arXiv:2009.11236v1 [math.AP] 23 Sep 2020

Birkhoff Normal Form for the Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Jianjun Liu
School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, P R China

Abstract: This paper is concerned with the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary conditions. We obtain complete Birkhoff normal form of order six. As an application, the long time stability for solutions of small amplitude is proved.

1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we consider the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{i}u_t + u_{xx} + \mathbf{i}(|u|^2 u)_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{1.1}$$

The equation appears in plasma physics [19, 20, 21], and has infinitely many conservation laws [16]. Under the standard inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, (1.1) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{u}} \tag{1.2}$$

with the real analytic Hamiltonian

$$H = -\mathbf{i}\int_{\mathbb{T}} u_x \bar{u} dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u|^4 dx. \tag{1.3}$$

Introduce for any $s \geq 0$ the phase space

$$\mathscr{H}_0^s = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}): \ \ \hat{u}(0) = 0, \ \ \|u\|_s^2 = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} |\hat{u}(j)|^2 |j|^{2s} < \infty\}$$

of complex valued functions on $\mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_* = \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ and

$$\hat{u}(j) = \int_0^{2\pi} u(x) e_{-j}(x) dx, \quad e_j(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\mathbf{i}jx}.$$

To write (1.2) in infinitely many coordinates, we make the ansatz

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} q_j(t) e_j(x).$$

The coordinates are taken from the Hilbert space ℓ_s^2 of all complex-valued sequences $q = (q_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*}$ with

$$\|q\|_s^2 = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} |q_j|^2 |j|^{2s} < \infty.$$

Now (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{q_j} = -\mathbf{i} j \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{q}_j} \tag{1.4}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \Lambda + G, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} j|q_j|^2, \tag{1.6}$$

$$G = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\substack{j,k,l,m\in\mathbb{Z}_* \\ j-k+l-m=0}} q_j \bar{q}_k q_l \bar{q}_m. \tag{1.7}$$

In this paper the symplectic structure is

$$-\mathbf{i} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} j dq_j \wedge d\bar{q}_j,$$

the vector field for a Hamiltonian F is

$$X_F = -\mathbf{i} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} j\Big(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{q}_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_j}\Big),$$

and the Poisson bracket for two Hamiltonian H, F is

$$\{H,F\} = -\mathbf{i} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} j\Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{q}_j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{q}_j}\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_j}\Big).$$

In [12, 18], in order to construct Cantor families of time quasi-periodic solutions for (1.1) with higher order perturbations, a partial Birkhoff normal form of order four was calculated. In the following theorem, we transform the Hamiltonian (1.5) into complete Birkhoff normal form of order four.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a real analytic symplectic coordinate transformation Ψ defined in a neighborhood of the origin of ℓ^2_s, $s > 1$, which transforms the above Hamiltonian H into complete Birkhoff normal form of order four. That is,*

$$H \circ \Psi = \Lambda + B + R, \tag{1.8}$$

where Λ is in (1.6),

$$B = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} |q_j|^4 + \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_*} |q_j|^2\Big)^2, \tag{1.9}$$

and R is at least order 6 with

$$\|X_R\|_{s-1} = O(\|q\|_s^5). \tag{1.10}$$

Moreover, R is of the form

$$R = \sum_{r\geq 3} \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r} c(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r}) q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}\cdots q_{j_{2r-1}}\bar{q}_{j_{2r}}$$

with its coefficients $c(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})$ satisfying

$$|c(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})| < C^r \Big(\frac{j_2^* j_3^* \cdots j_{2r}^*}{j_1^*}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\mathscr{M}_r := \{(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r}) \in \mathbb{Z}_*^{2r} : j_1 - j_2 + \cdots + j_{2r-1} - j_{2r} = 0\}$$

is the zero momentum index set,

$$j_1^* \geq j_2^* \geq \cdots \geq j_{2r}^*$$

denotes the decreasing rearrangement of $\{|j_1|,|j_2|,\cdots,|j_{2r}|\}$*, and* $C > 0$ *is a constant.*

Then we eliminate all the resulting 6-order non normal form terms, and thus get complete Birkhoff normal form of order six in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a real analytic symplectic coordinate transformation* Φ *defined in a neighborhood of the origin of* ℓ_s^2*,* $s > 3$*, which transforms the above Hamiltonian* $H \circ \Psi$ *into complete Birkhoff normal form of order six. That is,*

$$H \circ \Psi \circ \Phi = \Lambda + B + K + \tilde{R}, \tag{1.12}$$

where Λ *is in (1.6),* B *is in (1.9),*

$$K = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}_*, j \neq k} \frac{2j-k}{(j-k)^2} |q_j|^4 |q_k|^2, \tag{1.13}$$

and $\tilde{R}$ *is at least order 8 with*

$$\|X_{\tilde{R}}\|_{s-1} = O(\|q\|_s^7). \tag{1.14}$$

Moreover, $\tilde{R}$ *is of the form*

$$\tilde{R} = \sum_{r \geq 4} \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r}) \in \mathscr{M}_r} \tilde{c}(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r}) q_{j_1} \bar{q}_{j_2} \cdots q_{j_{2r-1}} \bar{q}_{j_{2r}}$$

with its coefficients $\tilde{c}(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})$ *satisfying*

$$|\tilde{c}(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})| < \tilde{C}^r \Big(\frac{j_2^* j_3^* \cdots j_{2r}^*}{j_1^*} \Big)^{\frac{5}{2}}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\tilde{C} > 0$ *is a constant.*

