

THE ℓ -MODULAR REPRESENTATION OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS OVER FINITE LOCAL RINGS OF LENGTH TWO

NARIEL MONTEIRO

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}'_2 be two distinct finite local rings of length two with residue field of characteristic p . Let $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ and $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)$, be the group of points of any reductive group scheme \mathbb{G} over \mathbb{Z} such that p is very good for $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$. We prove that there exists an isomorphism of group algebra $K[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)] \cong K[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)]$, where K is a sufficiently large field of characteristic different from p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{O} be a discrete valuation ring with a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} and having finite residue field \mathbb{F}_q , the field with q elements where q is a power of a prime p . We denote \mathcal{O}_r to be the reduction of \mathcal{O} modulo \mathfrak{p}^r . Similarly, given \mathcal{O}' a second discrete valuation ring with the same residue field \mathbb{F}_q , we can define \mathcal{O}'_r . The complex representation theory of general linear groups over the rings \mathcal{O}_r has been heavily study [13]. In particular, it has been conjectured by Onn [8] that there is an isomorphism of group algebras $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_r)] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}'_r)]$. When $r = 2$, this conjecture was proven by Singla [10]. Moreover, assuming p is odd, Singla proved a generalization of the conjecture for $r = 2$ when \mathbb{G} is either SL_n with $p \nmid n$ or the following classical groups Sp_n , O_n , and U_n [11]. Later on, Stasinski proved it for SL_n for all p [14]. More generally, for a possibly non-classical reductive group, Stasinski and Vera-Gajardo compared the complex representation of the two groups in question [15].

Let us briefly describe the result of Stasinski and Vera-Gajardo. First recall that for any group scheme \mathbb{G} over \mathbb{Z} and any commutative ring R , we may speak of the group $\mathbb{G}(R)$ of R -points. We now let \mathbb{G} be a reductive group scheme over \mathbb{Z} – for details on such group schemes see e.g. [2] and [3]. Note that for any root datum – see e.g. [3] – there is a split reductive group scheme \mathbb{G} over \mathbb{Z} with this root datum [2, Exp. XXV Theorem 1.1]. The group $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$ obtained by base-change with the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p is a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q , and we want to insist that

$$(*) \quad p \text{ is very good for } \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q ;$$

we observe that the condition $(*)$ depends only on the *root datum* of \mathbb{G} – see [12, Section 4] for the definition of good/very good primes.

Under assumption $(*)$, Staskinski and Vera-Gajardo proved that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)]$. When $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$ is a classical absolutely simple algebraic group not of type A , any $p > 2$ is very good for \mathbb{G} . When $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ or SU_n , p is very good for \mathbb{G} if and only if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$ is absolutely simple of exceptional type, any $p > 5$ is *very good* for G ; see [12, 4.3] for the precise conditions when $p \leq 5$.

We study the ℓ -modular representation of such group scheme over \mathcal{O}_2 a local ring of length two with finite residue field. One can show that \mathcal{O}_2 is isomorphic to one of the following rings: $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^2$ or $W_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the ring of Witt vectors of length two over \mathbb{F}_q [15, Lemma 2.1]. Thus, we let $G_2 = \mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ and $G'_2 = \mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)$, where \mathbb{G} stands for a reductive group scheme over \mathbb{Z} such that p is very good for $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$.

In this paper, we generalize the previous results over \mathbb{C} to results over a sufficiently large field K of characteristic $l \neq p$. More precisely, we prove that there exists an isomorphism of group algebras $KG_2 \cong KG'_2$ over a sufficiently large field K of characteristic l as long as $l \neq p$. We take K to be a

sufficiently large so that the representation theory of the groups over K is the same as the representation theory over an algebraically closed field of characteristic l .

