arXiv:2009.10431v4 [math.NT] 28 Dec 2021

The Neukirch-Uchida theorem with restricted ramification

Ryoji Shimizu

Abstract

Let K be a number field and S a set of primes of K. We write K_S/K for the maximal extension of K unramified outside S and $G_{K,S}$ for its Galois group. In this paper, we prove the following generalization of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem under some assumptions: "For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field and S_i a set of primes of K_i. If G_{K_1,S_1} and G_{K_2,S_2} are isomorphic, then K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic." Here the main assumption is that the Dirichlet density of S_i is not zero for at least one i. A key step of the proof is to recover group-theoretically the l-adic cyclotomic character of an open subgroup of $G_{K,S}$ for some prime number l.

Contents

0 Introduction **1**

1 Recovering the l-adic cyclotomic character **5**

2 Local correspondence and recovering various local invariants **13**

3 The existence of an isomorphism of fields **17**

4 Some properties of the Dirichlet density **21**

5 Main results **24**

0 Introduction

Let K be a number field and S a set of primes of K. We write K_S/K for the maximal extension of K unramified outside S and $G_{K,S}$ for its Galois group. The goal of this paper is to prove the following generalization of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem[*1] under as few assumptions as possible: "For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field and S_i a set of primes of

[*1] For the original version of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem, see [Neukirch] and [Uchida].

K_i. If G_{K_1,S_1} and G_{K_2,S_2} are isomorphic, then K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic." For this, as in the proof of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem (cf. [NSW], Chapter XII), we first characterize group-theoretically the decomposition groups in $G_{K,S}$, and then obtain an isomorphism of fields using them.

In the previous work [Ivanov2], Ivanov showed that if S contains all primes at infinity and all nonarchimedean primes above some prime l, the data of the l-adic cyclotomic character of some open subgroup of $G_{K,S}$ is equivalent to the data of the decomposition groups in $G_{K,S}$ at nonarchimedean primes in S. Motivated by this, in §1, assuming that S contains all primes at infinity and all nonarchimedean primes above some prime l and that S satisfies a certain condition $(\star_l)$ (Definition 1.16), we recover group-theoretically the l-adic cyclotomic character of $G_{K,S}$ modulo the torsion part (Theorem 1.18). In particular, taking an open subgroup U of $G_{K,S}$ corresponding to an extension of K containing μ_l (resp. μ_4) if $l \neq 2$ (resp. $l = 2$), we recover the l-adic cyclotomic character of U. The proof is based on the previous work [Saïdi-Tamagawa], §4, where it plays an important role to study carefully the structure of annihilators of certain modules over the (multivariable in general) Iwasawa algebra associated to the maximal pro-l abelian torsion free quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Instead, in this paper, we consider all quotients of $G_{K,S}$ which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_l$. This makes it necessary to characterize group-theoretically the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension, but allows the assumption on S to be condition $(\star_l)$ which is weaker than "the Dirichlet density of S is not zero" (Proposition 1.20).

In §2, based on the results in §1 and [Ivanov2], we obtain the "local correspondence": a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of the decomposition groups in G_{K_i,S_i} at nonarchimedean primes in S_i for $i = 1, 2$ (Theorem 2.6). Further, if the decomposition groups are large enough, this correspondence turns out to be "good" in the sense that some local invariants (for example, the residue characteristic, the order of the residue field and the set of Frobenius lifts) are preserved. It follows from [Chenevier-Clozel] that the decomposition groups are actually large enough, under a certain extra assumption on S_i for one i.

In §3, we develop a way to show the existence of an isomorphism between K_1 and K_2 assuming that the good local correspondence holds. In the previous work [Ivanov3], Ivanov showed that K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic, if K_1 is totally imaginary and Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, S_1 is "stable"[*2], and so on. The result in §3 is a generalization of this result. We do not have to assume $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, and our assumption about the density of S_i is much weaker (see condition (b) in Proposition 3.3). In the argument some properties of the quotient of $G_{K,S}$ corresponding to the maximal multiple $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension of K, whose structure is essentially determined by the number of complex primes, plays an important role. However, we can also use the result in the case that K_i is totally real.

In order to use the result in §3, we prove in §4 some formulas about the Dirichlet density. By one of them, we obtain another way to show easily that K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic if $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois for $i = 1, 2$, the good local correspondence holds, the Dirichlet density of S_i

[*2]We say that S_1 is stable if there are a subset $S_0 \subset S_1$, a finite subextension $K_{1,S_1}/L_0/K_1$ and an $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that the set $S_0(L)$ of primes of L obtained as the inverse image of S_0 has Dirichlet density $\delta(S_0(L)) > \epsilon$ for any finite subextension $K_{1,S_1}/L/L_0$.

is larger than $1/2$ for one i, and so on (Proposition 4.3).

In §5, we prove the main theorems using the results obtained so far (Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.7). Lastly we show that if the Dirichlet densities of S_1 and S_2 are large enough, K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic (Theorem 5.8).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Akio Tamagawa for helpful advices and carefully reading preliminary versions of the present paper.

The author would also like to thank the referee for careful comments on a former version of this paper, in particular, on the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Notations

- Given a set A we write $\#A$ for its cardinality.
- For a profinite group G, let $\overline{[G,G]}$ be the closed subgroup of G which is (topologically) generated by the commutators in G. We write $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} G/\overline{[G,G]}$ for the maximal abelian quotient of G.
- Given a profinite group G and a prime number l, we write $G^{(l)}$ for the maximal pro-l quotient of G.
- Given a Galois extension L/K, we write $G(L/K)$ for its Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Given a field K, we write $\overline{K}$ for a separable closure of K, and G_K for the absolute Galois group $G(\overline{K}/K)$ of K.
- Given a field K, we write K^{ab} for the maximal abelian subextension of $\overline{K}/K$, which corresponds to the quotient $G_K \twoheadrightarrow G_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$.
- Given a field K and a prime number l, we write $K^{(l)}$ for the maximal pro-l subextension of $\overline{K}/K$, which corresponds to the quotient $G_K \twoheadrightarrow G_K^{(l)}$.
- A number field is a finite extension of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. For an (a possibly infinite) algebraic extension F of $\mathbb{Q}$, we write $\widetilde{F}$ for the Galois closure of $F/\mathbb{Q}$, $P = P_F$ for the set of primes of F, $P_\infty = P_{F,\infty}$ for the set of archimedean primes of F, and, for a prime number l, $P_l = P_{F,l}$ for the set of nonarchimedean primes of F above l. Further, for a set of primes $S \subset P_F$, we set $S_f \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} S \setminus P_\infty$, $P_S \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{p \in P_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid P_{F,p} \subset S\}$. For $\mathbb{Q} \subset F \subset F' \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we write $S(F')$ for the set of primes of F' above the primes in S: $S(F') \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in P_{F'} \mid \mathfrak{p}|_F \in S\}$. For convenience, we consider that F'/F is ramified at a complex prime of F' if it is above a real prime of F. We write F_S/F for the maximal extension of F unramified outside S and

$G_{F,S}$ for its Galois group. When $P_\infty \subset S$, we set $\mathcal{O}_{F,S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in F \mid v_\mathfrak{p}(a) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$, where $v_\mathfrak{p}$ is the (normalized) exponential valuation associated to $\mathfrak{p}$.

- Given an algebraic extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f}$, we write $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ for the residue field at $\mathfrak{p}$. When K is a number field, we write $K_\mathfrak{p}$ for the completion of K at $\mathfrak{p}$, and, in general, we write $K_\mathfrak{p}$ for the union of $K'_{\mathfrak{p}|_{K'}}$ for finite subextensions $K'/\mathbb{Q}$ of $K/\mathbb{Q}$.

- Let L/K be a finite extension of number fields and $\mathfrak{q} \in P_{L,f}$, and set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}|_K$. We write $f_{\mathfrak{q},L/K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) : \kappa(\mathfrak{p})]$. We also write $f_{\mathfrak{p},L/K} = f_{\mathfrak{q},L/K}$, when no confusion arises. We write $\mathrm{cs}(L/K)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ram}(L/K)$) for the set of nonarchimedean primes of K which split completely (resp. are ramified) in L/K.

- Let K be a number field and $\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f}$, and set $p = \mathfrak{p}|_\mathbb{Q}$. Define the residual degree (resp. the local degree) of $\mathfrak{p}$ as $f_{\mathfrak{p},K/\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $[K_\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$). We set $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{f_{\mathfrak{p},K/\mathbb{Q}}}$.

- For a number field K and a set of primes $S \subset P_K$, we set

$$\delta_{\sup}(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \limsup_{s \to 1+0} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}},\ \delta_{\inf}(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \liminf_{s \to 1+0} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}}$$

  and if $\delta_{\sup}(S) = \delta_{\inf}(S)$, then write $\delta(S)$ (the Dirichlet density of S) for them. The term "$\delta(S) \neq 0$" will always mean that S has positive Dirichlet density or S does not have Dirichlet density. Note that $\delta(S) \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta_{\sup}(S) > 0$.

- For $\mathbb{Q} \subset F \subset F' \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with F'/F Galois, $\mathfrak{q} \in P_{F',f}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}|_F$, write $G(F'/F)_\mathfrak{q} = D_\mathfrak{q}(F'/F) \subset G(F'/F)$ for the decomposition group (i.e. the stabilizer) of $\mathfrak{q}$ in $G(F'/F)$. We sometimes write $D_\mathfrak{q} = D_\mathfrak{q}(F'/F)$, when no confusion arises. Further, we also write $D_\mathfrak{p} = G(F'/F)_\mathfrak{p} = D_\mathfrak{p}(F'/F) = D_\mathfrak{q}(F'/F)$, when no confusion arises. Note that $D_\mathfrak{p}$ is only defined up to conjugation. There exists a canonical isomorphism $D_\mathfrak{q}(F'/F) \simeq G(F'_\mathfrak{q}/F_\mathfrak{p})$, and we will identify $D_\mathfrak{q}(F'/F)$ with $G(F'_\mathfrak{q}/F_\mathfrak{p})$ via this isomorphism.

- Let p be a prime number. A p-adic field is a finite extension of the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let κ be an (a possibly infinite) algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We write V_κ (resp. I_κ) the wild inertia (resp. inertia) subgroup of G_κ, and κ^{tr} (resp. κ^{ur}) for the subextension of $\overline{\kappa}/\kappa$ corresponding to V_κ (resp. I_κ), and set $G_\kappa^{\mathrm{tr}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_\kappa/V_\kappa$ and $G_\kappa^{\mathrm{ur}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_\kappa/I_\kappa$. Let λ/κ be a Galois extension. We say that $G(\lambda/\kappa)$ is full if λ is algebraically closed. We write $I(\lambda/\kappa)$ for the inertia subgroup of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$. When κ is a p-adic field, we say that an element of $G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa)$ is the Frobenius element if it induces the automorphism of the residue field of λ mapping every element to its q-th power, where q is the order of the residue field of κ, and that an element of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$ is a Frobenius lift if its image under $G(\lambda/\kappa) \twoheadrightarrow G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa)$ is equal to the Frobenius element.

- Given an abelian group A, we write A_{tor} for the torsion subgroup of A.

- Given an abelian profinite group A, we write $\overline{A_{\mathrm{tor}}}$ for the closure in A of A_{tor}, and set $A^{/\,\mathrm{tor}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} A/\overline{A_{\mathrm{tor}}}$.

- Let G be a group which acts on an abelian group A. We write $I_G A$ for the subgroup of A generated by the elements $\sigma a - a,\ a \in A,\ \sigma \in G$, and set $A_G \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} A/I_G A$.

