

UNIFORMLY STRONG CONVERGENCE OF KÄHLER-RICCI FLOWS ON A FANO MANIFOLD

FENG WANG[†] AND XIAOHUA ZHU^{*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the uniformly strong convergence of Kähler-Ricci flow on a Fano manifold with varied initial metrics and smooth deformation complex structures. As an application, we prove the uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons in sense of diffeomorphism orbits. The result generalizes Tian-Zhu's theorem for the uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons on a compact complex manifold, and it is also a generalization of Chen-Sun's result of the uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein metric orbits.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Local convergence of KR flow	4
2. Uniqueness of soliton VFs	9
3. GIT-figure and uniqueness of \tilde{M}_∞	10
3.1. Uniqueness of algebraic structure \tilde{M}_∞	12
3.2. In case of reductive $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$	12
4. Limits of singular KE metrics	13
4.1. Lower bound of Ding-energy	15
4.2. Proof of Theorem 0.2 in case of singular KE metrics	17
5. Computation of $L(\omega'_0)$ and applications	18
5.1. Computation of $L(\omega'_0)$	20
5.2. Uniform convergence of flow (0.1)	21
5.3. Uniqueness of KR soliton VFs-extension	22
6. Proofs of Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 0.4	23
6.1. Globally smooth convergence	24
6.2. Proof of Theorem 0.4	25
6.3. Further remarks on Theorem 0.4 and Corollary 0.5	26
7. Appendix	27
7.1. Reductivity of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$	27
7.2. Uniqueness of soliton VFs on a Q -Fano variety	31
References	32

0. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, J, ω_0) be a Fano manifold and ω_t a solution of normalized Kähler-Ricci flow,

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{\partial \omega_t}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\omega_t) + \omega_t, \quad \omega_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C25; Secondary: 53C55, 58J05, 19L10.

Key words and phrases. Kähler-Ricci flow, Kähler-Ricci solitons, Q -Fano variety, Gromov-Hausdorff topology .

[†] Partially supported by NSFC Grants 11971423.

^{*} Partially supported by NSFC Grants 11771019 and BJSF Grants Z180004.

It is known in [11] that (0.1) has a global solution ω_t for all $t \geq 0$ whenever the initial metric ω_0 represents $2\pi c_1(M)$. Thus the main interesting of (0.1) is to study the limit behavior of ω_t . The famous Hamilton-Tian conjecture (simply written as HT- conjecture)¹ asserts [47]:

Any sequence of (M, ω_t) contains a subsequence converging to a length space $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology and $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is a smooth Kähler-Ricci soliton outside a closed subset S , called the singular set, of codimension at least 4. Moreover, this subsequence of (M, ω_t) converges locally to the regular part of $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology.

The Gromov-Hausdorff convergence part in the HT conjecture follows from Perelman's non-collapsing result and Zhang's upper volume estimate [68]. More recently, there were very significant progresses on this conjecture, first by Tian and Zhang in dimension less than 4 [60], then by Chen-Wang [17] and Bamler [2] in higher dimensions. In fact, Bamler proved a generalized version of the conjecture.

Based a work of Liu-Székelyhidi on Tian's partial C^0 -estimate for polarized Kähler metrics with Ricci bounded below [38], the authors recently gave an alternative proof to the HT-conjecture as follows.

Theorem 0.1. *For any sequence of (M, ω_t) of (0.1), there is a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ with klt singularities such that ω_{t_i} (after taking a subsequence) is locally C^∞ -convergent to a KR soliton $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. Moreover, $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ can be extended to a singular KR soliton on \tilde{M}_∞ with a L^∞ -Kähler potential ϕ_∞ and the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ is isometric to the global limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ of ω_{t_i} in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. In addition, if $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ is a singular KE metrics, ϕ_∞ is continuous and M_∞ is homeomorphic to \tilde{M}_∞ which has at least 4 Hausdorff codimension of singularities of $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$.*

With respect to HT-conjecture, it is natural to ask whether the Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ in Theorem 0.1 is unique and independent of initial metric ω_0 or not. The positive answer will implies that the flow (0.1) converges locally uniformly to a singular KR soliton and the Gromov-Hausdorff limit is unique, since the singular KR soliton is unique on a Q-Fano variety with klt singularities [9]. In this paper, we solve the problem under assumption that the connected component $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ containing the identity of the auto-morphisms group of \tilde{M}_∞ is reductive. In fact, we prove the following uniformly strong convergence of (0.1).

Theorem 0.2. *Let $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ be a singular KR soliton which is a limit of sequence $\{(M, \omega_{t_i})\}$ of (0.1) as in Theorem 0.1. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive. Then for any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M)$, (0.1) is locally uniformly C^∞ -convergent to $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. As a consequence, the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of (0.1) is independent of choice of sequences and initial metrics in $2\pi c_1(M)$.*

For a fixed flow (0.1) with an initial metric ω_0 , the uniqueness of algebraic structures of limits \tilde{M}_∞ in Theorem 0.1, or Theorem 0.2 has been confirmed by Chen-Sun-Wang [16] even without assuming that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive (also see Theorem 5.9 below). Their proof is based on Chen-Wang's work on HT-conjecture to derive the partial C^0 -estimate [17] so that the uniqueness of \tilde{M}_∞ is reduced to studying a finite dimension problem in sense of Hilbert-Mumford's GIT figure. They use a method to estimate the eigenvalues and eigenspaces for representation group in order to prove that \tilde{M}_∞ as a Chow point lies in an orbit of reductive subgroup G_v of $\text{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ associated to another normal \overline{M}_∞ , where v is the soliton VF of $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ (also see Section 5). The choice of G_v makes $G_v \cap \text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty) = \text{Aut}_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ so that GIT can be applied, where $\text{Aut}_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is a reductive subgroup of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ (cf. Proposition 7.4, also see [8]).

For flow (M, ω'_t) of (0.1) with varied initial metrics, it seems that GIT's method in [16] can not be directly applied because of lack of uniqueness of reductive subgroup G_v . However, by assuming that the total $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive, we are able to deform (0.1) with a simple path of initial metrics in $2\pi c_1(M)$ to study the limit behavior of ω'_t as done for the smooth limits of ω_t in [59, 61].

¹We also write KR soliton as Kähler-Ricci soliton, KE metric as Kähler-Einstein metric and others, below.

Moreover, we can generalize our method to study the convergence of KR flow on a Fano manifold with jumping complex structure.

Definition 0.3. Let (M, J) be a Fano manifold. A complex manifold (M', J') is called a canonical smooth deformation of (M, J) if there are a sequence of Kähler metrics ω_i in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$ and diffeomorphisms $\Psi_i : M' \rightarrow M$ such that

$$(0.2) \quad \Psi_i^* \omega_i \xrightarrow{C^\infty} \omega', \quad \Psi_i^* J \xrightarrow{C^\infty} J', \quad \text{on } M'.$$

In addition that J' is not conjugate to J , J' is called a jump of J .

We prove

Theorem 0.4. Let (M', J') is a canonical smooth jump of a Fano manifold (M, J) . Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(M')$ is reductive and (M', J') admits a KR soliton ω_{KR} such that

$$(0.3) \quad \lambda(\omega_{KR}) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\},$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the Perelman's entropy. Then for any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ flow (M, J, ω'_t) of (0.1) is uniformly C^∞ -convergent to (M', J', ω_{KR}) .

We remark that $\text{Aut}_0(M')$ is reductive [39] and (0.3) holds [59] if (M', J') admits a KE metric. Thus the assumption in Theorem 0.4 is automatically satisfied. The typical examples (M, J) as in Definition 0.3 are Mukai-Umemura's 3-folds, which have studied by Tian, Donaldson [51, 22]. The stability of (0.1) on those manifolds was proved by Sun-Wang, Wang by using Łojasiewicz's inequality [45, 65]. But if ω_{KR} is not a KE metric, the assumption (0.3) in Theorem 0.4 is necessary in general according to a counter-example $Gr_q(2, 7)$ which can be deformed to a horospherical manifold found by Pasquier [40]. The latter can admit a KR soliton (non-KE) by a result of Delcroix [19], see Remark 6.5 for details.

Theorem 0.4 generalizes the result for the KE metric limit [59], and also for the original complex manifold (M, J) with admitting a KR soliton while J' is conjugate to J [61, 23]. In fact, in the latter cases, the convergence can be improved in the sense of Kähler potentials.

As an application of Theorem 0.4, we prove the following uniqueness of KR solitons in sense of diffeomorphism orbits.

Corollary 0.5. Let $\{\omega_i^1\}$ and $\{\omega_i^2\}$ be two sequences of Kähler metrics in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$ which converge to KR solitons $(M_\infty^1, \omega_{KR}^1)$ and $(M_\infty^2, \omega_{KR}^2)$ in sense of Cheeger-Gromov, respectively. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(M_\infty^1)$ and $\text{Aut}_0(M_\infty^2)$ are both reductive, and

$$(0.4) \quad \lambda(\omega_{KR}^1) = \lambda(\omega_{KR}^2) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Then M_∞^1 is biholomorphic to M_∞^2 and ω_{KR}^1 is isometric to ω_{KR}^2 .

Corollary 0.5 generalizes Tian-Zhu's theorem for the uniqueness of KR solitons on a complex manifold [56], and it is also a generalization of uniqueness result of Chen-Sun for KE metric orbits [14] (also see [36]). We also mention that the assumption (0.4) as in Theorem 0.4 is necessary. A more generalization of Corollary 0.5 for limits of singular KR soliton will be also discussed in Section 6, see Remark 6.4 and Theorem 6.7. We hope those results can be applied to study the modulo space of singular KR solitons as done successfully for singular KE metrics [36, 37, 44, 1, 10], etc.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 1, we first recall the partial C^0 -estimate and some local estimates for Kähler potentials in [63] (cf. Lemma 1.2). Those estimate will be generalized for a sequence of evolved Kähler metrics of (0.1) with varied initial metrics in order to prove a gap result for Q -Fano varieties in sense of Gromov-Hausdorff topology (cf. Proposition 1.4).

In Section 2, We prove the uniqueness of soliton VFs associated to limits of (0.1) with fixed initial metric (cf. Corollary 2.3). The result can be regarded as an application of Theorem 0.1 (cf.

Proposition 2.2). Corollary 2.3 will be generalized to the varied flow in Section 5 (cf. Proposition 5.10).

In Section 3, We first prove a version of Luna's slice lemma in GIT-figure (cf. Lemma 3.1), then as an application, we prove the uniqueness of algebra structures of Q-Fano varieties \tilde{M}_∞ associated to limits of (0.1) with fixed initial metric under the assumption that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive for one of \tilde{M}_∞ (cf. Corollary 3.8). Our proof just depends on the partial C^0 -estimate and the local estimates in Section 1. The assumption will be removed in Section 4 as in [16] (cf. (5.12)). The proof of (5.12) in [16] depends on Chen-Wang's work on HT-conjecture, thus our argument is a bit different to theirs.

In Section 4, we prove Theorem 0.2 in case that $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ is a singular KE metric. Our proof is based on a result of Li for the lower bound estimate of Ding energy [35]. His method is to solve certain homogeneous MA equation associated to a geodesic ray of Kähler metrics. Since this is an independent interest, we give a sketch proof of Li's result (cf. Proposition 4.2).

In Section 5, we represent a result of Dervan-Székelyhidi that $L(\omega')$ is independent of ω' [21] (cf. Proposition 5.6). Several applications of Proposition 5.6 will be also presented, see Corollary 5.8, Proposition 5.10.

In Section 6, we prove the main results, Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 0.4 in this paper. Other more general results are also obtained (cf. Remark 6.4 and Corollary 6.7).

In Section 7 (an appendix), following the proof of Matsushima theorem for weak KE metrics in [53], we prove the reductivity of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ for the limits of singular KE metrics of (0.1) (cf. Proposition 7.1). The result will be also generalized for the limit of singular KR solitons (cf. Proposition 7.4).

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank professor Gang Tian for inspiring conversations. They also thank Li for telling us that the uniqueness of algebraic structures of \tilde{M}_∞ in Theorem 0.1 was solved by using non-archimedean geometry in his recent joint paper with Han [28].

1. LOCAL CONVERGENCE OF KR FLOW

In this section, we first recall some notations and some technical results in [63]. Let $\{\omega_i\}$ be a sequence of (M, ω_t) of (0.1). We denote $\{s_i^\alpha\}$ to be an orthonormal basis of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l}, \omega_i)$. Namely, $\{s_i^\alpha\}$ satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad (s_i^\alpha, s_i^\beta) = \int_M \langle s_i^\alpha, s_i^\beta \rangle_{h_i^{\otimes l}} \omega_i^n,$$

where h_i is a hermitian metric on K_M^{-1} such that $R(h_i) = \omega_i$.

Let $\Phi_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ be the Kodaira embedding given by $\{s_i^\alpha\}$ with image $\Phi_i(M) = \tilde{M}_i$. By [63, Proposition 2.7], \tilde{M}_i converges to a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ with klt singularities in algebra geometry as in Theorem 0.1. We write $[\tilde{M}_i]$ and $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$ as Chow points in $W_N = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}(M_{(n+1) \times (N+1)}), \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{C}(M_{(n+1) \times (N+1)})$ denote a linear space of $(n+1)$ -multiple homogeneous polynomials with variables $N+1$. We note that $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ acts naturally on $[\tilde{M}_i]$. Then the convergence of \tilde{M}_i means that $[\tilde{M}_i]$ converges to $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$. The latter is also equivalent to that there are $g_i \in \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_i g_i \cdot [\tilde{M}] = [\tilde{M}_\infty],$$

where $\tilde{M} \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ is regarded as a complex submanifold by the Kodaira embedding of M .

By (1.1) and the continuity of flow (0.1), the Kodaira embedding Φ_t generates a continuous path $[\tilde{M}_t]$ ($t \in (0, \infty)$) with the variable t in W_N . Since the limit \tilde{M}_∞ of \tilde{M}_t may be different for a different subsequence $\{t_i\}$, we introduce a set \mathcal{C}_0 which consists of all possible limits \tilde{M}_∞ , and set

$$[\mathcal{C}_0] = \{[\tilde{M}_\infty] \mid \tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_0\}.$$

The following is an element result.

Lemma 1.1. $[\mathcal{C}_0]$ is a compact connected subset in W_N .

Proof. The compactness follows from the diagonal argument since W_N is compact, so we need to prove the connectedness. On the contrary, we assume there are two closed sets U and V such that $[\mathcal{C}_0] = U \cup V$ and

$$\text{dist}_{W_N}(U, V) \geq \delta > 0.$$

Then there are two points $[\tilde{M}_\infty^1] \in U$ and $[\tilde{M}_\infty^2] \in V$ and two sequences $\{[\tilde{M}_{t_i}]\}$ and $\{[\tilde{M}_{s_i}]\}$, respectively, such that

$$\lim_i [\tilde{M}_{t_i}] \rightarrow [\tilde{M}_\infty^1] \text{ and } \lim_i [\tilde{M}_{s_i}] \rightarrow [\tilde{M}_\infty^2].$$

Without loss of generality, we may $t_i < s_i < t_{i+1}$. Thus

$$\text{dist}_{W_N}([\tilde{M}_{s_i}], V) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \text{dist}_W([\tilde{M}_{t_i}], V) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

The latter means that there exists $t'_i \in (s_i, t_{i+1})$ such that

$$\text{dist}_{W_N}([\tilde{M}_{t'_i}], V) = \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Hence by the triangle inequality, we also get

$$\text{dist}_{W_N}([\tilde{M}_{t'_i}], U) \geq \frac{3\delta}{4}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 0.1, $\tilde{M}_{t'_i}$ converges to a limit \tilde{M}'_∞ in \mathcal{C}_0 . Combining the above two relations, we get

$$\text{dist}_{W_N}([\tilde{M}'_\infty], V) = \frac{\delta}{4} \text{ and } \text{dist}_{W_N}([\tilde{M}'_\infty], U) \geq \frac{3\delta}{4}.$$

This implies that $[\tilde{M}'_\infty]$ is not contained in $U \cup V$, which is impossible. The lemma is proved. \square

Let $\tilde{M}_\infty \in [\mathcal{C}_0]$. We choose an exhausting open sets $\Omega_\gamma \subset \tilde{M}_\infty$. Then there are diffeomorphisms $\Psi_\gamma^i : \Omega_\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i$ such that the curvature of $\omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i}$ is C^k -bounded, i.e. it is uniformly independently of i , where $\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i = \Psi_\gamma^i(\Omega_\gamma)$.