Compared with Theorem 1.1, the index s of phase space in Theorem 1.2 becomes more restrictive, and the bound of coefficients becomes larger. Thus, although Theorem 1.1 is an intermediate step of Theorem 1.2, we still write them separately. In this way, we could try to study complete Birkhoff normal form of higher order. However, it becomes more and more complicated. On the other hand, although there are infinitely many conservation laws for the equation (1.1), we don't know whether there is a global Birkhoff normal form as KdV equation in [15] and nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [14].

An application of Birkhoff normal form is to extract parameters by amplitude-frequency modulation in KAM theory. In this aspect, [17] is the pioneer work by Kuksin and Pöschel, where Birkhoff normal form of order four is introduced to study nonlinear Schrödinger equation. As mentioned above, in [12, 18] a partial Birkhoff normal form of order four was used to construct quasi-periodic solutions. By contrast, the complete Birkhoff normal form of order four or six is stronger, in the sense that frequencies are more twisted about parameters. For instance, it may help to remove some restrictions of selection of tangential frequencies in [12].

Another application is to study long time stability of solutions of small amplitude. Actually, by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *For any $s>2$ there exists $\varepsilon_s>0$ and $C_s>0$ such that if the initial datum u_0 belongs to $\mathscr{H}_0^s$ and fulfills*

$$\varepsilon := \|u_0\|_s \le \varepsilon_s,$$

then the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_s \le C_s\varepsilon \tag{1.16}$$

for $|t|\le \varepsilon^{-r_s}$, where $r_s=4$ for $2<s\le 4$ and $r_s=6$ for $s>4$.

Birkhoff normal form for long time stability of solutions of Hamiltonian partial differential equations has been widely investigated by many authors. For the case with bounded nonlinear vector field, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 13] for example; for the case with unbounded nonlinear vector field, see [7, 10, 22] for example. More recently, for the purpose to study long time stability without external parameters, rational normal form is introduced for nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [5] and for generalized KdV and Benjamin-Ono equations in [6], where a key ingredient is to extract parameters by Birkhoff normal form of order six. So I think Theorem 1.2 may be useful to study long time stability for more general derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\mathbf{i}u_t + u_{xx} + \mathbf{i}\Big(f(|u|^2)u\Big)_x = 0, \quad x\in\mathbb{T}, \tag{1.17}$$

where $f(z)$ is a real analytic function with $f(0)=0$ and $f'(0)\neq 0$.

In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we first split the 4-order Hamiltonian G into its normal form part B and non normal form part Q; then eliminate Q by a symplectic coordinate transformation Ψ, which is the time-1-map of the flow of a Hamiltonian vector field X_F. In order to establish the regularity of X_F, we estimate the lower bound of $|j^2-k^2+l^2-m^2|$ for non-resonant indices (j,k,l,m), seeing Lemma 2.1.

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we first calculate the resulting 6-order Hamiltonian $R_6 := K+\tilde{K}+\tilde{Q}$, where K is normal form part, $\tilde{K}$ is resonant non normal form part, and $\tilde{Q}$ is non-resonant part. Here, a key ingredient is to verify $\tilde{K}=0$, seeing (2.31). Then formally as before, we eliminate $\tilde{Q}$ by another symplectic coordinate transformation Φ, which is the time-1-map of the flow of a Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\tilde{F}}$. However, it is not easy to establish the regularity of $X_{\tilde{F}}$. Precisely, $\tilde{Q}$ consists of monomials $q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6}$ with $j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2\neq 0$, which implies

$$|j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2|\ge 1. \tag{1.18}$$

However, the lower bound estimate (1.18) is usually not enough owing to the unboundedness of the vector field $X_{\tilde{Q}}$. Assume $|j_1|\ge|j_3|\ge|j_5|$, $|j_2|\ge|j_4|\ge|j_6|$, $|j_1|\ge|j_2|$ without loss of generality. If $j_1\neq j_2$, or $j_1=j_2$, $j_1^*\le 100j_3^{*2}$, we estimate the lower bound in Lemma 2.2. Otherwise, $j_1=j_2$, $j_1^*>100j_3^{*2}$, we explicitly write out this type of reducible non-resonant part $\tilde{Q}_0$ of $\tilde{Q}$, seeing (2.45), and then we find its coefficients are roughly no more than $\frac{1}{j_1^*}$, seeing (2.46) with $j_1^*=n$. This is a key observation. Consequently, the vector field $X_{\tilde{Q}_0}$ is bounded, and the lower bound estimate (1.18) is enough.