- Given a commutative ring R, an R-module M, and a subset S of M, we write $\langle S \rangle_R \subset M$ (or simply $\langle S \rangle$ if there is no risk of confusion) for the R-submodule of M generated by the elements of S. In particular, given $x \in M$ we set $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle_R \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \langle \{x\} \rangle_R \subset M$. Given $x \in M$ we write $\mathrm{Ann}_R(x) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{r \in R \mid rx = 0\}$ for the annihilator of x in R. We write $M_{R\text{-}\,\mathrm{tor}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{m \in M \mid rm = 0 \text{ for some non-zero-divisor } r \in R\}$. Given $r \in R$ we write $M[r] \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{m \in M \mid rm = 0\}$ and $M[r^\infty] \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} M[r^n]$. An R-submodule N of M is called R-cofinite if the quotient M/N is a finitely generated R-module.

- Given a field K, we write $\mu(K)$ for the group consisting of the roots of unity in K. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ distinct from the characteristic of K, we write $\mu_n = \mu_n(\overline{K}) \subset \mu(\overline{K})$ for the subgroup of order n. For a prime number l distinct from the characteristic of K, we set $\mu_{l^\infty} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mu_{l^n}(\overline{K}) \subset \mu(\overline{K})$.

- Let l be a prime number. We set $\tilde{l} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} l$ (resp. $\tilde{l} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} 4$) for $l \neq 2$ (resp. $l = 2$).

1 Recovering the l-adic cyclotomic character

In this section, let K be a number field, and fix a prime number l. We set $\Sigma = \Sigma_K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{l, \infty\}(K)$.

Let K_∞/K be a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension, and set $\Gamma \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} G(K_\infty/K)$. We write $K_{\infty,0}/K$ for the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension, and set $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{K,0} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} G(K_{\infty,0}/K)$. Note that K_∞/K is unramified outside Σ. For $\mathfrak{p} \in P_K \setminus \Sigma$, we write $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}$ for the decomposition group at $\mathfrak{p}$ in Γ. Then $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}$ is topologically generated by the Frobenius element $\gamma_\mathfrak{p}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Let $S \subset P_K$ be a set of primes of K. In the rest of this section, we assume $S \supset \Sigma$. Then $\mu_{l^\infty} \subset K_S$, and we write $\chi^{(l)} = \chi_K^{(l)}$ for the l-adic cyclotomic character $G_{K,S} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{l^\infty}) = {\mathbb{Z}_l}^*$. The composite of $\chi^{(l)}$ and the first projection of the decomposition ${\mathbb{Z}_l}^* = (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l) \times ({\mathbb{Z}_l}^*)_{tor}$ factors as $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_0 \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$, where we write $w = w_K : \Gamma_0 \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ for the second morphism.

In this section, we recover group-theoretically w from $G_{K,S}$ under a certain assumption.

We denote by $\Lambda^\Gamma \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_l[[\Gamma]]$ the associated complete group ring (cf. [NSW], Chapter V, §2). We also write Λ for Λ^Γ if there is no risk of confusion. (The same applies hereinafter.) Given a generator γ of Γ, we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebras $\Lambda \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{Z}_l[[T]]$, $\gamma \mapsto 1 + T$. (See [NSW], (5.3.5) Proposition.) More generally, let $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}_l$ be a finite extension of (complete)

discrete valuation rings. Then we denote by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]] = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ the associated complete group ring over $\mathcal{O}$ (cf. loc. cit.). Note that this is a noetherian UFD.

Consider the exact sequence $1 \to H_S \to G_{K,S} \to \Gamma \to 1$, where $H_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma)$. By pushing out this sequence by the projection $H_S \twoheadrightarrow X_S = X_S^{\Gamma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (H_S{}^{(l)})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ we obtain an exact sequence $1 \to X_S \to Y_S \to \Gamma \to 1$. Note that $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow Y_S$ can be reconstructed group-theoretically from $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ by its very definition, and X_S has a natural structure of Λ-module of which $\mathrm{Ker}(X_S \twoheadrightarrow X_\Sigma)$ is a Λ-submodule.

We set

$$(S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma \mid \ \mathfrak{p} \text{ is finitely decomposed in } K_\infty/K\},$$
$$(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma \mid \ \mathfrak{p} \text{ is completely decomposed in } K_\infty/K\}.$$

Note that $S \setminus \Sigma = (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd} \coprod (S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd}$.

For $\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$ with $\mu_l \subset K_\mathfrak{p}$, the local l-adic cyclotomic character $G_{K_\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{l^\infty}) = \mathbb{Z}_l{}^*$ factors as $G_{K_\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} \to \mathbb{Z}_l{}^*$ because $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} = G(K_\mathfrak{p}(\mu_{l^\infty})/K_\mathfrak{p})$, where we write $\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)} : \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} \to \mathbb{Z}_l{}^*$ for the second homomorphism. Further, when $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$, we have $w|_{\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}} = \chi_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)}$.

Proposition 1.1. Assume that the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds for K_∞/K. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence of Λ-modules

$$0 \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma} \mathrm{Ind}_\Gamma^{\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}}(I(K_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)}/K_\mathfrak{p})_{G_{K_\infty,\mathfrak{p}_\infty}}) \to X_S \to X_\Sigma \to 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_\infty \in P_{K_\infty}$ is a prime above $\mathfrak{p}$, $I(K_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)}/K_\mathfrak{p})$ is considered as a $G_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$-module in a canonical manner, and Ind stands for the compact induction (cf. [NSW], Notation above (11.3.5) Theorem). Further,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\Gamma^{\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}}(I(K_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)}/K_\mathfrak{p})_{G_{K_\infty,\mathfrak{p}_\infty}}) \simeq \begin{cases} \Lambda/\langle \gamma_\mathfrak{p} - \chi_\mathfrak{p}^{(l)}(\gamma_\mathfrak{p}) \rangle, & \mu_l \subset K_\mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}, \\ \Lambda/l^{t_\mathfrak{p}}, & \mu_l \subset K_\mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd}, \\ 0, & \mu_l \not\subset K_\mathfrak{p}, \end{cases}$$

where $l^{t_\mathfrak{p}} = \#\mu(K_\mathfrak{p})[l^\infty]$.

Proof. If S is a finite set, the first assertion follows from [NSW], (11.3.5) Theorem. (In [NSW], Chapter XI, §3, K is assumed to be totally imaginary if $l = 2$. However, in the proof of [NSW], (11.3.5) Theorem, this assumption is not used.) If S is not a finite set, passing to the projective limit over all finite subsets of S we obtain the desired exact sequence. The second assertion follows from the proof of [NSW], (11.3.5) Theorem. □

Given a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module A, we set $A^{\mathrm{prim}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \setminus lA$. For any $\alpha \in A \setminus \{0\}$, there exist a unique element $\tilde{\alpha} \in A^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and a unique element $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that $l^{m_\alpha}\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. In particular, for $\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$ and $\gamma_\mathfrak{p} \in \Gamma$, we always write $\tilde{\gamma}_\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $m_{\gamma_\mathfrak{p}}$) for the unique

element of Γ^{prim} (resp. of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) such that $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l^{m_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}}}} = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and set $m_{\mathfrak{p}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} m_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$ with $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we set $(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}}, \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})') \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}))\tilde{} \in \Gamma \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l)$.

For $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$, we set $J_{\mathfrak{p}} = J_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Gamma} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I(K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{G_{K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}_\infty}}})$ and $J = J^{\Gamma} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma} J_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$ with $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we set $J'_{\mathfrak{p}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} J_{\mathfrak{p}}[\gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})'] \subset J_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 1.2. The weak Leopoldt conjecture is true for K_∞/K if and only if $H^2(G(K_S/K_\infty),\ \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) = 0$. Further, the weak Leopoldt conjecture is true for $K_{\infty,0}/K$.

Proof. These assertions follow immediately from [NSW], (2.6.9) Theorem, (10.3.22) Theorem and (10.3.25) Theorem. □

Lemma 1.3. Assume that the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds for K_∞/K. Then $\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} \mid \mu_l \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}\} < \infty$ if and only if $X_S[l^\infty]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module. In particular, when $\mu_l \subset K$, these conditions are equivalent to $\#(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} < \infty$. Further, $(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} = \emptyset$ for $K_{\infty,0}/K$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.1, there exists a canonical exact sequence of Λ-modules

$$0 \to J[l^\infty] \to X_S[l^\infty] \to X_\Sigma[l^\infty].$$

Then, by [NSW], (11.3.1) Proposition, X_Σ is a finitely generated Λ-module, hence $X_\Sigma[l^\infty]$ is also a finitely generated Λ-module. (In [NSW], Chapter XI, §3, K is assumed to be totally imaginary if $l = 2$. However, in the proof of [NSW], (11.3.1) Proposition, this assumption is not used.)

We set $T^{fd} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd} \mid \mu_l \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$, $T^{cd} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} \mid \mu_l \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in T^{fd}$, by the isomorphism $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_l[[T]]$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mapsto 1 + T$, we have an isomorphism

$$J_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \Lambda/\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l[[T]]/\langle (1+T)^{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})\rangle.$$

By [NSW], (5.3.1) Division Lemma, $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of rank $l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, in particular, a torsion free abelian group. In addition, for $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$ with $\mu_l \not\subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ by Proposition 1.1. Therefore, we obtain an exact sequence of Λ-modules

$$0 \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \to J \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{fd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \to 0,$$

and an exact sequence of Λ-modules

$$0 \to (\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty] \to J[l^\infty] \to (\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{fd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty] = 0.$$

Thus, $X_S[l^\infty]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module if and only if $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module. By Proposition 1.1, for $\mathfrak{p} \in T^{cd}$, $J_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \Lambda/l^{t_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ ($t_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$) is a finitely generated Λ-module. So if $\#T^{cd} < \infty$, $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module.

If $\#T^{cd} = \infty$, $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty] \supset \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T^{cd}} \Lambda/l$ and $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T^{cd}} \Lambda/l$ is not a finitely generated Λ-module, so $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T^{cd}} J_{\mathfrak{p}})[l^\infty]$ is not a finitely generated Λ-module.

For $K_{\infty,0}/K$, $(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} = \emptyset$ because $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{l^\infty})/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an infinite algebraic extension for $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$. □

Definition 1.4. We say that K_∞/K and S satisfy condition (†) if the weak Leopoldt conjecture is true for K_∞/K and $\#(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd} < \infty$. We say that K_∞/K and S satisfy condition (†′) if $H^2(G(K_S/K_\infty), \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) = 0$ and $X_S[l^\infty]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module.

Note that condition (†′) depends only on $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$.

Lemma 1.5. If K_∞/K and S satisfy condition (†), then they satisfy condition (†′). Further, if $\mu_l \subset K$, the converse is true. In particular, for any $\Sigma \subset S \subset P_K$, $K_{\infty,0}/K$ and S satisfy conditions (†) and (†′).

Proof. The assertions follow immediately from Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3. □

Lemma 1.6. Let $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}_l$ be a finite extension of (complete) discrete valuation rings and $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}$ the maximal ideal. Let $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, and $\alpha, \alpha' \in 1+\mathfrak{m}$. If there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma')^\nu$ and $\alpha = (\alpha')^\nu$, then $\gamma' - \alpha'$ divides $\gamma - \alpha$ in $\Lambda_\mathcal{O}$ (i.e. $\gamma - \alpha \in \langle \gamma' - \alpha' \rangle_{\Lambda_\mathcal{O}}$). Further, when $\gamma' \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$, the converse is true. In particular, $(\gamma' - \alpha') | (\gamma - \alpha)$ implies $\gamma \in \langle \gamma' \rangle$.

Proof. We can apply the same proof as that of [Saïdi-Tamagawa], Lemma 4.3, where they assume that $\gamma' \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$. □

Lemma 1.7. Let $(\gamma, \alpha), (\gamma', \alpha') \in (\Gamma \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$. Assume that $\gamma - \alpha$ and $\gamma' - \alpha'$ are not coprime, then there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l^*$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma')^\nu$ and $\alpha = (\alpha')^\nu$, in particular, $\langle \gamma - \alpha \rangle_\Lambda = \langle \gamma' - \alpha' \rangle_\Lambda$.

