arXiv:2009.11045v2 [math.AP] 8 Mar 2021

Global solutions to the free boundary value problem of a chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system

Qianqian Hou*

ABSTRACT In this paper, we investigate the global solvability of the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system on a three-dimensional moving domain of finite depth, bounded below by a rigid flat bottom and bounded above by the free surface. Completing the system with boundary conditions that match the boundary descriptions in the experiments and numerical simulations, we establish the global existence and uniqueness of solutions near a constant state $(0,\hat{c},\mathbf{0})$, where $\hat{c}$ is the saturation value of the oxygen on the free surface. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first analytical work for the well-posedness of chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system on a time-dependent domain.

MSC: 35A01, 35K57, 35Q92, 76D05, 92C17

KEYWORDS: Chemotaxis, Free boundary, Navier-Stokes, Global existence, Logarithmic singularity

1 Introduction

Background and literature review. When suspension of an oxytactic bacteria denser than water like *Bacillus subtilis* is placed in a chamber with its upper surface open to the atmosphere, bacterial cells swim up the gradient of the oxygen which diffuses to the suspension through the air-fluid interface and quickly get densely packed below the interface in a relatively thin layer. Subsequently, Rayleigh-Taylor type instabilities occur due to the buoyancy effect and evolve ultimately into the bioconvection patterns observed in the experiments [11, 16, 17]. To describe this chemotaxis-diffusion-convection process the following chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system has been proposed in [32]:

$$\begin{cases} m_t+\vec{u}\cdot\nabla m+\nabla\cdot(m\chi(c)\nabla c)=D_m\Delta m \quad \text{for } x\in\Omega_t \text{ and } t>0,\\ c_t+\vec{u}\cdot\nabla c+mf(c)=D_c\Delta c,\\ \vec{u}_t+\kappa\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u}+\nabla p+m\nabla\Phi=D\Delta\vec{u},\\ \nabla\cdot\vec{u}=0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω_t is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ that may evolve with time t. The unknown functions $m(x,t)$ and $c(x,t)$ are the bacteria density and oxygen concentration. $\vec{u}(x,t)$ and $p(x,t)$ denote the fluid velocity and the pressure above the hydrostatic value. The positive constants D_m, D_c and D are diffusion rates of the bacterial cells, the oxygen and the velocity, respectively. The first two equations in (1.1) describe the chemotactic movement of bacteria towards increasing gradients of the oxygen concentration with chemotactic intensity $\chi(c)>0$ and oxygen consumption rate $f(c)>0$, where both bacteria and oxygen diffuse and are convected with the fluid. In turn, the influence of the bacterial cells on the

*Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China (qianqian.hou@hit.edu.cn).

fluid is through the given function $\Phi(x,t)$, which denotes the potential function produced by different physical mechanisms, e.g. the gravity or centrifugal forces.

The striking feature of (1.1) is that it couples the well-known obstacles in theory of hydrodynamics to the typical difficulties arising in the study of chemotaxis system. Indeed, due to the lack of effective mathematical tools handling the cross-diffusive term $\nabla\cdot(m\chi(c)\nabla c)$, the answer is still unavailable to the question whether the global weak solutions (constructed in [30]) of the three-dimensional chemotaxis-only subsystem obtained from (1.1) by letting $\vec{u}=\mathbf{0}$ may blow up at a finite time or not. On the other hand, the global well-posedness to the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with arbitrary large initial data remains a prominent open problem in hydrodynamics. In spite of these challenges, extensive studies have been conducted numerically and analytically in the last decades and most of the results achieved are focusing on the pattern formation of bacteria cells and global well-posedness for the corresponding initial-boundary value problem on fixed spatial domains Ω independent of t. Here, we only mention the previous results related to the present paper.

In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^2$, for the chemotaxis-Stokes system obtained from (1.1) by taking $\kappa=0$ in the fluid evolution, the global weak solutions have been constructed (cf. [12]) under some smallness assumptions on either the potential function or the initial oxygen concentration along with certain structural conditions on χ and f. For the same two-dimensional Cauchy problem, Liu and Lorz (cf. [25]) removed the above smallness assumptions and showed global weak solvability even for the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system (i.e. $\kappa=1$) under basically the same conditions on χ and f as made in [12]. Uniqueness of such solutions was justified later (cf. [41]) by taking advantage of a coupling structure of the equations and using the Fourier localization technique. To include the prototypical choices $\chi=const.$ and $f(c)=c$ (cf. [9, 15, 32]), Chae-Kang-Li (cf. [6, 7]) relaxed the structural conditions on χ, f and demonstrated the global well-posedness for the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system under smallness requirement on $\|c_0\|_{L^\infty}$. They also obtained some blow-up criteria that allow the weak solutions derived in [25] to become a classical one upon improving the regularity of initial data. In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^3$, the problem of global well-posedness seems to be more delicate: to the best of our knowledge, results available so far are merely confined to local and global small solutions (cf. [6, 7, 12]).

When Ω is a bounded domain (independent of t) in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d=2,3$ with smooth boundary, system (1.1) subject to the following boundary conditions:

$$\nabla m\cdot\vec{n}=0,\qquad \nabla c\cdot\vec{n}=0,\qquad \vec{u}=\mathbf{0}, \tag{1.2}$$

with $\vec{n}$ the outward unit normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, was investigated in [26] and local weak solutions were constructed in the situation χ being a constant and f being monotonically increasing with $f(0)=0$. Under the structural hypotheses $(\frac{f(s)}{\chi(s)})'>0$, $(\frac{f(s)}{\chi(s)})''\le 0$ and $(\chi(s)f(s))'\ge 0$, Winkler derived the global existence of weak solutions in the 3D case with $\kappa=0$ and of smooth solutions in the 2D case with $\kappa\in\mathbb{R}$ (cf. [35]). Such smooth solutions in the latter 2D case stabilize to the spatially uniform equilibria $(\bar{m}_0,0,\mathbf{0})$ with $\bar{m}_0=\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega m(x,0)dx$ in the large time limit (cf. [36]) at an exponential convergence rate (cf. [40]). Their convergence in small-convection limit $\kappa\to 0$ was later justified in [33]. Global existence of weak solutions for the three-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system was established in [37] under certain structural requirements on χ and f. Similar to the 2D case, such weak solutions enjoy eventual smoothness and approach the unique spatially homogeneous steady state $(\bar{m}_0,0,\mathbf{0})$ as t goes to infinity (cf. [38]). Recently, global solvability of the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system in a three-dimensional unbounded domain Ω with infinite extent and finite depth was justified in [29] under appropriate smallness assumptions on initial data.

Goals and motivations. As aforementioned, most of the previously analytical studies devoted to the chemotaxis-Navier-Sotkes system in the literature are confined to the fixed domain setting. However,

the domain is normally deformable in natural conditions. For instance, considering that a large variety of swimming micro-organisms live in the vast ocean lying above a rigid bottom it is realistic to investigate the dynamics of cell-fluid interactions in domains with upper surface evolving in time. Allowing the motion of the upper surface and completing system (1.1) with appropriate boundary conditions, the linear and nonlinear stability analysis have been carried out in [8] along with supporting numerical simulations in a 2D shallow chamber and the effect of free-surface on bacterial plume patterns and their dynamics in both 2D and 3D cases have been recently explored numerically in [18, 19]. The rigorous mathematical analysis for (1.1) in time-dependent domains are lack of investigations even on the natural first question of its well-posedness.

Motivated by the above numerical results and the experiments conducted in [16, 17, 32], we shall study the global well-posedness of system (1.1) in the following three-dimensional moving domain above a rigid bottom defined by:

$$\Omega_t = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ -b < y < \eta(x_1,x_2,t)\},$$

where b is a positive constant and the surface function $\eta(x_1,x_2,t)$ depends on the horizontal variable $(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and temporal variable t. For illustration, we denote $S_F = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ y = \eta(x_1,x_2,t)\}$, $S_B = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ y = -b\}$ and $\Omega_0 = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ -b < y < \eta_0(x_1,x_2)\}$ with given η_0 defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The choice on chemotactic intensity and oxygen consumption rate in the present paper is $\chi(c) = \frac{1}{c}$ and $f(c) = c$. Substituting $\chi(c) = \frac{1}{c}$ into the chemotactic sensitivity function $\chi(c)\nabla c$ in the first equation of (1.1) leads to the logarithmic sensing $\nabla \ln c$, which has been experimentally verified in [20]. This logarithm results in a mathematically unfavorable singularity which, however, has been adopt in the literature to describe various chemotaxis process e.g. dynamical interactions between vascular endothelial cells and signaling molecules vascular endothelial growth factor in the initiation of tumor angiogenesis (cf. [23]), the boundary movement of chemotactic bacterial populations (cf. [28]) and the travelling band behavior of bacteria (cf. [21, 27]). With such choice on $\chi(c)$ and $f(c)$, the initial-boundary value problem studied in the present paper reads

$$\begin{cases} m_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla m + \nabla\cdot(m\nabla \ln c) = \Delta m \quad \text{for } \ (x_1,x_2,y) \in \Omega_t \ \text{ and } \ t > 0, \\ c_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla c + mc = \Delta c, \\ \vec{u}_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u} + \nabla p + m\nabla\Phi = \Delta\vec{u}, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{u} = 0, \\ (m,c,\vec{u})(x_1,x_2,y,0) = (m_0,c_0,\vec{u}_0)(x_1,x_2,y) \quad \text{for } \ (x_1,x_2,y) \in \Omega_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with the following boundary conditions on S_F:

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla m - m\nabla \ln c)\cdot\vec{n} = 0, \\ c = \hat{c}, \\ \eta_t = u_3 - u_1\partial_1\eta - u_2\partial_2\eta, \\ pn_i - (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j)n_j = \left\{\gamma\eta - \sigma\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla\eta}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}}\right)\right\} n_i \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

and the following boundary conditions on $S_B \times (0,\infty)$:

$$m = 0, \quad \partial_3 c = 0, \quad \vec{u} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{1.5}$$

where the constant $\hat{c} > 0$ is the saturation value of the oxygen on the free surface S_F, $\vec{n} = (n_1,n_2,n_3)$ is the outward unit normal to S_F and we sum upon repeated indices following the Einstein convention (this convention will be used in the remaining part of this paper without further clarification). D_m, D_c, D have been taken to be 1 without loss of generality and initial-boundary conditions have been imposed.

We next briefly introduce the derivation of the boundary conditions (1.4) on S_F.

- the kinematic condition: denote the free surface by $d(x_1,x_2,y,t) = y - \eta(x_1,x_2,t) = 0$. Since fluid particles do not cross the free surface, we have $(\partial_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla)(y - \eta(x_1,x_2,t)) = 0$, which results in $\eta_t = u_3 - u_1\partial_1\eta - u_2\partial_2\eta$. Further discussion of this condition can be found, e.g., in [34, page 451].

- the normal force balance condition: the last boundary condition in (1.4) states a discontinuity in the normal stress on two sides of the free surface which, is proportional to the mean curvature of the surface and produced by the effect of surface tension, where $(p-\gamma\eta)n_i - (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j)n_j$ is the stress difference between two sides of the surface, $\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla\eta}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}}\right)$ is the mean curvature of the surface, $\gamma > 0$ is the acceleration of gravity and $\sigma > 0$ denotes the coefficient of surface tension. We refer the reader to [34, page 451-454] for detailed derivation of this condition.

- the zero-flux boundary condition on m and the Dirichlet boundary condition on c in (1.4) are physical ones that match the boundary descriptions in the experiments conducted in [16, 17, 32] and the numerical analysis in [8, 18, 19].

As mentioned before, our goal is to establish the global solvability of system (1.3)-(1.5) under appropriate small assumptions on initial data. To this end, we shall first apply the following transformation (cf. [39])

$$\tilde{c} = -\ln c + \ln\hat{c} \tag{1.6}$$

to system (1.3)-(1.5) to resolve the logarithmic singularity in its first equation and study the global well-posedness of the following transformed system

$$\begin{cases} m_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla m - \nabla\cdot(m\nabla\tilde{c}) = \Delta m \quad \text{for } (x_1,x_2,y)\in\Omega_t \text{ and } t>0, \\ \tilde{c}_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla\tilde{c} + |\nabla\tilde{c}|^2 - m = \Delta\tilde{c}, \\ \vec{u}_t + \vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u} + \nabla p + m\nabla\Phi = \Delta\vec{u}, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{u} = 0, \\ (m,\tilde{c},\vec{u})(x_1,x_2,y,0) = (m_0,\tilde{c}_0,\vec{u}_0)(x_1,x_2,y) \quad \text{for } (x_1,x_2,y)\in\Omega_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla m + m\nabla\tilde{c})\cdot\vec{n} = 0, \\ \tilde{c} = 0, \\ \eta_t = u_3 - u_1\partial_1\eta - u_2\partial_2\eta, \\ pn_i - (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j)n_j = \left\{\gamma\eta - \sigma\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla\eta}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}}\right)\right\}n_i \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

on S_F and

$$m = 0, \quad \partial_3\tilde{c} = 0, \quad \vec{u} = \mathbf{0} \tag{1.9}$$

on $S_B\times(0,\infty)$.

2 Notation and main results

Notation. For clarity, we specify some notations below.

- $\Omega_t = \{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3 :\ -b < y < \eta(x_1,x_2,t)\}$.
- $S_B = \{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3 :\ y = -b\}$.
- $S_F = \{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3 :\ y = \eta(x_1,x_2,t)\}$.
- $\Omega_0 = \{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3 :\ -b < y < \eta_0(x_1,x_2)\}$.

- $S_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ y = \eta_0(x_1, x_2)\}$.
- $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ -b < y < 0\}$.
- $\Gamma = \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ y = 0\}$.
- We denote $dx = dx_1 dx_2$ for $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and denote $dxdy = dx_1 dx_2 dy$ for $(x_1, x_2, y) \in \Omega$.
- $[\vec{v}, \vec{u}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega (\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j)(\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j) dxdy$ for $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$.
- ∇_0 denotes tangential gradient along the $x_1 - x_2$ plane.
- $H^m (m \geq 1)$ represents $H^m(\Omega)$ and $L^p (1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ stands for $L^p(\Omega)$. In particular, $H^0 = H^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$.
- ${}_0H^1$ and ${}^0H^1$ represent the subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$ consisting of functions which vanish on S_B and Γ, respectively.
- For Banach space $\mathbf{X}$, we use $\mathbf{X}'$ to denote its dual space and use $\| \cdot \|_{L^q_t \mathbf{X}} (1 \leq q \leq \infty)$ to denote $\| \cdot \|_{L^q(0,t;\mathbf{X})}$ for $t > 0$. $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ represents the dual space of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$.
- $\mathscr{H}$ is the harmonic extension operator, also denoted by $\bar{\eta} = \mathscr{H}(\eta)$, extending functions defined on Γ to harmonic functions on Ω with zero Neumann boundary condition on S_B. Specifically, for any $\eta(x_1, x_2)$ defined on Γ, its harmonic extension $\bar{\eta}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \bar{\eta} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\eta} = \eta & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \partial_3 \bar{\eta} = 0 & \text{on } S_B. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

- We use C to denote a generic constant which is independent of t, and may change from one line to another.

Compatibility conditions. Since the problem (1.7)-(1.9) is posed on a domain with boundary, it is natural to impose on initial data the following compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{cases} [(\partial_j u_{0i} + \partial_i u_{0j}) n_{0j}]_{\tan} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_0, \\ \nabla \cdot \vec{u}_0 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_0, \\ (\nabla m_0 + m_0 \nabla \tilde{c}_0) \cdot \vec{n}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{c}_0 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_0, \\ m_0 = 0, \quad \partial_3 \tilde{c}_0 = 0, \quad \vec{u}_0 = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad S_B, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where "tan" means the tangential component, $\vec{n}_0 = (n_{01}, n_{02}, n_{03})$ is the outward unit normal to the initial surface S_0 and the first condition is obtained by taking the inner product of the stress difference between two sides of the initial surface with any tangential vector.

We are now in a position to state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that the initial data $\vec{u}_0 = (u_{01}, u_{02}, u_{03})$, m_0, $\tilde{c}_0 \in H^2(\Omega_0)$ and $\eta_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ fulfill the compatibility conditions* (2.2)*. Assume further*

$$\nabla \Phi, \ \nabla^2 \Phi \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)), \qquad \nabla \Phi_t \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)). \tag{2.3}$$

Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ suitably small such that if $\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$, system (1.7)-(1.9) *admits a unique solution $(m, \tilde{c}, \vec{u}, p, \eta)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t>0} \big(\|m(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\eta(t)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^2 \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \big(\|m(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)} + \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)} + \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)} + \|\nabla p(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)} + \|\nabla_0 \eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^2 dt \qquad (2.4) \\
&\le C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^2
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C.

With (1.6) and the results obtained for the transformed system (1.7)-(1.9), we have the following assertions for the initial-boundary value problem (1.3)-(1.5).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\hat{c}, c_0 > 0$ and $m_0 \ge 0$. Suppose the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 hold with $\tilde{c}_0 = -\ln c_0 + \ln \hat{c}$. Then there exists a unique solution $(m, c, \vec{u}, p, \eta)$ to system* (1.3)-(1.5) *satisfying that*

$$m(x_1, x_2, y, t) \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c(x_1, x_2, y, t) > 0$$

for $(x_1, x_2, y) \in \Omega_t$ and $t > 0$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t>0} \big(\|m(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|c(t) - \hat{c}\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} + \|\eta(t)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^2 \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \big(\|m(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)} + \|c(t) - \hat{c}\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)} + \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega_t)}\big)^2 dt \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \big(\big\|\nabla p(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)} + \|\nabla_0 \eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^2 dt \qquad (2.5) \\
&\le C\big[\sum_{k=1}^{3} \big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\ln c_0 - \ln \hat{c}\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^{2k}\big] \\
&\quad \times \exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\ln c_0 - \ln \hat{c}\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Remark 2.1. *As mentioned in the introduction, in the experiments (cf. [11, 16, 32]) the potential function Φ denotes the gravity or centrifugal forces, both of which are independent of the temporal variable t. Although in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we have treated the more general case, where the given potential functions Φ are allowed to depend on t, our results can be applied to the above two cases, where $\Phi(x_1, x_2, y) = \lambda \gamma y$ for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ depending on the fluid mass density and the cell density represents the gravity potential, and $\Phi(x_1, x_2, y) = \Phi(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2) \to 0$ as $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2) \to \infty$ stands for the centrifugal potential, since the Φ in both cases satisfy the assumption* (2.3).