For the proof of Corollary 1.3, the case $2<s\le 4$ follows from Theorem 1.1 and the case $s>4$ follows from Theorem 1.2. We only give the estimate of $\frac{d}{dt}\|q\|_s^2$ in detail. To this end, we estimate the bound of $j_1|j_1|^{2s}-j_2|j_2|^{2s}+\cdots+j_{2r-1}|j_{2r-1}|^{2s}-j_{2r}|j_{2r}|^{2s}$ for any $r\ge 3$ and $(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r$, seeing Lemma 2.3.

Appendix contains a lemma, seeing Lemma 3.1, which is used to prove $\tilde{K}=0$ as mentioned above. Actually, only the second conclusion (3.7) is used here. The first conclusion (3.6), besides being used in the proof of (3.7), even has its own meaning. In view of (3.1), for $j,k,l,m\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $j-k+l-m=0$ and $j,l\neq k$, we have $\mu(j,k,l)=\frac{1}{(j-k)(l-k)}=\frac{-2}{j^2-k^2+l^2-m^2}$. Thus (3.6) could be used

to calculate complete Birkhoff normal form of order six for the usual cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{i}u_t + u_{xx} \pm |u|^2 u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{1.19}$$

2 Proof

In this section, for convenience the notation "$\in \mathbb{Z}_*$" is usually abbreviated as "$\neq 0$" or omitted. Define the non-resonant index set

$$\Delta = \{(j,k,l,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_*^4 : j-k+l-m = 0, j \neq k, m\},$$

and denote the decreasing rearrangement of $\{|j|,|k|,|l|,|m|\}$ by $j_1^* \geq j_2^* \geq j_3^* \geq j_4^*$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $(j,k,l,m) \in \Delta$, we have*

$$|j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2| \geq \frac{\sqrt{j_1^*}^3}{2\sqrt{j_2^* j_3^* j_4^*}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $j_1^* = |m|$. Ahead of the proof, we give a simple inequality for two positive integers a, b:

$$2ab \geq a + b,$$

which will be frequently used. From $j-k+l-m=0$ we get

$$j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2 = -2(m-j)(m-l) = -2(m-j)(j-k). \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that $j,k,l,m \neq 0$ and $j,l \neq k,m$. Hence, on one hand,

$$|j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2| = \frac{2|(m-j)(m-l)jl|}{|jl|} \geq \frac{(|m-j|+|j|)(|m-l|+|l|)}{2|jl|} \geq \frac{m^2}{2|jl|}; \tag{2.3}$$

on the other hand,

$$|j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2| = \frac{2|(m-j)(j-k)k|}{|k|} \geq \frac{|(m-j)j|}{|k|} \geq \frac{|m|}{2|k|}. \tag{2.4}$$

We conclude form (2.3) (2.4) the inequality (2.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The normal form part of G is (1.7) with $j=k$ or $j=m$, which is just B in (1.9); the non normal form part of G is

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta} q_j \bar{q}_k q_l \bar{q}_m. \tag{2.5}$$

Then the Hamiltonian (1.5) is written as

$$H = \Lambda + B + Q. \tag{2.6}$$

Obviously the functions B, Q are analytic in ℓ_s^2 with real value, and their vector fields X_B, X_Q are analytic maps from ℓ_s^2 into ℓ_{s-1}^2 with

$$\|X_B\|_{s-1}, \ \|X_Q\|_{s-1} = O(\|q\|_s^3). \tag{2.7}$$

Define $F=\sum_{j,k,l,m\neq 0}F_{jklm}q_j\bar{q}_kq_l\bar{q}_m$ by

$$F_{jklm}=\begin{cases}\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}\frac{1}{j^2-k^2+l^2-m^2}, & \text{for } (j,k,l,m)\in\Delta,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Then we have

$$\{\Lambda,F\}+Q=0. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\Psi=X_F^1$ be the time-1-map of the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_F, and then

$$\begin{aligned} H\circ\Psi &= H\circ X_F^t|_{t=1}\\ &= \Lambda+\{\Lambda,F\}+B+Q\\ &\quad+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{\Lambda,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt+\int_0^1\{B+Q,F\}\circ X_F^t dt\\ &= \Lambda+B+R, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$R=\int_0^1\{B+tQ,F\}\circ X_F^t dt. \tag{2.11}$$

For $(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$|F_{jklm}|\leq\frac{1}{2\pi}(j_1^*)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

From the coefficient estimate (2.12), we deduce the estimates of vector field X_F and its derivative DX_F as follows

$$\|X_F\|_s=O(\|q\|_s^3), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|DX_F\|_{\ell_s^2\to\ell_s^2},\ \|DX_F\|_{\ell_{s-1}^2\to\ell_{s-1}^2}=O(\|q\|_s^2). \tag{2.14}$$

These establish the regularity of the vector field X_F. In view of (2.11), the estimate of vector field X_R in (1.10) follows from (2.7) (2.13) (2.14). Moreover, in view of (1.7), the coefficient $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ of G and thus B, Q is no more than