Proof. When $\gamma' \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$, $\gamma' - \alpha'$ is a prime element of Λ, hence $\gamma' - \alpha'$ divides $\gamma - \alpha$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.6, there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma')^\nu$ and $\alpha = (\alpha')^\nu$. Since $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$, we have $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l^*$. Hence $\gamma = (\gamma')^\nu \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$, so $\gamma - \alpha$ is also a prime element of Λ. Thus, $\langle \gamma - \alpha \rangle_\Lambda = \langle \gamma' - \alpha' \rangle_\Lambda$. When $\gamma \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$, the proof is the same.

When $\gamma, \gamma' \notin \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$, $\alpha, \alpha' \in (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l)^{\mathrm{prim}}$. We set $m \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} m_\gamma$, and let $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}_l$ be a finite extension of complete discrete valuation rings containing all l^m-th roots of α in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$, and $E \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mu_{l^m} \subset \mathcal{O}$, and write $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ for an l^m-th root of α. Then $\Lambda_\mathcal{O}$ is a UFD, and we have $\gamma - \alpha = \tilde{\gamma}^{l^m} - \beta^{l^m} = \prod_{\eta\in E}(\tilde{\gamma} - \eta\beta)$ in $\Lambda_\mathcal{O}$. Note that $\tilde{\gamma} - \eta\beta$ is a prime element of $\Lambda_\mathcal{O}$ for $\eta \in E$. Since $\gamma - \alpha$ and $\gamma' - \alpha'$ are not coprime in $\Lambda_\mathcal{O}$, $\tilde{\gamma} - \eta\beta$ is a prime divisor of $\gamma' - \alpha'$ for some $\eta \in E$. By Lemma 1.6, there exists $\nu' \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\gamma' = (\tilde{\gamma})^{\nu'}$ and $\alpha' = (\eta\beta)^{\nu'}$. Now, we set $\nu' = ul^k$ $(u \in \mathbb{Z}_l^*, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. By $\alpha'^{u^{-1}} = (\eta\beta)^{l^k}$ and $\alpha, \alpha'^{u^{-1}} \in (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l)^{\mathrm{prim}}$, we obtain $k = m$. Indeed, if $k < m$, then $(\alpha'^{u^{-1}})^{l^{m-k}} = (\eta\beta)^{l^m} = \alpha$, which contradicts $\alpha \in (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l)^{\mathrm{prim}}$, and if $k > m$, then $\alpha'^{u^{-1}} = ((\eta\beta)^{l^m})^{l^{k-m}} = \alpha^{l^{k-m}}$, which contradicts $\alpha'^{u^{-1}} \in (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l)^{\mathrm{prim}}$. Thus, $\gamma' = \gamma^u$ and $\alpha' = \alpha^u$, so $\nu \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} u^{-1}$ satisfies the desired property. Further, by Lemma 1.6, we obtain $\langle \gamma - \alpha \rangle_\Lambda = \langle \gamma' - \alpha' \rangle_\Lambda$. □

Remark 1.8. When $l \neq 2$, the condition $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$ implies that $\gamma - \alpha$ is a prime element of Λ, even if $\gamma \notin \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \Lambda$ be the maximal ideal of Λ, then the image of $\gamma - \alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$ in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is not 0. Thus, we may simplify the proof of Lemma 1.7 in this case.

Definition 1.9. Let M be a Λ-module. We define a set of characters of Γ as follows:

$$A_M^{\Gamma} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \left\{ \rho : \Gamma \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{For } (\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}} \text{ and } x \in M \setminus \{0\} \\ \text{with } \gamma - \alpha \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x),\ \rho(\gamma) = \alpha \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that $A_M^{\Gamma} = A_{M_{\Lambda\text{- tor}}}^{\Gamma}$, and if $M \subset M'$ then $A_{M'}^{\Gamma} \subset A_M^{\Gamma}$.

We first consider the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$.

Proposition 1.10. Assume that $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ and $S \setminus \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Then $A_J^{\Gamma_0} = \{w\}$.

Proof. Let $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma_0 \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and $x = (x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \in J \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gamma - \alpha \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x)$. Then for some $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$, $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Note that $w|_{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}$ and $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}', \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})') = (\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}, w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. By Proposition 1.1, $J_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \Lambda/\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle$. Now, we set $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mu_{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_l[E_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta] \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and $\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$, where ζ is a primitive $l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Note that $\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a UFD, $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})^{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \prod_{\eta \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ in $\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and for each $\eta \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a prime element of $\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Then we have

$$J_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \Lambda/\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{\eta \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\langle \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}}.$$

Here, the first injection comes from the fact that $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module as we have seen in the proof of Lemma 1.3, while the second injection comes from the Chinese remainder theorem. We write $(y_\eta)_\eta$ for the image of $x_{\mathfrak{p}}$ under this injection. Since $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$, $y_\eta \neq 0$ for some $\eta \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For this $\eta \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have

$$\gamma - \alpha \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(y_\eta) = \langle \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}}.$$

So $\langle \gamma - \alpha \rangle_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \subset \langle \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle_{\Lambda_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$. By Lemma 1.6, there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\nu}$ and $\alpha = (\eta w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}))^{\nu} = \eta^{\nu} w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\nu}$. Since $1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ is torsion free, $\eta^{\nu} = 1$. Hence we have $\alpha = w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\nu}) = w(\gamma)$. Therefore, we obtain $w \in A_J^{\Gamma_0}$.

Take $\rho \in A_J^{\Gamma_0}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$, $(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}, w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in (\Gamma_0 \times (1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$, $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ and $w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})^{l^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$. So by Lemma 1.6, $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ divides $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence if we write $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in J_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset J$ for the image of the quotient $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}))/(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ under $\Lambda \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda/\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle \simeq J_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \notin \Lambda^{\times}$, $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. Therefore, we obtain $\rho(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by the definition of $A_J^{\Gamma_0}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a generator of Γ_0, we have $\rho = w$. □

Proposition 1.11. Assume that $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ and $\#(S \setminus \Sigma) = \infty$. Let $M \subset J$ be a Λ-cofinite Λ-submodule. Then $A_M^{\Gamma_0} = \{w\}$. In particular, for a Λ-cofinite Λ-submodule $M' \subset X_S$, $A_{M'}^{\Gamma_0} \subset A_{M' \cap J}^{\Gamma_0} = \{w\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.10, $A_M^{\Gamma_0} \supset A_J^{\Gamma_0} = \{w\}$. So we show the converse. Take any $\rho \in A_M^{\Gamma_0}$ and a generator γ of Γ_0. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus \Sigma$, by Lemma 1.6, $\langle \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}} - w(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle_\Lambda = \langle \gamma - w(\gamma) \rangle_\Lambda$. Hence $J'_{\mathfrak{p}} = J_{\mathfrak{p}}[\gamma - w(\gamma)] \simeq \Lambda / \langle \gamma - w(\gamma) \rangle$. Now, we set $J' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)} J'_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset J$, which is not a finitely generated Λ-module. Since J/M is a finitely generated Λ-module, we have $J' \cap M = \mathrm{Ker}(J' \subset J \to J/M) \neq \{0\}$. So, take $x \in J' \cap M \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathrm{Ann}_\Lambda(x) = \langle \gamma - w(\gamma) \rangle_\Lambda \ni \gamma - w(\gamma)$. Thus, by the definition $A_M^{\Gamma_0}$, we obtain $\rho(\gamma) = w(\gamma)$. Since γ is a generator of Γ_0, we have $\rho = w$.

The second assertion follows from the first assertion and the fact that for a Λ-cofinite Λ-submodule $M' \subset X_S$, $M' \cap J \subset J$ is Λ-cofinite. □

Next, we consider the case of a general Γ.

Definition 1.12. We say that K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$ if for any $T \subset S \setminus \Sigma$ with $\#((S \setminus \Sigma) \setminus T) < \infty$, the Frobenius elements at primes in T generate an open subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$.

Remark 1.13. If $K_\infty = K_{\infty,0}$, then $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K) = G(K_{\infty,0}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l$. Hence condition $(*)$ is equivalent to $\#S = \infty$. If $K_\infty \neq K_{\infty,0}$, then $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l^2$. So, a closed subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$ is an open subgroup if and only if it is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of rank 2.

Lemma 1.14. Assume that $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K$, $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_0$ and the Frobenius elements at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in S \setminus \Sigma$ generate an open subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$. Then, there is no character $\rho : \Gamma \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\rho(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\rho(\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$.

Proof. We set $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$ and let U be the open subgroup of G generated by the Frobenius elements at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$. By assumption, the pullbacks of $f_1 : \Gamma \times \Gamma_0 \stackrel{pr_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma \stackrel{\rho}{\to} 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ and $f_2 : \Gamma \times \Gamma_0 \stackrel{pr_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_0 \stackrel{w}{\to} 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ by $U \hookrightarrow G \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma_0$ coincide. Since the cokernel of $U \hookrightarrow G \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma_0$ is finite and $1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ is a torsion free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module, f_1 and f_2 coincide. Since $\mathrm{Ker}(pr_1)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(pr_2)$ generate $\Gamma \times \Gamma_0$, f_1 and f_2 coincide with the trivial map $\Gamma \times \Gamma_0 \to 1 \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$. But this contradicts the fact that f_2 is nontrivial. □

Proposition 1.15. Assume that $\mu_{\tilde{l}} \subset K$, $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_0$ and K_∞/K and S satisfy conditions $(\dagger)$ and $(*)$. Let $M \subset X_S$ be a Λ-cofinite Λ-submodule. Then $A_M^\Gamma = \emptyset$.

Proof. Replacing M by $M \cap J$, we may assume that $M \subset J$ and M is Λ-cofinite in J. As J/M is a finitely generated Λ-module, by [NSW], (5.3.8) Structure Theorem for Iwasawa Modules, there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} (1 \leq j \leq s)$, prime elements $f_j (1 \leq j \leq s)$ of Λ and a Λ-homomorphism $J/M \to \Lambda^{\oplus r} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \Lambda/f_j^{n_j}$ such that the kernel and the cokernel of this homomorphism are finite.

For $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$, we say that $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ are equivalent if and only if

$$\langle \gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})' \rangle_\Lambda = \langle \gamma'_{\mathfrak{q}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})' \rangle_\Lambda.$$

This is an equivalence relation on $(S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd}$. We write $(S \setminus \Sigma)^{fd} = \coprod_{i \in I} T_i$ for the union of all equivalence classes. For each $i \in I$, take a $\mathfrak{p}_i \in T_i$ and set $a_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}_i} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i})'$ and $J'_{T_i} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T_i} J'_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset J$. Then we have $J'_{T_i} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T_i} \Lambda/a_i$. Now, the set

$$I' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{i \in I \mid \#(J/M)[a_i] = \infty \text{ and } \#T_i < \infty\}$$

is finite. Indeed, since a_i and a_j are coprime in Λ for $i \neq j$ by Lemma 1.7, the number of $i \in I$ with $\#(J/M)[a_i] = \infty$ is at most s by the structure of J/M mentioned above. So, $\coprod_{i \in I'} T_i$ is a finite set. Then, for $i \in I \setminus I'$, we have $J'_{T_i} \cap M = \mathrm{Ker}(J'_{T_i} \subset J[a_i] \to (J/M)[a_i]) \neq \{0\}$. Indeed, if $\#(J/M)[a_i] \neq \infty$, this follows from the fact $\#J'_{T_i} = \infty$. If $\#(J/M)[a_i] = \infty$, by the definition of I', $\#T_i = \infty$. Hence, J'_{T_i} is not a finitely generated Λ-module. Thus, as $(J/M)[a_i]$ is a finitely generated Λ-module, we have

$$J'_{T_i} \cap M = \mathrm{Ker}(J'_{T_i} \subset J[a_i] \to (J/M)[a_i]) \neq \{0\}.$$

Therefore, for $i \in I \setminus I'$, we can take $x_i \in J'_{T_i} \cap M \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for $\mathfrak{p} \in T_i$, $\gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}} - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})' \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\Lambda}(x_i)$. If $\rho \in A_M^{\Gamma}$, we have $\rho(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})'$, in particular, $\rho(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(l)}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now, by condition (†), $\coprod_{i \in I'} T_i$ and $(S \setminus \Sigma)^{cd}$ are finite sets. Therefore, by condition (∗), the Frobenius elements at primes in $\coprod_{i \in I \setminus I'} T_i$ generate an open subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$. Thus, by Remark 1.13 and Lemma 1.14, we obtain $A_M^{\Gamma} = \emptyset$. □

Definition 1.16. We say that S satisfies condition $(\star_l)$ if for any $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K, K_∞/K and S satisfy condition (∗).

