

L^p -STABILITY AND POSITIVE SCALAR CURVATURE RIGIDITY OF RICCI-FLAT ALE MANIFOLDS

KLAUS KRÖNCKE AND OLIVER L. PETERSEN

ABSTRACT. We prove stability of integrable ALE manifolds with a parallel spinor under Ricci flow, given an initial metric which is close in $L^p \cap L^\infty$, for any $p \in (1, n)$, where n is the dimension of the manifold. In particular, our result applies to all known examples of 4-dimensional gravitational instantons. Our decay rates are strong enough to prove positive scalar curvature rigidity in L^p , for each $p \in \left[1, \frac{n}{n-2}\right)$, generalizing a result by Appleton.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Geometric setup	3
1.2. Main results	4
1.3. Outline of the proof of stability	7
1.4. Structure of the paper	10
2. The space of gauged Ricci-flat metrics	10
2.1. Asymptotic structure	10
2.2. A projection map onto \mathcal{F}	13
2.3. Properties of projection maps	14
3. Short-time estimates for parabolic equations	17
3.1. Various expansions for the Ricci-de Turck flow	17
3.2. An L^p -maximum principle	18
3.3. Short-time estimates for the heat flow of the modified Lichnerowicz Laplacian	24
3.4. Short-time estimates for the Ricci-de-Turck flow	30
4. The Ricci-de Turck flow and a mixed evolution problem	33
4.1. A Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge	33
4.2. A mixed evolution operator	34
4.3. The Ricci flow as a mixed evolution problem	35
5. The iteration map	36
5.1. Estimates for the linear problem	36
5.2. The Banach space	37
5.3. Mapping properties of the iteration map	39
5.4. Contraction properties of the iteration map	46
6. Long-time existence and convergence	59
6.1. Establishing a fixed point of the iteration map	59
6.2. Optimal convergence rates of the modified Ricci-de Turck flow	62
6.3. Decay of the de Turck vector field and the Ricci curvature	69
6.4. Convergence of the Ricci-flow	72
6.5. Positive scalar curvature rigidity	73
References	76

1. INTRODUCTION

A one-parameter family $\{g_t\}_{t \in I}$ of Riemannian metrics on a manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$ is called a Ricci flow if

$$\partial_t g_t = -2\text{Ric}_{g_t}.$$

The Ricci flow was introduced in the eighties by Hamilton [Ham82] and it has become an important tool in Riemannian geometry ever since. Its success culminated in Perelman's proof of the Poincaré and Geometrization Conjectures about the classification of closed three-dimensional manifolds [Per02]. A natural question in geometric analysis is the stability of stationary points of the Ricci flow on the space of metrics (modulo homotheties), which we call Ricci solitons. This problem is relevant for the formation of singularities under the Ricci flow. Any singularity admits a blowup limit which is a Ricci soliton, and its instability would exclude it as a possible singularity model for generic initial data [IKŠ19].

On compact manifolds, the stability problem is by now well understood in terms of Perelman's entropies due to work by Haslhofer-Müller and the first author [HM14, Krö15, Krö20]. In this paper, we are interested in the stability problem on *non-compact manifolds*. As singularity models for the 4-dimensional Ricci flow on compact manifolds, only non-compact Ricci-flat *ALE spaces* can appear if the scalar curvature is bounded along the flow, see [Bam18]. In fact, such singularities have recently been shown to exist by Appleton [App19] (however, with unbounded scalar curvature). We expect that stability questions of ALE spaces are deeply connected to the formation of singularities under 4-dimensional Ricci flow.

The main result of this paper states that integrable ALE spaces with a parallel spinor (hence Ricci-flat) are dynamically stable to perturbations in a small $L^p \cap L^\infty$ -neighbourhood, for any $p < n$. In terms of fall-off conditions on the perturbations, our result requires a fall-off of order $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1-\epsilon})$. See Theorem 1.8 for the precise statement. Our main result applies in particular to all known 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE spaces (which all belong to the Kronheimer classification of gravitational instantons). An interesting application of our result is the scalar curvature rigidity result of integrable ALE spaces with a parallel spinor with respect to perturbations in $L^p \cap L^\infty$ for $p < \frac{n}{n-2}$, see Theorem 1.12. We construct counterexamples to scalar curvature rigidity for $p > \frac{n}{n-2}$, showing that our scalar curvature rigidity result is at least almost sharp, see Theorem 1.13.

Our result is a significant improvement over the L^2 -stability result of Ricci-flat ALE spaces, by Deruelle and the first author [DK20], where the initial data was assumed to be $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ -close and no convergence rate was established. As a consequence, only convergence of the Ricci-de Turck flow was shown in [DK20], not convergence of the Ricci flow. In this paper, we establish sharp convergence rates for the Ricci-de Turck, allowing us to conclude convergence of the actual Ricci flow. Analogous to these results, the stability of \mathbb{R}^n was proven by Schulze, Schnürer and Simon [SSS08]. Their proof relies heavily on the explicit geometry of \mathbb{R}^n and cannot be generalized to the ALE setting.

There are several further results in the literature on stability of Ricci flow on certain non-compact manifolds, including the stability of hyperbolic space [SSS11], hyperbolic spaces with cusps [Bam14], symmetric spaces of non-compact type [Bam15], complex hyperbolic space [Wu13], cosh-cylinders by the first author [Krö18] and further non-trivial non-compact expanding Ricci solitons [Der15, DL17, WW16]. All these do not appear as blowup limits of Ricci flows, hence these results are not relevant for Ricci flow singularities. Moreover, the main technical difference is that the continuous spectrum of the linearized operator in the ALE case is the *non-negative real axis*, whereas the continuous spectrum in the above mentioned results (apart from \mathbb{R}^n) is *bounded away from zero*.

1.1. Geometric setup. Before we explain the main results of this paper, let us introduce the geometric setting we are working in.

Definition 1.1 (ALE manifold). A complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called *asymptotically locally Euclidean* with one end of order $\tau > 0$ if there is a compact set $K \subset M$ and a diffeomorphism $\phi : M_\infty := M \setminus K \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_1})/\Gamma$, where Γ is a finite subgroup of $SO(n)$ acting freely on \mathbb{R}^n , such that

$$|(\nabla^{eucl})^k(\phi_*g - g_{eucl})|_{eucl} = O(r^{-\tau-k})$$

holds on $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)/\Gamma$. The diffeomorphism ϕ will also be called “coordinate system at infinity”.

We are particularly interested in *Ricci-flat* ALE manifolds, of which many examples do exist:

Example 1.2 (Ricci-flat ALE manifolds). The simplest example of a Ricci-flat ALE manifold (different from \mathbb{R}^n) is the Eguchi-Hanson manifold. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the standard left-invariant one-forms on S^3 . For each $\epsilon > 0$, define the Eguchi-Hanson metric

$$g_{eh,\epsilon} := \frac{r^2}{(r^4 + \epsilon^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}} (dr \otimes dr + r^2 \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_1) + (r^4 + \epsilon^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \otimes \alpha_3),$$

for $r > 0$. After we quotient by \mathbb{Z}_2 , we can smoothly glue in an S^2 at $r = 0$ to get the (complete) Eguchi-Hanson manifold $(TS^2, g_{eh,\epsilon})$, which is ALE with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and hyperkähler, hence Ricci-flat. This is an example in Kronheimer’s classification of hyperkähler ALE manifolds [Kro89]: Each 4-dimensional hyperkähler ALE manifold is diffeomorphic to a minimal resolution of $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\})/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)$ be a discrete subgroup acting freely on S^3 .

These examples satisfy an important assumption, which can be defined under the following condition:

Definition 1.3 (Spin ALE manifold). We say that an ALE manifold is *spin* if it carries a spin structure which is compatible with the Euclidean spin structure on M_∞ .

The main assumption is now that (M, h) is an ALE spin manifold with a *parallel spinor*. This assumption has various consequences, c.f. also the discussion in [KP20, Section 6]:

- (M, h) is Ricci-flat.
- If (M, h) has irreducible holonomy unless it is flat. Consequently,

$$\mathrm{Hol}(M, h) \in \{\mathrm{SU}(n/2), \mathrm{Sp}(n/4), \mathrm{Spin}(7)\}. \quad (1)$$

- M is even-dimensional (we therefore excluded the case of holonomy G_2).
- (M, h) has at most finite fundamental group.

Remark 1.4. All known Ricci-flat ALE manifolds satisfy (1) and thus carry a parallel spinor. Moreover, all these groups actually appear as holonomy groups of Ricci-flat ALE manifolds, see [Kro89, Joy99, Joy00, Joy01]. It is an open question whether there are other examples, c.f. [BKN89, p. 315].

Up to a gauge term, the linearization of the Ricci curvature is given by an elliptic operator, called the Lichnerowicz Laplacian:

Definition 1.5. The *Lichnerowicz Laplacian* on a Ricci-flat manifold (M, h) is defined as

$$\Delta_L := \nabla^* \nabla - 2\mathrm{Rm} : C^\infty(M, S^2 M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, S^2 M),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Rm}(k)_{ij} = R_{imnj} k^{mn},$$

for any $k \in C^\infty(M, S^2 M)$. The manifold (M, h) is called linearly stable if $\Delta_L \geq 0$.

It is well known that a Ricci-flat manifold with a parallel spinor is *linearly stable* [DWW05, Wan91]. The reason is that there is a parallel bundle endomorphism

$$\Phi : C^\infty(S^2M) \rightarrow C^\infty(S \otimes T^*M),$$

such that

$$\Phi \circ \Delta_L = D_{T^*M}^2 \circ \Phi, \quad (2)$$

where D_{T^*M} is the twisted Dirac operator on vector spinors.

Another necessary notion we need is the one of *integrability* which we define in the following. For $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$, we use the notation $L^{[p,q]} := L^p \cap L^q = \bigcap_{r \in [p,q]} L^r$. Furthermore, for a fixed metric \hat{h} , we define $\mathcal{M}^{[p,q]}$ as the set of metrics g such that $g - \hat{h} \in L^{[p,q]}(S^2M)$.

Definition 1.6. A spin ALE manifold (M, \hat{h}) with a parallel spinor is called $L^{[p,\infty]}$ -integrable if there exists an $L^{[p,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}^{[p,\infty]}$ such that the set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{Ric}_h = 0, \quad 2\text{div}_h \hat{h} - d(\text{tr}_h \hat{h}) = 0 \right\}$$

is a finite-dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^{[p,\infty]}$ only containing metrics with a parallel spinor and satisfying

$$T_{\hat{h}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} = \ker_{L^{[p,\infty]}}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}) := \left\{ k \in \ker(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}) \mid k \in L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M) \right\}.$$

We call it integrable, if it is $L^{[p,\infty]}$ -integrable for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Remark 1.7. The additional condition $2\text{div}_h \hat{h} - d(\text{tr}_h \hat{h}) = 0$ serves as a gauge condition. In suitable weighted Sobolev spaces, it defines a slice of the action of the diffeomorphism group on the space of metrics, see [DK20, Proposition 2.11].

The integrability condition has been shown to hold for Kähler and hence also for hyperkähler manifolds, see [DK20]. Therefore, the integrability is in fact known to be *automatic*, given a parallel spinor, unless the holonomy is $\text{Spin}(7)$. However, also in the $\text{Spin}(7)$ case integrability is widely expected to be true.

1.2. Main results. We formulate the main theorem of this paper. The appearing norms and covariant derivatives are taken with respect to \hat{h} .

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M^n, \hat{h}) be an ALE manifold, which carries a parallel spinor and is integrable. Then for each $q \in (1, n)$ and each $L^{[q,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of \hat{h} in the space of metrics, there exists another $L^{[q,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ of \hat{h} with the following property:*

For each metric $g_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ on M , the Ricci flow $\{g_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ starting at g_0 exists for all time and there is a family of diffeomorphisms $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\phi_t^ g_t \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\phi_t^* g_t$ converges to a Ricci-flat metric h_∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Moreover, if $g_0 - \hat{h} \in L^p$ for some $p \in (1, q]$, there exists a smooth family of Ricci-flat metrics h_t , such that following convergence rates do hold:

- (i) *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tau > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\tau)$ such that for all $t \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|h_t - h_\infty\|_{C^k} \leq C \cdot t^{1 - \frac{n}{p} + \tau}. \quad (3)$$

- (ii) *For $r \in [p, \infty]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{k}{2} < \frac{n}{2p}$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, q, k)$ such that for all $t \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^k(\phi_t^* g_t - h_t)\|_{L^r} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - \frac{k}{2}}. \quad (4)$$

- (iii) For $r \in [p, \infty]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{n}{2p}$, there exists for each $\tau > 0$ a constant $C = C(p, q, k, \tau)$ such that for all $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\nabla^k(\phi_t^* g_t - h_t)\|_{L^r} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \tau}. \quad (5)$$

The technical difficulty of this geometric situation can be read off already from spectral properties of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. All the other results on non-compact manifolds (except \mathbb{R}^n) mentioned in the final paragraph of the introduction use property *strict linear stability*, which means

$$P \geq c > 0 \quad (6)$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and the associated linear operator P . This property gives nice decay estimates for the heat kernel and causes exponential convergence of the flow. In contrast, the continuous spectrum of Δ_L on Ricci-flat ALE manifolds is always $[0, \infty)$. Thus (6) can never hold in this setting, not even on $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L)^\perp$. Instead, one can prove the weaker inequality

$$\Delta_L|_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L)^\perp} \geq \nabla^* \nabla > 0,$$

which was the central ingredient for proving the aforementioned L^2 -stability result in [DK20]. In this paper, we use novel estimates for the heat kernel of Δ_L and its derivatives, which the authors developed in a recent paper [KP20]. Here, we follow an approach by Koch and Lamm [KL12] and establish the Ricci-de Turck flow as the fixed point of a contraction map. In the present geometric situation, we had to overcome some technical obstacles, which we explain in Subsection 1.3 below.

Remark 1.9. The diffeomorphisms ϕ_t are coming from the de Turck vector field: The family $\phi_t^* g_t$ is a Ricci-de Turck flow. For $t \in [0, 1]$, we take \hat{h} as the reference metric. For $t \geq 1$, $\phi_t^* g_t$ is a Ricci-de Turck flow with *moving* reference metric h_t . This choice of gauge turned out to be more convenient in our setting.

The assumption of having a parallel spinor is pitoval for the following two reasons:

- We showed in [KP20] that under this assumption, $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, \hat{h}}) \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$, which improves the result in [DK20], where we only showed $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, \hat{h}}) \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{1-n})$. This allows us to have a better control on the reference metrics h_t , as we will then have $h_t - \hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$ as well.
- In [KP20], we computed (with the help of (2)) optimal estimates on the heat kernel of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and its derivatives. These estimates are strong enough to establish the Ricci flow as the fixed point of an iteration map.

Remark 1.10. The decay rates for $\phi_t^* g_t - h_t$ in (4) and (5) coincide with the decay rates of the norm of the map

$$e^{-\Delta_{L, \hat{h}}} : L^p(S^2 M) \cap \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, \hat{h}})^\perp \rightarrow L^r(S^2 M).$$

The convergence rate of h_t in (3) comes from integrating the inequality

$$\|\partial_t h\|_{C^k} \leq C \|\phi_t^* g_t - h_t\|_{W^{2, r}}^2 \leq C t^{-n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})}, \quad (7)$$

where we can pick $r \in (p, \infty]$ as large as we want. Here, the first estimate follows from the construction of h_t and the second one follows from (5). We could also replace the C^k -norm by any $W^{k, s}$ -norm with $s \in (1, \infty]$. Note that the right hand side of (7) is not integrable, for any $r \in (p, \infty]$, if $p \in [n, \infty]$. This rate therefore explains why we cannot take $p \in [n, \infty]$. This is in sharp contrast to the Euclidean case, where one can take $h_t \equiv h_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. There, one also expects the rate in (4) to hold for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, see [App18] for partial results.

If we restrict to $p < \frac{3n}{4}$, we can get rid of the diffeomorphisms:

Theorem 1.11. *Let (M^n, \hat{h}) be an ALE manifold, which carries a parallel spinor and is integrable. Then for each $q \in (1, n)$, there exists an $L^{[q, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{V} with the following property: For each metric $g_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfying $g_0 - \hat{h} \in L^p$ for some $p \in (1, \frac{3n}{4})$, the Ricci flow g_t starting at g_0 exists for all time and converges to a Ricci-flat limit metric h_∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, there exists a smooth family of Ricci-flat metrics h_t such that for each $\tau > 0$, we have a constant $C = C(\tau)$ such that for all $t \geq 1$,*

$$\|h_t - h_\infty\|_{C^k} \leq C \cdot \begin{cases} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p} + \tau}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{2n}{3}), \\ t^{2 - \frac{3n}{2p} + \tau}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{2n}{3}, \frac{3n}{4}), \end{cases} \quad \|g_t - h_t\|_{C^k} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \tau},$$

with the norms taken with respect to the limit metric h_∞ .

Our third main result is an application of the previous ones and reads as follows:

Theorem 1.12. *Let (M^n, \hat{h}) be an ALE Ricci-flat spin manifold which is integrable and carries a parallel spinor. Then for each $q \in (1, n)$, there exists a $L^{q, \infty}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of \hat{h} in the space of metrics such that each smooth metric $g \in \mathcal{U}$ on M satisfying*

$$\text{scal}_g \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|g - \hat{h}\|_{L^p} < \infty$$

for some $p \in [1, \frac{n}{n-2})$ is Ricci-flat.

