greater than 1 and $A < 1.096$,*
do not arise.

Proof is similar to that of Proposition 3 of [11], so omitted. $\square$

Proposition 5. *The following cases do not arise.*

- (i) 288, 304, 312, 319, 336, 344, 351, 360, 367, 375, 379, 381, 400, 408, 415, 431, 456, 463, 471, 475, 477, 487, 491, 493, 499, 501, 505.
- (ii) 272, 280, 287, 296, 303, 315, 317, 328, 335, 349, 371, 373, 377, 392, 399, 413, 441, 455, 459, 461, 467, 469, 473.

Proof. We apply Lemma 8(i) for the cases in part (i) and Lemma 8(ii) for the cases in part (ii). For sake of convenience we illustrate the Cases 288 and 272 here. Other cases are similar and we have mentioned the inequalities used against each in Table I.

Case 288: $A > 1, B \leq 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$
Using the Lemma 8(i) we get $A \geq 1.196$. Now using Optimization Tool of Mathematica we find that $\max \phi_1 \leq 10$, which gives contradiction to the corresponding inequality (2,1,1,3,1,1,1).

Case 272: $A > 1, B \leq 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F > 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$
Using the Lemma 8(ii) we get $A \geq 1.096$. Now using Optimization Tool of Mathematica we find that $\max \phi_2 \leq 10$, which gives contradiction to the corresponding inequality (2,1,1,1,3,1,1). $\square$

Proposition 5 settles 50 difficult cases. Out of remaining 101 difficult cases, we discuss here 11 cases only in Proposition 6. The other 90 cases are similar and the main inequalities used to find contradiction are listed in Table 1 against each case.

Proposition 6. *The following cases do not arise :*

Case 8 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F > 1, G > 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$.

Proof. First suppose $G^3/HIJ \geq 2$, then the inequality (2,2,2,4) holds, but $\max \phi_3 \leq 10$. So $G^3/HIJ < 2$, then $\max \phi_4 \leq 10$, which contradicts to the inequality (3,1,1,1,3,1).

Case 15 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F > 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Suppose $F^3/GHI \geq 2$, then the inequality (2,2,1,4,1) holds, but $\max \phi_5 \leq 10$. So $F^3/GHI < 2$, then $\max \phi_6 \leq 10$, which gives contradiction to (3,1,1,3,1,1).

Case 29 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Suppose $E^3/FGH \geq 2$, then (2,2,4,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_7 \leq 10$. So $E^3/FGH < 2$, then $\max \phi_8 \leq 10$, which gives contradiction to (3,1,3,1,1,1).

Case 48 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E \leq 1, F > 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$.

Proof. Suppose $F^3/GHI > 2$. If $B < 1.6$, then $\max \phi_9 \leq 10$, contradicts to (1,2,2,4,1) and if $B \geq 1.6$, then $\min \psi_2 > 2$, so the inequality (1,4,4,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{10} \leq 10$. Thus we must have $F^3/GHI < 2$, but then $\max \phi_{11} \leq 10$ which is contradiction to the inequality (1,2,2,2,2,1).

Case 95 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D \leq 1, E > 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Claim (i) $A^3/BCD < 2$




Figure 1: Mathematica Model for ϕ_3 (Case 8)

If $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, then $(4,2,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$.

Claim (ii) $B^3/CDE < 2$

If $B^3/CDE \geq 2$, then the inequality $(1,4,2,2,1)$ holds, but $\phi_{13} \leq 10$.

Finally $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, which gives contradiction to the inequality $(2,2,2,2,1,1)$.

Case 121 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D \leq 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H > 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof: Claim (i) $C < 1.05$

If $C \geq 1.05$, then $\max \psi_3 > 2$ and so the inequality $(2,4,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{15} \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $B \leq 1.24$

If $B \geq 1.24$, then $\min \psi_2 > 2$, so the inequality $(1,4,2,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{16} \leq$


$$\text{Claim(iii)} \quad \frac{A^3}{BCD} < 2$$

Finally the inequality (2,2,2,1,1,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{18} \leq 10$

Proof: If $C \leq 1.08$, then $\max \phi_{19} \leq 10$, so the inequality (2,2,2,1,2,1) contradicts and if $C > 1.08$, then $\min \psi_3 > 2$ and so (2,4,1,2,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{20} \leq 10$, which gives contradiction.