Lemma 1.17. Assume that K_∞/K and S satisfy condition (∗). Then for any finite subextension $K_S/L/K$, $K_\infty L/L$ and $S(L)$ satisfy condition (∗).

Proof. If $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$, as we have seen in Remark 1.13, this lemma only states that if $\#S = \infty$, then $\#S(L) = \infty$, and this is obvious. If $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_0$, then $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l^2$ and a closed subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$ is open if and only if it is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of rank 2, as we have seen in Remark 1.13. If the Frobenius elements at $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in S \setminus \Sigma$ generate an open subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$, take $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}' \in S(L) \setminus \Sigma_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$, respectively, then the Frobenius elements at $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}'$ generate an open subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0} L/L)$. The assertion follows from this. □

With the notations and assumptions as in Lemma 1.17, note that

$$G(K_\infty L/L) = G_{L,S(L)} / \mathrm{Ker}(G_{L,S(L)} \hookrightarrow G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma).$$

Theorem 1.18. Assume that S satisfies condition $(\star_l)$. Then the surjection $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_0$ and $w : \Gamma_0 \to 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ are characterized group-theoretically from $G_{K,S}$ (and l).

Proof. Let K_∞/K be a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension satisfying the condition that $K_\infty L/L$ and $S(L)$ satisfy condition (†′) for any finite subextension $K_S/L/K$. By Lemma 1.5, $K_{\infty,0}/K$ satisfies this condition. By the definition of condition (†′), we can detect purely group-theoretically

whether or not the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K corresponding to a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-quotient of $G_{K,S}$ satisfies the above condition. By condition $(\star_l)$, K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$.

If $K_\infty = K_{\infty,0}$, there exists a finite subextension $K_S/L/K$ satisfying the following condition: "For any finite subextension $K_S/L'/L$, set $\Gamma' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(K_\infty L'/L')$, then there exists a Λ-cofinite $\Lambda^{\Gamma'}$-submodule $M_0 \subset X_{S(L')}^{\Gamma'}$ such that $A_M^{\Gamma'} \neq \emptyset$ for any $\Lambda^{\Gamma'}$-cofinite $\Lambda^{\Gamma'}$-submodule $M \subset M_0$". Indeed, we set $L = K(\mu_{\tilde{l}})$ and $M_0 = J^{\Gamma'}$, then, by Proposition 1.11, $A_M^{\Gamma'} = \{w_{L'}\} \neq \emptyset$ for any M as above.

On the other hand, if $K_\infty \neq K_{\infty,0}$, there does not exist a finite subextension $K_S/L/K$ as above. Indeed, for any finite subextension $K_S/L/K$, set $L' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(\mu_{\tilde{l}})$, then $K_\infty L'/L'$ and $S(L')$ satisfy condition $(\dagger)$ by Lemma 1.5 and condition $(*)$ by Lemma 1.17. Therefore, by Proposition 1.15, $A_M^{\Gamma'} = \emptyset$ for any $\Lambda^{\Gamma'}$-cofinite $\Lambda^{\Gamma'}$-submodule $M \subset X_{S(L')}^{\Gamma'}$.

Thus, we can detect group-theoretically whether or not the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K corresponding to a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-quotient of $G_{K,S}$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension.

Further, if $K_\infty = K_{\infty,0}$, there exists a finite subextension $K_S/L/K$ satisfying the following condition: "For any finite subextension $K_S/L'/L$, there exists a $\Lambda^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$-cofinite $\Lambda^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$-submodule $M_0 \subset X_{S(L')}^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$ such that for any $\Lambda^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$-cofinite $\Lambda^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$-submodule $M \subset M_0$, $A_M^{\Gamma_{L',0}}$ consists of one element for which we write $w'_{L'}$, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{L',0} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{L,0} \\ & {\scriptstyle w'_{L'}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle w'_L} & \\ & 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l & \end{array}$$

where $\Gamma_{L',0} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{L,0}$ is the natural homomorphism." Indeed, we set $L = K(\mu_{\tilde{l}})$ and $M_0 = J^\Gamma$, then L and M_0 satisfy the condition as above by Proposition 1.11. For such a finite subextension $K_S/L/K$, w'_L makes the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{L(\mu_{\tilde{l}}),0} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{L,0} \\ & {\scriptstyle w'_{L(\mu_{\tilde{l}})}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle w'_L} & \\ & 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l & \end{array}$$

commute and by Proposition 1.11, $w'_{L(\mu_{\tilde{l}})} = w_{L(\mu_{\tilde{l}})}$. Since $1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ is torsion free and the cokernel of $\Gamma_{L(\mu_{\tilde{l}}),0} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{L,0}$ is finite, there exists a unique character of $\Gamma_{L,0}$ such that this diagram commutes, hence we obtain $w'_L = w_L$. Similarly, w_K can be characterized as the unique character of $\Gamma_{K,0}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{L,0} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{K,0} \\ & {\scriptstyle w_L} \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l. & \end{array}$$

□

Remark 1.19. In condition $(\star_l)$, we assume that for any $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K, K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$. However, about condition $(\star_l)$ in Theorem 1.18, for non-cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extensions K_∞/K which we can distinguish group-theoretically from $K_{\infty,0}/K$, it is not necessary to assume that K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$. Indeed, it is enough to modify the first sentence in the proof.

For example, we say that K_∞/K satisfies condition $(\ddagger)$ if there exists a Λ-cofinite Λ-submodule $M_0 \subset X_S$ such that for any $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and any $x \in M_0 \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gamma - \alpha \in \mathrm{Ann}_\Lambda(x)$, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and the index of $\langle\alpha\rangle$ in $1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ is contant (i.e. does not depend on (γ, α) or x). If $K_\infty = K_{\infty,0}$, set $M_0 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} J$, then K_∞/K satisfies condition $(\ddagger)$. Indeed, as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 1.10, for any $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and any $x \in J \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gamma - \alpha \in \mathrm{Ann}_\Lambda(x)$, there exist $\mathfrak{p} \in (S \setminus \Sigma)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_\mathfrak{p}^\nu$ and $\alpha = w(\tilde{\gamma}_\mathfrak{p})^\nu$. Since $(\gamma, \alpha) \in (\Gamma \times (1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l))^{\mathrm{prim}}$, we have $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_l^*$, hence $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_\mathfrak{p}^\nu \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{prim}}$. Further, the index of $\langle\alpha\rangle = \mathrm{Im}(w)$ in $1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$ is constant. Note that we can distinguish group-theoretically whether or not the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K corresponding to a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-quotient of $G_{K,S}$ satisfies condition $(\ddagger)$. We say that S satisfies condition $(\star_l')$ if for any $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K with $K_\infty L/L$ and $S(L)$ satisfying conditions $(\dagger')$ and $(\ddagger)$ for any finite subextension $K_S/L/K$, K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$. The assertion of Theorem 1.18 is true even if we replace condition $(\star_l)$ with the weaker condition $(\star_l')$.

Further, if we can distinguish group-theoretically the quotient Γ_0 of $G_{K,S}$ from the other $\mathbb{Z}_l$-quotients, we can replace condition $(\star_l)$ in Theorem 1.18 with "$\#S = \infty$".

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for condition $(\star_l)$.

Proposition 1.20. Assume that $\delta(S) \neq 0$ (cf. Notations). Then any $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension K_∞/K and S satisfy condition $(*)$. In particular, S satisfies condition $(\star_l)$.

Proof. For $T \subset (S \setminus \Sigma)$ with $\#((S \setminus \Sigma) \setminus T) < \infty$, $\delta_{\sup}(T) = \delta_{\sup}(S) > 0$. Hence, by the Chebotarev density theorem for infinite extensions ([Serre], Chapter I, 2.2, COROLLARY 2), the closed subgroup of $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$ generated by the Frobenius elements at primes in T has positive Haar measure in $G(K_\infty K_{\infty,0}/K)$, so that it is an open subgroup. □

2 Local correspondence and recovering various local invariants

In this section, we obtain the "local correspondence", and study its properties.

Proposition 2.1. Let K be a number field, and S a set of primes of K with $P_{K,\infty} \subset S$. Assume that $\#P_{S,f} \geq 2$. Then, for $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathfrak{q}} \in S_f(K_S)$, $D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(K_S/K) = D_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}(K_S/K)$ if and only if $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{\mathfrak{q}}$. Let $l \in P_{S,f}$. Then the set $\{D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset G_{K,S} \mid \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_f(K_S)\}$ is characterized group-theoretically from $G_{K,S}$ and the character $G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_0 \stackrel{w}{\to} 1+\tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l$. In particular, if S satisfies condition $(\star_l)$, then $\{D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset G_{K,S} \mid \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_f(K_S)\}$ is characterized group-theoretically from $G_{K,S}$ (and l).

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from [Ivanov2], Corollary 2.7(ii). To prove the second assertion, we modify a little the reconstruction algorithm of (ii)$\rightsquigarrow$(i) in [Ivanov2], Theorem 1.1[*3] as follows. Let U_0 be any open subgroup of $G_{K,S}$, corresponding to $K_S/L_0/K$. Write $\chi_{L_0}^{(l),\mathrm{naive}} : U_0 \hookrightarrow G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{K,0} \overset{w}{\to} 1 + \tilde{l}\mathbb{Z}_l \hookrightarrow {\mathbb{Z}_l}^*$. Note that $\chi_{L_0}^{(l),\mathrm{naive}} = \chi_{L_0}^{(l)}$ if (and only if) $U_0 \subset G_{K(\mu_{\tilde{l}}),S(K(\mu_{\tilde{l}}))}$. For each U_0, by using $\chi_{L_0}^{(l),\mathrm{naive}}$ instead of $\chi_{L_0}^{(l)}$ to run if possible the reconstruction algorithm as in the last paragraph of §3 of [Ivanov2] and [Ivanov2], Remark 3.6, we obtain a set $\mathrm{Dec}_{U_0}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ consisting of subgroups of $G_{K,S}$ (if not possible, define $\mathrm{Dec}_{U_0}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ to be $\emptyset$ for convenience). By exactly the same proof of (ii)$\rightsquigarrow$(i) in [Ivanov2], Theorem 1.1, we have $\mathrm{Dec}_{U_0}^{\mathrm{naive}} = \{D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset G_{K,S} \mid \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_f(K_S)\}$ if $U_0 \subset G_{K(\mu_{\tilde{l}}),S(K(\mu_{\tilde{l}}))}$. Thus, by taking U_0 such that $\mathrm{Dec}_{U_0}^{\mathrm{naive}} = \mathrm{Dec}_{U_0'}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ for any open subgroup U_0' of U_0, we obtain $\mathrm{Dec}_{U_0}^{\mathrm{naive}} = \{D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset G_{K,S} \mid \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_f(K_S)\}$.

The last assertion follows from the second and Theorem 1.18. □

Proposition 2.2. ([Chenevier-Clozel], Remarque 5.3) Let K be a totally real number field and S a set of primes of K. Assume that there exists a prime number l with $P_l \cup P_\infty \subset S$. Then the decomposition groups in $G_{K,S}$ at primes in $(S_f \setminus P_l)(K_S)$ are full.

Note that with the notations in Proposition 2.2, if $\#P_{S,f} \geq 2$, then the decomposition groups in $G_{K,S}$ at primes in $S_f(K_S)$ are full.

For the following two lemmas, we use the theory of groups of l-decomposition type (cf. [Ivanov2], §2).