In order for us to understand those two group algebras, we study their decomposition by block algebras. Given A a K -algebra, we define a block of A to be a primitive idempotent b in the center of A , which is denoted as $Z(A)$; the algebra Ab is called a block algebra of A . By an idempotent, we mean an element b such that $b^2 = b$ and primitive if $b = b_1 + b_2$ is an expression of b as a sum of idempotents such that $b_1 b_2 = 0$, either $b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$. Moreover, the block algebra Ab is an indecomposable two-sided ideal summand of A . Thus for a finite group G , we can write

$$KG = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

where the $B_i = e_i KG$ are the unique block algebras of KG up to ordering [1]. Moreover $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

We investigate blocks of KG which we denote as $Bl(G)$. To understand the block algebra of those two group algebras, we exploit the fact that those two groups are extensions of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by an abelian p -group denoted as N which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denoted as \mathfrak{g} [15, Lemma 2.3.]. In fact, for the rest of this section let G denote either G_2 or G'_2 . Let the map

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

be the surjective map obtained from the map $\mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ with $N = \ker(\rho)$. The two groups G_2 and G'_2 act on \mathfrak{g} , via its quotient $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This action is transformed by the above isomorphism into the action of G on its normal subgroup N , we explore the details of this action in section 3. In section 2, we use Clifford's theory to relate blocks of G with blocks of N . Specifically, we have that any block $b \in Bl(G)$ is equal to $Tr_H^G(d) = \sum_{g \in G/H} g d g^{-1}$ for d in $Bl(H)$, where H is the stabilizer in G for some block of

N . In section 4, we exploit the fact that Clifford theory, in combination with the results obtained in the characteristic zero case, gives an isomorphism between certain blocks algebra of the stabilizer of those two groups. In section 5, we induce the previous isomorphism to an isomorphism between block algebras of G_2 and G'_2 by taking advantage of the fact that blocks of a group algebra are interior G -algebras. This isomorphism will then give rise to an isomorphism between those two group algebras.

Acknowledgements. I am extremely grateful to my advisor George McNinch for suggesting this project and his invaluable guidance and support throughout it. I thank Alexander Stasinski for reading a first draft of this article and providing very valuable and constructive feedback. This research was partially supported by Thesis Writing Fellowship, Noah Snyder's NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1454767.

2. BACKGROUND ON CLIFFORD THEORY OF BLOCKS

Let G be a finite group and N a normal subgroup of G . We use Clifford theory to relate blocks of KG with blocks of KN . Given b a block of KG and e a block of KN , we say b covers e if $be \neq 0$. We denote the set of blocks of G that covers e by $Bl(G|e)$. Note that there is a natural action of G on the set of blocks of N by conjugation. Moreover one can show that for a fixed b , the set of blocks e of KN satisfying $be \neq 0$ is a G -conjugacy class of blocks of KN [4, Proposition 6.8.2].

The following Clifford theorem for blocks of G holds:

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and N a normal subgroup of G . Given a block $b \in Bl(G)$, we have:*

- (1) *there exists a block $e \in Bl(N)$ such that $b \cdot e \neq 0$ so $b \in Bl(G|e)$*

- (2) if e is as in (1), then $b = \text{Tr}_H^G(d) := \sum_{g \in G/H} g d g^{-1}$ for a unique block $d \in \text{Bl}(H|e)$ where H is the stabilizer of e in G under the conjugation action.
- (3) The assignment $d \mapsto \text{Tr}_H^G(d) = b$ gives a bijection between $\text{Bl}(H|e)$ and $\text{Bl}(G|e)$.

For more information about Clifford theory of blocks consult Linckelmann [4], Nagao [6], or Craven [1]. Thus in order for us to understand the block algebra of those two groups, we start by investigating the block structure of eKH for a fixed block $e \in \text{Bl}(N)$, where as above H is the stabilizer of e in G , under the conjugation action.

Let $\text{Irr}_K(N)$ be the set of irreducible characters of KN . Since l does not divide $|N|$ (because N is a p -group), any block of KN is defined by $e = e_\chi = \frac{\chi(1)}{|N|} \sum_{g \in N} \chi(g^{-1})g$, for some χ in $\text{Irr}_K(N)$ [7].