Remark 2.2. *Setting $\eta_0 = \eta = p = \Phi = 0$ and $\vec{u}_0 = \vec{u} = \mathbf{0}$ in Theorem 2.2, one derives the unique global solution $(m, c) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; H^2) \times L^2(0, \infty; H^3)$ to the chemotaxis-only subsystem on Ω. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first analytical result on the well-posedness of the chemotaxis system with logarithmic sensitivity in an unbounded domain with boundary.*

We next briefly introduce the main ideas and organisation of this paper. Due to the lack of well-posedness theory for parabolic systems on a moving domain, we shall first transform (1.7)-(1.9) into the initial-boundary value problem (3.10)-(3.12) on an equilibrium domain in Section 3, whose detailed derivations are postponed to be given in the Appendix. Solvability of the linearized version of (3.10)-(3.12) is demonstrated in Section 4. When solving the linear system in Section 4, the main challenge that we are face of is that we can not address the higher energy estimates in the vertical

direction for the velocity field $\vec{v}$ by directly taking the partial derivatives in the equations of (4.2) since the equilibrium domain is not translation-invariant in the vertical spatial variable. While this difficulty can be circumvented in the situation where the velocity field subject to the no-sip boundary condition as in [29], by employing the elliptic theory on the corresponding stationary Stokes system with the aid of the estimation of the time derivative of $\vec{v}$, resorting to the elliptic estimates on system (4.15) to address the $L_t^2H^3$ regularity of $\vec{v}$ claimed in Proposition 4.1 seems rather hopeless in the present case due to the boundary condition $q-2\partial_3 v_3 = \gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta - g_3$ on Γ, which incorporates the normal stress with the surface function η. In fact, to obtain this $L_t^2H^3$ regularity of $\vec{v}$ by utilizing Lemma 4.4, the boundary term $\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta$ is required to belongs to the space $L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$, however, because of the fact that $\eta_t = v_3$ on Γ, one only derives the L^∞ in-time estimate of η by applying the standard testing procedure to system (4.2) (see Lemma 4.8), so that we only expect the $L_t^\infty H^2$ regularity on $\vec{v}$ if we make use of the elliptic estimates presented in Lemma 4.4. Accordingly, our approach to establish this $L_t^2H^3$ regularity on the velocity field will be based on an alternative method to verify the intuitive idea that the higher energy estimates on the tangential direction of $\vec{v}$ should provide suitable regularity properties on its vertical direction thanks to the divergence-free property of $\vec{v}$. Noting that the domain is translation-invariant in the horizontal direction, we start by taking tangential derivatives in the first equation of (4.2) to gain energy bounds for the tangential derivatives of the velocity field $\vec{v}$. By taking advantage of the divergence-free condition on $\vec{v}$, the boundary estimates (on Γ) for its third component v_3 readily follows from these tangential bounds (see the proof of Lemma 4.10). Then the desired $L_t^2H^3$ regularity of $\vec{v}$ is achieved by employing the elliptic estimates on the stationary Stokes system derived from (4.15) by replacing the third boundary condition with this boundary estimates on v_3 (see the proof of Lemma 4.10). Based on the results derived for the linear system, we construct approximation solutions for the nonlinear problem (3.10)-(3.12) by iteration and prove that such approximation solutions are uniformly bounded in the desired energy space in Section 5. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 6 by first applying the contraction mapping theorem to the approximation sequence to derive the unique solution of (3.10)-(3.12) and then reversing the transformation defined in Section 3 to obtain the solution of (1.7)-(1.9). The proof of Theorem 2.2 is also exhibited in Section 6.

3 Transformation to an equilibrium domain

Since we do not know how to solve system (1.7)-(1.9) locally-in-time on the moving domain Ω_t, following [5] we shall transform this system to one on the equilibrium domain $\Omega = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -b < y < 0\}$. For $t > 0$, define $\theta(t) : \Omega \to \Omega_t = \{(x_1,x_2,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ -b < y < \eta(x_1,x_2,t)\}$ by

$$\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t) := (\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)(x_1,x_2,y,t) = (x_1,x_2,\bar{\eta} + y(1+\bar{\eta}/b)), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\bar{\eta}$ is the harmonic extension of η. Then

$$d\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\xi_{ij})_{3\times 3} := (d\theta)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -J^{-1}\alpha & -J^{-1}\beta & J^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

with

$$\alpha := (1+y/b)\partial_1\bar{\eta}, \quad \beta := (1+y/b)\partial_2\bar{\eta}, \quad J := 1+\bar{\eta}/b+\partial_3\bar{\eta}(1+y/b). \tag{3.3}$$

For $(x_1,x_2,y,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty)$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_1,x_2,y,t) &= m(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t), \quad h(x_1,x_2,y,t) = \tilde{c}(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t), \\ q(x_1,x_2,y,t) &= p(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t), \quad \phi(x_1,x_2,y,t) = \Phi(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The velocity field $\vec{v}(x_1,x_2,y,t)=(v_1,v_2,v_3)(x_1,x_2,y,t)$ on $\Omega\times(0,\infty)$ is defined in the following way

$$u_i(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t)=J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_i)v_j(x_1,x_2,y,t), \tag{3.5}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_1(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t)=J^{-1}v_1(x_1,x_2,y,t), \quad u_2(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t)=J^{-1}v_2(x_1,x_2,y,t),\\ &u_3(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t)=J^{-1}\alpha v_1(x_1,x_2,y,t)+J^{-1}\beta v_2(x_1,x_2,y,t)+v_3(x_1,x_2,y,t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

to preserve the divergence-free condition. In particular, when $t=0$, the transformation $\theta(0):\Omega\to\Omega_0=\{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ -b<y<\eta_0(x_1,x_2)\}$ is defined as follows

$$\theta(x_1,x_2,y,0):=(x_1,x_2,\bar{\eta}_0+y(1+\bar{\eta}_0/b)), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\bar{\eta}_0$ is the harmonic extension of η_0. Corresponding to (3.3)-(3.5) we set

$$\alpha_0=(1+y/b)\partial_1\bar{\eta}_0, \quad \beta_0=(1+y/b)\partial_2\bar{\eta}_0, \quad J_0=1+\bar{\eta}_0/b+\partial_3\bar{\eta}_0(1+y/b) \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &w_0(x_1,x_2,y)=m_0(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,0)), \quad h_0(x_1,x_2,y)=\tilde{c}_0(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,0)),\\ &u_{0i}(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,0))=J_0^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_i(x_1,x_2,y,0))v_{0j}(x_1,x_2,y) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for $(x_1,x_2,y)\in\Omega$. Then by the chain rule and direct computations one can deduce from (1.7)-(1.9) that

$$\begin{cases} w_t-\Delta w-\nabla\cdot(w\nabla h)=F_4(w,h,\vec{v},\bar{\eta}), \quad (x_1,x_2,y,t)\in\Omega\times(0,\infty),\\ h_t-\Delta h-w=F_5(h,\vec{v},\bar{\eta}),\\ \vec{v}_t-\Delta\vec{v}+\nabla q+w\nabla\phi=\vec{F}(w,\vec{v},\nabla q,\bar{\eta}),\\ \nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0,\\ (w,h,\vec{v})(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(w_0,h_0,\vec{v}_0)(x_1,x_2,y), \quad \eta(x_1,x_2,0)=\eta_0(x_1,x_2), \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

with the following boundary conditions on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 w+w\partial_3 h=G_4(w,h,\bar{\eta}), \quad h=0,\\ \partial_3 v_1+\partial_1 v_3=G_1(\vec{v},\bar{\eta}), \quad \partial_3 v_2+\partial_2 v_3=G_2(\vec{v},\bar{\eta}),\\ \eta_t=v_3, \quad q-2\partial_3 v_3=\gamma\eta-\sigma\Delta_0\eta-G_3(\vec{v},\bar{\eta}) \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

and the following boundary conditions on $S_B\times(0,\infty)$:

$$w=0, \quad \partial_3 h=0, \quad \vec{v}=\mathbf{0}. \tag{3.12}$$

The detailed derivation of (3.10)-(3.12) is given in appendix with nonlinear terms $\vec{F}=(F_1,F_2,F_3)$, $\vec{G}=(G_1,G_2,G_3)$ and F_4, F_5, G_4 defined in (7.10), (7.12)-(7.14) and (7.5)-(7.6), respectively.

4 Solvability of the linear system

This section is devoted to proving the solvability of the linearized version of (3.10)-(3.12). We first split the corresponding linear system into the following two initial-boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} w_t-\Delta w-\nabla\cdot(a\nabla h)=f_4, \quad (x_1,x_2,y,t)\in\Omega\times(0,\infty),\\ h_t-\Delta h-w=f_5,\\ (w,h)(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(w_0,h_0)(x_1,x_2,y),\\ \partial_3 w+a\partial_3 h=g_4, \quad h=0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\\ w=0, \quad \partial_3 h=0 \quad \text{on } S_B\times(0,\infty) \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \vec{v}_t - \Delta\vec{v} + \nabla q + w\nabla\phi = \vec{f}, \quad (x_1,x_2,y,t) \in \Omega\times(0,\infty), \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{v} = 0, \\ \vec{v}(x_1,x_2,y,0) = \vec{v}_0(x_1,x_2,y), \quad \eta(x_1,x_2,0) = \eta_0(x_1,x_2), \\ \partial_3 v_1 + \partial_1 v_3 = g_1, \quad \partial_3 v_2 + \partial_2 v_3 = g_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty), \\ \eta_t = v_3, \quad q - 2\partial_3 v_3 = \gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta - g_3 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty), \\ \vec{v} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S_B\times(0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

We introduce two notations for later use:

$$|||f||| := \|f\|_{L_t^\infty H^2} + \|f_t\|_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \|f\|_{L_t^2 H^3} + \|f_t\|_{L_t^2 H^1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{w,h,\vec{v},q,\eta\}\| :=& |||w||| + |||h||| + |||\vec{v}||| + \|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2 H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \\ &+ \|\nabla q\|_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \|\nabla q\|_{L_t^2 H^1} + \|\nabla q_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'} \\ &+ \|\eta\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L_t^2 H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L_t^2 H^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

To solve system (4.1)-(4.2), the initial and boundary data are required to satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 w_0 + a(x_1,x_2,y,0)\partial_3 h_0 = g_4(x_1,x_2,0), \quad h_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ w_0 = 0, \quad \partial_3 h_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } S_B \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 v_{01} + \partial_1 v_{03} = g_1(x_1,x_2,0), \quad \partial_3 v_{02} + \partial_2 v_{03} = g_2(x_1,x_2,0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{v}_0 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \vec{v}_0 = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S_B. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Then the solvability of the linear system (4.1)-(4.2) is as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\vec{v}_0 = (v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{03}), w_0, h_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\eta_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla\phi,\ \nabla^2\phi \in L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\infty), \quad \nabla\phi_t \in L^2(0,\infty;L^2), \\ \vec{g},\ g_4 \in L^2(0,\infty;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)), \quad \vec{g}_t,\ g_{4t} \in L^2(0,\infty;H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)), \\ \vec{f},\ f_4,\ f_5 \in L^2(0,\infty;H^1), \quad \vec{f}_t,\ f_{4t} \in L^2(0,\infty;({}_0H^1)'), \quad f_{5t} \in L^2(0,\infty;({}^0H^1)') \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

satisfy the compatibility conditions (4.4)-(4.5)*. Assume further that the function a fulfills*

$$C_1(C_2+1)|||a|||^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{for all } t>0, \tag{4.7}$$

where the constants C_1 and C_2 are independent of t, given in (4.17) *and* (4.29)*. Then system* (4.1)-(4.2) *admits a unique global solution $(w,h,\vec{v},q,\eta)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{w,h,\vec{v},q,\eta\}\|^2 \\ &\le C\big(\|w_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|h_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\big) \\ &\quad + C\big(\|f_4\|_{L_t^2 H^1}^2 + \|f_{4t}\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 + \|f_5\|_{L_t^2 H^1}^2 + \|f_{5t}\|_{L_t^2({}^0H^1)'}^2 + \|g_4\|_{L_t^2 H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2\big) \\ &\quad + C\big(\|g_{4t}\|_{L_t^2 H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 + \|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2 H^1}^2 + \|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 + \|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2 H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 + \|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2 H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2\big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant C is independent of t.

The remaining part of this section is organized as follows. In next subsection, we shall introduce some preliminaries for later use. The solvability of subsystem (4.1) and subsystem (4.2) will be established in subsection 4.2 and subsection 4.3, respectively. Subsection 4.4 is to proving Proposition 4.1.

4.1 Preliminaries

Noting the divergence-free condition $\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0$ and the gradient form ∇q of the pressure in (4.2), and using the following identity

$$\int_\Omega \vec{v}\cdot\nabla q\,dxdy=-\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot\vec{v})q\,dxdy+\int_{\partial\Omega}(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{n})q\,dx \tag{4.9}$$

one can see that the vectors $\vec{v}$ and ∇q are L^2-orthogonal if they satisfy the boundary condition $(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{n})q=0$ on $\partial\Omega$. This observation has been used in treating equations of incompressible fluids in a fixed domain to remove the pressure as an unknown by projecting the equation onto a subspace of vector fields of divergence-free that satisfy the same boundary conditions as the velocity (see e.g. [14, 31]). In the present case, since $\vec{v}=\mathbf{0}$ on S_B and $\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0$ in Ω it follows from (4.9) that a vector in the gradient form $\nabla\rho$ is L^2-orthogonal to $\vec{v}$ if and only if $\rho=0$ on Γ. With this in mind, we introduce the projection P on $L^2(\Omega)$ orthogonal to

$$W:=\{\nabla\rho:\ \rho\in H^1(\Omega),\ \ \rho=0\ \text{ on }\ \Gamma\}. \tag{4.10}$$

For this projection P, the following property has been proved in [5].

Lemma 4.1. *([5, Lemma 2.1]) Let P be the projection on $L^2(\Omega)$ orthogonal to the subspace W defined in* (4.10)*. Then P is a bounded operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ and on $H^k(\Omega)$ with $k\geq 1$. In particular, for $k\geq 1$*

$$PH^k(\Omega)=\{\vec{v}\in H^k(\Omega):\ \nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0\ \text{in}\ \Omega,\ \ \vec{v}\cdot\vec{n}=0\ \text{on}\ S_B\}.$$

Moreover, if $\tilde{\xi}(x_1,x_2,y)\in H^1(\Omega)$ and $\xi(x_1,x_2)\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy $\tilde{\xi}(x_1,x_2,0)=\xi(x_1,x_2)$. Then the following holds true

$$P(\nabla\tilde{\xi})=\nabla\mathscr{H}(\xi).$$

We shall use the following version of Korn's inequality, which has been justified in [4].

Lemma 4.2. *([4, Lemma 2.7]) Let $\vec{v}\in{}_0H^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\|\vec{v}\|_{H^1}^2\leq C[\vec{v},\vec{v}].$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\bar{\eta}(x_1,x_2,y)=\mathscr{H}(\eta)$ be the harmonic extension of $\eta(x_1,x_2)$. Then for any integer $m\geq 1$, the following holds true*

$$\|\bar{\eta}\|_{H^m}\leq C\|\eta\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \tag{4.11}$$

with $C>0$.

Proof. For fixed $m\geq 1$, let $\psi(x_1,x_2,y)$ be an extension of $\eta(x_1,x_2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3_-=\{(x_1,x_2,y)\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ y<0\}$ (see e.g. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 8.3]) satisfying that $\psi(x_1,x_2,0)=\eta(x_1,x_2)$, $\psi(x_1,x_2,y)=0$ for $y\leq-\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\|\psi\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^3_-)}\leq C\|\eta\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Define $\varphi(x_1,x_2,y)=\bar{\eta}(x_1,x_2,y)-\psi(x_1,x_2,y)$ for $(x_1,x_2,y)\in\Omega$. Then we deduce from (2.1) that φ solves

$$\begin{cases}\Delta\varphi=-\Delta\psi & \text{in }\Omega,\\ \varphi=0 & \text{on }\Gamma,\\ \partial_3\varphi=0 & \text{on }S_B.\end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Applying the standard elliptic theory (see e.g. [4, Lemma 2.8]) to system (4.13) and using (4.12) one gets

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^m}\leq C\|\psi\|_{H^m}\leq C\|\eta\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \tag{4.14}$$

which, along with (4.12) leads to (4.11). The proof is completed.

□

When solving (4.2), we shall employ the following elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\vec{\omega}+\nabla q=\tilde{F} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{\omega}=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_3\omega_1+\partial_1\omega_3=\tilde{g}_1, \quad \partial_3\omega_2+\partial_2\omega_3=\tilde{g}_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ q_1-2\partial_3^2\omega_3=\tilde{g}_3 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \vec{\omega}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S_B. \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

For system (4.15) we have

Lemma 4.4. *Let $m\geq 0$. Suppose $\tilde{g}=(\tilde{g}_1,\tilde{g}_2,\tilde{g}_3)\in H^{m-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ and $\tilde{F}=(\tilde{F}_1,\tilde{F}_2,\tilde{F}_3)\in H^{m-2}(\Omega)$. Then* (4.15) *admits a unique solution $(\vec{\omega},q)$ with $\vec{\omega}=(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3)$ such that*

$$\|\vec{\omega}\|_{H^m}+\|q\|_{H^{m-1}}\leq C(\|\tilde{F}\|_{H^{m-2}}+\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^{m-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}),$$

for some constant $C>0$.

The proof of Lemma 4.4 is quite similar to that of [4, Lemma 3.3], thus we omit the details and refer the reader to [4, Lemma 3.3].

4.2 Solvability of system (4.1)

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(w_0,h_0)\in H^2(\Omega)$, f_4, f_5, g_4 and a satisfy* (4.6)-(4.7) *and the compatibility conditions* (4.4). *Then there exists a unique solution (w,h) to system* (4.1) *fulfilling*

$$\begin{aligned} |||w|||^2+|||h|||^2 \leq & C_3\big(\|w_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|h_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\big)+C_3\big(\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_tH^1}+\|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big) \\ & +C_3\big(\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_tH^1}+\|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big)+C_3\big(\|g_4\|^2_{L^2_tH^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|g_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_tH^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant $C_3>0$ is independent of t.

The proof of Proposition 4.2 is based on the following two lemmas where the *a priori* estimates on solutions (w,h) are derived.