For simplicity, we let $\tilde{\omega}_i = \frac{1}{t} \omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{M}_i}$. Then we can write

$$(1.3) \quad (\Phi_i^{-1})^* \omega_{t_i+s} = \tilde{\omega}_i + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_i^s, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_i, \forall s \in [-1, 1].$$

In Proposition 3.2 in [63], it was proved that

Lemma 1.2. *There exist constants A, C_γ, A_γ such that for $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,*

$$(1.4) \quad |\psi_i^s| \leq A, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_i,$$

$$(1.5) \quad C_\gamma^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_i \leq (\Phi_i^{-1})^* \omega_{t_i+s} \leq C_\gamma \tilde{\omega}_i, \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|\psi_i^s\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i)} \leq A_\gamma(k).$$

Let $\omega_t = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_t$ be the solution of (0.1). Then

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_t) - \omega_t = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (-\dot{\phi}_t).$$

Thus $-\dot{\phi}_t$ is a Ricci potential of ω_t . The following estimates are due to G. Perelman. We refer the reader to [43] for their proof.

Lemma 1.3. *There are constants $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending only on the initial metric ω_0 such that the following is true:*

$$1) \text{ diam}(M, \omega_t) \leq C, \text{ vol}(B_r(p), \omega_t) \geq cr^{2n}.$$

$$2) \text{ For any } t \in (0, \infty), \text{ there is a constant } c_t \text{ such that } h_t = -\dot{\phi}_t + c_t \text{ satisfies}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \|h_t\|_{C^0(M)} \leq C, \|\nabla h_t\|_{\omega_t} \leq C, \|\Delta h_t\|_{C^0(M)} \leq C.$$

We also need to consider the modified Kähler metrics η_t of ω_t used in [69, 63], which is a solution of

$$(1.8) \quad \text{Ric}(\eta_t) = \omega_t.$$

Write $\eta_t = \omega_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\kappa_t$. Then we have a solution κ_t of complex MA equation,

$$(1.9) \quad (\omega_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\kappa_t)^n = e^{h_t}\omega_t^n, \quad \sup_M \kappa_t = 0,$$

where h_t is a Ricci potential of ω_t as in (1.7). By using the iteration method, κ_t is uniformly bounded. Moreover, similar to relations (1.5) and (1.6) in Lemma 1.3, we have

$$(1.10) \quad C_\gamma^{-1}\tilde{\omega}_i \leq (\Phi_i^{-1})^*\eta_i \leq C_\gamma\tilde{\omega}_i, \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \|\kappa_i\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i)} \leq A_\gamma(k).$$

The limit set $[\mathcal{C}_0]$ in Lemma 1.1 is for sequences of KR flow with a fixed initial metric. We shall extend the lemma to general limits of sequences from KR flows with varied initial metrics. To distinguish the flow (0.1) for different initial metrics, we denote $(M, \omega'_t = \omega_t^\alpha)$ to be a KR flow with an initial metric $\omega'_0 = \omega^\alpha \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$. Since Perelman's estimate for the metric ω'_t in Lemma 1.3 depends only on the initial metric ω'_0 , the partial C^0 -estimate proved [69] holds for all ω'_t . Namely, there exists $l' = l(M, \omega'_0) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $b = b(M, \omega'_0) > 0$ such that

$$(1.12) \quad \rho_{l'}(M, \omega'_t) = \sum_k \|s'_k\|_{\omega'_t} \geq b,$$

where $\{s'_k\}$ is an ortho-normal basis of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l'}, \omega'_t)$ which gives a Kodaira embedding into a fixed projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{N'}$.

We may assume

$$(1.13) \quad \|\omega'_0 - \omega_0\|_{C_{CG}^2(M)} \leq A,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C_{CG}^2(M)}$ denotes the C^2 -norm in Cheeger-Gromov topology. Then Lemma 1.2 still holds. By the argument in the proof of [63, Proposition 3.2], for any sequence $\{\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}\}$, there is a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}'_∞ such that $\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}$ is locally C^∞ -convergent to a Kähler metric on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}'_\infty)$. We note that $\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}$ has also a Gromov-Hausdroff limit $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ by the Perelman's estimate in Lemma 1.3-1).

Let $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ be the Gromov-Hausdroff limit of $\{\omega_i\}$ as in Theorem 0.1. The following is the main result in this section.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty), \tilde{M}_\infty$ and \tilde{M}'_∞ be Gromov-Hausdroff limits and algebraic variety limits for sequences $\{\omega_i\}$ and $\{\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}\}$ as above, respectively. Suppose*

$$(1.14) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \epsilon.$$

Then there is a $g \in \text{SL}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(1.15) \quad \text{dist}_W([\tilde{M}'_\infty], g \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]) \leq \delta(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We use the contradiction argument and suppose that there are sequences $\{\omega_{t_i, k}^{\alpha_i}\}$ with their Gromov-Hausdroff limits and algebraic variety limits $(M'_{\infty, k}, \omega'_{\infty, k}), \tilde{M}'_{\infty, k}$, respectively, such that

$$(1.16) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M'_{\infty, k}, \omega'_{\infty, k}), (M_\infty, \omega_\infty)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad \text{dist}_W([\tilde{M}'_{\infty, k}], \text{SL}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]) \geq c_0 > 0, \quad \forall \alpha \gg 1.$$

Then by (1.16) we can take a diagonal subsequence $\{\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}\}$ such that

$$(1.18) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M, \omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}), (M_\infty, \omega_\infty)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Namely, $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is also the Gromov-Hausdroff limit of $\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}$. On the other hand, as in the proof of [63, Proposition 3.2], there is a Q-Fano variety \bar{M}_∞ such that $\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}$ is locally C^∞ -convergent to a Kähler metric $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$. Moreover,

$$(1.19) \quad \overline{(\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty), \bar{\omega}_\infty)} \cong (M_\infty, \omega_\infty).$$

By Theorem 0.1, we know

$$(1.20) \quad \overline{(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)} \cong (M_\infty, \omega_\infty).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1.5 below, $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty) \setminus (\hat{\omega}_\infty, \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty))$ is a singular set. Thus by (1.19), any restricted metric of $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ on an open set $U \subset \text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$ must be isometric to one of restricted metric $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on some open set in $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. This means that $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ is a singular KR soliton on $\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$. Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem 0.1 [63], \bar{M}_∞ has *klt*-singularities and $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ can be extended to a singular KR soliton on \bar{M}_∞ with a L^∞ Kähler potential.

By (1.19) and (1.20), the above argument also implies that

$$(1.21) \quad (\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty), \bar{\omega}_\infty) \cong (\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \omega_\infty).$$

Thus, after a diffeomorphism, we may assume that $\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$ is biholomorphic to $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ and both of Kähler-Ricci solitons $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ and $\tilde{\omega}_\infty$ are same. We claim that there is a $g \in \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(1.22) \quad \bar{M}_\infty = g \cdot \tilde{M}_\infty.$$

Let η_i and η_{i_α} be a solution of (1.8) for ω_i and $\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}$, respectively. Then by (1.10) and (1.11), $\{\kappa_i\}$ and $\{\kappa_{i_\alpha}\}$ converge to uniformly bounded potentials κ_∞ and κ'_∞ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, respectively, both of which satisfy

$$(1.23) \quad (\omega_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\kappa)^n = e^{\tilde{h}_\infty - \psi_\infty}(\tilde{\omega}_\infty)^n, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_\infty.$$

By the uniqueness of bounded solutions, we get

$$\kappa_\infty = \kappa'_\infty$$

and so

$$\eta_\infty = \omega_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\kappa_\infty = \omega_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\kappa'_\infty = \eta'_\infty.$$

Since, by [63, (4.30)],

$$\overline{(\eta_\infty, \text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty))} = (X, d_\infty) \text{ and } \overline{(\eta'_\infty, \text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty))} = (X', d'_\infty)$$

where (X, d_∞) and (X', d'_∞) are the Gromov-Hausdroff limits of η_i and η_{i_α} , respectively,

$$(X, d_\infty) = (X', d'_\infty).$$

Let $\{s_i^k\}$ and $\{s_{i_\alpha}^k\}$ be orthonormal bases of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l'}, \eta_i)$ and $H^0(M, K_M^{-l'}, \eta_{i_\alpha})$, respectively. Then as in Lemma 4.4 in [63], $\{s_i^k\}$ and $\{s_{i_\alpha}^k\}$ converge to collections of $\{s_\infty^k\}$ and $\{s'_\infty^k\}$ of unitary and orthogonal holomorphic sections in $H^0(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), K_{\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)}^{-l'}, \eta_\infty)$, respectively, where $H^0(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), K_{\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)}^{-l'}, \eta_\infty)$ denotes the space of bounded holomorphic sections on $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), K_{\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)}^{-l'})$. Moreover,

$$(1.24) \quad \overline{\Phi_\infty(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty))} = \tilde{M}_\infty \text{ and } \overline{\bar{\Phi}_\infty(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty))} = \bar{M}_\infty,$$

where Φ_∞ and $\bar{\Phi}_\infty$ are Kodaira embeddings given by $\{s_\infty^k\}$ and $\{s'_\infty^k\}$, respectively. On the other hand, according to the proof of normal variety of \tilde{M}_∞ in [38], the dimension of $H^0(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), K_{\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)}^{-l'})$ is same as one of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l'})$. Thus there is a $g \in \text{SL}(N'+1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\{s_\infty^k\} = g \cdot \{s'_\infty^k\}.$$

Hence, by (1.24), we finally get (1.22).

By Theorem 0.1 together with (1.22), we see that there are $g_i \in \mathrm{SL}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$[\tilde{\Phi}_i(M)] \rightarrow g \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty],$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_i$ is a Kodaira embedding given by an ortho-normal basis in $\{\tilde{s}_i^k\}$ in $H^0(M, K_M^{-l'}, \omega_{i_\alpha}^\alpha)$. On the other hand, for a fixed α we may take i_α in (1.16) such that

$$\mathrm{dist}([\tilde{\Phi}_i(M)], [\tilde{M}_\infty^\alpha]) \leq \delta(i_\alpha) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } i_\alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{dist}([\tilde{M}_\infty^\alpha], g \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]) \leq 2\delta(i_\alpha),$$

which is a contradiction with (1.17). The proposition is proved. \square

By [2, Theorem 1.2], the Gromov-Hausdorff limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (M, \omega_i)$ has a decomposition into regular part \mathcal{R} and singular part \mathcal{S} . The regular part is an open manifold and the restriction of ω_∞ on the regular part is smooth metric. Moreover, ω_i converges to ω_∞ smoothly on \mathcal{R} . We will compare the regular parts of M_∞ and \tilde{M}_∞ . Since $\Phi_i : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i$ are uniformly Lipschitz [63], Φ_i converges to some map $\Phi_\infty : M_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{M}_\infty$.

Lemma 1.5. $\Phi_\infty(\mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ and the restriction of Φ_∞ on \mathcal{R} is one-to-one.

Proof. At first, assuming that $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i$ and $z = \Phi_\infty(x) \in \mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, by Theorem 0.1, there is a neighborhood V of z and neighborhood V_i of p_i such that ω_i on V_i converges smoothly to $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ on V . Choosing a smaller convex neighborhood \tilde{V}_i of p_i , the limit of \tilde{V}_i will be an open manifold containing x . Thus we get $x \in \mathcal{R}$. This implies that $\mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty) \subseteq \Phi_\infty(\mathcal{R})$.

Next we prove the inverse part. By [67], the Sobolev constants of ω_i is uniformly bounded. So from Lemma 3.1 in [31], the L^∞ and gradient estimates of holomorphic sections of $H^0(M, K_M^{-q}, \omega_i)$ hold for any positive integer q . By Lemma 1.3, the gradient of the Ricci potential of ω_i is uniformly bounded [63]. Thus we have the estimate of eigenvalue of operator $\bar{\partial}$ of K_M^{-q} with respect to metric ω_i for $q \geq 4nC^2$ by Lemma 3.3 in [31]. Combined with the result of Bamler that \mathcal{R} is the local C^∞ -limit of ω_i in the Cheeger-Gromov topology, the proof of partial C^0 estimate can be applied at the regular point of M_∞ (cf. [54], [31]) in the following.

For any $x \in \mathcal{R}$, there is an open neighborhood U of x such that ω_∞ is a smooth metric on U . Choose integer q large enough such that for $r = \frac{1}{q} > 0$, there is a diffeomorphism $\phi : B_x(r) \rightarrow B_0(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\phi^*(l^2\omega_\infty)$ is close to ω_{Euc} in C^3 sense. Denote the limit line bundle of K_M^{-1} on \mathcal{R} by $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}$. Because $\pi_1(B_x(r))$ is trivial, by Lemma 4.5 in [31], without raising power, there is a section ψ of $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-q} \otimes L_0$ with $|D\psi|$ small, where L_0 is the trivial bundle $B_0(1) \times \mathbb{C}$ endowed with Hermitian metric $e^{-|z|^2}$. This is equivalent to a bundle map $\psi : K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-q} \rightarrow B_0(1) \times \mathbb{C}$ with $|D\psi|$ small. By Theorem 1.2 in [2], there is a sequence of points p_i and diffeomorphism $\phi_i : (B_x(r), \omega_\infty) \rightarrow (B_{p_i}(r), \omega_i)$ such that $\phi_i^*\omega_i$ converges to ω_∞ smoothly. Let $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cut-off function which satisfies:

$$\zeta(t) = 1, \text{ for } t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \zeta(t) = 0, \text{ for } t \geq 1; |\zeta'(t)| \leq 2.$$

Let z^j be the coordinates functions on \mathbb{C}^n , choosing R large enough, then $\tau_i^j = \phi_i^*(\psi^*(\zeta(\frac{d(0, \cdot)}{R})z^j))$ is a smooth section of K_M^{-q} with $|\bar{\partial}\tau_i^j|_{L^2}$ small. We can assume that $B_x(Rr)$ is still contained in U . By Lemma 3.3 in [31], we can solve the $\bar{\partial}$ equation:

$$\bar{\partial}\sigma_i^j = \bar{\partial}\tau_i^j,$$

such that $|\sigma_i^j|_{L^2}$ is small. Then $s_i^j = \tau_i^j - \sigma_i^j$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are holomorphic sections. By the local regularity of elliptic equation, both $|\sigma_i^j|$ and $|\nabla\sigma_i^j|$ are small near p_i . So the Jacobian of (s_i^1, \dots, s_i^n) at p_i is uniformly bounded from below. By Proposition A.2 in [31], for $q \geq (n+2)l+2$, $H^0(M, K_M^{-q}, \omega_i)$ is contained in $H^0(M, K_M^{-l}, \omega_i) \otimes H^0(M, K_M^{-(q-l)}, \omega_i)$. So replacing l by $(n+3)l$, the tangent map of Φ_i at p_i has full rank. Since the Jacobian of (s_i^1, \dots, s_i^n) at p_i is uniformly bounded from below, the tangent map of Φ_∞ at x also has full rank. Thus $\Phi_\infty(x) \in \mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$.

and $\Phi_\infty(\mathcal{R}) = \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. The injectivity can be proved as Proposition 8.2 in [31]. The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 1.6. To get (1.21), we shall use Lemma 1.5, whose proof depends on a deep result of Bamler [2, Theorem 1.2]. Lemma 1.5 is also proved in [63] for two special cases of $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$: $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is a smooth KR soliton (cf. Lemma 6.2 below), or a singular KE metric (cf. (4.31) in [63]).

