

Real-time Millimeter Wave Omnidirectional Channel Sounder Using Phased Array Antennas

Aditya Chopra¹, Andrew Thornburg¹, Ojas Kanhere², Abbas Termos³,
 Saeed S. Ghassemzadeh¹, and Theodore S. Rappaport²

¹AT&T Labs, {aditya_chopra, andrew_thornburg, saeed}@labs.att.com

²NYU Tandon School of Engineering, {ojask, tsr}@nyu.edu

³University of Notre Dame, {atermos}@nd.edu

Abstract—Characterization of the millimeter wave wireless channel is needed to facilitate fully connected vehicular communication in the future. To study the multipath-rich, rapidly varying nature of the vehicular propagation environment, fast millimeter wave channel sounders are required. We present a channel sounder design capable of covering 360 degrees in azimuth and 60 degrees in elevation with 200 individual beam directions in 6.25 ms by using four phased arrays simultaneously. The channel measurements are accompanied by high resolution positioning and video data, allowing channel sounding to be conducted while either the transmitter, or the receiver, or both are moving. Channel sounding campaigns were conducted at multiple urban locations with light traffic conditions in Austin, Texas. Preliminary results show that beam selection at the receiver can lower the effective pathloss exponent to 1.6 for line-of-sight and 2.25 for non line-of-sight.

Index Terms—mmWave; channel sounding; phased arrays; V2X; V2V; 5G; sidelink

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years we have witnessed an enormous amount of progress on standardization of fifth generation (5G) wireless communications leading to initial deployments of 5G networks using sub-6 GHz and millimeter wave (mmWave) spectrum in many parts of the globe [1]. These networks are designed to enable billions of new connections with high data rates, ultra low latency, and high capacity. One particular use case of interest is vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) or vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communications in mmWave spectrum. With the wide bandwidth and ultra low latency, as offered by mmWave in 5G standards [2], ultra-reliable vehicular communication with rapid data exchange enables novel vehicular applications such as autonomous driving and platooning, collision warning, and vehicular localization [3]. The next generation of wireless networks are expected to go further towards wireless cognition, by forwarding the computation of the huge quantities of sensor data to edge servers [4].

In order to achieve these ambitious goals, it is crucial to fully characterize the behavior of the wireless channel in a vehicular communication setting. One of the unique features of mmWave communications is the need for highly directional transmission and reception in order to overcome high propagation losses [5]. For vehicular communications in particular, the signal strength in different directions can

change rapidly due to the motion of the transmitter (TX), the receiver (RX), the relative height difference between the transmitter and receiver, and other objects in the local environment that act as blockers, reflectors, or scatterers. It is therefore important to conduct channel measurements that cover a variety of arrival, or departure directions and are fast enough to capture the rapid changes in the channel.

A large amount of previous research on mmWave channel sounders and V2V channel measurements uses passive directional antennas. For example, the sliding correlator based channel sounder described in [6] uses high gain directional horn antennas, mounted on gimbals at the transmitter and receiver, that are rotated in azimuth and elevation planes in order to measure the omnidirectional channel. However, due to the low speed of the gimbal, this sounder is not suitable for vehicular channel measurements. While the channel sounder described in [7] is capable of measuring large and small scale channel parameters at 28 GHz, it is also not suitable for vehicular measurements with small coherence time. The time domain sounder in [8] operates at 59.6 GHz with bandwidth of 8 GHz utilizing omnidirectional antennas for sounding, which due to the lack of directional antennas, angular information of the mmWave channel could not be measured. Sliding correlation based channel sounders at 38 GHz and 60 GHz were also developed with an RF bandwidth of 1.9 GHz [9]. Vehicular angle-of-arrival measurements were conducted in a parking lot using the channel sounder in [9]. The mmWave phased array based channel sounder in [10] uses steerable beams to measure the wireless channel within 90° sector at the receiver with a beam switching time of less than 2 μ s. An omnidirectional sounder in 60 GHz band using fast arrays for beam sweeping was developed in [11], however, it only sweeps the beam in the azimuth plane and only indoor measurements were conducted.