Specifically, KN is a semisimple algebra and eKN is a matrix algebra, so it has defect zero. Thus, we can take advantage of the following proposition to show that $eKH \cong eKN \otimes_K K^{\alpha^{-1}}L$. For an α in $Z^2(G; K^*)$, we denote $K^\alpha G$ as the twisted group algebra of G by α . It has basis as K -algebra the elements of G and given $x, y \in G$, we define $x \cdot y = \alpha(x, y)xy$ where xy is the product of x and y in G . Furthermore, given a different β in $Z^2(G; K^*)$ there is a G -graded algebra isomorphism $K^\alpha G \cong K^\beta G$ if and only if the classes of α and β are equal in $H^2(G; K^*)$ [5]. Thus, for the purpose of this paper α is defined up to an element in the second cohomology group $H^2(G; K^*)$ with G acting trivially on K^* .

Proposition 2.2. (Theorem 6.8.13, Linckelmann [4]) *Let H be a finite group, N a normal subgroup of H and e a H -stable block of defect zero of KN . Set $S = KNe$ and suppose that K is a splitting field for S . Set $L = H/N$. For any $x \in H$ there is $s_x \in S^*$ such that $xtx^{-1} = s_x t (s_x)^{-1}$ for all $t \in S$ and such that $s_x s_y = s_{xy}$ if at least one of x, y is in N . Then the 2-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(H; K^*)$ defined by $s_x s_y = \alpha(x, y) s_{xy}$ for $x, y \in N$ depends only on the images of x, y in L and induces a 2-cocycle, still denoted α , in $Z^2(L; K^*)$, and we have an isomorphism of K -algebras*

$$KHe \xrightarrow{\sim} S \otimes_K K^{\alpha^{-1}}L$$

sending xe to $s_x \otimes \bar{x}$, where $x \in H$ and \bar{x} is the image of x in L .

3. THE ACTION OF G_2 AND G'_2 ON THE KERNEL N

In this section, we study the action of G on the kernel N so we can understand the stabilizer $H \bmod N$ and thus we can take advantage of the Proposition 2.2. The two groups G_2 and G'_2 act on \mathfrak{g} , via its quotient $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Particularly, there is a natural adjoint action of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on \mathfrak{g} :

$$\text{Ad} : \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Also there is an automorphism of $\sigma : \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by raising each matrix entry to the p -th power. Note that σ composed with Ad gives rise to a second action of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on \mathfrak{g} . Thus, given $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we note that the action of $G_2 = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^2)$ and $G'_2 = \mathbb{G}(W_2(\mathbb{F}_q))$ are as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot_1 X &= \text{Ad}(\bar{g})X \quad \text{for } g \in G_2 \\ g \cdot_2 X &= \text{Ad}(\sigma(\bar{g}))X \quad \text{for } g \in G'_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{g} = \rho(g)$, see [15, Section 2.3] for more details. Moreover, we also have actions of both G_2 and G'_2 on $\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\text{Irr}_K(\mathfrak{g})$. Given F an element of \mathfrak{g}^* or $\text{Irr}_K(\mathfrak{g})$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot_1 F)(X) &= F(g^{-1} \cdot_1 X) \quad \text{for } g \in G_2 \\ (g \cdot_2 F)(X) &= F(g^{-1} \cdot_2 X) \quad \text{for } g \in G'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let χ and τ be characters of \mathfrak{g} then ${}^g\chi = \tau$ for some $g \in G'_2$ if and only if there is $h \in G_2$ such that ${}^h\chi = \tau$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G'_2$ and $h \in \rho^{-1}(\sigma(\bar{g}^{-1})) \subset G_2$ then we have:

$${}^g\chi(X) = (g \cdot_2 \chi)(X) = \chi(g^{-1} \cdot_2 X) = \chi(\rho^{-1}(\sigma(\bar{g}^{-1})) \cdot_1 X) = {}^h\chi(X).$$

□

Given a non-trivial irreducible character $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow K^*$ and for each $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we define the character $\chi_\beta \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\chi_\beta(X) = \phi(\beta(X)).$$

