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#### Abstract

We establish some qualitative properties of minimizers in the fractional Hardy-Sobolev inequalities of arbitrary order.


Assume $n \geq 1$ is a given integer, and take exponents $s \in\left(0, \frac{n}{2}\right), q, \beta$ satisfying

$$
2<q<2_{s}^{*}:=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}, \quad \frac{n}{q}-\beta=\frac{n}{2}-s .
$$

By Hölder interpolation between the Hardy and Sobolev inequalities one obtains the existence of a positive best constant $S_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q} \cdot\left\||x|^{-\beta} u\right\|_{q}^{2} \leq\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2_{s}^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \left\lvert\,(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right.\right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}\left[(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right]=|\xi|^{s} \mathcal{F}[u]
$$

and $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

[^0]The existence of a minimizer for $S_{q}$ was proved in [12]. Also, it was claimed in [12, Theorem 1.2], that any minimizer of (1) has constant sign, and is radially symmetric and strictly decreasing. However, the proof of this statement contains serious gaps. Namely, author of [12] refers to the results of [11] and [7], which were formulated and proved only for $s<1$ and which are in general false for $s>1$, compare with [9]. Moreover, even in the case $s<1$ the argument in [12] does not provide the strict monotonicity of the minimizer 1 .

In this note we prove the following statement.
Theorem 1 Let u be a minimizer for $S_{q}$. Up to a change of sign, $u$ is everywhere positive, radially symmetric and strictly monotone decreasing with respect to $|x|$.

Before proving Theorem 1 we recall some notation. For $f \geq 0$ measurable and vanishing at infinity, $f^{*}$ stands for the symmetric-decreasing rearrangement of $f$, see [8, Section 3.2].

Accordingly with [4], [6], for nonnegative measurable functions $f, g$ vanishing at infinity, we write $f \prec g$ if

$$
\int_{B_{r}(0)} f^{*}(x) d x \leq \int_{B_{r}(0)} g^{*}(x) d x \quad \text { for any } r>0
$$

Trivially, the pointwise inequality $f \leq g$ implies $f \prec g$, while the inverse implication is not true, in general. Finally, we recall the next result.

Proposition 1 (see, e.g., [6, Lemma 2.1]). The relation $f \prec g$ is equivalent to any of the following statements:

1. For any non-negative convex functions $\phi$ such that $\phi(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi\left(f^{*}(x)\right) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi\left(g^{*}(x)\right) d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For any non-negative symmetric-decreasing function $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{*}(x) \varphi(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g^{*}(x) \varphi(x) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Proof of Theorem 1. We introduce the functions $U, V \in \mathcal{D}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as (unique) weak solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} U=f:=\left|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V=f^{*} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is well known that $U$ and $V$ are explicitly given by convolutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=C(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} d y, \quad V(x)=C(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f^{*}(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} d y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(n, s)$ is an explicitly known constant. In particular, $U, V>0$.
The Riesz rearrangement inequality, see, e.g., [8, Sec. 3.7], immediately implies (3), and thus $U \prec V$, or, equivalently, $U^{*} \prec V^{*}=V$.

Further, we proceed in 3 steps.
Step 1. We claim that $u$ strictly preserves the sign. Indeed, (4) and (5) give

$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(U \pm u)=\left|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right| \pm(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad U \pm u \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad U \geq|u|
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\||x|^{-\beta} U\right\|_{q}^{2} \geq\left\||x|^{-\beta} u\right\|_{q}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} U\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Thus $U$ achieves $S_{q}$ as well. But then

$$
\left\||x|^{-\beta} U\right\|_{q}^{2}=\left\||x|^{-\beta} u\right\|_{q}^{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad|u| \equiv U>0
$$

and the claim follows. From now on, we assume that $u>0$.
Step 2. We claim that $u=u^{*}$. Indeed, by Step 1 we have $u^{*}=U^{*} \prec V$. If we assume that $u \neq u^{*}$, then a basic rearrangement inequality, see, e.g., [8, Sec. 3.4] together with (21), (3) gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{-\beta q} u^{q} d x<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{-\beta q}\left(u^{*}\right)^{q} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{-\beta q} V^{q} d x
$$

Since evidently

$$
\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V\right\|_{2}=\left\|\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right)^{*}\right\|_{2}=\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{2},
$$

we can conclude that

$$
S_{q}=\frac{\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\left\||x|^{-\beta} u\right\|_{q}^{2}}>\frac{\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\left\||x|^{-\beta} V\right\|_{q}^{2}} \geq S_{q},
$$

and the claim follows via a contradiction argument.
Step 3. It remains to show that $u$ is strictly decreasing. We recall that $u$ is a minimizer in (1) and therefore satisfies the integral equation

$$
u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|y|^{-\beta q} u^{q-1}(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2 s}} d y
$$

up to a multiplicative constant. The right-hand side here is the convolution of two symmetric-decreasing functions, and one of them is strictly symmetric-decreasing. This completes the proof.

Remark 1 In contrast to the critical case $q=2_{s}^{*}$, the value of the infimum $S_{q}$ and its minimizers are not explicitly known even for $s<1$. The regularity, uniqueness and nondegeneracy of the minimizer in this case has been recently proved in [10], see also [2, 1]. For fractional $s>1$, these properties have never been investigated.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In fact, for $s<1$ this can be proved by adapting the moving plane argument in [3] or [5] as pointed out in [10].