To meet the requirement for a vehicular 360° channel sounder with fast beam switching, a novel Real-time Omnidirectional Channel Sounder (ROACH) was developed by AT&T Labs to conduct channel sounding measurements in V2V and V2X environments.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the channel sounder design. System calibration and verification procedures are given in Section III. Channel

is chosen to be $\pm 45^\circ$ in azimuth, and $\pm 30^\circ$ in elevation from boresight.

The ROACH receiver can accept a user-defined beam sweeping codebook of up to 1024 beams per array sector. The receiver cycles through the beams at user defined intervals that are synchronized such that the capture interval length is exactly an integer multiple of the sounding signal duration used for averaging. Higher averaging yields better estimates of the channel measurements but come at a cost of longer omnidirectional scan durations, a tradeoff typically seen in most channel sounder designs. Each beam in the user-defined codebook contains beam direction information as well as the beam width information. The AWMF-0129 array also allows for four beam width options, these options along with their corresponding boresight gains are listed in Table II. The boresight gain is the overall module gain, which is a combination of the gains from beamforming and Low-Noise Amplifiers on the module. The same codebook is used to sweep the coverage sector of each of the four arrays.

TABLE II: Measured performance characteristics of the various available beams in AWMF-0129 Phased Array Module.

Beam Type	3dB Beam Width ($^\circ$)	Boresight Gain (dB)
1	14.2	47
2	16.8	43.3
3	18.7	34.3
4	16.5	30.3

The AWMF-0129 can switch beams via Ethernet or serial-parallel interface (SPI) control. While Ethernet control requires more than 1 ms for beam switching, the SPI control interface can accomplish beam switching in less than $1 \mu\text{s}$, depending on the user designed SPI clock frequency. We used the NI USRP-2955 general purpose input output (GPIO) subsystem to control the four phased array receivers. A custom digital conversion box was made to convert single-ended 3.3V transistor-transistor logic (TTL) output of the USRP GPIO to four separate synchronized low voltage differential signal (LVDS) digital inputs for each of the phased arrays. Our SPI implementation in the USRP can preload beam coefficients on the array within $60 \mu\text{s}$. A dedicated latch signal is used to command the array to switch after the beam is loaded. The switching time of the array is less than $1 \mu\text{s}$. This acts as the lower limit on the duration our sounding signal, in order to switch the arrays to the next beam in the codebook after capturing a single instance of the sounding sequence.

The received signals from each of the four arrays are fed to a four-input down-converter with a 25 GHz local oscillator producing four simultaneous IF signals. The received IF signals are captured by the USRP and subsequently cross-correlated with the ZC sequence to generate the power-delay profiles (PDPs) and received correlated power. The signal processing algorithms are executed in real-time on a field programmable gate array (FPGA) inside the USRP. The channel measurements are timestamped and sent to the host computer via high speed interface for visualization and storage on high speed solid-state drives.

C. Additional Sensors

The ROACH system is also equipped with GPS receivers for high-accuracy data logging at both the transmitter and receiver. The model of the GPS receiver is the REACH M+, manufactured by Emlid Ltd. [14]. This receiver can operate at 14Hz, or a GPS measurement every 70 ms. It can also apply Real-Time Kinematics (RTK) correction in order to achieve sub-1m space positioning accuracy [14]. The GPS receiver was connected to the internet via a high-speed LTE hotspot, and the RTK corrections were provided via a service subscription from SmartNet NA Inc. [15]. This allows ROACH to conduct V2I and V2V channel sounding measurements where either the transmitter, or the receiver, or both are mobile. The GPS signals were also used to discipline the oscillators on both the transmitter and receiver within 10 parts per billion [16].

To further augment channel data collection, a six lens 360° 8K resolution camera is mounted at the center of the ROACH receiver, capable of capturing video at 60 frames per second. This provides useful visual feedback of the clutter in the environment while experiments are conducted. The video is recorded and can be used in post processing to augment the data visualization.