Lemma 3.2. [15, Lemma 4.1] *The map $\beta \mapsto \chi_\beta$ defines an isomorphism of abelian group $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g})$ that is G -invariant i.e. for $g \in G$ we have*

$$g \cdot_1 \beta \mapsto g \cdot_1 \chi_\beta \quad \text{or} \quad g \cdot_2 \beta \mapsto g \cdot_2 \chi_\beta.$$

Note, there is also an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g} \cong N$ which is G -invariant [15, Lemma 2.3.]. Thus, we can identify the characters of N with characters of \mathfrak{g} . Given a character χ of N , we define H_2 and H'_2 to be the stabilizer of χ in G_2 and G'_2 respectively. Thus, we have the following lemma about the stabilizer of e_χ .

Lemma 3.3. *The stabilizer H_2/N and H'_2/N of e_χ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Since $g \cdot e_\chi = e_{g \cdot \chi}$, the stabilizer of e_χ is the same as the stabilizer of χ [7, Section 9]. Thus, we compute the stabilizer of χ . By the discussion above, we can consider χ to be in $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g})$, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\chi = \chi_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Thus:

$$H'_2/N = \{g \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) | \rho^{-1}(g) \cdot_2 \beta = \beta\} = \{g \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) | \rho^{-1}(\sigma(g)) \cdot_1 \beta = \beta\} = \sigma^{-1}(H_2/N).$$

Since the map σ is automorphism, it follows that $H_2/N \cong H'_2/N$.

□

4. THE ISOMORPHISM OF eKH_2 AND eKH'_2

In this section, we prove that there is an isomorphism of blocks of eKH_2 and eKH'_2 , where $e = e_\chi$ for χ in $\text{Irr}_K(N)$ such that H_2 and H'_2 are the stabilizer of e in G_2 and G'_2 respectively. To prove this isomorphism, we take advantage of Proposition 2.2. In order to do so, we need to understand the cofactors α associated to eKH_2 and eKH'_2 . We prove that the cofactor α is trivial in both cases. For this, we introduce projective (K) -representation of G with factor set α . By which, we mean a map $X : G \rightarrow \mathbb{GL}_n(K)$ such that $X(x)X(y) = \alpha(xy)X(xy)$ for all x, y in G , where $\alpha(xy)$ is in K^* . In fact, one can check that α is in $Z^2(G; K^*)$, where we assume that G acts on K^* trivially. Similarly, one can define a projective representation as a $K^\alpha G$ -module [6]. We let H denote either H_2 or H'_2 . The projective representations of H are closely related to Clifford theory. In fact, we can use proposition 2.2 to show that there is a projective representation V of H that extends χ . By that we mean that V viewed as KN -module is isomorphic to the KN -module associated to χ .

Proposition 4.1. *The α , obtained from proposition 2.2, associated to the block e_χ of KN gives rise to a projective representation of H that extends χ .*

Proof. Let Y be the representation associated to χ , i.e $Y : N \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. Let R be a set of representative of $L = H/N$ in H and $S = KNe$. For each $x \in R$, by proposition 2.2, there is a $s_x \in S^*$ such that $xtx^{-1} = s_xt(s_x)^{-1}$ for all $t \in S$. Note also since e is a H -stable block of defect zero of KN , then $S = KNe \cong M_n(K)$. With abuse of notation, we can think of s_x as an element of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. Now define X to be map $X : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that for each $h = xn \in H$, we have $X(h) = s_x Y(n)$ with $x \in R$ and $n \in N$. One can check that X as defined above is a projective representation of H with factor set α , in $Z^2(L; K^*)$ that extends Y . \square

In order to understand α from proposition 2.2 which is associated to a projective (K) -representation of G , we study the projective representation of H with factor set α that extends χ over a field of characteristic zero. To relate them, we need an ℓ -modular system. By this we mean a triple $(F; R; K)$ where F is a field of characteristic zero equipped with a discrete valuation, R is the valuation ring in F with maximal ideal (π) , and $K = R/(\pi)$ is the residue field of R , which is required to have characteristic ℓ . If both F and K are splitting fields for G we say that the triple is a splitting ℓ -modular system for G . Note, we need an ℓ -modular system so we can relate representation over a field F of characteristic zero to representation over a field K of characteristic ℓ . The following lemma shows that given a projective representation over F , we can obtain a projective representation over R . Notice this lemma is just a generalization of the already known fact over group algebras that can be extended to hold over twisted group algebras. In fact the prove is the same, see [9, Lemma 2.2.2].