Proof. Claim(i) $B > 1.25$

For $B \leq 1.25$, $\max \phi_{18} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(2,2,2,1,1,1,1)$.

Claim (ii) $C < 1.3$

For $C \geq 1.3$, $C^3/DEF > 2$, so $(2,4,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{15} \leq 10$

Claim(iii) $A < 1.62$

For $A \geq 1.62$, $A^3/BCD > 2$ and $2G > H$, so $(4,2,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$.

Finally $B^3/CDE > 2$ and so $(1,4,2,1,1,1)$ holds. But $\max \phi_{16} \leq 10$.

Case 189 $A > 1, B > 1, C \leq 1, D > 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. If $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, then $(4,2,1,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{17} \leq 10$, a contradiction.

If $A^3/BCD < 2$, then $\max \phi_{22} \leq 10$, so $(1,2,3,1,1,1,1)$ contradicts.

Case 249 $A > 1, B > 1, C \leq 1, D \leq 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H > 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Claim (i) $A^3/BCD < 2$

If $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, then $(4,2,1,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{17} \leq 10$, a contradiction.

Claim (ii) $B^3/CDE < 2$

If $B^3/CDE \geq 2$, then $(1,4,1,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{21} \leq 10$.

Finally $\max \phi_{18} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(2,2,2,1,1,1,1)$.

Case 253 $A > 1, B > 1, C \leq 1, D \leq 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. If $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, then $(4,1,\dots,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{24} \leq 10$.

If $A^3/BCD < 2$, then $\max \phi_{18} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(2,2,2,1,1,1,1)$. $\square$

6 Most Difficult Cases

In this section we consider the remaining 7 cases, which are the most difficult to solve. These cases have also been solved using the Optimization tool of the software Mathematica, as in previous section. Here we use the obvious and common constraints, along with the 88 constraints which arise from all the possible *strong* inequalities corresponding to the partitions of 10 with summands equal to 1 and 2, provided the condition is satisfied for the particular part, e.g. we use $4G - 2G^2/H$, only if $2G > H$. Few *strong* inequalities are:

$$4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + C + D + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10,$$

$$4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + E + F + G + H + I + J > 10,$$

$$A + 4B - \frac{2B^2}{C} + 4D - \frac{2D^2}{E} + 4F - \frac{2F^2}{G} + H + I + J > 10,$$

$$4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + 4C - \frac{2C^2}{D} + 4E - \frac{2E^2}{F} + 4G - \frac{2G^2}{H} + 4I - \frac{2I^2}{J} > 10.$$

Proposition 7. *The following cases do not arise:*

Case 16 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F > 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H, 2H > I, 2I > J$

Claim (i) $F < 1.03$

If $F \geq 1.03$, then $\min \psi_6 > 2$, so the inequality $(1,2,2,4,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_9 \leq$

10.

Claim (ii) $E < 1.202$

For $E \geq 1.202$, $\min \psi_5 > 2$, so the inequality (2,2,4,2) holds, but $\max \phi_{25} \leq 10$.

Claim (iii) $D < 1.415$

For $D \geq 1.415$, $\min \psi_4 > 2$, so the inequality (2,1,4,2,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{26} \leq 10$.

Claim(iv) $\frac{C^3}{DEF} < 2$

Suppose $\frac{C^3}{DEF} \geq 2$. Now if $\frac{G^3}{HIJ} \geq 2$, then (2,4,4) holds, but $\max \phi_{27} < 10$ and if $\frac{G^3}{HIJ} < 2$, then $\max \phi_{28} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (2,4,2,2).