This theorem generalizes a corresponding result for Euclidean space [App18] which also holds for $q = \infty$. It is related to the rigidity part of the positive mass theorem, for which there exists also a version on ALE spin manifolds [Dah97]. The ADM mass of an ALE manifold (M^n, g) is

$$m(g) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S^{n-1}(r)/\Gamma} (\partial_j g_{ij} - \partial_i g_{jj}) dV_{S^{n-1}(r)},$$

where the components of g are taken with respect to an asymptotic coordinate system. Now if $g - \hat{h} \in L^p$, we heuristically expect

$$g - \hat{h} \in o\left(r^{-\frac{n}{p}}\right), \quad \partial(g - \hat{h}) \in o\left(r^{-\frac{n}{p}-1}\right).$$

Due to [BKN89], we know that $\hat{h} - h_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n+1})$ in suitable coordinates. We get

$$\partial g \in o\left(r^{-\frac{n}{p}-1}\right), \text{ if } p > \frac{n}{n-1}, \quad \partial g \in O(r^{-n}), \text{ if } p \in \left(1, \frac{n}{n-1}\right).$$

Thus for $p < \frac{n}{n-2}$ we expect $m(g) = 0$ and g has to be Ricci-flat by the rigidity statement of the positive mass theorem.

For $p = \frac{n}{n-2}$, it is unclear what happens. In [App18], a partial result was shown for Euclidean space, which we are not able to reproduce here. For the remaining cases for p , the converse holds under even milder assumptions on the background metric.

Theorem 1.13. *Let (M, \hat{h}) be a Ricci-flat manifold. Then for every $p > \frac{n}{n-2}$ there exists a sequence $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\text{scal}_{g_i} > 0$ such that $g_i \rightarrow \hat{h}$ in $L^{[p, \infty]}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the g_i can be chosen to be conformal to \hat{h} .*

This assertion is a simple consequence of the implicit function theorem. Nevertheless, we could not find it in this form in the literature. Therefore we state it here to complement Theorem 1.12.

1.3. Outline of the proof of stability. For proving stability of a given Ricci-flat metric h , it is more convenient to use the Ricci-de Turck flow

$$\partial_t g_t = -2\text{Ric}_{g_t} + \mathcal{L}_{V(g_t, h)} g_t, \quad V(g, h)^k = g^{ij}(\Gamma(g)_{ij}^k - \Gamma(h)_{ij}^k). \quad (8)$$

instead of the Ricci flow as it has the advantage of being strictly parabolic. More precisely, it can be written in terms of the difference $k = g - h$ as

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L, h} k = g^{-1} * R_h * k * k + g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k + \nabla((g^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k). \quad (9)$$

In integral form, the latter equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} k_t &= e^{-t\Delta_{L, h}} k_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h}} [g_s^{-1} * R_h * k_s * k_s + g_s^{-1} * g_s^{-1} * \nabla k_s * \nabla k_s] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h}} [\nabla((g_s^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k_s)] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is now tempting to find a solution of this integral equation by picking an initial k_0 , setting

$$k_t^{(1)} = e^{-t\Delta_{L, h}} k_0$$

and defining inductively

$$\begin{aligned} k_t^{(i+1)} &= e^{-t\Delta_{L, h}} k_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h}} [(g_s^{(i)})^{-1} * R_h * k_s^{(i)} * k_s^{(i)} + g_s^{-1} * (g_s^{(i)})^{-1} * \nabla k_s^{(i)} * \nabla k_s^{(i)}] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h}} [\nabla(((g_s^{(i)})^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k_s^{(i)})] ds \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. One would now hope that as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $k_t^{(i)}$ converges in a suitable Banach space to a solution of (10) and hence of (9). In fact, this strategy was successfully carried out in [KL12], to prove L^∞ -stability of \mathbb{R}^n under Ricci flow.

Carrying out these steps in the general ALE case is far more complicated. Here, we will explain the main technical issues and outline the ideas how to overcome these problems.

1.3.1. Controlling the linear part.

Problem 1.14. The operator $\Delta_{L, h}$ will in general have a nontrivial (L^2) -kernel and hence, $e^{-t\Delta_{L, h}}$ admits stationary points. However, we need some decay for k in order to bound the convolution integral.

In [KP20], we were able to derive optimal polynomial decay rates of the heat kernel on the orthogonal complement of the kernel, which means we have to assume $k \perp \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, h})$. These estimates can be used if we find a projection map Φ which maps from a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of a given Ricci-flat metric \hat{h} onto a set of Ricci-flat metrics \mathcal{F} , and comes with the property that $g - \Phi(g) \perp_{L^2} \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, \Phi(g)})$ for $g \in \mathcal{U}$. The Ricci-de Turck flow is then slightly modified to

$$\partial_t g_t = -2\text{Ric}_{g_t} + \mathcal{L}_{V(g_t, \Phi(g_t))} g_t. \quad (11)$$

The evolution equation on $k_t = g_t - \Phi(g_t)$ now looks slightly different than (9):

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L, h} k = (1 - D_g \Phi)(g^{-1} * R_h * k * k + g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k + \nabla((g^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k)), \quad (12)$$

where $h = h_t = \Phi(g_t)$ and the additional $D_g \Phi$ -term describes the evolution of h . In view of (10), the next problem arises:

Problem 1.15. Compute the heat kernel of $\Delta_{L, h}$ for a time-dependent family h_t of Ricci-flat metrics.

We will solve this problem by assuming that h_t converges to a limit h_∞ . We are then rewriting (12) as an equation on \bar{k}_t (the part of k_t orthogonal to $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_\infty})$), with left hand side given by $\partial_t \bar{k} + \Delta_{L,h_\infty} \bar{k}$ and an appropriate modified right hand side containing $(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_\infty})(k_t)$. Controlling \bar{k}_t is already good enough to control k_t : The orthogonal projection $\Pi_t^\perp : \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t})^\perp \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_\infty})^\perp$ is an isomorphism for h_t close enough to h_∞ .

1.3.2. *Finding the right Banach space.* The heat kernel of $\Delta_{L,h}$ admits mapping properties of the form

$$\left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_{L,h}}|_{\ker_{L^2}^\perp} \right\|_{L^p, L^q} \leq C t^{-\alpha(p,q,i)},$$

for some $\alpha(p,q,i) \geq 0$. This suggests a suitable linear combination of terms

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left(t^{\alpha(p,q,i)} \cdot \|\nabla^i k_t\|_{L^q} \right),$$

to define a norm controlling k_t . Since at most second derivatives appear in the evolution equation, it is not necessary to use terms with $i \geq 3$. We also need a norm controlling h_t . The evolution equation

$$\partial_t h = D_g \Phi(g^{-1} * R_h * k * k + g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k + \nabla((g^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k)) \quad (13)$$

suggests a combination of

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|h_t - \hat{h}\|_{L^p}, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(t^{\beta(p,q)} \cdot \|\partial_t h_t\|_{L^q} \right).$$

The first norm controls the distance to a Ricci-flat reference metric \hat{h} . The second part determines a possible convergence to a limit metric h_∞ . Here, $\beta(p,q) \geq 0$ is suggested from the expected polynomial decay rate of right hand side of (13), coming from the decay of k_t and its derivatives.

1.3.3. *Controlling the inhomogeneous part in the iteration process.* In the iteration process, we will have tripels $(h_t^{(i)}, h_\infty^{(i)}, k_t^{(i)})$ consisting of an evolving family of Ricci-flat shadow metrics $h_t^{(i)}$ with a limit $h_\infty^{(i)}$ and an evolving family of symmetric 2-tensors $k_t^{(i)}$ orthogonal to the respective kernels of $h_t^{(i)}$, which form a family of evolving metrics $g_t^{(i)} := k_t^{(i)} + h_t^{(i)}$ that should eventually converge to a Ricci flow. In the iteration process, we will have to control terms of the form

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_\infty^{(i)}}} H(k_s^{(i)}, h_s^{(i)}) ds.$$

The polynomial decay rates appearing so far suggest to control integrals of the form

$$\int_0^t s^{-\alpha} (t-s)^{-\beta} ds. \quad (14)$$

If $\min\{\alpha, \beta\} \geq 1$, this integral is not finite. However, we can at least control the interior part of the integral by an elementary lemma.

Lemma 1.16. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and define $\gamma = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, $\delta := \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta)$ such that for all $t \geq 2$, we have

$$\int_1^{t-1} s^{-\alpha} (t-s)^{-\beta} ds \leq C \cdot \begin{cases} t^{-\gamma} & \text{if } \delta > 1, \\ t^{-\gamma} \log(t) & \text{if } \delta = 1, \\ t^{1-\alpha-\beta} & \text{if } \delta < 1, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and the rates on the right hand side are optimal. In particular, we have

$$\int_1^{t-1} s^{-\alpha} (t-s)^{-\beta} ds \leq C \cdot t^{-\theta}$$

for every $\theta < \min \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta - 1\}$ and also for $\theta = \min \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta - 1\}$, if $\max \{\alpha, \beta\} \neq 1$.

Proof. If we substitute $s = r + t/2$, the left hand side of the inequality can be written and estimated from above and below as

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-1)^{-\beta} \int_{1-t/2}^0 (r+t/2)^{-\alpha} dr + (t-1)^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t/2-1} (t/2-r)^{-\beta} dr \\ & \leq \int_{1-t/2}^0 (r+t/2)^{-\alpha} (t/2-r)^{-\beta} dr + \int_0^{t/2-1} (r+t/2)^{-\alpha} (t/2-r)^{-\beta} dr \\ & \leq (t/2)^{-\beta} \int_{1-t/2}^0 (r+t/2)^{-\alpha} dr + (t/2)^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t/2-1} (t/2-r)^{-\beta} dr. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows from elementary calculus and a case by case analysis. \square

1.3.4. Treating boundary terms of the time integral. In our proof, we will let an initial metric g_0 (which is $L^p \cap L^\infty$ -close to \hat{h}) evolve under the Ricci-de Turck flow (with gauge metric \hat{h}) evolve up to time $t = 1$. The metric g_1 and the tensors $h_1 = \Phi(g_1)$, $k_1 := g_1 - h_1$ are smooth and we can bound all derivatives in terms of the initial data. By starting the iteration argument from time 1 instead of 0, we get sequences of metrics and tensors $k_t^{(i)}, h_t^{(i)}$, whose norms do not blow up as $t \searrow 1$. In this way, we can just get rid of the integral in (14) from 0 to 1.

For the integral from $t-1$ to t , there is one term that causes troubles:

Problem 1.17. We need to control the term

$$\int_{t-1}^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h}} [\nabla((g_s^{-1} - h_s^{-1}) * \nabla k_s)] ds. \quad (16)$$

In the iteration process, we need to control up to second derivatives of $k_s^{(i+1)}$ by using only up to second derivatives of $k_s^{(i)}$. Short-time estimates for parabolic equations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla^2 e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h}} \Theta \right\|_{L^p} & \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\Theta\|_{W^{1,p}} \in L^1([t-1, t]) \\ \left\| \nabla^2 e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h}} \Theta \right\|_{L^p} & \leq C(t-s)^{-1} \|\Theta\|_{L^p} \notin L^1([t-1, t]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can only estimate

$$\left\| \nabla^2 \int_{t-1}^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h}} [\nabla((g_s^{-1} - h_s^{-1}) * \nabla k_s)] ds \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left\| \nabla((g_s^{-1} - h_s^{-1}) * \nabla k_s) \right\|_{W^{1,p}},$$

but the right hand side contains third derivatives of k and thus, the iteration argument can not be closed. Instead, we put this part of the integral to the left hand side of the equation as follows: For an initial tensor k_1 , and a fixed time $t > 1$, we solve the equation

$$\partial_s k + \Delta_{L, h_\infty} k = 0, \quad \text{for } s \in [1, \max\{t-1, 1\}]$$

and afterwards the equation

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L, g^{(i)}, h^{(i)}} k = 0, \quad \text{for } s \in [\max\{t-1, 1\}, t].$$

Here, $\Delta_{L, g^{(i)}, h^{(i)}}$ is a slightly modified Lichnerowicz Laplacian which captures exactly the critical terms just discussed. It turns out that the associated evolution operator admits the same mapping properties as the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. For large t , the short-time estimates for $s \in [t-1, t]$ do not destroy the decay rates generated by the Lichnerowicz Laplacian for $s \in [1, t-1]$.

1.4. Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we describe the space of gauged Ricci-flat metrics \mathcal{F} in detail, study the asymptotics of its elements and derive sharp estimates for projection maps defined by elements in \mathcal{F} . In Section 3, we derive novel Shi-type estimates for L^p -norms under parabolic equations. In Section 4, a suitable integral expression for solutions of the Ricci-de Turck flow is derived. Section 5 is the technical core of the paper, in which we study the precise mapping properties of the iteration map which comes from the aforementioned integral expression. These estimates are used to establish the Ricci-de Turck flow as a fixed point of this map in Section 6 and to conclude the main Theorem 1.8. We conclude by proving the remaining theorems of the introduction at the end of Section 6.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was carried out while the authors were visiting the Institut Mittag-Leffler during the program *General Relativity, Geometry and Analysis* in Fall 2019, supported by the Swedish Research Council under grant no. 2016-06596. We wish to thank the institute for their hospitality and for the excellent working conditions provided. The work of the authors is supported through the DFG grant KR 4978/1-1 in the framework of the priority program 2026: *Geometry at infinity*.

2. THE SPACE OF GAUGED RICCI-FLAT METRICS

In order to set up the stability problem, we introduce the space of metrics we are considering and the space of gauged Ricci-flat metrics, which we show convergence to.

2.1. Asymptotic structure. Let from now on \mathcal{M} denote the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on M . As explained in the introduction, we will prove *dynamical stability*, i.e. that any Ricci flow *starting close to \hat{h}* converges to a Ricci-flat metric *near \hat{h}* . Due to the diffeomorphism invariance, the space of Ricci-flat metrics near \hat{h} is infinite dimensional within \mathcal{M} . In order to get a finite dimensional space of Ricci-flat metrics near \hat{h} , we therefore need to impose the de-Turck gauge. This corresponds to considering the following set

$$\mathcal{F} := \{h \in \mathcal{M} \mid 2\text{Ric}_h = \mathcal{L}_{V(h, \hat{h})}h\},$$

where $V(h, \hat{h})$ is the de-Turck vector field, defined by

$$\hat{h}(V(h, \hat{h}), \cdot) := \text{div}_h \hat{h} - \frac{1}{2}d(\text{tr}_h \hat{h})$$

or locally by

$$V(h, \hat{h})^l = h^{ij}(\Gamma(h)_{ij}^l - \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{h})_{ij}^l).$$

In [DK20, Section 2], it was shown that for any Ricci-flat ALE manifold (M, \hat{h}) and any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a $L^{[p, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{U} such that

$$\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{U} = \{h \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{Ric}_h = 0, V(h, \hat{h}) = 0\} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

In particular, if \hat{h} is integrable, then $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{U}$ is a smooth manifold.

For the analysis performed in this subsection we need weighted Sobolev spaces which are defined as follows: Fix a point $x \in M$ and pick a smooth function such that

$$\rho(y) = \sqrt{1 + d(x, y)^2},$$

for all $y \in M$ outside a compact set, where d is the Riemannian distance.

Definition 2.1. Let E be a Riemannian vector bundle over M . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted Sobolev space $W_{\delta}^{k, p}(V)$ is the space of V -valued sections $u \in W_{loc}^{k, p}(V)$

such that

$$\|u\|_{k,p,\delta} := \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\int_M |(\rho \nabla)^j u|^p \rho^{-\delta p - n} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. We also use the notation $L_\delta^p := W_\delta^{0,p}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (M, \hat{h}) be an integrable Ricci-flat ALE manifold with a parallel spinor and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then, there is an open $L^{[p, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of \hat{h} , such that if $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$h - \hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n}).$$

Proposition 2.2 is an improvement of Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.8 in [DK20], where it was proven that

$$h - \hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{1-n}).$$

The tool to improve this result is a result of our companion paper [KP20], which says that under the assumption of a parallel spinor, elements in the L^2 -kernel of Δ_L decay as r^{-n} , i.e.

$$\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n}). \quad (17)$$

By linearizing the defining equation in \mathcal{F} , we note that any $k \in T_h \mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$0 = \Delta_{L,h} k + \mathcal{L}_{\langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle} h, \text{ where} \\ \langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle^m := h^{iq} h^{jl} k_{ij} (\Gamma(h)_{ql}^m - \Gamma(\hat{h})_{ql}^m)$$

and from the proof of [DK20, Thm. 2.7], it follows that

$$T_h \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{1-n}). \quad (18)$$

The key to prove Proposition 2.2 is to first improve (18) as follows:

Proposition 2.3. *Let (M, \hat{h}) be an integrable Ricci-flat ALE manifold with a parallel spinor. Then there is a small $L^{[p, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{U} with the following properties*

- (i) $\dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L)$ is constant for all $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. In particular, we can choose for each $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ a set of tensors $\{e_1(h), \dots, e_m(h)\}$ smoothly depending on h that forms an $L^2(g)$ -orthonormal basis of $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L)$.
- (ii) For all $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$T_h \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n}).$$

Proof. Let us start with the proof of (i): Since \hat{h} is integrable, $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is a smooth manifold and all tangent spaces $T_h \mathcal{F}$ have the same dimension for $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. We first construct an injection $i : T_h \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$. Let $k \in T_h \mathcal{F}$. Then k satisfies

$$0 = \Delta_{L,h} k + \mathcal{L}_{\langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle} h$$

We now add a gauge term to k to get an element in $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$. More precisely, let X be a vector field and consider the tensor $\bar{k} = k + \mathcal{L}_X g$. Then we have, due to standard commutation formulas for operators on Ricci-flat metrics that

$$\Delta_{L,h} \bar{k} = \Delta_{L,h} (\mathcal{L}_X h) - \mathcal{L}_{\langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle} h = \mathcal{L}_{\Delta X} h - \mathcal{L}_{\langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle} h.$$

Thus we have to solve the equation

$$\Delta X = \langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle$$

in a suitable function space. Observe that $\Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \in H_{\delta_1}^i$ for $\delta_1 > -n$ and $k \in H_{\delta_2}^i$ for $\delta_2 > 1 - n$ (for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in both cases). Therefore, $\langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle \in H_{\delta}^i$ for $\delta > 1 - 2n$ and $i > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ due to the estimate

$$\left\| \langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle \right\|_{H_{\delta_1 + \delta_2}^i} \leq C \left\| \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \right\|_{H_{\delta_1}^i} \|k\|_{H_{\delta_2}^i}$$

Now the connection Laplacian $\Delta : H_{\delta}^i(TM) \rightarrow H_{\delta-2}^{i-2}(TM)$ is a Fredholm operator for the nonexceptional values $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{2 - n, 1 - n, -n, \dots\}$. Due to the identity $\Delta|X|^2 = -2|\nabla X|^2$ for harmonic vector fields, the maximum principle implies that every bounded harmonic vector field is parallel. On the other hand, since (M, g) is ALE and Ricci-flat, it cannot contain parallel vector fields, due to the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem. Therefore, we even have $\ker(\Delta) \cap H_{\delta}^i(TM) = \{0\}$ for $\delta < 1$. As a consequence, duality arguments (see e.g. [Pac13, Section 10]) imply that $\Delta : H_{\delta}^i(TM) \rightarrow H_{\delta-2}^{i-2}(TM)$ is an isomorphism for all $\delta \in (1 - n, 1) \setminus \{2 - n, 0\}$. Therefore, we find for each $\delta \in (1 - n, 1)$ and $i > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ a unique solution $X \in H_{\delta}^{i+2}(TM)$ of the equation

$$\Delta X = \langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle.$$

Moreover, the vector field X is the same for all possible choices for i and δ .

