

Koopman resolvent: A Laplace-domain analysis of nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems *

Yoshihiko Susuki[†] Alexandre Mauroy[‡] Igor Mezić[§]

Abstract

The motivation of our research is to establish a Laplace-domain theory that provides principles and methodology to analyze and synthesize systems with nonlinear dynamics. A semigroup of composition operators defined for nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems—the Koopman semigroup and its associated Koopman generator—plays a central role in this study. We introduce the resolvent of the Koopman generator, which we call the Koopman resolvent, and provide its spectral characterization for three types of nonlinear dynamics: ergodic evolution on an attractor, convergence to a stable equilibrium point, and convergence to a (quasi-)stable limit cycle. This shows that the Koopman resolvent provides the Laplace-domain representation of such nonlinear autonomous dynamics. A computational aspect of the Laplace-domain representation is also discussed with emphasis on non-stationary Koopman modes.

1 Introduction

Over the last decade, the Koopman operator framework has attracted attention in theory and practice of nonlinear dynamical systems. It is however backed by a long tradition. Bernard Koopman [1] proposed the use of linear operators on Hilbert spaces to study (nonlinear) Hamiltonian systems through the *Koopman operator* and its spectrum. The Koopman operator is a composition operator based on a (possibly nonlinear) transformation [2]. Spectral analysis of the Koopman operator was traditionally conducted in ergodic theory of dynamical systems [3, 4, 2] and has been more recently exploited to deal with various applications in science and engineering: see, e.g., [5, 6]. It can capture the full information of the underlying nonlinear systems within a linear (but infinite-dimensional) setting and therefore mirrors the classical approach to linear systems. In particular, this approach allows to use spectral analysis for solving a nonlinear problem without lacking any information, in contrast to standard linearization techniques in state space.

In this paper, we focus on the use of spectral analysis of the Koopman operator to explore the Laplace-domain representation of nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems. The Laplace domain is a classical approach to analysis and synthesis of linear autonomous systems: see,

*The work was partially supported by JST, PRESTO Grant No. JPMJPR1926 (Y.S.), and the ARO-MURI grant W911NF-14-1-0359 (I.M.).

[†]Corresponding author; E-mail to: susuki@eis.osakafu-u.ac.jp, susuki@ieee.org; Department of Electrical and Information Systems, Osaka Prefecture University, Japan, and JST, PRESTO, Japan.

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Namur Center for Complex Systems (naXys), University of Namur, Belgium.

[§]Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, United States.

Table 1: Laplace-domain representation of nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems studied in this paper

	Linear	Nonlinear
State-space representation with scalar-valued output	$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ $y = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$	$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ $y = f(\mathbf{x})$
Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x})$ of output $\{y(t)\}_{t=0}^\infty$ with domain in s , parameterized by initial state \mathbf{x}	$\mathbf{c}^\top (s\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$	$[R(s; L)f](\mathbf{x})$ $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(L)$ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

e.g., [7, 8, 9]. This approach provides a systematic method to characterize the systems through linear algebra and complex analysis techniques, and has been historically exploited in systems theory and signal processing. If a similar approach is established for nonlinear systems, it will provide principles and methodology to analyze (and hopefully synthesize) the systems in a systematic way.

A real-world problem that motivates the nonlinear generalization of Laplace-domain theory is for example the engineering of complex power grids. Traditional Laplace-domain theory has been used for assessment and synthesis of power grid dynamic performance such as frequency stability and stabilization: see, e.g. [10, 11]. This is successful for linear regime of power grid dynamics, that is, dynamics evolving in time in a small region close to a normal operating condition of a grid, which corresponds to an asymptotically stable equilibrium point of the associated dynamical model. However, this approach is not valid for dynamics evolving apart from the small region, which is referred to as the large-signal or transient stability problem and has been crucial to the occurrence of wide-spread disturbance [12, 13]. In this context, the systematic assessment and synthesis of power grid dynamic performance via the nonlinear generalization of Laplace-domain theory (naturally extended from the traditional linear one) would be desirable for practical use.

Our main idea on the Laplace-domain representation is summarized in Table 1, whose details will be explained throughout the paper. When we focus on a nonlinear autonomous dynamical system with scalar-valued output, the Laplace transform of the output is represented by the so-called *resolvent* (operator) $R(s; L)$ of the Koopman generator L defined from the semigroup of Koopman operators, which we term as the *Koopman resolvent*. This shows that the Laplace transform of the nonlinear output is clearly characterized with the system properties through the Koopman semigroup L . For systems with point and (quasi-)periodic attractors, formulae for spectral expansion of the Koopman semigroup L are reported in [14, 15, 16]. In this paper, we define the notion of Koopman resolvent $R(s; L)$, and building on these previous results, we provide expansion formulae of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L)$ for the systems with: (i) dynamics on a (compact) ergodic (possibly aperiodic) attractor, and dynamics evolving to (ii) a stable equilibrium point and (iii) a limit cycle. The expansion formulae do not rely on any linearization in state space and thus provide the Laplace transform of the nonlinear output, which is clearly an advantage against classical linearization in a neighborhood of the attractor. To the best of our knowledge, we propose the first study of the Koopman resolvent and its expansion formulae. The formulae could be used for structural analysis of the systems such as location of modes (poles), which mirror the classical approach

to linear autonomous systems. In addition, we discuss a computational aspect of the Laplace-domain representation with emphasis on non-stationary parts of Koopman eigenvalues and Koopman modes [17].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a brief introduction to the Koopman operator for nonlinear autonomous systems. In Section 3 we introduce the Koopman resolvent as the key notion in this paper. In Section 4 we state the main contribution of this paper and provide the expansion formulae of the Koopman resolvent for the systems with three types of nonlinear dynamics. A computational aspect of the obtained Laplace transforms for the nonlinear dynamics is discussed in Section 5. Concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

Notation—All vectors are viewed as columns. We denote the sets of all natural numbers, of integers, and of non-negative integers by \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , and \mathbb{N}_0 , respectively. The sets of all real numbers and of all complex numbers are also denoted by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . The spaces of square-summable functions, of analytic functions, and of functions with k continuous derivatives are denoted by \mathcal{L}^2 , \mathcal{C}^ω , and \mathcal{C}^k . For a vector \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{x}^\top stands for its transpose. The imaginary unit is denoted by $i := \sqrt{-1}$.