Lemma 4.5. *Let the assumptions in Proposition 4.2 hold. Then the solution (w,h) of system* (4.1) *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} |||w|||^2 \leq & C_1\|w_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+C_1|||a|||^2|||h|||^2+C_1\big(\|g_4\|^2_{L^2_tH^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|g_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_tH^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big) \\ & +C_1\big(\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_tH^1}+\|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant $C_1>0$ is independent of t.

Proof. We first estimate $\|w_t\|^2_{L^\infty_tL^2}+\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2_tL^2}$. Differentiating the first equation of (4.1) with respect to t one has

$$w_{tt}-\Delta w_t-\nabla\cdot(a\nabla h)_t=f_{4t}. \tag{4.18}$$

Multiplying (4.18) by $2w_t$ in L^2 and using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|w_t\|^2_{L^2}+2\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2} =& 2\int_\Gamma(\partial_3 w+a\partial_3 h)_t w_t\,dx-2\int_\Omega(a\nabla h)_t\cdot\nabla w_t\,dxdy+2\int_\Omega f_{4t}w_t\,dxdy \\ =& 2\int_\Gamma g_{4t}w_t\,dx-2\int_\Omega(a\nabla h)_t\cdot\nabla w_t\,dxdy+2\int_\Omega f_{4t}w_t\,dxdy \\ :=& I_1+I_2+I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

By the trace theorem we have

$$I_1 \le \varepsilon \|w_t\|^2_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} + C(\varepsilon)\|g_{4t}\|^2_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \le C\varepsilon \|w_t\|^2_{H^1} + C(\varepsilon)\|g_{4t}\|^2_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}, \tag{4.20}$$

where $C(\varepsilon)$ is a constant independent of t, but depending on ε. Then using the Poincaré inequality ([1, Theorem 6.30]) thanks to the fact $w_t = 0$ on S_B, we can choose ε small enough such that $C\varepsilon\|w_t\|^2_{H^1} \le \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2}$, which inserted into (4.20) gives rise to

$$I_1 \le \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2} + C\|g_{4t}\|^2_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}. \tag{4.21}$$

It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \le & \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2} + C\left(\|a\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h_t\|^2_{L^2} + \|a_t\|^2_{L^4}\|\nabla h\|^2_{L^4}\right) \\ \le & \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2} + C\left(\|a\|^2_{H^2}\|\nabla h_t\|^2_{L^2} + \|a_t\|^2_{H^1}\|h\|^2_{H^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Noting $w_t = 0$ on S_B, one employs the Poincaré inequality to derive

$$I_3 \le \varepsilon\|w_t\|^2_{H^1} + C(\varepsilon)\|f_{4t}\|^2_{({}_0H^1)'} \le \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2} + C\|f_{4t}\|^2_{({}_0H^1)'}, \tag{4.23}$$

where ε has been chosen small such that $\varepsilon\|w_t\|^2_{H^1} \le \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2}$. Substituting (4.21)-(4.23) into (4.19) and integrating the resulting inequality over $(0,t)$ we arrive at

$$\|w_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2} + \|\nabla w_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2} \le \|w_t(0)\|^2_{L^2} + C\big(\|g_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} + |||a|||^2|||h|||^2 + \|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big). \tag{4.24}$$

The estimate of $\|w_t(0)\|^2_{L^2}$ follows from the first equation of (4.1) and the compactness theorem (see e.g. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_t(0)\|^2_{L^2} \le & C\big(\|w_0\|^2_{H^2} + \|a(0)\|^2_{H^2}\|h(0)\|^2_{H^2} + \|f_4(0)\|^2_{L^2}\big) \\ \le & C\|w_0\|^2_{H^2} + C|||a|||^2|||h|||^2 + C\big(\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big) \end{aligned}$$

which, substituted into (4.24) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2} + \|w_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} \\ & \le C\|w_0\|^2_{H^2} + C\big(|||a|||^2|||h|||^2 + \|g_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|f_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where we have used the fact $\|w_t\|_{L^2_t H^1} \le C\|\nabla w_t\|_{L^2_t L^2}$, thanks to the Poincaré inequality (cf. [1, Theorem 6.30]). We proceed to estimating $\|w\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}$. For fixed $t > 0$, from (4.1) we know that w solves the following elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = -w_t + \nabla\cdot(a\nabla h) + f_4, & (x_1, x_2, y) \in \Omega, \\ \partial_3 w = -a\partial_3 h + g_4 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ w = 0 \quad \text{on } S_B. \end{cases} \tag{4.26}$$

Then it follows from the standard elliptic theory (see e.g. [4, Lemma 2.8]) that

$$\|w\|_{H^k} \le C\big(\|-w_t + \nabla\cdot(a\nabla h) + f_4\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|a\partial_3 h\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|g_4\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big)$$

for fixed $t>0$, with $k\geq 2$. Taking $k=3$, one immediately gets

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\|^2_{L^2_t H^3} \leq & C\big(\|w_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|\nabla\cdot(a\nabla h)\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|a\partial_3 h\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|g_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big)\\ \leq & C\big(\|w_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|a\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}+\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|g_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used the following fact:

$$\|\nabla\cdot(a\nabla h)\|_{L^2_t H^1}+\|a\partial_3 h\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq C\|a\nabla h\|_{L^2_t H^2}\leq C\|a\|_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h\|_{L^2_t H^3},$$

thanks to the trace theorem and Sobolev embedding inequality. On the other hand, it follows from the compactness theorem (cf. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]) that

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty_t H^2}\leq C(\|w_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|w\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}). \tag{4.28}$$

Collecting (4.27), (4.28) and using (4.25) we obtain (4.17). The proof is completed.

□

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 4.2 hold. Then the solution (w,h) of (4.1) fulfills*

$$|||h|||^2\leq C_2(\|h_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|w_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)})+C_2|||w|||^2+C_2\big(\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\big) \tag{4.29}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant $C_2>0$ is independent of t.

Proof. Differentiating the second equation of (4.1) with respect to t, then multiplying the resulting equation with $2h_t$ in L^2 and using integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|h_t\|^2_{L^2}+2\|\nabla h_t\|^2_{L^2}=&2\int_\Omega f_{5t}h_t\,dxdy+2\int_\Omega w_t h_t\,dxdy\\ \leq&\varepsilon\|h_t\|^2_{H^1}+C(\varepsilon)\big(\|f_{5t}\|^2_{({}^0H^1)'}+\|w_t\|^2_{L^2}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where the positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depends on $\varepsilon>0$. Since $h_t=0$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$, by the Poincaré inequality (cf. [1, Themorem 6.30]) one can choose ε small such that

$$\varepsilon\|h_t\|^2_{H^1}\leq\|\nabla h_t\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{4.31}$$

Inserting (4.31) into (4.30) and integrating the resulting inequality over $(0,t)$ to derive

$$\|h_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2}+\|h_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\leq\|h_t(0)\|^2_{L^2}+C(|||w|||^2+\|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}). \tag{4.32}$$

We next use the second equation of (4.1) and the compactness theorem (cf. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]) to estimate $\|h_t(0)\|^2_{L^2}$ as follows:

$$\|h_t(0)\|^2_{L^2}\leq C(\|h_0\|^2_{H^2}+\|w_0\|^2_{L^2}+\|f_5(0)\|^2_{L^2})\leq C(\|h_0\|^2_{H^2}+\|w_0\|^2_{L^2}+\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}),$$

which, substituted in to (4.32) gives rise to

$$\|h_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2}+\|h_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\leq C(\|h_0\|^2_{H^2}+\|w_0\|^2_{H^2}+|||w|||^2+\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}). \tag{4.33}$$

From (4.1) we know that for fixed $t>0$, h solves the following elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta h=f_5-h_t+w, & (x_1,x_2,y)\in\Omega,\\ h=0 & \text{on }\Gamma,\\ \partial_3 h=0 & \text{on }S_B.\end{cases} \tag{4.34}$$

Then applying the standard elliptic theory (cf. [4, Lemma 2.8]) to (4.34) one deduces that

$$\|h\|^2_{L^2_t H^3} \le C(\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|h_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|w\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}). \tag{4.35}$$

Moreover, it follows from the compactness theorem (cf. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]) that

$$\|h\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2} \le C(\|h\|^2_{L^2_t H^3} + \|h_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}),$$

which, along with (4.33) and (4.35) gives (4.29). The proof is completed.

□

We next prove Proposition 4.2 by using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. The local well-posedness of the initial-boundary value problem (4.1) follows from the standard parabolic theory (see e.g. [22, pp 341-342, Theorem 9.1]). We omit the details for brevity and proceed to the derivation of (4.16). Multiplying (4.17) by (C_2+1) then adding the resulting inequality to (4.29) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
|||w|||^2 + |||h|||^2 \le & (C_1+1)(C_2+1)\|w_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + C_2\|h_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + C_1(C_2+1)|||a|||^2|||h|||^2 \\
& + C_1(C_2+1)\big(\|g_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|g_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big) \\
& + C_1(C_2+1)\big(\|f_4\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|f_{4t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\big) \\
& + C_2\big(\|f_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|f_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

which, in conjunction with (4.7) gives (4.16). Moreover, from (4.16) we know that the solution (w,h) is unique and global. The proof is finished.

□

4.3 Solvability of system (4.2)

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $\vec{v}_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\eta_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\nabla\phi$, $\vec{f} = (f_1,f_2,f_3)$ and $\vec{g} = (g_1,g_2,g_3)$ satisfy* (4.6) *and the compatibility conditions* (4.5)*. Assume further that*

$$w \in L^\infty(0,\infty;H^2) \cap L^2(0,\infty;H^3), \quad w_t \in L^\infty(0,\infty;L^2) \cap L^2(0,\infty;H^1). \tag{4.36}$$

Then system (4.2) *admits a unique global solution $(\vec{v},q,\eta)$ fulfilling*

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||\vec{v}|||^2 + \|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\nabla q\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2} + \|\nabla q\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\nabla q_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} \\
& \quad + \|\eta\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^2} \\
& \le C_4\big(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big) + C_4|||w|||^2\big(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}\big) \\
& \quad + C_4\big(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{f}_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} + \|\vec{g}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

for all $t > 0$, where C_4 is a constant independent of t.

We shall first prove the existence of weak solutions of (4.2) in the following Lemma 4.7, and then improve the regularity of such weak solutions in Lemma 4.8- Lemma 4.11 under the regularity assumptions on initial data and external forces in Proposition 4.3. The proof of Proposition 4.3 will be given at the end of this subsection. We introduce a space where weak solutions will be defined.

$$V = \{\vec{v} \in L^2(0,\infty;H^1) \mid \ \nabla\cdot\vec{v} = 0, \quad \int_0^t \nabla_0\vec{v}(x_1,x_2,y,s)\,ds \in L^\infty(0,\infty;L^2(\Gamma)), \quad \vec{v} = \mathbf{0} \ \text{ on } \ S_B\times(0,\infty)\}.$$

For test functions, we introduce the following separable space:

$$\mathscr{V} = \{\vec{\varphi} \in H^1(\Omega) \,|\, \nabla \cdot \vec{\varphi} = 0, \quad \nabla_0 \vec{\varphi} \in L^2(\Gamma), \quad \vec{\varphi} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } S_B\}.$$

Then the existence of weak solutions to system (4.2) is as follows.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\eta_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\vec{v}_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfy $\nabla \cdot \vec{v}_0 = 0$. Assume $\vec{g} \in L^2(0,\infty; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$, $\vec{f} \in L^2(0,\infty; ({}_0H^1)')$ and $(w\nabla\phi) \in L^2(0,\infty; L^2)$. Then there exists a weak solution $\vec{v} \in V$ of* (4.2) *with $\vec{v}_t \in L^2(0,\infty; ({}_0H^1)')$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \vec{v}_t, \vec{\varphi} \rangle + [\vec{v}, \vec{\varphi}] + \gamma \int_\Gamma \Big(\eta_0 + \int_0^t v_3\, ds\Big) \varphi_3\, dx + \sigma \int_\Gamma \Big(\nabla_0 \eta_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_0 v_3\, ds\Big) \cdot \nabla_0 \varphi_3\, dx \\ &= -(w\nabla\phi, \vec{\varphi}) + \langle \vec{f}, \vec{\varphi} \rangle + \langle \vec{g}, \vec{\varphi} \rangle_\Gamma, \qquad \forall\, \vec{\varphi} \in \mathscr{V} \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\vec{v}(x_1, x_2, y, 0) = \vec{v}_0(x_1, x_2, y) \tag{4.39}$$

for almost every $t > 0$, where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the L^2 inner product, $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between ${}_0H^1$ and $({}_0H^1)'$ and $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle_\Gamma$ represents the duality pairing between $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$.

The weak formula (4.38) is formally derived by multiplying the first equation of (4.2) with $\vec{\varphi} \in \mathscr{V}$ in L^2 and using the following two facts:

$$\int_\Omega (-\Delta \vec{v} + \nabla q) \cdot \vec{\varphi}\, dxdy = \int_\Gamma q\varphi_3\, dx - \int_\Gamma (\partial_i v_3 + \partial_3 v_i)\varphi_i\, dx + [\vec{v}, \vec{\varphi}]$$

and

$$\eta(x_1, x_2, t) = \eta_0(x_1, x_2) + \int_0^t v_3(x_1, x_2, 0, s)\, ds \tag{4.40}$$

thanks to $\eta_t = v_3$ on $\Gamma \times (0,\infty)$.

One can prove Lemma 4.7 by first using the *Galerkin's method* (see e.g. [13, page 377]) to construct approximating solutions and then passing to limits. Indeed, since $\mathscr{V}$ is separable (see [10, Lemma 4.1]), there exists a basis $\{\vec{\varphi}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ of $\mathscr{V}$. Define the approximating solutions $\vec{v}^m : [0,\infty) \to \mathscr{V}$ as follows

$$\vec{v}^m(x_1, x_2, y, t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_m^k(t) \vec{\varphi}^k(x_1, x_2, y).$$

By replacing the $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{\varphi}$ in (4.38) with $\vec{v}^m$ and $\vec{\varphi}^k$ respectively, and using the orthogonality of the basis $\{\vec{\varphi}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ in both $\mathscr{V}$ and L^2 one derives a system of second-order ordinary differential equations on the unknown $\lambda_m^k(t)$. Solving these equations, we obtain the approximating solutions $\vec{v}^m \in L^\infty(0,\infty; L^2) \cap L^2(0,\infty; H^1)$. Then passing $m \to \infty$, it follows that the limit function $\vec{v} \in V$ fulfills the weak formula (4.38) and initial condition (4.39). The procedure is standard, we thus omit the proof of Lemma 4.7 and refer the reader to [3, 10] for details. We proceed to improving the regularity of the weak solutions.

Lemma 4.8. *Let the assumptions in Proposition 4.3 hold true. Then the weak solutions $\vec{v}$ derived in Lemma 4.7 and the η defined in* (4.40) *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^2 \Big(\|\nabla_0^k \vec{v}\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \|\nabla_0^k \vec{v}\|^2_{L_t^2 H^1} \Big) + \|\eta\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\le C(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}) + C(\|\vec{g}\|^2_{L_t^2 H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\vec{f}\|^2_{L_t^2 H^1}) \\ &\quad + C\|w\|^2_{L_t^2 H^2}(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

for all $t > 0$, with the constant C independent of t.

Proof. Replacing $\vec{\varphi}$ in (4.38) with $\vec{v}$ and using (4.40) one derives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2+[\vec{v},\vec{v}]+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\gamma\|\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\sigma\|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\Big)\\&\quad=\int_\Gamma\vec{g}\cdot\vec{v}dx+\int_\Omega\vec{f}\cdot\vec{v}dxdy-\int_\Omega w\nabla\phi\cdot\vec{v}dxdy.\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

Terms on the right-hand side of (4.42) can be estimated by the trace theorem and Sobolev embedding inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Gamma\vec{g}\cdot\vec{v}dx+\int_\Omega\vec{f}\cdot\vec{v}dxdy-\int_\Omega w\nabla\phi\cdot\vec{v}dxdy\\&\quad\le\varepsilon(\|\vec{v}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2)+C(\varepsilon)(\|\vec{g}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2)\\&\quad\le C\varepsilon\|\vec{v}\|_{H^1}^2+C(\varepsilon)(\|\vec{g}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2)\\&\quad\le\frac{1}{2}[\vec{v},\vec{v}]+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.43}$$

where the constant $C(\varepsilon)>0$ depends on $\varepsilon>0$ and in the last inequality ε has been chosen small such that $C\varepsilon\|\vec{v}\|_{H^1}^2\le\frac{1}{2}[\vec{v},\vec{v}]$ thanks to Lemma 4.2. Substituting (4.43) into (4.42) and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to t one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\vec{v}\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\int_0^t[\vec{v},\vec{v}]ds+\|\eta\|_{L_t^\infty H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\\&\quad\le C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2+\|\eta_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2)+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.44}$$

We next estimate $\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2$. Replacing $\vec{\varphi}$ in (4.38) with $-\Delta_0\vec{v}$ and using (4.40) along with integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2+[\nabla_0\vec{v},\nabla_0\vec{v}]+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\gamma\|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\sigma\|\Delta_0\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\Big)\\&\quad=-\int_\Gamma\vec{g}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dx-\int_\Omega\vec{f}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dxdy+\int_\Omega w\nabla\phi\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dxdy.\end{aligned}\tag{4.45}$$

It follows from the trace theorem and Sobolev embedding inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_\Gamma\vec{g}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dx-\int_\Omega\vec{f}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dxdy+\int_\Omega w\nabla\phi\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}dxdy\\&\quad\le\varepsilon(\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2)+C(\varepsilon)(\|\vec{g}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2)\\&\quad\le C\varepsilon\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{H^1}^2+C(\varepsilon)(\|\vec{g}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2)\\&\quad\le\frac{1}{2}[\nabla_0\vec{v},\nabla_0\vec{v}]+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.46}$$

where in the last inequality we have employed Lemma 4.2 and chosen ε small such that $C\varepsilon\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{H^1}^2\le\frac{1}{2}[\nabla_0\vec{v},\nabla_0\vec{v}]$. Inserting (4.46) into (4.45) and then integrating the resulting inequality over $(0,t)$, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla_0\vec{v}\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\int_0^t[\nabla_0\vec{v},\nabla_0\vec{v}]ds+\|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L_t^\infty H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\\&\quad\le C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|\eta_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2)+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.47}$$

Applying Δ_0 to the first equation of (4.2), then multiplying the resulting equality by $\Delta_0\vec{v}$ in L^2 and using integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2+[\Delta_0\vec{v},\Delta_0\vec{v}]+\int_\Gamma(\gamma\Delta_0\eta-\sigma\Delta_0^2\eta)\Delta_0 v_3\,dx\\ =\int_\Gamma(\Delta_0\vec{g})\cdot(\Delta_0\vec{v})\,dx+\int_\Omega\Delta_0\vec{f}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}\,dxdy-\int_\Omega\Delta_0(w\nabla\phi)\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}\,dxdy.\end{aligned}\tag{4.48}$$

It follows from $\eta_t=v_3$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$ and integration by parts that

$$\int_\Gamma(\gamma\Delta_0\eta-\sigma\Delta_0^2\eta)\Delta_0 v_3\,dx=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\gamma\|\Delta_0\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\sigma\|\nabla_0\Delta_0\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\Big).\tag{4.49}$$

By a similar argument used in (4.46) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Gamma(\Delta_0\vec{g})\cdot(\Delta_0\vec{v})\,dx+\int_\Omega\Delta_0\vec{f}\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}\,dxdy-\int_\Omega\Delta_0(w\nabla\phi)\cdot\Delta_0\vec{v}\,dxdy\\ &\quad\le\varepsilon(\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\nabla_0\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2)+C(\varepsilon)(\|\Delta_0\vec{g}\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\nabla_0\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla_0(w\nabla\phi)\|_{L^2}^2)\\ &\quad\le\frac12[\Delta_0\vec{v},\Delta_0\vec{v}]+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{H^{\frac32}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\nabla_0\vec{f}\|_{L^2}^2)+C\|w\|_{H^1}^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.50}$$

where ε has been chosen small such that $\varepsilon(\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\nabla_0\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{L^2}^2)\le\frac12[\Delta_0\vec{v},\Delta_0\vec{v}]$ thanks to the trace theorem and Lemma 4.2. Substituting (4.49)-(4.50) into (4.48) then integrating the resulting inequality with respect to t we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Delta_0\vec{v}\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\int_0^t[\Delta_0\vec{v},\Delta_0\vec{v}]ds+\|\Delta_0\eta\|_{L_t^\infty H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\\ &\quad\le C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}^2+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2)+C(\|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac32}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2)\\ &\quad+C\|w\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

Collecting (4.44), (4.47) and (4.51) and using Lemma 4.2 we derive (4.41). The proof is completed. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let the assumptions in Proposition 4.3 hold true. Then the weak solutions $\vec{v}$ of* (4.2) *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\le&C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2)\\ &+C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2)\\ &+C(\|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2+\|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac32}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2)\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant C is independent of t.