Claim(v) $\frac{F^3}{GHI} < 2$

If $\frac{F^3}{GHI} \geq 2$, then the inequality (1,2,2,4,1) holds, but $\max \phi_9 < 10$.

Finally $\max \phi_{11} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (1,2,2,2,2,1).

Case 31 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H, 2H > I$

Claim(i) $E < 1.03$

For $E \geq 1.03$, $\min \psi_5 > 2$, so the inequality (2,2,4,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_7 \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $D < 1.202$

For $D \geq 1.202$, $\min \psi_4 > 2$, so (1,2,4,2,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{29} \leq 10$.

Claim(iii) $C < 1.414$

For $C \geq 1.414$, $\min \psi_3 > 2$, so (2,4,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{30} \leq 10$.

Claim(iv) $B < 1.52$

For $B \geq 1.52$, $\min \psi_2 > 2$

If $\frac{F^3}{GHI} \geq 2$, then (1,4,4,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{10} \leq 10$.

If $\frac{F^3}{GHI} < 2$, then $\max \phi_{13} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (1,4,2,2,1).

Claim (v) $A^3/BCD < 2$

As for $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, (4,2,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$.

Claim (vi) $B > 1.37, G < 0.77, H < 0.66$

As if $B \leq 1.37$ or $G \geq 0.77$ or $H \geq 0.66$, the Max of $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (2,2,2,2,1,1)

Now working as in Claims(iii) and (iv) respectively we get $C < 1.37$ and $B^3/CDE < 2$.

Finally we get $\frac{E^3}{FGH} > 2$, so (2,2,4,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_7 \leq 10$.

Case 32 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E > 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H, 2H > I$

First take $2I \leq J$, then $\frac{E^3}{FGH} > 2$ and so the inequality (2,2,4,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_7 \leq 10$. So we must have $2I > J$, and consequently we can use $4I - 2I^2/J$ instead of $2J$, wherever required.

Now we have the following claims:

Claim (i) $E < 1.208$

For $E \geq 1.208$, $\min \psi_5 > 2$ and so the inequality (2,2,4,2) holds, but $\max \phi_{25} \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $D < 1.418$

If $D \geq 1.418$, then $\min \psi_4 > 2$ and so (2,1,4,2,1) holds, i.e. $4A - \frac{2A^2}{B} + C + 4D - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D^4}{EFG} + 4H - \frac{2H^2}{I} + J > 10$. By taking this inequality as an additional constraint, we see that $\max \phi_{29} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (1,2,4,2,1).

Claim (iii) $C < 1.605$

For $C \geq 1.605$, $\min \psi_3 > 2$. Further if $G^3/HIJ \geq 2$, the inequality (2,4,4) will hold, but $\max \phi_{27} \leq 10$. If $G^3/HIJ < 2$, then $\max \phi_{28} \leq 10$, thus the inequality (2,4,2,2) contradicts.

Claim(iv) $B^3/CDE < 2$

Suppose $B^3/CDE \geq 2$. Further if $F^3/GHI \geq 2$, the inequality (1,4,4,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{10} \leq 10$. If $F^3/GHI < 2$, then $\max \phi_{13} \leq 10$, thus the inequality (1,4,2,2,1) contradicts.

Claim(v) $A^3/BCD < 2$

Suppose $A^3/BCD \geq 2$. Further if $E^3/FGH \geq 2$, the inequality (4,4,2) holds, but $\max \phi_{31} \leq 10$. If $E^3/FGH < 2$, then $\max \phi_{32} \leq 10$, thus the inequality (4,2,2,2) contradicts.

Claim (vi) $B > 1.38, H < 0.775; I < 0.7$

If either of $B \leq 1.38, H \geq 0.775, I \geq 0.7$ holds, then $\max \phi_{11} \leq 10$, so the inequality (1,2,2,2,1) contradicts.

Claim (vii) $G < 0.847$ and $C < 1.555$

For $G \geq 0.847$, then $\min \psi_7 > 2$. Further if $C^3/DEF \geq 2$, the inequality (2,4,4) will hold, but $\max \phi_{27} \leq 10$. If $C^3/DEF < 2$, then $\max \phi_3 \leq 10$, thus the inequality (2,2,2,4) contradicts.