2 The Koopman Operator and its Generator

Throughout this paper, we consider a continuous-time, autonomous dynamical system described by the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{x} is the state of the system, and $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nonlinear vector-valued function. Provided that \mathbf{F} is Lipschitz continuous, the solution

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{S}^t(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

associated with the initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 exists and is unique. The one-parameter family of maps $\mathbf{S}^t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$ is the *flow* generated by (1). The system is usually described through its positive semi-orbit for the state, $\{\mathbf{S}^t(\mathbf{x}_0)\}_{t=0}^\infty$. Alternatively, one can focus on an output function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, called *observable*, and its positive semi-orbit $\{f(\mathbf{S}^t(\mathbf{x}_0))\}_{t=0}^\infty$. The evolution of all observables is represented by a one-parameter family of *Koopman operators* for (1).

Definition 1. Consider a (Banach) space \mathcal{F} of observables $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The family of Koopman operators $U^t : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ associated with the family of maps $\mathbf{S}^t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$ is defined through the composition

$$U^t f = f \circ \mathbf{S}^t \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

From the above argument, $\{\mathbf{S}^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is a semigroup, which implies that $\{U^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is a semigroup of Koopman operators, called *the Koopman semigroup*. It is important to note that the Koopman semigroup is *linear* in the sense that each U^t is linear. This allows to investigate the dynamics described by the nonlinear system (1) through a linear semigroup. Note that due to existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1), $\{U^t\}_{t=-\infty}^\infty$ is equipped with the *group* property. In the following we will keep the semigroup terminology to refer to semigroup theory of linear evolution equations [18, 19].

If the semigroup $\{\mathbf{S}^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is continuously differentiable, then the Koopman semigroup $\{U^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is strongly continuous in well-chosen spaces of observables (e.g. $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$), that is

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|U^t f - f\| = 0 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm defined in \mathcal{F} . For a strongly continuous semigroup $\{U^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$, the limit

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{U^t f - f}{t} =: Lf \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}$$

exists in the strong sense, where the domain \mathcal{D} is a dense set in \mathcal{F} . This defines the infinitesimal generator $L : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of the Koopman semigroup, which is called the *Koopman generator* and determines the time derivative of observables along the flow. The Koopman generator L associated with (1) is given by

$$(Lf)(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})^\top \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$$

where ∇ stands for the gradient operator in \mathbb{R}^n . This explicitly connects the Koopman operator framework to the underlying system.

3 Resolvent of the Koopman Generator

Consider the spectrum of the Koopman generator L for the nonlinear system (1). The generator L is generally not bounded (and therefore not a continuous operator). The *spectrum* of a linear operator acting on a Banach space is defined (see, e.g., [19, 18]) as follows.

Definition 2. The spectrum of the Koopman generator $L : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the set of complex values λ such that the operator $\lambda I - L$ does not have a bounded inverse, where I is the identity operator.

The spectrum of L is denoted by $\sigma(L)$, and its complement in \mathbb{C} , called the *resolvent set*, is denoted by $r(L) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(L)$. For $s \in r(L)$, the bounded inverse $(sI - L)^{-1}$ exists by definition. The *resolvent* of a linear operator is stated as follows.

Definition 3. Suppose that $r(L)$ is not empty. The resolvent (operator) of the Koopman generator $L : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, which we call the Koopman resolvent, is defined as

$$R(s; L) := (sI - L)^{-1} \quad \forall s \in r(L). \quad (2)$$

The spectrum $\sigma(L)$ is decomposed into the three disjoint sets: point, continuous, and residual spectra. Regarding the point spectrum, a *Koopman eigenvalue* of L is a complex number λ such that there exists a non-zero $\phi \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{F}$, called a *Koopman eigenfunction*, such that $L\phi = \lambda\phi$. If the cardinality of Koopman eigenvalues is countably infinite (see [5, 6]), we use the integer subscript j to represent a pair of Koopman eigenvalue and Koopman eigenfunction:

$$L\phi_j = \lambda_j\phi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and equivalently, from the spectral mapping theorem [18],

$$U^t\phi_j = e^{\lambda_j t}\phi_j \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

A value λ is in the continuous spectrum if $\lambda I - L$ has a discontinuous inverse with domain dense in \mathcal{F} . The residual spectrum does not appear in this paper and is not considered below.

The key result used in this paper is from [18] and allows to connect the Koopman semi-group $\{U^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$, the Koopman generator L , and the associated Koopman resolvent $R(s; L)$. In Proposition 5.5 of [18] for the strongly continuous $\{U^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ there exist constants $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\|U^t\| \leq M e^{wt} \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

where $\|U^t\|$ is the operator norm. Then, the following *integral representation* of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L)$ holds (see Theorem 1.10 of [18]):

$$R(s; L)f = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} U^t f \, dt \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D} \quad (3)$$

where the unilateral *Laplace transform* on the right-hand side exists if $\operatorname{Re}[s] > w$. Note that for $s \notin r(L)$ a formula similar to (3) allows to define Koopman eigenfunctions, which is called the generalized Laplace transform in [14]. Here, consider the orbit $\{f(\mathbf{S}^t(\mathbf{x}_0))\}_{t=0}^\infty$ with initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 of the nonlinear system (1). The orbit is regarded as a scalar-valued signal of output or measurement from (1) such as $y(t) = f(\mathbf{S}^t(\mathbf{x}_0)) = (U^t f)(\mathbf{x}_0)$, $t \geq 0$. The unilateral Laplace transform of the output $\{y(t)\}_{t=0}^\infty$, denoted as $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$, is derived from (3) as

$$Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} y(t) \, dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} (U^t f)(\mathbf{x}_0) \, dt = [R(s; L)f](\mathbf{x}_0). \quad (4)$$

This implies that the Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the output is determined by the action of the resolvent $R(s; L)$ onto f , which is a generalization of the classical approach to linear systems as shown in Table 1.

Remark 4. The above derivation provides a clear connection between the Koopman and Fourier spectra in terms of nonlinear signal analysis. From (4), the original causal signal $y(t)$ is represented via inverse Laplace transform as

$$y(t) = \int_{\text{Br.}} e^{st} [R(s; L)f](\mathbf{x}_0) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

where Br. denotes the Bromwich path of integral on the complex plane. This path is chosen so that all spectra of the Koopman generator L are to the left of it. Here, by assuming that $y(t)$ is Lebesgue-measurable on the real line \mathbb{R} , it is represented via inverse Fourier transformation as

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \underbrace{\left[\int_0^\infty e^{i\omega\tau} y(\tau) \, d\tau \right]}_{Y(i\omega; \mathbf{x}_0)} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} [R(i\omega; L)f](\mathbf{x}_0) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

It turns out that the Fourier spectrum of $y(t)$ corresponds to the value of $[R(i\omega; L)f](\mathbf{x}_0)$ along the imaginary axis $i\mathbb{R}$. The Fourier transform can capture the spectral properties of L for the unitary case because the spectrum has zero real-part: see Section 4.1 below. However, the Fourier transformation does not necessarily work for the non-unitary case. One can consider the simple example $\dot{x} = -\mu x$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$), where the associated Koopman generator $L = (-\mu x)\partial/\partial x$ is not unitary in the space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R})$. Then, the Fourier transform fails to capture the Koopman eigenvalue $\lambda = -\mu$ and is continuous in ω . In contrast, the Laplace transform is capable of capturing the spectral properties of L even in the non-unitary case.