Now, $\Delta_L \bar{k} = 0$ and $\bar{k} \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L)$ because $\mathcal{L}_X h \in H_{\delta-1}^{i-1}(S^2 M) \subset H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}(S^2 M) = L^2(S^2 M)$. Therefore we can define the desired map by $i : k \mapsto k + \mathcal{L}_X h$ where $X \in H_{1-\frac{n}{2}}^i(TM)$ is defined as the unique solution of the above equation. This map is injective because

$$\|\mathcal{L}_X k\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}} \leq C \|X\|_{H_{1-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-2}} \leq C \|\Delta X\|_{H_{-1-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-2}} \leq C \left\| \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \right\|_{H_{-1}^{i-2}} \|k\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}}$$

and therefore,

$$\|i(k)\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}} \geq \|k\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}} - \|\mathcal{L}_X h\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|k\|_{H_{-\frac{n}{2}}^{i-1}},$$

provided that the neighbourhood \mathcal{U} is chosen small enough. We get

$$\dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h}) \geq \dim T_h \mathcal{F} = \dim T_{\hat{h}} \mathcal{F} = \dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}).$$

Because of (17), $\dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h}) = \dim \ker(\Delta_{L,h}) \cap H_{\delta}^i(S^2 M)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\delta \in (-n, 0)$. Choose δ nonexceptional. Due to a standard fact from functional analysis, the function $A \mapsto \dim \ker(A)$ is upper semi-continuous with respect to the operator norm on a fixed space of Fredholm operators. Therefore,

$$\dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h}) = \dim \ker_{H_{\delta}^i}(\Delta_{L,h}) \leq \dim \ker_{H_{\delta}^i}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}) = \dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}})$$

as well. We conclude (i).

For the proof of (ii), let k, X and \bar{k} as above. We know that

$$k \in T_h \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(r^{1-n}), k + \mathcal{L}_X h = \bar{k} \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(r^{-n}).$$

However, we also have seen that $X \in H_{\delta}^i(TM)$ for all $\delta > 1 - n$ and $i > \frac{n}{2} + 3$ so that Sobolev embedding implies

$$X \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(r^{\delta})$$

for all $\delta > 1 - n$. Standard arguments (c.f. [KP20, Proposition 4.3]), using the equation

$$\Delta X = \langle k, \Gamma(h) - \Gamma(\hat{h}) \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(r^{1-2n})$$

show that we actually have

$$X \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(r^{1-n}),$$

hence

$$\mathcal{L}_X h \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n}).$$

Therefore, $k \in T_h \mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$k = \bar{k} - \mathcal{L}_X h \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n}),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. This is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3 (ii). \square

2.2. A projection map onto \mathcal{F} . In the previous subsection, we developed some understanding of the space \mathcal{F} of gauged Ricci-flat metrics. We would like to construct a smooth map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

on some open neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of \hat{h} , provided that \mathcal{F} is a smooth manifold. The construction goes as follows. First define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}})^{\perp_{\hat{h}}} \times \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \\ (k, h) &\mapsto \left(h + k - \sum_{j=1}^m \langle k, e_j(h) \rangle_{L^2(h)} e_j(h) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $(e_j(h))_{j=1}^m$ is an $L^2(h)$ -orthonormal basis of $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$ (depending smoothly on h).

Remark 2.4. Note that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$. and that

$$\Psi(k, h) \in h + \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^{\perp_h}.$$

In fact, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there is an open $L^{[p,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood \mathcal{V} of $(0, \hat{h})$ such that*

$$\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is a diffeomorphism of Banach manifolds onto its image.

Proof. Due to (17), $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}) \subset L^{[p,\infty]}$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$. Now it is straightforward to see that $D\Psi_{(0,\hat{h})} = \text{id}$ with respect to the decomposition

$$(\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}})^{\perp} \cap L^{[p,\infty]}) \oplus \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}) \cong L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M),$$

where we have identified

$$T_{\hat{h}} \mathcal{F} = \ker(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}}).$$

The inverse function theorem now proves the claim. \square

Using the (smooth) projection map

$$\pi : \ker(\Delta_{L,\hat{h}})^{\perp} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

and the neighbourhood

$$\mathcal{U} := \Psi(\mathcal{V}),$$

we may use the previous lemma to define the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{U} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F} \\ g &\mapsto \pi \circ \Psi^{-1}(g). \end{aligned}$$

When we later will consider a Ricci flow g_t , we will through the map Φ always have a “shadowing” curve of Ricci-flat metrics $h_t := \Phi(g_t)$ which is such that

$$k_t := g_t - h_t \perp_{h_t} \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t}).$$

The goal will then be to show that k_t converges (fast enough) to 0 and that h_t converges to a limit metric, i.e. that the Ricci flow converges to a metric $g_\infty \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.3. Properties of projection maps. Throughout this subsection, let (M, \hat{h}) be an integrable Ricci-flat ALE manifold with a parallel spinor. Let further $p \in (1, \infty)$ and \mathcal{U} be an $L^{[p,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood which is so small that the projection map $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ from the previous subsection is defined. Since $h - \hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$, the appearing norms and covariant derivatives can be taken with respect to any $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

Here, we collect a few properties of projection maps. For $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$, let $\{e_i(h)\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$ which depends smoothly on h . For $p \in (1, \infty)$, we define the natural projection maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_h^\parallel : L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M) &\rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h}), & k &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m (k, e_i(h))_{L^2(h)} e_i(h), \\ \Pi_h^\perp : L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M) &\rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M), & k &\mapsto k - \sum_{i=1}^m (k, e_i(h))_{L^2(h)} e_i(h). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose \mathcal{U} small enough, the matrix

$$A_{ij} = (e_i(h), e_j(\bar{h}))_{L^2(\bar{h})}$$

is invertible for every pair $h, \bar{h} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. In other words, the map

$$\Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\parallel := \Pi_h^\parallel|_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})} : \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h}) \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\bar{h}})$$

is invertible.

Lemma 2.6. If $\Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\parallel$ is invertible, the map

$$\Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\perp := \Pi_h^\perp|_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp} : \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M) \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\bar{h}})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M)$$

is also invertible for every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and its inverse is given by

$$(\Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\perp)^{-1} = \text{id} - (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_h^\parallel. \quad (19)$$

Proof. For $\bar{k} \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\bar{h}})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M)$, let

$$k := \bar{k} - (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ (\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k})).$$

Then, $k \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2 M)$, because

$$\Pi_h^\parallel(k) = \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) - \Pi_h^\parallel \circ (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ (\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k})) = \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) - \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\perp(k) &= k - \Pi_h^\parallel(k) \\ &= \bar{k} - (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ (\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k})) - \Pi_h^\parallel[\bar{k} - (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ (\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}))] \\ &= \bar{k} - \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) - (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ (\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k})) + \Pi_h^\parallel \circ (\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) = \bar{k}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equation, we used that $\Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) = 0$ and that $(\Pi_{h,h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_h^\parallel(\bar{k}) \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,\bar{h}})$. Hence, $\Pi_{h,\bar{h}}^\perp$ is invertible because we constructed its inverse explicitly. \square

Remark 2.7. Later, we need to obtain estimates on the difference $(\Pi_{h_1, \bar{h}_1}^\perp)^{-1} - (\Pi_{h_2, \bar{h}_2}^\perp)^{-1}$, which a priori doesn't make sense as the operators are defined on different spaces. We can however make sense of this difference on all of $L^q(S^2M)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$ by using the right hand side of (19) as a definition. For convenience, we don't change the notation for this extension.

Lemma 2.8. For $g \in \mathcal{U}$, $D_g\Phi$ vanishes on $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M)$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{U}$ be fixed and consider a curve g_t in \mathcal{U} with $g_0 = g$. We may split $g_t = h_t + k_t$ where h_t is a curve in \mathcal{F} and $k_t \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t})^\perp$. Let $h = h_0$ and $k = k_0$. By the previous lemma, we can write $k_t = \Pi_{h_t}^\perp(\tilde{k}_t)$ with $\tilde{k}_t \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp$. Differentiating at $t = 0$ yields

$$g'_0 = h'_0 + \Pi_h^\perp(\tilde{k}'_t)|_{t=0} + D\Pi_{(h,\tilde{k}_t)}^\perp(h'_t)|_{t=0} = h'_0 + k'_0 + D\Pi_{(h,k)}(h'_0),$$

where $D\Pi_{(h,k)}$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\Pi_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F} \times L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M) \rightarrow L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M)$ in the first component at (h, k) . It is a map

$$D\Pi_{(h,k)} : T_h\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M).$$

With respect to the decomposition

$$L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M) = T_h\mathcal{F} \oplus \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M)$$

and corresponding projection maps

$$\text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} : L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M) \rightarrow T_h\mathcal{F},$$

$$\text{proj}_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp} : L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M) \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp \cap L^{[p,\infty]}(S^2M),$$

the differential $D_{h,k}\Psi$ reads

$$D_{h,k}\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} + \text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} \circ D\Pi_{(h,k)}(\cdot) & 0 \\ \text{proj}_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp} \circ D\Pi_{(h,k)}(\cdot) & \text{id}_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h = \Phi(g)$ and $k = g - h$. The differential of Φ is therefore given by

$$D_g\Phi = \text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} \circ (D_{h,k}\Psi)^{-1} = (\text{id}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} + \text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}} \circ D\Pi_{(h,k)}(\cdot))^{-1} \circ \text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}}, \quad (20)$$

and the assertion is immediate. \square

Lemma 2.9. If \mathcal{U} was chosen small enough, then for every $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$, the map

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel = \Pi_h^\parallel|_{T_h\mathcal{F}} : T_h\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$$

is invertible. In this case the projection maps $\text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}}$ and $\text{proj}_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp}$ are given by

$$\text{proj}_{T_h\mathcal{F}}(k) = (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k)),$$

$$\text{proj}_{\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp}(k) = \Pi_h^\perp(k - (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k))).$$

Proof. At first, we clearly have $(\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k)) \in T_h\mathcal{F}$ and $\Pi_h^\perp(k - (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k))) \perp \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})$ by construction. It thus remains that they add up to k . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k)) + \Pi_h^\perp(k - (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k))) \\ &= \Pi_h^\perp(k) + (\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\perp(k)) - \Pi_h^\perp(\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k)) \\ &= \Pi_h^\perp(k) + \Pi_h^\parallel(\Pi_{\mathcal{F},h}^\parallel)^{-1}(\Pi_h^\parallel(k)) \\ &= \Pi_h^\perp(k) + \Pi_h^\parallel(k) = k, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.10. Let $g, \bar{g} \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h, \bar{h}, h_1, \bar{h}_1, h_2, \bar{h}_2 \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

- (i) For all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (1, \infty]$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| \nabla^l \circ \Pi_h^\parallel(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C \|k\|_{L^q}.$$

- (ii) For all $q, r \in (1, \infty)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| \nabla^l \circ \Pi_h^\perp(k) \right\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\nabla^l k\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{L^r}).$$

- (iii) For all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (1, \infty]$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| \nabla^l \circ D\Phi_g(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C \|k\|_{L^q}.$$

- (iv) For all $q, r \in (1, \infty)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| \nabla^l \circ (\Pi_{h, \bar{h}}^\perp)^{-1}(k) \right\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|\nabla^l k\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{L^r}).$$

- (v) For all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (1, \infty]$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| (\Pi_h^\perp - \Pi_{\bar{h}}^\perp)(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C \|h - \bar{h}\|_{L^p} \|k\|_{L^q}.$$

- (vi) For all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (1, \infty]$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| (D\Phi_g - D\Phi_{\bar{g}})(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C \|g - \bar{g}\|_{L^{[p, \infty]}} \|k\|_{L^q}.$$

- (vii) For all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (1, \infty]$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(q, r, l, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left\| \nabla^l \circ [(\Pi_{h_1, \bar{h}_1}^\perp)^{-1} - (\Pi_{h_2, \bar{h}_2}^\perp)^{-1}](k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C(\|h_1 - h_2\|_{L^p} + \|\bar{h}_1 - \bar{h}_2\|_{L^p}) \|k\|_{L^q}$$

Proof. Recall that $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, h}) \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$, so that $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, h}) \subset W^{l, q}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q \in (1, \infty]$. This, (i) and (ii) follow immediately from the definition of the projection maps Π_h^\parallel and Π_h^\perp . From Lemma 2.9 and (20), we see that

$$D_g \Phi = A \circ \Pi_{\Phi(h)}^\parallel,$$

where $A : \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L, \Phi(g)}) \rightarrow T_{\Phi(g)}\mathcal{F}$ is a linear map between finite dimensional spaces. On both spaces, all elements are in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$ and all $W^{l, q}$ -norms are equivalent for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q \in (1, \infty]$. Therefore by using (i), we get

$$\left\| \nabla^l D\Phi_g(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq \left\| A \circ \Pi_{\Phi(h)}^\parallel(k) \right\|_{W^{l, r}} \leq C \left\| \Pi_{\Phi(h)}^\parallel(k) \right\|_{L^r} \leq C \|k\|_{L^q},$$

which proves (iii). For (iv), recall from the proof of Lemma 2.6 that

$$(\Pi_{h, \bar{h}}^\perp)^{-1} = \text{id} - (\Pi_{\bar{h}, h}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_h^\parallel.$$

The map $A = (\Pi_{\bar{h}, h}^\parallel)^{-1}$ is a linear map between finite-dimensional spaces on which all $W^{l, q}$ -norms are equivalent for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q \in (1, \infty]$. Therefore, again by using (i), we get

$$\left\| \nabla^l (\Pi_{h, \bar{h}}^\perp)^{-1} (\Pi_h^\parallel(k)) \right\|_{L^q} \leq \left\| A \circ \Pi_h^\parallel(k) \right\|_{W^{l, q}} \leq C \left\| \Pi_h^\parallel(k) \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \|k\|_{L^r},$$

which implies (iv). For (v), observe first that

$$(\Pi_h^\perp - \Pi_{\bar{h}}^\perp)(k) = (\Pi_h^\parallel - \Pi_{\bar{h}}^\parallel)(k).$$

By (i), we have a family of linear bounded maps $\nabla^l \circ \Pi_h^\perp : L^q \rightarrow L^r$ which depends smoothly on h , and in particular, the dependence is Lipschitz. This implies (v). From the construction of $D_g \Phi$ and (i), we also have a family of linear bounded maps $D_g \Phi : L^q \rightarrow L^r$ which depends

smoothly on g , and in particular, the dependence is Lipschitz. The estimate in (vi) is immediate. For the final point, we remark that using Remark 2.7, we may write

$$\nabla^l \circ [(\Pi_{h_1, \bar{h}_1}^\perp)^{-1} - (\Pi_{h_2, \bar{h}_2}^\perp)^{-1}] = \nabla^l \circ (\Pi_{h_2, h_2}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_{h_2}^\parallel - \nabla^l \circ (\Pi_{h_1, h_1}^\parallel)^{-1} \circ \Pi_{h_1}^\parallel.$$

By construction and the proof of part (iv), we have a family of bounded maps

$$\nabla^l \circ (\Pi_{h, \bar{h}}^\perp)^{-1} \circ \Pi_h^\parallel : L^q \rightarrow L^r$$

which is smooth in h and \bar{h} , in particular Lipschitz in both entries with respect to the L^p norm. This proves part (vii). \square

3. SHORT-TIME ESTIMATES FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

3.1. Various expansions for the Ricci-de Turck flow. Let h be a fixed Ricci-flat metric and consider h -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow, i.e. the evolution equation

$$\partial_t g = -2\text{Ric}_g + \mathcal{L}_{V(g, h)} g, \quad V(g, h)^k = g^{ij}(\Gamma(g)_{ij}^k - \Gamma(h)_{ij}^k).$$

Let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection and $|\cdot|$ the norm with respect to h .