2. UNIQUENESS OF SOLITON VFS

For a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ , we denote $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ to be a subgroup of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ whose element as an action fixes M_∞ . In this section, we show that the soliton VF associated to $\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_0$ is unique. First we have

Lemma 2.1. *Let \tilde{M}_∞^1 and $\tilde{M}_\infty^2 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ be two limits and X^1, X^2 be the corresponding soliton VF. Assume that there is a complex torus $T \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty^1) \cap \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty^2)$ such that $X^1, X^2 \in \text{Lie}(T)$. Then $X^1 = X^2$.*

Proof. Denote the restriction of $\frac{\omega_{FS}}{t}$ on \tilde{M}_∞^i by $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i$ and the potential of $Z \in \text{Lie}(T)$ with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i$ by θ_Z^i ($i = 1, 2$), which satisfies

$$i_Z(\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta_Z^i, \quad \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i} \theta_Z^i e^{h^i} (\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i)^n = 0,$$

where h^i is a Ricci potential of $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [57], consider the function f^i on $\text{Lie}(T)$ defined by

$$f^i(Z) = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i} e^{\theta_Z^i} (\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i)^n.$$

Then by the proof of Proposition 7.9, f^i is a proper function on $\text{Lie}(T)$ and X^i is the unique point such that $\nabla f^i(X^i) = 0$. We claim that $f^1 = f^2$ as a function on $\text{Lie}(T)$. By the equivariant Riemann-Roch Theorem (cf. [64]), we have

$$\text{Tr}(e^{\frac{1}{k}X})|_{K_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i}^{-k}} = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i} e^{k(\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i + \frac{1}{k}\theta_Z^i)} (\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i)^n + O(k^{n-1}).$$

The leading term on the right hand side is $k^n \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i} e^{\tilde{\theta}_Z^i} \frac{(\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i)^n}{n!}$. Since $K_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i}^{-1}$ is the restriction of $\frac{1}{t}\mathcal{O}(1)$, $\text{Tr}(e^{\frac{1}{k}X})|_{K_{\tilde{M}_\infty^i}^{-1k}}$ are the same for $i = 1, 2$. The claim is proved and it follows that $X^1 = X^2$. \square

Fix a maximal torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N \subset \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. Let X be a soliton VF of $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty) \in \mathcal{C}_0$. By [63, Lemma 4.4], we know that X can be extended to an element in $\mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. Then there is a maximal complex torus $T \subset \text{Aut}_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ such that $X \in \text{Lie}(T)$. Since T can be conjugated to lie inside $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N$ by a unitary matrix, X is mapped to a VF in $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^*)^N \cong \mathbb{C}^N$. The image of X is unique up to the action of Weyl group S_N . Thus we get a map $\text{vec} : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N/S_N$. In the following, we show that the map induces a natural topology of $\{X\}$ by $[\mathcal{C}_0]$.

Let $\{[\tilde{M}_\infty^i]\}$ be a sequence in $[\mathcal{C}_0]$ which converges to $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$. Let X^i and X'_∞ be soliton VFs associated to $(\tilde{M}_\infty^i, \hat{\omega}_\infty^i)$ and $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \hat{\omega}'_\infty)$, respectively. Then we prove

Proposition 2.2. *X^i converges to X'_∞ as $[\tilde{M}_\infty^i]$ converges to $[\tilde{M}'_\infty]$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i$ be the restriction of $\frac{1}{t}\omega_{FS}$ on \tilde{M}_∞^i . Writing $\omega_\infty^i = \tilde{\omega}_\infty^i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\infty^i$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad (\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\infty^i) = e^{\tilde{h}_\infty^i - X^i(\phi_\infty^i) - \tilde{\theta}_\infty^i - \phi_\infty^i} (\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i)^n,$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_\infty^i$ is the potential of X^i with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i$. By the partial C^0 estimate, we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i \leq C(\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\infty^i), \quad |\phi_\infty^i| \leq C$$

for a uniform constant $C > 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1.3, we know that $|X^i|_{\omega_\infty^i}$ is uniformly bounded. Since each X^i can be extended to an element in $\mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ [63, Lemma 4.4], $|X^i|_{\omega_{FS}(\mathbb{C}P^N)} \leq C$. Thus by taking a subsequence, we get a limit X' such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X^i = X'$.

As in Section 2, we can choose exhausting open sets $\Omega_\gamma \subset \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ and $\Omega_\gamma^i \subset \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty^i)$ converging to Ω_γ . By $\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i \leq C(\tilde{\omega}_\infty^i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\infty^i)$ and boundedness of right hand side in (2.1), we get $|\phi_\infty^i|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_\gamma^i)} \leq C_\gamma$ for some constant C_γ . In particular, $|X^i(\phi_\infty^i)|_{C^\alpha(\Omega_\gamma^i)}$ is bounded. By Evans-Krylov's theory, there is a constant A_γ such that $|\phi_\infty^i|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\Omega_\gamma^i)} \leq A_\gamma$. Then ϕ_∞^i converges locally to a function ϕ'_∞ on M_∞ . Denoting the restriction of $\frac{1}{l}\omega_{FS}$ by $\tilde{\omega}_\infty$ and the potential of X' with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_\infty$ by $\tilde{\theta}'_\infty$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad (\tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi'_\infty) = e^{\tilde{h}_\infty - X'(\phi'_\infty) - \tilde{\theta}'_\infty - \phi'_\infty}(\tilde{\omega}_\infty)^n.$$

Thus $\tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi'_\infty$ is a KR soliton on \tilde{M}_∞ . By the uniqueness of KR soliton, there is a $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ such that $\sigma^*\omega'_\infty = \tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi'_\infty$. Since the restriction of standard sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ are orthonormal basis of $H^0(\tilde{M}_\infty, K_{\tilde{M}_\infty}^{-l})$ with respect to ω_∞ and $\tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi'_\infty$, σ is a unitary matrix. Hence, we have $\sigma_*X' = X'_\infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{vec}(\tilde{M}_\infty^i) = \text{vec}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *The image of vec is a single point, which means that the soliton VF for all the limits is unique up to conjugation of $U(N+1; \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. For any $M_\infty \in \mathcal{C}$, the maximal torus T generated by the soliton VF X can be conjugated to a subtorus in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N$. If two such subtorus are the same, by Lemma 2.1, the two soliton VF are equal. Because there are countably many subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N$, the image of vec is countable. By Lemma 1.1, the image of vec is connected and must be a single point. \square

3. GIT-FIGURE AND UNIQUENESS OF \tilde{M}_∞

In this section, we use GIT to prove the uniqueness of algebraic structure \tilde{M}_∞ in case of reductive $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. We first prove a version of Luna's slice lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a representation vector space of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. Assume that the identity component of the stabilizer of $[v_0] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, denoted by G is reductive. Then there is a projective subspace \mathbb{P}_1 containing $[v_0]$ and a neighborhood U of $[v_0]$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ such that the following holds:*

- 1) $\forall x \in U$, $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x$ intersects with \mathbb{P}_1 ;
- 2) every component of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ is a G orbit.

Proof. Since G is reductive, we can decompose V into $V = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus V_1$ as a representation space of G , where V_1 can be identified with $T_{[v_0]}\mathbb{P}(V)$. Note that $[v_0]$ is fixed by G . Then G induces an action on $T_{[v_0]}$ which is the same as the action on V_1 . For any $x \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, the map $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ by

$$\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow g \cdot x$$

induces the tangential linear map $\iota_x : \mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T_x\mathbb{P}(V)$. At $[v_0]$, we have $\text{Ker}(\iota_{[v_0]}) = \mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G .

Consider the representation of G on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. Since G is reductive, we have

$$\mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

where \mathfrak{p} is another representation of G . By the fact $\text{Ker}(\iota_{[v_0]}) = \mathfrak{g}$, we get $p \cong \iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p})$. Then we have a decomposition $T_{[v_0]}\mathbb{P}(V) = \iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p}) \oplus W$ for some subspace $W \subset V_1$. Thus

$$V = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p}) \oplus W$$

and $W \subset V$ is an invariant subspace as the representation G . For simplicity, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus W).$$

Let $f : \mathfrak{p} \times V \rightarrow \iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p})$ be a map given by

$$f(X, x) = \text{pr}(\exp(X) \cdot x),$$

where pr means the projection onto $\iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p})$. Clearly, $f(0, v_0) = 0$ and the tangential map $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}$ at $(0, v_0)$ is id . Then by the implicit function theorem, there is a neighborhood \tilde{U}_1 in V of v_0 such

that $\forall x \in \tilde{U}_1$, we have $\text{pr}(\sigma \circ x) = 0$ for some $\sigma = \exp(X)$ near the identity. This is equivalent to that

$$\sigma \cdot x \in \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus W.$$

Denoting the image of \tilde{U}_1 in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ by U_1 , 1) in Lemma 3.1 is proved by taking U_1 as the neighborhood of $[v_0]$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

In order to prove 2), we shrink U_1 a little bit. Since $\iota_{[v_0]}(\mathfrak{p})$ is transversal to $T_{v_0}(\mathbb{P}_1) = W$, we can choose $U_2 \subset U_1$ such that $\forall x \in U_2$, $\iota_x(\mathfrak{p})$ is transversal to $T_x(\mathbb{P}_1)$:

$$(3.2) \quad T_x \mathbb{P}(V) = \iota_x(\mathfrak{p}) \oplus T_x(\mathbb{P}_1) \text{ as vector spaces.}$$

By 1), we can also choose $U \subset U_2$ such that $\forall x \in U$ there is some $\sigma \in \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\sigma \cdot x \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U_2$. Thus for any $x \in U$, we know that

$$(3.3) \quad G \cdot (\sigma \cdot x) \subset \mathbb{P}_1.$$

Let

$$\gamma_t \subset \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U, \quad t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$$

be a path with $\gamma_0 = \tau \circ x \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ for some $\tau \in \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. Then we can write $\gamma_t = \exp(X_t) \tau \cdot x$ for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ with small δ . We need to show that $X_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ and so $\gamma_t \subset G(\tau \cdot x)$, which finishes the proof of 2). To prove $X_t \in \mathfrak{g}$, we only need to consider the case of $t = 0$. In fact, it is easy to see that

$$\gamma'(0) = \iota_{\tau \cdot x}(X_0) \in T_{\tau \cdot x}(\mathbb{P}_1).$$

Note that $\tau \cdot x \in U \subset U_2$. Thus by (3.2) and the fact (3.3), we conclude that $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$. The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 3.2. In general, $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ may consists of discrete points. In this case, if $\tau \cdot x \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$, then $\tau \cdot x$ is fixed by G .

The following is elementary fact.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a reductive group and V a representation space of G . Assume that $0 \in \overline{G \cdot x}$ and $y \in \overline{G \cdot x}$ for some $x, y \in V$. Then $0 \in \overline{G \cdot y}$.

Proof. On a contrary, if $0 \notin \overline{G \cdot y}$, there is a G -invariant polynomial f such that $f(y) = 1$. Since $y \in \overline{G \cdot x}$, we get $f(x) = 1$. But by the condition $0 \in \overline{G \cdot x}$, we also have $f(x) = 0$. This is a contradiction! The lemma is proved. \square

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we prove

Proposition 3.4. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}P^N$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x} \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$. Suppose that the identity component of the stabilizer $G \subset \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ of x_0 is reductive. Then there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ of x_0 such that for any $x' \in U \cap \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x$ there are $\sigma \in \text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ and a 1-PS $\lambda(t) \subset G$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)(\sigma \cdot x') = x_0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, there are a G -invariant subspace \mathbb{P}_1 containing x_0 and a neighborhood U of x_0 in $\mathbb{C}P^N$ such that the following holds:

- 1) $\forall x \in U$, $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x$ intersects with \mathbb{P}_1 ;
- 2) every component of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ is a G -orbit.

Decompose $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1$ into irreducible component $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$. Assume that among all the irreducible components C_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$) contains x_0 . Then we can replace U by $U \setminus (\bigcup_{i=l+1}^k C_i)$, so that the closure of every component of $\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x \cap \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ contains x_0 . Namely, for any $g \cdot x \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad x_0 \in \overline{G(g \cdot x)}.$$

Thus by 1), for any $x' \in U \cap \overline{\mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x}$ there is $g_1 \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ such that $g_1 \cdot x' \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$. On the other hand, since $g_1 \cdot x' \in \overline{\mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot x}$, there is a continuous family $\sigma_t \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ ($t \in (0, 1]$) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sigma_t \cdot x = g_1 \cdot x'.$$

We can assume that $\sigma_t \cdot x \in U$. Hence, by the proof of 1) in Lemma 3.1, there is a continuous family $\tau_t \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ ($t \in (0, 1]$) such that $(\tau_t \circ \sigma_t) \cdot x \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cap U$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tau_t = id$.

By 2) above, $(\tau_t \circ \sigma_t) \cdot x$ ($t \in (0, 1]$) is contained in a G -orbit. Namely there is a $g_2 \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ such that $G(g_2 \cdot x) \cap U$ contains $(\tau_t \circ \sigma_t) \cdot x$ ($t \in (0, 1]$). As a conclusion,

$$g_1 \cdot x' \in \overline{G(g_2 \cdot x)} \cap U.$$

Hence, both of $g_1 \cdot x'$ and x_0 lie in $\overline{G(g_2 \cdot x)}$ by (3.5).

Write $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}(x_0 \oplus W)$ for a G -invariant subspace $V = x_0 \oplus W$ as in (3.1). Regard three points x_0 , $g_2 \cdot x$ and $g_1 \cdot x'$ as vectors 0 , x and y in V as Lemma 3.3, respectively. Then we have $0 \in \overline{G \cdot y}$. Thus by Luna's lemma, there is a 1-PS $\lambda(t)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)(g_1 \cdot x') = x_0.$$

□

3.1. Uniqueness of algebraic structure \tilde{M}_∞ . Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ be a Fano manifold embedded in $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Let \mathcal{C}_{KE} be a set of Q -Fano varieties which consists of all possible limits under $\mathrm{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ -group on M with *klt*-singularities and admitting a singular KE metric.

Proposition 3.5. *Given $\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KE}$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tilde{M}_\infty = g \cdot \tilde{M}'_\infty$ for some $g \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$, if $\tilde{M}'_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KE}$ satisfies*

$$d([\tilde{M}_\infty], [\tilde{M}'_\infty]) \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. We prove the proposition by contradiction. Regard $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$ as a Chow point in $W_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}(M_{(n+1) \times (N+1)}))$ as in Section 2. Let

$$[\mathcal{C}_{KE}] = \{[\tilde{M}_\infty] \mid \tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KE}\}.$$

On the contrary, there is a sequence of $[\tilde{M}_i] \in [\mathcal{C}_{KE}]$ which converges to $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$, but

$$(3.6) \quad [\tilde{M}_i] \neq g \cdot [\tilde{M}_j], \quad \forall g \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}), \quad i \neq j.$$

By Proposition 7.1 in Appendix, we know that $G = \mathrm{Aut}_0(M_\infty) \subset \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ is reductive. Thus applying Proposition 3.4 to $[M_\infty]$ and $[\tilde{M}_i]$ as $i \gg 1$, there are $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ and a 1-PS $\lambda(t) \subset G$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)(\sigma_i \cdot [\tilde{M}_i]) = [\tilde{M}_\infty].$$

However, \tilde{M}_i is K -polystable [5], it must hold that $\lambda(t)$ preserves $\sigma_i \cdot [\tilde{M}_i]$. Thus $\sigma_i \cdot [\tilde{M}_i] = [\tilde{M}_\infty]$. As a consequence, $[\tilde{M}_i] = (\sigma_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_j) \cdot [\tilde{M}_j]$. This is a contradiction with (3.6).