Proof. Differentiating (4.38) with respect to t and then replacing $\vec{\varphi}$ with $\vec{v}_t$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L^2}^2+[\vec{v}_t,\vec{v}_t]+\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\gamma\|v_3\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\sigma\|\nabla_0 v_3\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2\Big)\\ =\int_\Gamma\vec{g}_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dx+\int_\Omega\vec{f}_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dxdy-\int_\Omega(w\nabla\phi)_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dxdy.\end{aligned}\tag{4.53}$$

By the trace theorem one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Gamma \vec{g}_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dx+\int_\Omega \vec{f}_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dxdy \le& C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\|\vec{g}_t\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}+C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}\|\vec{f}_t\|_{({}_0H^1)'}\\ \le&\varepsilon\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}^2+C(\varepsilon)(\|\vec{g}_t\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{({}_0H^1)'}^2)\\ \le&\frac14[\vec{v}_t,\vec{v}_t]+C(\|\vec{g}_t\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{({}_0H^1)'}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.54}$$

where ε has been chosen small such that $\varepsilon\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}^2\le\frac14[\vec{v}_t,\vec{v}_t]$ thanks to Lemma 4.2. It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_\Omega (w\nabla\phi)_t\cdot\vec{v}_t\,dxdy \le& C\|w_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L^2}+C\|w\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L^2}\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\varepsilon\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}^2+C(\varepsilon)\left(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2\|w_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{H^2}^2\right)\\ \le&\frac14[\vec{v}_t,\vec{v}_t]+C\left(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2\|w_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{H^2}^2\right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.55}$$

where ε has been chosen small such that $\varepsilon\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}^2\le\frac14[\vec{v}_t,\vec{v}_t]$ by Lemma 4.2. Inserting (4.54)-(4.55) into (4.53) and integrating the resulting inequality with over $(0,t)$ and using Lemma 4.2, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2+\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\le&\|\vec{v}_t(0)\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}^2+C(\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}^2+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2)\\ &+C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.56}$$

We next estimate the term $\|\vec{v}_t(0)\|_{L^2}^2$ on the right-hand side of (4.56). Given the regularity assumptions in (4.6) and (4.36), compactness (see e.g. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]) implies that

$$\vec{f}\in C(0,\infty;L^2),\quad \vec{g}\in C(0,\infty;H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)),\quad w\nabla\phi\in C(0,\infty;L^2).$$

In particular,

$$\|\vec{f}(0)\|_{L^2}\le C(\|\vec{f}\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}),\quad \|\vec{g}(0)\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\le C(\|\vec{g}\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac32}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)})\tag{4.57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(0)\nabla\phi(0)\|_{L^2}\le&C(\|w\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|(w\nabla\phi)_t\|_{L_t^2L^2})\\ \le&C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.58}$$

The fourth boundary condition in (4.2) implies that

$$q(0)=2\partial_3v_{03}+\gamma\eta_0-\sigma\Delta_0\eta_0-g_3(0)\quad\text{on }\Gamma.\tag{4.59}$$

Applying projection P to $\nabla q(0)$ and denoting $\nabla q_1(0)=P\nabla q(0)$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.59) that

$$\begin{cases}\Delta q_1(0)=0 & \text{in }\Omega,\\ q_1(0)=2\partial_3v_{03}+\gamma\eta_0-\sigma\Delta_0\eta_0-g_3(0) & \text{on }\Gamma,\\ \nabla q_1(0)\cdot\vec{n}=0 & \text{on }S_B.\end{cases}\tag{4.60}$$

Applying the standard elliptic theory to (4.60) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|P\nabla q(0)\|_{L^2}=\|\nabla q_1(0)\|_{L^2}\le&C\|2\partial_3v_{03}+\gamma\eta_0-\sigma\Delta_0\eta_0-g_3(0)\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\\ \le&C\big(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|g_3(0)\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.61}$$

On the other hand, applying projection P to the first equation of (4.2) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}_t(0)\|_{L^2} \leq & \|P(\Delta\vec{v}_0 - w(0)\nabla\phi(0) + \vec{f}(0))\|_{L^2} + \|P\nabla q(0)\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|w(0)\nabla\phi(0)\|_{L^2} + \|\vec{f}(0)\|_{L^2}) + \|P\nabla q(0)\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.62}$$

Substituting (4.61) into (4.62) one arrives at

$$\|\vec{v}_t(0)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|w(0)\nabla\phi(0)\|_{L^2} + \|\vec{f}(0)\|_{L^2} + \|g_3(0)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}),$$

which, in conjunction with (4.57)-(4.58) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}_t(0)\|_{L^2} \leq & C(\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}) + C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}) \\ & + C(\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{f}_t\|_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} + \|\vec{g}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\vec{g}_t\|_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

Plugging (4.63) into (4.56) we obtain the desired estimate. The proof is finished.

□

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose the assumptions in Proposition 4.3 hold true. Let $\vec{v}(x_1,x_2,y,t)$ be the weak solutions derived in Lemma 4.7. Then there exists an associate pressure $q(x_1,x_2,y,t)$ defined in $\Omega\times(0,\infty)$, such that $(\vec{v},q)$ solves* (4.2)*. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}\|^2_{L^2_t H^3} + \|q\|^2_{L^2_t H^2} \leq & C\big(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big) \\ & + C|||w|||^2\big(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}\big) \\ & + C\big(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{f}_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} + \|\vec{g}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant C is independent of t.

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{F} = \vec{f} - (w\nabla\phi) - \vec{v}_t, \qquad \tilde{g}_1 = g_1, \qquad \tilde{g}_2 = g_2, \qquad \tilde{g_3} = \gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta - g_3. \tag{4.65}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.9 and the assumptions in Proposition 4.3 that $\tilde{F}\in L^2(\Omega)$ and that $\tilde{g} = (\tilde{g}_1,\tilde{g}_2,\tilde{g}_3)\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ for almost every $t>0$. Then from Lemma 4.4 we know that for fixed $t>0$, system (4.15) with $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{g}$ defined in (4.65) admits a unique solution in $H^2(\Omega)\times H^1(\Omega)$ depending on the choice of $t>0$, which we denote by $(\vec{\omega},q)(x_1,x_2,y,t)$. Taking the L^2 inner product of the first equation in (4.15) with $\vec{\varphi}\in\mathscr{V}$ and using integration by parts one easily deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}[\vec{\omega},\vec{\varphi}] = & -(w\nabla\phi,\vec{\varphi}) + \langle\vec{f},\vec{\varphi}\rangle - \langle\vec{v}_t,\vec{\varphi}\rangle - \gamma\int_\Gamma\Big(\eta_0 + \int_0^t v_3\,ds\Big)\varphi_3\,dx \\ & - \sigma\int_\Gamma\Big(\nabla_0\eta_0 + \int_0^t\nabla_0 v_3\,ds\Big)\cdot\nabla_0\varphi_3\,dx + \langle\vec{g},\vec{\varphi}\rangle_\Gamma, \qquad \forall\ \vec{\varphi}\in\mathscr{V}\end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t>0$, which along with (4.38) gives rise to

$$[\vec{v}-\vec{\omega},\vec{\varphi}] = 0, \qquad \forall\ \vec{\varphi}\in\mathscr{V} \tag{4.66}$$

for almost every $t>0$. From Lemma 4.8 we know that $\nabla_0\vec{v}\in H^1(\Omega)$ for almost every $t>0$, then it follows from the trace theorem that $\nabla_0\vec{v}\in L^2(\Gamma)$, which indicates $\vec{v}\in\mathscr{V}$. Taking $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{v}-\vec{\omega}$, from (4.66) and Lemma 4.2 one deduces for fixed $t>0$ that

$$\vec{v} = \vec{\omega} \quad \text{for almost every } (x_1,x_2,y,t)\in\Omega\times(0,\infty),$$

which, along with (4.65) and (4.15) implies that $(\vec{v},q)$ solves (4.2).

To derive the desired estimate (4.64), we next prove the following inequality

$$\|v_3\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\Gamma)} \le C\sum_{k=0}^{2}\|\nabla_0^k\vec{v}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} \tag{4.67}$$

for all $t>0$, by using the condition $\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0$. It follows from the trace theorem [24, pp 39, Theorem 8.3] that any $\rho\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ admits an extension $\tilde{\rho}\in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\tilde{\rho}=\rho$ on Γ and

$$\|\tilde{\rho}\|_{H^1}\le C\|\rho\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}, \tag{4.68}$$

with some constant $C>0$. Let $\xi(y)$ be a smooth function defined on $y\in[-1,0]$ fulfilling

$$\xi(y)=1 \quad \text{for } -\frac{1}{3}\le y\le 0, \qquad \xi(y)=0 \quad \text{for } -1\le y\le -\frac{2}{3}.$$

For $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$ with $1\le i+j\le 3$ and fixed $t>0$, it follows from $\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0$ and (4.68) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Gamma(\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}v_3)\rho dx=\int_\Omega(\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}\vec{v})\cdot\nabla(\xi\tilde{\rho})dxdy \le& C\|\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}\vec{v}\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{\rho}\|_{H^1}\\ \le& C\|\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}\vec{v}\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i+j=1}^{3}\|\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}v_3\|^2_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le C\sum_{i+j=1}^{3}\|\partial^i_{x_1}\partial^j_{x_2}\vec{v}\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{4.69}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the trace theorem that

$$\|v_3\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\le C\|\vec{v}\|^2_{H^1}$$

for fixed $t>0$, which along (4.69) and integration over $(0,t)$ gives rise to (4.67).

With (4.67) in hand, applying [2, pp 78, Theorem 10.5] to (4.2) and using Lemma 4.8-Lemma 4.9 one easily deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}+\|q\|^2_{L^2_t H^2}\le& C\|\vec{f}-(w\nabla\phi)-\vec{v}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+C\|g_1\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+C\|g_2\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+C\|v_3\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ \le& C(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})+C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2})\\ &+C(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|\vec{f}_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'})+C(\|\vec{g}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}).\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.

□

Lemma 4.11. *Let $(\vec{v},q)$ be the solution derived in Lemma 4.10 with η defined in* (4.40)*. Let $\mathscr{H}(\eta)$ be the harmonic extension of η. Then there exists a constant C independent of t such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\nabla q_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}+\|\nabla_0\eta\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\nabla^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|^2_{L^2_t H^2}\\ \le& C\big(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)+C|||w|||^2\big(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}\big)\\ &+C\big(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}+\|\vec{g}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $t>0$.

Proof. We first estimate $\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}$. Let $\vec{\psi}\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$. Then for $i=1,2$ it follows from the trace theorem that

$$\int_\Gamma \partial_i\vec{v}_t\cdot\vec{\psi}\,dx=-\int_\Gamma \vec{v}_t\cdot\partial_i\vec{\psi}\,dx\le\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\partial_i\vec{\psi}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}\|\vec{\psi}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus

$$\|\partial_i\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}\quad\text{for } i=1,2. \tag{4.70}$$

Noting $\partial_3 v_{3t}=-\partial_1 v_{1t}-\partial_2 v_{2t}$ due to $\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0$, one gets from (4.70) that

$$\|\partial_3 v_{3t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le\|\partial_1 v_{1t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\partial_2 v_{2t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}. \tag{4.71}$$

On the other hand, from the boundary conditions in (4.2) we know $\partial_3 v_{it}=-\partial_i v_{3t}+g_{it}$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$ for $i=1,2$. Thus it follows from (4.70) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_3 v_{it}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le&\|\partial_i v_{3t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|g_{it}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ \le&C\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\qquad\text{for } i=1,2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

Collecting (4.70)-(4.72) and using Lemma 4.9 we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\le&C(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\\ &+C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}+\|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L_t^2L^2})\\ &+C(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}+\|\vec{f}_t\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+\|\vec{g}\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

We proceed to estimating $\|\nabla q_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}$. Differentiating (4.38) with respect to t to have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\vec{v}_{tt},\vec{\varphi}\rangle+[\vec{v}_t,\vec{\varphi}]+\gamma\int_\Gamma v_3\varphi_3\,dx-\sigma\int_\Gamma\Delta_0 v_3\varphi_3\,dx\\ &=-(w_t\nabla\phi,\vec{\varphi})-(w\nabla\phi_t,\vec{\varphi})+\langle\vec{f}_t,\vec{\varphi}\rangle+\langle\vec{g}_t,\vec{\varphi}\rangle_\Gamma,\qquad\forall\vec{\varphi}\in\mathscr{V}\end{aligned}$$

for *a.e.* $t>0$, which along with the Sobolev embedding inequality and trace theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{v}_{tt}\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\le&C(\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|\vec{v}\|_{L_t^2H^3})+C|||w|||(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2})\\ &+C(\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.74}$$

For any $\vec{\rho}\in{}_0H^1$, one gets from integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Delta\vec{v}_t,\vec{\rho}\rangle=&\int_\Gamma\partial_3\vec{v}_t\cdot\vec{\rho}\,dx-\int_\Omega\nabla\vec{v}_t\cdot\nabla\vec{\rho}\,dxdy\\ \le&\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\vec{\rho}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1}\|\vec{\rho}\|_{H^1}\\ \le&C(\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{v}_t\|_{H^1})\|\vec{\rho}\|_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

which, indicates

$$\|\Delta\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\le C(\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}). \tag{4.75}$$

Differentiating the first equation of (4.2) with respect to t and using (4.74)-(4.75) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla q_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\le&\|\vec{v}_{tt}\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+\|\Delta\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+|||w|||(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2})+\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\ \le&C(\|\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|\vec{v}\|_{L_t^2H^3}+\|\nabla\vec{v}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)})+C|||w|||(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2})\\ &+C(\|\vec{f}_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+\|\vec{g}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)})\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (4.73) and Lemma 4.9-Lemma 4.10 gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla q_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} \leq & C(\|\vec{v}_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \\ & + C|||w|||^2(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla\phi_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}) \\ & + C(\|\vec{f}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{f}_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} + \|\vec{g}\|^2_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|\vec{g}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.76}$$

It remains to estimate $\|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and $\|\nabla^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^2}$. Noting that the harmonic extension $\mathscr{H}$ is a linear operator, applying projection P to ∇q and using Lemma 4.1 and the fourth boundary condition in (4.2), we deduce that

$$P\nabla q = \nabla\mathscr{H}(2\partial_3 v_3 + \gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta - g_3) = 2\nabla\mathscr{H}(\partial_3 v_3) + \nabla\mathscr{H}(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta) - \nabla\mathscr{H}(g_3)$$

which, along with Lemma 4.3 and the trace theorem entails that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\mathscr{H}(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^1} \leq & \|P\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + 2\|\nabla\mathscr{H}(\partial_3 v_3)\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\nabla\mathscr{H}(g_3)\|_{L^2_t H^1} \\ \leq & C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\nabla\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|g_3\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big) \\ \leq & C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|g_3\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

Noting $\partial_i\mathscr{H}(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta) = \mathscr{H}(\partial_i[\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta])$ for $i = 1,2$, we deduce from the trace theorem and (4.77) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_0(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq & \|\nabla_0\mathscr{H}(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^1} \\ \leq & C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|g_3\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.78}$$

On the other hand, $\nabla_0\eta$ solves the following elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma\Delta_0(\nabla_0\eta) + \gamma(\nabla_0\eta) = \nabla_0(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta), & (x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \lim\limits_{|\vec{x}|\to\infty}(\nabla_0\eta) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.79}$$

where $|\vec{x}| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$. Then applying the standard elliptic theory (see e.g. [13, pp 342, Theorem 5]) to (4.79) and using (4.78) we deduce that

$$\|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|\nabla_0(\gamma\eta - \sigma\Delta_0\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|\vec{g}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big), \tag{4.80}$$

which, in conjunction with the fact $\partial_i\mathscr{H}(\eta) = \mathscr{H}(\partial_i\eta)$ for $i = 1,2$ and the trace theorem leads to

$$\|\nabla_0\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^3} \leq \|\nabla_0\eta\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|\vec{g}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big). \tag{4.81}$$

Noting $\Delta\mathscr{H}(\eta) = 0$ in Ω, it follows from (4.81) that

$$\|\partial_3^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^2} = \|\partial_1^2\mathscr{H}(\eta) + \partial_2^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^2} \leq C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|\vec{g}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big),$$

which, along with (4.81) leads to

$$\|\nabla^2\mathscr{H}(\eta)\|_{L^2_t H^2} \leq C\big(\|\nabla q\|_{L^2_t H^1} + \|\vec{v}\|_{L^2_t H^3} + \|\vec{g}\|_{L^2_t H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\big). \tag{4.82}$$

Collecting (4.73), (4.76), (4.80) and (4.82) and using Lemma 4.10 we derive the desired estimates. The proof is completed.