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi}(j_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Then by induction, starting with the coefficient estimates of B, Q in (2.15) and F in (2.12), we get the coefficient estimate of R in (1.11). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Define the non-resonant index set

$$\tilde{\Delta}=\left\{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\mathbb{Z}_*^6\,\middle|\,\begin{smallmatrix}j_1-j_2+j_3-j_4+j_5-j_6=0\\ j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2\neq 0\end{smallmatrix}\right\}, \tag{2.16}$$

and denote the decreasing rearrangement of $\{|j_1|,|j_2|,|j_3|,|j_4|,|j_5|,|j_6|\}$ by $j_1^*\geq j_2^*\geq j_3^*\geq j_4^*\geq j_5^*\geq j_5^*$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\tilde{\Delta}$ with $|j_1|\geq|j_3|\geq|j_5|$, $|j_2|\geq|j_4|\geq|j_6|$, $|j_1|\geq|j_2|$, except the case*

$$j_1=j_2,\quad j_1^*>100j_3^{*2}, \tag{2.17}$$

we have

$$|j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2|\geq\frac{j_1^{*3}}{100(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*j_5^*j_6^*)^2}. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. If $j_1 = j_2$, $j_1^* \le 100 j_3^{*2}$, then the inequality (2.18) is trivial since its right hand is no more than 1; if $j_1 = -j_2$, then by $j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4 + j_5 - j_6 = 0$ we have $j_1^* \le 2j_3^*$, and thus the inequality (2.18) is also trivial; thus we assume $|j_1| > |j_2|$ in the following. From $j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4 + j_5 - j_6 = 0$ we get $j_1^* \le 5j_2^*$, so it is sufficient to prove

$$|j_1^2 - j_2^2 + j_3^2 - j_4^2 + j_5^2 - j_6^2| \ge \frac{j_1^*}{4j_3^{*2}}. \tag{2.19}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $j_1^* > 4j_3^{*2}$. If $j_2^* = |j_2|$, then

$$|j_1^2 - j_2^2 + j_3^2 - j_4^2 + j_5^2 - j_6^2| \ge j_1^{*2} - j_2^{*2} - 2j_3^{*2} > \frac{1}{2} j_1^* > \frac{j_1^*}{4j_3^{*2}}; \tag{2.20}$$

otherwise, $j_2^* = |j_3|$, then

$$|j_1^2 - j_2^2 + j_3^2 - j_4^2 + j_5^2 - j_6^2| \ge j_1^{*2} + j_2^{*2} - 3j_3^{*2} > \frac{1}{2} j_1^{*2} > \frac{j_1^*}{4j_3^{*2}}. \tag{2.21}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In view of (2.11), we have

$$R = R_6 + R_{\ge 8}, \tag{2.22}$$

where the 6-order term

$$R_6 = \{B,F\} + \frac{1}{2}\{Q,F\}, \tag{2.23}$$

and the higher order terms

$$R_{\ge 8} = \int_0^1 (1-t)\{\{B,F\},F\} \circ X_F^t dt + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (1-t^2)\{\{Q,F\},F\} \circ X_F^t dt. \tag{2.24}$$

In view of (1.9) (2.5) (2.8), calculating directly, we have

$$\{B,F\} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta} \frac{m}{j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2} q_j \bar{q}_k q_l \bar{q}_m |q_m|^2 + c.c., \tag{2.25}$$

where the notation "$c.c.$" stands for complex conjugation, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\{Q,F\} \\
= \; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\ne 0} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q_m}\big(-\mathbf{i}m\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{q}_m}\big) + c.c. \\
= \; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\ne 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{m_1 - m_2 + m_3 = m \\ m_1, m_3 \ne m_2}} \bar{q}_{m_1} q_{m_2} \bar{q}_{m_3}\right)\left(\frac{m}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{j-k+l=m \\ j,l\ne k}} \frac{1}{j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - m^2} q_j \bar{q}_k q_l\right) + c.c. \\
= \; & -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{j-k+l-m_1+m_2-m_3=0 \\ j,l\ne k \\ m_1,m_3\ne m_2}} \tau(j,k,l) q_j \bar{q}_k q_l \bar{q}_{m_1} q_{m_2} \bar{q}_{m_3} + c.c.,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$\tau(j,k,l) = \frac{-2(j-k+l)}{j^2 - k^2 + l^2 - (j-k+l)^2} = \frac{j-k+l}{(j-k)(l-k)}. \tag{2.27}$$

The normal form part K of R_6 is (2.26) with $k=m_2$ and $\{j,l\}=\{m_1,m_3\}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\sum_{j,l\neq k}\tau(j,k,l)(2-\delta_{jl})|q_j|^2|q_k|^2|q_l|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\sum_{j\neq k}\tau(j,k,j)|q_j|^4|q_k|^2-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_{j\neq k,k\neq l,l\neq j}\tau(j,k,l)|q_j|^2|q_k|^2|q_l|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\sum_{j\neq k}\frac{2j-k}{(j-k)^2}|q_j|^4|q_k|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where $\delta_{jl}=\begin{cases}1, & j=l\\ 0, & j\neq l\end{cases}$ and the last equality follows from the fact