4 Expansion Formulae of the Koopman Resolvent

In this section, we provide formulae of spectral expansions of the Koopman resolvent for three types of nonlinear dynamics described by (1).

4.1 On-Attractor Evolution

First, we consider the case where the system (1) possesses a compact attractor \mathcal{A} on which the dynamics is ergodic. In an ergodic attractor, almost all points are accessible in the sense that the initial conditions in this attractor sample the set. Examples of ergodic attractors include stable limit cycles and quasi-periodic cycles (invariant tori). By focusing on the asymptotic dynamics on \mathcal{A} , the Koopman semigroup restricted to \mathcal{A} , denoted by $U_{\mathcal{A}}^t$ (with its generator $L_{\mathcal{A}}$), is *unitary* in the space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$, see [2]. This kind of restriction of U^t and L is used for global stability analysis of nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems [20]. Note that it is well-known that the semigroup $U_{\mathcal{A}}^t$ is strongly continuous. This follows from the fact that the semigroup is bounded and strongly continuous on the dense subspace of continuous functions on \mathcal{A} (see Proposition I.5.3(c) of [18]). Then, the complete spectral expansion of $U_{\mathcal{A}}^t$ is presented as follows:

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_j t} P_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi\alpha t} dE(\alpha) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

where E is a complex, continuous, operator-valued spectral measure on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$, which in the second term on the right-hand side represents the contribution of the continuous spectrum of $L_{\mathcal{A}}$. See [14] for the case of Koopman group.¹ The infinite sum is convergent according to the spectral theorem for a semigroup of unitary operators [21]. The first term of the right-hand side in (7) represents the contribution of the point spectrum of $L_{\mathcal{A}}$, where P_j is the orthogonal projection operator onto the eigenspace of $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ associated with the Koopman eigenfunction ϕ_j : for $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$,

$$P_j f = \phi_j V_j, \quad V_j := \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} \quad (8)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2}$ stands for the inner product defined in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$, and V_j is coined in [17] as the *Koopman mode*. In this case, due to the unitary property, all eigenvalues λ are purely imaginary.

Now, we derive the expansion formula of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})$ for the on-attractor evolution.

Theorem 5. Consider the system (1) with a compact ergodic attractor \mathcal{A} and asymptotic dynamics on it. For the associated Koopman generator $L_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$, the following spectral expansion of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})$ holds:

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_j}{s - \lambda_j} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE(\alpha)}{s - i2\pi\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

The Region-Of-Convergence (ROC) of $R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})$ corresponds to $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}[s] > 0\}$.

¹In [14], the upper limit on the first term is k , that can be finite or infinite and the integral term has a typo in limits, where integral between 0 and 1, as in the discrete time case, is replaced by integral between $-\infty$ and ∞ .

Proof. Applying (3) to (7), we obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})f = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} U_{\mathcal{A}}^t f dt = \int_0^\infty \left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{(\lambda_j - s)t} P_j f + \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(i2\pi\alpha - s)t} dE(\alpha) f \right] dt$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$. We have that, for some finite N ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{(\lambda_j - s)t} P_j f \right\| \leq e^{-\operatorname{Re}[s]t} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{\lambda_j t} P_j f \right\| = e^{-\operatorname{Re}[s]t} \|U_{\mathcal{A}}^t f\| = e^{-\operatorname{Re}[s]t} \|f\|$$

where we used the facts that P_j are orthogonal projections and that $U_{\mathcal{A}}^t$ is unitary. Since $e^{-\operatorname{Re}[s]t}$ is integrable in $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the finite sum $\sum_{j=1}^N e^{(\lambda_j - s)t} P_j f$ is convergent as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if $\operatorname{Re}[s] > 0$, we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (see, e.g., [22]) and obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{(\lambda_j - s)t} P_j f dt + \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{(i2\pi\alpha - s)t} dt dE(\alpha) f$$

so that the result follows. \square

The first term on the right-hand side in (9) stems from the point spectrum of $L_{\mathcal{A}}$, and the second term from its continuous spectrum.

For the on-attractor evolution, the unilateral Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the output $\{y(t) = f(\mathbf{x}(t))\}_{t=0}^\infty$ with initial condition $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ is derived from (4), (8), and (9) as

$$Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0) = [R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})f](\mathbf{x}_0) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0) V_j}{s - \lambda_j}}_{Y_p(s)} + \underbrace{\left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dE(\alpha)}{s - i2\pi\alpha} \right] f(\mathbf{x}_0)}_{Y_c(s)}. \quad (10)$$

The function $Y_p(s)$ is associated with the almost periodic part of the evolution, while $Y_c(s)$ is associated with its aperiodic part. For mixing systems, there is no Koopman eigenfunction except a trivial constant function associated with eigenvalue 0, in which case the dynamics of the system are fully captured by $Y_c(s)$. By the above construction, $Y_p(s)$ is proved to be convergent and is meromorphic in the ROC (an open set) so that it can be written in the form $Y_p(s) = N_p(s)/D_p(s)$, where $N_p(s)$ and $D_p(s)$ are holomorphic in the ROC. The set of all poles in $Y_p(s)$ (namely, all zeros of $D_p(s)$) is included in the point spectrum of the Koopman generator $L_{\mathcal{A}}$. The residues of $Y_p(s)$ are the products of the values $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0)$ of the Koopman eigenfunctions at \mathbf{x}_0 with the Koopman modes V_j , which corresponds to the projection value $P_j f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ (see (8)).

The characterization of $Y_p(s)$, which stems from the point spectrum of $L_{\mathcal{A}}$, mirrors the classic characterization of linear autonomous systems via the eigenvalues of the system matrix \mathbf{A} in Table 1.² The transform (10) suggests that the characterization of dynamics in Laplace domain is possible for the nonlinear system (1), even for a deterministic nonlinear system with aperiodic evolution.