□

We are now in the position to prove Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.3. First, from Lemma 4.10 we know that $(\vec{v},q,\eta)$ with η defined in (4.40) solves the initial-boundary value problem (4.2). Furthermore, one can easily get the regularity (4.37) by using Lemma 4.8-Lemma 4.11 and the following fact:

$$\|\vec{v}\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\le C(\|\vec{v}\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}+\|\vec{v}_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}),\quad \|\nabla q\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2}\le C(\|\nabla q\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}+\|\nabla q_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'})$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of t, thanks to the compactness theorem (see e.g. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1]). Uniqueness follows from (4.37). The proof is completed.

□

4.4 Proof of Proposition 4.1

Proof. Multiplying (4.16) with $C_4(\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla^2\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^\infty}+\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L^2_t L^2})+1$ and adding the resulting inequality to (4.37), one derives (4.8). The proof is finished.

□

5 Approximation solutions for the nonlinear problem

In this section, we shall first construct approximation solutions for the nonlinear problem (3.10)-(3.12) based on the results obtained on its linearized version (4.1)-(4.2) in Section 4 then proceed to gain uniform bounds for such approximation solutions by estimating the nonlinear terms on the right-hand side of each equation in the following approximating system (5.7)-(5.9).

Before constructing the approximation solutions, we exhibit some identities fulfilled by the initial data w_0, h_0 and $\vec{v}_0$, which are defined from m_0, $\tilde{c}_0$ and $\vec{u}_0$ through the transformation $\theta(0)$. Indeed, by similar arguments used in deriving (7.17), (7.6) and (7.12)-(7.13) one can deduce from (2.2) that the w_0, h_0 and $\vec{v}_0$ defined in (3.9) satisfy the following identities:

$$\begin{cases}\nabla\cdot\vec{v}_0=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,\\ \partial_3 w_0=G_4(w_0,h_0,\bar{\eta}_0)-w_0\partial_3 h_0,\quad h_0=0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma,\\ \partial_3 v_{01}+\partial_1 v_{03}=G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0),\quad \partial_3 v_{02}+\partial_2 v_{03}=G_2(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma,\\ w_0=0,\quad \partial_3 h_0=0,\quad \vec{v}_0=\mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S_B,\end{cases}\tag{5.1}$$

where $G_4(w_0,h_0,\bar{\eta}_0)$ is derived from $G_4(w,h,\bar{\eta})$ by replacing the α, β, J, η, h and w in (7.6) with α_0, β_0, J_0, η_0, h_0 and w_0, respectively. Similarly, $G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)$ and $G_2(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)$ are obtained from the $G_1(\vec{v},\bar{\eta})$ defined below (7.12) and the $G_2(\vec{v},\bar{\eta})$ defined below (7.13) by replacing the J, η and $\vec{v}$ there with J_0, η_0 and $\vec{v}_0$, respectively.

We are now in the position to construct the first and second approximation solutions. Assuming $w_0,h_0,\vec{v}_0\in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\eta_0\in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$, one can easily deduce from the trace theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}+\|G_2(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}+\|G_4(w_0,h_0,\bar{\eta}_0)-w_0\partial_3 h_0\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\\ &\le C(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

for some constant $C>0$. Then from the trace theorem (see e.g. [24, Chapter 1, Theorem 4.2]) and (5.2), we know that there exists $\tilde{G}_1,\tilde{G}_2,\tilde{G}_4\in L^2(0,\infty;H^{\frac32}(\Gamma))$ with $\tilde{G}_{1t},\tilde{G}_{2t},\tilde{G}_{4t}\in L^2(0,\infty;H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma))$ satisfying that

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{G}_1(x_1,x_2,0)=G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma,\\ \tilde{G}_2(x_1,x_2,0)=G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma,\\ \tilde{G}_4(x_1,x_2,0)=G_4(w_0,h_0,\bar{\eta}_0)-w_0\partial_3 h_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\end{cases}\tag{5.3}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{G}_1\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\tilde{G}_2\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\tilde{G}_4\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\tilde{G}_{1t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\tilde{G}_{2t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+\|\tilde{G}_{4t}\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\
\leq& C\|G_1(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+C\|G_2(\vec{v}_0,\bar{\eta}_0)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}+C\|G_4(w_0,h_0,\bar{\eta}_0)-w_0\partial_3h_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\
\leq& C(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

Let $(w^1,h^1,\vec{v}^1,q^1,\eta^1)=(w^2,h^2,\vec{v}^2,q^2,\eta^2)$ be the solutions of the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
w_t-\Delta w=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,\infty),\\
h_t-\Delta h-w=0,\\
\vec{v}_t-\Delta\vec{v}+\nabla q+w\nabla\phi=0,\\
\nabla\cdot\vec{v}=0,\\
(w,h,\vec{v})(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(w_0,h_0,\vec{v}_0)(x_1,x_2,y), \quad \eta(x_1,x_2,0)=\eta_0(x_1,x_2),
\end{cases}\tag{5.5}$$

with the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_3w=\tilde{G}_4, \quad h=0, \quad \partial_3v_1+\partial_1v_3=\tilde{G}_1, \quad \partial_3v_2+\partial_2v_3=\tilde{G}_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\\
\eta_t=v_3, \quad q-2\partial_3v_3=\gamma\eta-\sigma\Delta_0\eta \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\\
w=0, \quad \partial_3h=0, \quad \vec{v}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S_B\times(0,\infty).
\end{cases}\tag{5.6}$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 to system (5.5)-(5.6) we obtain the unique solution $(w^1,h^1,\vec{v}^1,q^1,\eta^1)=(w^2,h^2,\vec{v}^2,q^2,\eta^2)$ since the required compatibility conditions in Proposition 4.1 follow directly from (5.1) and (5.3).

With the well-defined first and second approximation solutions in hand, we proceed to constructing $(w^{(j+1)},h^{(j+1)},\vec{v}^{(j+1)},q^{(j+1)},\eta^{(j+1)})$ with $j\geq 2$ by solving the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases}
w_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta w^{(j+1)}-\nabla\cdot(w^j\nabla h^{(j+1)})=F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j) \quad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,\infty),\\
h_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta h^{(j+1)}-w^{(j+1)}=F_5(h^j,\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j),\\
\vec{v}_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta\vec{v}^{(j+1)}+\nabla q^{(j+1)}+w^{(j+1)}\nabla\phi=\vec{F}(w^j,\vec{v}^j,\nabla q^j,\bar{\eta}^j),\\
\nabla\cdot\vec{v}^{(j+1)}=0,\\
(w^{(j+1)},h^{(j+1)},\vec{v}^{(j+1)})(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(w_0,h_0,\vec{v}_0)(x_1,x_2,y),\\
\eta^{(j+1)}(x_1,x_2,0)=\eta_0(x_1,x_2),
\end{cases}\tag{5.7}$$

with the following boundary conditions on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_3w^{(j+1)}+w^j\partial_3h^{(j+1)}=G_4(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j), \qquad h^{(j+1)}=0,\\
\partial_3v_1^{(j+1)}+\partial_1v_3^{(j+1)}=G_1(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j), \qquad \partial_3v_2^{(j+1)}+\partial_2v_3^{(j+1)}=G_2(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j),\\
\eta_t^{(j+1)}=v_3^{(j+1)}, \qquad q^{(j+1)}-2\partial_3v_3^{(j+1)}=\gamma\eta^{(j+1)}-\sigma\Delta_0\eta^{(j+1)}-G_3(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)
\end{cases}\tag{5.8}$$

and the following boundary conditions on $S_B\times(0,\infty)$:

$$w^{(j+1)}=0, \quad \partial_3h^{(j+1)}=0, \quad \vec{v}^{(j+1)}=\mathbf{0},\tag{5.9}$$

where $\vec{F}(w^j,\vec{v}^j,\nabla q^j,\bar{\eta}^j)=(F_1,F_2,F_3)(w^j,\vec{v}^j,\nabla q^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$, $\vec{G}(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)=(G_1,G_2,G_3)(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$, $F_5(h^j,\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$ and $G_4(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$ are derived from the $\vec{F}$ defined below (7.10), the $\vec{G}$ defined below (7.12)-(7.14), the F_5 defined below (7.5) and the G_4 defined in (7.6) by replacing the J, α, β, w, h, $\vec{v}$, q and η there with J^j, α^j, β^j, w^j, h^j, $\vec{v}^j$, q^j and η^j, respectively. Here

$$\alpha^j=(1+y)\partial_1\bar{\eta}^j, \quad \beta^j=(1+y)\partial_2\bar{\eta}^j, \quad J^j=1+\bar{\eta}^j+\partial_3\bar{\eta}^j(1+y),$$

with $\bar\eta^j=\mathscr{H}(\eta^j)$. $F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$ is derived from the F_4 defined below (7.5) by replacing the first line with

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\,\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j+w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)\\&-2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_1 w^j+w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j\big)-2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_2 w^j+w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\big)\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

and replacing the J, α, β, w, h, $\vec v$ and η in Line 2 to Line 6 with J^j, α^j, β^j, w^j, h^j, $\vec v^j$ and η^j, respectively.

To obtain such $(w^{(j+1)},h^{(j+1)},\vec v^{(j+1)},q^{(j+1)},\eta^{(j+1)})$ by solving (7.5)-(5.9), from Proposition 4.1 we know that the following compatibility conditions are required to be fulfilled:

$$\begin{cases}\nabla\cdot\vec v_0=0\quad\text{in}\quad\Omega,\\ \partial_3 w_0+w_0\partial_3 h_0=G_4(w^j(x_1,x_2,y,0),h^j(x_1,x_2,y,0),\bar\eta^j(x_1,x_2,y,0)),\quad h_0=0\quad\text{on }\Gamma,\\ \partial_3 v_{01}+\partial_1 v_{03}=G_1(\vec v^j(x_1,x_2,y,0),\bar\eta^j(x_1,x_2,y,0))\quad\text{on }\Gamma,\\ \partial_3 v_{02}+\partial_2 v_{03}=G_2(\vec v^j(x_1,x_2,y,0),\bar\eta^j(x_1,x_2,y,0))\quad\text{on }\Gamma,\\ w_0=0,\quad\partial_3 h_0=0,\quad\vec v_0=\mathbf{0}\quad\text{on }S_B.\end{cases}\tag{5.11}$$

The solvability of the system (5.7)-(5.9) and the uniform bounds for the approximation solutions $\{(w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ are as follows.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that the initial data $w_0,h_0,\vec v_0\in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\eta_0\in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy* (5.85)*,* (5.89) *and the identities in* (5.1)*. Assume further $\nabla\phi,\nabla^2\phi\in L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\infty)$ and $\nabla\phi_t\in L^2(0,\infty;L^2)$. Let $(w^1,h^1,\vec v^1,q^1,\eta^1)=(w^2,h^2,\vec v^2,q^2,\eta^2)$ be the solution of system* (5.5)-(5.6)*. Then system* (5.7)-(5.9) *with $j\ge 2$ admits a unique solution $(w^{(j+1)},h^{(j+1)},\vec v^{(j+1)},q^{(j+1)},\eta^{(j+1)})$ with the regularity defined by the left-hand side of* (5.12)*. Moreover, there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^2\le C(\|w_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|h_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|\vec v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2\tag{5.12}$$

for all $j\ge 1$ and $t>0$. Furthermore, it holds true for all $j\ge 1$ that

$$\frac12<J^j<\frac32\qquad\text{in }\ \Omega\times(0,\infty),\tag{5.13}$$

where $J^j=1+\bar\eta^j+\partial_3\bar\eta^j(1+y)$.

In the next subsection, we shall estimate the nonlinear terms $\vec F$, $\vec G$, F_4, F_5 and G_4 in system (5.7)-(5.9) and the proof of Proposition 5.1 will be given in subsection 5.2.

5.1 Estimates on nonlinear terms

In estimating the nonlinear terms, we assume that

$$\frac12<J^j<\frac32\qquad\text{in }\ \Omega\times(0,\infty)\tag{5.14}$$

for all $j\ge 1$, which will be verified in the proof of Proposition 5.1 by using the smallness of η^j. Assumption (5.14) will be used repeatedly in the proof of the following Lemma 5.1- Lemma 5.7 without further clarification.

We first estimate $\vec F(w^j,\vec v^j,\nabla q^j,\bar\eta^j)$ and $\vec F_t(w^j,\vec v^j,\nabla q^j,\bar\eta^j)$. It follows from the definition of $\vec F$ below (7.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}\vec F(w^j,\vec v^j,\nabla q^j,\bar\eta^j)=&\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\big\}\,\partial_3^2\vec v^j-2(J^j)^{-1}\alpha^j\partial_3\partial_1\vec v^j\\&-2(J^j)^{-1}\beta^j\partial_3\partial_2\vec v^j+\vec Q+\vec L,\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

where $\vec{Q}=(Q_1,Q_2,Q_3)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}&Q_1:=(1-J^j)\partial_1 q^j+\alpha^j\partial_3 q^j,\qquad Q_2:=(1-J^j)\partial_2 q^j+\beta^j\partial_3 q^j,\\&Q_3:=\left\{1-(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]\right\}\partial_3 q^j+\alpha^j\partial_1 q^j+\beta^j\partial_2 q^j\end{aligned}$$

and the lower order terms (with respect to $\vec{v}^j$) $\vec{L}$ are as follows:

$$\vec{L}\sim(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla\vec{v}^j+(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j+(\nabla\bar{\eta}_t^j)\vec{v}^j+(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j\bar{\eta}_t^j+w^j(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla\phi.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\|\vec{F}\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4+C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8$$

for all $j\ge 2$ and $t>0$.

Proof. By the definition of α^j, β^j and J^j in (3.3) we know that

$$\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}\sim(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2. \tag{5.16}$$

Thus it follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}\partial_3^2\vec{v}^j\right\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\\&\le C\left(\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^4}^2\|\partial_3^2\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^4}^2+\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4\|\nabla\partial_3^2\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2\right)\\&\le C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, one gets

$$\left\|2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_1\vec{v}^j\right\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2+\left\|2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_2\vec{v}^j\right\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\le C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2. \tag{5.18}$$

Recalling the definition of $\vec{Q}$ below (5.15), using the fact $(1-J^j)$, α^j, $\beta^j\sim\nabla\bar{\eta}^j$ and (5.16) we have

$$\|Q_1\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2+\|Q_2\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2+\|Q_3\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\le C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4)\|\nabla q^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2. \tag{5.19}$$

We next estimate each term in $\vec{L}$. Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 lead to

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\\&\le C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^6}^4\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^6}^2+C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^\infty}^2\\&\quad+C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^4}^2\|\nabla^2\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^4}^2\\&\le C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\\&\le\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^4}^2\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^2L^4}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4\|\nabla^4\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2\\&\quad+C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2L^\infty}^2\\&\le C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L_t^2H^2}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2+C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

It follows from $\eta_t^j = v_3^j$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$, Lemma 4.3 and the trace theorem that

$$\|\bar\eta_t^j(t)\|_{H^m} \le C\|\eta_t^j(t)\|_{H^{m-\frac12}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = C\|v_3^j(t)\|_{H^{m-\frac12}(\Gamma)} \le C\|\vec v^j(t)\|_{H^m}, \quad \forall t>0,\ m\ge 1. \tag{5.22}$$

By the Sobolev embedding inequality and (5.22) one deduces that

$$\|(\nabla\bar\eta_t^j)\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2 + \|(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\vec v^j\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2 \le C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+1)\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2 \tag{5.23}$$

and that

$$\|w^j(\nabla\bar\eta^j)\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2 \le C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|w^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2(\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2). \tag{5.24}$$

Combining (5.20)-(5.24) we arrive at

$$\|\vec L\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2 \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8$$

which, along with (5.17)-(5.19) and (5.15) gives the desired estimate. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold true. Then*

$$\|\vec F_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8$$

for all $j\ge 2$ and $t>0$, where the constant C is independent of j and t.