$$\tau(j,k,l)+\tau(k,l,j)+\tau(l,j,k)=0. \tag{2.29}$$

The resonant non normal form part $\tilde{K}$ of R_6 is (2.26) with $j^2-k^2+l^2-m_1^2+m_2^2-m_3^2=0$ and $\{j,l,m_2\}\neq\{k,m_1,m_3\}$. These restrictions imply $\{j,l,m_2\}\cap\{k,m_1,m_3\}=\emptyset$ actually. Define the resonant non normal form index set

$$\mathscr{R}=\left\{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\mathbb{Z}_*^6\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j_1-j_2+j_3-j_4+j_5-j_6=0\\ j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2=0\\ \{j_1,j_3,j_5\}\cap\{j_2,j_4,j_6\}=\emptyset\end{smallmatrix}\right\}. \tag{2.30}$$

Then we could rewrite $\tilde{K}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\mathscr{R}}\Big(\tau(j_1,j_2,j_3)+\tau(j_4,j_5,j_6)\Big)q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\mathscr{R}}\tau(j_1,j_2,j_3)q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6} \\ &= -\frac{1}{72\pi^2}\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\mathscr{R}}\left(\sum_{\substack{\{\alpha<\gamma\}\subset\{1,3,5\}\\ \beta\in\{2,4,6\}}}\tau(j_\alpha,j_\beta,j_\gamma)\right)q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.7) in Lemma 3.1.

The non-resonant part $\tilde{Q}$ of R_6 consists of (2.25) and (2.26) with $j^2-k^2+l^2-m_1^2+m_2^2-m_3^2\neq 0$. Then we could rewrite $\tilde{Q}$ of the form

$$\tilde{Q}=\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\tilde{\Delta}}\tilde{Q}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6}. \tag{2.32}$$

Define $\tilde{F}=\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5,j_6)\in\tilde{\Delta}}\tilde{F}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}q_{j_3}\bar{q}_{j_4}q_{j_5}\bar{q}_{j_6}$ by

$$\tilde{F}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}=\frac{\mathbf{i}}{j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2}\tilde{Q}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}. \tag{2.33}$$

Then we have

$$\{\Lambda,\tilde{F}\}+\tilde{Q}=0. \tag{2.34}$$

Let $\Phi = X_{\tilde{F}}^1$ be the time-1-map of the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\tilde{F}}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} H\circ\Psi\circ\Phi &= (\Lambda+B+K+\tilde{Q}+R_{\geq 8})\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^t|_{t=1} \\ &= \Lambda+\{\Lambda,\tilde{F}\}+B+K+\tilde{Q} \\ &\quad +\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{\Lambda,\tilde{F}\},\tilde{F}\}\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^t dt+\int_0^1\{B+K+\tilde{Q},\tilde{F}\}\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^t dt+R_{\geq 8}\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^1 \\ &= \Lambda+B+K+\tilde{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

where

$$\tilde{R}=\int_0^1\{B+K+\tilde{Q},t\tilde{F}\}\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^t dt+R_{\geq 8}\circ X_{\tilde{F}}^1. \tag{2.36}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to prove the following coefficient estimate

$$|\tilde{F}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}|<C_1(j_1^*)^{-\frac{7}{2}}(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*j_5^*j_6^*)^{\frac{5}{2}} \tag{2.37}$$

with some constant $C_1>0$. Actually, by (2.37), we deduce for $s>3$ the estimates of vector field $X_{\tilde{F}}$ and its derivative $DX_{\tilde{F}}$ as follows

$$\|X_{\tilde{F}}\|_s = O(\|q\|_s^5), \tag{2.38}$$

$$\|DX_{\tilde{F}}\|_{\ell_s^2\to\ell_s^2},\ \|DX_{\tilde{F}}\|_{\ell_{s-1}^2\to\ell_{s-1}^2}=O(\|q\|_s^4). \tag{2.39}$$

These establish the regularity of the vector field $X_{\tilde{F}}$. Then in view of the formula of $\tilde{R}$ in (2.36), by (1.10) (2.38) (2.39) we could deduce the estimate of its vector field in (1.14), and by (1.11) (2.37) we could deduce the estimate of its coefficients in (1.15).

To prove (2.37), by (2.33) it is equivalent to prove

$$|\tilde{Q}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}|<C_1(j_1^*)^{-\frac{7}{2}}(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*j_5^*j_6^*)^{\frac{5}{2}}|j_1^2-j_2^2+j_3^2-j_4^2+j_5^2-j_6^2|. \tag{2.40}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $|j_1|\geq|j_3|\geq|j_5|$, $|j_2|\geq|j_4|\geq|j_6|$, $|j_1|\geq|j_2|$. If $j_1\neq j_2$, or $j_1=j_2$, $j_1^*\leq 100j_3^{*2}$, then by Lemma 2.2 it is sufficient to prove

$$|\tilde{Q}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}|<\frac{C_1}{100}(j_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j_2^*j_3^*j_4^*j_5^*j_6^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.41}$$

which is ensured by (1.11) with taking $C_1\geq 100C^3$; otherwise, $j_1=j_2$, $j_1^*>100j_3^{*2}$, it is sufficient to prove