□

Corollary 3.6. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{KE}/\mathrm{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ is finite.*

3.2. In case of reductive $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. For a version of KR solitons in Proposition 3.5, we introduce a set $\mathcal{C}_{KS}(X)$ of Q -Fano varieties which consists of all possible limits \tilde{M}_∞ under $\mathrm{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ -group on M with *klt*-singularities and admitting a singular KR soliton with respect to a same holomorphic VF $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$. In this subsection, we assume that $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive. Namely, we set

$$\mathcal{C}_{KS}^0(X) = \{\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KS}(X) \mid \mathrm{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty) \text{ is reductive}\}.$$

Then we have

Proposition 3.7. *Given $\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KS}^0(X)$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tilde{M}_\infty = g \cdot \tilde{M}'_\infty$ for some $g \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$, if $\tilde{M}'_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KS}(X)$ satisfies*

$$d([\tilde{M}_\infty], [\tilde{M}'_\infty]) \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. The proof is also same to one of Proposition 3.5. In fact, if Proposition 3.7 is not true, then there are a $\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KS}^0(X)$ and a sequence of $[\tilde{M}_i] \in [\mathcal{C}_{KE}]$ such that $[\tilde{M}_i]$ converges to $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$ such that (3.6) holds. Since $G = \mathrm{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty) \subset \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ as a stabilizer of $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$ is reductive, applying Proposition 3.4 to $[\tilde{M}_\infty]$ and $[\tilde{M}_i]$ as $i \gg 1$, there are $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ and a 1-PS $\lambda(t) = \exp\{t\eta\} \subset G$ such that (3.7) also holds, where η is an element of Lie algebra of G . By Proposition 7.9 in Appendix, the soliton VF X on \tilde{M}_∞ lies in the center of Lie algebra G . Thus $\lambda(t)$ communicate with X . We claim

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda(t) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_i \circ M_i).$$

Thus $\lambda(t)$ preserves $\sigma_i \cdot [\tilde{M}_i]$. Thus $\sigma_i \cdot [\tilde{M}_i] = [\tilde{M}_\infty]$. As a consequence, $[\tilde{M}_i] = (\sigma_i^{-1} \cdot \sigma_j) \cdot [\tilde{M}_j]$. This is a contradiction with (3.6).

Suppose that $\lambda(t) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(M_i)$ doesn't hold. Then by the relative K-polystability of \tilde{M}_i [8, 18], the modified Ding-Tian invariant $F_X(\eta)$ (also called modified Futaki invariant) with respect to $\lambda(t)$ is positive. However, $F_X(\eta) = 0$ since \tilde{M}_∞ admits a KR soliton. Thus, we get a contradiction, and (3.8) must be true. The proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{KS}^0(X)/\mathrm{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ is finite.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ be a singular KR soliton limit of a sequence $\{(M, \omega_{t_i})\}$ of (0.1) as in Theorem 0.1. Suppose that $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive. Then for any sequence $\{(M, \omega_{t'_i})\}$, there is a subsequence of $\{(M, \omega_{t'_i})\}$ which is locally C^∞ -convergent to $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. In particular, if the limit $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ is a singular KE metric, then for any sequence $\{(M, \omega_{t'_i})\}$, there is a subsequence of $\{(M, \omega_{t'_i})\}$ which is locally C^∞ -convergent to $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. As a consequence, (M, ω_t) converges uniformly to $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

Proof. By assumption we have $\tilde{M}_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_{KS}^0(X)$ for some holomorphic VF X . By Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 0.1, we know that $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{KS}(X)$ for the same X . Thus it suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]$ since the convergence of (0.1) depends only on \tilde{M}_∞ according to the proof of Theorem 0.1 by using the uniqueness of singular KR solitons on a Q-Fano variety with klt-singularities [9]. On the contrary, if $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C})$ is not a single point, then there is another point \tilde{M}'_∞ such that $\mathrm{dist}([\tilde{M}'_\infty], \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]) \geq \delta > 0$ by Corollary 3.8. Because \mathcal{C}_0 is connected, there is a point $[\tilde{M}''_\infty]$ such that $\mathrm{dist}([\tilde{M}''_\infty], \mathrm{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\tilde{M}_\infty]) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, where ϵ is the constant determined in Proposition 3.7. However, it is impossible by Proposition 3.7 and so the theorem is proved. \square

In Section 5, we will see that the reductivity condition of $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in Theorem 3.9 can be removed as shown in [16].

4. LIMITS OF SINGULAR KE METRICS

In this section, we prove Theorem 0.2 in case that $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ is a singular KE metric. The idea is to deform KR flows (0.1) with varied initial metrics as done for the smooth convergence in [59, 61]. For any $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$, we let $\omega^s = s\omega_0 + (1-s)\omega'_0$ ($s \in [0, 1]$). We want to prove the global convergence of flow (M, ω_t^s) for any initial ω_s . First we prove the following stability result of KR flow (M, ω_t') when its initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ is very closed to ω_0 .

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the limit $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ in Theorem 0.1 is a singular KE metric. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ with*

$$(4.1) \quad \|\omega'_0 - \omega_0\|_{C^2_{\mathcal{G}}(M)} \leq \epsilon,$$

flow (M, ω'_t) is globally convergent to a Gromov-Hausdroff limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$, which is the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$.

Proof. Let $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ be a Gromov-Hausdroff limit of any sequence $\{\omega'_{t_i}\}$ of ω'_t . We claim: For any $\delta > 0$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \delta,$$

if ω'_0 satisfies (4.1). Then \tilde{M}_∞ must be biholomorphic to \tilde{M}'_∞ by Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4, where \tilde{M}'_∞ is the Q-Fano variety associated to $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$. The theorem is proved.

We prove (4.2) by contradiction. On the contrary, there are a number $\delta_0 > 0$ and a sequence of $\omega_0^{\alpha_i} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ such that

$$\|\omega_0^{\alpha_i} - \omega_0\|_{C^2_{\mathcal{G}}(M)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \alpha_i \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \geq \delta_0,$$

where $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ is a Gromov-Hausdroff limit of some sequence $\{\omega_{t_k}^{\alpha_i}\}$ in (0.1) with an initial metric $\omega_0^{\alpha_i}$. Since (M, ω_t) is globally convergent to $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ in Gromov-Hausdroff by Theorem 3.9 by (6.11), we can also choose a sequence $\{\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}\}$ which converges to $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ in Gromov-Hausdroff topology such that

$$(4.4) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)) = \frac{\delta}{2},$$

where $\delta (= \epsilon)$ is determined as in (1.14) in Proposition 1.4. Moreover, by the monotonicity of Perelman's entropy [41, 59, 63], we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, \alpha_i \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}) &\geq L(\omega_0) = \lim_{t_i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}) \\ &= \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} = \int_M c_1(M, J)^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, \alpha_i \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}) = \int_M c_1(M, J)^n.$$

Thus as in the proof of Theorem 0.1 (also see [63, Lemma 4.2]), we can prove that $\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}$ is also locally C^∞ -convergent to a singular KE metric on a Q-Fano variety with klt singularities. Hence, by Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4, we conclude that $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is isometric to $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.9. This is impossible by (4.4). Therefore, (4.2) is true and Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

By Theorem 4.1, we set

$$(4.6) \quad I = \sup_s \{[0, s] \mid (M, \omega_t^\tau) \xrightarrow{GH} (M_\infty, \omega_\infty) \text{ uniformly, } \forall \tau \in [0, s]\}.$$

Note that $0 \in I$ by Theorem 3.9 (also see Theorem 5.9 below). Then I is an open set. Thus to show $I = [0, 1]$, it remains to prove that I is also closed. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $I = [0, s_0]$. This means that ω_t^s is uniformly convergent to the Gromov-Hausdroff limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ for any $s \leq s_0$, which is the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$.

Recall the energy level $L(\cdot)$ of Perelman entropy $\lambda(\cdot)$ for flow (M, ω'_t) with the initial metric ω'_0 defined by (cf. [59]),

$$(4.7) \quad L(\omega'_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_t).$$

To show $s_0 \in I$, we need to prove that

$$L(\omega^{s_0}) = L(\omega_0).$$

By a result in [58], it suffices to get a lower bound of K-energy in the class of $2\pi c_1(M, J)$. In the following, we will verify it by showing Ding-energy bounded below as done by Li to solve certain homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation [35].

4.1. Lower bound of Ding-energy. For a smooth Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(M)$, choose a Hermitian metric h on K_M^{-1} such that $R(h) = \omega$. h is the same as a volume form dV_h and its Ricci curvature is ω . For any $\phi \in \text{PSH}(M, \omega)$, we define

$$F_\omega^0(\phi) = -\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_M \phi \omega^{n-i} \wedge \omega_\phi^i$$

and

$$\text{Ding}_\omega(\phi) = F_\omega^0(\phi) - \log \int_M e^{-\phi} dV_h.$$

The following is due to a result of Li [35].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a special degeneration of Fano manifold M such that the central fiber admitting a singular KE metric. Then we have $\text{Ding}_\omega(\phi) \geq C$.*

Proof. Fix an admissible metric Ω on \mathcal{X} and $\Omega|_{\pi^{-1}(1)} = \omega$. Choose a Hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ such that $R(h_{\mathcal{X}}) = \Omega$. For any $\phi \in \text{PSH}(M, \omega)$, we can solve

$$(\Omega + dd^c \Phi)^{n+1} = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{X},$$

with boundary value $\Phi|_{\pi^{-1}(1)} = \phi$ (cf. [33, 26]). Then Φ is bounded on Δ with S^1 -invariance. Now we define a function on Δ by

$$f(t) = \text{Ding}_{\Omega|_t}(\Phi|_t) = F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t) - \log \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 below, $f(t)$ is continuous. We will show that $f(t)$ is a subharmonic function of t . Since the second term of $f(t)$ is subharmonic by Berndtsson's result [9], we need to show that $F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t)$ is also a subharmonic function. Similar to [9], we can use the method of integral by part. In fact, by choosing a non-negative test function $\psi(t)$ with zero boundary on Δ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} \psi \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t) &= \int_{\Delta} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \Phi_t \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} \right) \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \sum_{i=0}^n \Phi \Omega^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} \right) \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \psi \sum_{i=0}^n (\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \Phi) \Omega^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} \right) \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \psi (\Omega_\Phi^{n+1} - \Omega^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \psi \Omega^{n+1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(t)$ is subharmonic. By the maximum principle, $f(0) \leq f|_{\partial \Delta} = f(1)$. We note that $f(0)$ is the Ding energy of \mathcal{X}_0 with respect to Ω_0 , which is bounded below by [6]. Hence, $f(t) \geq -C$ for some constant C . The proposition is proved. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Both functions $F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t)$ and $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}$ are continuous function of t .*

Proof. We will divide the integral into two parts for each function in the lemma: near singularities and away from singularities. Denote the integrand of $F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t)$ by

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \Phi_t \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i.$$

Since the central fiber \mathcal{X}_0 is normal, $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ is pluripolar. Thus we can choose neighborhoods W_δ of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{X}_0 \cap W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It follows that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |F_{\Omega|_t}^0(\Phi|_t) - F_{\Omega|_0}^0(\Phi|_0)| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus W_\delta} \mathcal{R} - \int_{\mathcal{X}_0 \setminus W_\delta} \mathcal{R} \right| + \left| \int_{W_\delta \cap \mathcal{X}_t} \mathcal{R} \right| + \left| \int_{W_\delta \cap \mathcal{X}_0} \mathcal{R} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in (4.9) converges to 0 by the $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of Φ in $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{X})$ and the continuity of Monge-Ampère mass. For the second term, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus W_\delta} \mathcal{R} \right| \leq |\Phi|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i &= 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i \\ &\rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_0 \cap W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i (t \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_0 \cap W_\delta} \Omega_t^{n-i} \wedge \Omega_\Phi^i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus together with (4.8), all the three terms in (4.9) can be arbitrarily small when δ, t are small enough.

For the continuity of $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}$, we will construct the suitable neighborhoods W_δ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap W_\delta} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0 \cap W_\delta} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}.$$

Then we can prove the continuity of $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}$ in the same way as above for F_Ω^0 .

Choose a resolution of singularity of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0)$ by

$$\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

such that $\mu^{-1}\mathcal{X}_0$ is a SNC divisor on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Write

$$\mu^{-1}\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}'_0 + \sum a_i E_i,$$

where \mathcal{X}'_0 is the proper inverse image of \mathcal{X}_0 . From the definition of discrepancy and adjunction formula, we have

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{C}} + \mathcal{X}'_0 = \mu^*(K_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{C} + \mathcal{X}_0) - \sum_{i=1}^l b_i E_i (0 \leq b_i < 1)$$

and

$$K_{\mathcal{X}'_0} = \mu^* K_{\mathcal{X}_0} - \sum_{i=1}^l b_i E_i.$$

For a point $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}'_0 \cap_{i=1}^k E_i (k \leq l)$, we can choose a local coordinates $(w_0, w_i (1 \leq i \leq n))$ such that

$$\mathcal{X}'_0 = \{w_0 = 0\}, \quad E_i = \{w_i = 0\}$$

and the map $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$t = w_0 \prod_{i=1}^l w_i^{b_i}.$$

Then we define a neighborhood around \tilde{x} :

$$\tilde{U}_t(\tilde{x}, \delta) = \left\{ \left(\frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^l w_i^{a_i}}, w' \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}; |w_j| \leq \delta, j = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and } \left| \frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^l w_i^{a_i}} \right| \leq \delta \right\},$$

where $w' = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Thus, under the above coordinates, for a local non-vanishing section of $K_{\mathcal{X}}$, we can write it as

$$\mu^*(v) = g(w) \prod_{i=1}^l w^{a_i - b_i} (dw_0 \wedge dw' \otimes dt)$$

and

$$(\mu|_{\mathcal{X}'_0})^*(v_0) = g(0, w') \prod_{i=1}^l w_i^{-b_i} dw',$$

where g is a non-vanishing holomorphic function and v_0 is the restriction of v on \mathcal{X}_0 . Hence, the volume $dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}_t}}$ on \mathcal{X}_t is given by

$$\mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v}) = |g(w_0(t, w'), w')|^2 \bigwedge_{i=1}^l |w_i|^{-2b_i} dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=l+1}^n dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j.$$

By the pointwise convergence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v})^{1/m} &= |g(0, w')|^2 \bigwedge_{i=1}^l |w_i|^{-2b_i} dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=l+1}^n dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j \\ &= \mu|_{\mathcal{X}'_0}^*(v_0 \wedge \bar{v}_0)^{1/m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g is bounded, we derive by dominant convergence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{U}_t(\tilde{x}, \delta)} \mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}'_0 \cap \tilde{U}(\tilde{x}, \delta)} \mu|_{\mathcal{X}'_0}^*(v_0 \wedge \bar{v}_0).$$

This is the same as (4.10). For the points not in \mathcal{X}'_0 , we can do a similar calculation. Therefore, the continuous of $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi} dV_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}$ is also proved. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 0.2 in case of singular KE metrics. In this subsection, we prove

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that there is a sequence (M, ω_{t_i}) of (0.1) such that ω_{t_i} converges to a singular KE metric $\hat{\omega}_{\infty}$ as in Theorem 0.1. Then ω_t is locally uniformly convergent to $\hat{\omega}_{\infty}$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_{\infty})$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. As a consequence, (M, ω_t) is uniformly convergent to a Gromov-Hausdroff limit $(M_{\infty}, \omega_{\infty})$, which is the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_{\infty}), \hat{\omega}_{\infty})$ and is independent of choice of initial metrics in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, we see that for any $\omega' \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$, it holds [58],

$$(4.11) \quad L(\omega') = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} = \int_M c_1(M, J)^n.$$

Applying Theorem 3.9 to the KR flow with the initial metric ω^{s_0} together with [63, Proposition 4.14], there is a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}'_{∞} with admitting a weak KE metric $\hat{\omega}'_{\infty}$ such that $\omega_t^{s_0}$ is locally C^{∞} -convergent to $\hat{\omega}'_{\infty}$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}'_{\infty})$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology and

$$(4.12) \quad (M'_{\infty}, \omega'_{\infty}) = \overline{(\text{reg}(\tilde{M}'_{\infty}), \hat{\omega}'_{\infty})},$$

where $(M'_{\infty}, \omega'_{\infty})$ is the global Gromov-Hausdroff limit of $(M, \omega_t^{s_0})$. Then by Theorem 4.1, there is a small ϵ_0 such that flow $(M, \omega_t^{s_0 - \epsilon_0})$ is also globally convergent to $(M'_{\infty}, \omega'_{\infty})$ in Gromov-Hausdroff topology. Note that $s_0 - \epsilon_0 \in I$. Thus

$$(4.13) \quad (M'_{\infty}, \omega'_{\infty}) \cong (M_{\infty}, \omega_{\infty}).$$

Moreover, as in the proof of (1.21), we can also get

$$(\text{reg}(\tilde{M}'_{\infty}), \hat{\omega}'_{\infty}) \cong (\text{reg}(\tilde{M}_{\infty}), \hat{\omega}_{\infty}).$$

Hence, we also prove that $\omega_t^{s_0}$ is locally C^{∞} -convergent to $\hat{\omega}_{\infty}$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_{\infty})$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. Theorem 4.4 is proved. \square

5. COMPUTATION OF $L(\omega'_0)$ AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we first give a brief sketch of the eigenvalues and eigenspaces estimate for representation group in [16], then apply it to compute the energy level $L(\omega')$ of flow (0.1) through estimating H -invariant introduced in [57, 61, 29]. We will represent a result of Dervan-Székelyhidi that $L(\omega')$ is independent of ω' [21]. Here the proof depends on the partial C^0 -estimate and local estimate of Kähler potentials in [63].