Further working as in Claim(iii), we get $C < 1.555$.

Claim(viii) $E < 1.185$ and $D < 1.394$

If $E \geq 1.185$, then $E^3/FGH > 2$, so the inequality (1,2,1,4,2) holds, i.e. $A + 4B - 2B^2/C + D + 4E - (1/2)E^4/FGH + 4I - 2I^2/J > 10$. By taking this inequality as an additional constraint, we see that $\max \phi_{25} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (2,2,4,2).

Further working as in Claim(ii), we get $D < 1.394$.

Claim(ix) $C \leq 1.49$

Take $C > 1.49$, then $\min \psi_3 > 2$. Further if $G^3/HIJ \geq 2$, the inequality (2,4,4) contradict. So we must have $G^3/HIJ < 2$, then working as in Claim(viii) we get $E < 1.11$. Now $\max \phi_{28} \leq 10$, thus the inequality (2,4,2,2) contradicts. So we must have $C \leq 1.49$

Now working as in Claims (ii),(viii),(vi) respectively, we get $D < 1.34, E < 1.155, B > 1.41, J < 0.855$. Claim(x) $F < 0.989$

For $F \geq 0.989$, $(F^3)/(GHI) > 2$ and the inequality (1,2,2,4,1) holds, but

$\max \phi_9 \leq 10$.

Further working as in Claims (vii),(ii),(viii) respectively we get $G < 0.836$, $D < 1.315$, $E < 1.14$.

Claim(xii) $C < 1.44$

Suppose $C^3/DEF > 2$. Further if $G^3/HIJ \geq 2$, the inequality (2,4,4) contradicts. So we must have $G^3/HIJ < 2$, then working as in Claim(viii) we get $E < 1.09$, which contradicts to (2,4,2,2).

Finally working as in Claim(ii), we get $D^3/EF G < 2$, which further gives $\min \psi_5 > 2$, but $\max \phi_{25} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (2,2,4,2).

Case 61 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H$

Claim(i) $D < 1.03$

For $D \geq 1.03$, $\min \psi_4 > 2$, and so the inequality (1,2,4,1,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{33} \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $C < 1.2$

For $C \geq 1.2$, $\min \psi_3 > 2$ and so the inequality (2,4,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{30} \leq 10$.

Claim(iii) $B < 1.414$

For $B \geq 1.414$, $\min \psi_2 > 2$ and so (1,4,2,1,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{16} \leq 10$.

Claim(iv) $A^3/BCD < 2$

As for $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, the inequality (4,2,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$.

Claim(v) $G < 0.66$

For $G \geq 0.66$, $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, which contradicts to the inequality (2,2,2,2,1,1).

Finally $\min \psi_4 > 2$ and we get contradiction working as in Claim(i).

Case 63 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H$

IF $H < 0.5$ then $\min \psi_3 > 2$, so the inequality (2,4,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{15} \leq 10$, which contradicts .

Thus we have $H \geq 0.5$, then $2H > I$, and consequently we can use $4H - 2(H^2)/I$ instead of $2I$, wherever required. Now we have following claims:

Claim(i) $D < 1.2$

If $D \geq 1.2$, then $\min \psi_4 > 2$ and so the inequalities (2,1,4,2,1), (3,4,2,1) hold, i.e. $4A - 2A^2/B + C + 4D - (1/2)D^4/EF G + 4H - 2H^2/I + J > 10$ and $4A - A^3/BC + 4D - (1/2)D^4/EF G + 4H - 2H^2/I + J > 10$. But by taking these two inequalities as additional constraints, we find that $\max \phi_{29} \leq 10$, which contradicts to (1,2,4,2,1).

Claim(ii) $C < 1.418$

For $C \geq 1.418$, $\min \psi_3 > 2$, so the inequality (2,4,2,1,1) holds, but $\max \phi_{15} \leq 10$.