²It might be better to paraphrase that $Y_p(s)$ is analogue to the Laplace transform of the impulse response of a linear time-invariant system with single input and single output.

Remark 6. In the case of isolated eigenvalues, the connection between the residues of $Y_p(s)$ and the eigenfunctions can also be obtained from Cauchy integral's formula. If λ_j is isolated, the spectral projection is given by

$$(P_j f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \oint_{\gamma_j} [R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})f](\mathbf{x}_0) \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

where γ_j is a small closed curve around λ_j , and is equal to the residue of $[R(s; L_{\mathcal{A}})f](\mathbf{x}_0) = Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ at $s = \lambda_j$. In regard to (4), this could shed some light on the use of time averages to compute the spectral projection $P_j f$. Similarly, if the spectrum consists of isolated points, (5) can be expressed in terms of the residues of $[R(s; L)f](\mathbf{x}_0)$, which directly leads to the spectral expansion of $y(t) = (U^t)f(\mathbf{x}_0)$.

However, the residue theorem is typically derived for a finite or countable number of isolated poles. This is not always true in Koopman operator case (it can be true on discrete state spaces, where Koopman operator is a matrix: see [23, 24]). Spectral expansions in this section have been obtained using specific nature of the dynamics in [16], and thus (5) is related to spectral expansions in this more general setting. While the residue theorem is valid for functions that are holomorphic outside of the finite set of poles, the equivalence of (5) and spectral expansion is valid for functions in spaces defined in [16]: see Theorem 6.4 in Section 6. For instance, suppose we have a quasi-periodic torus as an attractor, with incommensurate basic frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 . Then, $k_1\omega_1 + k_2\omega_2$ can get arbitrarily close to zero for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore 0 is not an isolated singularity. However, as shown in [16], the spectral expansion holds under KAM conditions for ω_1, ω_2 . This somehow provides a connection of residue theorem to dynamical systems theory.

4.2 Off-Attractor Evolution to Stable Equilibrium Point

4.2.1 Linear System

We start the discussion with a linear stable system described by

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a constant matrix and assumed to have distinct n eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ sorted according to

$$\operatorname{Re}[\lambda_{j+1}] \leq \operatorname{Re}[\lambda_j] \leq \operatorname{Re}[\lambda_1] < 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

For this system, the principal Koopman eigenfunctions $\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$ associated with the Koopman eigenvalues λ_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$ are explicitly constructed as (see [17] and [16] for generalized eigenfunction case with repeat eigenvalues)

$$\phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}$$

where \mathbf{v}_k are the right-eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{w}_j are the left eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} (that is, $\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{A} = \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j^\top$), normalized so that $\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{v}_k = \delta_{jk}$ (Kronecker's delta). Thus, since for any \mathbf{x} , we have

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}_j,$$

the time evolution of a linear observable $y(t) = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}(t)$ (where $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$) along the trajectory of (11) starting from $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ is represented through the Koopman operator U^t by

$$y(t) = (U^t f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\lambda_j t} \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0) \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{v}_j \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

where $\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{v}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) coincide with the Koopman modes V_j . Here, by applying the unilateral Laplace transform, it is possible to derive the Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the linear output $y(t)$ as

$$Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0) V_j}{s - \lambda_j}.$$

This clearly shows that the poles of the Laplace transform are the principal Koopman eigenvalues of the stable linear system. Moreover, the residues correspond to the product of the Koopman modes V_j with the values of the Koopman eigenfunctions at \mathbf{x}_0 . This observation will be generalized into the nonlinear system below.

4.2.2 Nonlinear System

Next, we consider the nonlinear system (1) possessing a stable equilibrium point \mathbf{x}^* with a basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$. It is shown in [25] that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is an open, invariant set which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Following [15], we assume that \mathbf{F} is analytic ($\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and that the Jacobian matrix computed at \mathbf{x}^* has n distinct (non-resonant) eigenvalues λ_j , characterized by strictly negative real parts and sorted according to

$$\operatorname{Re}[\lambda_{j+1}] \leq \operatorname{Re}[\lambda_j] \leq \operatorname{Re}[\lambda_1] < 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (12)$$

Now, denote the Koopman semigroup restricted to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ by $\{U_{\mathcal{B}}^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ (and generator $L_{\mathcal{B}}$). It is shown that the eigenvalues λ_j are also the eigenvalues of $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$ and that the associated eigenfunctions ϕ_j are smooth and analytic in some neighborhood of the equilibrium (see e.g. [15]). Moreover, using the Koopman eigenfunctions, we can define the conjugacy

$$\mathbf{h} : \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = (\phi_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\mathbf{x}))^\top$$

such that $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{S}^t = e^{\mathbf{D}t} \circ \mathbf{h}$ where $\mathbf{D} := \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a diagonal matrix (see, e.g., [26, 27]).

Following [16], we consider the modulated Segal-Bargmann space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$ of functions of the form $f = g \circ \mathbf{h}$, where $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic (entire) function, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|g \circ \mathbf{h}\| = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |g(\mathbf{h})|^2 e^{-\|\mathbf{h}\|^2} d\mathbf{h} < \infty.$$

Note that one could alternatively consider a (modulated) Hardy space to take into account functions that are analytic only in some neighborhood of the equilibrium [27]. Consider an analytic observable $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$ which is characterized by the expansion

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\phi_1(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_1} \dots \{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_n} V_{k_1 \dots k_n}$$

where the constant $V_{k_1 \dots k_n}$ is again the Koopman mode, so that

$$(P_{k_1 \dots k_n} f)(\mathbf{x}) = \{\phi_1(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_1} \dots \{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_n} V_{k_1 \dots k_n} \quad (13)$$

with the (spectral) projection operator $P_{k_1 \dots k_n}$ similar to (8). It can be shown that monomials in \mathbf{h} , i.e. $\{\phi_1(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_1} \dots \{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_n} / \sqrt{k_1! \dots k_n!}$, form an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$, so that

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} |V_{k_1 \dots k_n}|^2 k_1! \dots k_n!. \quad (14)$$

The spectral expansion of $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$ is given as follows:

$$(U_{\mathcal{B}}^t f)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\phi_1(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_1} \dots \{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}^{k_n} V_{k_1 \dots k_n} e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n)t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

It is clear that the series is strongly convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$ since $\text{Re}[\lambda_j] < 0$ for all j . The series is also point-wise convergent due to the analyticity properties of the eigenfunctions and f [15]. Moreover, $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$ is a Riesz spectral operator, so that the Koopman semigroup $\{U_{\mathcal{B}}^t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous (Theorem 2.3.5 in [28]).