Lemma 2.3. For $i = 1, 2$, let p_i be a prime number, κ_i a p_i-adic field and λ_1/κ_1 a Galois extension. Assume that there exists an isomorphism $\sigma : G(\lambda_1/\kappa_1) \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{\kappa_2}$. Then the residue characteristics and the residual degrees of κ_1 and κ_2 coincide, respectively, and σ induces a bijection between the sets of Frobenius lifts. Further, $[\kappa_1 : \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}]$ is greater than or equal to $[\kappa_2 : \mathbb{Q}_{p_2}]$.

Proof. By local class field theory, for $i = 1, 2$, the residue characteristic p_i of κ_i can be characterized as the unique prime l such that there exists a surjection $G_{\kappa_i} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2$. Thus, we have $p_1 = p_2$, and write p for them. We write ϕ for the composite of the canonical surjection $G_{\kappa_1} \twoheadrightarrow G(\lambda_1/\kappa_1)$ with σ. For any prime l different from p, by [Ivanov2], 2.2, Local situation, any l-Sylow subgroup $G_{\kappa_1,l}$ of G_{κ_1} is of l-decomposition type. $\phi(G_{\kappa_1,l})$ is an l-Sylow subgroup of G_{κ_2}, hence is of l-decomposition type. Therefore, by [Ivanov2], Lemma 2.2, $\phi|_{G_{\kappa_1,l}}$ is injective. Thus, $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$ is a pro-p group, hence, by [NSW], (7.5.7) Corollary, is contained in V_{κ_1}. Therefore, again by [NSW], (7.5.7) Corollary, $\phi(V_{\kappa_1})$ is the maximal normal pro-p

[*3] In the proof of (ii)$\rightsquigarrow$(i) in [Ivanov2], Theorem 1.1, where the finiteness of S is assumed, we have to take an open subgroup U_0 of $G_{K,S}$ corresponding to a field which contains the l-th roots of unity and is totally imaginary, as in the last paragraph of §3 of [Ivanov2]. If S is finite, $\mathrm{Ker}(G_{K,S} \twoheadrightarrow G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^{\phi(\tilde{l})})$ satisfies the above condition, where ϕ is Euler's totient function. On the other hand, if S is not finite, the author does not know how to take such an open subgroup group-theoretically from $G_{K,S}$. In the rest of the proof, the finiteness of S is not used.

subgroup of G_{κ_2}, and hence $\phi(V_{\kappa_1}) = V_{\kappa_2}$. Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_{\kappa_1} & \twoheadrightarrow & G(\lambda_1/\kappa_1) & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\simeq} & G_{\kappa_2} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & G_{\kappa_1}^{\mathrm{tr}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & G_{\kappa_2}^{\mathrm{tr}}. \end{array}$$

For a p-adic field κ, by local class field theory, the order of the residue field of κ is equal to $\#((G_\kappa^{\mathrm{tr,ab}})_{\mathrm{tor}}/(G_\kappa^{\mathrm{tr,ab}}[p^\infty])) + 1$. Thus, the orders of the residue fields of κ_1 and κ_2 coincide, so that their residual degrees also coincide. Further, the subgroup $I_\kappa/V_\kappa \subset G_\kappa^{\mathrm{tr}}$ can be characterized group-theoretically from G_κ^{tr} by [NSW], (7.5.7) Corollary, and the sets of Frobenius lifts of G_κ^{tr} from G_κ^{tr} and the order of the residue field of κ by [NSW], (12.1.8) Lemma. Therefore, σ induces a bijection between the sets of Frobenius lifts. Finally, by local class field theory, $G_\kappa^{\mathrm{ab},(p),/\,\mathrm{tor}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $[\kappa : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. The last assertion follows from this. □

About Frobenius elements, the following lemma is also useful.

Lemma 2.4. Let p, l be different prime numbers, κ a p-adic field and λ/κ a Galois extension. Then $\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)} \subset \lambda$ if and only if there exists an open subgroup U of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$ such that $U^{(l)}$ is of l-decomposition type. Assume that these conditions hold. Then the surjection $G(\lambda/\kappa) \twoheadrightarrow (G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa))^{(l)} (\simeq \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is characterized group-theoretically from $G(\lambda/\kappa)$. Further, the data of the Frobenius element in $(G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa))^{(l)}$ is equivalent to the data of the residue characteristic and the residual degree of κ.

Proof. If $\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)} \subset \lambda$, then, by [NSW], (7.5.9) Proposition, $G(\lambda/\kappa(\mu_l))^{(l)} = G(\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)}/\kappa(\mu_l))$ is of l-decomposition type. If $\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)} \not\subset \lambda$, then, for any finite subextension κ' of λ/κ with $\mu_l \subset \kappa'$, the canonical surjection $G_{\kappa'}^{(l)} \twoheadrightarrow G(\lambda/\kappa')^{(l)}$ is not an isomorphism. Hence, by [NSW], (7.5.9) Proposition and [Ivanov2], Lemma 2.2, $G(\lambda/\kappa')^{(l)}$ is not of l-decomposition type. For a finite subextension κ' of λ/κ with $\mu_l \not\subset \kappa'$, by [NSW], (7.5.9) Proposition, $G(\lambda/\kappa')^{(l)}$ is not of l-decomposition type.

Assume that $\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)} \subset \lambda$. By [NSW], (7.5.9) Proposition, the surjection $G(\lambda/\kappa) \twoheadrightarrow (G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa))^{(l)}$ is the unique $\mathbb{Z}_l$-quotient of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$, and the open subgroup $G(\lambda/\kappa(\mu_l))$ of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$ is the maximal open subgroup of $G(\lambda/\kappa)$ whose maximal pro-l quotient is of l-decomposition type. Now, we set $n \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (G(\lambda/\kappa) : G(\lambda/\kappa(\mu_l)))$, $G \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} G(\lambda/\kappa(\mu_l))^{(l)} = G(\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)}/\kappa(\mu_l))$ and $I \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} I(\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)}/\kappa(\mu_l))$, and write f for the residual degree of κ. By [NSW], (7.5.9) Proposition, G is of l-decomposition type. Hence, by [Ivanov2], Lemma 2.2, the subgroup I of G is characterized group-theoretically from G, and G is a semi-direct product of G/I by I. Further, by [NSW], (7.5.2) Proposition, the character defining this semi-direct product is an injection $G/I \to \mathrm{Aut}(I) = \mathbb{Z}_l{}^*$ under which the Frobenius element $\mathrm{Frob}_{\kappa(\mu_l)}$ maps to p^{nf}. Finally, under the canonical homomorphism $G/I \to (G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa))^{(l)}$ induced by the inclusion $G(\lambda/\kappa(\mu_l)) \hookrightarrow G(\lambda/\kappa)$, $\mathrm{Frob}_{\kappa(\mu_l)}$ maps to Frob_κ^n. Since $(G(\lambda/\kappa)/I(\lambda/\kappa))^{(l)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l$ is torsion free, we obtain the last assertion. □

Note that, by Lemma 2.4, it is possible to distinguish whether or not $\kappa(\mu_l)^{(l)} \subset \lambda$ group-theoretically from $G(\lambda/\kappa)$.

Definition 2.5. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i, $T_i \subset S_{i,f}$, and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. We say that the local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- For any $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \in T_1(K_{1,S_1})$, there is a unique prime $\sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1) \in T_2(K_{2,S_2})$ with $\sigma(D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1}) = D_{\sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1)}$, such that $\sigma_* \colon T_1(K_{1,S_1}) \to T_2(K_{2,S_2})$, $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \mapsto \sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1)$ is a bijection.

Then σ_* is Galois-equivariant, i.e., for each $g \in G_{K_1,S_1}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \in T_1(K_{1,S_1})$, $\sigma_*(g\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1) = \sigma(g)\sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1)$. Further, for any open subgroup U_1 of G_{K_1,S_1}, we set $U_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(U_1)$ and for $i = 1, 2$, write $K_{i,S_i}/L_i/K_i$ for the subextension corresponding to U_i. Two primes $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1$, $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_1 \in T_1(K_{1,S_1})$ restrict to the same prime of L_1 (this condition is equivalent to the condition that $D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1}$ and $D_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_1}$ are conjugate by an element in U_1), if and only if $\sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_1), \sigma_*(\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_1) \in T_2(K_{2,S_2})$ restrict to the same prime of L_2, and hence σ_* induces a bijection $\sigma_{*,U_1} = \sigma_{*,L_1} \colon T_1(L_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_2(L_2)$.

Moreover, we say that the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- The local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ.
- σ_{*,K_1} preserves the residue characteristics and the residual degrees of all primes in T_1.

Theorem 2.6. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that $\#P_{S_i,f} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that S_i satisfies condition $(\star_l)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the local correspondence between $S_{1,f}$ and $S_{2,f}$ holds for σ. Further, let $T_1 \subset S_{1,f}$ and $T_2 \subset S_{2,f}$ be subsets between which the local correspondence holds for σ and assume that for one i, there exist a totally real subfield $K_{i,0} \subset K_i$ and a set of primes $T_{i,0}$ of $K_{i,0}$ such that $T_{i,0}(K_i) = T_i$. Then the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, the local correspondence between $S_{1,f}$ and $S_{2,f}$ holds for σ. Let i be the i which appears in the last assumption. Take two distinct primes $p, p' \in P_{S_i,f}$. Then, by Proposition 2.2, the decomposition groups in $G_{K_{i,0},T_{i,0}\cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty}$ at primes in $T_{i,0}(K_{i,0,T_{i,0}\cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty})$ are full. Since $K_{i,T_i \cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty} = K_{i,0,T_{i,0}\cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty}$, the decomposition groups in $G_{K_i,T_i \cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty}$ at primes in $T_i(K_{i,T_i \cup P_p \cup P_{p'} \cup P_\infty})$ are full, so that the decomposition groups in G_{K_i,S_i} at primes in $T_i(K_{i,S_i})$ are full. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ. □

Remark 2.7. With the notations as in Theorem 2.6, for $i = 1, 2$, let U_i be an open subgroup of G_{K_i,S_i} with $\sigma(U_1) = U_2$, and L_i the subextension of $K_{i,S_i}/K_i$ corresponding to U_i. Then the local correspondence (resp. the good local correspondence) between $S_{1,f}(L_1)$ (resp. $T_1(L_1)$) and $S_{2,f}(L_2)$ (resp. $T_2(L_2)$) holds for $\sigma|_{U_1} : U_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_2$. Indeed, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.6, [Ivanov2], Proposition 2.4 and the fact that for $i = 1, 2$ and for $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_i \in S_{i,f}(K_{i,S_i})$, $D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_i,K_{i,S_i}/L_i} = D_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_i,K_{i,S_i}/K_i} \cap U_i$.

Proposition 2.8. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$, $T_i \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that $\#P_{T_i} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that the local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ. Then $[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = [K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]$, $P_{T_1} = P_{T_2}$, $P_{T_1} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) = P_{T_2} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_2/\mathbb{Q})$, and the good local correspondence between $P_{T_1}(K_1)$ and $P_{T_2}(K_2)$ holds for σ.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, for $i = 1, 2$, the decomposition group in G_{K_i,S_i} at any prime in $P_{T_i}(K_{i,S_i})$ is full. Take $l_1 \in P_{T_1}$ and $l_2 \in P_{T_2}$. By Lemma 2.3, σ_{*,K_1} induces a bijection between P_{K_1,l_1} and $T_2 \cap P_{K_2,l_1}$, and we have $[K_{1,\mathfrak{p}_1} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}] \leq [K_{2,\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}]$ for $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in P_{K_1,l_1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}] &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_1 \in P_{K_1,l_1}} [K_{1,\mathfrak{p}_1} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}] \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_1 \in P_{K_1,l_1}} [K_{2,\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}] = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_2 \in T_2 \cap P_{K_2,l_1}} [K_{2,\mathfrak{p}_2} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}] \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_2 \in P_{K_2,l_1}} [K_{2,\mathfrak{p}_2} : \mathbb{Q}_{l_1}] = [K_2 : \mathbb{Q}].\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument obtained by exchanging the roles of 1 and 2, we have $[K_2 : \mathbb{Q}] \leq [K_1 : \mathbb{Q}]$. Thus, we obtain $[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = [K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]$, $P_{K_1,l_2} \subset T_1$ and $P_{K_2,l_1} \subset T_2$, so that $P_{T_1} = P_{T_2}$ and σ_{*,K_1} induces a bijection between $P_{T_1}(K_1)$ and $P_{T_2}(K_2)$. Hence by Lemma 2.3, the good local correspondence between $P_{T_1}(K_1)$ and $P_{T_2}(K_2)$ holds for σ. Again by Lemma 2.3, $\sigma_{*,K_1}|_{P_{T_1}(K_1)} : P_{T_1}(K_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{T_2}(K_2)$ also preserves the local degrees of all primes in $P_{T_1}(K_1)$. Therefore, we have $P_{T_1} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) = P_{T_2} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_2/\mathbb{Q})$. □

3 The existence of an isomorphism of fields

In this section, we develop a way to show the existence of an isomorphism between the number fields in question assuming that the good local correspondence holds.