Lemma 3.1. *The Ricci-de Turck flow can be written with respect to the difference $k = g - h$ as*

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L, g, h} k = F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k), \quad (21)$$

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L, h} k = F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) + F_2(g^{-1}, R, k, k) + F_3(g^{-1}, k, \nabla^2 k), \quad (22)$$

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_h k = F_4(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) + F_5(g^{-1}, g, R, k) + \nabla_a((g^{ab} - h^{ab})\nabla_b k_{ij}), \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Delta_{L, g, h} k_{ij} = -g^{ab}\nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} - k_{ab}g^{ka}h^{lb}g_{ip}h^{pq}R_{jklq} - k_{ab}g^{ka}h^{lb}g_{jp}h^{pq}R_{iklq},$$

$$\Delta_{L, h} k_{ij} = -h^{ab}\nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij},$$

and the F_i are h -parallel maps which are $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in all entries.

Proof. According to [Shi89, Lemma 2.1], this evolution equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_{ij} &= g^{ab}\nabla_{ab}^2 g_{ij} - g^{kl}g_{ip}h^{pq}R_{jklq} - g^{kl}g_{jp}h^{pq}R_{iklq} \\ &\quad + g^{ab}g^{pq}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i g_{pa}\nabla_j g_{qb} + \nabla_a g_{jp}\nabla_q g_{ib}\right) \\ &\quad - g^{ab}g^{pq}(\nabla_a g_{jp}\nabla_b g_{iq} - \nabla_j g_{pa}\nabla_b g_{iq} - \nabla_i g_{pa}\nabla_b g_{jq}), \end{aligned}$$

where the curvature and the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to h . If h is Ricci-flat, this equation can be rewritten in terms of the difference $k = g - h$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t k_{ij} &= g^{ab}\nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} + k_{ab}g^{ka}h^{lb}g_{ip}h^{pq}R_{jklq} + k_{ab}g^{ka}h^{lb}g_{jp}h^{pq}R_{iklq} \\ &\quad + g^{ab}g^{pq}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i k_{pa}\nabla_j k_{qb} + \nabla_a k_{jp}\nabla_q k_{ib}\right) \\ &\quad - g^{ab}g^{pq}(\nabla_a k_{jp}\nabla_b k_{iq} - \nabla_j k_{pa}\nabla_b k_{iq} - \nabla_i k_{pa}\nabla_b k_{jq}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (21) follows from setting

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) &:= g^{ab}g^{pq}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i k_{pa}\nabla_j k_{qb} + \nabla_a k_{jp}\nabla_q k_{ib}\right) \\ &\quad - g^{ab}g^{pq}(\nabla_a k_{jp}\nabla_b k_{iq} - \nabla_j k_{pa}\nabla_b k_{iq} - \nabla_i k_{pa}\nabla_b k_{jq}). \end{aligned}$$

For (22), we first write the Lichnerowicz Laplacian as

$$\Delta_{L, h} k_{ij} = -h^{ab}\nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} - k_{ab}h^{ka}h^{lb}R_{jkli} - k_{ab}h^{ka}h^{lb}R_{iklj}.$$

Note that the last two terms are equal but their separate treatment allows a better comparison with $\Delta_{L,g,h}$ from the previous lemma. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ka} g_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - h^{ka} R_{jkli} &= g^{ka} g_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - h^{ka} h_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} \\ &= g^{ka} (g_{ip} - h_{ip}) h^{pq} R_{jklq} + (g^{ka} - h^{ka}) h_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} \\ &= g^{ka} k_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - k_{mn} g^{km} h^{an} h_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} \\ &= g^{ka} k_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - k_{mn} g^{km} h^{an} R_{jkli} \end{aligned}$$

and by exchanging i and j ,

$$g^{ka} g_{jp} h^{pq} R_{iklq} - h^{ka} R_{iklj} = g^{ka} k_{jp} h^{pq} R_{iklq} - k_{mn} g^{km} h^{an} R_{iklj}.$$

By summing up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{L,h} k - \Delta_{L,g,h} k &= (g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} + k_{ab} h^{lb} (g^{ka} k_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - k_{mn} g^{km} h^{an} R_{jkli}) \\ &\quad + k_{ab} h^{lb} (g^{ka} k_{jp} h^{pq} R_{iklq} - k_{mn} g^{km} h^{an} R_{iklj}) \\ &=: (g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} + F_2(g^{-1}, R, k, k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} = -k_{pq} g^{ap} h^{bq} \nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} =: F_3(g^{-1}, k, \nabla^2 k).$$

Then, (22) follows from (21). Finally, (23) follows from computing

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 k_{ij} &= \nabla_a ((g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_b k_{ij}) - \nabla_a (g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_b k_{ij} \\ &= \nabla_a ((g^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_b k_{ij}) + g^{ap} g^{bq} \nabla_a k_{pq} \nabla_b k_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

setting

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) &:= F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) + g^{ap} g^{bq} \nabla_a k_{pq} \nabla_b k_{ij}, \\ F_5(g^{-1}, g, R, k) &:= k_{ab} g^{ka} h^{lb} g_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jklq} - k_{ab} g^{ka} h^{lb} g_{jp} h^{pq} R_{iklq} \end{aligned}$$

and using (21) again. \square

3.2. An L^p -maximum principle. A standard tool for parabolic equations are short-time derivative estimates of the form $\|\nabla^k u_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$. The main purpose of this chapter is to develop analogous estimates for the L^p norm. The main tool for doing this is the following theorem which we call the L^p -maximum principle.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M, h_t) $t \geq 0$ be a smooth 1-parameter family of ALE manifolds. Let g_t be a second 1-parameter family of complete Riemannian metrics on M such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} h_t \leq g_t \leq C \cdot h_t, \quad |\nabla^{h_t} g_t| \leq C < \infty$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and a time-independent constant $C > 0$.

Let E, F, G be tensor bundles over M equipped with the natural family of Riemannian metrics and connections induced by h_t . Let $u(t) \in C^\infty(E)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(t) &\in L^\infty(\text{End}(E)), \quad H_2(t) \in L^\infty(\text{Hom}(T^*M \otimes E, E)), \\ H_3(t) &\in L^\infty(\text{Hom}(T^*M \otimes E, TM \otimes E)), \quad H_4(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(E), \\ H_5(t) &\in L^\infty(\text{Hom}(E, F)), \quad H_6(t) \in L^\infty(\text{Hom}(E, G)) \end{aligned}$$

be time-dependent sections.

(i) Suppose that u satisfies the evolution inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |u|^2 &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |u|^2 + 2 \langle H_1(u) + H_2(\nabla u) + \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u) + H_4, u \rangle \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \delta) g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for some $\delta \in [0, 1)$. Then for every $p_0 \in (1 + \delta, \infty)$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the following holds: If $\|H_3\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$, $H_4 \in L^p(E)$ and $u(0) \in L^p$ for some $p \in [p_0, \infty)$, we have $u(t) \in L^p$ for all $t \geq 0$ and the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^p} \leq e^{\int_0^t \psi(s) ds} \|u(0)\|_{L^p} + \left\| e^{\int_s^t \psi(r) dr} \cdot H_4(s) \right\|_{L^p([0, t] \times M)},$$

where

$$\psi(t) = C \left(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1 \right)$$

and $C = C(p_0, \epsilon, g_t, h_t, n)$ but independent of p .

(ii) Suppose that u satisfies the evolution inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |u|^2 &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |u|^2 + \langle H_1(u) + H_2(\nabla u) + \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u) + H_4, u \rangle \\ &\quad - 2(1 + \delta) g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle + \langle \nabla * (H_5(u)) + \nabla * (H_6(\nabla u)), \nabla u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

for some $\delta \in [0, 1)$. Then for $1 + \delta < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the following holds: If $\|H_3\|_{L^\infty} + \|H_6\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$, $H_4 \in L^p(E)$ and $u(0) \in L^p$ for some $p \in [p_0, p_1]$, we have $u(t) \in L^p$ for all $t \geq 0$ and the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^p} \leq e^{\int_0^t \psi(s) ds} \|u(0)\|_{L^p} + \left\| e^{\int_s^t \psi(r) dr} \cdot H_4(s) \right\|_{L^p([0, t] \times M)},$$

where

$$\psi(t) = C \left(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_5\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1 \right)$$

and $C = C(p_0, p_1, \epsilon, g_t, h_t, n)$ but independent of p .

Proof. We start with the proof of (i) and first establish the desired estimate for $p < \infty$. Let $q = \frac{p}{2}$ and $\rho > 0$ a small parameter. Define $F = |k|^2$ and $F_\rho = |u|^2 + \rho$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_\rho^q &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 F_\rho^q - q(q-1) g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} - 2(1-\delta) q \cdot g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \\ &\quad + 2q \cdot \langle H_1(u) + H_2(\nabla u) + \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u) + H_4, u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Choose for each $x \in M$ and large $R > 0$ a cutoff function $\phi_{R,x}$ such that

$$\phi_{R,x} \equiv 1 \text{ on } B_R(x), \quad \phi_{R,x} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M \setminus B_{2R}(x), \quad |\nabla \phi_{R,x}| \leq 2/R, \quad |\nabla^2 \phi_{R,x}| \leq 8/R^2.$$

Let us define the quantity

$$A(R, \rho, t) = \sup_{x \in M} \int_M F_\rho^q(t) \cdot \phi_{R,x}^2 dV.$$

In order to do this, we multiply (24) by $\phi^2 := \phi_{R,x}^2$ and integrate over M . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV &= \int_M g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV - q(q-1) \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad - 2(1-\delta) q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q \int_M \langle H_1(u) + H_2(\nabla u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q \int_M \langle \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u) + H_4, u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Performing integration by parts with the first term yields

$$\int_M g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV = -q \int_M \nabla_b F \cdot F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla_a g^{ab} \cdot \phi^2 dV - 2q \int_M \nabla_b F \cdot F_\rho^{q-1} g^{ab} \nabla_a \phi \cdot \phi dV$$

We get, using the Peter-Paul inequality

$$\begin{aligned} -q \int_M \nabla_b F \cdot F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla_a g^{ab} \cdot \phi^2 dV &\leq C \cdot q \int_M |\nabla u| |u| |\nabla g| F_\rho^{q-1} \cdot \phi^2 dV \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon_1) \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \cdot |\nabla g|^2 \cdot \phi^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

Because $g^{ab} \nabla_a F \nabla_b \phi \leq C |\nabla u| |u| |\nabla \phi|$, another application of the Peter-Paul inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} -2q \int_M \nabla_b F \cdot F_\rho^{q-1} g^{ab} \nabla_a \phi \cdot \phi dV &\leq \epsilon_1 \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon_1) \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \cdot |\nabla \phi|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_M \langle H_2(\nabla u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV &\leq \epsilon_1 \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon_1) \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

We easily get

$$2q \int_M \langle H_1(u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \leq 2q \|H_1\|_{L^\infty} \int_M F_\rho^q \cdot \phi^2 dV.$$

Using Young's inequality $ab \leq \frac{1}{p'} a^{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} b^{q'}$ for $a = |H_4|$, $b = F_\rho^{q-\frac{1}{2}}$, $p' = 2q$ and $q' = \frac{2q}{2q-1}$ yields

$$2q \int_M \langle H_4, u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \leq \int_M |H_4|^{2q} \phi^2 dV + (2q-1) \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV.$$

Let us now look at the remaining term. Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_M \langle \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV &= -2q \int_M \langle (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u, \nabla_a u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 \\ &\quad - 2q(q-1) \int_M \langle (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u, u \rangle \nabla_a F \cdot F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad - 4q \int_M \langle (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u, u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla \phi \cdot \phi dV. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} -2q(q-1) \int_M \langle (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u, u \rangle \nabla_a F \cdot F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV &= -q(q-1) \int_M (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b F \nabla_a F \cdot F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\leq q(q-1) \int_M |H_3| |\nabla F|^2 F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Peter Paul inequality again, we get

$$-4q \int_M \langle (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u, u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla \phi \cdot \phi dV = -2q \int_M (H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b F \cdot F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla \phi \cdot \phi dV$$

$$\leq q \int_M |H_3| |\nabla F|^2 F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV + q \int_M |H_3| |\nabla \phi|^2 \cdot F_\rho^q dV.$$

Summing up and using $|\nabla u|^2 \leq C g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_M \langle \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV &\leq C q^2 \int_M |H_3| g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q \int_M |H_3| |\nabla \phi|^2 \cdot F_\rho^q dV. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing all the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV &\leq -[q(q-1) - C q^2 \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}] \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \delta - \epsilon_1) q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(1 + \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot q \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 F_\rho^q dV + \int_M |H_4|^{2q} \phi^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that the sum of the first two terms is nonpositive, provided that ϵ_1 and $\|H_1\|_{L^\infty}$ are chosen small enough. If $q \geq 2$, it is immediate. Before proceeding with the other cases, note first that by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle \leq 4g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, u \rangle \langle \nabla_b u, u \rangle \leq 4g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} -[q(q-1) - C q^2 \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}] \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ - 2(1 - \delta - \epsilon_1) q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ \leq -q(q-1) \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ - 2(1 - \delta - \epsilon_1 - 2Cq \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $q \in [1, 2]$, the right hand side is nonpositive, provided that ϵ_1 and $\|H_3\|_{L^\infty}$ are small enough. If $p_0 \in (1, 2)$ and $q \in [\frac{p_0}{2}, 1]$, we use the above inequality again to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -q(q-1) \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ - 2(1 - \delta - \epsilon_1 - 2Cq \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ \leq -2(2q - 1 - \delta - \epsilon_1 - 2Cq \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot q \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is nonpositive, provided that $\epsilon_1 + 2C \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}$ is smaller than a constant which depends on p_0 but is independent of q . We arrive at the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV &\leq C(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(1 + \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}) R^{-2} \cdot q \int_{B_{2R}} F_\rho^q dV + \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviate

$$\psi(t) = \frac{C}{2}(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1).$$

Integrating this differential inequality in time, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F_\rho^q(t) \phi^2 dV &\leq \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(s) ds\right) \int_M F_\rho^q(0) \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \left(C(1 + \|H_3\|_{L^\infty})R^{-2} \cdot q \int_{B_{2R}} F_\rho^q dV + \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q}\right) ds \\ &\leq \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, 0) + C_{doubl} \cdot CR^{-2} \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of A and the fact that we can cover $B_{2R}(x)$ by C_{doubl} balls of radius R . By taking the supremum over all $x \in M$ on the left hand side, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} A(R, \rho, t) &\leq \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, 0) \\ &\quad + C_{doubl} \cdot CR^{-2} \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By a variant of Gronwall's lemma (c.f. [MPF91, p. 356]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(R, \rho, t) &\leq \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, 0) + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} ds \\ &\quad + \gamma(t) \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s \beta(r) \gamma(r) dr\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) A(R, \rho, 0) + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} ds, \\ \beta(t) &= C_{doubl} \cdot CR^{-2} \exp\left(-2q \cdot \int_0^s \psi(r) dr\right) ds, \\ \gamma(t) &= \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using $p = 2q$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^p}^p &= \|F(t)\|_{L^q}^q \leq \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|F(0)\|_{L^q}^q + \int_0^t \exp\left(2q \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} ds \\ &\leq \exp\left(p \cdot \int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|u(0)\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^t \exp\left(p \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^p}^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

With

$$x(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|u(0)\|_{L^p}, \quad y(t) = \left(\int_0^t \left[\exp\left(\int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^p}\right]^p ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the elementary inequality

$$(x(t)^p + y(t)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq |x(t)| + |y(t)|,$$

we finally get

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \exp\left(\int_0^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|u(0)\|_{L^p} + \left(\int_0^t \exp\left(p \cdot \int_s^t \psi(r) dr\right) \|H_4\|_{L^p}^p ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $p < \infty$ with the function ψ chosen independently of p . This finishes the proof of (i).

For the proof of (ii), we proceed as in the first part and we also use the notation from the beginning of the proof. We have to deal with two additional terms

$$2q \int_M \langle \nabla * (H_5(u)) + \nabla * (H_6(\nabla u)), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV.$$

For the first term, we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_M \langle \nabla * (H_5(u)), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV &= 2q \int_M \langle H_5(u), \nabla u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q(q-1) \int_M \langle H_5(u), u \rangle \nabla F \cdot F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 4q \int_M \langle H_5(u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla \phi \cdot \phi dV \\ &\leq 2q\epsilon_1 \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV + C(\epsilon_1)q \int_M |H_5|^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + \epsilon_1 \cdot q(q-1) \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV + C(\epsilon_1)q(q-1) \int_M |H_5|^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q \int_M |H_5|^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV + 2q \int_M F_\rho^q |\nabla \phi|^2 dV \\ &= 2q\epsilon_1 \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV + \epsilon_1 \cdot q(q-1) \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon_1)q(q+1) \int_M |H_5|^2 F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV + 2q \int_M F_\rho^q |\nabla \phi|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

The second term is treated as

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_M \langle \nabla * (H_6 * \nabla u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV &= 2q \int_M \langle H_6 * \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2q(q-1) \int_M \langle H_6(\nabla u), u \rangle \nabla F \cdot F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV + 4q \int_M \langle H_6(\nabla u), u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \nabla \phi \cdot \phi dV \\ &\leq Cq(q+1) \|H_6\|_{L^\infty} \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV + 2q \|H_6\|_{L^\infty} \int_M F_\rho^q |\nabla \phi|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing with the terms of part (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV &\leq -[(1 - \epsilon_1)q(q-1) - Cq^2 \|H_3\|_{L^\infty}] \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a F, \nabla_b F \rangle F_\rho^{q-2} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad - [2(1 - \delta - 2\epsilon_1)q - Cq(q+1) \|H_6\|_{L^\infty}] \int_M g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle F_\rho^{q-1} \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(\|H_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|H_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + q \cdot \|H_5\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) \cdot q \int_M F_\rho^q \phi^2 dV \\ &\quad + C(1 + \|H_3\|_{L^\infty} + \|H_6\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot q \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 F_\rho^q dV + \int_M |H_4|^{2q} \phi^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Because there are terms containing H_5 and H_6 which are quadratic in q , we are not able to prove an estimate uniform in q for all large q . However, assuming additionally a bound $2q = p \leq p_1 < \infty$, we may proceed as in part (i) to finish the proof of part (ii). \square

3.3. Short-time estimates for the heat flow of the modified Lichnerowicz Laplacian.

In this section, we establish shorttime estimates for solutions of the linear heat equation

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L,g,h} k = 0. \quad (25)$$

We assume that both g and h depend on time and that $h_t \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} are as in Proposition 2.2. The involved scalar products and covariant derivatives appearing here are induced by h and hence also depend on time.