The expansion formula of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 7. Consider the system (1) with a stable equilibrium point \mathbf{x}^* and dynamics converging to it. For the associated Koopman generator $L_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$, the following spectral expansion of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ holds:

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}}) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{P_{k_1 \dots k_n}}{s - (k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n)}. \quad (16)$$

Also, the ROC of $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ corresponds to $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}[s] > 0\}$.

Proof. Applying (3) and (13) to (15), we obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0} e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n - s)t} P_{k_1 \dots k_n} f dt$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}$. We have that, for some finite N ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_n \leq N}} e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n - s)t} P_{k_1 \dots k_n} f \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_n \leq N}} e^{2\text{Re}[k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n - s]t} \times \\ &\quad \times |V_{k_1 \dots k_n}|^2 k_1! \dots k_n! \\ &\leq e^{-2\text{Re}[s]t} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} |V_{k_1 \dots k_n}|^2 k_1! \dots k_n! \\ &= e^{-2\text{Re}[s]t} \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (14) and the fact that $\text{Re}[\lambda_j] < 0$. Since $e^{-\text{Re}[s]t}$ is integrable in $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the finite sum $\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n \leq N} e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n - s)t} P_{k_1 \dots k_n} f$ is convergent as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if $\text{Re}[s] > 0$, we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n - s)t} P_{k_1 \dots k_n} f dt$$

so that the result follows. \square

Remark 8. For an analytic observable f such that $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, the unilateral Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the output $\{y(t) = f(\mathbf{x}(t))\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ with initial condition $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}^*\}$ is derived from (4), (13), and (16) as

$$Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0) = [R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f](\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0) V_{0 \dots (k_j=1) \dots 0}}{s - \lambda_j} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_n > 1}} \frac{\{\phi_1(\mathbf{x}_0)\}^{k_1} \dots \{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\}^{k_n} V_{k_1 \dots k_n}}{s - (k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n)}. \quad (17)$$

The ROC becomes $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}[s] > \text{Re}[\lambda_1]\}$ from the order (12) of eigenvalues. The first term on the right-hand side of (17) is related to the linearized system of (1) around \mathbf{x}^* , but the coefficients $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_0) V_{0 \dots (k_j=1) \dots 0}$ depend on the initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 that is located in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$, but possibly far from \mathbf{x}^* . The second term does not appear in the linearized system and accounts for the non-stationary (wandering) dynamics due to the nonlinearity in (1). The Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ is globally valid inside the basin $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ of the nonlinear dynamical system (1), which cannot be achieved through linearization around \mathbf{x}^* in the state space.

Remark 9. For systems with an unstable equilibrium (e.g. hyperbolic saddle and source), an issue arises since the Laplace transform derived from the Koopman resolvent is not characterized by a proper region of convergence. For example, in the simple case $\dot{x} = \mu x$ ($\mu > 0$), the Koopman eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_n = n\mu$ for any large positive integer n , so that the Laplace transform does not possess a region of convergence in the complex right half plane. This issue could be tackled by selecting appropriate function spaces where the spectral expansion is related to convergent series expansion of the flow (e.g., Laurent series).

4.3 Off-Attractor Evolution to Stable Limit Cycle

Lastly, we consider the case of a system (1) possessing a stable limit cycle \mathcal{A} with angular frequency $\Omega (> 0)$ and basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$. The topological property of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ is the same as in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ (see [25]). It can be shown that the dynamics in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ can be transformed to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{A}(\theta) \mathbf{y} \\ \dot{\theta} = \Omega \end{cases}$$

with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, and $A(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ is 2π -periodic [26]. Let the eigenvalues $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$ of the Floquet stability matrix \mathbf{B} be distinct, strictly positive, and sorted so that

$$|\rho_{j+1}| \leq |\rho_j| \leq |\rho_1| < 1, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-2. \quad (18)$$

These values are the characteristic multipliers of the limit cycle, and any ν_j such that $\rho_j = e^{2\pi\nu_j/\Omega}$ is called a characteristic exponent.

It is shown in [16] that the characteristic exponents are also the eigenvalues of $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are the components of $\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{y}, \theta) = (z_1(\mathbf{y}, \theta), \dots, z_{n-1}(\mathbf{y}, \theta))^{\top}$, with

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{y}, \theta) = \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{y}$$

and where $\mathbf{P}(\theta)$ is the Floquet matrix and \mathbf{V} is the diagonalizing matrix for \mathbf{B} . Note that

$$\phi_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}(\mathbf{x}) = z_1^{k_1}(\mathbf{y}, \theta) \dots z_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}(\mathbf{y}, \theta) e^{im\theta}$$

is a Koopman eigenfunction associated with the Koopman eigenvalue $k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}\nu_{n-1} + im\Omega$.

Following [16] and [27], we consider the space \mathcal{S} of functions that are analytic (entire) in $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and \mathcal{L}^2 in $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\mathbf{z}, \theta)|^2 e^{-\|\mathbf{z}\|^2} d\mathbf{z} d\theta \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

The space \mathcal{S} is called in [16] the Averaging Kernel Hilbert Space. The norm can be rewritten as

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} |V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}|^2 k_1! \dots k_{n-1}!$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ that admits its expansion as follows:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \phi_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}(\mathbf{x}) V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}.$$

The constant $V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}$ is again the Koopman mode and related to the (generally non-orthogonal) projection operator $P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}$, so that

$$(P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} f)(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}(\mathbf{x}) V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} \quad (19)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. The spectral expansion of $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is given in [16] by

$$\begin{aligned} (U_{\mathcal{B}}^t f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \phi_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}(\mathbf{x}) V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} \times \\ &\quad \times e^{\{(k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}\nu_{n-1}) + im\Omega\}t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is clear that (20) is strongly convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ since $\text{Re}[\nu_j] < 0$ for all j . Moreover, the operator $U_{\mathcal{B}}^t$ is Riesz-spectral so that the Koopman semigroup $\{U_{\mathcal{B}}^t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is strongly continuous.

The expansion formula of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 10. Consider the system (1) with a stable limit cycle \mathcal{A} and dynamics converging to it. For the associated Koopman generator $L_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, the following spectral expansion of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ holds:

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}}) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} \frac{1}{s - \{(k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}\nu_{n-1}) + im\Omega\}}. \quad (21)$$

Also, the ROC of $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ corresponds to $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}[s] > 0\}$.