Claim(iii) $B < 1.605$

For $B \geq 1.605$, $\min \psi_2 > 2$. If $F^3/GHI > 2$, then the inequality $(1,4,4,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{10} \leq 10$. If $F^3/GHI \leq 2$, the $\max \phi_{13} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(1,4,2,2,1)$.

Claim(iv) $A^3/BCD < 2$

Suppose $A^3/BCD \geq 2$.

If $E^3/FGH \geq 2$, then $(4,4,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{34} \leq 10$.

If $E^3/FGH < 2$, the $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(4,2,2,1,1)$.

Claim(v) $G < 0.78$ and $B < 1.555$

As for $G \geq 0.78$, we get $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, contradicting $(2,2,2,2,1,1)$.

Further working as in Claim (iii), we get $B < 1.555$.

Claim(vi) $B < 1.51$

Take $B > 1.51$, then $\min \psi_2 > 2$. Further if $F^3/GHI \geq 2$, we get contradiction to $(1,4,4,1)$. So $F^3/GHI < 2$. Now working as in Claim(i), we get $D < 1.11$.

This further implies that $\max \phi_{13} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(1,4,2,2,1)$.

Now working as in Claims(ii) and (i) respectively, we get $C < 1.32$ and $D < 1.145$.

Claim(vii) $F < 0.837$

For $F \geq 0.837$, $F^3/GHI > 2$, so the inequality $(1,2,2,4,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_9 \leq 10$.

Claim(viii) $A > 1.42$ and $B > 1.39$

If $A \leq 1.42$ or $B \leq 1.39$, we get $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, contradicting $(2,2,2,2,1,1)$.

Now working as in Claims(ii), (i) and (iii) respectively, we get $C < 1.307$, $D < 1.133$, $B < 1.49$

Claim(ix) $E < 0.975$

For $E \geq 0.975$, $E^3/FGH > 2$, so the inequality $(2,2,4,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{35} \leq 10$.

Now working as in Claim (ii), we get contradiction if $C^3/DEF \geq 2$.

So $C^3/DEF < 2$. Finally we get $\min \psi_2 > 2$ and $\max \phi_{13} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(1,4,2,2,1)$.

Case 64 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D > 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I \leq 1, J \leq 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2E > F, 2F > G, 2G > H$

Claim(i) $A^3/BCD < 2$

Suppose $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, then the inequality $(4,2,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{12} \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $D < 1.268$

If $D \geq 1.268$, then $(3,4,2,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{36} \leq 10$.

Claim(iii) $C^3/DEF < 2$

For $C^3/DEF \geq 2$, $(2,4,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{30} \leq 10$.

Finally $\max \phi_{14} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(2,2,2,2,1,1)$.

Case 125 $A > 1, B > 1, C > 1, D \leq 1, E \leq 1, F \leq 1, G \leq 1, H \leq 1, I > 1, J > 1$.

Proof. Here $2A > B, 2B > C, 2C > D, 2D > E, 2E > F, 2F > G$

First suppose $2G < H$, then $\max \phi_{37} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(1,2,2,2,1,1,1)$.

Now suppose $2G > H$. We have the following claims:

Claim(i) $C < 1.2$

If $C \geq 1.2$, then $\min \psi_3 > 2$. So $(2,4,2,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{15} \leq 10$.

Claim(ii) $B < 1.421$. For $B \geq 1.421$, $\min \psi_2 > 2$, so $(1,4,2,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{16} \leq 10$.

Claim(iii) $A^3/BCD < 2$

For $A^3/BCD \geq 2$, $(4,2,1,1,1,1)$ holds, but $\max \phi_{17} \leq 10$.

Claim(iv) $F < 0.79, G < 0.7, H < 0.84$

If $F \geq 0.79$ or $G \geq 0.7$ or $H \geq 0.84$, then $\max \phi_{37} \leq 10$, which contradicts to $(1,2,2,2,1,1,1)$.