Let E be a complex linear space and $G = \mathrm{GL}(E)$, $K = U(E)$. Assume that V be a representation of G . Fix a K -invariant metric on V . Let $A_i (i = 0, 1, \dots)$ be a sequence of matrices in G and Λ be a Hermitian matrix. Assume that

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i A_{i-1}^{-1} = e^\Lambda.$$

Denote the eigenvalues of Λ by $\mathcal{S} = \{d_1 > d_2 > d_3 \dots > d_{k-1} > d_k\}$ and the eigenspaces corresponding to d_j by U_j . For $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, $[v]$ is the corresponding point in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Denote the limit points of $A_i \cdot [v]$ by $\mathrm{Lim}[v]$.

The following two lemmas can be found in [16].

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that (5.1) holds, then for $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, $d(v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\log |A_{i+1}v| - \log |A_i v|)$ exists and belongs to \mathcal{S} . Moreover for any $[w] \in \mathrm{Lim}[v]$, w belongs to $U_{d(v)}$.*

Define $V_j = \{v \in V | d(v) \leq d_j\}$. Then $\dim V_j = \sum_{i=j}^k \dim U_i$. Moreover we have

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $V = S^m(E)$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then there exists $C \in G$, which is independent of m such that $C \cdot V_j = \oplus_{i=j}^k U_i$. There also exists $C_i \in G$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_i = \mathrm{Id}$ such that $\tilde{A}_i = C_i^{-1} A_i C \in G_\Lambda = \{g \in G | g \cdot e^{s\Lambda} = e^{s\Lambda} \cdot g\}$.*

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that $V = S^m(E)$ for some $m \geq 1$. Define $\bar{v} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\Lambda} C \cdot v$. Then $\mathrm{Lim}[v] \subset \overline{G_\Lambda \cdot [\bar{v}]}$.*

Proof. Assuming that $d(v) = d_j$, we have $v \in V_j$. So $C \cdot v \in \oplus_{i=1}^j U_i$, and the U_j component $\pi_j(C \cdot v)$ of $C \cdot v$ is not zero. It follows that $[\bar{v}] = [\pi_j(C \cdot v)]$. For $[w] \in \mathrm{Lim}[v]$, by Lemma 5.1, we know that $w \in U_j$. Assume that $[w] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [A_{\alpha_i} v]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [\bar{v}] &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [\pi_j(C \cdot v)] = \pi_j(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [(C \cdot v)]) \\ &= \pi_j(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{\alpha_i} [v]) = \pi_j[w] = [w]. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. \square

For the metric ω_t of Kähler-Ricci flow, we have an induced Hermitian metric h_t on $E = H^0(M, K_M^{-1})$ of dimension $N + 1$. Consider the solutions of the following ODE system:

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} s^\alpha(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N H'_t(s^\alpha, s^\gamma) s^\gamma.$$

Then $\{s_\alpha(t)\}$ is an ortho-normal basis of E with metric h_t . Recall the embeddings Φ_t of M given by $\{s_\alpha(t)\}$. Define $A_t \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$ by $\Phi_t = A_t \cdot \Phi_0$. Assume that for a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi_{t_i}(M)$ converges to \tilde{M}_∞ . By Lemma 4.8 in [63], $(\Phi_{t_i}^{-1})^* \omega_{t_i}$ converges smoothly to a KR soliton metric $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ in $\mathrm{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, where \tilde{M}_∞ is a Q-Fano variety with klt singularities. Moreover, we have

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a subsequence t'_i such that $(\Phi_{t'_i}^{-1})^* \omega_{t'_i}$ converges locally to a KR soliton $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ and $A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}$ converges to e^{sv} uniformly, where v is the soliton VF associated to $\hat{\omega}_\infty$.*

Proof. It is known that $A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}$ is uniformly C^1 -continuous at s by the partial C^0 -estimate in [63]. Then we can choose a subsequence t'_i such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1} = B_s$ uniformly for $s \in [-1, 1]$. Thus we have

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{t'_i+s}(M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}(\Phi_{t'_i} M) = B_s \cdot \tilde{M}_\infty,$$

where \tilde{M}_∞ is a Q-Fano variety limit of $\Phi_{t'_i}(M)$ as in Proposition 1.4.

By Proposition 3.2 in [63], $(\Phi_{t'_i}^{-1})^* \omega_{t'_i+s}(-1 \leq s \leq 2)$ converges locally uniformly to $\hat{\omega}_\infty^s = \tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_\infty^s$ such that ψ_∞^s is the solution of

$$(5.4) \quad \psi_\infty^s = \log \frac{(\tilde{\omega}_\infty + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_\infty^s)^n}{\tilde{\omega}_\infty^n} - \tilde{h}_\infty + \psi_\infty^s, \text{ in } \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty),$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_\infty = \frac{1}{T} \omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{M}_\infty}$. (5.4) implies that $\hat{\omega}_\infty^s$ is a solution of KR flow,

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \hat{\omega}_\infty^s = -\text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}_\infty^s) + \hat{\omega}_\infty^s, \quad s \in [-1, 1],$$

where $\hat{\omega}_\infty^0 = \hat{\omega}_\infty$. By Lemma 4.8 in [63], $\hat{\omega}_\infty^s$ are all Kähler-Ricci solitons in $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Denote the associated soliton VF of $\hat{\omega}_\infty^s$ by $\tilde{X}_s(\tilde{X}_0 = v)$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \hat{\omega}_\infty^s = -L_{\tilde{X}_s} \hat{\omega}_\infty^s.$$

Considering the one parameter subgroup F_s generated by time dependent vector field $-X_s$, we have $\hat{\omega}_\infty^s = F_s^* \hat{\omega}_\infty$. Thus by (5.5), we get

$$L_{\tilde{X}_s} F_s^* \hat{\omega}_\infty = F_s^* L_v \hat{\omega}_\infty.$$

It follows that $(F_s)_* \tilde{X}_s - v$ is Killing. Hence, $(F_s)_* \tilde{X}_s - \tilde{X} = 0$ since it's holomorphic. Taking derivative we obtain $\tilde{X}_s = v$, and so

$$(5.6) \quad \hat{\omega}_\infty^s = F_s^* \hat{\omega}_\infty = (e^{-sv})^* \hat{\omega}_\infty.$$

By (5.6) and (5.3), it is easy to see that

$$(e^{-s\tilde{X}})^* \hat{\omega}_\infty = (B_s^{-1})^* \hat{\omega}_\infty.$$

It follows that $B_s = g_s e^{s\tilde{X}}$ for unitary group g_s . Because $B'_s B_s^{-1}$ is unitary, g_s is dependent of s . Hence $g_s = g_0 = Id$ and $B_s = e^{s\tilde{X}}$. The lemma is proved. \square

Now we apply Lemma 5.4 to any sequence of ω_{t_i} in a fixed KR flow (0.1). Note that the soliton VF is $\tilde{X} = g v g^{-1}$ for some $g \in U(N' + 1, \mathbb{C})$ by Corollary 2.3. Then for any sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $t'_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}$ converges to e^{sv} uniformly. Thus we can choose $g_i \in U(N' + 1, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\bar{A}_i = g_i^{-1} A_i$ satisfies (5.1) with $\Lambda = v$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Proposition 5.3, we get

$$(5.7) \quad [\mathcal{C}_0] \subseteq \overline{G_v \cdot [\overline{M}_\infty]},$$

where $G_v = \{g \in \text{SL}(N' + 1, \mathbb{C}) \mid g \cdot e^{sv} = e^{sv} \cdot g\}$, and \overline{M}_∞ is the limit of $e^{tv} C \cdot \Phi_0(M)(t \rightarrow \infty)$ in $\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbb{P}(E), p}$.

Denote the Hilbert polynomial of $\Phi_0(M)$ by p and the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ with Hilbert polynomial p by $\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbb{P}(E), p}$. We also have

Lemma 5.5. *\overline{M}_∞ is normal. Moreover the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of Λ of $H^0(\overline{M}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(j))$ and $H^0(\tilde{M}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(j))$ are the same for any $j \geq 1$, where $O(1) = K_{\tilde{M}_\infty}^{-1}$.*

Proof. By (5.7), there exists $g_k \in G_v$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k \cdot \overline{M}_\infty = \tilde{M}_\infty$. Since the normality is an open condition and \tilde{M}_∞ is normal, \overline{M}_∞ is normal. Given $j \geq 1$, the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of $H^0(g_k \cdot \overline{M}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(j))$ is the same as that of $H^0(\overline{M}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(j))$ for any k . Taking limit, the lemma is proved. \square

5.1. Computation of $L(\omega'_0)$. In this subsection, we apply Lemma 5.5 to compute the energy-level $L(\omega'_0)$ of KR flow. Recall that

$$L(\omega'_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega'_t).$$

Then we have

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ be a KR soliton limit of (0.1) as in Theorem 0.1. Then*

$$(5.8) \quad \lambda(\hat{\omega}_\infty) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

As a consequence, for any $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M)$ it holds

$$(5.9) \quad L(\omega') = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Proof. The proof is due to [21] by using an argument in [29]. Denote a special degeneration from M to \overline{M}_∞ by \mathcal{X} which induced by 1-ps e^{sv} in Lemma 5.5. We define an invariant by (cf. [57], [29]),

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = \int_{\overline{M}_\infty} \bar{\theta}_v e^{\bar{h}_\infty} \bar{\omega}_\infty^n.$$

As same as the generalized Fuaki-invariant, one can show that $H(\mathcal{X})$ is independent of choice of admissible metrics $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ on \overline{M}_∞ (cf. [51, 6]). Here the Ricci potential \bar{h}_∞ of $\bar{\omega}_\infty$ and potential $\bar{\theta}_v$ are normalized by

$$\int_{\overline{M}_\infty} e^{\bar{h}_\infty} \bar{\omega}_\infty^n = \int_{\overline{M}_\infty} e^{\bar{\theta}_v} \bar{\omega}_\infty^n = V.$$

By a result of He [29] (also see [21]),

$$\sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} \leq (2\pi)^{-n} [nV - H(\mathcal{X})].$$

Moreover, as shown in [21], $H(\mathcal{X})$ can be computed using the weights of v on $H^0(\overline{M}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(j)) (j \geq 1)$. Thus by Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\int_{\overline{M}_\infty} \bar{\theta}_v e^{\bar{h}_\infty} \bar{\omega}_\infty^n = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \tilde{\theta}_v e^{\tilde{h}_\infty} \tilde{\omega}_\infty^n.$$

Since \tilde{M}_∞ admits a singular KR soliton $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ with respect to v ,

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \tilde{\theta}_v e^{\tilde{h}_\infty} \tilde{\omega}_\infty^n = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \theta_v e^{\theta_v} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n = N_v,$$

where

$$N_X = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \tilde{\theta}_X e^{\tilde{\theta}_X} \tilde{\omega}_\infty^n$$

is a holomorphic invariant defined for any holomorphic vector field $X \in \text{Aut}(M_\infty)$ [57]. Thus we get

$$\sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} \leq (2\pi)^{-n} [nV - N_v].$$

On the other hand, it was proved in [63, Lemma 4.14] that

$$L(\omega_0) = \lambda(\hat{\omega}_\infty) = (2\pi)^{-n} [nV - N_v].$$

Note that

$$L(\omega_0) \leq \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Hence (5.8) must be true. Since (5.8) is independent of $\omega_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M)$, we also get (5.9). \square

It has been proved by He that "=" in (5.8) attains at a KR soliton if M admits such a metric [29]. Proposition 5.6 shows that "=" can attain at a singular KR soliton as a limit of KR flow (0.1) even without any KR soliton on the original complex manifold M .

Now we can generalize the convergence result [63, Lemma 4.8] to a sequence of metrics from varied KR flows as follows.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\omega^{s_i} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ be a sequence of initial metrics in (0.1) converging to ω'_0 in sense of Kähler potentials as $s_i \rightarrow s_0$. Then for any $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Phi_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}}(M)$ converges to a normal variety \tilde{M}'_∞ , and $((\Phi_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}})^{-1})^*(\omega_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}})$ locally converges to a singular KR soliton $\hat{\omega}'_\infty$, where $\Phi_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}}$ is the Kodaira embedding associated to $\omega_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}}$.*

Proof. Since ω^{s_i} satisfies (1.13), there is a subsequence $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Phi_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}}(M)$ converges to a normal variety \tilde{M}'_∞ . Moreover by Proposition 3.2 in [63], there is a further subsequence, which is still denoted by k_i , such that $(\Phi_{t_{k_i}}^{-1})^*(\omega_{t_{k_i}}^{s_{k_i}})$ locally converges to a smooth Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}'_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}'_\infty)$. By the monotonicity of Perelman's entropy [41, 59, 63], we have

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_t^{s_{k_i}}) \geq L(\omega') = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_{t_{k_i}-1}^{s_{k_i}}).$$

By Proposition 5.6, we also have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_{t_{k_i}-1}^{s_{k_i}}) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda(\omega_{t_{k_i}+1}^{s_{k_i}}) - \lambda(\omega_{t_{k_i}-1}^{s_{k_i}})) = 0.$$

Now we can use the argument in the proof of [63, Lemma 4.8] to prove that $\hat{\omega}'_\infty$ is a KR soliton in $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}'_\infty)$. \square

As an application of Proposition 5.6, the following gives an analytic character for a K -semistable Fano manifold in terms of Perelman's entropy.

Corollary 5.8. *A Fano manifold M is K -semistable if and only if*

$$(5.11) \quad \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} = (2\pi)^{-n} V.$$

Proof of Corollary 5.8. By [63, Proposition 4.14] together with Proposition 5.6, we see that $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ in Theorem 0.1 is a singular KE metric. By Li's result, Proposition 4.2, it follows that Ding-energy or K-energy is bounded below. Thus M is K -semistable [51, 5]. The inverse part comes from Li-Sun's result [38]. \square

5.2. Uniform convergence of flow (0.1). Let G_r be the stabilizer of \tilde{M}_∞ restricted on G_v . Then it is reductive by Proposition 7.1 in Appendix. Thus by the relative K -polystability of $\tilde{M}'_\infty \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and (5.7), as in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we get

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \{G_v \cdot \bar{M}_\infty\}.$$

It follows that

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \{\text{SL}(N+1, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \bar{M}_\infty\},$$

which was proved in [16].