Proof. Applying (3) and (19) to (20), we obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} e^{\{(k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}\nu_{n-1}) + im\Omega - s\}t} P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} f dt$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. We have that, for some finite N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} e^{\{(k_1 \nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} \nu_{n-1}) + im\Omega - s\}t} P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} f \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} \leq N \\ |m| \leq M}} e^{2\text{Re}[k_1 \nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} \nu_{n-1} - s]t} |V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}|^2 k_1! \dots k_{n-1}! \\
& \leq e^{-2\text{Re}[s]} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} |V_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m}|^2 k_1! \dots k_{n-1}! = e^{-2\text{Re}[s]} \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{-\text{Re}[s]t}$ is integrable in $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the finite sum is convergent if $\text{Re}[s] > 0$, we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and obtain

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \int_0^\infty e^{\{(k_1 \nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} \nu_{n-1}) + im\Omega - s\}t} P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}, m} f dt$$

so that the result follows. \square

Remark 11. For illustration purpose, let us consider the planar case with $n = 2$. The single characteristic multiplier $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and the associated exponent $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ quantify the non-stationary (wandering) dynamics transversal to the limit cycle \mathcal{A} in the state plane. The unilateral Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the output $\{y(t) = f(\mathbf{x}(t))\}_{t=0}^\infty$ with initial condition $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ is derived from (4), (19), and (21) as

$$Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0) = [R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})f](\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\phi_{0,m}(\mathbf{x}_0) V_{0,m}}{s - im\Omega} + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{\phi_{k,m}(\mathbf{x}_0) V_{k,m}}{s - (k\nu + im\Omega)}. \quad (22)$$

The first term on the right-hand side possesses pure imaginary poles only³ and hence represents the *stationary* modes evolving along the limit cycle and sustaining in time. The term is related to the Fourier expansion of the signal with respect to fundamental angular frequency Ω . The second term includes the exponent ν in poles and hence represents the *non-stationary* modes evolving to the limit cycle and decaying in time. As pointed out for (17), the Laplace transform $Y(s; \mathbf{x}_0)$ in (22) is globally valid inside the basin $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ of the nonlinear system (1).

4.4 Off-Attractor Evolution to Stable Quasi-Periodic Cycle

The above expansion is extended to the case where the system (1) possesses a stable quasi-periodic cycle \mathcal{A} with a basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$. The set \mathcal{A} corresponds to an ℓ -dimensional torus on which the dynamics are conjugate to

$$\dot{\theta}_j = \Omega_j, \quad \theta_j \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \Omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, and the angular frequencies $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell$ satisfy the non-resonance condition. By the same manner as above, it is assumed that \mathbf{F} is analytic ($\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and \mathcal{F} is a space

³The existence of zero pole implies non-zero time-average (mean) of $f(\mathbf{x}(t))$ on the limit cycle due to the underlying dynamics $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and the choice of observable f .

of observables that are \mathcal{L}^2 in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C}^ω in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Also, let $n - \ell$ quasi-characteristic exponents $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-\ell}$ of (1) be distinct and not equal to one. Then, it is shown in [16] that the Koopman semigroup $\{U_{\mathcal{B}}^t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ (with its generator $L_{\mathcal{B}}$) has a point spectrum only consisting of eigenvalues $\{(k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-\ell}\nu_{n-\ell}) + i(m_1\Omega_1 + \dots + m_\ell\Omega_\ell)\}_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m_j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that we assume that the following KAM condition on the $n - \ell$ frequencies is satisfied (see [16]):

$$k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-\ell}\nu_{n-\ell} \geq c / \left(\sqrt{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{n-\ell}^2} \right)^\gamma, \quad (23)$$

for some $c, \gamma > 0$. The associated Koopman eigenfunctions $\phi_{k_1 \dots k_{n-\ell}, m_1 \dots m_\ell}$ satisfy the same equality as (19). Therefore, the following theorem of the expansion of the resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ holds (its proof is almost the same as for Section 10 and this omitted here).

Theorem 12. Consider the system (1) with a stable quasi-periodic cycle \mathcal{A} and dynamics converging to it. Suppose that the KMA condition (23) on the $n - \ell$ frequencies of \mathcal{A} holds. Then, for the associated Koopman generator $L_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is the space of functions that are analytic (entire) in $\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell}$ and \mathcal{L}^2 in \mathbb{T}^ℓ , the following spectral expansion of the Koopman resolvent $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ holds:

$$R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}}) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_{n-\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}} P_{k_1 \dots k_{n-\ell}, m_1, \dots, m_\ell} \times \\ \times \frac{1}{s - \{(k_1\nu_1 + \dots + k_{n-\ell}\nu_{n-\ell}) + i(m_1\Omega_1 + \dots + m_\ell\Omega_\ell)\}}. \quad (24)$$

Also, the ROC of $R(s; L_{\mathcal{B}})$ corresponds to $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}[s] > 0\}$.

Remark 13. The case of a general, aperiodic—potentially chaotic—attractor can be treated through the use of formula (112) in [16], in which case the continuous spectrum can contribute to resolvent analysis.

5 On Computational Aspects

5.1 General Remarks

Here, we discuss the computational aspect of the derived expansion formulae and Laplace transforms of the outputs. In the linear case, as shown in Section 4.2.1, it is analytically possible to obtain the Laplace transforms of state and output directly from the state-space representation (**A, c**) in Table 1. But, in the nonlinear case, it is generally impossible to obtain analytical forms of such Laplace transforms from the state-space representation (1). Thus, it is necessary to rely on numerical computation in order to approximately evaluate their properties, which are poles (corresponding to “Koopman eigenvalues”) and residues (related to “Koopman eigenfunctions and modes”) of $Y_p(s)$ in (10), (17), and (22). The discussion can be expanded into vector-form without loss of generality; therein, the Koopman modes can have vector-form if the observable is a vector-valued function: see (26). Also, similar requirements are associated with the function $Y_c(s)$ corresponding to the continuous spectrum in (10). In this case, the notion of poles is generalized and the eigenvalues are replaced by the continuous part of the spectrum.

On the data-analysis side, the Koopman-operator framework has recently gained popularity in conjunction with numerical methods. The methods are, among others, variants of the

Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) [29, 17, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34] and Generalized Laplace Analysis (GLA) [5, 14]. DMD is capable of evaluating the poles of the Laplace transforms (10), (17), and (22), while DMD and GLA are capable of evaluating their residues. The methods operate directly on data and can approximate part of the spectrum of the underlying Koopman operator. Convergence of the numerical methods is guaranteed in [35, 36] under certain conditions.