Claim(v) $E < 0.85$

For $E \geq 0.85$, $\min \psi_5 > 2$, so $(2,2,4,1,1)$ holds. But $\max \phi_7 \leq 10$.

Finally $\min \psi_3 > 2$ and we get contradiction as in claim(i) to the inequality $(2,4,2,1,1)$. $\square$

This settles all the cases and hence completes the proof of Woods' Conjecture for $n = 10$.

References

- [1] R. P. Bambah, V. C. Dumir and R. J. Hans-Gill, Non-homogeneous problems: Conjectures of Minkowski and Watson, Number Theory, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, (2000) 15-41.
- [2] R.P. Bambah, A.C. Woods, *On a theorem of Dyson*, J. Number Theory 6 (1974), 422-433.
- [3] R.P. Bambah, A.C. Woods, *Minkowski's conjecture for $n = 5$. A theorem of Skubenko*, J. Number Theory 12 (1980), 27-48.
- [4] B.J. Birch, H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *On the inhomogeneous minimum of the product of n linear forms*, Mathematika 3 (1956), 25-39.
- [5] H. F. Blichfeldt, *The minimum values of positive quadratic forms in six, seven and eight variables*, Math. Z. 39 (1934), 1-15.
- [6] H. Cohn and N. Elkies, *New upper bounds on sphere packings*, I. Ann. of Math. (2) 157 (2003), no. 2, 689-714.
- [7] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, Sphere packings, Lattices and groups, Springer-verlag, 2nd edition.
- [8] P. Gruber, *Convex and discrete geometry*, Springer Grundlehren Series, Vol.336 (2007).

- [9] P. Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker, *Geometry of Numbers*, Second Edition, North Holland (1987).
- [10] R. J. Hans-Gill, Madhu Raka and Ranjeet Sehmi, *On conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 7$* , J. Number Theory, 129 (2009), 1011-1033.
- [11] R.J. Hans-Gill, Madhu Raka, Ranjeet Sehmi, *On Conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 8$* , Acta Arithmetica, 147(4) (2011), 337-385.
- [12] Leetika Kathuria, R. J. Hans-Gill and Madhu Raka, *On a question of Uri Shapira and Barak Weiss*, Indian Jl. Pure Appl. Math., 46(3) (2015) 287-307.
- [13] Leetika Kathuria and Madhu Raka, *On Conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 9$* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 126 (4) (2016), 501-548.
- [14] Leetika Kathuria and Madhu Raka, *Refined Estimates On Conjectures Of Woods And Minkowski*, Journal of the Indian Math. Soc. Vol. 83, Nos. (1 - 2), (2016), 61-85.
- [15] A. Korkine, G. Zolotareff, *Sur les formes quadratiques*, Math. Ann. 6 (1873), 366-389; *Sur les formes quadratiques positives*, Math. Ann. 11 (1877), 242-292.
- [16] C.T. McMullen, *Minkowski's conjecture, well rounded lattices and topological dimension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), 711-734.
- [17] H. Minkowski, *Über die Annherung an eine reelle Grsse durch rationale Zahlen*, Math. Ann. 54 (1901), 91-124.
- [18] R.A. Pendavingh and S.H.M. Van Zwam, *New Korkine-Zolotarev inequalities*, SIAM J. Optim. 18 (2007), no. 1, 364-378.
- [19] Oded Regev, Uri Shapira, And Barak Weiss, *Counterexamples To A Conjecture Of Woods*, Duke Math. J. 166 (2017), 2443-2446.
- [20] Uri Shapira and Barak Weiss, *On the stable lattices and the diagonal group*, arXiv:1309.4025v1 [math.DS] 16 September 2013.
- [21] A.C. Woods, *The densest double lattice packing of four spheres*, Mathematika 12 (1965) 138-142.
- [22] A.C. Woods, *Lattice coverings of five space by spheres*, Mathematika 12 (1965) 143-150.
- [23] A.C. Woods, *Covering six space with spheres*, J. Number Theory 4 (1972) 157-180.