By the uniqueness of \tilde{M}'_∞ in (5.12), we prove the following uniform convergence of flow (0.1).

Theorem 5.9. *Let $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ be a singular KR soliton limit of a sequence (M, ω_{t_i}) of (0.1) as in Theorem 0.1. Then ω_t is locally uniformly convergent to $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology. Moreover, (M, ω_t) is uniformly convergent to a Gromov-Hausdorff limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ in HT-conjecture, which is the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$.*

Proof. By (5.12), we see that submanifolds $\tilde{M}_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$ converge locally uniformly to a \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ with klt singularities which admits a weak KR soliton $\hat{\omega}_\infty$. As in Section 2, we can choose an exhausting open sets $\Omega_\gamma \subset \tilde{M}_\infty$. Then there are diffeomorphisms $\Psi_\gamma^t : \Omega_\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{M}_t$ such that the curvature of $\omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^t}$ is C^k -bounded uniformly independently of t , where $\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^t = \Psi_\gamma^t(\Omega_\gamma)$. Write as

$$(5.13) \quad (\Phi_t^{-1})^* \omega_{t+s} = \tilde{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_t^s, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_t, \forall s \in [-1, 1],$$

$\tilde{\omega}_t = \frac{1}{t} \omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{M}_t}$. Thus in the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [63], Kähler potential ψ_t^s in Lemma 1.2 will satisfy (1.4)-(1.6) on $\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^t$. Those estimates implies that ω_t is locally uniformly convergent to $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ in the Cheeger-Gromov topology.

The second part in the theorem follows from the fact

$$(M_\infty, \omega_\infty) = \overline{(\text{Reg}(M_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)}$$

as proved in Theorem 0.1. By the above local convergence, this implies that any Hausdroff-Gromov limit of sequence of (M, ω_t) is same, thus the convergence is uniform. \square

5.3. Uniqueness of KR soliton VFs-extension. In this subsection, we use Lemma 5.7 together with Proposition 1.4 to prove an analogy of Corollary 2.3 for the uniqueness of KR soliton VFs associated to limits of (0.1) with varied initial metrics.

Fix a sequence $s_i \rightarrow 0$. Then by Lemma 5.7, we see that for any sequence of $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a subsequence of t'_i such that $(\Phi_{t'_i}^{-1})^* \omega_{t'_i}^{s_i}$ locally converges to a singular KR soliton $\hat{\omega}'_\infty$ on a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}'_∞ with klt singularities, where $\Phi_{t'_i}$ is the Kodaira embedding associated to the metric $\omega_{t'_i}^{s_i}$. As in Section 1, we set a class of all possible limits of Q-Fano varieties \tilde{M}'_∞ by \mathcal{C}_A , which consists of Q-Fano varieties with admitting singular KR solitons as local limits of sequences of metrics from KR flows with initial metrics satisfying (1.13).

Proposition 5.10. *There is a small ϵ such that for any $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty, X), (\tilde{M}'_\infty, \hat{\omega}'_\infty, X') \in \mathcal{C}_A$, it holds*

$$(5.14) \quad \tilde{X}' = g \cdot \tilde{X} \cdot g^{-1}$$

for some $g \in \text{U}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C})$, if

$$(5.15) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \epsilon,$$

where $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty) = \overline{(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)}$, $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty) = \overline{(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \hat{\omega}'_\infty)}$ are compactified Gromov-Hausdroff spaces as in Proposition 1.4.

Proof. First we note that according to the proof of Proposition 2.2 the set of soliton VFs is compact in $\text{sl}(N' + 1, \mathbb{C})$. Since there are countably many subtori of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N$, by Lemma 2.1, we need to prove that for any sequence of soliton VFs X^i associated to singular KR solitons $(\tilde{M}_\infty^i, \hat{\omega}_\infty^i)$ in \mathcal{C}_A which converge to $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ in Gromov-Hausdroff topology, it holds

$$(5.16) \quad X^i \rightarrow g \cdot \tilde{X} \cdot g^{-1}, \text{ for some } g \in \text{SU}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C}).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 1.4 together with Lemma 5.7, there is a sequence of $\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}$ and a Q-Fano variety \bar{M}_∞ such that $\omega_{i_k}^{\alpha_i}$ is C^∞ -convergent to a singular KR soliton $(\bar{\omega}_\infty, \bar{X})$ on $\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$. Moreover,

$$(5.17) \quad \overline{(\bar{\omega}_\infty, \text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty))} \cong (M_\infty, \omega_\infty).$$

Since

$$\int_{\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)} \bar{\omega}_\infty^n = \int_{\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n = V,$$

it is easy to see that there are an open sets $\bar{U} \subset \text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$ and $U \subset \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ such that

$$(\bar{\omega}_\infty, \bar{U}) \cong (\hat{\omega}_\infty, U).$$

The above also implies that both of complex structures on \bar{U} and U are same by the convergence of metric sequences. Thus one can extend the metric $\bar{\omega}_\infty|_{\bar{U}}$ to a KR soliton $(\bar{\omega}'_\infty, \bar{X}')$ on $\text{Reg}(\bar{M}_\infty)$, where $\bar{X}' \in \eta_\infty$ as an element of Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. By the uniqueness, $\bar{\omega}'_\infty$ is same as $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ in sense of solutions of weak complex Monge-Ampère equation associated to singular KR solitons (cf. [63]). It follows that

$$\bar{X} = \bar{X}' = \sigma_*(\tilde{X})$$

for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Thus there is some $g \in \text{SL}(N' + 1; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\bar{X} = g \cdot \tilde{X} \cdot g^{-1}.$$

This proves (5.16). □

6. PROOFS OF THEOREM 0.2 AND THEOREM 0.4

To prove Theorem 0.2, we need a stability result for KR flow (0.1) with a limit of singular KR soliton $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ analogous to Theorem 4.1. In fact, by assuming that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive, we have

Lemma 6.1. *Let $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ be the singular KR soliton as the limit of flow (0.1). Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ with (4.1) satisfied, flow (M, ω'_t) is uniformly convergent to a Gromov-Hausdorff limit $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$, which is the completion of $(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty), \hat{\omega}_\infty)$.*

Proof. Under the condition that $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive, we can modify the proof of Theorem 4.1 to prove Lemma 6.1. In fact, by Proposition 1.4, Proposition 3.7 can be applied to a pair of Q -Fano varieties with one reductive. We note that as in the proof of Lemma 5.7 together with Proposition 5.6 the sequence $\{\omega_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}\}$ ($t_i \rightarrow \infty, \alpha_i \rightarrow 0$) obtained by the contradiction argument as in (4.4) will converge to another singular KR soliton $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ with

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \text{dist}_{GH}((\tilde{M}_\infty, \omega_{KR}), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \epsilon < 1.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5.10, the soliton VFs X^i associated to singular KR solitons $(\tilde{M}_\infty^i, \hat{\omega}_\infty^i)$ is conjugate to v . Thus by Proposition 3.7 M'_∞ must conjugate with \tilde{M}_∞ , and so $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ must be isometric to $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ by the uniqueness of KR solitons. But the latter is contradict with (6.1). The proof is finished. □

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We use the idea in Section 4 to prove Theorem 0.2. As in the proof of Theorem 4.4, for any $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$, we let $\omega^s = s\omega_0 + (1-s)\omega'_0$ ($s \in [0, 1]$). We want to prove the uniform convergence of flow (M, ω_t^s) for any initial ω^s in Cheeger-Gromov topology. Let I be a set as in (4.6). Then I is non-empty and open by Lemma 6.1. We remains to prove that I is also closeness. Without of loss of generality, we may assume that $I = [0, s_0)$ and we are going to show that $s_0 \in I$.

Let $L(\omega^{s_0})$ be the energy level with respect to ω^{s_0} as in (4.7). Then by Proposition 5.6, we have

$$(6.2) \quad L(\omega^{s_0}) = L(\omega_0) = \lambda(\omega_\infty).$$

We claim that for any $\delta > 0$ there are an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and t_0 such that

$$(6.3) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M, \omega_t^s)) \leq \delta, \quad \forall s \in [s_0 - \epsilon_0, s_0], t \geq t_0.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$\text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \delta,$$

where $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ is the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of $(M, \omega_t^{s_0})$ by Theorem 3.9. Thus the theorem will follow from Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4 as in the proof of Theorem 5.9 since $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive.

We prove (6.3) by contradiction as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. On the contrary, for a small number $\delta_0 (= \epsilon)$ as chosen in (5.15) in Proposition 1.4, there is a sequence of $\omega_{t_i}^{s_i}$ ($t_i \rightarrow \infty, s_i \rightarrow s_0$) such that

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\delta_0}{2} \leq \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), \omega_{t_i}^{s_i}) \leq \delta_0.$$

Note that

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{t_i \rightarrow \infty, s_i \rightarrow s_0} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}^{s_i}) &\geq L(\omega^{s_0}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_t) \\ &= \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t_i \rightarrow \infty, s_i \rightarrow s_0} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}^{s_i}) = L(\omega^{s_0}).$$

Thus as in the proof of Lemma 5.7, $\{\omega_{t_i}^{s_i}\}$ is locally C^∞ -convergent to a KR soliton on the regular part of a Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}'_∞ with klt singularities. Moreover, its Gromov-Hausdorff limit $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ satisfies

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{\delta_0}{2} \leq \text{dist}_{GH}((M_\infty, \omega_\infty), (\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)) \leq \delta_0.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4, we conclude that $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ is isometric to (M_∞, ω_{KR}) as in the proof of Theorem 5.9. This is impossible by (6.6). Therefore, (6.3) is true and Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

6.1. Globally smooth convergence. In this subsection, we further assume that the Q-Fano variety limit \tilde{M}_∞ in Theorem 0.1 is smooth. Then there are a covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ with local holomorphic coordinates and diffeomorphisms $\Psi^i : \tilde{M}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i$ such that for each \tilde{M}_i there is a covering $\{U_\alpha^i \subset \Psi^i(U_\alpha)\}$ with local holomorphic coordinates and uniform norms of transformation functions. Thus if we write as

$$(6.7) \quad (\Phi_i^{-1})^* \omega_{t_i+s} = \tilde{\omega}_i + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_i^s, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_i, \forall s \in [-1, 1],$$

Kähler potential ψ_i^s will satisfy (1.4)-(1.6) in Lemma 1.2 on each U_α^i . Those estimates imply that ω_{t_i} is C^∞ -convergent to a smooth KR soliton $(\hat{\omega}_\infty, \tilde{M}_\infty)$ in Cheeger-Gromov topology, and so the Gromov-Hausdorff limit ω_{KS} is asme as $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ and M_∞ is diffeomorphic to \tilde{M}_∞ . Actually, we have

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ be a Gromov-Hausdorff limit of sequence $\{\omega_{t_i}\}$ in the KR flow (0.1). Then $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is a smooth KR soliton if and only if the Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ is smooth and it is diffeomorphic to M_∞ .*

Proof. We need to prove the necessary part. In fact, by (1.23), we have

$$(6.8) \quad (\omega_\infty + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \kappa)^n = e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_\infty,$$

where h_∞ is a Ricci potential of ω_∞ . We claim κ can be extended to a smooth solution of (6.8) on M_∞ . This implies that the modified Kähler metrics η_t of ω_t in (1.9) converges to a smooth limit of Gromov-Hausdorff [63]. In particular, each tangent cone at $p \in (M_\infty, \omega_\infty + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \kappa)$ is flat. Thus by Proposition 2.4 in [38] (also see (4.31) in [63]), \tilde{M}_∞ is smooth. Hence, the convergence of ω_{t_i} is C^∞ in Cheeger-Gromov topology and so M_∞ is diffeomorphic to \tilde{M}_∞ . The lemma is proved.

Since κ is uniformly bounded on \tilde{M}_∞ , it is a globally weak solution of (6.8) on M_∞ . On the other hand, by Yau's theorem to Calabi's conjecture, there is a smooth solution κ' of (6.8) on M_∞ . Thus by the uniqueness of weak solutions,

$$\kappa = \kappa' + c,$$

for some constant c . Hence, κ must be a smooth solution on M_∞ . \square

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose that there is a sequence (M, ω_{t_i}) of (0.1) whose Gromov-Hausdorff limit is a smooth KR soliton (M_∞, ω_{KR}) with $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ reductive. Then the flow is uniformly C^∞ -convergent to (M_∞, ω_{KR}) in Cheeger-Gromov topology and the convergence is independent of initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is a smooth KR soliton and the Q-Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ is smooth. Then, by Theorem 0.2, for Ricci flow ω'_t with any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M)$, there are diffeomorphisms $\Psi^t : \tilde{M}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{M}'_t = \Phi_t(M)$ such that for each \tilde{M}'_t there is a covering $\{U_\alpha^t \subset \Psi^t(U_\alpha)\}$ with local holomorphic coordinates and uniform norms of transformation functions. Write as

$$(\Phi_t^{-1})^* \omega'_{t+s} = \tilde{\omega}'_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_t^{s'}, \text{ in } \tilde{M}'_t, \forall s \in [-1, 1],$$

where $\tilde{\omega}'_t = \frac{1}{t} \omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{M}'_t}$. Thus the estimates for $\psi_t^{s'}$ in Lemma 1.2 on each U_α^t imply that ω'_t is C^∞ -convergent to $(\tilde{\omega}_\infty, \tilde{M}_\infty)$ in Cheeger-Gromov topology. \square

6.2. Proof of Theorem 0.4. According to the proof of Corollary 6.3, the induced metric $(\Phi_t^{-1} \cdot \Psi^t)^* \omega_t$ of (0.1) is C^∞ -convergent to a KR soliton (M_∞, ω_{KR}) with the complex structure J_∞ defined by

$$J_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_t^{-1} \cdot \Psi^t)^* J.$$

Thus J_∞ is a canonical smooth deformation of J . Conversely, by the first relation in (0.2) implies that the curvature of ω_i is uniformly bounded. Then by the partial C^0 -estimate for the sequence of ω_i (cf. [23, 54, 53]), there are images $\tilde{M}_i \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ of Kodaira embeddings as in Section 1, which converges to a smooth submanifold \tilde{M}_∞ such that (1.2) holds. Thus

$$\tilde{M}_\infty \in \overline{\text{SL}(N+1; \mathbb{C}) \cdot \tilde{M}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 0.4. Let ω_i be a sequence of Kähler metrics in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$ as in (0.3) such that

$$\lim_i \text{dist}_{CH}((M, \omega_i), (M', \omega')) = 0.$$

By Corollary 6.3, it suffices to prove: for any $\delta > 0$ there are i_0 and t_0 such that

$$(6.9) \quad \text{dist}_{GH}((M', \omega_{KR}), (M, \omega_t^i)) \leq \delta, \quad \forall i \geq i_0, t \geq t_0,$$

where ω_t^i is the solution of (0.1) with the initial metric ω_i . As a consequence, we get

$$\text{dist}_{GH}((M', \omega_{KR}), (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)) \leq \delta,$$

where $(M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty)$ is the global Gromov-Hausdroff limit of (M, ω_t^i) by Theorem 0.1. Thus by Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4 we get

$$(M', \omega_{KR}) \cong (M'_\infty, \omega'_\infty),$$

since $\text{Aut}(M')$ is reductive. The theorem is proved.