Proof. From the definition of α^j, β^j and J^j in (3.3) we know that

$$\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}_t\partial_3^2\vec v^j \sim \nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\partial_3^2\vec v^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\partial_3^2\vec v^j. \tag{5.25}$$

It follows from (5.22), the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\partial_3^2\vec v^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\partial_3^2\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2 \le&(\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^4)\|\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L^\infty L^4}^2\|\partial_3^2\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2L^4}^2\\ \le&C(\|\bar\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3}^2+\|\bar\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3}^4)\|\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2\\ \le&C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(R^2)}^4)\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (5.25) entails that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}_t\partial_3^2\vec v^j\right\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2\\ &\le C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2+\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4)\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\vec v^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

For any $\vec\varphi\in{}_0H^1$, integration by parts along with (5.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}(\partial_3^2\vec v_t^j)\cdot\vec\varphi\,dxdy\\ &=\int_\Gamma\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}(\partial_3\vec v_t^j)\cdot\vec\varphi\,dx\\ &\quad-\int_\Omega\partial_3\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}(\partial_3\vec v_t^j)\cdot\vec\varphi\,dxdy\\ &\quad-\int_\Omega\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}(\partial_3\vec v_t^j)\cdot\partial_3\vec\varphi\,dxdy\\ &\le C\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\varphi\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}+C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|_{L^4}\|\partial_3\vec v_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\varphi\|_{L^4}\\ &\quad+C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\partial_3\vec v_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\partial_3\varphi\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\varphi\|_{H^1}+C\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\varphi\|_{H^1},\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding inequality and the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\varphi\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\leq& C\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\varphi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}+C\|\nabla_0^{\frac12}(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\varphi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}+C\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla_0^{\frac12}\varphi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\\ \leq& C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}+C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0^{\frac12}(\nabla\bar\eta^j)\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}\|\varphi\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}\\ &+C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0^{\frac12}\varphi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\\ \leq& C\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\varphi\|_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 4.3 and the trace theorem. It follows from (5.27) that

$$\left\|\left\{(J^j)^{-2}\left[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right]-1\right\}\partial_3^2\vec v_t^j\right\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big(\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}+\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2}\big)$$

which, along with (5.26) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{\left[(J^j)^{-2}\left((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\right)-1\right]\partial_3^2\vec v^j\right\}_t\right\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\ &\leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big(\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}+\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2}\big)\\ &\quad+C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.28}$$

A similar argument used in deriving (5.28) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left[2(J^j)^{-1}\alpha^j\partial_3\partial_1\vec v^j\right]_t\right\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}+\left\|\left[2(J^j)^{-1}\beta^j\partial_3\partial_2\vec v^j\right]_t\right\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\ &\leq C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3}\big(\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}+\|\nabla\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2}\big)+C\big(1+\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.29}$$

Noting $(1-J^j)\sim\nabla\bar\eta^j$, for any $\psi\in{}_0H^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega[(1-J^j)\partial_1q^j]_t\psi\,dxdy\leq&\|\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla q^j\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^4}+\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)\psi\|_{H^1}\|\nabla q_t^j\|_{({}_0H^1)'}\\ \leq&C\|\vec v^j\|_{H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{H^1}+\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\psi\|_{H^1}\|\nabla q_t^j\|_{({}_0H^1)'},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding inequality, (5.22) and Lemma 4.3 in the last inequality. Thus

$$\|[(1-J^j)\partial_1q^j]_t\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla q_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}.\tag{5.30}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.30) one deduces that

$$\|[\alpha^j\partial_3q^j]_t\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla q_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}$$

which, along with (5.30) gives rise to

$$\|Q_{1t}\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla q_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}.\tag{5.31}$$

Similarly,

$$\|Q_{2t}\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla q_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\tag{5.32}$$

and

$$\|Q_{3t}\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\leq C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})(\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|\nabla q^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}+\|\nabla q_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}).\tag{5.33}$$

We proceed to estimating each term in $(\vec{L})_t$. For any $\vec{\varphi} \in {}_0H^1$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 and (5.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega [(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla\vec{v}^j]_t \cdot \vec{\varphi}\, dxdy \\
&\quad \le \|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\bar{\eta}_t^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L^4}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}_t^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|_{L^2}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^4} \\
&\qquad + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^4} \\
&\quad \le C(\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{H^3}\|\vec{v}^j\|_{H^2} + \|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{H^1})\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|[(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j\nabla\vec{v}^j]_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 \le C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2). \quad (5.34)$$

For any $\vec{\varphi} \in {}_0H^1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega [(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j]_t \cdot \vec{\varphi}\, dxdy \\
&\quad \le 2\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\bar{\eta}_t^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \\
&\qquad + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^2}\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L^4}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{L^4} \\
&\quad \le C\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{H^3}\|\vec{v}^j\|_{H^2}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{H^1} + C\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{H^1}\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|[(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^3\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j]_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 \le C(\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2). \quad (5.35)$$

Employing Lemma 4.3 and (5.22) one can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\nabla\bar{\eta}_t^j\vec{v}^j]_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2 &\le C\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2, \\
\|[(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\vec{v}^j\bar{\eta}_t^j]_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2 &\le C\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2(\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2 + \|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\|\vec{v}_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2)
\end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[w^j(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla\phi]_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2 \le{}& C\|\eta^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2(\|w_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2 + \|w^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\nabla\phi_t\|_{L_t^2L^2}^2) \\
&+ C\|w^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}^2\|\vec{v}^j\|_{L_t^2H^3}^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Combining (5.34)-(5.37) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\vec{L})_t\|_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}^2 \le{}& C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 \\
&+ C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Collecting (5.28), (5.29), (5.31)-(5.33), (5.38) and using (5.15) we derive the desired estimates. The proof is finished.

□

We next estimate $\vec{G}(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$ and $\vec{G}_t(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)$. From (7.12)-(7.14) we know that

$$\vec{G}(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j) \sim (\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla\vec{v}^j) + (\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}^j + (\nabla_0\eta^j)^2(\nabla_0^2\eta^j). \quad (5.39)$$

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold true. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\|\vec{G}\|_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 + \|\vec{G}_t\|_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{12}$$

for all $j \ge 2$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality and trace theorem that

$$\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\nabla\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq C\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}. \tag{5.40}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq&C\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^2\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ \leq&C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

and

$$\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^2(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{5.42}$$

Combining (5.40)-(5.42) and using (5.39) yields

$$\|\vec{G}\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4+C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{12}. \tag{5.43}$$

We proceed to estimating $\|\vec{G}_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}$. First, the Sobolev embedding inequality, trace theorem and (5.22) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^3(\nabla_0\eta_t^j)(\nabla\vec{v}^j)\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)}\leq&\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4(\Gamma)}\\ \leq&C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

For any $\psi\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ one has

$$\int_\Gamma(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\psi dx\leq\|\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\leq&C\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^3_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\eta^j\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\psi\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}+C\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ \leq&C\|\eta^j\|^4_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)},$$

which, along with (5.44) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|[(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla\vec{v}^j)]_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\leq&C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\\ &+C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}[(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}^j]_t=&(\nabla_0\eta^j)^3(\nabla_0\eta_t^j)(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}^j+(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta_t^j)\vec{v}^j+(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}_t^j\\ :=&I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned}$$

By (5.22), the Sobolev embedding inequality and trace theorem one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_1\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)}\leq&C\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^2\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\Gamma)}\\ \leq&C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_2\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)} \leq & C\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^2\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\Gamma)}\\ \leq & C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Sobolev embedding inequality gives

$$\|I_3\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla_0^2\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\eta^j\|^{10}_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}$$

which, in conjunction with the estimates for I_1 and I_2 indicates that

$$\|[(\nabla_0\eta^j)^4(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)\vec{v}^j]_t\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3} + C\|\eta^j\|^{10}_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}. \quad (5.46)$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.46) one gets

$$\|[(\nabla_0\eta^j)^2(\nabla_0^2\eta^j)]_t\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}. \quad (5.47)$$

Collecting (5.45)-(5.47) and using (5.39) we have

$$\|\vec{G}_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + \|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{12}$$

which, along with (5.43) gives the desired estimates and completes the proof.

□

The estimates for $\|G_4(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j)\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}$ and $\|G_{4t}(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j)\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}$ are as follows.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\|G_4\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} + \|G_{4t}\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{10}$$

for $j \geq 2$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. From (7.6) we know that

$$G_4(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j) \sim \nabla_0\bar{\eta}^j\nabla_0\eta^j\left(\nabla_0 w^j + w^j\nabla_0 h^j\right). \quad (5.48)$$

Then the Sobolev embedding inequality, trace theorem and Lemma 4.3 entail that

$$\begin{aligned}\|G_4\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq & C\|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ & + C\|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\nabla h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} \quad (5.49)\\ \leq & C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}(\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3} + \|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}).\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to estimating $\|G_{4t}\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}$. It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality, Lemma 4.3 and (5.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}_t^j\nabla_0\eta^j\nabla_0 w^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)} + \|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}^j\nabla_0\eta_t^j\nabla_0 w^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^2(\Gamma)}\\ &\leq(\|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla_0\bar{\eta}^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4(\Gamma)})\|\nabla_0 w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^4(\Gamma)} \quad (5.50)\\ &\leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\psi \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, using Lemma 4.3 and the trace theorem one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Gamma \nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j\nabla_0 w_t^j\psi dx \leq & \|\nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|\nabla_0 w_t^j\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ \leq & \|\nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\|w_t^j\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}\\ \leq & C\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w_t^j\|_{H^1}\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j\nabla_0 w_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1},$$

which, in conjunction with (5.50) gives rise to

$$\|(\nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j\nabla_0 w^j)_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big(\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}+\|w_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\big). \tag{5.51}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.51), one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla_0\bar\eta^j\nabla_0\eta^j w^j\nabla_0 h^j)_t\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq & C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^2}\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\\ & + C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3}(\|w_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}+\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

Combining (5.51) and (5.52) we conclude that

$$\|G_{4t}\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{10}$$

which, along with (5.49) indicates the desired estimates. The proof is finished.

□

We proceed to estimate $F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$ and $F_{4t}(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$. Recalling the definition of $F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$ in (5.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j) = & \big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)\\ & - 2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_1 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j\big)\\ & - 2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_2 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\big) + L_4\end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

with

$$L_4 \sim \nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla w^j\vec v^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla w^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla w^j\nabla h^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)w^j\nabla h^j + \nabla w^j\bar\eta_t^j.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold true. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_4\|^2_{L_t^2H^1} \leq & C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{12}\\ & + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^4\\ & + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{12}\end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 2$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality, (5.16) and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\\
&\le C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|\nabla^2 w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1} + C\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\nabla(w^{(j-1)}\nabla h^j)\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\\
&\le C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3}(\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3} + \|w^{(j-1)}\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

A similar argument leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|-2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_1 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j\big) - 2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_2 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\big)\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\\
&\le C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}(\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3} + \|w^{(j-1)}\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

We next estimate the second term in L_4 by the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1} \le&\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty L^4}\|\nabla w^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^\infty}\\
&+\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla^3\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2}\|\nabla w^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^\infty}\\
&+\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^4}\|\nabla^2 w^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^4}\\
\le& C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.56}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.56) one can estimate the other terms in L_4 to conclude that

$$\|L_4\|^2_{L_t^2H^1} \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{10}. \tag{5.57}$$

Collecting (5.54), (5.55), (5.57) and using (5.53) we derive the desired estimate. The proof is completed.

□

Lemma 5.6. *Let* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_{4t}\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'} \le&C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{20}\\
&+C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^4\\
&+C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{20}
\end{aligned}$$

for $j\ge 2$ and $t>0$.

Proof. From he definition of α^j, β^j and J^j in (3.3) we know that

$$\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}_t \sim \nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla\bar\eta_t^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla\bar\eta_t^j,$$

which, along with the Sobolev embedding inequality, (5.22) and Lemma 4.3 indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}_t\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)\big\|^2_{L_t^2L^2}\\
&\le C(\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^\infty} + \|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty L^\infty})\|\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2L^\infty}(\|\partial_3^2 w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \|\partial_3(w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j)\|^2_{L_t^\infty L^2})\\
&\le C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}(\|w^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2} + \|w^{(j-1)}\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

On the other hand, for any $\psi \in {}_0H^1$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)_t \psi dxdy\\
&\quad = \int_\Gamma \{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)_t \psi dx\\
&\quad - \int_\Omega \{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)_t \partial_3\psi dxdy\\
&\quad - \int_\Omega \partial_3\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\}\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)_t \psi dxdy\\
&\quad := I_4+I_5+I_6.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

From the first boundary condition in (5.8) we know that $\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j = G_4(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar\eta^{(j-1)})$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$. Thus it follows from (5.16) and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 \le& C\|G_{4t}(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar\eta^{(j-1)})\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}\big\|\big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\psi\big\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\\
\le& C\|G_{4t}(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar\eta^{(j-1)})\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{H^{\frac32}(\Gamma)}\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac12}(\Gamma)}\\
\le& C\|G_{4t}(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar\eta^{(j-1)})\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)}\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\psi\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Sobolev embedding inequality, (5.16) and Lemma 4.3 lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 \le& \|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty}(\|\nabla w_t^j\|_{L^2}+\|w_t^{(j-1)}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h^j\|_{L^4}+\|w^{(j-1)}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h_t^j\|_{L^2})\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}\\
\le& C\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}(\|w_t^j\|_{H^1}+\|w_t^{(j-1)}\|_{H^1}\|h^j\|_{H^2}+\|w^{(j-1)}\|_{H^2}\|h_t^j\|_{H^1})\|\psi\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 \le& (\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty})\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|_{L^4}(\|\nabla w_t^j\|_{L^2}+\|w_t^{(j-1)}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h^j\|_{L^4}+\|w^{(j-1)}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h_t^j\|_{L^2})\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\
\le& C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})(\|w_t^j\|_{H^1}+\|w_t^{(j-1)}\|_{H^1}\|h^j\|_{H^2}+\|w^{(j-1)}\|_{H^2}\|h_t^j\|_{H^1})\|\psi\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimate for I_4, I_5 and I_6 into (5.59) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)_t\big\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\
&\le C(\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^8_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})(\|w_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1}+\|w_t^{(j-1)}\|_{L_t^2H^1}\|h^j\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}+\|w^{(j-1)}\|_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h_t^j\|_{L_t^2H^1})^2\\
&\quad + C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|G_{4t}(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar\eta^{(j-1)})\|^2_{L_t^2H^{-\frac12}(\Gamma)},
\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (5.58) and Lemma 5.4 gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\big\{\big[(J^j)^{-2}((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1)-1\big]\partial_3\big(\partial_3 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3 h^j\big)\big\}_t\big\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\
&\le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{20}\\
&\quad + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^4\\
&\quad + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{20}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.60) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\big[2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_1 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j\big)\big]_t + \big[2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\big(\partial_3\partial_2 w^j + w^{(j-1)}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\big)\big]_t\big\|^2_{L_t^2({}_0H^1)'}\\
&\le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{20}\\
&\quad + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^4\\
&\quad + C\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec v^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{20}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

We proceed to estimating $\|(L_4)_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}$. For any $\psi \in {}_0H^1$ it follows from (5.22) and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega [(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla w^j]_t\psi dxdy\\
&\quad\le \|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla w^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\
&\qquad + \|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\nabla w^j\|_{L^4}\|\psi\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla w_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\
&\quad\le C\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec v^j\|_{H^2}\|w^j\|_{H^3}\|\psi\|_{H^1} + C\|\eta^j\|^3_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w_t^j\|_{H^1}\|\psi\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla w^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} \le& C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|w^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}\\
&+ C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.62}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.62) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla w^j\vec v^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} \le& C\|\vec v^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|w^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3} + C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|w_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\\
&+ C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|w^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.63}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2\nabla w^j\nabla h^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} + \|[(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)w^j\nabla h^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'}\\
&\quad\le C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|w^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}\\
&\qquad + C(\|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\eta^j\|^6_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})(\|w_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2} + \|w^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.64}$$

By (5.22) one gets

$$\|[\nabla w^j\bar\eta_t^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2} \le \|w_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2} + \|w^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|\vec v_t^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}.\tag{5.65}$$

Collecting (5.62)-(5.65) we conclude that

$$\|(L_4)_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}_0H^1)'} \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^{10}.\tag{5.66}$$

Combining (5.60), (5.61), (5.66) and using (5.53) we derive the desired estimate. The proof is completed.

□

It remains to estimate $F_5(h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$ and $F_{5t}(h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j)$. From (7.5) we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_5(h^j,\vec v^j,\bar\eta^j) =& \big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\partial_3^2 h^j\\
&- 2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j - 2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j + L_5
\end{aligned}\tag{5.67}$$

with

$$L_5 \sim \vec v^j\nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla h^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla h^j + (\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla h^j)^2 + (\nabla h^j)\bar\eta_t^j.$$

Lemma 5.7. *Let* (5.14) *and the assumptions in Proposition 5.1 hold. Then there exists a constant C independent of j and t such that*

$$\|F_5\|^2_{L^2_t H^1} + \|F_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'} \le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4 + C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8$$

for $j \ge 2$ *and* $t > 0$.

Proof. First, by a similar argument used in deriving (5.54) and (5.55) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\big\{(J^j)^{-2}[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1]-1\big\}\partial_3^2 h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}+\|2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j+2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\\&\le C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}+\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.68}$$

Replacing the w^j in (5.56) with h^j one gets

$$\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar\eta^j)\nabla h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\le C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\tag{5.69}$$

It follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec v^j\nabla\bar\eta^j\nabla h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}+\|(\nabla\bar\eta^j)^2(\nabla h^j)^2\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\le&C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\\&+C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.70}$$

The Sobolev embedding inequality and (5.22) entail that

$$\|(\nabla h^j)\bar\eta_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\le C\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}.\tag{5.71}$$

Collecting (5.68)-(5.71) and using (5.67) we obtain

$$\|F_5\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}\le C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4+C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec v^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8.\tag{5.72}$$

We proceed to estimating $\|F_{5t}\|^2_{L_t^2({}^0H^1)'}$. A similar arguments used in deriving (5.58) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\big[(\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big]-1\big\}_t\partial_3^2h^j\big\|^2_{L_t^2L^2}\\&\le C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.73}$$

For any $\psi\in{}^0H^1$, integration by parts along with the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\big((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big)-1\big\}\partial_3^2h_t^j\psi dxdy\\&=-\int_\Omega\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\big((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big)-1\big\}\partial_3h_t^j\partial_3\psi dxdy\\&\quad-\int_\Omega\partial_3\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\big((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big)-1\big\}\partial_3h_t^j\psi dxdy\\&\le\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}+(\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\bar\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty})\|\nabla^2\bar\eta^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h_t^j\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\&\le C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^3_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|h_t^j\|_{H^1}\|\psi\|_{H^1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\big\|\big\{(J^j)^{-2}\big((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big)-1\big\}\partial_3^2h_t^j\big\|^2_{L_t^2({}^0H^1)'}\le C(\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|h_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1},$$

which, along with (5.73) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\big\{\big[(J^j)^{-2}\big((\alpha^j)^2+(\beta^j)^2+1\big)-1\big]\partial_3^2h^j\big\}_t\big\|^2_{L_t^2({}^0H^1)'}\\&\le C(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|\vec v^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^3}\|h^j\|^2_{L_t^\infty H^2}\\&\quad+C(\|\eta^j\|^4_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^6_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})\|h_t^j\|^2_{L_t^2H^1}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.74}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.74) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\big[2\alpha^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_1 h^j\big]_t\big\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}+\big\|\big[2\beta^j(J^j)^{-1}\partial_3\partial_2 h^j\big]_t\big\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\\&\leq C\big(1+\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}+C\big(\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\|h^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.75}$$

We next estimate each term in $(L_5)_t$. Replacing the w^j in (5.62), (5.63) and (5.65) with h^j, one deduces that

$$\|[(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla^2\bar{\eta}^j)\nabla h^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\leq C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}+C\|\eta^j\|^6_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\tag{5.76}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\vec{v}^j\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\nabla h^j]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\leq&C\|\vec{v}^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{L^2_t H^3}+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\\&+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\end{aligned}\tag{5.77}$$

and that

$$\|[\nabla h^j\bar{\eta}^j_t]_t\|^2_{L^2_t L^2}\leq\|h^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}+\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|\vec{v}^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}.\tag{5.78}$$

For any $\psi\in{}^0H^1$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 and (5.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega[(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla h^j)^2]_t\psi\,dxdy\leq&C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h^j\|^2_{L^4}\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\&+C\|\nabla\bar{\eta}^j\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h^j\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h^j_t\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^4}\\\leq&C\|\eta^j\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|_{H^2}\|h^j\|^2_{H^2}\|\psi\|_{H^1}\\&+C\|\eta^j\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|_{H^2}\|h^j_t\|_{H^1}\|\psi\|_{H^1},\end{aligned}$$

which, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|[(\nabla\bar{\eta}^j)^2(\nabla h^j)^2]_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\leq&C\|\eta^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\vec{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h^j\|^4_{L^2_t H^3}\\&+C\|\eta^j\|^4_{L^\infty_t H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|h^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^2}\|h^j_t\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.79}$$

Collecting (5.76)-(5.79) we arrive at

$$\|(L_5)_t\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4+C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8,$$

which, in conjunction with (5.74), (5.75) and (5.67) gives rise to

$$\|F_{5t}\|^2_{L^2_t({}^0H^1)'}\leq C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^4+C\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^8.\tag{5.80}$$

Combining (5.72) and (5.80), we derive the desired estimate. The proof is completed.