Let K be a number field, and l a prime number. We set $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_{K,l} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} G_K^{\mathrm{ab},(l),/\mathrm{tor}}$, $r_l(K) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \Gamma_K$, and write $K^{(\infty)} = K^{(\infty,l)}$ for the extension of K corresponding to Γ_K. We write $\mathfrak{d}_l(K)(\geq 0)$ for the Leopoldt defect. Then, by [NSW], (10.3.20) Proposition, we have $r_l(K) = r_{\mathbb{C}}(K) + 1 + \mathfrak{d}_l(K) \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, where $r_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$ is the number of complex primes of K. For $\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f} \setminus P_{K,l}$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified in $K^{(\infty)}/K$. Then we write $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the Frobenius element in Γ_K at $\mathfrak{p}$.

We write $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l)(\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(G_K, \mathbb{Z}_l))$ for the set of continuous homomorphisms from Γ_K to $\mathbb{Z}_l$, where $\mathbb{Z}_l$ is equipped with the profinite topology. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l^{r_l(K)}.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ coincides with the continuous cochain cohomology of degree 1 (cf. [NSW], Chapter Ⅱ, §6).

Lemma 3.1. Let K be a number field, L a finite Galois extension of K, and l a prime number. Then the homomorphism: $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K)}$ induced from

the restriction map is injective, and the cokernel of this homomorphism is finite. In particular, $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K)} = r_l(K)$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, by [NSW], (1.6.7) Proposition, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G_L, \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})^{G(L/K)} \to H^2(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $H^1(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ is finite, by passing to the projective limit, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}_l) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K)} \to H^2(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is trivial and $H^2(G(L/K), \mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq H^1(G(L/K), \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is finite, we obtain the assertion. □

Lemma 3.2. Let K be a number field, L a finite extension of K, and l a prime number. Assume that $L \neq K$ and L has a complex prime. Then we have $r_l(K) < r_l(L)$.

Proof. By the assumption, we have $r_{\mathbb{C}}(K) < r_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$. Further, by [NSW], (10.3.7) Corollary and the proof of [NSW], (10.3.11) Corollary, we have $\mathfrak{d}_l(K) \leq \mathfrak{d}_l(L)$. □

In the rest of this paper, fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and suppose that all number fields and all algebraic extensions of them are subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let L be a finite extension of K. We have the canonical homomorphisms $\pi_{L/K} = \pi_{L/K,l} : \Gamma_L \to \Gamma_K$ and $res^K_L = res^K_{L,l} : \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.

Proposition 3.3. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$, $T_i \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) The good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ.


(b) There exists a finite extension L/K_1K_2 such that $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and $\delta(T_i(L)) \neq 0$ for one i.

Then, for any prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$, there exists $\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1,l} = (\tau|_{K_2})^* \circ \pi_{L/\tau(K_2),l},$$

where $\overline{\sigma} : \Gamma_{K_1,l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{K_2,l}$ is the isomorphism induced by σ and $(\tau|_{K_2})^* : \Gamma_{\tau(K_2),l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{K_2,l}$ is the isomorphism induced by $\tau|_{K_2}$.

Proof. Take $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$. We have the following diagram:



For each $\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$, let $\tau^* \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_L)$ be the automorphism of Γ_L defined by the outer action of τ. We set $\phi_\tau : \Gamma_L \to \Gamma_{K_2}$, $\gamma \mapsto (\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1})(\gamma) \cdot (\pi_{L/K_2} \circ \tau^*)(\gamma)^{-1}$, then this is a homomorphism of free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules.

Assume that for any $\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$, $\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1} \neq \pi_{L/K_2} \circ \tau^*$. Then $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\tau) < r_l(L)$, so that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\tau)$ has Haar measure 0 in Γ_L. Hence $\bigcup_{\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\tau)$ also has Haar measure 0. Now, by symmetry, we may assume that $\delta_{\sup}(T_1(L) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L/\mathbb{Q})(L)) = \delta_{\sup}(T_1(L)) > 0$. Then, by the Chebotarev density theorem for infinite extensions ([Serre], Chapter I, 2.2, COROLLARY 2), there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in (T_1 \setminus P_{K_1,l})(L) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L/\mathbb{Q})(L)$ such that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ in Γ_L satisfies $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\tau)$. For $i = 1, 2$, we set $\mathfrak{p}_i \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathfrak{p}|_{K_i}$, then $\pi_{L/K_i}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. When $\mathfrak{p}$ is above a prime number p, $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2$ are also above p. Since the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ, $\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is also above p. Therefore, there exists $\tau' \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1) = (\tau' \cdot \mathfrak{p})|_{K_2}$. Thus, we have $\pi_{L/K_2} \circ \tau'^*(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Frob}_{\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)}$. By the proof of [Ivanov2], Lemma 2.3, $D_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \subset G_{K_1,S_1}$ and $D_{\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)} \subset G_{K_2,S_2}$ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.4 for p and l above. Hence, by Lemma 2.4 and the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 for σ, we obtain $\overline{\sigma}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}) = \mathrm{Frob}_{\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)}$. Thus, we obtain


$$\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Frob}_{\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)} = \pi_{L/K_2} \circ \tau'^*(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}).$$

Namely, $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{\tau'})$. However, this contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\tau)$.

Therefore, there exists $\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1} = \pi_{L/K_2} \circ \tau^* = (\tau|_{K_2})^* \circ \pi_{L/\tau(K_2)}$. □

Lemma 3.4. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, and l a prime number. Assume that there exist a finite extension L/K_1K_2 and an isomorphism $\overline{\sigma} : \Gamma_{K_1,l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{K_2,l}$ such that $\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_{L/K_1,l} = \pi_{L/K_2,l}$. Then $K_1^{(\infty,l)}K_2 = K_1K_2^{(\infty,l)}$.

Proof. We set $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(K_1^{(\infty,l)}K_2^{(\infty,l)}/K_1K_2)$. Then we have the following diagram:



We write π_1 for the composite of $\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow G(K_1^{(\infty)}K_2/K_1K_2) \overset{\text{restriction}}{\tilde{\to}} G(K_1^{(\infty)}/K_1^{(\infty)} \cap K_1K_2) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{K_1}$, and define $\pi_2 : \Gamma \to \Gamma_{K_2}$ similarly. Then $(\pi_1, \pi_2) : \Gamma \to \Gamma_{K_1} \times \Gamma_{K_2}$ is injective. We write π for the canonical homomorphism: $\Gamma_L \to \Gamma$. Then $\pi_{L/K_i} = \pi_i \circ \pi$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\pi)$ is open in Γ, we obtain $\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2$, so that $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_2) = \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\sigma} \circ \pi_1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1)$. As (π_1, π_2) is injective, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_2) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1) = 1$. Thus, we obtain $K_1^{(\infty)}K_2 = K_1^{(\infty)}K_2^{(\infty)} = K_1K_2^{(\infty)}$. □

Proposition 3.5. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) K_i has a complex prime for one i.

(b) There exists a finite extension L/K_1K_2 such that $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and $K_1^{(\infty,l)}L = LK_2^{(\infty,l)}$ for a prime number l.

Then $K_1 = K_2$.

Proof. Take a prime number l for which $K_1^{(\infty,l)}L = LK_2^{(\infty,l)}$. Then $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{L/K_1,l}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{L/K_2,l})$, for which we write N. For $i = 1, 2$, $res_L^{K_i}$ factors through $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L/N, \mathbb{Z}_l)(\subset \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l))$ and $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L/N, \mathbb{Z}_l) = r_l(K_i)$. Hence $\mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_1}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_2}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L/N, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(\mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_1}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_2})) = r_l(K_1) = r_l(K_2)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_1}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(res_L^{K_2}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K_1)} \cap \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K_2)} = \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{G(L/K_1 \cap K_2)}$$

and $r_l(K_1) = r_l(K_2) = r_l(K_1 \cap K_2)$. Now, by symmetry, we may assume that K_1 has a complex prime. Then, by Lemma 3.2, we have $K_1 = K_1 \cap K_2$, so that $K_1 \subset K_2$. Hence K_2 also has a complex prime. Again by Lemma 3.2, we have $K_2 = K_1$. □

Proposition 3.6. Let S and T be subsets of $P_{\mathbb{Q},f}$ such that $\delta(S) \neq 0$ and T is infinite. Then there exists a finite subextension L of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}}; l \in T)/\mathbb{Q}$ such that L is totally imaginary, $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ is a power of 2, and $\delta(S \cap \mathrm{cs}(L/\mathbb{Q})) \neq 0$.

Proof. For $l \in T$, we write $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_l)$ for the maximal subextension of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}})/\mathbb{Q}$ of 2-power degree. We set $2^{n_l} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_l) : \mathbb{Q}]$, $T = \{l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots\}$ with $n_{l_t} \leq n_{l_{t+1}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $G_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{l_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l_m})/\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_{1 \leq t \leq m} G(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{l_t})/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \prod_{1 \leq t \leq m} \mathbb{Z}/2^{n_{l_t}}\mathbb{Z}$ (and by this isomorphism, identify G_m with $\prod_{1 \leq t \leq m} \mathbb{Z}/2^{n_{l_t}}\mathbb{Z}$). Note that the decomposition group D_∞ at the real prime in G_m is generated by the element $(2^{n_{l_1}-1}, \ldots, 2^{n_{l_m}-1})$. We set

$$X_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (2^{n_{l_t}-n_{l_1}})_{1 \leq t \leq m} + \prod_{1 \leq t \leq m} 2^{n_{l_t}-n_{l_1}+1}\mathbb{Z}/2^{n_{l_t}}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\{\langle x \rangle \subset G_m \mid x \in X_m\}$ coincides with the set of maximal elements of

$$\{H \subset G_m \mid H \text{ is a cyclic subgroup of } G_m \text{ such that } D_\infty \subset H\}.$$

We set $Y_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{x \in X_m} \langle x \rangle$. For $x \in X_m$, we have $\#\langle x \rangle = 2^{n_{l_1}}$. Since $\#Y_m \leq \#X_m \cdot 2^{n_{l_1}} = (2^{n_{l_1}-1})^m \cdot 2^{n_{l_1}}$ and $\#G_m \geq 2^{n_{l_1} m}$, $\lim_{m \to \infty} \#Y_m/\#G_m = 0$. Hence there exists $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\delta_{\sup}(S) > \#Y_{m'}/\#G_{m'}$. Therefore, by the Chebotarev density theorem, there exists $x' \in G_{m'} \setminus Y_{m'}$ such that $\delta_{\sup}(S') > 0$ where

$$S' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{p \in S \setminus \mathrm{Ram}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{l_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l_{m'}})/\mathbb{Q}) \mid \text{the Frobenius element at } p \text{ in } G_{m'} \text{ coincides with } x'\right\}.$$

Let L be a finite subextension of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{l_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l_{m'}})/\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to $G_{m'}/\langle x' \rangle$. Then, since $S' \subset \mathrm{cs}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $\delta_{\sup}(S \cap \mathrm{cs}(L/\mathbb{Q})) \geq \delta_{\sup}(S') > 0$. By construction, L is totally imaginary, and $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ is a power of 2. □

4 Some properties of the Dirichlet density

In this section, we prove some formulas about the Dirichlet density.