Lemma 3.3. Let k_t , $t \in [0, T]$ be a solution of the above evolution equation with initial data k_0 and $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$. and $p \in [p_0, \infty)$. Assume further that $\sup_t \|g\|_{W^{1,\infty}} < C < \infty$.

- (i) If $p \in [p_0, \infty)$, $l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k_0 \in W^{l,p}$, then $k(t) \in W^{l,p}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and we have

$$\|k_t\|_{W^{l,p}} \leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|k_0\|_{W^{l,p}} \quad (26)$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g, h, \epsilon, p_0, T, l)$.

- (ii) If $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ and $k_0 \in W^{2,p}$, then $k_t \in W^{2,p}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and we have

$$\|k_t\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|k_0\|_{W^{2,p}} \quad (27)$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g, h, \epsilon, p_0, T, l)$.

- (iii) If $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ and $k_0 \in W^{1,p}$, then $k_t \in W^{2,p}$ for all $t > 0$ and we have

$$\|k_t\|_{W^{1,p}} + C_2 \cdot t^{1/2} \cdot \|\nabla^2 k_t\|_{L^p} \leq e^{C_3 \cdot t} \|k_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \quad (28)$$

for some constants $C_i = C_i(n, g_0, \epsilon, p_0, T)$, $i = 2, 3$.

- (iv) If $p \in [p_0, \infty)$, $q \in [p, \infty)$, $k_0 \in L^q$ and $\nabla k_0 \in L^p$, then $\nabla k_t \in L^p$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and we have

$$\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^p} \leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} (\|\nabla k_0\|_{L^p} + \|k_0\|_{L^q})$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g_0, \epsilon, T, p_0)$.

- (v) If $p \in [p_0, p_1]$, $q \in [p, \infty)$, $k_0 \in W^{1,q}$ and $\nabla^2 k_0 \in L^p$, then $\nabla^2 k_t \in L^p$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and we have

$$\|\nabla^2 k_t\|_{L^p} \leq e^{C_2 \cdot t} (\|\nabla^2 k_0\|_{L^p} + \|k_0\|_{W^{1,q}})$$

for some constant $C_2 = C_2(n, g_0, \epsilon, T, p_0)$.

Proof. We start by proving part (i). Under the evolution equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |k|^2 &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |k|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a k, \nabla_b k \rangle + 2\langle \hat{R}[k] + \partial_t h * k, k \rangle \\ \partial_t |\nabla k|^2 &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\nabla k|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \nabla k, \nabla_b \nabla k \rangle + 2\langle [\nabla, g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] k + \nabla \hat{R}[k], \nabla k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_t h * \nabla k + \nabla \partial_t h * k, \nabla k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Because we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla, g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] k &= \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 k + g^{-1} * R * \nabla k + g^{-1} * \nabla R * k \\ \hat{R}[k] &= g^{-1} * g * R * k, \end{aligned}$$

part (i) follows from Theorem 3.2 (i) applied to

$$u = k \in C^\infty(S^2 M), \quad u = (k, \nabla k) \in C^\infty(S^2 M \oplus T^* M \otimes S^2 M).$$

It is convenient to prove (iv) now. In this case, we apply Theorem 3.2 to $u = \nabla k \in C^\infty(S^2M)$ and regard the terms containing k as part of the inhomogeneity. All these terms are of the form $\nabla \partial_t h * k$ and $\nabla R * k$. Because

$$\|\nabla \partial_t h\|_{L^r} + \|\nabla R\|_{L^r} < \infty,$$

for all $r \in (1, \infty]$, $\nabla \partial_t h * k$ and $\nabla R * k$ are actually in L^p . Therefore, an application of Theorem 3.2 together with short-time estimates for the L^q -norm of k proves part (iv). For (ii), we additionally compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\nabla^2 k|^2 &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\nabla^2 k|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \nabla^2 k, \nabla_b \nabla^2 k \rangle + 2 \langle [\nabla^2, g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] k + \nabla^2 \hat{R}[k], \nabla^2 k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_t h * \nabla^2 k + \nabla^2 \partial_t h * k + \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla k, \nabla^2 k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla^2, g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] k &= [\nabla^2, g^{ab}] \nabla_{ab}^2 k + g^{ab} [\nabla^2, \nabla_{ab}] k \\ &= \nabla (\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 k) + \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^3 k + \nabla^2 R * k + \nabla R * \nabla k + R * \nabla^2 k, \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite the latter equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\nabla^2 k|^2 &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\nabla^2 k|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \nabla^2 k, \nabla_b \nabla^2 k \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla (\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 k + \nabla \hat{R}[k]), \nabla^2 k \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^3 k + \nabla^2 R * k + \nabla R * \nabla k + R * \nabla^2 k, \nabla^2 k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_t h * \nabla^2 k + \nabla^2 \partial_t h * k + \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla k, \nabla^2 k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part (ii) follows from Theorem 3.2 (ii), applied to

$$u = (k, \nabla k, \nabla^2 k) \in C^\infty(S^2M \oplus T^*M \otimes S^2M \oplus T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes S^2M).$$

We have to apply the extended version of the maximum principle because we have to deal with the term $\nabla (\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 k + \nabla \hat{R}[k])$ without getting second derivatives of g^{-1} . Now we prove (v). Similarly as in the proof of (iv), we regard the terms in the evolution of $\nabla^2 k$ which contain k and ∇k as a part of the inhomogeneity. All these terms are of the form

$$\nabla^2 \partial_t h * k, \quad \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla k, \quad \nabla^2 R * k, \quad \nabla R * \nabla k.$$

Because

$$\|\nabla \partial_t h\|_{W^{1,r}} + \|\nabla R\|_{W^{1,r}} < \infty,$$

for all $r \in (1, \infty]$, these products are all in L^p . We can thus apply Theorem 3.2 (i) together with short-time estimates for the $W^{1,q}$ -norm of k to get (v).

For part (iii), we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k)|^2 &= \partial_t (A^2 t |\nabla^2 k|^2) \\ &= A^2 |\nabla^2 k|^2 + A^2 t (g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\nabla^2 k|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \nabla^2 k, \nabla_b \nabla^2 k \rangle) \\ &\quad + 2A^2 t \left(\langle \nabla (\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 k + \nabla \hat{R}[k]) + \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^3 k + \nabla R * k + R * \nabla k, \nabla^2 k \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + A^2 t \left(\langle \partial_t h * \nabla^2 k + \nabla^2 \partial_t h * k + \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla k, \nabla^2 k \rangle \right) \\ &= A^2 |\nabla^2 k|^2 + \left(g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k)|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k), \nabla_b \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \nabla (\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) + At^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \hat{R}[k]), \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^3 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) + At^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla R * k + At^{\frac{1}{2}} R * \nabla k, \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_t h * \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) + At^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^2 \partial_t h * k + At^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla k, \nabla^2 (At^{\frac{1}{2}} k) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part (iii) then also follows from Theorem 3.2 (ii), applied to

$$u = (k, \nabla k, \nabla^2(At^{\frac{1}{2}}k)) \in C^\infty(S^2M \oplus T^*M \otimes S^2M \oplus T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes S^2M),$$

where A has to be chosen small in dependence of p_0 . \square

Lemma 3.4. Let $t \in [0, T]$, g_t, \tilde{g}_t be families of Riemannian metrics on M and h_t, \tilde{h}_t be families of Ricci-flat metrics in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} are as in Proposition 2.2. Take all covariant derivatives and norms with respect to h_t and suppose that $\|g_t\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\tilde{g}_t\|_{W^{1,\infty}} < \infty$. Let $1 < p_0 \leq p \leq p_1 < \infty$ and suppose that $\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = \epsilon(p_0, p_1) > 0$ is a small constant. Let k_t, \tilde{k}_t , $t \in [0, T]$ be solutions of the evolution equations

$$\partial_t k + \Delta_{L,g,h} k = 0, \quad \partial_t \tilde{k} + \Delta_{L,\tilde{g},\tilde{h}} \tilde{k} = 0$$

with initial data k_0, \tilde{k}_0 , respectively.

- (i) If $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $k_0, \tilde{k}_0 \in W^{l,p}$, then $k_t - \tilde{k}_t \in W^{l,p}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_t - \tilde{k}_t\|_{W^{l,p}} &\leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|k_0 - \tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{l,p}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{2+l,\infty}} \right) e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|\tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{l,p}} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g, h, \epsilon, p_0, p_1, l)$.

- (ii) If $k_0, \tilde{k}_0 \in W^{1,p}$, then $k_t - \tilde{k}_t \in W^{2,p}$ for all $t > 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_t - \tilde{k}_t\|_{W^{1,p}} + C_1 \cdot t^{1/2} \cdot \|\nabla^2(k_t - \tilde{k}_t)\|_{L^p} &\leq e^{C_2 \cdot t} \|k_0 - \tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) e^{C_3 \cdot t} \|\tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_i = C_i(n, g_0, \epsilon, p_0, T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

- (iii) If $k_0, \tilde{k}_0 \in L^p$, then $k_t - \tilde{k}_t \in W^{2,p}$ for all $t > 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_t - \tilde{k}_t\|_{W^{1,p}} + C_1 \cdot t^{1/2} \cdot \|\nabla(k_t - \tilde{k}_t)\|_{L^p} + C_2 \cdot t \cdot \|\nabla^2(k_t - \tilde{k}_t)\|_{L^p} \\ \leq e^{C_3 \cdot t} \|k_0 - \tilde{k}_0\|_{L^p} + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) e^{C_4 \cdot t} \|\tilde{k}_0\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_i = C_i(n, g_0, \epsilon, p_0, T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

- (iv) If $q \in [p, p_1]$, $k(0), \tilde{k}_0 \in L^q$ and $\nabla k_0, \nabla \tilde{k}_0 \in L^p$, then $\nabla(k_t - \tilde{k}_t) \in L^p$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(k_t - \tilde{k}_t)\|_{L^p} &\leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} \left(\|\nabla(k_0 - \tilde{k}_0)\|_{L^p} + \|k_0 - \tilde{k}_0\|_{L^q} \right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \right) e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|\nabla \tilde{k}_0\|_{L^p} \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \right) e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|\tilde{k}_0\|_{L^q} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g_0, \epsilon, p_0, p_1)$.

- (v) If $q \in [p, p_1]$, $k_0, \tilde{k}_0 \in W^{1,q}$ and $\nabla^2 k_0, \nabla^2 \tilde{k}_0 \in L^p$, then $\nabla^2(k_t - \tilde{k}_t) \in L^p$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2(k_t - \tilde{k}_t)\|_{L^p} &\leq e^{C_1 \cdot t} \left(\|\nabla^2(k_0 - \tilde{k}_0)\|_{L^p} + \|k_0 - \tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{1,q}} \right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|\nabla^2 \tilde{k}_0\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{3, \infty}} \right) e^{C_1 \cdot t} \|\tilde{k}_0\|_{W^{1, q}}$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, g_0, \epsilon, p_0, p_1)$.

Proof. We have the evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t k &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 k + R_{g, h}[k], \\ \partial_t \tilde{k} &= \tilde{g}^{ab} \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 \tilde{k} + R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] = g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \tilde{k} + (\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}], \\ &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \tilde{k} + \nabla_a[(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) \nabla_b \tilde{k}] - [\nabla_a(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab})] \nabla_b \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \\ \partial_t(k - \tilde{k}) &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2(k - \tilde{k}) + (g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) \nabla_{ab}^2 \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\nabla_{ab}^2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{g, h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \\ &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2(k - \tilde{k}) + \nabla_a[(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) \nabla_b \tilde{k}] - [\nabla_a(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab})] \nabla_b \tilde{k} \\ &\quad + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\nabla_{ab}^2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{g, h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{g, h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] &= (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \tilde{g} * \tilde{R} * \tilde{k} + g^{-1} * (g - \tilde{g}) * \tilde{R} * \tilde{k} \\ &\quad + g^{-1} * g * (R - \tilde{R}) * \tilde{k} + g^{-1} * g * R * (k - \tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \tilde{k} - \tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{k} &= \nabla^2(h - \tilde{h}) * \tilde{g}^{-1} * \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{-1} * \nabla(h - \tilde{h}) * \nabla(h - \tilde{h}) * \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{-1} * \nabla(h - \tilde{h}) * \nabla \tilde{k}, \\ R - \tilde{R} &= \nabla^2(h - \tilde{h}) * \tilde{g}^{-1} + \tilde{g}^{-1} * \nabla(h - \tilde{h}) * \nabla(h - \tilde{h}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus all these terms are easy to handle because they are at most first order in \tilde{k} and $k - \tilde{k}$. We have the evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\tilde{k}|^2 &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |\tilde{k}|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a \tilde{k}, \nabla_b \tilde{k} \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla_a[(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) \nabla_b \tilde{k}], \tilde{k} \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \nabla_a[g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}] \nabla_b \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}], \tilde{k} \rangle, \\ \partial_t |k - \tilde{k}|^2 &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |k - \tilde{k}|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a(k - \tilde{k}), \nabla_b(k - \tilde{k}) \rangle + \langle \nabla_a[(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) \nabla_b \tilde{k}], k - \tilde{k} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle [\nabla_a(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab})] \nabla_b \tilde{k} + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\nabla_{ab}^2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{g, h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}], k - \tilde{k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The crucial point in applying Theorem 3.2 is to handle the off diagonal terms appropriately. For this purpose, we write

$$u = (u_1, u_2) = (k - \tilde{k}, AB\tilde{k}) \in C^\infty(S^2 M \oplus S^2 M),$$

where

$$A := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{2, \infty}} \right\},$$

and $B > 0$ is a constant which is yet to be chosen. The evolution on

$$|u|^2 = |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = |k - \tilde{k}|^2 + A^2 B^2 |\tilde{k}|^2,$$

reads

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |u|^2 &\leq g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 |u|^2 - 2g^{ab} \langle \nabla_a u, \nabla_b u \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla_a[(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) \nabla_b u_2], u_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \nabla_a[g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}] \nabla_b u_2 + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(u_2) + R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[u_2], u_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{AB} \langle \nabla_a[(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) \nabla_b u_2] + [\nabla_a(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab})] \nabla_b u_2 + \tilde{g}^{ab} (\nabla_{ab}^2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2)(u_2), u_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle R_{g, h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}], u_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $B > 0$ so large that

$$\frac{1}{AB} |(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) \nabla_b u_2| \leq \frac{1}{B} |\nabla u| \leq \epsilon |\nabla u|,$$

where we used the definition of A . It is also straightforward to see that

$$\frac{1}{AB} \left(|[\nabla_a (\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab})] \nabla_b u_2| + |\tilde{g}^{ab} (\nabla_{ab}^2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2)(u_2)| \right) \leq C(|\nabla u_2| + |u_2|)$$

where C is independent of A by the definition of A . Similarly, one also shows that

$$|R_{g,h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}]| \leq C(|u_1| + |u_2|) \leq C|u|$$

with C independent of A . For this reason, we can write schematically

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \nabla_a [(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) \nabla_b u_2], u_2 + \frac{1}{AB} u_1 \rangle &= \langle \nabla_a ((H_3)^{ab} \nabla_b u), u \rangle \\ \langle \nabla_a [g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}] \nabla_b u_2, u_2 + \frac{1}{AB} u_1 \rangle &= \langle H_2(u), u \rangle \\ \langle \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(u_2), u_2 + \frac{1}{AB} u_1 \rangle &= \langle H_1(u) + H_2(\nabla u), u \rangle \\ \langle R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[u_2], u_2 \rangle + \langle R_{g,h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}], u_1 \rangle &= \langle H_1(u), u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the H_i satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.2, with $E = S^2 M \oplus S^2 M$. Note that the scalar products are taken on $S^2 M$ on the left hand side and on $S^2 M \oplus S^2 M$ on the right hand side. Applying Theorem 3.2 yields (i) for $l = 0$.

