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#### Abstract

A condition which guaranties the exponential decay of the solutions of the initial-boundary value problem for the damped wave equation is proved. A method for the effective computability of the coefficient of exponential decay is also presented.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded and open subset of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $\Gamma$ its boundary. Consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+a u_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma \times[0, \infty)  \tag{1.2}\\
u(\mathbf{x}, 0)=u_{o}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { for } \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
u_{t}(\mathbf{x}, 0)=u_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { for } \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a$ is a positive constant, $u_{o}(\mathbf{x})$ and $u_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ are given functions satisfying the usual regularity and compatibility conditions implied in the assumption we make that $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a classical solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4). P.H. Rabinowitz has proven [1] that the "energy" i.e. $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{2} d x$ associated with the solution of (1.1)-(1.4) decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. A different proof of this fact, important in applications, has been given by R. Temam [2], 3] and by R Temam and J.M. Ghidaglia in 4]. In this paper we consider the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) with the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t) u_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times(0, \infty) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is supposed to be continuous and positive in $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$. In general there is no exponential decay, as the following example shows. Let $N=1, \Omega=(1,2)$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{2 t}{x^{2}-3 x+2}$. The solution is now given by $u(\mathbf{x}, t)=t\left(x^{2}-3 x+2\right)$ for which there is no exponential decay. We prove, with fully elementary means, and

[^0]without using the semigroup's theory, that a sufficient condition for having the exponential decay is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq \sigma_{1}<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\sigma_{0}=\inf \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\sigma_{1}=\sup \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$. We also give an effective way to compute the decay exponent in terms of the parameters $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the laplacian in $\Omega$ with zero boundary conditions.

## 2. EXPONENTIAL DECAY

Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be a regular solution of (1.5), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) with $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$ satisfying (1.6). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\mathbf{x}, t)=u_{t}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\epsilon u(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text { with } \quad 0<\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}=v_{t}-\epsilon v+\epsilon^{2} u \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.2) in (1.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}+(\sigma-\epsilon) v+\left(\epsilon^{2}-\epsilon \sigma\right) u-\Delta u=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us multiply (2.3) by $v$. We arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v_{t} v d x+\int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) v^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} v \Delta u d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon^{2}-\epsilon \sigma\right) u v d x=0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v=0$ on $\Gamma \times[0, \infty)$, integrating by parts in (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) v^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) u v d x=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1) $\nabla v=\nabla u_{t}+\epsilon \nabla u$, hence from (2.5),
6) $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) v^{2} d x+\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) u v d x=0$.

Recalling (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) v^{2} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(\sigma_{0}-\epsilon\right) v^{2} d x \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To control from below the last integral in (2.6) we estimate from above $\epsilon \int_{\Omega}(\sigma-$ $\epsilon) u v d x$. Let $\lambda_{1}>0$ be the first eigenvalue of the laplacian with zero boundary condition on $\Gamma$. Using the Cauchy-Schwartz and Poincar inequalities we obtain, with $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \int_{\Omega}(\sigma-\epsilon) u v d x \leq \frac{\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)}{2 \eta} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x+\frac{\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right) \eta}{2 \lambda_{1}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing sign in (2.8) and substituting in (2.6) we obtain
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x+\left[\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon\left(\epsilon-\sigma_{1}\right) \eta}{2 \lambda_{1}}\right] \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\left[\sigma_{0}-\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon\left(\epsilon-\sigma_{1}\right)}{2 \eta}\right] \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x \leq 0$.
Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon\left(\epsilon-\sigma_{1}\right) \eta}{2 \lambda_{1}}, \quad g\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)=\sigma_{0}-\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon\left(\epsilon-\sigma_{1}\right)}{2 \eta} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.9) becomes
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x+f\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+g\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x \leq 0$.
We wish to find couples $(\epsilon, \eta)$ satisfying the conditions $0<\epsilon<\sigma_{0}$ and $0<\eta$ such that for every choice of the parameters $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}, f\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $g\left(\epsilon, \eta, s_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ are positive and equal. Among these couples we must find the $\left(\epsilon^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ which gives to $f$ (and $g$ ) the greatest possible value. This will permits to apply to (2.11) the Gronwall's inequality. The exponential decay with the best decay exponent then follows easily. Let us consider in the plane $\epsilon, \eta,(\epsilon>0)$ the families of curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)-g\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon \lambda_{1}>0,(2.12)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(\epsilon-\sigma_{1}\right) \eta^{2}+2 \lambda_{1}\left(2 \epsilon-\sigma_{0}\right) \eta+\lambda_{1} \epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (2.13) with respect to $\eta$ we obtain two branches of solutions. The one of interest to us is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\sigma_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}}+\left(2 \epsilon-\sigma_{0}\right) \lambda_{1}}{\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (2.14) in $f\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ (or in $g\left(\epsilon, \eta, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\left(\sigma_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}}}{2 \lambda_{1}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a function of $\epsilon$ depending of the three parameters $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}$. We have
Lemma 2.1. Let $\sigma_{1}>\sigma_{0}>0$ and $\lambda_{1}>0$. If $\bar{\epsilon}$ is a solution of the equation $F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=0$, then $\bar{\epsilon}<\sigma_{0}$.
Proof. By contradiction, suppose $\bar{\epsilon}=\sigma_{0}+\gamma^{2}, \gamma \neq 0$ is a solution. We have
(2.17) $\sigma_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}=\left(-\sigma_{0}-2 \gamma^{2}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(\sigma_{0}+\gamma^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{0}-\gamma^{2}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}>\left(\sigma_{0}+2 \gamma^{2}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}>\sigma_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}$.