$$|\tilde{Q}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}|<\frac{C_1}{j_1^*}, \tag{2.42}$$

which will be checked by directly calculating this type of coefficients in the following. This type of reducible part $\tilde{Q}_0$ of $\tilde{Q}$ comes from (2.26) with $j^2-k^2+l^2-m_1^2+m_2^2-m_3^2\neq 0$ and $\{j,l,m_2\}\cap\{k,m_1,m_3\}\neq\emptyset$. The last restriction implies $m_2=k$ or $\{j,l\}\cap\{m_1,m_3\}\neq\emptyset$. For $m_2=k$, noticing the non-resonant condition $j^2+l^2-m_1^2-m_3^2\neq 0$, we get

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{(j,m_1,l,m_3)\in\Delta\\ j,l,m_1,m_3\neq k}}\tau(j,k,l)q_jq_l\bar{q}_{m_1}\bar{q}_{m_3}|q_k|^2+c.c., \tag{2.43}$$

and for $\{j,l\}\cap\{m_1,m_3\}\neq\emptyset$, we get

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{(j,k,m_2,m_1)\in\Delta\\ k,m_2\neq l}}\tau(j,k,l)(4-2\delta_{jl}-2\delta_{lm_1})q_j\bar{q}_k\bar{q}_{m_1}q_{m_2}|q_l|^2+c.c.. \tag{2.44}$$

From (2.43) (2.44), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_0 &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta\\ |n|>100\max\{j^2,k^2,l^2,m^2\}}}\big(\tau(j,n,l)+\tau(k,n,m)\big)q_j\bar{q}_k q_l\bar{q}_m|q_n|^2\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta\\ |n|>100\max\{j^2,k^2,l^2,m^2\}}}\big(\tau(j,k,n)+\tau(k,j,n)\big)q_j\bar{q}_k q_l\bar{q}_m|q_n|^2\\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{(j,k,l,m)\in\Delta\\ |n|>100\max\{j^2,k^2,l^2,m^2\}}}\big(4\tau(j,n,k)-\tau(j,n,l)-\tau(k,n,m)\big)q_j\bar{q}_k q_l\bar{q}_m|q_n|^2, \quad (2.45)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\tau(j,n,k)|,\quad |\tau(j,n,l)|,\quad |\tau(k,n,m)|<\frac{2}{n}. \quad (2.46)$$

Thus, (2.42) is ensured by taking $C_1\geq\frac{3}{4\pi^2}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

For $(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r$, denote

$$\Omega_s(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r}):=j_1|j_1|^{2s}-j_2|j_2|^{2s}+\cdots+j_{2r-1}|j_{2r-1}|^{2s}-j_{2r}|j_{2r}|^{2s}. \quad (2.47)$$

Lemma 2.3. *For $(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r$ and $s\geq 1$, we have*

$$|\Omega_s(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})|\leq(2s+1)(2r)^{s+2}j_1^{*s}j_2^{*s}j_3^*. \quad (2.48)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $|j_1|\geq\cdots\geq|j_{2r-1}|$, $|j_2|\geq\cdots\geq|j_{2r}|$, $|j_1|\geq|j_2|$. If $j_2^*=|j_2|$ with $j_1j_2<0$, or $j_2^*=|j_3|$ with $j_1j_3>0$, then by $j_1-j_2+\cdots+j_{2r-1}-j_{2r}=0$ we have $j_1^*<2rj_3^*$ and thus (2.48); otherwise, $j_2^*=|j_2|$ with $j_1j_2>0$, or $j_2^*=|j_3|$ with $j_1j_3<0$, then it is sufficient to prove

$$j_1^{*2s+1}-j_2^{*2s+1}\leq(2s+1)(2r)^{s+1}j_1^{*s}j_2^{*s}j_3^*, \quad (2.49)$$

which is ensured by $j_1^*-j_2^*<2rj_3^*$ and $j_1^*<2rj_2^*$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For $2<s\leq 4$, by Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|q\|_s^2=\{\|q\|_s^2,R\}=\mathbf{i}\sum_{r\geq 3}\sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r}c(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\Omega_s(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}\cdots q_{j_{2r-1}}\bar{q}_{j_{2r}}. \quad (2.50)$$

Thus, by (1.11) and Lemma 2.3, $\frac{d}{dt}\|q\|_s^2$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{r\geq 3}(2s+1)(2r)^{s+2}C^r\sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_{2r})\in\mathscr{M}_r}j_1^{*s}j_2^{*s}(j_3^*\cdots j_{2r}^*)^{\frac{3}{2}}|q_{j_1}\bar{q}_{j_2}\cdots q_{j_{2r-1}}\bar{q}_{j_{2r}}|\\ &\leq C_2\sum_{r\geq 3}r^{s+2}C^r\|q\|_s^{2r}\\ &\leq C_3\|q\|_s^6 \quad (2.51)\end{aligned}$$

provided $\|q\|_s^2<\frac{1}{2C}$, where $C_2>0$, $C_3>0$ are constants depending on s. Consequently, (1.16) is deduced. In the same way, this corollary with $s>4$ follows from theorem 1.2. □