We prove (6.9) by contradiction as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. First we note that the KR flow (0.1) with the initial metric ω' on (M', J') is uniformly C^∞ -convergent to (M', J', ω_{KR}) by Corollary 6.3. Then, on the contrary, for a small number $\delta_0 (= \epsilon)$ as chosen in (5.15) in Proposition 1.4, we can find a sequence of $\omega_{t_i}^i$ ($t_i \rightarrow \infty, i \rightarrow \infty$) such that

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{\delta_0}{2} \leq \text{dist}_{GH}((M', \omega_{KR}), \omega_{t_i}^i) \leq \delta_0.$$

On the other hand, by the monotonicity of Perelman's entropy together with the condition (0.3), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\omega_{t_i}^i) = L(\omega').$$

Thus as in the proof of Lemma 5.7, $\{\omega_{t_i}^i\}$ is locally C^∞ -convergent to a Kähler-Ricci soliton on the regular part of a Q-Fano variety with klt singularities. Moreover, its Gromov-Hausdroff limit $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ satisfies

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\delta_0}{2} \leq \text{dist}_{GH}((M', \omega_{KR}), (\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)) \leq \delta_0.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.7 together with Proposition 1.4, we conclude that $(\bar{M}_\infty, \bar{\omega}_\infty)$ is isometric to (M', ω_{KR}) as in the proof of Theorem 5.9. This is impossible by (6.11). Therefore, (6.3) is proved. \square

Remark 6.4. Since the singular KR soliton $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \tilde{\omega}'_\infty)$ of KR flow on (M', J') is unique by Theorem 0.2, we can generalize Theorem 0.4 as follows: Let (M', J') be a canonical smooth deformation of a Fano manifold (M, J) . Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}'_\infty)$ is reductive and

$$\lambda(\tilde{\omega}_\infty) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Then for any initial metric $\omega'_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)$ KR flow (M, J, ω'_t) uniformly converges to $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \tilde{\omega}'_\infty)$ in Gromov-Hausdroff topology.

Remark 6.5. In [40], Pasquier showed that the Grassman manifold $Gr_q(2, 7)$ can be deformed to a horo-spherical manifold (M', J') . By the stability of Kähler metrics [32], (M', J') is a jump of $Gr_q(2, 7)$. On the other hand, by a recent result of Delcroix, any horo-spherical Fano manifold admits a KR soliton [19]. Since (M_∞, J_∞) has non-vanishing Futaki-invariant, (M_∞, J_∞) admits a (non-KE) KR soliton. Clearly $(Gr_q(2, 7), J)$ admits a KE metric as a symmetric space and any KR flow on $Gr_q(2, 7)$ converges uniformly to the KE metric in Cheeger-Gromov topology [58] (in fact in sense of Kähler potentials modulo $\text{Aut}(Gr_q(2, 7))$). Thus KR flow could not be stable near the KR soliton on (M', J') when the complex structure varies from J to J' . In particular, Theorem 0.4 is not true for (M', J') . The reason is that (0.3) does not hold,

$$\lambda(\omega_{KR}) < \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\} = \lambda(\omega_{KE}).$$

Corollary 0.5 is a direct consequence of Theorem 0.4, since KR flow $(M, \omega_t^{i,1})$ with initial metrics ω_i^1 (or ω_i^2) converges uniformly to $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \omega_{KR}^1)$ (or $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \omega_{KR}^2)$) as $i \gg 1$. By Theorem 0.2, $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \omega_{KR}^1)$ and $(\tilde{M}'_\infty, \omega_{KR}^2)$ must be same.

6.3. Further remarks on Theorem 0.4 and Corollary 0.5. To generalize (M', J') to a singular limit in Definition 0.3, we introduce

Definition 6.6. Let (M, J) be a Fano manifold. A Q -Fano variety (M', J') is called a canonical deformation of (M, J) with bounded Ricci curvature if there is a sequence of Kähler metrics ω_i in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$ such that

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |\text{Ric}(\omega_i)| &\leq \Lambda, \quad \text{Vol}(B_1(p_i), \omega_i) \geq c_0, \\ (M, \omega_i) &\xrightarrow{GH} (M', d'). \end{aligned}$$

By Cheeger-Colding-Tian's theorem [13], (M', d') can be decomposed into the regular part M'_1 , a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -Riemannian manifold and the singular part M'_2 with the Hausdroff measure of at least codimension 4. Moreover, by the partial C^0 -estimate [23, 53, 31], the Q -Fano structure (M', J') is given as a limit of smooth submanifolds \tilde{M}_i as in Section 1. In addition that (M', J') admits a singular KR soliton (M', ω_{KR}) , (M', J') has klt singularities [6, 63]. Thus by the technique of MA equation, as in Lemma 1.2, we get

$$(6.13) \quad |\psi_i| \leq A, \text{ in } \tilde{M}_i,$$

$$(6.14) \quad C_\gamma^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_i \leq (\Phi_i^{-1})^* \omega_i \leq C_\gamma \tilde{\omega}_i, \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i,$$

$$(6.15) \quad \|\psi_i\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i)} \leq A,$$

where ψ_i is the Kähler potential of ω_i associated to the background

$$\tilde{\omega}_i = \frac{1}{l} \omega_{FS}|_{\tilde{M}_i}.$$

As a consequence, we get an open $C^{1,\alpha}$ Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}_\infty$ on $\text{Reg}(M')$ which satisfies

$$(6.16) \quad \overline{(\text{Reg}(M'), \hat{\omega}_\infty)} = (M', d').$$

It is interesting in constructing an approximation of singular KR soliton ω_{KR} by $\hat{\omega}_i$ which satisfies (6.12) with the compactification of ω_{KR} as its Gromov-Hausdroff limit. Then the Kähler potential of $\hat{\omega}_i$ will satisfy (6.13), (6.14) and (6.15). As a consequence, we can generalize Theorem 0.4 to the case of Q -Fano variety (M', J') with singular KR solitons which is a canonical deformation

of (M, J) with bounded Ricci curvature. Actually, the above argument implies the following uniqueness result as a generalization of Corollary 0.5 (also see Remark 6.4).

Theorem 6.7. *Let $\{\omega_i^1\}$ and $\{\omega_i^2\}$ be two sequences of Kähler metrics in $2\pi c_1(M, J)$ which satisfy (6.12) with Gromov-Hausdorff limits compactified by two singular KR solitons $(M_\infty^1, J^1, \omega_{KR}^1)$ and $(M_\infty^2, J^2, \omega_{KR}^2)$ as in (6.16), respectively. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_0(M_\infty^1)$ and $\text{Aut}_0(M_\infty^2)$ are both reductive, and*

$$(6.17) \quad \lambda(\omega_{KR}^1) = \lambda(\omega_{KR}^2) = \sup\{\lambda(g') \mid \omega_{g'} \in 2\pi c_1(M, J)\}.$$

Then (M_∞^1, J^1) is biholomorphic to (M_∞^2, J^2) . Moreover,

$$(\text{Reg}(M_\infty^1), \omega_{KR}^1) \cong (\text{Reg}(M_\infty^2), \omega_{KR}^2),$$

and consequently,

$$\overline{(\text{Reg}(M_\infty^1), \omega_{KR}^1)} \cong \overline{(\text{Reg}(M_\infty^2), \omega_{KR}^2)}.$$

7. APPENDIX

7.1. Reductivity of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that the limit $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$ in Theorem 0.1 is a singular KE metric. Then $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive.*

We use the method in [53] to prove Proposition 7.1. First we estimate the first non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda_1(t_i)$ of Laplace operator associated to ω_{t_i} . We have

Lemma 7.2.

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(t_i) \geq 1.$$

Proof. We claim:

$$(7.1) \quad \int_M |\text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i}) - \omega_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma^i} |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Combined with Lemma 1.3, we get

$$(7.2) \quad \int_M |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_M |R(\omega_{t_i}) - n|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, by the Bochner formula, we have

$$\Delta |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 = \text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i})(\nabla h_{t_i}, \nabla h_{t_i}) + |\text{Hess } h_{t_i}|^2 + \langle \nabla h_{t_i}, \nabla \Delta h_{t_i} \rangle.$$

Integrating both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M |\text{Hess } h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n + \int_M \text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i})(\nabla h_{t_i}, \nabla h_{t_i}) \omega_{t_i}^n \\ &= \int_M (\Delta h_{t_i})^2 \omega_{t_i}^n = \int_M |R(\omega_{t_i}) - n|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.3, the second term can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_M \text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i})(\nabla h_{t_i}, \nabla h_{t_i}) \omega_{t_i}^n \right| \leq \int_M |\text{Hess } h_{t_i}| |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n + \int_M |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \\ & \leq C \int_M |\text{Hess } h_{t_i}| |\nabla h_{t_i}| \omega_{t_i}^n \int_M |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_M |\text{Hess } h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n + (C^2 + 1) \int_M |\nabla h_{t_i}|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Combined this with (7.2), we prove (7.1).

We may assume that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(t_i) = T < \infty$. Let f_i be the corresponding eigenfunction normalized with $\int_M f_i^2 = 1$. Then by Moser's iteration, we have $|\nabla f_i| \leq C$. By the Bochner formula

$$\Delta |\nabla f_i|^2 = \text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i})(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_i) + |\text{Hess } f_i|^2 + \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla \Delta f_i \rangle.$$

Integrating both sides, we get

$$\int_M (1 - \lambda_1(t_i)) |\nabla f_i|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n + \int_M |\text{Hess } f_i|^2 \omega_{t_i}^n + \int_M (\text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i}) - \omega_{t_i})(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_i) \omega_{t_i}^n = 0.$$

Note that for the third term it holds by (7.1),

$$|\int_M (\text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i}) - \omega_{t_i})(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_i) \omega_{t_i}^n| \leq C |\int_M |\text{Ric}(\omega_{t_i}) - \omega_{t_i}| \omega_{t_i}^n| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we obtain $T \geq 1$. □

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Let Δ be the Laplace operator associated to ω_∞ . Suppose that u satisfies:*

$$\Delta u = -u, |\nabla u| \leq C, \text{ on } \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty).$$

Then $Y = \nabla u$ is a Killing VF.

Proof. By the Weitzenböck formula, we have

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\partial} u = \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u + \text{Ric}(\bar{\partial} u, \cdot),$$

where $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ is the Hodge laplacian. Let γ_ϵ be the cut-off function in Lemma 6.10 in [53]. Then by multiplying both sides of by $\gamma_\epsilon^2 \bar{\partial} u$ to the above identity, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \gamma_\epsilon^2 \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n + \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 |\bar{\partial} u|^2 \omega^n.$$

Since $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} u = u$, we get

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n = \int_{M_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \bar{\partial} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} u, \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n = \int_{M_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 |\bar{\partial} u|^2 \omega^n.$$

Thus we derive

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \gamma_\epsilon^2 \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n = 0.$$

By integration by parts, it follows that

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \gamma_\epsilon^2 \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n = \int_{M_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n + 2 \int_{M_\infty} \langle \gamma_\epsilon \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\nabla} \gamma_\epsilon \otimes \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n.$$

Note that

$$2 |\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \langle \gamma_\epsilon \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\nabla} \gamma_\epsilon \otimes \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n| \leq \eta \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n + \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} |\nabla \gamma_\epsilon|^2 \omega^n, \forall \eta > 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$(1 - \eta) \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon^2 \langle \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u \rangle \omega^n \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{M_\infty} |\nabla \gamma_\epsilon|^2 \omega^n.$$

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla} \bar{\partial} u = 0, \text{ in } \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$$

which means that ∇u is a Killing vector field. □

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Let η_∞ be the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Then, as in Lemma 6.9 in [53], for any holomorphic VF $X \in \eta_\infty$ on \tilde{M}_∞ , there is a bounded function θ_∞ satisfying

$$(7.4) \quad i_X \omega_\infty = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \theta_\infty, \quad \Delta \theta_\infty = -T \theta_\infty, \quad \text{in } \tilde{M}_\infty \setminus \mathcal{S},$$

where $\theta_\infty = u + \sqrt{-1}v$. We claim:

There is a sequence $\{u_j\}$ of eigenfunctions on (M, ω_j) such that

$$\Delta u_j = -\lambda_j u_j, \quad \lambda_j \rightarrow 1,$$

and u_j converges to a Lischitz function u on M_∞ satisfying

$$\Delta u = -u, \quad \text{in } \text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty).$$

Denote the set of such above limit eigenfunctions by $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ which is a subset of Λ_1 consisting of all bounded eigenfunctions with eigenvalue 1. If the above claim is not true, namely, $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \neq \Lambda_1$, there is a $u \in \Lambda_1$ such that

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} u^2 \omega_\infty^n = 1, \quad \int_{M_\infty} u u_a \omega_\infty^n = 0,$$

where $\{u_a\}_{1 \leq a \leq k}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$. Because \mathcal{S} is a subvariety which is contained in a divisor, as in Lemma 7.3, we have a cut-off function in \tilde{M}_∞ satisfying

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} |\nabla \gamma_\epsilon|^2 \omega_\infty^n \leq \epsilon.$$

On the support K_ϵ of γ_ϵ , for $\gamma = \gamma(\epsilon)$ we have $\Psi_\gamma^i : K_\epsilon \rightarrow (M, \omega_i)$ such that $(\Psi_\gamma^i)^* \omega_i \rightarrow \omega_\infty$ smoothly. Thus by taking a subsequence if necessary, we can take $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that $u_i = (\gamma_{\epsilon_i} u) \circ (\Psi_\gamma^i)^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\nabla u_i|^2 \omega_i^n = 1, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M u_i^2 \omega_i^n = 1.$$

On the other hand, for each a , there are eigenfunctions $u_{a,i}$ of (M, ω_j) which converge to u_a . Then $u_i, u_{1,i}, \dots, u_{k,i}$ is a $k+1$ dimensional subspace for large enough i . Thus we can find an eigenfunction $u_{0,i}$ orthogonal to $u_{a,i}$ ($1 \leq a \leq k$) with eigenvalue not bigger than $1 + \nu_i$ with $\nu_i \rightarrow 0$ by variational principle. However, by Lemma 7.2, we know that the eigenvalue is not less than $1 + o(1)$. Hence, $u_{0,i}$ will converge to an element in $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$. It's a contradiction! The claim is true.

By the above claim, we see that u in (7.4) with the normalization $\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} u^2 = 1$ is a limit of eigenfunctions u_j with $\int_M u_j^2 \omega_{t_i}^n = 1$ on (M, ω_j) . By the Moser iteration, we get

$$|\nabla u| \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$. Thus, by Lemma 7.3, Y is a Killing VF. Similarly, ∇v is also a Killing VF. This proves that η_∞ is reductive. □

Now we consider the soliton case for $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$. Denote the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ commuting with v by $\text{Aut}^v(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, where v is the soliton VF of $(\tilde{M}_\infty, \hat{\omega}_\infty)$. We prove

Proposition 7.4. *$\text{Aut}^v(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ is reductive.*

Consider the operator

$$L(\psi) = \Delta \psi + X(\psi) + \psi, \quad \psi \in C^\infty(\text{Reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)), \quad \psi \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{M}_\infty).$$

Then L is a self-adjoint operator with respect to the following inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} f \bar{g} e^{h_\infty} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n.$$

We want to show that $|\nabla u| \leq C$ for any $u \in \ker(L)$, where C is a uniform constant.

The following lemma can be found in [27, 58].

Lemma 7.5. *Let $L_i(\psi) = \Delta_{\omega_{t_i}}(\psi) + (h_{t_i})_{\bar{l}}\psi_l + \psi$. Then $\lambda_i \geq 0$, for any non-zero function ψ satisfying*

$$L_i\psi = -\lambda\psi.$$

By the argument in the proof of Proposition 7.1, we prove

Lemma 7.6. *For $u \in \ker(L)$, there is a sequence of functions f_i converging to u such that*

$$(7.5) \quad L_i f_i = -\lambda_i f_i, \quad \lambda_i \rightarrow 0.$$

As a consequence, $|\nabla u| \leq C$.