□

5.2 Proof of Proposition 5.1

First, applying Proposition 4.1 with $a(x_1,x_2,y,t)\equiv 0$ to system (5.5)-(5.6) one deduces that there is a constant C_7 independent of t such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\{w^1,h^1,\vec{v}^1,q^1,\eta^1\}\|^2\leq&C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2,\quad\forall t>0,\\\|\{w^2,h^2,\vec{v}^2,q^2,\eta^2\}\|^2\leq&C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2,\quad\forall t>0.\end{aligned}\tag{5.81}$$

Then we assert that for all $j \geq 2$, system (5.7)-(5.9) admits a unique solution and that the following holds for all $j \geq 1$:

$$\|\{w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j\}\|^2 \leq C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2, \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{5.82}$$

provided (5.85) and (5.89). We next prove (5.82) by the argument of induction. Assume that

$$\|\{w^k, h^k, \vec{v}^k, q^k, \eta^k\}\|^2 \leq C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2, \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{5.83}$$

holds true for all $1 \leq k \leq j$ with $j \geq 2$. From the Sobolev embedding inequality and Lemma 4.3 we know that there exists constants $C, C_5 > 0$ independent of k and t such that

$$\|\bar{\eta}^k + \partial_3 \bar{\eta}^k (1+y)\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty} \leq C\|\bar{\eta}^k\|_{L_t^\infty H^3} \leq C_5 \|\eta^k\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{5.84}$$

Assume that the initial data satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} C_5\sqrt{C_7}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}) &< \frac{1}{2}, \\ C_1(C_2+1)C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2 &< \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.85}$$

where the constant C_1 and C_2 are defined in Proposition 4.1. Then it follows from (5.84), (5.83) and the first inequality in (5.85) that

$$\|\bar{\eta}^k + \partial_3 \bar{\eta}^k (1+y)\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty} \leq C_5 \|\eta^k\|_{L_t^\infty H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_5 \|\{w^k, h^k, \vec{v}^k, q^k, \eta^k\}\| < \frac{1}{2},$$

which, along with the definition $J^k = 1 + \bar{\eta}^k + \partial_3 \bar{\eta}^k (1+y)$ indicates that

$$\frac{1}{2} < J^k < \frac{3}{2} \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \tag{5.86}$$

holds for all $1 \leq k \leq j$ with $j \geq 2$. Moreover, it follows from (5.83) and the second inequality in (5.85) that

$$C_1(C_2+1)|||w^k|||^2 \leq C_1(C_2+1)\|\{w^k, h^k, \vec{v}^k, q^k, \eta^k\}\|^2 < \frac{1}{2} \tag{5.87}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq j$ with $j \geq 2$. With the regularity (5.83) on $(w^k, h^k, \vec{v}^k, q^k, \eta^k)$, one easily deduces from the trace theorem that

$$\begin{cases} G_1(\vec{v}^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0), \bar{\eta}^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0)) = G_1(\vec{v}_0, \bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ G_2(\vec{v}^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0), \bar{\eta}^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0)) = G_2(\vec{v}_0, \bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ G_4(w^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0), h^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0), \bar{\eta}^k(x_1, x_2, y, 0)) = G_4(w_0, h_0, \bar{\eta}_0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

holds for all $1 \leq k \leq j$ with $j \geq 2$, which along with (5.1) indicates that the compatibility conditions (5.11) holds.

Since the compatibility conditions (5.11) are fulfilled, with (5.86) and (5.87) in hand, we can apply Proposition 4.1 to system (5.7)-(5.9), and use Lemma 5.1- Lemma 5.7 to conclude that system (5.7)-(5.9) admits a unique solution $(w^{(j+1)}, h^{(j+1)}, \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, q^{(j+1)}, \eta^{(j+1)})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{w^{(j+1)}, h^{(j+1)}, \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, q^{(j+1)}, \eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2 \\ &\quad \leq C_6\|\{w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j\}\|^4 + C_6\|\{w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j\}\|^{20} \\ &\qquad + C_6\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^4 \\ &\qquad + C_6\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{20} \end{aligned} \tag{5.88}$$

for all $t>0$, where the constant $C_6>0$ independent of j and t. Assume further

$$\begin{aligned}&C_6C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2\\&+C_6C_7^9(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^{18}<\frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.89}$$

Then it follows from (5.88), (5.83) and (5.89) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\{w^{(j+1)},h^{(j+1)},\vec{v}^{(j+1)},q^{(j+1)},\eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2<&\frac{1}{2}\|\{w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,q^j,\eta^j\}\|^2\\&+\frac{1}{2}\|\{w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec{v}^{(j-1)},q^{(j-1)},\eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2\\\le&C_7(\|w_0\|_{H^2}+\|h_0\|_{H^2}+\|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2\end{aligned}$$

which, implies that (5.83) holds true for $k=j+1$. We thus proved that (5.82) holds for all $j\ge1$ by the argument of induction. (5.12) follows directly from (5.82) and we proceed to proving (5.13). Combining (5.82) and the first inequality in (5.85) one deduces that (5.86) is true for all $k\ge1$ and thus derives (5.13). The proof is completed.

□

6 Proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $j\ge3$, define

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta w^{(j+1)}=w^{(j+1)}-w^j,\quad \delta h^{(j+1)}=h^{(j+1)}-h^j,\quad \delta\vec{v}^{(j+1)}=\vec{v}^{(j+1)}-\vec{v}^j,\\&\delta q^{(j+1)}=q^{(j+1)}-q^j,\quad \delta\eta^{(j+1)}=\eta^{(j+1)}-\eta^j.\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (5.7) that

$$\begin{cases}\delta w_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta\delta w^{(j+1)}-\nabla\cdot(w^j\nabla\delta h^{(j+1)})=\nabla\cdot(\delta w^j\nabla h^j)+\delta F_4\quad\text{in }\ \Omega\times(0,\infty),\\ \delta h_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta\delta h^{(j+1)}-\delta w^{(j+1)}=\delta F_5,\\ \delta\vec{v}_t^{(j+1)}-\Delta\delta\vec{v}^{(j+1)}+\nabla\delta q^{(j+1)}+\delta w^{(j+1)}\nabla\phi=\delta\vec{F},\\ \nabla\cdot\delta\vec{v}^{(j+1)}=0,\\ (\delta w^{(j+1)},\delta h^{(j+1)},\delta\vec{v}^{(j+1)})(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(0,0,\mathbf{0}),\quad \delta\eta^{(j+1)}(x_1,x_2,0)=0,\end{cases}\tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta F_4:=F_4(w^{(j-1)},w^j,h^j,\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-F_4(w^{(j-2)},w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}),\\&\delta F_5:=F_5(h^j,\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-F_5(h^{(j-1)},\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}),\\&\delta\vec{F}:=\vec{F}(w^j,\vec{v}^j,\nabla q^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-\vec{F}(w^{(j-1)},\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\nabla q^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

The boundary conditions on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$ follows from (5.8):

$$\begin{cases}\partial_3\delta w^{(j+1)}+w^j\partial_3\delta h^{(j+1)}=-\delta w^j\partial_3h^j+\delta G_4,\qquad \delta h^{(j+1)}=0,\\ \partial_3\delta v_1^{(j+1)}+\partial_1\delta v_3^{(j+1)}=\delta G_1,\qquad \partial_3\delta v_2^{(j+1)}+\partial_2\delta v_3^{(j+1)}=\delta G_2,\\ \delta\eta_t^{(j+1)}=\delta v_3^{(j+1)},\qquad \delta q^{(j+1)}-2\partial_3\delta v_3^{(j+1)}=\gamma\delta\eta^{(j+1)}-\sigma\Delta_0\delta\eta^{(j+1)}-\delta G_3,\end{cases}\tag{6.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta G_4:=G_4(w^j,h^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-G_4(w^{(j-1)},h^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}),\qquad \delta G_1:=G_1(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-G_1(\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}),\\&\delta G_2:=G_2(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-G_2(\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}),\qquad \delta G_3:=G_3(\vec{v}^j,\bar{\eta}^j)-G_3(\vec{v}^{(j-1)},\bar{\eta}^{(j-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

The boundary conditions on $S_B \times (0,\infty)$ follows from (5.9):

$$\delta w^{(j+1)} = 0, \quad \partial_3 \delta h^{(j+1)} = 0, \quad \delta \vec{v}^{(j+1)} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{6.3}$$

By a similar argument used in deriving (5.88), that is applying Proposition 4.1 to system (6.1)-(6.3) and following the procedure in Subsection 5.1 to estimate the nonlinear terms on the right-hand side of each equation in (6.1)-(6.2), one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\{\delta w^{(j+1)}, \delta h^{(j+1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, \delta q^{(j+1)}, \delta \eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2 \\
&\quad \le C_8(j)\|\{\delta w^j, \delta h^j, \delta \vec{v}^j, \delta q^j, \delta \eta^j\}\|^2 \\
&\qquad + C_9(j)\|\{\delta w^{(j-1)}, \delta h^{(j-1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, \delta q^{(j-1)}, \delta \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_8(j) :=& C\|\{w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j\}\|^2 + C\|\{w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j\}\|^{18} \\
&+ C\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2 + C\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{18}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_9(j) :=& C\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2 + C\|\{w^{(j-1)}, h^{(j-1)}, \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, q^{(j-1)}, \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^{18} \\
&+ C\|\{w^{(j-2)}, h^{(j-2)}, \vec{v}^{(j-2)}, q^{(j-2)}, \eta^{(j-2)}\}\|^2 + C\|\{w^{(j-2)}, h^{(j-2)}, \vec{v}^{(j-2)}, q^{(j-2)}, \eta^{(j-2)}\}\|^{18}
\end{aligned}$$

with some constant C independent of j and t. From Proposition 5.1 and (6.4) we conclude that there exists a constant C_{10} independent of j and t such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\{\delta w^{(j+1)}, \delta h^{(j+1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, \delta q^{(j+1)}, \delta \eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2 \\
&\le C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2 \times \|\{\delta w^j, \delta h^j, \delta \vec{v}^j, \delta q^j, \delta \eta^j\}\|^2 \\
&\quad + C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^{18} \times \|\{\delta w^j, \delta h^j, \delta \vec{v}^j, \delta q^j, \delta \eta^j\}\|^2 \\
&\quad + C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2 \\
&\qquad \times \|\{\delta w^{(j-1)}, \delta h^{(j-1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, \delta q^{(j-1)}, \delta \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2 \\
&\quad + C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^{18} \\
&\qquad \times \|\{\delta w^{(j-1)}, \delta h^{(j-1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, \delta q^{(j-1)}, \delta \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Assume

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2 \\
&\quad + C_{10}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^{18} < \frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Then it follows from (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\{\delta w^{(j+1)}, \delta h^{(j+1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, \delta q^{(j+1)}, \delta \eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\{\delta w^j, \delta h^j, \delta \vec{v}^j, \delta q^j, \delta \eta^j\}\|^2 \\
&< \frac{3}{4}\big(\|\{\delta w^j, \delta h^j, \delta \vec{v}^j, \delta q^j, \delta \eta^j\}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\{\delta w^{(j-1)}, \delta h^{(j-1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j-1)}, \delta q^{(j-1)}, \delta \eta^{(j-1)}\}\|^2\big),
\end{aligned}$$

which, along with Proposition 5.1 indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\{\delta w^{(j+1)}, \delta h^{(j+1)}, \delta \vec{v}^{(j+1)}, \delta q^{(j+1)}, \delta \eta^{(j+1)}\}\|^2 \\
&< \Big(\frac{3}{4}\Big)^{j-2}\big(\|\{\delta w^3, \delta h^3, \delta \vec{v}^3, \delta q^3, \delta \eta^3\}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\{\delta w^2, \delta h^2, \delta \vec{v}^2, \delta q^2, \delta \eta^2\}\|^2\big) \\
&\le C\Big(\frac{3}{4}\Big)^{j-2}(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for $j \geq 3$ and $t > 0$, where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of j and t. From (6.7) we know that $\{(w^j, h^j, \vec{v}^j, q^j, \eta^j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ is a Cauchy sequence, thus there exists a unique limit $(w, h, \vec{v}, q, \eta)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\{w^j - w, h^j - h, \vec{v}^j - \vec{v}, q^j - q, \eta^j - \eta\}\|^2 = 0$$

and

$$\|\{w, h, \vec{v}, q, \eta\}\|^2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|h_0\|_{H^2} + \|\vec{v}_0\|_{H^2} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)})^2. \tag{6.8}$$

Passing $j \to \infty$ in (5.7)-(5.9) we deduce that $(w, h, \vec{v}, q, \eta)$ solves (3.10)-(3.12). Moreover, from (5.13) we deduce that J, the Jacobian determinant of $d\theta$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} < J < \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{6.9}$$

which, along with the transformation θ given in (3.1) indicates that the $(m, \tilde{c}, \vec{u}, p)$ defined in (3.4)-(3.6), along with η solves the initial-boundary value problem (1.7)-(1.9). Uniqueness and estimates (2.4) follow directly from (6.8) and (6.9). The proof is finished.

□

We next prove Theorem 2.2 by using Theorem 2.1 and reversing transformation (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First, it follows from the Sobolev embedding inequality and Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq & C \sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} \\ \leq & C(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}).\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

From transformation (1.6) we deduce that

$$c(x_1, x_2, y, t) - \hat{c} = \hat{c}\big(\exp\{-\tilde{c}(x_1, x_2, y, t)\} - 1\big) = \hat{c} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[-\tilde{c}(x_1, x_2, y, t)]^k}{k!}, \tag{6.11}$$

which, along with Theorem 2.1 and (6.10) indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0} \|c(t) - \hat{c}\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leq & \hat{c} \sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Big(\sup\limits_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}\Big)^{k-1}}{k!} \\ \leq & \hat{c} \sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \exp\Big\{\sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}\Big\} \\ \leq & C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big) \\ & \times \exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Applying ∇ to (6.11) and using Theorem 2.1 and (6.10) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0} \|\nabla c(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leq & \hat{c} \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla \tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \exp\Big\{\sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}\Big\} \\ \leq & C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big) \\ & \times \exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

By a similar argument in deriving (6.13) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^2 c(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leq & \hat{c}\big(\sup_{t>0} \|\nabla \tilde{c}(t)\|^2_{L^4(\Omega_t)} + \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^2 \tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}\big) \exp\Big\{\sup_{t>0} \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}\Big\} \\ \leq & C\big[\sum_{k=1}^{2} \big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^k\big] \\ & \times \exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)} + \|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\},\end{aligned}$$

which, in conjunction with (6.12)-(6.13) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0}\|c(t)-\hat{c}\|_{H^2(\Omega_t)} \leq & C\big[\sum_{k=1}^{2}\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^k\big] \\ & \times\exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

By a similar arguments used in obtaining (6.14) one can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\|c(t)-\hat{c}\|^2_{H^3(\Omega_t)}\,dt \leq & C\big[\sum_{k=1}^{3}\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)^{2k}\big] \\ & \times\exp\{C\big(\|m_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\tilde{c}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_0)}+\|\eta_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}\big)\},\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (6.14) and Theorem 2.1 gives the desired estimates (2.5). The nonnegativity of m follows from the maximum principle and $c>0$ follows from $c(x_1,x_2,y,t)=\hat{c}\exp\{-\tilde{c}(x_1,x_2,y,t)\}$. The proof is finished.