Before we continue estimating derivatives, we remark that for any $(2, 0)$ -tensor v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla, v^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] k &= \nabla v * \nabla^2 k + v * R * \nabla k + v * \nabla R * k \\ [\nabla^2, v^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2] &= \nabla(\nabla v * \nabla^2 k) + \nabla v * \nabla^3 k + v * \nabla^2 R * k + v * \nabla R * \nabla k + v * R * \nabla^2 k \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \nabla \tilde{k} &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \nabla \tilde{k} + \nabla \left\{ \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \right\} + \nabla_a [(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) * \nabla_b \nabla \tilde{k}] \\ &\quad + \nabla \partial_t h * \tilde{k} + \partial_t h * \nabla \tilde{k} \\ &\quad + \nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * R * \nabla \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla R * \tilde{k} \\ \partial_t \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) + \nabla \left\{ \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{g,h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \right\} \\ &\quad + \nabla_a [(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) * \nabla_b \nabla \tilde{k}] + \nabla \partial_t h * (k - \tilde{k}) + \partial_t h * \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) \\ &\quad + \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * R * \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * \nabla R * (k - \tilde{k}) \\ &\quad + \nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * R * \nabla \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla R * \tilde{k} \\ \partial_t \nabla^2 \tilde{k} &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{k} + \nabla^2 \left\{ \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \right\} + \nabla_a [(\tilde{g}^{ab} - g^{ab}) * \nabla_b \nabla^2 \tilde{k}] \\ &\quad + \nabla^2 \partial_t h * \tilde{k} + \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla \tilde{k} + \partial_t h * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} \\ &\quad + \nabla(\nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 \tilde{k}) + \nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^3 \tilde{k} \\ &\quad + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 R * \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla R * \nabla \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * R * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} \\ \partial_t \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}) &= g^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}) + \nabla^2 \left\{ \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_{ab}^2 - \nabla_{ab}^2)(\tilde{k}) + R_{g,h}[k] - R_{\tilde{g},\tilde{h}}[\tilde{k}] \right\} \\ &\quad + \nabla_a [(g^{ab} - \tilde{g}^{ab}) * \nabla_b \nabla^2 \tilde{k}] \\ &\quad + \nabla^2 \partial_t h * (k - \tilde{k}) + \nabla \partial_t h * \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) + \partial_t h * \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}) \\ &\quad + \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * R * \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * \nabla R * (k - \tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * R * \nabla \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla R * \tilde{k} \\
& + \nabla(\nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 \tilde{k}) + \nabla(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^3 \tilde{k} \\
& + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla^2 R * \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * \nabla R * \nabla \tilde{k} + (g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}) * R * \nabla^2 \tilde{k} \\
& + \nabla(\nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k})) + \nabla g^{-1} * \nabla^3(k - \tilde{k}) \\
& + g^{-1} * \nabla^2 R * (k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * \nabla R * \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) + g^{-1} * R * \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k})
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we sketch to which expressions we have to apply Theorem 3.2 in order to get all the other cases of the Lemma. The details are left to the reader.

The cases $l = 1, 2$ in (i) follows from applying Theorem 3.2 to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (k - \tilde{k}, AB\tilde{k}, \nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla\tilde{k}) \in C^\infty(S^2M^{\oplus 2}, (T^*M \otimes S^2M)^{\oplus 2}), \\
A &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{3, \infty}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (k - \tilde{k}, AB\tilde{k}, \nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla\tilde{k}, \nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla^2\tilde{k}) \\
&\in C^\infty((\mathbb{R} \oplus T^*M \oplus T^*M^{\otimes 2}) \otimes S^2M^{\oplus 2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4, \infty}} \right\},$$

and in both cases, $B > 0$ is a constant which is suitably chosen. To prove (ii), we apply Theorem 3.2 to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (k - \tilde{k}, AB_1\tilde{k}, \nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB_1\nabla\tilde{k}, AB_2t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}), AB_1B_2t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2\tilde{k}), \\
A &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4, \infty}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 > 0$ is a large constant and $B_2 > 0$ is a small one. To prove (iii), we apply it to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (k - \tilde{k}, AB_1\tilde{k}, B_2t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB_1B_2t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\tilde{k}, B_3t\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}), AB_1B_3t\nabla^2\tilde{k}), \\
A &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4, \infty}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 > 0$ is a large constant and $B_2, B_3 > 0$ is a small ones. Case (iv) follows from applying Theorem 3.2 to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (\nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla\tilde{k}), \\
A &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{3, \infty}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B > 0$ is a large constant. Here, the terms $k - \tilde{k}, AB\tilde{k}$ are treated as inhomogeneities, which can be bounded using (i), c.f. also the proof of the previous lemma. Similarly, (v) follows from applying Theorem 3.2 to

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= (\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla^2\tilde{k}), \\
A &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4, \infty}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

with a large constant $B > 0$. Here, the terms $k - \tilde{k}, AB\tilde{k}, \nabla(k - \tilde{k}), AB\nabla\tilde{k}$ are treated as inhomogeneities. \square

3.4. Short-time estimates for the Ricci-de-Turck flow. Consider a Ricci-flat background metric h and the h -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow $g(t)$ written with respect to $k(t) = g(t) - h$ as in (23). We abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} R[k] &= F_5(g^{-1}, g, R, k) \\ Q_0[k] &= F_4(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) \\ Q_1[k] &= ((h + k)^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_b k_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

with covariant derivatives, Laplacians and curvature of h . Thus if k evolves according to (23), we have

$$\partial_t |k|^2 + \Delta |k|^2 = -2|\nabla k|^2 + 2\langle R[k] + Q_0[k] + \nabla Q_1[k], k \rangle$$

and for covariant derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\nabla^l k|^2 + \Delta |\nabla^l k|^2 &= 2\langle [\nabla^l, \Delta]k + \nabla^l(R[k] + Q_0[k]) + [\nabla^l, \nabla Q_1][k] + \nabla Q_1[\nabla^l k], \nabla^l k \rangle \\ &\quad - 2|\nabla^{l+1} k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ be a solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with Ricci-flat background metric h and $k(t) := g(t) - h$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|k(t)\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_m = C(m, \epsilon, g_0, T)$ such that

$$\|\nabla^m k(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_m \cdot t^{-m/2} \cdot \|k(0)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Proof. This is a standard shorttime existence result, see c.f. [Bam14, Proposition 2.8], which easily carries over to the present situation. \square

Lemma 3.6. For every $\delta > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and constants C_l , $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function

$$F_m(t) := \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \cdot |\nabla^l k(t)|^2, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

satisfies the evolution inequality

$$\partial_t F_m + \Delta F_m \leq -(2 - \delta)G_m + D_m \cdot F_m + 2 \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \cdot \langle \nabla Q_1[\nabla^l k], \nabla^l k \rangle,$$

as long as k is a solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with $\|k(t)\|_{L^\epsilon}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Here,

$$G_m = \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \cdot |\nabla^{l+1} k|^2$$

and $D_m = D_m(\delta, T, C_1, \dots, C_k) > 0$ is an appropriate constant.

Proof. Standard computations and estimates show that

$$\langle [\nabla^l, \Delta]k, \nabla^l k \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^l \nabla^m R * \nabla^{l-m} k * \nabla^l k \leq C_1(l, g_0) \sum_{m=0}^l |\nabla^m k|^2.$$

Now by Lemma 3.5, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $\|\nabla^l k(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2(l, h) \cdot t^{-l/2} \|k(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. As a consequence, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2(n)$ and $\|\nabla^l g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_3(l, n) \cdot t^{-l/2} \|k(t)\|_{L^\infty}$. We now write $R[k]$ as $R[k] = k * g^{-1} * g * R$ so that

$$\langle R[k], \nabla^l k \rangle \leq C_4(l, n) \sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4=l} |\nabla^{l_1} k| |\nabla^{l_2} g^{-1}| |\nabla^{l_3} g| |\nabla^{l_4} R| |\nabla^l k|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_5(l, n) \sum_{m=0}^l |\nabla^{l-m} R| |\nabla^m k| |\nabla^l k| + \epsilon \cdot C_6(l, n) \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2 \leq l \\ l_1 \geq 1}} t^{-l_2/2} |\nabla^{l_1} k| |\nabla^l k| \\
&\leq C_7(l, n) \sum_{m=0}^l |\nabla^m k|^2 + \epsilon \cdot C_8(l, n) \sum_{j=1}^l t^{-(l-j)} |\nabla^j k|^2 \\
&\leq C_7(l, n) \sum_{m=0}^l |\nabla^m k|^2 + \epsilon \cdot C_9(l, n) \sum_{j=1}^l t^{-(l+1)-j} |\nabla^j k|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We now write $Q_0[k]$ as $Q_0[k] = \Phi * \nabla k * \nabla k$ so that

$$\nabla^l Q_0[k] = \nabla^l (\Phi * \nabla k * \nabla k) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1, l_2, l_3 \leq l \\ l_1+l_2+l_3=l}} \nabla^{l_1} \Phi * \nabla^{l_2+1} k * \nabla^{l_3+1} k.$$

Because $\Phi = g^{-1} * g^{-1}$, we have that $\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{10}(n)$ and $\|\nabla^l \Phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{11}(l, n) \cdot t^{-l/2} \|hk(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla^l Q_0[h], \nabla^l k \rangle &\leq C_{12}(l, n) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq l} |\nabla^{l-i} \Phi| \cdot |\nabla^{j+1} h| \cdot |\nabla^{i-j+1} k| \cdot |\nabla^l h| \\
&\leq C_{12}(l, n) \cdot \left(t \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq l} |\nabla^{l-i} \Phi|^2 \cdot |\nabla^{j+1} k|^2 \cdot |\nabla^{i-j+1} k|^2 + \frac{1}{4} t^{-1} \epsilon |\nabla^l k|^2 \right) \\
&\leq C_{13}(l, n) \cdot \left(\|k\|_{L^\infty}^2 \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^l t^{-l+j} \cdot |\nabla^{j+1} k|^2 + \frac{1}{4} t^{-1} \epsilon |\nabla^l k|^2 \right) \\
&\leq C_{13}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} t^{-(l+1)+j} \cdot |\nabla^j k|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The second commutator term is of the form

$$\nabla^l \nabla_a (\Psi^{ab} \nabla_b k) - \nabla_a \Psi^{ab} \nabla_b \nabla^l h k$$

where $\Psi^{ab} = (h + k)^{ab} - h^{ab}$. We rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^l \nabla_a (\Psi^{ab} \nabla_b k) - \nabla_a \Psi^{ab} \nabla_b \nabla^l k &= [\nabla^l, \nabla_a] \Psi^{ab} \nabla_b k + \nabla_a ([\nabla^l, \Psi^{ab}] \nabla_b k) + \nabla_a (\Psi^{ab} [\nabla^l, \nabla_b] k) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \nabla^m \nabla \Psi * \nabla^{l+1-m} k + \sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3=l} \nabla^{l_1} R * \nabla^{l_2} \Psi * \nabla^{l_3} k.
\end{aligned}$$

We have that $\|\nabla^l \Psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{14}(l, n) \cdot t^{-l/2} \|k(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore,

$$\langle [\nabla^l, \nabla Q_1][k], \nabla^l k \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \nabla^m \nabla \Psi * \nabla^{l+1-m} k * \nabla^l k + \sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3=l} \nabla^{l_1} R * \nabla^{l_2} \Psi * \nabla^{l_3} k * \nabla^l k$$

and the first of these two expressions is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \nabla^m \nabla \Psi * \nabla^{l+1-m} k * \nabla^l k &\leq C_{15}(l, n) \left(\epsilon^{-1} \cdot t \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} |\nabla^{m+1} \Psi|^2 |\nabla^{l+1-m} k|^2 + \epsilon \cdot t^{-1} \cdot |\nabla^l k|^2 \right) \\
&\leq C_{16}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \left(\sum_{m=0}^{l-1} t^{-m} |\nabla^{l+1-m} k|^2 + t^{-1} \cdot |\nabla^l k|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_{17}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{l+1} t^{-(l+1)+j} |\nabla^j k|^2$$

while the other one is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3=l} \nabla^{l_1} R * \nabla^{l_2} \Psi * \nabla^{l_3} k * \nabla^l k \\ & \leq C_{18}(l, n) \left(\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3=l} |\nabla^{l_1} R|^2 |\nabla^{l_2} \Psi|^2 |\nabla^{l_3} k|^2 + \epsilon |\nabla^l k|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C_{19}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \left(\sum_{l_2+l_3 \leq l} t^{-l_2} |\nabla^{l_3} k|^2 + |\nabla^l k|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C_{20}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \sum_{j=0}^l t^{-l+j} |\nabla^j k|^2 \\ & \leq C_{21}(l, n) \cdot \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^l t^{-(l+1)+j} |\nabla^j k|^2 + C_{22}(l, n) t^{-l} |k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By a standard computation and putting together the above estimates, we conclude that (with $C_{23} := C_1 + C_7 + C_{22}$ and $C_{24} = C_9 + C_{13} + C_{17} + C_{21}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_m + \Delta F_m & \leq \sum_{l=0}^m l \cdot C_l \cdot t^{l-1} |\nabla^l k|^2 - 2 \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \cdot |\nabla^{l+1} k|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^m C_l t^l \cdot C_{23}(l, h) \sum_{j=0}^l |\nabla^j k|^2 \\ & \quad + 2 \cdot \epsilon \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot C_{24}(l, n) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} t^{j-1} \cdot |\nabla^j k|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \langle \nabla Q_1[\nabla^l k], \nabla^l k \rangle \\ & = \sum_{l=0}^m [(l+1)C_{l+1} - 2C_l + 2\epsilon \sum_{j=l}^m C_j \cdot C_{24}(j, n)] \cdot t^l \cdot |\nabla^{l+1} k|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=0}^m \left(\sum_{j=l}^k C_j \cdot C_{23}(j, g_0) \cdot t^{j-l} \right) \cdot t^l \cdot |\nabla^l k|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^m C_l \cdot t^l \langle \nabla Q_1[\nabla^l k], \nabla^l k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma provided the C_l are chosen accordingly. \square

Remark 3.7. In Lemma 3.6, we can choose $C_0 = 1$ so that $F_k(0) = |k|^2$. We assume that this convention holds from now on.

Lemma 3.8. Let $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ be a solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with Ricci-flat background metric h and $k(t) := g(t) - h$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|k(t)\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \|k(0)\|_{L^p(\infty)} < \infty \text{ for some } p \in [p_0, \infty),$$

then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_m = C(m, \epsilon, g_0, T, p_0)$ (but independent from p) such that

$$\|\nabla^m k(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C_m \cdot t^{-m/2} \cdot \|k(0)\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof. Let T be as in the lemma, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $1 + \delta < p_0$. Given this data, choose the constants C_l from Lemma 3.6, consider the time-dependent section

$$u_m(t) := (\sqrt{C_l} \cdot t^l \nabla^l k(t))_{l=0}^m \in C^\infty \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^m (T^* M)^{\otimes l} \otimes S^2 M \right),$$

for which we have

$$|u_m|^2 = F_m, \quad |\nabla u_m|^2 = G_m.$$

According to Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\partial_t |u_m|^2 \leq h^{ab} \nabla_{ab}^2 + D_m |u_m|^2 + \langle \nabla_a ((h+k)^{ab} - h^{ab}) \nabla_b u_m, u_m \rangle - 2(1-\delta) |\nabla u_m|^2.$$

Now if $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough $H_3^{ab} := (h+k)^{ab} - h^{ab}$ is so small that we can apply Theorem 3.2. This yields

$$\sqrt{C_l \cdot t^l} \|\nabla^l k(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|u_m(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u_m(0)\|_{L^p} = C \|k(0)\|_{L^p}$$

and the result is immediate. \square

4. THE RICCI-DE TURCK FLOW AND A MIXED EVOLUTION PROBLEM

4.1. A Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge. Let g_t be a solution of the h_t -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow, where h_t be a curve of Ricci-flat metrics. In Subsection 3.1, we have seen that the evolution equation on g_t can be written in two different ways:

$$\partial_t g + \Delta_{L,h} k = H_1 := F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) + F_2(g^{-1}, R, k, k) + F_3(g^{-1}, k, \nabla^2 k), \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_t g + \Delta_{L,g,h} k = H_2 := F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k). \quad (30)$$

Here, $k = g - h$ and the F_i are tensor fields, viewed as C^∞ -multilinear maps.

Definition 4.1. Let \hat{h} be an integrable Ricci-flat ALE metric with a parallel spinor, and \mathcal{U} a neighbourhood of \hat{h} in the space of metrics, on which the projection map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

is defined as in Subsection 2.2. Then a family of metrics g_t in \mathcal{U} is called a Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge, if it satisfies the evolution equation

$$\partial_t g = -2\text{Ric}_g + \mathcal{L}_{V(g, \Phi(g))} g \quad (31)$$

Since $\Phi(g)$ is Ricci-flat, the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge expands as in (29) and (30) with respect to $h = \Phi(g)$ and $k = g - h$. We will work with both expressions in the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Let g_t be a smooth family of Riemannian metrics in \mathcal{U} and let h_∞ be some fixed metric in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that \mathcal{U} is so small that $\Pi_{h, \bar{h}}$ is invertible for all $h, \bar{h} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ (c.f. Lemma 2.6). Then g_t is a Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge if and only if the components*

$$h_t = \Phi(g_t), \quad k_t = g_t - h_t, \quad \bar{k}_t = \Pi_{h_t, h_\infty}^\perp(k_t)$$

satisfy the coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h &= D_g \Phi(H_1), \\ \partial_t \bar{k} + \Delta_{L, \infty} \bar{k} &= \Pi_\infty^\perp [(\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)], \end{aligned}$$

where H_1 is defined in (29) and $\Pi_\infty^\perp := \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp$. On the other hand, it is also equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h &= D_g \Phi(H_1), \\ \partial_t \bar{k} + \Delta_{L, g, h} \bar{k} &= [\Delta_{L, g, h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp [D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L, g, h} - \Delta_{L, h})(k)) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Splitting (29) up into $g = h + k = \Phi(g) + (1 - \Phi)(g)$ yields the equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &= D_g \Phi(\partial_t g) = D_g \Phi(-\Delta_{L,h} k + H_1) = D_g \Phi(H_1), \\ \partial_t k &= (1 - D_g \Phi)(\partial_t g) = (1 - D_g \Phi)(-\Delta_{L,h} k + H_1) = -\Delta_{L,h} k + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1).\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $D_g \Phi$ vanishes on $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h})^\perp = \text{im}(\Delta_{L,h})$, by Lemma 2.8. Applying Π_∞^\perp to the second equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \bar{k} &= \Pi_\infty^\perp(\partial_t k) = \Pi_\infty^\perp(-\Delta_{L,h} k + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)) \\ &= -\Pi_\infty^\perp(\Delta_{L,\infty} k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp((\Delta_{L,\infty} - \Delta_{L,h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)) \\ &= -\Delta_{L,\infty} \bar{k} + \Pi_\infty^\perp((\Delta_{L,\infty} - \Delta_{L,h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)).\end{aligned}$$

By construction, $\bar{k} = \Pi_{h,h_\infty}(k)$. By assumption, Π_{h,h_∞} is invertible, so that k is determined by \bar{k} . Therefore, the evolution equations on k and \bar{k} are actually equivalent.