Proof. We denote the subspace of $\ker(L)$ consisting of u satisfying (7.5) by W . If W is a proper subspace of $\ker(L)$, we can choose a function $u \in \ker(L)$ which is perpendicular to W . Note that the eigenfunctions of L_i corresponds to the critical points of functional $\int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 e^{h_{t_i}} \omega_{t_i}^n$. Then there is a sequence of eigenfuctions u_i of L_i with eigenvalue not bigger than $o(1)$. By Lemma 7.5, one can show that u_i converges to a new function $u' \in \ker(L)$ satisfying (7.5), namely, $u' \in W$. However, $u \in W^\perp$ by the construction of u_i , which is a contradiction!

By using Moser's iteration, $|\nabla f_i|$ is uniformly bounded for the normalized f_i with $\int_M |f_i|^2 e^{h_{t_i}} \omega_{t_i}^n = 1$ in (7.5). Thus $|\nabla u| \leq C$ for some constant C . \square

Lemma 7.7. *Given $u', v' \in C^\infty(\text{reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty))$. Suppose that u' is Lipschitz and v' is bounded uniformly. Then*

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} (\Delta u' + v(u')) v' e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n = - \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} u'_l v'_l e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n.$$

Proof. Choosing the cut-off function γ_ϵ as in Lemma 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} (\gamma_\epsilon e^{h_\infty} v' u'_l)_{\bar{l}} \omega_\infty^n \\ &= \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon (\Delta u' + v(u')) v' e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n + \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \gamma_\epsilon u'_l v'_l e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n + \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} (\gamma_\epsilon)_{\bar{l}} v' u'_l e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} (\gamma_\epsilon)_{\bar{l}} v' u'_l e^{h_\infty} \omega_\infty^n \right| \leq C \left(\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} |\nabla \gamma_\epsilon|^2 \omega_\infty^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the lemma is proved. \square

By Lemma 7.5-Lemma 7.7, we are able to prove

Lemma 7.8.

$$\eta_\infty \cong \ker(L).$$

The isomorphism is given by the potential of the holomorphic vector field.

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, $|\nabla \psi| \leq C$ for any $\psi \in \ker(L)$. Then we can use the integral by parts to get

$$\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \psi_{kl} \psi_{\bar{k}\bar{l}} e^{h_\infty} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in \ker(L).$$

Thus $\psi_{kl} = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\psi$ is the potential of a holomorphic VF. Conversely for $Z \in \eta_\infty$, write $i_Z \omega_\infty = \bar{\partial}\psi$. By Lemma 5.5 in [55] $\Delta\psi + v(\psi)$ is Lipschitz. Thus, by Lemma 7.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} L\psi (\Delta\psi + v(\psi)) e^{h_\infty} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n &= - \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} (L\psi)_l \psi_{\bar{l}} e^{h_\infty} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n \\ (7.6) \quad &= \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \psi_{kl} \psi_{\bar{k}\bar{l}} e^{h_\infty} \hat{\omega}_\infty^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the eigenvalue of L is nonnegative by Lemma 7.6, we must have $L\psi = 0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 7.4. As in Appendix in [56], we define \bar{L} by $\bar{L}\psi = \overline{L\psi}$. Let Λ_λ be the eigenspace of \bar{L} with eigenvalue λ . Then we have the follow subspaces of $\text{Ker}(L)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \text{Ker}(L) \cap \text{Ler}(\bar{L}), \\ E'_0 &= \{f \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \text{Ler}(\bar{L}) \mid f \text{ is real}\}, \\ E''_0 &= \{f \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \text{Ler}(\bar{L}) \mid \sqrt{-1}f \text{ is real}\}, \\ E_\lambda &= \text{Ker}(L) \cap \Lambda_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A.2 in [56], $[v, Y] = 0$ holds if and only if the potential of Y lies in E_0 . Thus E_0 is isomorphic to η_∞^v , which is the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}^X(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Since $L_Z\omega_\infty = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ for a real function $f = \theta_Z \in E'_0$, we know that $\text{Im}Z$ is a Killing vector field. Hence, E_0 is the complexification of the Lie algebra of Killing VF. The proposition is proved. \square

7.2. Uniqueness of soliton VFs on a Q -Fano variety. On a Q -Fano variety \tilde{M}_∞ , the modified Futaki-invariant in [57] is also well defined (cf. [25, 62]),

$$F_v(X) = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} X(\tilde{h}_\infty - \theta_v)e^{\theta_v}\tilde{\omega}_\infty^n = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} X(\tilde{h}_\infty)e^{\theta_v}\tilde{\omega}_\infty^n - \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \langle X, v \rangle e^{\theta_v}\tilde{\omega}_\infty^n,$$

for any $v, X \in \eta_\infty$.

By [57], we prove

Proposition 7.9. *Let $\text{Aut}_r(\tilde{M}_\infty) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ be a reductive subgroup with Lie algebra $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Then there is unique holomorphic vector field $v \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ such that F_X vanishes. Moreover, v lies in the center of $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let k be the Lie algebra of maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Then for any $Z \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ with $\text{Im}Z \in k$, θ_Z is a real function. Since

$$\bar{\partial}(\Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_\infty}\theta_Z + Z(\tilde{h}_\infty) + \theta_Z) = 0, \text{ in } \text{reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty),$$

$\Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_\infty}\theta_Z + Z(\tilde{h}_\infty) + \theta_Z$ is constant. Thus we can normalize θ_Z by

$$(7.7) \quad \Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_\infty}\theta_Z + Z(\tilde{h}_\infty) + \theta_Z = 0.$$

Note that θ_v, θ_X are both Lipschitz functions. Hence, using integral by part as Lemma 5.6 in [55], we get

$$F_v(X) = - \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \theta_X e^{\theta_v} \tilde{\omega}_\infty^n.$$

Define a function on $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ by

$$f(Z) = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} e^{\theta_Z} \tilde{\omega}_\infty^n.$$

Then f is a convex function. We claim that f is a proper function on $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. Let $E_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$ be a basis of $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ as a real vector space.

For any sequence $Z_i \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ with $\int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} |Z_i|^2 \omega_\infty^n \rightarrow \infty$, we have to show that $f(Z_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Writing $Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j E_j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$|a_i^1| \geq |a_i^j|, (1 \leq j \leq m), |a_i^1| \rightarrow \infty$$

after a subsequence. We can also assume that $a_i^1 > 0$ by changing E_1 to $-E_1$. By taking a subsequence again, $\frac{a_i^j}{a_i^1}$ converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. It follows that

$$E_1 + \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{a_i^j}{a_i^1} E_j \rightarrow E \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty) (i \rightarrow \infty).$$

Choose an open set $U \subset \text{reg}(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ such that $\theta_E \geq 2\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and then $\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_j}{a_i} \theta_{E_j} \geq \epsilon$ for i large enough. Thus

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(Z_i) &= \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} e^{\sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j \theta_{E_j}} = \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} e^{a_i^1} e^{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_j}{a_i} \theta_{E_j}} \\ &\geq \int_U e^{\epsilon a_i^1} \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. As a consequence, f has a unique critical point $v : df_v = 0$ and this is equivalent to $F_v(\cdot) \equiv 0$.

By restricting the function f on the center η_c of $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, there is a unique VF v' such that $F_{v'}(\cdot) \equiv 0$ on η_c . On the other hand, for $X, Y \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, a direct computation shows that

$$F_{v'}(Ad_Y X) = F_{v'}(X).$$

It follows that

$$F_{v'}([X, Y]) = 0.$$

Since $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)/\eta_c$ is semi-simple, we have

$$F_{v'}(X) \equiv 0, \quad \forall X \in \eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty).$$

Thus $v = v'$ and v lies in the center of $\eta_r(\tilde{M}_\infty)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Alper, J., Blum, H., Halpern-Leistner, D. and Xu, C. *Reductivity of the automorphism group of K-polystable Fano varieties*, arXiv:1906.03122.
- [2] Bamler, R. *Convergence of Ricci flows with bounded scalar curvature*, Ann. Math., **188** (2018), 753-831.
- [3] Bando, S. and Mabuchi, T. *Uniqueness of Kähler Einstein metrics modulo connected group actions*, Sendai 1985, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, **10** (1987), 11-40.
- [4] Bedford E. and Taylor A. *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1-40.
- [5] Berman, R. *K-polystability of Q-Fano varieties admitting Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), 973-1025.
- [6] Berman, R., Boucksom S., Essydieux, P., Guedj, V. and Zeriahi A. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties*, preprint, arXiv:1111.7158, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [7] Berman, R., Boucksom, S. and Jonsson M. *A variational approach to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture*, arXiv:1509.04561v2.
- [8] Berman, R. and Witt Nyström, *Complex optimal transport and the pluripotential theory of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1401.8264.
- [9] Berndtsson, B. *A Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for Fano manifolds and some uniqueness theorems in Kähler geometry*, Invent. Math., **200** (2015), 149-200.
- [10] Blum, H. and Xu, C. *Uniqueness of K-polystable degenerations of Fano varieties*, arXiv:1812.03538, To appear in Annals of Math.
- [11] Cao, H.D. *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math., **81** (1985), 359-372.
- [12] Cheeger, J. and Colding T. *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*, J. Diff. Geom., **46** (1997), 406-480.
- [13] Cheeger, J., Colding, T. and Tian, G. *On the singularities of spaces with bounded Ricci curvature*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), 873-914.
- [14] Chen, X. and Sun, S. *Calabi flow, Geodesic rays, and uniqueness of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics*, Ann. Math., **180** (2014), 407-454.
- [15] Chen, X., Donaldson S., and Sun, S. *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, I, II, III*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), 183-197, 199-234, 235-278.
- [16] Chen, X., Sun S., and Wang B. *Kähler-Ricci flow, Kähler-Einstein metric, and K-stability*, Geometry and Topology, **22** (2018), 3145-3173.
- [17] Chen, X. and Wang, B. *Space of Ricci flows (II)–Part B: Weak compactness of the flows*, J. Diff. Geom., **116** (2020), 1-123.
- [18] Datar, D. and Székelyhidi, G. *Kähler-Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **26** (2016), 975-1010.
- [19] Delcroix, T. *K-Stability of Fano spherical varieties*, arXiv:1608.01852.

- [20] Demailly, J.P. *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, J. Alg. Geom., **1** (1992), 361-409.
- [21] Dervan, R. and Székelyhidi G. *Kähler-Ricci flow and optimal degenerations*, J. Diff. Geom., **116** (2020), 187-203.
- [22] Donaldson, S. *A note of the α -invariant on Mukai-Umemura's 3-fold*, preprint.
- [23] Donaldson, S. and Sun, S. *Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry*, Acta. Math., **213** (2014), 63-106.
- [24] Donaldson, S. and Sun, S. *Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry, II*, J. Diff. Geom., **107** (2017), 327-371.
- [25] Ding, W. and Tian, G. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariants*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 523-571.
- [26] Eyssidieux, P., Guedj, V. and Zeriahi, A. *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 607-639.
- [27] Futaki, A. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and geometric invariants*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1314** (1987), Springer-Verlag.
- [28] Han, Z. and Li, C. *Algebraic uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci flow limits and optimal degenerations of Fano varieties*, arXiv: 2009.01010v1.
- [29] He, W. *Kähler-Ricci soliton and H-functional*, Asian J. of Math., **20** (2016), 645-664.
- [30] Jiang W. *Bergman kernel along the Kähler Ricci Flow and Tian's Conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **717** (2016), 195-226.
- [31] Jiang, W., Wang, F. and Zhu, X. H. *Bergman Kernels for a sequence of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **67** (2017), 1279-1320.
- [32] Kodaira, K. *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [33] Kolodziej, S. *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math., **180** (1998), 69-117.
- [34] Kolodziej, S. *Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p : the case of compact Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann., **342** (2008), 379-386.
- [35] Li, C. *Yau-Tian-Donaldson correspondence for K-semistable Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **733** (2017), 55-85.
- [36] Li, C. and Xu, C. and Wang X. *Quasi-projectivity of the moduli space of smooth Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds*, Ann. Sci. Ec Norm. Super. **51** (2018), 739-772.
- [37] Li, C., Xu, C. and Wang X. *On the proper moduli spaces of smoothable Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties*, Duke Math. J. **168** (2019), no. 8, 1387-1459.
- [38] Liu, G. and Székelyhidi, G. *Gromov-Hausdorff limit of Kähler manifolds with Ricci bounded below*, arXiv:1804.03084.
- [39] Matsushima, Y. *Sur la structure du group d'homeomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine varieté Kaehlerinne*, Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 145-150.
- [40] Pasquier, B. *On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1*, Math. Ann., **344** (2010), 963-987.
- [41] Perelman, G. *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:0211159.
- [42] Rothaus, O. *Logarithmic Sobolev inequality and the spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, J. Funct. Analysis, **42** (1981), 110-120.
- [43] Sesum N. and Tian, G. *Bounding scalar curvature and diameter along the Kähler-Ricci flow (after Perelman)*, J. Inst. Math., Jussieu, **7**(2008), 575-587.
- [44] Spotti, C. and Sun, S. *Explicit Gromov-Hausdorff compactifications of moduli spaces of Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds*, Pure Appl. Math. Q., **13** (2017), 477-515.
- [45] Sun, S. and Wang, Y. Q. *On the Kähler-Ricci flow near a Kähler-Einstein metric*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **699** (2015), 143-158.
- [46] Székelyhidi, G. *The partial C^0 -estimate along the continuity method*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), no. 2, 537-560.
- [47] Tian, G. *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math., **130** (1997), 1-37.
- [48] Tian, G. *On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces*, Invent. Math., **101** (1990), 101-172.
- [49] Tian, G. *On a set of polarized Kahler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), 99-130.
- [50] Tian, G. *Kähler-Einstein on algebraic manifolds*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 587-598, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.
- [51] Tian, G. *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math., **130** (1997), 1-37.
- [52] Tian, G. *Existence of Einstein Metrics on Fano Manifolds*, in "Jeff Cheeger Anniversary Volume: Metric and Differential Geometry", Progress in Math., **297** (2012), 119-162.
- [53] Tian, G. *K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **68** (2015), 1085-1156.
- [54] Tian, G. *Partial C^0 -estimates for Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Comm. in Math. and Stat., **1** (2013), 105-113.
- [55] Tian, G. and Wang, F. *On the existence of conic Kaehler-Einstein metrics*, , arXiv:1903.12547.
- [56] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H. *Uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Acta Math., **184** (2000), 271-305.

- [57] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H. *A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Comment. Math. Helv., **77** (2002), 297-325.
- [58] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H. *Convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow*, J. Amer Math. Sci., **17** (2006), 675-699.
- [59] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H. *Convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew Math., **678** (2013), 223-245.
- [60] Tian, G. and Zhang, Z. L. *Regularity of Kähler-Ricci flows on Fano manifolds*, Acta Math., **216** (2016), 127-176.
- [61] Tian, G., Zhang, S., Zhang, Z. and Zhu, X. H. *Perelman's entropy and Kähler-Ricci flow on a Fano Manifold*, Tran. AMS., **365** (2013), 6669-6695.
- [62] Xiong, M. *Kähler-Ricci solitons and generalized Tian-Zhu's invariant* Internat. J. Math. **25** (2014).
- [63] Wang, F. and Zhu, X. H. *Tian's partial C^0 -estimate implies Hamilton-Tian's conjecture*, arXiv: 2020.05501.
- [64] Wang, F., Zhou, B. and Zhu, X. H. *Modified Futaki invariant and equivariant Riemann-Roch formula*, Adv. Math., **289** (2016), 1205-1235.
- [65] Wang, Y. Q. *On the Kähler-Ricci flows near the Mukai-Umemura 3-fold*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **7** (2016), 2145-2156.
- [66] Yau, S.T. *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **31** (1978), 339-411.
- [67] Zhang, Q. *A uniform Sobolev inequality under Ricci flow*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, **17** (2007), 1-17.
- [68] Zhang, Q. *Bounds on volume growth of geodesic balls under Ricci flow*, Math. Res. Lett., **19** (2012), 245-253.
- [69] Zhang, K. *On the partial C^0 -estimate*, arXiv: 1911.11328.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

E-mail address: `xhzhu@math.pku.edu.cn`