□

7 Appendix

This section is devoted to the derivation of system (3.10)-(3.12). First, it follows from (3.1)-(3.4) that

$$\partial_j m=\xi_{kj}\partial_k w,\quad \partial_j\tilde{c}=\xi_{kj}\partial_k h,\quad \partial_j^2 m=\xi_{kj}\partial_k(\xi_{lj}\partial_l w),\quad \partial_j^2\tilde{c}=\xi_{kj}\partial_k(\xi_{lj}\partial_l h), \tag{7.1}$$

where the derivatives on the left-(or right-)hand side of each equality are with respect to the coordinates in Ω_t (or Ω) and repeated indices are summed. Using (3.2)-(3.3) we deduce from (7.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla m=&(\partial_1 w-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 w,\ \partial_2 w-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 w,\ J^{-1}\partial_3 w),\\ \nabla\tilde{c}=&(\partial_1 h-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 h,\ \partial_2 h-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 h,\ J^{-1}\partial_3 h)\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta m=&\Delta w+(J^{-2}-1)\partial_3^2 w+J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_3 w-\partial_1(J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 w)-\partial_2(J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 w)\\ &-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3\left(\partial_1 w-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 w\right)-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3\left(\partial_2 w-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 w\right),\\ \Delta\tilde{c}=&\Delta h+(J^{-2}-1)\partial_3^2 h+J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_3 h-\partial_1(J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 h)-\partial_2(J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 h)\\ &-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3\left(\partial_1 h-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 h\right)-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3\left(\partial_2 h-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 h\right).\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Noting that $\theta_3(x_1,x_2,y,t)=\bar{\eta}+y(1+\bar{\eta}/b)$ depends on t, one gets from (3.1) and (7.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}w_t=&m_t+(\partial_3 m)\times(\partial_t\theta_3)=m_t+(J^{-1}\partial_3 w)\times(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t,\\ h_t=&\tilde{c}_t+(\partial_3\tilde{c})\times(\partial_t\theta_3)=\tilde{c}_t+(J^{-1}\partial_3 h)\times(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t.\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Substituting (7.2)-(7.4) and (3.6) into (1.7)-(1.9) we get

$$\begin{cases} w_t-\Delta w-\nabla\cdot(w\nabla h)=F_4(w,h,\vec{v},\bar{\eta}),\quad (x_1,x_2,y,t)\in\Omega\times(0,\infty),\\ h_t-\Delta h-w=F_5(h,\vec{v},\bar{\eta}),\\ (w,h)(x_1,x_2,y,0)=(w_0,h_0)(x_1,x_2,y),\\ \partial_3 w+w\partial_3 h=G_4(w,h,\bar{\eta}),\quad h=0\quad\text{on }\ \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\\ w=0,\quad \partial_3 h=0\quad\text{on }\ S_B\times(0,\infty),\end{cases} \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_4 =&[J^{-2}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)-1]\partial_3(\partial_3 w+w\partial_3 h)-2J^{-1}\alpha(\partial_3\partial_1 w+w\partial_3\partial_1 h)-2J^{-1}\beta(\partial_3\partial_2 w+w\partial_3\partial_2 h)\\&-J^{-1}v_1(\partial_1 w-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 w)-J^{-1}v_2(\partial_2 w-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 w)-J^{-1}(J^{-1}\alpha v_1+J^{-1}\beta v_1+v_3)\partial_3 w\\&-\partial_1(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 w-\partial_2(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 w+J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 w+J^{-1}\beta\partial_3(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 w\\&-J^{-1}\alpha(\partial_1 w\partial_3 h+\partial_3 w\partial_1 h)-J^{-1}\beta(\partial_2 w\partial_3 h+\partial_3 w\partial_2 h)-w\partial_1(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 h\\&-w\partial_2(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 h+wJ^{-1}\alpha\partial_3(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 h+wJ^{-1}\beta\partial_3(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 h+J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_3 w\\&+(J^{-1}\partial_3 w)\times(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}F_5 =&[J^{-2}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)-1]\partial_3^2 h-2J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3\partial_1 h-2J^{-1}\beta\partial_3\partial_2 h\\&-J^{-1}v_1(\partial_1 h-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 h)-J^{-1}v_2(\partial_2 h-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 h)-J^{-1}(J^{-1}\alpha v_1+J^{-1}\beta v_1+v_3)\partial_3 h\\&-\partial_1(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 h-\partial_2(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 h+J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3(J^{-1}\alpha)\partial_3 h+J^{-1}\beta\partial_3(J^{-1}\beta)\partial_3 h\\&-(\partial_1 h-J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3 h)^2-(\partial_2 h-J^{-1}\beta\partial_3 h)^2-J^{-2}(\partial_3 h)^2+J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_3 h\\&+(J^{-1}\partial_3 h)\times(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t\end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_4 = J(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)^{-1}[\partial_1\eta(\partial_1 w+w\partial_1 h)+\partial_2\eta(\partial_2 w+w\partial_2 h)]. \tag{7.6}$$

It follows from (3.4)-(3.5) that

$$\partial_j u_i=\xi_{kj}\partial_k(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i),\quad \partial_j^2 u_i=\xi_{kj}\partial_k[\xi_{lj}\partial_l(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i)],\quad \partial_i p=\xi_{ki}\partial_k q,\quad \partial_i\Phi=\xi_{ki}\partial_k\phi. \tag{7.7}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}[\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u}+\nabla p+m\nabla\Phi-\Delta\vec{u}]_i =&J^{-1}v_l\partial_l\theta_j\xi_{kj}\partial_k(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i)+\xi_{ki}\partial_k q+w\xi_{ki}\partial_k\phi\\&-\xi_{kj}\partial_k[\xi_{lj}\partial_l(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i)],\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

where we have used $[\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u}+\nabla p+m\nabla\Phi-\Delta\vec{u}]_i$ to denote the i-th component of $[\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\vec{u}+\nabla p+m\nabla\Phi-\Delta\vec{u}]$. Differentiating (3.5) with respect to t one derives

$$u_{it}+(\partial_3 u_i)(\partial_t\theta_3)=\partial_t[J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_i)v_j]=J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_i)v_{jt}+J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_{it})v_j-J^{-2}J_t(\partial_j\theta_i)v_j,$$

which, along with (3.1) and (3.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}u_{it} =&J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_i)v_{jt}+J^{-1}(\partial_j\theta_{it})v_j-J^{-2}[\bar{\eta}_t/b+\partial_3\bar{\eta}_t(1+y/b)](\partial_j\theta_i)v_j\\&-\xi_{k3}\partial_k(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i)(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

with $\theta_{1t}=0$, $\theta_{2t}=0$ and $\theta_{3t}=(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t$. Substituting (7.8)-(7.9) into the third equation of (1.7) and multiplying the resulting equality by $J(\xi_{ij})_{3\times 3}$ we arrive at

$$\vec{v}_t-\Delta\vec{v}+\nabla q+w\nabla\phi=\vec{F}(w,\vec{v},\nabla q,\bar{\eta}), \tag{7.10}$$

where $\vec{F}=(F_1,F_2,F_3)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 =&[J^{-2}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)-1]\partial_3^2 v_1-2J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3\partial_1 v_1-2J^{-1}\beta\partial_3\partial_2 v_1\\&+2J\partial_1(J^{-1})\partial_1 v_1+J\partial_1^2(J^{-1})v_1+2J\partial_2(J^{-1})\partial_2 v_1+J\partial_2^2(J^{-1})v_1+\partial_3[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})v_1]\\&+\partial_3(J^{-2})\partial_3 v_1-J\partial_1[\alpha J^{-1}v_1\partial_3(J^{-1})]-J\partial_1(\alpha J^{-2})\partial_3 v_1-J\partial_2[\beta J^{-1}v_1\partial_3(J^{-1})]\\&-J\partial_2(\beta J^{-2})\partial_3 v_1-\alpha\partial_3[v_1\partial_1(J^{-1})]-\alpha\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_1 v_1-\beta\partial_3[v_1\partial_2(J^{-1})]-\beta\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_2 v_1\\&+\alpha\partial_3[J^{-1}\alpha v_1\partial_3(J^{-1})]+\alpha\partial_3(J^{-2}\alpha)\partial_3 v_1+\beta\partial_3[J^{-1}\beta v_1\partial_3(J^{-1})]+\beta\partial_3(J^{-2}\beta)\partial_3 v_1\\&-v_1\partial_1(J^{-1}v_1)-v_2\partial_2(J^{-1}v_1)-v_3\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)\\&+J^{-1}v_1[\bar{\eta}_t/b+\partial_3\bar{\eta}_t(1+y/b)]+\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)(1+y/b)\bar{\eta}_t\\&+w\alpha\partial_3\phi+w(1-J)\partial_1\phi+\alpha\partial_3 q+(1-J)\partial_1 q.\end{aligned}$$

One can derive F_2 by replacing the terms in line 6 and line 8 of F_1 by

$$-v_1\partial_1(J^{-1}v_2)-v_2\partial_2(J^{-1}v_2)-v_3\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2)+w\beta\partial_3\phi+w(1-J)\partial_2\phi+\beta\partial_3 q+(1-J)\partial_2 q$$

and replacing the v_1 in other terms of F_1 by v_2. For brevity, we shall not write out the explicit expression of F_2. The third component F_3 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}F_3=&[J^{-2}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)-1]\partial_3^2 v_3-2J^{-1}\alpha\partial_3\partial_1 v_3-2J^{-1}\beta\partial_3\partial_2 v_3\\&+J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1})\partial_3 v_3-\partial_1(\alpha J^{-1})\partial_3 v_3-\partial_2(\beta J^{-1})\partial_3 v_3\\&+\alpha J^{-1}\partial_3(\alpha J^{-1})\partial_3 v_3+\beta J^{-1}\partial_3(\beta J^{-1})\partial_3 v_3\\&+v_1J^{-1}\partial_1 v_3+v_2J^{-1}\partial_2 v_3+v_3J^{-1}\partial_3 v_3+v_1^2J^{-2}\partial_1\alpha+v_1v_2J^{-2}(\partial_1\beta+\partial_2\alpha)\\&+v_2^2J^{-2}\partial_2\beta+v_1v_3J^{-2}\partial_3\alpha+v_2v_3J^{-2}\partial_2\beta\\&+J^{-1}(v_1J^{-1}\partial_3\alpha+v_2J^{-1}\partial_3\beta+\partial_3 v_3)(1+y/b)\bar\eta_t-J^{-1}v_1(1+y/b)\partial_1\bar\eta_t-J^{-1}v_2(1+y/b)\partial_2\bar\eta_t\\&+w\alpha\partial_1\phi+w\beta\partial_2\phi+w[1-J^{-1}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)]\partial_3\phi+\alpha\partial_1 q+\beta\partial_2 q+[1-J^{-1}(\alpha^2+\beta^2+1)]\partial_3 q.\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (7.7) into the last boundary condition in (1.8) we derive on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$ that

$$qN_i-[\xi_{kj}\partial_k(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_i)+\xi_{ki}\partial_k(J^{-1}v_m\partial_m\theta_j)]N_j=\left\{\gamma\eta-\sigma\nabla_0\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_0\eta}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_0\eta|^2}}\right)\right\}N_i,\quad(7.11)$$

where

$$\vec N:=\vec n\circ\theta=\frac{(-\partial_1\eta,-\partial_2\eta,1)}{\sqrt{1+(\nabla_0\eta)^2}}.$$

Taking the inner product of (7.11) with $T_1:=(1,0,\partial_1\eta)$ one deduces that

$$\partial_3 v_1+\partial_1 v_3=G_1(\vec v,\bar\eta)\quad\text{on }\ \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\quad(7.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}G_1=&2[\partial_1(J^{-1}v_1)-J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)]\partial_1\eta+[\partial_2(J^{-1}v_1)-J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)]\partial_2\eta\\&+[\partial_1(J^{-1}v_2)-J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2)]\partial_2\eta+(1-J^{-2})\partial_3 v_1\\&-[J^{-1}v_1\partial_3(J^{-1})+\partial_1(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta)]+[J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\partial_3(v_1J^{-1}\partial_1\eta+v_2J^{-1}\partial_2\eta+v_3)]\\&+[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)+\partial_1(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta+v_3)-J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta+v_3)](\partial_1\eta)^2\\&+[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2)+\partial_2(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta+v_3)-J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta+v_3)]\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\\&-2J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta+J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta+v_3)\partial_1\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product of (7.11) with $T_2:=(0,1,\partial_2\eta)$ to have

$$\partial_3 v_2+\partial_2 v_3=G_2(\vec v,\bar\eta)\quad\text{on }\ \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\quad(7.13)$$

where G_2 has an expression similar to that of G_1 and we shall not write out the explicit form of G_2 for brevity. Taking the inner product of (7.11) with $\vec N$ one gets

$$q-2\partial_3 v_3=\gamma\eta-\sigma\Delta_0\eta-G_3(\vec v,\bar\eta)\quad\text{on }\ \Gamma\times(0,\infty),\quad(7.14)$$

where $G_3 = \sigma\nabla_0 \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla_0\eta}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_0\eta|^2}}\right) - \sigma\Delta_0\eta + \tilde{G}_3$ with $\tilde{G}_3$ defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[1+(\nabla_0\eta)^2]\tilde{G}_3\\
&\quad= -2[\partial_1(J^{-1}v_1) - J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1)](\partial_1\eta)^2 - 2[\partial_2(J^{-1}v_2) - J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2)](\partial_2\eta)^2\\
&\qquad -2[\partial_2(J^{-1}v_1) - J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1) + \partial_1(J^{-1}v_2) - J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2)](\partial_2\eta)(\partial_1\eta)\\
&\qquad +[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1) + \partial_1(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_1\eta)\\
&\qquad -[J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\,\partial_3(v_1J^{-1}\partial_1\eta + v_2J^{-1}\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_1\eta)\\
&\qquad +[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2) + \partial_2(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_2\eta)\\
&\qquad -[J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\,\partial_3(v_1J^{-1}\partial_1\eta + v_2J^{-1}\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_2\eta)\\
&\qquad +[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1) + \partial_1(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3) - J^{-1}\partial_1\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_1\eta)\\
&\qquad +[J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_2) + \partial_2(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3) - J^{-1}\partial_2\eta\,\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta + v_3)](\partial_2\eta)\\
&\qquad -2J^{-1}\partial_3(J^{-1}v_1\partial_1\eta + J^{-1}v_2\partial_2\eta) + 2[1 + (\nabla_0\eta)^2 - J^{-1}](\partial_3 v_3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\alpha = \partial_1\eta$ and $\beta = \partial_2\eta$ on $\Gamma\times(0,\infty)$, we deduce from (3.6) and the third boundary condition in (1.8) that

$$\eta_t = v_3 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty). \tag{7.15}$$

Moreover, by rewriting (3.6) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v_1(x_1,x_2,y,t) = Ju_1(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t), \quad v_2(x_1,x_2,y,t) = Ju_2(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t),\\
&v_3(x_1,x_2,y,t) = u_3(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t) - \alpha u_1(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t) - \beta u_2(\theta(x_1,x_2,y,t),t)
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}
$$

and applying a direct computation to (7.16), one can easily deduces from $\nabla\cdot\vec{u} = 0$ that

$$\nabla\cdot\vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,\infty). \tag{7.17}$$

Collecting (7.5), (7.10), (7.12)-(7.14), (7.15) and (7.17) we derive (3.10)-(3.12).

□

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901139), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M651269, No. 2020T130151).

References

[1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*. Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd., 2009.

[2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg. Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17:35–92, 1964.

[3] H. Bae. Solvability of the free boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A*, 29:769–801, 2011.

[4] J. T. Beale. The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with a free surface. *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 34:359–392, 1981.

[5] J. T. Beale. Large-time regularity of viscous surface waves. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 84:307–352, 1984.

[6] M. Chae, K. Kang, and J. Lee. Existence of smooth solutions to coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A*, 33:2271–2297, 2012.

[7] M. Chae, K. Kang, and J. Lee. Global existence and temporal decay in Keller-Segel models coupled to fluid equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39:1205–1235, 2014.

[8] S. Chakraborty, F. Ivancic, M. Solovchuk, and T. W.-H. Sheu. Stability and dynamics of a chemotaxis system with deformed free-surface in a shallow chamber. *Phys. Fluids*, 30:071904, 2018.

[9] A. Chertock, K. Fellner, A. Kurganov, A. Lorz, and P. A. Markowich. Sinking, merging and stationary plumes in a coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: a high-resolution numerical approach. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 694:155–190, 2012.

[10] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller. Unique solvability of the free-boundary Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension. *Preprint, arXiv:math/0212116*, 2003.

[11] C. Dombrowski, L. Cisneros, S. Chatkaew, R. E. Goldstein, and J. O. Kessler. Self-concentration and large-scale coherence in bacterial dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93:098103, 2004.

[12] R. Duan, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich. Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35:1635–1673, 2010.

[13] L.C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations: Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.

[14] H. Fujita and T. Kato. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 16:269–315, 1964.

[15] T. Hillen and K. J. Painter. A user's guide to pde models for chemotaxis. *J. Math. Biol.*, 58:183–217, 2009.

[16] A. J. Hillesdon and T. J. Pedley. Bioconvection in suspensions of oxytactic bacteria: linear theory. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 324:223–259, 1996.

[17] A. J. Hillesdon, T. J. Pedley, and J. O. Kessler. The development of concentration gradients in a suspension of chemotactic bacteria. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 57:299–344, 1995.

[18] F. Ivančić, T. W.-H. Sheu, and M. Solovchuk. The free surface effect on a chemotaxis-diffusion-convection coupling system. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 356:387–406, 2019.

[19] F. Ivančić, T. W.-H. Sheu, and M. Solovchuk. Bacterial chemotaxis in thin fluid layers with free surface. *Phys. Fluids*, 32:061902, 2020.

[20] Y. V. Kalinin, L. Jiang, Y. Tu, and M. Wu. Logarithmic sensing in Escherichia coli bacterial chemotaxis. *Biophysical J.*, 96:2439–2448, 2009.

[21] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel. Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: A theoretical analysis. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 30:377–380, 1971.

[22] O.A. Ladyženskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Ural'ceva. *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, volume 23. American Mathematical Soc., 1988.

[23] H.A. Levine, B.D. Sleeman, and M. Nilsen-Hamilton. A mathematical model for the roles of pericytes and macrophages in the initiation of angiogenesis. I. the role of protease inhibitors in preventing angiogenesis. *Math. Biosci.*, 168:71–115, 2000.

[24] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems and applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York., 1972.

[25] J. Liu and A. Lorz. A coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: Global existence. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 28:643–652, 2011.

[26] A. Lorz. Coupled chemotaxis fluid equations. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20:987–1004, 2010.

[27] R. Lui and Z. Wang. Traveling wave solutions from microscopic to macroscopic chemotaxis models. *J. Math. Biol.*, 61:739–761, 2010.

[28] R. Nossal. Boundary movement of chemotactic bacterial populations. *Math. Biosci.*, 13:397–406, 1972.

[29] Y. Peng and Z. Xiang. Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluids system in a 3D unbounded domain with boundary. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 28:869–920, 2018.

[30] Y. Tao and M. Winkler. Eventual smoothness and stabilization of large-data solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant. *J. Differential Equations*, 252:2520–2543, 2012.

[31] R. Temam. On the Euler equations of incompressible perfect fluids. *J. Functional Anal.*, 20:32–43, 1975.

[32] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C.W. Wolgemuth, J.O. Kessler, and R.E. Goldstein. Bacterial swimming and oxygen tranport near contact lines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102:2277–2282, 2005.

[33] Y. Wang, M. Winkler, and Z. Xiang. The small-convection limit in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Math. Zeit.*, 289:71–108, 2018.

[34] J. V. Wehausen and E. V. Laitone. *Serface waves.* Handbuch der Physik IX, pp. 446-778, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1960.

[35] M. Winkler. Global large-data solutions in a chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37:319–351, 2012.

[36] M. Winkler. Stabilization in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 211:455–487, 2014.

[37] M. Winkler. Global weak solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 33:1329–1352, 2016.

[38] M. Winkler. How far do chemotaxis-driven forces influence regularity in the Navier-Stokes system? *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369:3067–3125, 2017.

[39] M. Winkler. Renormalized radial large-data solutions to the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel system with singular sensitivity and signal absorption. *J. Differential Equations*, 264:2310–2350, 2018.

[40] Q. Zhang and Y. Li. Convergence rates of solutions for a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B*, 20:2751–2759, 2015.

[41] Q. Zhang and X. Zheng. Global well-posedness for the two-dimensional incompressible chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 46:3078–3105, 2014.