Lemma 4.1. Let L/K be a finite extension of number fields and S a set of primes of K. If $S_f \subset \mathrm{cs}(L/K)$, then

$$\delta_{\sup}(S(L)) = [L : K]\delta_{\sup}(S) \text{ and } \delta_{\inf}(S(L)) = [L : K]\delta_{\inf}(S).$$

Proof. Any prime in $\mathrm{cs}(L/K)$ splits completely into $[L : K]$ primes in L/K. Therefore, if $S_f \subset \mathrm{cs}(L/K)$, then we obtain

$$\delta_{\sup}(S(L)) = \limsup_{s \to 1+0} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} [L : K]\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} = [L : K]\delta_{\sup}(S).$$

The proof of the assertion for $\delta_{\inf}$ is similar. □

Lemma 4.2. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i, $T_i \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ. Then $\delta_{\sup}(T_1) = \delta_{\sup}(T_2)$. Further, assume that for $i = 1, 2$, $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois. Then for $i = 1, 2$, $\delta_{\sup}(T_i(K_1K_2)) = [K_1K_2 : K_i]\delta_{\sup}(T_i)$. The similar assertions hold for $\delta_{\inf}$.

Proof. Since the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ,

$$\delta_{\sup}(T_1) = \limsup_{s\to 1+0} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}_1 \in T_1} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}_1)^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} = \limsup_{s\to 1+0} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}_2 \in T_2} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}_2)^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} = \delta_{\sup}(T_2).$$

By symmetry, it suffices to show the second assertion only for $i = 1$. Omitting finite sets from T_1 and T_2, we may assume that for $i = 1, 2$, any prime in $T_i(K_1K_2)$ is not ramified over $\mathbb{Q}$. We first show that $T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})(K_1) = T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})(K_1)$. It is obvious that the right side is contained in the left side, so we show the converse. Take $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})(K_1)$ and set $p \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{p}_1|_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1$ is of residual degree 1 and we have $p \in \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})$. Since the good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ, $\sigma_{*,K_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is also above p and of residual degree 1. As $K_2/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, $p \in \mathrm{cs}(K_2/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus, we have $p \in \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})$, so that $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})(K_1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\sup}(T_1(K_1K_2)) &= \delta_{\sup}(T_1(K_1K_2) \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})(K_1K_2)) \\
&= \delta_{\sup}((T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})(K_1))(K_1K_2)) \\
&= [K_1K_2 : K_1]\delta_{\sup}(T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1K_2/\mathbb{Q})(K_1)) \\
&= [K_1K_2 : K_1]\delta_{\sup}(T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})(K_1)) \\
&= [K_1K_2 : K_1]\delta_{\sup}(T_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and the fifth equalities follow from the fact that K_1K_2 and K_1 are Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that the primes of residual degrees ≥ 2 do not contribute to the density, and the third equality follows from Lemma 4.1. The proof of the assertions for $\delta_{\inf}$ is similar. □

By this lemma, we obtain another way to show the existence of an isomorphism of fields.

Proposition 4.3. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i, $T_i \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) The good local correspondence between T_1 and T_2 holds for σ.

(c) $\delta_{\sup}(T_i) > 1/2$ for one i.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and conditions (b), (c), we have $\delta_{\sup}(T_1) = \delta_{\sup}(T_2) > 1/2$ and $\delta_{\sup}(T_i(K_1K_2)) = [K_1K_2 : K_i]\delta_{\sup}(T_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence we have $[K_1K_2 : K_i] = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, so that $K_1 = K_2$. □

The results in the rest of this section are only used in the proof of Theorem 5.8.

Definition 4.4. Let K be a number field and S a set of primes of K. Then we set

$$S^{\mathrm{s}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f \mid \text{the local degree of } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is } 1\}, S^{\mathrm{s,ff}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s}} \mid P_{K,\mathfrak{p}|_{\mathbb{Q}}} \subset S^{\mathrm{s}}\} = P_{S^{\mathrm{s}}}(K).^{*4}$$

Lemma 4.5. Let K be a number field, S a set of primes of K. Then

$$\delta_{\sup}(S) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{\delta_{\sup}(S^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \text{ and } \delta_{\inf}(S) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{\delta_{\inf}(S^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Proof. Since $S_f \subset (P_{K,f} \setminus P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) \coprod (S_f \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}})$, we have for $s > 1$,

$$\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \leq \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f} \setminus P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}}.$$

Here, $P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}} = P_{P_K^{\mathrm{s}}}(K) = \mathrm{cs}(K/\mathbb{Q})(K) = \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})(K)$ and prime numbers in $\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ split completely into $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ primes in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, we have for $s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f} \setminus P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} &= \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} - \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_{K,f}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} - [K : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})} p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $S \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}} = S^{\mathrm{s}} \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}} = S^{\mathrm{s,ff}} \coprod ((S^{\mathrm{s}} \setminus S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}})$. Further,

$$(S^{\mathrm{s}} \setminus S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}} \subset P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}} \setminus S^{\mathrm{s,ff}} = (\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus P_{S^{\mathrm{s}}})(K)$$

and in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, $p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus P_{S^{\mathrm{s}}}$ splits completely into $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ primes, all of which are not contained in $(S^{\mathrm{s}} \setminus S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}$. Hence we have for $s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \leq \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in (S^{\mathrm{s}} \setminus S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) \cap P_K^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus P_{S^{\mathrm{s}}}} ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})} ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} - \frac{\sum_{p \in P_{S^{\mathrm{s}}}} ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) \cdot \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})} p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} - \frac{[K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \cdot \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \cdot \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}} + ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) \cdot \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})} p^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

*4 "s" is an abbreviation for "split" and "ff" for "fiber-full".

By the Chebotarev density theorem, $\delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})) = 1/[\widetilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\sup}(S) &\leq \limsup_{s\to 1+0}\left(\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in P_{K,f}}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log\frac{1}{s-1}} - \frac{\sum_{p\in\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})}p^{-s}}{\log\frac{1}{s-1}} + \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\cdot\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in S^{\mathrm{s,ff}}}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\log\frac{1}{s-1}}\right)\\ &= \delta(P_{K,f}) - \delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})) + \frac{\delta_{\sup}(S^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} = 1 - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K}:\mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{\delta_{\sup}(S^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the assertion for $\delta_{\inf}$ is similar. □

Lemma 4.6. Let K be a number field and $T \subset S$ sets of primes of K. Assume that S has Dirichlet density. Then

$$\delta_{\sup}(S \setminus T) + \delta_{\inf}(T) = \delta(S).$$

Proof. Take a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of real numbers with $s_n > 1$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 1$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}} = \delta(S)$. The sequence $\{\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is convergent if and only if the sequence $\{\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\setminus T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is convergent. Hence, if one of them is convergent, both of them are convergent and we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\setminus T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}} + \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}} = \delta(S).$$

Therefore, $\{\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is convergent to $\delta_{\inf}(T)$ if and only if $\{\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\setminus T}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s_n}}{\log\frac{1}{s_n-1}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is convergent to $\delta_{\sup}(S\setminus T)$. Thus, we obtain the assertion. □

5 Main results

In this section, we prove the main theorems in this paper using the results obtained so far.

Theorem 5.1. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $\#P_{S_i,f} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) For one i, $\delta(P_{S_i,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_i/\mathbb{Q})) \neq 0$.

(c) For the i in condition (b), there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that S_{3-i} satisfies condition $(\star_l)$.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that the i in condition (b) is 1. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\delta_{\sup}(S_1) \geq \delta_{\sup}((P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}))(K_1)) = [K_1 : \mathbb{Q}]\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q})) > 0$. Hence, by Proposition 1.20, S_1 satisfies condition $(\star_l)$ for the prime number l in condition (c). Therefore, the local correspondence between $S_{1,f}$ and $S_{2,f}$ holds for σ by Theorem 2.6, so that $P_{S_1,f} = P_{S_2,f}$ by Proposition 2.8.

Next, we define subextensions L_1, L_1' and M_1 of $K_{1,S_1}/K_1$ as follows. Take subsets $S_0, S_0' \subset P_{S_1,f}$ with $\#S_0 = \infty$, $\#S_0' = \infty$ and $S_0 \cap S_0' = \emptyset$ such that $\widetilde{K_1}$, $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}}; l \in S_0)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}}; l \in S_0')$ are linearly disjoint. By Proposition 3.6, there exists a finite subextension L_0 (resp. L_0') of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}}; l \in S_0)/\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\tilde{l}}; l \in S_0')/\mathbb{Q}$) such that L_0 (resp. L_0') is totally imaginary and $\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L_0/\mathbb{Q})) > 0$ (resp. $\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L_0/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L_0'/\mathbb{Q})) > 0$). Then we set $L_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} K_1L_0$, $L_1' \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} K_1L_0'$ and $M_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} L_1L_1' = K_1L_0L_0'$.

We write subextensions L_2, L_2' and M_2 of $K_{2,S_2}/K_2$ for the finite subextensions corresponding to $\sigma(G_{L_1,S_1(L_1)})$, $\sigma(G_{L_1',S_1(L_1')})$ and $\sigma(G_{M_1,S_1(M_1)})$, respectively. Note that L_1 and L_1' are totally imaginary, and $L_i \cap L_i' = K_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Remark 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, we have $P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M_1/\mathbb{Q}) = P_{S_2,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M_2/\mathbb{Q})$ and the good local correspondence between $P_{S_1,f}(M_1)$ and $P_{S_2,f}(M_2)$ holds for $\sigma|_{G_{M_1,S_1(M_1)}} : G_{M_1,S_1(M_1)} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} G_{M_2,S_2(M_2)}$. Now, we set $M \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widetilde{M_1}\widetilde{M_2}$. Then $M/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M/\mathbb{Q}) &= P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{M_1}/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{M_2}/\mathbb{Q}) \\ &= P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(M_2/\mathbb{Q}) \\ &= P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M_1/\mathbb{Q}) \\ &= P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L_0/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(L_0'/\mathbb{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M/\mathbb{Q})) > 0$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f}(M)) = [M : \mathbb{Q}]\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(M/\mathbb{Q})) > 0.$$

Take $l \in P_{S_1,f}(= P_{S_2,f})$. By Proposition 3.3, there exists $\tau \in G(M/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\overline{\sigma}_{M_1} \circ \pi_{M/M_1,l} = (\tau|_{M_2})^* \circ \pi_{M/\tau(M_2),l},$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{M_1} : \Gamma_{M_1,l} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Gamma_{M_2,l}$ is the isomorphism induced by $\sigma|_{G_{M_1,S_1(M_1)}} : G_{M_1,S_1(M_1)} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} G_{M_2,S_2(M_2)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\sigma}_{L_1} \circ \pi_{M/L_1,l} &= \overline{\sigma}_{L_1} \circ \pi_{M_1/L_1,l} \circ \pi_{M/M_1,l} \\ &= \pi_{M_2/L_2,l} \circ \overline{\sigma}_{M_1} \circ \pi_{M/M_1,l} \\ &= \pi_{M_2/L_2,l} \circ (\tau|_{M_2})^* \circ \pi_{M/\tau(M_2),l} \\ &= (\tau|_{L_2})^* \circ \pi_{\tau(M_2)/\tau(L_2),l} \circ \pi_{M/\tau(M_2),l} \\ &= (\tau|_{L_2})^* \circ \pi_{M/\tau(L_2),l}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{L_1} : \Gamma_{L_1,l} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Gamma_{L_2,l}$ is the isomorphism induced by $\sigma|_{G_{L_1,S_1(L_1)}} : G_{L_1,S_1(L_1)} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} G_{L_2,S_2(L_2)}$. Therefore, $(\tau|_{L_2})^{*^{-1}} \circ \overline{\sigma}_{L_1} \circ \pi_{M/L_1,l} = \pi_{M/\tau(L_2),l}$, so that we have $L_1^{(\infty,l)}\tau(L_2) = L_1\tau(L_2)^{(\infty,l)}$

by Lemma 3.4. Then $L_1^{(\infty,l)}M = M\tau(L_2)^{(\infty,l)}$. By Proposition 3.5, we obtain $L_1 = \tau(L_2)$. Similarly, we have $L_1' = \tau(L_2')$. Thus, $K_1 = L_1 \cap L_1' = \tau(L_2 \cap L_2') = \tau(K_2)$. □

Corollary 5.2. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) $\delta(P_{S_i,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_i/\mathbb{Q})) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. By the assumption, we can show easily that the conditions in Theorem 5.1 hold. □

Lemma 5.3. Let K be a number field, and S a set of primes of K with $P_\infty \subset S$. If S is finite, then we have

$$\#S - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_2 \setminus S} ([K_\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{Q}_2] + 1) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^2) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\#S = \infty$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^2) = \infty$.