The ROACH system software contains novel algorithms to synchronize channel sounding, GPS data collection, and video logging. This allows the user to correlate channel measurements with the position of the transmitter and receiver, as well as the environment around the receiver as seen by the 360° video, with a high degree of accuracy. For example, the system can track the reflection off a moving car near the receiver.

D. System Parameters

In all experiments described within this article, the value of N_{ZC} is set as 8192, and u is set as 1729. The output sampling rate of the signal in the USRP-2954 is set to 65.536 MHz, which combined with the chosen value of N_{ZC} results in the time duration of a single sounding sequence being equal to $125 \mu\text{s}$. Typical 3rd generation partnership program (3GPP) New Radio (NR) deployments in mmWave using a sub-carrier spacing of 120 kHz have a slot duration of exactly $125 \mu\text{s}$ [17]. The settings used in ROACH were chosen to yield a sounding sequence length equal to a typical 3GPP NR slot duration in order to better relate channel measurements with NR system design parameters.

The sounding interval of $125 \mu\text{s}$ is sufficiently long for our phased array digital control modules to switch the beam every sounding instance. An azimuthal spherical segment was generated with the top and bottom planes defined by $\pm 45^\circ$ elevation planes, respectively. Considering the trade-off between fine angular resolution and short sweep time required, this segment was tessellated by a 200 cell uniform hexagonal lattice with the beam directions at the centers of each hexagon. The resulting spherical segment and tessellation pattern can be seen in Fig. 3. The beam directions were chosen such that the edges of the different array spans mesh together without

any gaps. Each of the array sectors was assigned 50 of the 200 overall beams as its codebook, ensuring that there is no gap between the edge of the hexagonal cells between two different array sectors. With averaging set to 1, the beams are switched every sounding interval of $125\ \mu\text{s}$, resulting in an overall segment scan time of 6.25 ms. Each beam in the receiver codebook was assigned beam Type 2 from Table II. The Type 2 beam was chosen because of its strong suppression of sidelobes at a small expense of increased 3dB beam width compared to the Type 1 beam.

The IF frequency was chosen as 3.3 GHz, and the signal was upconverted by 25 GHz, for a RF output frequency of 28.3 GHz. The transmitter system was set up to point in boresight direction, i.e. azimuth and elevation set to 0° . The transmitter beam type was set to Type 3, allowing for a large coverage area at the cost of array gain.

III. SOUNDER CALIBRATION AND VERIFICATION

A. Calibration

In this sub-section, we describe the calibration procedure for the ROACH transmit and receive systems. The ROACH transmitter was calibrated at the point of the AWA-0134 phased array input using a Rohde and Schwarz NRP-Z11 power meter as the calibration reference. The maximum safe RF input level into the phased array was set as $-12\ \text{dBm}$, which after accounting for cable losses ensured that the pre-amplifier was always operating in its linear region.

For the ROACH receiver system, the calibrated transmit module was connected via a Wilkinson divider to the output plane of the AMWF-0129 phased array. The other port of the Wilkinson divider was connected to the power meter. Using this setup, the transmit module would emit a sounding signal and the receive system would detect a single strong peak. Since the sounding signal has constant instantaneous power, the measured peak value was calibrated against the power meter reading. Note that this calibration was performed at high power levels that were within the range of the power meter specifications. In order to ensure accuracy at low power levels, no analog front-end settings were changed after calibration. Thus, lower power level measurements were purely derived digitally through the resolution of the ADC, requiring no further calibration.

The USRP radios are manufacturer calibrated to correct for IQ imbalances, and the frequency offset between the transmitter and receiver was maintained at acceptable levels by disciplining local oscillators using GPS timing signals.

The AMWF-0129 and AWA-0134 antenna arrays were calibrated in an anechoic chamber by the manufacturer and the beam pattern data was used for post processing of collected channel measurements.

B. Verification

To validate our calibration procedure of the ROACH system, over-the-air (OTA) verification is conducted in an anechoic chamber. The TX and RX phased arrays were placed 17 ft. apart with the TX and the front-facing RX phased arrays




Fig. 3: A heatmap of the received power across the 200 beams covering 360° in azimuth and 60° in elevation using the four RX phased arrays. The transmitter and receiver are placed in an anechoic chamber with the transmitter location shown by the arrow.

in boresight, aligned via laser. Due to the short separation, the transmit power was lowered in order to prevent damage at the receiver phased array. The channel measurements computed at the receiver were verified to be in agreement with calculated pathloss of 75.67 dB at 28.3 GHz. This was repeated across all phased-arrays in the receiver.

In addition, the RX beams of the four phased arrays were simultaneously electronically swept in steps of two degrees over a spherical section spanning elevation angles $\pm 30^\circ$ and azimuth angles $\pm 45^\circ$ to measure the omnidirectional phased array response. Fig. 3 shows a heat map of the received power, where the color intensities of the hexagonal faces correspond to the power received at the beam pointing angles (the center of the faces). This indicates the measured signal strength vs. beam direction of all four phased arrays combined with their orientation on the receiver. Ideally, this heatmap should have a single hot spot in the middle pointing directly at the transmitter. However, due to the beam width and sidelobes, some energy is expected to be captured in other directions as well. Energy will also be captured by non-forward facing arrays due to leakage from the side and back walls of the array module. An example of such leakage can be seen at roughly $\pm 90^\circ$ counterclockwise to the transmitter direction. This leakage is occurring due to reflections off the mounting platform used for chamber measurements. Additional work is needed to design RF absorbing covers for the mounting platform in order to suppress these unwanted reflections.




Fig. 4: The transmitter and receiver vehicles used for channel sounding campaigns.

IV. CHANNEL MEASUREMENTS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A. Measurements

The ROACH system was used to conduct both V2I and V2V channel measurements at the 28 GHz band. An experimental permit to temporarily transmit in this band was granted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) [18].

V2I channel measurements were conducted in and around two outdoor urban light commercial areas containing offices and retail, cars, and light pedestrian traffic. The first area was adjacent to multiple shopping complexes and office buildings, while the second area contained office complexes and residential apartment buildings. In each of these areas the transmitter was either mounted on an accessible roof of a building at a height of 15 m (Type I measurement), or on an extendable mast on top of a stationary van at a height of 2.9 m as shown in Fig. 4 (Type II measurement). In each case the height of the transmitter was recorded before collecting measurements. The receiver array system was mounted on the roof of a van, at a height of 2.4 m. The receiver baseband system was placed in a rack mount system inside the van. The receiver van was driven around on surface roads and shopping and office parking lots at an average speed of 20 mph near the transmitter, ensuring that both line-of-sight and non line-of-sight locations were covered. The user interface would indicate when the received signal power was below measurement limits, and the driver would move the van towards a more favorable location. A map depicting the power received by the strongest beam in a sample Type II run is shown in Fig. 5.

V2V measurements were also conducted in two outdoor areas covered by the experimental permit, where both the transmitter and receiver vans were in motion. For safety reasons, the transmitter mast was lowered to its base position while conducting mobile transmitter tests. Both vans were driven in light to moderate traffic and would allow other cars and trucks to freely move in or out between them. The transmitter and receiver separation would vary but typically maintained under 10 car lengths. The video captures that accompanied the channel measurements prove highly valuable as they allow correlation of non boresight signal reception with the location of nearby vehicles. A map depicting the power received by the strongest beam in a sample V2V run is shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 5: The received power by the best beam in Run 3, an exemplary Type II V2I run.




Fig. 6: The received power by the best beam in an exemplary V2V run.

B. Preliminary Results

By analyzing the video recorded by the 360° camera, line-of-sight (LOS) locations were identified during post-processing. The path loss experienced by a RX with “perfect beam management” in LOS and NLOS scenarios was considered, i.e. the path loss experienced if beamforming at the RX is always done in the direction of maximum received power. Additionally, the “boresight” LOS path loss was measured, equal to the power received by the beam pointing in the direction of the TX, with the RX heading calculated from GPS logs. During analysis, measurements were averaged over local squares with side lengths of 4 m to ameliorate the effects of small scale fading. The measured pathloss was fit to the close-in (CI) pathloss model with 1 m reference distance [2]. In Type I V2I measurements, where the TX was mounted on




Fig. 7: Scatter plots showing 28 GHz V2I pathloss vs. TX-RX separation for Type-I measurements. The lines indicate linear fit to the close-in pathloss model [19].

an accessible roof, a path loss exponent (PLE) of 1.94 was observed with the best LOS beam, as seen in Fig. 7 while a PLE of 1.70 over 5 test runs was observed for the best LOS beam in Type II V2I measurements, where the TX was mounted on a van at a height of 2.9 m, as seen in Fig. 8. The PLE for each individual Type II run is listed in Table III. The PLE measured in Type II V2I measurements agrees with the PLE observed in static V2V LOS measurements conducted in [20], where a median PLE of 1.77 was observed. A PLE of 1.89 was observed over the Type II measurements when the RX pointing directly towards the TX (in boresight), which is close to the free space path loss (FSPL) exponent of 2.00 and is comparable to the PLE of 2.23 observed in [9].

The low PLE observed in the direction of the strongest beam, particularly in Type II V2I measurements, suggest




Fig. 8: Scatter plots showing 28 GHz V2I pathloss vs. TX-RX separation for Type-II measurements. The lines indicate linear fit to the close-in pathloss model [19].

TABLE III: Pathloss Exponent Across All Type-II Runs

Run	LOS, Best		LOS, Bore sight		NLOS, Best	
	n	σ (dB)	n	σ (dB)	n	σ (dB)
1	1.79	3.21	1.90	4.07	2.25	8.92
2	1.66	3.67	1.88	6.14	2.37	6.00
3	1.57	2.16	1.79	4.92	2.53	3.42
4	1.59	3.84	1.92	7.16	2.67	9.15
5	1.67	3.79	1.95	7.46	2.40	9.12

the presence of strong reflections. As seen in the histogram displayed in Fig. 9, for Type II measurements, the strongest beam elevation angle is the boresight angle ($\pm 2.6^\circ$) only 47% of the time, while the strongest signal is received at an angle of -7.8° 20% of the time, which suggests reflections off metallic car roofs are an important propagation path.




Fig. 9: Histogram of the elevation angle of the strongest received beam measured in Type I and Type II V2I sounding campaigns.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have introduced the design and architecture of ROACH, a novel real-time omnidirectional mmWave channel sounder capable of conducting real-time channel measurements at 28 GHz or 39 GHz, with bandwidth up to 80 MHz, a 200 beam omnidirectional scan in 6.25 ms, with a mobile transmitter and mobile receiver, sub-1m accurate synchronized GPS position logging, and accompanied 8K resolution 360° video. The system calibration procedure and the OTA verification procedure is described along with the measurement setup for V2I and V2V outdoor channel sounding campaigns. The preliminary path loss measurement and directionality analysis from multiple channel sounding campaigns is also provided. The analysis demonstrates the presence of multiple strong reflecting paths in a V2I campaign in and around an urban and suburban environment.

The next generation of ROACH currently under development has higher channel sounding bandwidth in order to achieve finer delay resolution of channel impulse response measurements. The phased array assembly is also being modified to fit on vehicle bumpers, which is a more likely location for vehicular antenna placement. Further analysis on the impact of beam management on channel statistics from the collected data is also left for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] "AT&T Enhances Spectrum Position Following FCC Auction 102," https://about.att.com/story/2019/att_enhances_spectrum_position.html, accessed: 2020-05-23.
- [2] T. S. Rappaport *et al.*, "Millimeter Wave Mobile Communications for 5G Cellular: It Will Work!" *IEEE Access*, vol. 1, pp. 335–349, May 2013.
- [3] V. Va, T. Shimizu, G. Bansal, and R. W. Heath Jr., "Millimeter Wave Vehicular Communications: A Survey," *Found. Trends® Netw.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–113, 2016.
- [4] T. S. Rappaport *et al.*, "Wireless Communications and Applications Above 100 GHz: Opportunities and Challenges for 6G and Beyond," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 78 729–78 757, June 2019.