The identification of poles and residues is of great interest in science and technology: see, e.g., [37, 38]. Since these are related to Koopman eigenvalues, eigenfunctions, and modes, they can be computed using the DMD algorithm. The Prony analysis [39] is also formulated in [40] for computing the Koopman eigenvalues and modes and used in signal processing and power grids, among others. For wider applications, it is required to estimate not only modes for pure-imaginary poles but also modes for poles with negative real parts. This is challenging from the point of view of numerics (especially in the Koopman operator framework) due to the “non-stationary” nature of the modes. For instance, it is difficult to extract the poles of (22) directly from data without knowledge on the system. In [36], the DMD eigenvalues are proved to converge in some sense even if they have negative real-part, but these are still difficult to compute in practice. For instance, it is an issue to clearly extract the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (22) in terms of the time domain. This issue is discussed in the rest of this paper with illustrative numerical examples.

5.2 Example of Stable Limit Cycle

Below, we discuss the result in (22) for the van der Pol oscillator, which possesses a stable limit cycle in the state plane. The goal here is to compute the poles and residues, and then to decompose the dynamics into stationary (periodic) and non-stationary (transient) components. We first locate poles and then decompose the dynamics. The poles can be computed with the DMD algorithm. However, for better accuracy, we use here direct analysis of the system model, such as locating eigenvalues of adjoint (variational) equations. The decomposition, and in particular its non-stationary component, is obtained through the notion of *isochron*, which is crucial to the analysis of asymptotically periodic systems. An isochron is a set of states partitioning the basin of attraction in such a way that trajectories starting from the same isochron asymptotically converge to the same orbit on the limit cycle [41]. Therein, it was shown that isochrons are level sets of a Koopman eigenfunction.

The dynamics of the classical van der Pol oscillator is represented by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = \mu(1 - x_1^2)x_2 - x_1, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

with parameter $\mu = 0.3$. The angular frequency of the limit cycle is $\Omega \approx 0.995$ and the negative Floquet exponent is $\nu \approx -0.301$, which are computed with FFT and variational equations, respectively. Here, we consider the two observables $f_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1$ and $f_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_2$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^\top$, that is, the state itself. Then, the Laplace transform (22) is extended in vector form as

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} X_1(s; \mathbf{x}_0) \\ X_2(s; \mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix} &:= \begin{bmatrix} [R(s; U_{\mathcal{B}})f_1](\mathbf{x}_0) \\ [R(s; U_{\mathcal{B}})f_2](\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\phi_{0,m}(\mathbf{x}_0) \mathbf{V}_{0,m}}{s - im\Omega} + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{\phi_{k,m}(\mathbf{x}_0) \mathbf{V}_{k,m}}{s - (k\nu + im\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$




Figure 1: Time evolutions associated with the Laplace transform of the states of van der Pol oscillator. In the first and second figures from top, the *red* lines represent a trajectory of the van der Pol oscillator. This time evolution can be decomposed in two parts: a stationary part (in blue) associated with Koopman eigenvalues $im\Omega$ in (26) and a non-stationary part (in green) associated with Koopman eigenvalues $k\nu + im\Omega$. In the third figure, the samples of the green line in x_1 under the period of the limit cycle are plotted in logarithmic scale, where we use absolute values.

The vectors $\mathbf{V}_{k,m} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ correspond to the Koopman modes derived by projecting the two observables f_1 and f_2 onto the eigenfunction $\phi_{k,m}$.

Here, we conduct a decomposition of time-domain simulation into the stationary and non-stationary parts. The red lines in the first and second figures of Figure 1 are the time evolution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of the states of the van der Pol oscillator starting from $\mathbf{x}(0) = (3, 0)^\top$. The trajectory is simulated with direct numerical integration of (25) and converges to the limit cycle. The time-evolution related to the stationary part (blue lines in Figure 1) has been computed by numerical integration from an initial condition on the limit cycle and on the same isochron as the initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = (3, 0)^\top$. The phase of the isochron can be computed through Fourier averages as in [41]. Note that it is related to the value of the associated Koopman eigenfunction, and is therefore the argument θ of the residue $e^{i\theta}$ for the pole at $i\omega$. Finally, the time evolution associated with the non-stationary part (green lines in Figure 1) is simply obtained as the difference between the time evolution of the dynamics and the stationary evolution. Regarding the non-stationary part, in the bottom of Figure 1, the samples of the green line in x_1 under the period Ω of the limit cycle are plotted in logarithmic scale, where we use absolute values. We verify that it decays asymptotically, with its rate asymptotically




Figure 2: Time evolutions associated with the Laplace transform of states for two coupled van der Pol oscillators. (a) The *red* curve represents the original time evolution of the state x_2 , the *blue* curve corresponds to the stationary part of the time evolution, and the *green* curve corresponds to the non-stationary part. (b) The two trajectories (associated with identical phases) synchronize on the torus.

converging to the negative Floquet exponent $\nu \approx -0.301$.

5.3 Example of Stable Quasi-Periodic Cycle

We now consider the four-dimensional system consisting of two coupled van der Pol oscillators

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = \mu(1 - x_1^2)x_2 - k_x x_1 + k_c(y_1 - x_1), \\ \dot{y}_1 = y_2, \\ \dot{y}_2 = \mu(1 - y_1^2)y_2 - k_y y_1 + k_c(x_1 - y_1). \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

With the parameters $\mu = 0.3$, $k_x = 1$, $k_y = 3$, and $k_c = 0.5$, the trajectories converge to a quasiperiodic torus. We integrate numerically a trajectory associated with the initial condition $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ (red curve in Figure 2). This initial condition is characterized by a pair of phases (θ_1, θ_2) , which are computed with the Fourier averages methods described in [41]. The stationary part associated with the trajectory corresponds to a trajectory starting on the torus with the same initial phases (θ_1, θ_2) . This second trajectory is also numerically integrated (blue curve in Figure 2). The transient part is given by the difference between these two trajectories (green curve in Figure 2(a)). We verify that it converges to zero, which implies that the two trajectories synchronize on the torus (Figure 2(b)).

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the expansion formulae of the resolvent of the Koopman operator, called the Koopman resolvent, for three types of nonlinear dynamics. The formulae do not rely on any linearization in the state space and thus provide the Laplace transform of the output that is clearly connected to the system properties through the Koopman operator.

The Koopman resolvent and its connection to the nonlinear dynamics have been introduced in this paper. The proofs provided in this paper are built on [14, 15, 16] and present convergence results of the spectral expansions of the Koopman resolvent. It is noticeable that the residue theorem was shown to work for the characterization of nonlinear dynamics even in the case when eigenvalues are not isolated (e.g., quasi-periodic case). Also, we discussed the computational aspect of the derived Laplace transform using numerical simulations of two simple systems with stable (quasi-)limit cycles. The clear separation of stationary and non-stationary parts of the Koopman modes has been emphasized. Therefore, we suggest that the notion of isochron is useful for the computation of the Laplace transform for nonlinear non-stationary dynamics.