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite group and M be a $F^\alpha G$ -module with $\alpha \in Z^2(G; R^*)$ then M contains a lattice L that is a $R^\alpha G$ -module.*

Proof. Note by [9, Lemma 2.2.1] to show that L is a lattice of M it is enough to show that L is finitely generated as an R -module and L generates M as a F -vector space. Thus, pick a F basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M then let $L' := Re_1 + \dots + Re_n$ a lattice of M . Let $L := \sum_{g \in G} gL'$ then L is a $R^\alpha G$ -module. Note L is finitely generated as an R -module by $\{ge_i : 1 \leq i \leq n, g \in G\}$ and it also generates M as vector space. Thus L is a G -invariant lattice. \square

Before we introduce the following theorem, recall that a block of defect zero may be defined as a matrix algebra. Moreover, by the following Proposition 4.3 such a block is a ring summand of KG which has a projective simple module.

Proposition 4.3. *(Theorem 9.6.1, Webb [17]). Let $(F; R; K)$ be a splitting p -modular system in which R is complete, and let G be a group of order $p^d q$ where q is prime to p . Let T be an FG -module of dimension n , containing a full RG -sublattice T_0 . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $p^d | n$ and T is a simple FG -module.
- (2) The homomorphism $RG \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_R(T_0)$ that gives the action of RG on T_0 identifies $\mathrm{End}_R(T_0) \cong M_n(R)$ with a ring direct summand of RG .
- (3) T is a simple FG -module and T_0 is a projective RG -module.
- (4) The homomorphism $KG \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(T_0/\pi T_0)$ identifies $\mathrm{End}_K(T_0/\pi T_0) \cong M_n(K)$ with a ring direct summand of KG .
- (5) As a KG -module, $T_0/\pi T_0$ is simple and projective.

Most importantly, by Proposition 4.3 a block of defect zero can have only one simple module and there is a unique ordinary simple module that reduces to it. This is used in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Given a H -stable block e_χ of defect zero of KN where $N \trianglelefteq H$. Let V be the unique ordinary simple module associated to this block. According to Proposition 4.1, let \hat{V} be the projective representation of H that extends V , with cofactor $\hat{\alpha}$ in $Z^2(H/N; R^*)$. Any H -invariant lattice of \hat{V} call it L , gives rises to a $K^\alpha H$ -module that extends the simple projective KN -module associated to e_χ , where $\alpha = \hat{\alpha} \pmod{(\pi)}$.*

Proof. Let \hat{V} be as above so \hat{V} is a $F^{\hat{\alpha}}H$ -module such that $\hat{V} \downarrow_N^H \simeq V$ as a FN -module. Now by Lemma 4.2 take L to be an H -invariant lattice of \hat{V} then consider its reduction to a $K^\alpha H$ -module, call it $\bar{L} := K \otimes_R L$. Note that L is also an N -invariant lattice of V , the unique simple ordinary module associated to the block e_χ . Thus, by Proposition 4.3 the reduction of L is a simple module of KN . Therefore, $\bar{L} \downarrow_N^H$ is isomorphic to the simple module associated to this block. One can conclude that \bar{L} is a $K^\alpha H$ -module that extends the simple projective module associated to e_χ , where $\alpha = \hat{\alpha} \pmod{(\pi)}$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *The α obtained from Theorem 4.4 is trivial.*

Proof. Note by work of Stasinski and Vera-Gajardo, it was proven that any χ element of $\text{Irr}_F(N)$ extends to it is inertia group H [15, Proposition 4.5]. Thus by Proposition 4.1, the cofactor associated to the extension of χ is trivial over F , i.e. $\hat{\alpha} = 1$. By Theorem 4.4, there is a $K^\alpha H$ -module \bar{L} that extends the simple projective module associated to e_χ , where $\alpha = \hat{\alpha} \pmod{(\pi)} = 1$. Thus α is trivial. \square

We recall the following result from Lemma 3.3 that the stabilizer H_2 and H'_2 of χ are isomorphic mod N i.e. $H_2/N \simeq H'_2/N$. We will denote $L := H_2/N \simeq H'_2/N$ for this quotient.