It remains to show equivalence of the Ricci flow to the second system. This is done similarly. The first equation of the system is the same as the first equation from the first system. For the second equation, we get from (30) and the chain rule that

$$\partial_t k = (1 - D_g \Phi)(\partial_t g) = (1 - D_g \Phi)(-\Delta_{L,g,h} k + H_2).$$

Applying Π_∞^\perp yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \bar{k} &= \Pi_\infty^\perp(\partial_t k) = \Pi_\infty^\perp[(1 - D_g \Phi)(-\Delta_{L,g,h} k + H_2)] \\ &= -\Pi_\infty^\perp(\Delta_{L,g,h} k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi(\Delta_{L,g,h} k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)] \\ &= -\Delta_{L,g,h} \bar{k} + [\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k^\perp) + \Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)].\end{aligned}$$

Note again that by assumption, k and \bar{k} contain the same information. Therefore, the evolution equations on k and \bar{k} are equivalent. \square

We will use both forms of the Ricci-de Turck flow equation at once to obtain the Ricci flow as a fixed point argument.

4.2. A mixed evolution operator. Let $h_\infty \in \mathcal{F}$ be a fixed metric and $g_t \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h_t \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$, $t \geq 1$ be smooth families of evolving metrics. We then have the operators $\Delta_{L,\infty} = \Delta_{L,h_\infty}$ and $\Delta_{L,g,h}$ where we suppressed the dependence of g, h on t . We build now a mixed evolution operator, depending on both operators, and hence on the metrics g_t, h_t and h_∞ . For $t \geq 1$ and $s \in [1, t]$, we consider the evolution problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r k + \Delta_{L,\infty} k &= 0, & \text{for } r \in [s, \max\{t-1, s\}], \\ \partial_r k + \Delta_{L,g,h} k &= 0, & \text{for } r \in [\max\{t-1, s\}, t], \\ k|_{r=s} &= k'.\end{aligned}$$

We now define for $1 \leq s \leq t$ the operator $P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}$ as the map which associates to given initial data k' the solution of one of the above initial value problems.

Remark 4.3. • Note that by construction, the mixed solution operator $P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}$ depends continuously on all involved parameters.

• Note that if $t > 2$ and $s \in [1, t-1)$, we have

$$P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} = P(g, h, h_\infty)_{t-1 \rightarrow t} \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_{L,h_\infty}}.$$

Let us now extend this construction to the inhomogeneous problem. For $t \geq 1$ and $s \in [1, t]$, we consider the inhomogeneous evolution problem

$$\partial_r k + \Delta_{L,g,h} k = F_r, \quad \text{for } r \in [s, \max\{t-1, s\}],$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r k + \Delta_{L,\infty} k &= F_r, & \text{for } r \in [\max\{t-1, s\}, t], \\ k|_{r=s} &= k'.\end{aligned}$$

We now define for $1 \leq s \leq t$ the operator $Q(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(k', F)$ as the map which associates to given initial data $k = k_s$ the solution of one of the above initial value problems. Observe that the Duhamel principle also holds in a very general setting so that

$$Q(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(k', F) = P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(k') + \int_s^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{r \rightarrow t}(F_r) dr. \quad (32)$$

4.3. The Ricci flow as a mixed evolution problem. Now let us turn back to the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge. Let g_t be such a flow and $h_\infty \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ be arbitrary. Introduce the quantities

$$h_t = \Phi(g_t), \quad k_t = g_t - h_t, \quad \bar{k}_t = \Pi_{h_t, h_\infty}^\perp(k_t)$$

Due to Proposition 4.2, the flow equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &= D_g \Phi(H_1), \\ \partial_t \bar{k} + \Delta_{L,\infty} \bar{k} &= \Pi_\infty^\perp[(\Delta_{L,\infty} - \Delta_{L,h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)]\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &= D_g \Phi(H_1), \\ \partial_t \bar{k} + \Delta_{L,g,h} \bar{k} &= [\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)].\end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Let g_0 be an initial metric and g_t , $t \in [0, 1]$ be a \widehat{h} -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow, starting at g_0 . Split $g_1 = \Phi(g_1) + (1 - \Phi)(g_1)$ and let $h_1 = \Phi(g_1)$ and $k_1 = (1 - \Phi)(g_1)$. Continue now with the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge and let h_t, \bar{k}_t as above. For fixed $t \in [1, 2]$, we regard (h_t, \bar{k}_t) as a solution (33). For $t > 2$, we regard (h_t, \bar{k}_t) as the tuple obtained from solving (33) for time $s \in [1, t-1]$ and (34) for time $s \in [t-1, t]$. Due to (32), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}h_t &= h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds, \\ \bar{k}_t &= P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1)) + \int_1^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds,\end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) &= \chi_{[1, \max\{t-1, 1\}]}(s) \cdot \{\Pi_\infty^\perp[(\Delta_{L,\infty} - \Delta_{L,h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)]\} \\ &\quad + \chi_{(\max\{t-1, 1\}, t]}(s) \cdot \{[\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)]\}\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 1$ and $s \in [1, t]$.

Remark 4.4. This complicated construction resolves the regularity problem that was addressed in Subsection 1.3.4.

Now we use (35) to identify the map of which the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge is a fixed point. For this purpose, let h_t , $t \in [1, \infty]$ a smooth curve in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ which converges to a limit metric h_∞ . Furthermore, let k_t , $t \in [1, \infty)$ be family of symmetric 2-tensors such that $k_t \perp \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t})$. Finally, assume that $g_t := h_t + k_t \in \mathcal{U}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}_1(h, k)_t &:= h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds, & t \in [1, \infty], \\ \bar{\psi}_2(h, k)_t &:= P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1)) + \int_1^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds.\end{aligned}$$

In order to get again a curve in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ and family of symmetric 2-tensors which are orthogonal to $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t})$, we define as correction terms

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(h, k) &= \Phi(\overline{\psi}_1(h, k)), \\ \psi_2(h, k) &= (\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\overline{\psi}_2(h, k)).\end{aligned}$$

These two maps unify to the map $\psi(h, k) = (\psi_1(h, k), \psi_2(h, k))$ and due to (35), the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge (viewed as the tuple (h_t, k_t)) is a fixed point of this map. Our goal in the next section is to identify this map as a contraction map in a suitable Banach space so that a Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge can be found via an iteration procedure.

5. THE ITERATION MAP

In this section, we are going to study the map ψ we just defined in detail.

5.1. Estimates for the linear problem. Let us summarize some results for the linearized version of the problem. The following result is Theorem 6.11 in our companion paper [KP20].

Theorem 5.1 (Heat kernel and derivative estimates). *Let (M^n, h) be an ALE manifold with a parallel spinor.*

- (i) *For each $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, q)$ such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^q} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})}$$

- (ii) *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $p \in (1, \frac{n}{i})$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, i)$ such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{i}{2}} \|h\|_{L^p}.$$

- (iii) *For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [\frac{n}{i}, \infty) \cap (1, \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C = C(p, i, t_0, \epsilon)$ such that for all $t > t_0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \epsilon} \|h\|_{L^p}.$$

We can also state the result more generally as follows.

Corollary 5.2 (Heat kernel and derivative estimates). *Let (M^n, h) be an ALE manifold with a parallel spinor, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) *If $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \frac{i}{2} < \frac{n}{2p}$ there exists a constant $C = C(p, q, i)$ such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^q} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - \frac{i}{2}}.$$

- (ii) *If $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \geq \frac{n}{2p}$ there exists for each $t_0 > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ a constant $C = C(p, q, i, t_0, \epsilon)$ such that for all $t > t_0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^q} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \epsilon}.$$

Proof. Writing

$$\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp = \nabla^i \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp \circ \Pi_h^\perp = \nabla^i \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp,$$

we immediately get

$$\|\nabla^i \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp\|_{L^p, L^q} \leq \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp \right\|_{L^q, L^q} \left\| e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ \Pi_h^\perp \right\|_{L^p, L^q},$$

and the estimate follows from Theorem 5.1 and a case by case analysis. \square

These estimates are in sharp contrast to the Euclidean Laplacian, where Theorem 5.1 (i) holds for any choice of $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. However, for particular differential operators, we obtained better results. Let

$$DV(k) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} V(h + tk, h), \quad DRic(k) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} Ric_{h+tk},$$

be the Fréchet derivatives of the de Turck vector field in the first component and the Ricci tensor, respectively. The following result is [KP20, Theorem 6.13].

Theorem 5.3 (Special derivative estimates). *Let (M^n, h) be an ALE manifold with a parallel spinor. Then for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $C = C(p)$ such that*

$$\|DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} h\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L^p}, \quad \|DRic \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} h\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-1} \|h\|_{L^p}.$$

5.2. The Banach space. Let (M^n, \hat{h}) be an integrable ALE manifold with a parallel spinor. Let $q \in (1, n)$ and r be an auxiliary Hölder exponent satisfying

$$r \in (n, \infty), \quad \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

We equip the space of maps $k : [1, \infty) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^2 M)$ with the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|k\|_{X_{q,r}(\hat{h})} &:= \sup_{t \geq 1} \left\{ \|k\|_{L^q(\hat{h})} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla k\|_{L^q(\hat{h})} + t^{\min\{1, \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})\}} (\|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^q(\hat{h})} + \|k\|_{W^{2,r}(\hat{h})}) \right\}, \\ \|k\|_{Z_{q,r}(\hat{h})} &:= \sup_{t \geq 1} \left\{ \|k\|_{L^q(\hat{h})} + t^{n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|\partial_t k\|_{L^q(\hat{h})} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the set of maps $(h, k) : [1, \infty) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^2 M \oplus S^2 M)$ with the norms

$$\|(h, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}(\hat{h})} := \|k\|_{X_{q,r}(\hat{h})} + \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}(\hat{h})}$$

In the first slot, we will typically insert $h - \hat{h}$, where $h = h_t$ is a family of Ricci-flat metrics in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ with \mathcal{U} being a small $L^{[q,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood of \hat{h} .

Lemma 5.4. Let \mathcal{U} be an $L^{[q,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood of \hat{h} which is so small that Proposition 2.2 holds. Let \bar{h}_t , $t \in [1, \infty)$ be a family of metrics in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ and define $X_{q,r}, Y_{q,r}$ with respect to \bar{h}_t . Then there exist a constant $C = C(\mathcal{U})$ only depending on \mathcal{U} such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \|k\|_{X_{q,r}(\hat{h})} \leq \|k\|_{X_{q,r}(\bar{h})} \leq C \|k\|_{X_{q,r}(\hat{h})}, \quad \frac{1}{C} \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}(\hat{h})} \leq \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}(\bar{h})} \leq C \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}(\hat{h})}.$$

Proof. By standard estimates, this follows easily from Proposition 2.2, as $\bar{h} - \hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty(r^{-n})$. \square

Due to this lemma, we will from now on suppress the dependence of the norm on the metric for notational convenience and allow any curve \bar{h}_t in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. The first lemma justifies the X -part of the norm

Lemma 5.5. Let $\Delta_{L,h}$ be the Lichnerwicz Laplacian of a Ricci-flat metric with a parallel spinor and $k_0 \in L^q(S^2 M)$. Then,

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_{L,h}} \circ \Pi_h^\perp(k_0)|_{[1,\infty)}\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C \|k_0\|_{L^q}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 5.1. \square

In the following we introduce the norm

$$\|k\|_{L^{[q,\infty]}} = \|k\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{L^\infty}$$

which is the natural norm on $L^{[q,\infty]} = L^q \cap L^\infty$. From now on, let \mathcal{U} be an $L^{[q,\infty]}$ -neighbourhood of \hat{h} , which is so small that Proposition 2.2 holds and that the projection map Φ of Subsection

2.2 is defined. Let furthermore $(h_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be a family of metrics in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ and $(k_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be a family of symmetric 2-tensors. We finally set $g_t = h_t + k_t$, $t \in [1, \infty)$.

Lemma 5.6. We have

$$\|g - \hat{h}\|_{L[q, \infty]} + \|g - \hat{h}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq C \|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}}.$$

Proof. By Sobolev embedding, Proposition 2.2 and definition of the norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - \hat{h}\|_{L[q, \infty]} + \|g - \hat{h}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} &\leq \|k\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \\ &\leq \|k\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{W^{2, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \|k\|_{X_{q, r}} + C \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} = C \|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.7. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that ψ is well-defined if $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}} < \epsilon$.

Proof. In order to show that ψ is well defined, we have to ensure that the terms involving the projection map Φ make sense. These terms are given by $D_g \Phi$ and

$$\psi_1(h, k) = \Phi(\bar{\psi}_1(h, k)) = \Phi\left(h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds\right).$$

In order to do so, we have to show that

$$g \in \mathcal{U}, \quad h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \in \mathcal{U},$$

here \mathcal{U} is the $L^{[q, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood which is the domain of definition of Φ . At first, Lemma 5.6 shows that $g \in \mathcal{U}$ if $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}}$ is chosen small enough. Let now $q' \in (1, q]$, $r' \in [r, \infty)$ and $q'' \in (1, \infty]$. Using Lemma 2.10 (iii),

$$-n \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \leq -n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) < -1,$$

and (29), we establish the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \right\|_{L^{q''}} &\leq C \int_1^t \|H_1(s)\|_{L^{\frac{q'}{2}}} ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t [\|\nabla k\|_{L^{r'}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^{r'}} \|k\|_{L^{r'}} + \|R\|_{L^\infty} \|k\|_{L^{r'}}^2] ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t s^{-n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} ds \cdot \|k\|_{X_{q', r'}}^2 \leq C \|k\|_{X_{q', r'}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Here, we use here only for $q'' \in \{q, \infty\}$, $q' = q$ and $r' = r$, but later, we will make use of this inequality in full generality. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds - \hat{h} \right\|_{L[q, \infty]} &\leq \left\| \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \right\|_{L[q, \infty]} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L[q, \infty]} \\ &\leq C \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2 + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \in \mathcal{U},$$

if $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}}$ is chosen small enough. \square

5.3. Mapping properties of the iteration map. Let (M^n, \hat{h}) be an integrable ALE manifold with a parallel spinor and $q \in (1, n)$, $r \in (n, \infty)$ so that

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{1}{2}$$

Moreover, let \mathcal{U} be an $L^{[q, \infty]}$ -neighbourhood of \hat{h} , which is so small that Proposition 2.2 holds and that the projection map Φ of Subsection 2.2 is defined. Let furthermore $(h_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be a family of metrics in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$ and $(k_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be a family of symmetric 2-tensors. We finally set $g_t = h_t + k_t$, $t \in [1, \infty)$. The goal of this subsection is to derive the following mapping property:

Theorem 5.8. *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the map ψ satisfies the estimate*

$$\|\psi(h, k) - (\hat{h}, 0)\|_{Y_{q,r}} \leq C \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{X_{q,r}} \|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}} \right)$$

as long as $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon$.

The proof of this theorem is split up in Propositions 5.9 and 5.10 below, in which the estimates for the components of ψ are established. In fact we will also prove estimates for certain other Hölder exponents $q' \in (1, q]$ and $r' \in [r, \infty)$. These more general estimates will be important later in the paper for detecting the optimal convergence behaviour for the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge.

Proposition 5.9. *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that we have*

$$\|\psi_1(h, k)\|_{Z_{q',r'}} \leq C \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{X_{q',r'}}^2 \right)$$

for any $q' \in (1, q]$ and $r' \in [r, \infty)$, as long as $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 5.7, ψ is well defined under the assumption of the proposition. Due to Lemma 2.10 (iii), $D_g \Phi$ is bounded on $L^{q'}$ for each $q' \in (1, \infty)$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, Φ is also Lipschitz with respect to the $L^{q'}$ -norm. Using $\hat{h} = \Phi(\hat{h})$, $h_1 = \Phi(h_1)$ and the estimate in (36), we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1(h, k) - \hat{h}\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq \left\| \Phi \left(h_1 + \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \right) - \Phi(h_1) \right\|_{L^{q'}} + \|\Phi(h_1) - \Phi(\hat{h})\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_1^t D_g \Phi(H_1(s)) ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C \|k\|_{X_{q',r'}}^2 + C \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^{q'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we estimate, similarly as in (36)

$$\begin{aligned} t^{n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|\partial_t \psi_1(h, k)\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq C t^{n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|D_{\psi_1(h,k)} \Phi(H_1)\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C t^{n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \left[\|\nabla k\|_{L^{r'}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^{r'}} \|k\|_{L^{r'}} + \|R\|_{L^\infty} \|k\|_{L^{r'}}^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \|k\|_{X_{q',r'}}^2,$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 5.10. *There exists and $\epsilon > 0$ such that we have*

$$\|\psi_2(h, k)\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + (\|k\|_{X_q} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_Z) \|k\|_{X_{q,r}} \right]$$

as long as $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{X_{q,r}} < \epsilon$. Moreover, for any $q' \in (1, q]$ satisfying

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2r}, n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

we have

$$\|\psi_2(h, k)\|_{X_{q',r}} \leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q'}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{q,r}} \right].$$

We prove the second inequality as the first one is a special case of the second. It will follow from a series of lemmas.