We envision several research directions. A generalization to the case of multivariable output is trivial as shown in Section 5.2. The case of discrete-time systems can be formulated in the same manner as this paper using the z -transformation. Computation of the non-stationary part of off-attractor evolution to a chaotic attractor is challenging. The extension of the Laplace-domain representation to nonlinear systems with inputs is crucial and listed up as the next topic. Its application to engineering of power grid dynamic performance is also a possible research perspective. Finally, current limitations related to unstable equilibria should be considered carefully in the context of nonlinear stabilization problems (e.g. feedback stabilization).

References

- [1] B. O. Koopman. Hamiltonian systems and transformations in Hilbert space. *Proc. National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 17(5):315–318, May 1931.
- [2] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey. *Chaos, Fractals, and Noise: Stochastic Aspects of Dynamics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [3] V. I. Arnold and A. Avez. *Ergodic Problems of Classical Mechanics*. Benjamin, 1968.
- [4] K. Peterson. *Ergodic Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [5] M. Budišić, R. Mohr, and I. Mezić. Applied Koopmanism. *CHAOS*, 22(4):047510, December 2012.
- [6] A. Mauroy, I. Mezić, and Y. Susuki. *The Koopman Operator in Systems and Control: Concepts, Methodologies, and Applications*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences 484. Springer London, 2020.
- [7] A. V. Oppenheim, A. S. Willsky, and S. H. Nawab. *Signals & Systems*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey, second edition, 1997.
- [8] F. M. Callier and C. A. Desoer. *Linear System Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [9] J. P. Hespanha. *Linear Systems Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [10] P. Kundur. *Power System Stability and Control*. McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [11] G. Andersson. Dynamics and Control of Electric Power Systems. Lecture 227-0528-00, ITET ETH, February 2012.

- [12] S. Corsi and C. Sabelli. General blackout in Italy Sunday September 28, 2003, h. 03:28:00. In *Proc. IEEE PES General Meeting*, volume 2, pages 1691–1702, Denver, USA, June 2004.
- [13] Y. Susuki, I. Mezić, and T. Hikiyara. Coherent swing instability of power grids. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 21(3):403–439, June 2011.
- [14] I. Mezić. Analysis of fluid flows via spectral properties of the Koopman operator. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 45:357–378, January 2013.
- [15] A. Mauroy, I. Mezić, and J. Moehlis. Isostables, isochrons, and Koopman spectrum for the action-angle representation of stable fixed point dynamics. *Physica D*, 261:19–30, October 2013.
- [16] I. Mezić. Spectrum of the Koopman operator, spectral expansions in functional spaces, and state-space geometry. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, December 2019.
- [17] C. W. Rowley, I. Mezić, S. Bagheri, P. Schlatter, and D. S. Henningson. Spectral analysis of nonlinear flows. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 641:115–127, December 2009.
- [18] K. J. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [19] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [20] A. Mauroy and I. Mezić. A spectral operator-theoretic framework for global stability. In *Proc. 52nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 5234–5239, Florence, Italy, December 2013.
- [21] A. I. Plesner. *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators*, volume 2. Frederic Ungar Publishing Company, 1969.
- [22] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, 2005.
- [23] I. Mezić, V. Fonoberov, M. Fonoberova, and T. Sahai. Spectral complexity of directed graphs and application to structural decomposition. *Complexity*, 2019.
- [24] I. Mezić. Spectral Koopman Operator Methods in Dynamical Systems. Springer (to appear).
- [25] H. D. Chiang, M. W. Hirsch, and F. F. Wu. Stability regions of nonlinear autonomous dynamical systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, 33(1):16–27, January 1988.
- [26] Y. Lan and I. Mezić. Linearization in the large of nonlinear systems and Koopman operator spectrum. *Physica D*, 242(1):42–53, January 2013.
- [27] R. Mohr and I. Mezić. Construction of eigenfunctions for scalar-type operators via Laplace averages with connections to the Koopman operator. Preprint, arXiv:1403.6559v2, April 2014.
- [28] R. F. Curtain and H. J. Zwart. *An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems Theory*. Springer-Verlag.

- [29] P. J. Schmid. Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 656:5–28, August 2010.
- [30] K. K. Chen, J. H. Tu, and C. W. Rowley. Variants of dynamic mode decomposition: Boundary condition, Koopman, and Fourier analyses. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 22:887–915, April 2012.
- [31] M. R. Jovanović, P. J. Schmid, and J. W. Nichols. Sparsity-promoting dynamic mode decomposition. *Phys. Fluids*, 26:024103, February 2014.
- [32] J. H. Tu, C. W. Rowley, D. M. Luchtenburg, S. L. Brunton, and J. N. Kutz. On dynamic mode decomposition: Theory and applications. *J. Comput. Dyn.*, 1(2):391–421, December 2014.
- [33] M. O. Williams, I. G. Kevrekidis, and C. W. Rowley. A data-driven approximation of the Koopman operator: Extending dynamic mode decomposition. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 25:1307–1346, June 2015.
- [34] J. Kutz, S. Brunton, B. Brunton, and J. Proctor. *Dynamic Mode Decomposition: Data-Driven Modeling of Complex Systems*. SIAM, 2016.
- [35] H. Arbabi and I. Mezić. Ergodic theory, dynamic mode decomposition and computation of spectral properties of the Koopman operator. *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 16(4):2096–2126, 2017.
- [36] M. Korda and I. Mezić. On convergence of extended dynamic mode decomposition to the Koopman operator. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 28:687–710, November 2018.
- [37] M. L. Van Blaricum and R. Mittra. A technique for extracting the poles and residues of a system directly from its transient response. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, AP-23(6):777–781, November 1975.
- [38] M. L. Van Blaricum and R. Mittra. Problems and solutions associated with Prony’s method for processing transient data. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, EMC-20(1):174–182, February 1978.
- [39] F. B. Hildebrand. *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1956.
- [40] Y. Susuki and I. Mezić. A Prony approximation of Koopman mode decomposition. In *Proc. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 7022–7727, 2015.
- [41] A. Mauroy and I. Mezić. On the use of Fourier averages to compute the global isochrons of (quasi)periodic dynamics. *CHAOS*, 22(3):033112, July 2012.