Theorem 4.6. *The following K -Algebras are isomorphic eKH_2 and eKH'_2 .*

Proof. We can apply Proposition 2.2, since e is H -stable block of defect zero of KN . Thus, we have an isomorphism of K -algebras

$$\Phi : eKH_2 \cong eKN \otimes_K KL \cong eKH'_2$$

since by Proposition 4.5, α^{-1} is trivial. \square

5. THE ISOMORPHISM OF KG_2 AND KG'_2

The following results about interior G -algebras will be useful in order to prove the isomorphism of those two group algebras. Note first that a G -algebra over a field K is an K -algebra A together with an action of G on A by K -algebra automorphisms. An interior G -algebra is a G -algebra where the action of G is given by inner automorphism. The example to keep in mind is that KG and bKG are interior G -algebra with G acting by conjugation, where $b \in \text{Bl}(G)$.

Given H a subgroup of G and B an interior H -algebra, we define $\text{Ind}_H^G(B)$ to be the K -module $KG \otimes_{KH} B \otimes_{KH} KG$ and one can put an interior G -algebra structure on $\text{Ind}_H^G(B)$. For more details on the interior G -algebra structure on $\text{Ind}_H^G(B)$ one can consult Thévenaz's book on G -algebras and

modular representation theory [16]. In fact, the following lemma shows that as a K -algebra one can think of $\text{Ind}_H^G(B)$ as a matrix algebra over B .

Lemma 5.1. (*Lemma 16.1, Thévenaz [16]*) *Let H be a subgroup of G of index n , and B be an interior H -algebra. Then we have $\text{Ind}_H^G(B) \cong M_n(B)$ as K -algebras.*

In the following proposition, we will see that given certain conditions there is a way of relating the algebra obtained by the induction from H to G of a certain H -algebra with the algebra obtained by the idempotent $\text{Tr}_H^G(i)$ where i is an idempotent fixed by H . Given A an interior G -algebra, we will denote the set of elements of A fixed by H as A^H and 1_A as the multiplicative identity of A .

Proposition 5.2. (*Proposition 16.6, Thévenaz [16]*) *Let A be an interior G -algebra and let H be a subgroup of G . Assume that there exists an idempotent $i \in A^H$ such that $1_A = \text{Tr}_H^G(i)$ and $ig_i = 0$ for all $g \in G - H$. Then there is an isomorphism of interior G -algebras*

$$F : \text{Ind}_H^G(iAi) \simeq A;$$

Given by $x \otimes b \otimes y \mapsto x \cdot b \cdot y$ ($x, y \in G, b \in iAi$).

We use Proposition 5.2 to prove the following:

Proposition 5.3. *Given a block b of KG_2 , there is a block $\hat{\Phi}(b)$ of KG'_2 such that the following K -algebras bKG_2 and $\hat{\Phi}(b)KG'_2$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Fix $\chi \in \text{Irr}(N)$ up to conjugation by G , and let $e = e_\chi$ a primitive idempotent of KN , now fix a block $b \in \text{Bl}(G_2|e)$ such that by Clifford Theorem 2.1 $b = \text{Tr}_{H_2}^{G_2}(d)$ for some primitive idempotent d of KH_2 where H_2 is the stabilizer of e in G_2 . Notice by Theorem 4.6, we have that $\Phi : eKH_2 \cong eKH'_2$ as K -algebras. Now the map Φ gives a bijection between $\text{Bl}(H_2|e)$ and $\text{Bl}(H'_2|e)$ such that $\Phi : dKH_2 \cong \Phi(d)KH'_2$ for each $d \in \text{Bl}(H_2|e)$. Moreover, Clifford Theorem 2.1 tells us there is a bijection between $\text{Bl}(G|e)$ and $\text{Bl}(H|e)$. Thus, we obtain a bijection between $\text{Bl}(G_2|e)$ and $\text{Bl}(G'_2|e)$ by the map $b \mapsto \hat{\Phi}(b) = \text{Tr}_{H'_2}^{G'_2}(\Phi(d))$.

Let $A = bKG_2$ so $1_A = b = \text{Tr}_{H_2}^{G_2}(d)$. Note $d \cdot b = d$ so $d \in A^{H_2}$ and $d^g d = 0$ for $g \in G_2 - H_2$ [4, In proof of Lemma 6.8.4]. Thus, we have that

$$dAd = dbKG_2d = dKG_2d = dKH_2d = dKH_2.$$

Thus by Proposition 5.2, there is an isomorphism of interior G_2 -algebra:

$$\text{Ind}_{H_2}^{G_2}(dKH_2) \cong bKG_2$$

Note by Lemma 5.1 that $\text{Ind}_{H_2}^{G_2}(dKH_2) \cong M_n(dKH_2)$ as K -algebras, with $n = |G_2 : H_2| = |G'_2 : H'_2|$. Since, $\Phi : dKH_2 \cong \Phi(d)KH'_2$ conclude that

$$\hat{\Phi} : bKG_2 \cong \text{Ind}_{H_2}^{G_2}(dKH_2) \cong \text{Ind}_{H'_2}^{G'_2}(\Phi(d)KH'_2) \cong \hat{\Phi}(b)KG'_2.$$

Thus we have that bKG_2 and $\hat{\Phi}(b)KG'_2$ are isomorphic as K -algebras. □

With the above K -algebra isomorphism, we define a map $\Psi : KG_2 \rightarrow KG'_2$ such that $\Psi(a) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(a \cdot b)$ for $a \in KG_2$ and show that this map is an isomorphism of K -algebras.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $G_2 = \mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ and $G'_2 = \mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)$, be the group of points of any reductive group scheme \mathbb{G} over \mathbb{Z} such that p is very good for $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{F}_q$. There exists an isomorphism of group algebra $K[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}_2)] \cong K[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{O}'_2)]$, where K is a sufficiently large field of characteristic different from p .*

Proof. Define the map $\Psi : KG_2 \rightarrow KG'_2$ by $\Psi(x) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xb)$ for $x \in KG_2$. First, we show that

Ψ is an algebra homomorphism. Note that by definition it is a linear map since each of the $\hat{\Phi}$ from Proposition 5.3 are. Now given x and y both in KG_2 , we want to show that $\Psi(xy) = \Psi(x)\Psi(y)$. To prove this it is sufficient to show that different blocks of G_2 are mapped to different blocks of G'_2 . Because if they are, we have that

$$\Psi(x)\Psi(y) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xb) \cdot \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(yb) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xb) \cdot \hat{\Phi}(yb).$$

Since the products of elements of different blocks is zero. Moreover by $\hat{\Phi}$ being a K - algebra homomorphism for each block b we have:

$$\Psi(x)\Psi(y) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xb) \cdot \hat{\Phi}(yb) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xbyb) = \sum_{b \in Bl(G_2)} \hat{\Phi}(xyb) = \Psi(xy)$$

Now to show that different blocks of G_2 are mapped to different blocks of G'_2 we look at two cases. Let b and c be two different blocks of G_2 :

Case 1: Assume b and c cover the same block e of KN . Thus, by Clifford Theorem 2.1 $b = Tr_{H_2}^{G_2}(d_1)$ and $c = Tr_{H_2}^{G_2}(d_2)$ such that $d_1 \cdot d_2 = 0$. Recall by Theorem 4.6 that the map $\Phi : eKH_2 \cong eKH'_2$ is a K -algebra isomorphism, thus $\Phi(d_1)\Phi(d_2) = 0$. Applying Clifford Theorem 2.1 for the block of KG'_2 over e , we have that $Tr_{H'_2}^{G'_2}(\Phi(d_1)) \neq Tr_{H'_2}^{G'_2}(\Phi(d_2))$, since $\Phi(d_1) \neq \Phi(d_2)$. Thus $\hat{\Phi}(b) \neq \hat{\Phi}(c)$.