Proof. Assume S is finite. Then, by [NSW], (8.3.20) Theorem, $H^1(G_{K,S}, \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is finite. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^2)$ is finite. Set $L \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} K(\sqrt{\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times})$. By [Neukirch3], Chapter V, (3.3) Lemma, L/K is unramified outside $P_2 \cup S$. Since the canonical homomorphism: $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times)^2 \to K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ is injective, we have the isomorphism: $G(L/K) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(O_{K,S}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times)^2, \mu_2)$ by Kummer theory. By Dirichlet's S-unit theorem and $\mu_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(O_{K,S}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times)^2) = \#S$, so that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} G(L/K) = \#S$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in P_2 \setminus S$, we write $I_\mathfrak{p}$ for the inertia subgroup of $D_{\mathfrak{p},L/K} \subset G(L/K)$. Then, by local class field theory, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} I_\mathfrak{p} \leq [K_\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{Q}_2] + 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}}/(G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{ab}})^2) &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G(L/K)/\langle I_\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in P_2 \setminus S\rangle) \\
&\geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} G(L/K) - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_2 \setminus S} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} I_\mathfrak{p} \\
&\geq \#S - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P_2 \setminus S} ([K_\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{Q}_2] + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

The last assertion follows from the inequality. □

Remark 5.4. In Theorem 5.1, if, for one i, K_i is totally real and there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that the Leopoldt conjecture is true for the pair (K_i, l), then we can omit condition (c). Indeed, then, for $i = 1, 2$, K_i has only one $\mathbb{Z}_l$-extension, so that condition $(\star_l)$ for S_i is equivalent to the condition $\#S_i = \infty$ by Remark 1.19. Therefore, by condition (b) and Lemma 5.3, condition (c) holds.

Theorem 5.5. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $\#P_{S_i,f} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) For one i, there exist a totally real subfield $K_{i,0} \subset K_i$ and a set of nonarchimedean primes $T_{i,0}$ of $K_{i,0}$ such that $T_{i,0}(K_i) \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\delta(T_{i,0}(\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2})) \neq 0$.

(c) For the i in condition (b), there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that S_{3-i} satisfies condition $(\star_l)$.

(d) K_i has a complex prime for one i.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that the i in condition (b) is 1. We set $T_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{1,0}(K_1)$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\delta_{\sup}(S_1) \geq \delta_{\sup}(T_1 \cap \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/K_1)) = \delta_{\sup}(T_1(\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}))/[\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2} : K_1] > 0$. Hence, by Proposition 1.20, S_1 satisfies condition $(\star_l)$ for the prime number l in condition (c). Therefore, the local correspondence (resp. the good local correspondence) between $S_{1,f}$ (resp. T_1) and $S_{2,f}$ (resp. T_2) holds for σ by Theorem 2.6, where $T_2 \subset S_{2,f}$ is the set corresponding to T_1 under the local correspondence between $S_{1,f}$ and $S_{2,f}$. Then, we have $P_{S_1,f} = P_{S_2,f}$ by Proposition 2.8.

Take $l \in P_{S_1,f}$. By Proposition 3.3, there exists $\tau \in G(\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\overline{\sigma}_{K_1} \circ \pi_{\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/K_1,l} = (\tau|_{K_2})^* \circ \pi_{\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/\tau(K_2),l},$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{K_1} : \Gamma_{K_1,l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{K_2,l}$ is the isomorphism induced by σ. Therefore,

$$(\tau|_{K_2})^{*-1} \circ \overline{\sigma}_{K_1} \circ \pi_{\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/K_1,l} = \pi_{\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/\tau(K_2),l},$$

so that we have $K_1^{(\infty,l)}\tau(K_2) = K_1\tau(K_2)^{(\infty,l)}$ by Lemma 3.4. Then $K_1^{(\infty,l)}\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2} = \widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}\tau(K_2)^{(\infty,l)}$. By Proposition 3.5, we obtain $K_1 = \tau(K_2)$. □

Corollary 5.6. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $\#P_{S_i,f} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) For one i, there exist a totally real subfield $K_{i,0} \subset K_i$ and a set of nonarchimedean primes $T_{i,0}$ of $K_{i,0}$ such that $T_{i,0}(K_i) \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\delta(T_{i,0}(K_i)) \neq 0$.

(c) For the i in condition (b), there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that S_{3-i} satisfies condition $(\star_l)$.

(d) $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois for $i = 1, 2$ and K_i is totally imaginary for one i.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that the i in condition (b) is 1. We set $T_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} T_{1,0}(K_1)$. Then $\delta_{\sup}(S_1) \geq \delta_{\sup}(T_1) > 0$. As in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 5.5, the local correspondence (resp. the good local correspondence) between $S_{1,f}$ (resp. T_1) and $S_{2,f}$ (resp. T_2) holds for σ, where $T_2 \subset S_{2,f}$ is the set corresponding to T_1 under the local correspondence between $S_{1,f}$ and $S_{2,f}$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, we have $\delta_{\sup}(T_1(K_1K_2)) = [K_1K_2 : K_1]\delta_{\sup}(T_1) > 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.5, we obtain $K_1 \simeq K_2$. □

Theorem 5.7. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) $\#P_{S_i,f} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) For one i, there exist a totally real subfield $K_{i,0} \subset K_i$ and a set of nonarchimedean primes $T_{i,0}$ of $K_{i,0}$ such that $T_{i,0}(K_i) \subset S_{i,f}$ and $\delta_{\sup}(T_{i,0}(K_i)) > 1/2$.

(c) For the i in condition (b), there exists a prime number $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ such that S_{3-i} satisfies condition $(\star_l)$.

(d) $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois for $i = 1, 2$.

Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. It is enough to modify the proof of Corollary 5.6 by using Proposition 4.3 instead of Theorem 5.5. □

Theorem 5.8. For $i = 1, 2$, let K_i be a number field, S_i a set of primes of K_i with $P_{K_i,\infty} \subset S_i$ and $\sigma : G_{K_1,S_1} \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{K_2,S_2}$ an isomorphism. Assume that $\delta_{\sup}(S_1) + \delta_{\inf}(S_2) > 2 - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}:\mathbb{Q}]}$. Then $K_1 \simeq K_2$.

Proof. We show that the conditions in Theorem 5.1 hold. By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}}) + \delta_{\inf}(P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}) = \frac{\delta_{\sup}(S_1^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{\delta_{\inf}(S_2^{\mathrm{s,ff}})}{[K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]} &\geq \delta_{\sup}(S_1) + \delta_{\inf}(S_2) - 2 + \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1} : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]} \\ &> \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1} : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]} - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that $P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}} \subset \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}} \subset \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, $\delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}/\mathbb{Q})) = 1/[\widetilde{K_1} : \mathbb{Q}]$, $\delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})) = 1/[\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]$ and

$$\delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})) = \delta(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})) = \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Hence

$$\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}}) \leq 1/[\widetilde{K_1} : \mathbb{Q}],\ \delta_{\inf}(P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}) \leq 1/[\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}] \tag{5.2}$$

and by Lemma 4.6,

$$\delta_{\sup}((\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}/\mathbb{Q}) \cup \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})) \setminus P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}) = \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1} : \mathbb{Q}]} + \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]} - \frac{1}{[\widetilde{K_1}\widetilde{K_2} : \mathbb{Q}]} - \delta_{\inf}(P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}).$$

Therefore, $P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}}$ is not contained in $(\mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_1}/\mathbb{Q}) \cup \mathrm{cs}(\widetilde{K_2}/\mathbb{Q})) \setminus P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}$, so that $P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}} \cap P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}} \neq \emptyset$. Since $P_{S_i^{\mathrm{s}}} = P_{S_i,f} \cap \mathrm{cs}(K_i/\mathbb{Q})$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f} \neq \emptyset$. By (5.1) and (5.2), we have $\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_1^{\mathrm{s}}}) > 0$ and $\delta_{\inf}(P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}) > 0$, so that condition (b) for $i = 1$ holds. In particular, $\#P_{S_1,f} = \infty$ and $\#P_{S_2,f} = \infty$, and hence condition (a) holds. Since

$$\delta_{\sup}(S_2) \geq \delta_{\inf}(S_2^{\mathrm{s,ff}}) = [K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]\delta_{\inf}(P_{S_2^{\mathrm{s}}}) > 0$$

by Lemma 4.1, condition (c) for $i = 1$ and for any $l \in P_{S_1,f} \cap P_{S_2,f}$ holds by Proposition 1.20. □

Remark 5.9. If the Leopoldt conjecture is true for all pairs $(K_i,\ p)$ where $i = 1, 2$ and p runs through all prime numbers, we can replace the assumption in Theorem 5.8 by the weaker assumption: "$\delta_{\sup}(S_i) > 1 - 1/[\widetilde{K_i} : \mathbb{Q}]$ for $i = 1, 2$". Indeed, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.5, $\delta_{\sup}(P_{S_i^{\mathrm{s}}}) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, so that $P_{S_i,f} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by [Ivanov2], Proposition 4.1 (which assumes the validity of the Leopoldt conjecture), we have $P_{S_1,f} = P_{S_2,f}$.[*5] Therefore, condition (c) in Theorem 5.1 for $i = 1$ and for any $l \in P_{S_1,f}$ holds by Proposition 1.20. The rest of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 5.8.

References

[Chenevier-Clozel] Chenevier, G., Clozel, L., Corps de nombres peu ramifiés et formes automorphes autoduales, J. of the AMS, vol. 22 (2009), no. 2, 467-519.

[Ivanov] Ivanov, A., Arithmetic and anabelian theorems for stable sets in number fields, Dissertation, Universität Heidelberg, 2013.

[Ivanov2] Ivanov, A., On some anabelian properties of arithmetic curves, Manuscripta Mathematica 144 (2014), no. 3, 545-564.

[Ivanov3] Ivanov, A., On a generalization of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem, Moscow Mathematical J. 17 (2017), no. 3, 371-383.

[Neukirch] Neukirch, J., Kennzeichnung der p-adischen und der endlichen algebraischen Zahlkörper, Invent. Math. 6 (1969), 296–314.

[*5] In the assertions of [Ivanov2], Proposition 4.1, S is assumed to be finite. However, even if S is not finite, it is easy to modify the proof of the assertion we use, by defining $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((M/\overline{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-tor}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for a profinite $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module M, where $\overline{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-tor}}}$ is the closure in M of $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-tor}}$.

[Neukirch3] Neukirch, J., Algebraic Number Theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 322. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[NSW] Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A. and Wingberg, K., Cohomology of number fields, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 323. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[Saïdi-Tamagawa] Saïdi, M. and Tamagawa, A., The m-step solvable anabelian geometry of number fields, preprint, arXiv:1909.08829.

[Serre] Serre, J.-P., Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves, Second edition, Advanced Book Classics, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1989.

[Uchida] Uchida, K., Isomorphisms of Galois groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (4) (1976), 617–620.

Ryoji Shimizu
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University
KYOTO 606-8502
Japan
shimizur@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp