# Comment on "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity in Four-Dimensional Spacetime" 

Julio Arrechea, ${ }^{1, *}$ Adrià Delhom, ${ }^{2, 母}$ and Alejandro Jiménez-Cano ${ }^{3, \text { 团 }}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), Glorieta de la Astronomía, Granada, Spain<br>${ }^{2}$ Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC. Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot-46100, Valencia, Spain<br>${ }^{3}$ Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

(Dated: December 7, 2021)

In this Comment, we elaborate on several points raised in Ref. [1]. The authors claimed to have found a fourdimensional gravitational theory which fulfills the assumptions of the Lovelock theorem [2] though not its implications. To that end, they employed a regularization procedure already outlined in Ref. (3]. This procedure consists of rescaling the coupling constant of the GaussBonnet (GB) term by $1 /(D-4)$ and taking the $D \rightarrow 4$ limit after varying the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) action. The authors of Ref. [1] claim that the variation of the GB term is proportional to $(D-4)$, canceling the $1 /(D-4)$ factor and hence yielding a nonvanishing contribution to the field equations in $D=4$. This claim does not stand a thorough analysis given that the variation of the $k$ th order Lovelock Lagrangian can be decomposed as [4, 5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S^{(k)}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}=(D-2 k) A_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}+W_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}$ is a tensor from which no $(D-2 k)$ can be extracted and which does not vanish for a general $D>2 k$. The GB term is given by $k=2$, and the field equations proposed in Ref. 1] for arbitrary dimension are

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}} \Lambda_{0} g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{2 \alpha}{M_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}\left(A_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}+\frac{W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}}{D-4}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the explicit form of $A_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ and $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ can be found in Ref. [5]. Although the $1 /(D-4)$ rescaling compensates the factor multiplying the $A_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ term, the same procedure renders the $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ term indeterminate. This owes to the fact that, although $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ vanishes in $D=4$, it does so due to algebraic reasons [4, 5] and not because it is proportional to some power of $(D-4)$. Hence, the indeterminate term $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)} /(D-4)$ renders the field equations (2) ill-defined in four dimensions. Indeed, the first problem to address would be to make sense of the limit of a tensor field [4-8], since these objects are defined for integer values of $D$ only.

Despite the above discussion, the field equations (2) are well defined in $D=4$ when constrained to particular geometries in which $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ vanishes in arbitrary dimensions. This is the case, for instance, for all conformally flat geometries, including the Friedmann-Lemaitre-RobertsonWalker or maximally symmetric solutions found in Ref. [1]. However, metric perturbations will be sensible to the ill-defined terms, hence rendering these solutions unphysical. Indeed, though linear perturbations around a maximally symmetric background are oblivious to these pathologies 1], they enter at second order through terms proportional to $1 /(D-4)$ [5]. In this direction, other works also showed that an infinitely strongly coupled new scalar degree of freedom appears beyond linear order [9]. These results strongly suggest that Eq. (2) is generally ill-defined in four dimensions.

A possible way to circumvent the pathologies of the above field equations (2) would be to get rid of the $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ term. However, $A_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ is not divergenceless [5]. Hence, by virtue of the Bianchi identity under diffeomorphisms, we conclude that there is no diffeomorphism-invariant action whose variation gives Eq. (2) without the $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ term.

Regarding spherically symmetric metrics of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=B(r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}-B^{-1}(r) \mathrm{d} r^{2}-r^{2} d \Omega_{D-2}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for them to have a vanishing $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ in arbitrary dimension, there are conditions that $B(r)$ must fulfill [5]. The spherically symmetric geometries presented in Ref. [1] do not satisfy these requirements and, therefore, cannot be solutions of Eq. (2), since this is not a well-defined set of equations in this case. Indeed, as proven in Ref. [5], they are neither solutions of the truncated equations (21) without the $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)}$ term. This is not surprising, since the authors of Ref. [1] derived these geometries by taking $D=4$ in the $D \geq 5$ solutions for the EGB theory found in Ref. [10] and then rescaling the GB coupling by $1 /(D-4)$, instead of finding a solution to the rescaled field equations (2) in $D=4$. Furthermore, the authors of Ref. [1] state that the central curvature singularity of these geometries cannot be reached by an observer. Nevertheless, as shown in Ref. [5], radial freely falling observers do reach the singularity in finite proper time, contradicting this claim.
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