3 Appendix

For any $x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}$ with $x,z\neq y$, define

$$\mu(x,y,z)=\frac{1}{(x-y)(z-y)}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\tau(x,y,z)=\frac{x-y+z}{(x-y)(z-y)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $x_1,x_2,x_3,y_1,y_2,y_3\in\mathbb{R}$ with*

$$\{x_1,x_2,x_3\}\cap\{y_1,y_2,y_3\}=\emptyset, \tag{3.3}$$

$$x_1+x_2+x_3=y_1+y_2+y_3, \tag{3.4}$$

$$x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2=y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2, \tag{3.5}$$

we have the following two equalities

$$I:=\sum_{\{\alpha<\gamma\}\subset\{1,2,3\},\beta\in\{1,2,3\}}\mu(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)=0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$II:=\sum_{\{\alpha<\gamma\}\subset\{1,2,3\},\beta\in\{1,2,3\}}\tau(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Observe that for every $t\in\mathbb{R}$, under the translation $x_1+t,x_2+t,x_3+t,y_1+t,y_2+t,y_3+t$, the conditions (3.3)-(3.5) still hold true, and $\mu(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)$, $\tau(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)$ in the conclusions (3.6)(3.7) satisfy

$$\mu(x_\alpha+t,y_\beta+t,x_\gamma+t)=\mu(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\tau(x_\alpha+t,y_\beta+t,x_\gamma+t)=\tau(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)+t\mu(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma). \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, without loss of generality, we assume

$$x_1+x_2+x_3=y_1+y_2+y_3=0 \tag{3.10}$$

in the following. Denoting

$$N:=x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2=y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2, \tag{3.11}$$

then calculating directly, we have

$$x_1x_2+x_2x_3+x_3x_1=y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1=-\frac{N}{2}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$x_1^2x_2^2+x_2^2x_3^2+x_3^2x_1^2=y_1^2y_2^2+y_2^2y_3^2+y_3^2y_1^2=\frac{N^2}{4}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$x_1^4+x_2^4+x_3^4=y_1^4+y_2^4+y_3^4=\frac{N^2}{2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Denoting

$$X:=x_1x_2x_3,\quad Y:=y_1y_2y_3, \tag{3.15}$$

then calculating directly, we have

$$x_1^3+x_2^3+x_3^3=3X,\quad y_1^3+y_2^3+y_3^3=3Y, \tag{3.16}$$

$$x_1^3x_2^3+x_2^3x_3^3+x_3^3x_1^3=3X^2-\frac{N^3}{8},\quad y_1^3y_2^3+y_2^3y_3^3+y_3^3y_1^3=3Y^2-\frac{N^3}{8}. \tag{3.17}$$

For every $\beta\in\{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$(x_1-y_\beta)(x_2-y_\beta)(x_3-y_\beta)=X+\frac{N}{2}y_\beta-y_\beta^3, \tag{3.18}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\{\alpha<\gamma\}\subset\{1,2,3\}}\mu(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)\\ =\;&\frac{1}{(x_1-y_\beta)(x_2-y_\beta)}+\frac{1}{(x_1-y_\beta)(x_3-y_\beta)}+\frac{1}{(x_2-y_\beta)(x_3-y_\beta)}\\ =\;&\frac{-3y_\beta}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_\beta-y_\beta^3},\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\{\alpha<\gamma\}\subset\{1,2,3\}}\tau(x_\alpha,y_\beta,x_\gamma)\\ =\;&\frac{x_1+x_2-y_\beta}{(x_1-y_\beta)(x_2-y_\beta)}+\frac{x_1+x_3-y_\beta}{(x_1-y_\beta)(x_3-y_\beta)}+\frac{x_2+x_3-y_\beta}{(x_2-y_\beta)(x_3-y_\beta)}\\ =\;&\frac{3y_\beta^2-N}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_\beta-y_\beta^3}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Summing over $\beta\in\{1,2,3\}$, we get

$$I=\frac{-3y_1}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3}+\frac{-3y_2}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3}+\frac{-3y_3}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$II=\frac{3y_1^2-N}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3}+\frac{3y_2^2-N}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3}+\frac{3y_3^2-N}{X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3}. \tag{3.22}$$

Multiplying (3.21) by a common denominator, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(-\frac{1}{3}\prod_{\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2,3\}}(x_\alpha-y_\beta)\Big)I\;=\;&y_1(X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3)\\ +\;&y_2(X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3)\\ +\;&y_3(X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3),\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

in which the X^2 terms:

$$X^2(y_1+y_2+y_3)=0; \tag{3.24}$$

the X terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&XN(y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1)-X(y_1y_2^3+y_1^3y_2+y_1y_3^3+y_1^3y_3+y_2y_3^3+y_2^3y_3)\\ &=-\frac{1}{2}N^2X+\frac{1}{2}N^2X=0;\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

the other terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{3}{4}N^2y_1y_2y_3-Ny_1y_2y_3(y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2)+y_1y_2y_3(y_1^2y_2^2+y_2^2y_3^2+y_3^2y_1^2)\\&=\frac{3}{4}N^2Y-N^2Y+\frac{1}{4}N^2Y=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