- [5] T. Rappaport, R. Heath, R. Daniels, and J. Murdock, *Millimeter wave wireless communications*. Prentice Hall, 2015, includes bibliographical references (pages 585–651) and index.
- [6] G. R. MacCartney, Jr. and T. S. Rappaport, "A Flexible Millimeter-Wave Channel Sounder with Absolute Timing," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1402–1418, June 2017.
- [7] J. Park, J. Lee, J. Liang, K. Kim, K. Lee, and M. Kim, "Millimeter Wave Vehicular Blockage Characteristics Based on 28 GHz Measurements," in *2017 IEEE 86th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*, Feb. 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [8] A. Prokes, J. Vychodil, T. Mikulasek, J. Blumenstein, E. Zchmann, H. Groll, C. F. Mecklenbrucker, M. Hofer, D. Lschenbrand, L. Bernad, T. Zemen, S. Sangodoyin, and A. Molisch, "Time-Domain Broadband 60 GHz Channel Sounder for Vehicle-to-Vehicle Channel Measurement," in *2018 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*, Dec. 2018, pp. 1–7.
- [9] E. Ben-Dor, T. S. Rappaport, Y. Qiao, and S. J. Lauffenburger, "Millimeter-wave 60 GHz outdoor and vehicle AOA propagation measurements using a broadband channel sounder," *GLOBECOM - IEEE Glob. Telecommun. Conf.*, pp. 8–13, 2011.
- [10] C. U. Bas, R. Wang, S. Sangodoyin, D. Psychoudakis, T. Henige, R. Monroe, J. Park, C. J. Zhang, and A. F. Molisch, "Real-Time Millimeter-Wave MIMO Channel Sounder for Dynamic Directional Measurements," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 8775–8789, July 2019.
- [11] D. Caudill, P. B. Papazian, C. Gentile, J. Chuang, and N. Golmie, "Omnidirectional channel sounder with phased-array antennas for 5g mobile communications," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 2936–2945, 2019.
- [12] M. M. Silva, L. da Silva Mello, P. G. Castellanos *et al.*, "Wideband channel sounding using modulated ofdm signals," in *2018 IEEE-APS Topical Conference on Antennas and Propagation in Wireless Communications (APWC)*, 2018, pp. 1–4.
- [13] M. Hua, M. Wang, K. W. Yang, and K. J. Zou, "Analysis of the frequency offset effect on zadoff-chu sequence timing performance," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 4024–4039, 2014.
- [14] "Reach m2m rtk/ppk gnss modules for high precision mapping." [Online]. Available: <https://emlid.com/reach/>
- [15] "Smartnet north america." [Online]. Available: https://www.smartnetna.com/resources_corrections.cfm
- [16] "Getting started guide usrp-2950/2952/2953/2954/2955." [Online]. Available: <https://www.ni.com/pdf/manuals/376355c.pdf>
- [17] 3GPP, "5G; NR; Physical channels and modulation (Release 15)," TS 38.211 V15.8.0, Jan. 2020.
- [18] "Federal Communications Commission Experimental Radio Station Permit and License,"
- [19] G. R. MacCartney and T. S. Rappaport, "Rural macrocell path loss models for millimeter wave wireless communications," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1663–1677, 2017.
- [20] A. Yamamoto, K. Ogawa, T. Horimatsu, A. Kato, and M. Fujise, "Path-loss prediction models for intervehicle communication at 60 GHz," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 65–78, Jan. 2008.