On the other hand, if $\bar{\epsilon}=\sigma_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{0} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{0}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}>\sigma_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every values of the parameters $\epsilon=0$ is a solution of $F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow \pm \infty} F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=-\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=\frac{2\left(\sigma_{0}-2 \epsilon\right) \lambda_{1}-\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)}{2 \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}}} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $F^{\prime}\left(0, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=1$ for every value of the parameters $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}$. Therefore, in a small interval $(0, \beta), \beta>0 F$ is positive for every value of the parameters. To study completely $F^{\prime}$ we make the following elementary discussion. The cubic expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \epsilon^{3}+3 \sigma_{1} \epsilon^{2}-\left(4 \lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{2}\right) \epsilon+2 \lambda_{1} \sigma_{0} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the same sign of $F^{\prime}\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, $D=3 \sigma_{1}^{2}-24 \lambda_{1}$ is the discriminant of the derivative of (2.20) Thus, if $D<0,(2.19)$ is always strictly decreasing. As a consequence, $F^{\prime}\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ vanishes in exactly one point $\bar{\epsilon}$ and $0<\bar{\epsilon}$. This implies that $F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$, which always vanishes for $\epsilon=0$ and is positive immediately to the right of $\epsilon=0$, has a positive absolute maximum in $\epsilon^{*}$ and vanishes in $\epsilon=\bar{\epsilon}>\bar{\epsilon}^{*}$. If $D=0$ a bifurcation occurs, and, when $D>0$, $F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ has two relative maxima's and one relative minimum. The maximum immediately to the right of $\epsilon=0$ is certainly positive, the second maximum may or may not be positive. Let $\epsilon^{*}$ be the point of absolute maximum of $F\left(\epsilon, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*}=F\left(\epsilon^{*}, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{*}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\sigma_{0}-2 \epsilon^{*}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\epsilon^{* 2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\epsilon^{*}\right)^{2} \lambda_{1}}+\left(2 \epsilon^{*}-\sigma_{0}\right) \lambda_{1}}{\epsilon^{*}\left(s_{1}-\epsilon^{*}\right)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this choice of $\epsilon$ and $\eta$ we have $f\left(\epsilon^{*}, \eta^{*}, \sigma_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)=g\left(\epsilon^{*}, \eta^{*}, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right)=\alpha^{*}>0$. Therefore, (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x+\alpha^{*} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}\right) d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, 0)|^{2}+|v(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{2}\right) d x e^{-2 \alpha^{*} t} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of (2.24) can be computed in terms of the initial and boundary data satisfied by $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$. For, from (1.3) we obtain $\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, 0)=\nabla u_{0}(\mathbf{x})$. Moreover, since $v(\mathbf{x}, t)=u_{t}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\epsilon^{*} u(\mathbf{x}, t)$, we have $v(\mathbf{x}, 0)=u_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\epsilon^{*} u_{0}(\mathbf{x})$. Hence

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}(\mathbf{x})\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\epsilon^{*} u_{0}(\mathbf{x})\right|^{2} d x e^{-2 \alpha^{*} t}
$$

This proves the exponential decay.
Remark. For the regular solutions of the non-linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+m(u) u_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times(0, \infty) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the exponential decay can be obtained, with minor changes, if we keep the initial and boundary conditions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) and assume $m \in C^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}\right)$ and $0<$ $m_{0} \leq m(u) \leq m_{1}$. To see that, we simply define $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)=m(u(\mathbf{x}, t))$ where $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the regular solution of the non linear problem (2.25), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4)
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