This completes the proof of (3.6). Multiplying (3.22) by a common denominator, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(-\frac{1}{3}\prod_{\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2,3\}}(x_\alpha-y_\beta)\Big)II &= (\frac{N}{3}-y_1^2)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3)\\&+(\frac{N}{3}-y_2^2)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_3-y_3^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3)\\&+(\frac{N}{3}-y_3^2)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_1-y_1^3)(X+\frac{N}{2}y_2-y_2^3),\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

in which the X^2 terms:

$$X^2(N-y_1^2-y_2^2-y_3^2)=0;\tag{3.28}$$

the X terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{3}XN^2(y_1+y_2+y_3)-\frac{1}{6}XN(y_1^3+y_2^3+y_3^3)-X(y_1^2y_2^3+y_1^3y_2^2+y_1^2y_3^3+y_1^3y_3^2+y_2^2y_3^3+y_2^3y_3^2)\\&=0-\frac{1}{2}NXY+\frac{1}{2}NXY=0;\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

the other terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{12}N^3(y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1)\\&-\frac{1}{12}N^2\Big(2(y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1)(y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2)+y_1y_2y_3(y_1+y_2+y_3)\Big)\\&+\frac{1}{6}N\Big(3(y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1)(y_1^2y_2^2+y_2^2y_3^2+y_3^2y_1^2)-(y_1^3y_2^3+y_2^3y_3^3+y_3^3y_1^3)\Big)\\&-y_1^2y_2^2y_3^2(y_1y_2+y_2y_3+y_3y_1)\\&=-\frac{1}{24}N^4+\frac{1}{12}N^4-(\frac{1}{24}N^4+\frac{1}{2}NY^2)+\frac{1}{2}NY^2=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

This completes the proof of (3.7). □

Acknowledgement. The author is supported by NNSFC 11671280, NNSFC 11822108, Fok Ying Tong Education Foundation 161002.

References

[1] Bambusi D.: Birkhoff normal form for some nonlinear PDEs. Commun. Math. Phys. 234, 253-285 (2003)

[2] Bambusi D.: A Birkhoff normal form theorem for some semilinear PDEs. Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and Applications, Springer, 213-247 (2007)

[3] Bambusi D., Delort J. M., Grébert B., Szeftel J.: Almost global existence for Hamiltonian semilinear Klein-Gordon equations with small Cauchy data on Zoll manifolds. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 60, 1665-1690 (2007)

[4] Bambusi D., Grébert B.: Birkhoff normal form for partial differential equations with tame modulus. Duke Math. J. 135, 507-567 (2006)

[5] Bernier J., Faou E., Grébert B.: Rational normal forms and stability of small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations. arXiv: 1812.11414v1, 2018

[6] Bernier J., Grébert B.: Long time dynamics for generalized Korteweg-de Vries and Benjamin-Ono equations. arXiv: 2006.04397v1, 2020

[7] Berti M., Delort J. M.: Almost global solutions of capillary-gravity water waves equations on the circle. UMI Lecture Notes, 2018

[8] Bourgain J.: On diffusion in high-dimensional Hamiltonian systems and PDE. J. Anal. Math. 80, 1-35 (2000)

[9] Cong H., Liu J., Yuan X.: Stability of KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 239 (1134), 2016

[10] Delort J. M.: Quasi-linear perturbations of Hamiltonian Klein-Gordon equations on spheres. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 234 (1103), 2015

[11] Faou E., Grébert B.: A Nekhoroshev-type theorem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the torus. Anal. PDE 6,1243-1262 (2013)

[12] Gao M., Liu J.: Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic solutions for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. J. Differential Equations 267, 1322-1375 (2019)

[13] Grébert B., Imekraz R., Paturel E.: Normal forms for semilinear quantum harmonic oscillators. Commun. Math. Phys. 291, 763-798 (2009)

[14] Grébert B., Kappeler T.: The defocusing NLS equation and its normal form. EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2014

[15] Kappeler T., Pöschel J.: KdV&KAM. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2003

[16] Kaup D. J., Newell A. C.: An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. J. Math. Phys. 19, 798-801 (1978)

[17] Kuksin S. B., Pöschel J.: Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic oscillations for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Ann. Math. 143, 147-179 (1996)

[18] Liu J., Yuan X.: KAM for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary conditions. J. Differential Equations 256, 1627-1652 (2014)

[19] Mio K., Ogino T., Minami K., Takeda S.: Modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation for Alfvén waves propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasmas. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 41, 265-271 (1976)

[20] Mjølhus E.: On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field. J. Plasma Phys. 16, 321-334 (1976)

[21] Sulem C., Sulem P. L.: The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse. Appl. Math. Sci. 139, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999

[22] Yuan X., Zhang J.: Long time stability of Hamiltonian partial differential equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46, 3176-3222 (2014)