Case 2: Assume b and c cover different blocks e_1 and e_2 of KN respectively. Note to prove that $\hat{\Phi}(b) \neq \hat{\Phi}(c)$, it is enough to show that they cover different blocks of KN as blocks of G'_2 . Assume the opposite. By definition of the map $\hat{\Phi}$, we also have that $\hat{\Phi}(b)$ and $\hat{\Phi}(c)$ cover the blocks e_1 and e_2 of KN respectively. Thus by [4, Proposition 6.8.2], we have that e_1 and e_2 are G'_2 -conjugated blocks. Since KN is a semisimple algebra i.e all the blocks have defect zero, e_1 and e_2 are defined by a unique ordinary character χ and τ of KN . Thus e_1 and e_2 are G'_2 -conjugated blocks if and only if χ and τ are G'_2 -conjugated characters. By Lemma 3.1, we can conclude that χ and τ are G_2 -conjugated and thus so are e_1 and e_2 . This is a contradiction with the fact that b and c cover different blocks e_1 and e_2 of KN .

Thus, we can conclude that different blocks of G_2 are mapped to different blocks of G'_2 . Therefore, we have an algebra homomorphism from $\Psi : KG_2 \rightarrow KG'_2$ and since each $\hat{\Phi}$ is injective and maps different blocks to different blocks, we can conclude Ψ is an injective map. Thus by dimension reasons, Ψ is also surjective. Therefore, $KG_2 \cong KG'_2$ as K -algebras. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] David A Craven. *Representation theory of finite groups: a guidebook*. Springer, 2019.
- [2] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck. *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome III. Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs*. Documents Mathématiques (Paris), 8. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–64. Revised and annotated edition of the 1970 French original.
- [3] Jens Carsten Jantzen. *Representations of algebraic groups*, volume 107 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [4] Markus Linckelmann. *The block theory of finite group algebras*, volume 2. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [5] Markus Linckelmann. *The block theory of finite group algebras*, volume 1. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [6] Hirosi Nagao and Yukio Tsushima. *Representations of finite groups*. Elsevier, 2014.
- [7] Gabriel Navarro. *Characters and blocks of finite groups*, volume 250. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [8] Uri Onn. Representations of automorphism groups of finite \mathfrak{o} -modules of rank two. *Advances in Mathematics*, 219(6):2058–2085, 2008.
- [9] Peter Schneider. *Modular representation theory of finite groups*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [10] Pooja Singla. On representations of general linear groups over principal ideal local rings of length two. *Journal of Algebra*, 324(9):2543–2563, 2010.
- [11] Pooja Singla. On representations of classical groups over principal ideal local rings of length two. *Communications in Algebra*, 40(11):4060–4067, 2012.
- [12] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg. Conjugacy classes. In *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, pages 167–266. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [13] Alexander Stasinski. Representations of GL_n over finite local principal ideal rings: an overview. American Mathematical Society, 2017.
- [14] Alexander Stasinski. Representations of SL_n over finite local rings of length two. 2019.
- [15] Alexander Stasinski and Andrea Vera-Gajardo. Representations of reductive groups over finite local rings of length two. *Journal of Algebra*, 525:171–190, 2019.
- [16] Jacques Thévenaz. *G-algebras and modular representation theory*. 1995.
- [17] Peter Webb. *A course in finite group representation theory*, volume 161. Cambridge University Press, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TUFTS UNIVERSITY, 503 BOSTON AVE, MEDFORD, MA 02155

E-mail address: nariel.monteiro@tufts.edu