Lemma 5.11. For any $q' \in (1, q]$ and $r' \in [r, \infty)$, we have

$$\|\psi_2(h, k)\|_{X_{q',r'}} = \|(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\bar{\psi}_2(h, k))\|_{X_{q',r'}} \leq C \|\bar{\psi}_2(h, k)\|_{X_{q',r'}}$$

Proof. Let us abbreviate $\bar{\psi}_2 := \bar{\psi}_2(h, k)_t$ for fixed h, k, t in the proof. Due to the assumptions on q and r , we have

$$\beta := \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right), 1 \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right), 1 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.10 (iv), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\bar{\psi}_2)\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq C \|\bar{\psi}_2\|_{L^{q'}}, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\bar{\psi}_2)\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \bar{\psi}_2\|_{L^{q'}} + C t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|\bar{\psi}_2\|_{L^{r'}}, \\ t^\beta \|\nabla^2(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\bar{\psi}_2)\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq C t^\beta \|\nabla^2 \bar{\psi}_2\|_{L^{q'}} + t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|\bar{\psi}_2\|_{L^{r'}}, \\ t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}(\bar{\psi}_2)\|_{W^{2,r'}} &\leq C t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|\bar{\psi}_2\|_{W^{2,r'}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows from the definition of the $X_{q',r'}$ -norm. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.10. By Lemma 5.11 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_2(h, k)\|_{X_{q',r}} &\leq \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{X_{q',r'}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_1^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q',r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is treated in Lemma 5.12 below. The integrand will be split up in two terms which are estimated in Lemma 5.15 and Lemma 5.18 below. \square

Lemma 5.12. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{X_{q,r}} < \epsilon$, we have

$$\|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{X_{q',r'}} \leq C(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q'}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r'}}). \quad (37)$$

for all $q' \in (1, q]$ and $r' \in [r, \infty)$. Moreover, if $t \geq 3$, $s \in [1, t-2]$ and $p' \in (1, q']$, we have

$$\|\nabla^i \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q''}} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p}) - \alpha(q'', i)} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}}, \quad (38)$$

where Θ is an arbitrary symmetric 2-tensor and $\alpha : \{q', r'\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\alpha(q', 0) = 0$, $\alpha(q', 1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha(q', 2) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \right\}$ and $\alpha(r', i) = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right)$ otherwise.

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, the assumption $\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} < \epsilon$ implies smallness of $\|k\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$. In particular, $\|g^{-1}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} < \infty$ (recall that $g = h + k$). Therefore, for $t \in [1, 3]$, the short-time estimates in Lemma 3.3 (i) and (ii) ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{W^{2,q}} &\leq C \|k_1\|_{W^{2,q'}}, \\ \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{W^{2,r'}} &\leq C \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, let $t \geq 3$ and $s \in [1, t-2]$. We combine Lemma 3.3 (iv) and (v) (to pass from $t-1$ to t) and Theorem 5.1 (to pass from 1 to $t-1$). We first prove (38). The more special estimate (37) then follows from setting $\Theta = k_1$, $s = 1$, $p' = q'$ and using the definition of the $X_{q',r'}$ -norm. At first, we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} &= \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{t-1 \rightarrow t} \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C \left\| e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right)} \|k_s\|_{L^{p'}} \end{aligned}$$

Because we again have

$$\beta := \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right), 1 \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right), 1 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} &= \|\nabla \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{t-1 \rightarrow t} \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| \nabla \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{L^{q'}} + \left\| e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{L^{r'}} \right) \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \frac{1}{2}} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}} + C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right)} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \frac{1}{2}} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} &= \|\nabla \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{t-1 \rightarrow t} \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| \nabla^2 \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{L^{q'}} + \left\| e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{W^{1,r'}} \right) \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \beta} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}} + C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right)} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \beta} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{W^{2,r'}} &= \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{t-1 \rightarrow t} \circ e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta))\|_{W^{2,r'}} \\ &\leq C \left\| e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(\Theta)) \right\|_{L^{r'}} \\ &\leq C(t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right)} \|\Theta\|_{L^{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.13. For $p' \in (1, r']$, $q' \in (1, q]$, $r' \in [r, \infty)$, $t \in [1, \infty)$ and $t' \in [t, \infty]$, we have

$$\|(\Delta_{L, h_{t'}} - \Delta_{L, h_t})(k_t)\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q', r'}} \|k\|_{X_{q', r'}}$$

Proof. Choose $p'' \in (p', \infty]$ so that $\frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{p''} + \frac{1}{r'}$. Then by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta_{L, h_{t'}} - \Delta_{L, h_t})(k_t)\|_{L^{p'}} &\leq C \|h_t - h_\infty\|_{W^{2, p''}} \|k_t\|_{W^{2, r'}} \\ &\leq C \|h_t - h_{t'}\|_{L^{q'}} \|k_t\|_{W^{2, r'}} \\ &\leq C \int_t^{t'} \|\partial_s h_s\|_{L^{q'}} ds \cdot \|k_t\|_{W^{2, r'}} \\ &\leq C \int_t^\infty s^{-n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} ds \cdot \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q', r'}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|k\|_{X_{q', r'}} \\ &\leq C t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q', r'}} \|k\|_{X_{q', r'}}, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.14. For $q' \in (1, q]$ satisfying

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) < \frac{n}{2r},$$

we have

$$\|(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)(t)\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\beta - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2,$$

with $\beta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. By the condition on q' , we may now choose $r' \in (r, \infty]$, such that

$$\frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r'}.$$

By Lemma 2.10 (iii), the Hölder inequality, interpolation and Sobolev embedding, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)(t)\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq C \|H_1(t)\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C (\|\nabla^2 k_t\|_{L^q} \|k_t\|_{L^{r'}} + \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^{2q'}}^2 + \|R\|_{L^{q'}} \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla^2 k_t\|_{L^q} \|k_t\|_{L^{r'}} + \|R\|_{L^{q'}} \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^{r'}}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla^2 k_t\|_{L^q} \|k_t\|_{W^{1, r}} + \|R\|_{L^{q'}} \|\nabla k_t\|_{W^{1, r}}^2) \\ &\leq C \left(t^{-\beta - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r})} + t^{-n(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r})} \right) \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2 \\ &\leq C t^{-\beta - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

with $\beta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Lemma 5.15. Let $t \geq 3$. Then we have the estimate

$$\left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \leq C (\|k\|_{X_{q, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}}) \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}$$

for all $q' \in (1, q]$, $r' \in [r, \infty)$ which satisfy

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) < \min \left\{ n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \quad \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) < \frac{n}{2r}, \tag{40}$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality, we first split the term further up as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp [(\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) ds] \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the first of these terms. We estimate each of the terms of the $X_{q', r'}$ -norm separately. Let $q'' \in \{q', r'\}$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\alpha : \{q', r'\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Lemma 5.12. Let $p' \in (1, q)$ be small. By Lemma 5.12 and Lemma 5.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp [(\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) ds] \right\|_{L^{q''}} \\ & \leq \int_1^{t-2} \left\| \nabla^i \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp \right\|_{L^{p'}, L^{q''}} \left\| (\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) \right\|_{L^{p'}} ds \\ & \leq C \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'}) - \alpha(q'', i)} s^{1 - \frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} ds \cdot \left\| h - \hat{h} \right\|_{Z_{q, r}} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}} \\ & \leq C t^{-\alpha(q'', i)} \left\| h - \hat{h} \right\|_{Z_{q, r}} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is justified by Lemma 1.16: We have

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) + \alpha(q'', i) > \alpha(q'', i), \quad \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \alpha(q'', i)$$

and (40) implies

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) + \alpha(q'', i) + \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 2 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) - 1 + \alpha(q'', i) > \alpha(q'', i),$$

for all choices of q'' and i , provided that p' is chosen small enough. We have thus shown that

$$\left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp [(\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) ds] \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \leq C \left\| h - \hat{h} \right\|_{Z_{q, r}} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}.$$

For the other part of the integral, the estimate is slightly different. By Lemma 5.12 and Lemma 5.14

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) ds \right\|_{L^{q''}} \\ & \leq \int_1^{t-2} \left\| \nabla^i P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp \right\|_{L^{q'}, L^{q''}} \left\| (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds \\ & \leq \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\alpha(q'', i)} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} ds \cdot \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2 \\ & \leq C t^{-\alpha(q'', i)} \cdot \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is again justified by Lemma 1.16 and (40), since

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > 1, \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \geq \alpha(q'', i).$$

This proves

$$\left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \Pi_\infty^\perp (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \leq C \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2$$

and we conclude

$$\left\| \int_1^{t-2} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r'}} \leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{q, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{q, r}},$$

as desired \square

Lemma 5.16. Let $t \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\left\| \int_{\min\{t-2, 1\}}^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q', r}} \leq C (\|k\|_{X_{q, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}}) \|k\|_{X_{q, r}},$$

for all $q' \in (1, q]$ with

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) < \frac{n}{2r}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 (iii), we first have

$$\left\| \int_{\min\{t-2, 1\}}^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{W^{2, q''}} \leq C \sup_{s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]} \|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds\|_{L^{q''}}$$

for $q' \in \{q', r\}$. Recall that

$$I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) = (\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)$$

for $s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]$. With the help of Lemma 5.13, we get

$$\sup_{s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]} \|(\Delta_{L, \infty} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s)\|_{L^{q''}} \leq C t^{1 - \frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}},$$

Lemma 5.13 yields

$$\sup_{s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]} \|(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)(s)\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\beta - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2,$$

and standard estimates similar as in Lemma 5.14 yield

$$\sup_{s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]} \|(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1)(s)\|_{L^r} \leq \sup_{s \in [\min\{t-2, 1\}, t-1]} \|k_s\|_{W^{2, r}}^2 \leq C t^{-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2.$$

The function α defined in Lemma 5.12 satisfies

$$\alpha(q'', i) \leq \beta + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \leq n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right), \quad \alpha(q'', i) \leq \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1$$

and the statement follows from putting these estimates together. \square

In the remainder of this subsection, we estimate the integral from $\max\{t-1, 1\}$ to t . We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.17. For $s \in [\max\{t-1, 1\}, t]$, $q' \in (1, q]$ and $r' \in [r, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{q'} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r'},$$

we have

$$\|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{W^{1, r'}} \leq C (\|k\|_{W^{2, r'}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^q}) \|k\|_{W^{2, r'}},$$

$$\|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{W^{1,q'}} \leq C(\|k\|_{W^{2,r'}} + \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^q}) \|k\|_{W^{2,r'}}.$$

Proof. Let $p' \in \{q', r'\}$. Recall that for $s \in [t-1, t]$, we have

$$I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) = [\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) + \Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)) + (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)]$$

We have

$$[\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) = [\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) + [\Delta_{L,h} - \Delta_{L,\infty}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k),$$

Using $g = h + k$, the first of these terms can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) &= (\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})\Pi_\infty^\perp(k) - \Pi_\infty^\perp((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)) \\ &= k * \nabla^2 \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) + R * k * \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) - \Pi_\infty^\perp(k * \nabla^2 k + R * k * k) \end{aligned}$$

and the second one is

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{L,h} - \Delta_{L,\infty}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k) &= (\Delta_{L,h} - \Delta_{L,\infty})\Pi_\infty^\perp(k) - \Pi_\infty^\perp((\Delta_{L,h} - \Delta_{L,\infty})(k)) \\ &= (h - h_\infty) * \nabla^2 \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) + \nabla(h - h_\infty) * \nabla \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) \\ &\quad + \nabla^2(h - h_\infty) * \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) + R * (h - h_\infty) * \Pi_\infty^\perp(k) \\ &\quad - \Pi_\infty^\perp((h - h_\infty) * \nabla^2 k + \nabla(h - h_\infty) * \nabla k) \\ &\quad - \Pi_\infty^\perp(\nabla^2(h - h_\infty) * k + R * (h - h_\infty) * k). \end{aligned}$$

A suitable combination of Lemma 2.10 (ii), the Hölder inequality and elliptic regularity for $h - h_\infty$ yields

$$\|[\Delta_{L,g,h}, \Pi_\infty^\perp](k)\|_{W^{1,p'}} \leq C(\|k\|_{W^{2,r'}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^q}) \|k\|_{W^{2,r'}}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_\infty^\perp[D_g \Phi((\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k))]\|_{W^{1,p'}} &\leq C \|(\Delta_{L,g,h} - \Delta_{L,h})(k)\|_{L^{\frac{r'}{2}}} \\ &= C \|k * \nabla^2 k + R * k * k\|_{L^{\frac{r'}{2}}} \leq C \|k\|_{W^{2,r'}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $H_2 = g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k$ which yields pointwise bounds

$$|H_2| \leq C|\nabla k|^2, \quad |\nabla H_2| \leq C(|\nabla k||\nabla^2 k| + |\nabla k|^3).$$

Because $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{q'} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$, we may find $r'' \in (r', \infty]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r''}$$

We then get, using the Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding,

$$\|\Pi_\infty^\perp(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)\|_{W^{1,q'}} \leq C \|H_2\|_{W^{1,q'}} \leq C \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1,q}} \|\nabla k\|_{L^{r''}} \leq C \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1,q}} \|k\|_{W^{2,r}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Pi_\infty^\perp(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_2)\|_{W^{1,r'}} \leq C \|H_2\|_{W^{1,r'}} \leq C \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1,r'}} \|\nabla k\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|k\|_{W^{2,r'}}^2,$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.18. Let $t \geq 1$. Then for $q' \in (1, q]$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{q'} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r},$$

we have

$$\left\| \int_{\max\{t-1, 1\}}^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q',r}} \leq C(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{Z_{q,r}}) \|k\|_{X_{q,r}}.$$

Proof. Let $p' \in \{q', r\}$. By short-time estimates (Lemma 3.3 (iii)), we have

$$\left\| \int_{\max\{t-1, 1\}}^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{W^{2, p'}} \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{W^{1, p'}}.$$

From Lemma 5.17, we have

$$\|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{W^{1, p'}} \leq C(\|k\|_{W^{2, r}} + \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1, q}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^q}) \|k\|_{W^{2, r}}.$$

By definition of the norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^r} \|k\|_{W^{2, r}} &\leq C t^{1-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}, \\ \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|k\|_{W^{2, r}}^2 &\leq C t^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2, \\ \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|\nabla k\|_{W^{1, q}} \|k\|_{W^{2, r}} &\leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates and using the conditions on q' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\max\{t-1, 1\}}^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{W^{2, p'}} \\ \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q'}-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\|k\|_{X_{q, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q, r}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{q, r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired estimate. \square

5.4. Contraction properties of the iteration map. Let us assume the same as at the beginning of Subsection 5.3. Additionally, we demand that

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \neq 1.$$

The goal of this subsection is to derive the following mapping property:

Theorem 5.19. *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the operator ψ satisfies the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi(h, k) - \psi(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q, r}} &\leq C(\|k_1\|_{W^{2, q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2, r}}) \\ &\quad + C \left(\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}} + \|(\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{Y_{q, r}} \right) \|(h - \tilde{h}, k - \tilde{k})\|_{Y_{q, r}} \end{aligned}$$

as long as $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q, r}} + \|(\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{Y_{q, r}} < \epsilon$.

The proof of this theorem is split up in Propositions 5.22 and 5.23 below, in which the estimates for the components of ψ are established. At first, recall that

$$H_1 = F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) + F_2(g^{-1}, R, k, k) + F_3(g^{-1}, k, \nabla^2 k)$$

and abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &:= F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k), & \tilde{F}_1 &:= F_1(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}, \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}), \\ F_2 &:= F_2(g^{-1}, R, k, k), & \tilde{F}_2 &:= F_2(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{k}), \\ F_3 &:= F_3(g^{-1}, k, \nabla^2 k), & \tilde{F}_3 &:= F_3(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.20. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \left\| (\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon$, we have the pointwise estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1 - \tilde{F}_1| &\leq C|k - \tilde{k}||\nabla k|^2 + |\nabla(k - \tilde{k})|(|\nabla k| + |\nabla \tilde{k}|) \\ &\quad + C(|h - \tilde{h}| + |\nabla(h - \tilde{h})|)(|\nabla k| + |\nabla \tilde{k}|)^2, \\ |F_2 - \tilde{F}_2| &\leq C|k - \tilde{k}|(|k| + |\tilde{k}|)(|R| + |\tilde{R}|) + (|h - \tilde{h}| + |\nabla(h - \tilde{h})| + |\nabla^2(h - \tilde{h})|)|k|^2, \\ |F_3 - \tilde{F}_3| &\leq C(|k - \tilde{k}||\nabla^2 k| + |\tilde{k}||\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k})|) \\ &\quad + C(|h - \tilde{h}||k||\nabla^2 k| + |\nabla(h - \tilde{h})||\nabla \tilde{k}||\tilde{k}| + |\nabla(h - \tilde{h})|^2|\tilde{k}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that Lemma 5.6 ensures that $\|k\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$ is small. We first look at the difference

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(g^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) - F_1(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}, \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}) &= F_1(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}, g^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) \\ &\quad + F_1(\tilde{g}^{-1}, g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}, \nabla k, \nabla k) \\ &\quad + F_1(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{g}^{-1}, \nabla k - \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}, \nabla k) \\ &\quad + F_1(\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}, \nabla k - \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1}| \leq C(|k - \tilde{k}| + |h - \tilde{h}|).$$

Note further, that by using the tensor $T_{ij}^k := \Gamma_{ij}^k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$, we can write $\nabla \tilde{k} - \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k} = T * \tilde{k}$. The tensor T is schematically of the form $T = h^{-1} * \nabla(h - \tilde{h})$. Therefore we get

$$|\nabla k - \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{k}| \leq C(|\nabla(k - \tilde{k})| + |T||\nabla \tilde{k}|), \quad |T| \leq C|\nabla(h - \tilde{h})|,$$

and the first inequality is obtained from combining all these estimates. The other estimates are performed similarly, using in addition

$$R - \tilde{R} = \nabla T + T * T, \quad \nabla^2 \tilde{k} - \tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{k} = \nabla T * \tilde{k} + T * \nabla \tilde{k} + T * T * \tilde{k}.$$

The details are left to the reader. \square

Lemma 5.21. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \left\| (\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon$, we have

$$\left\| H_1 - \tilde{H}_1 \right\|_{L^q} \leq Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left[\left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \left[\left\| k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right].$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.20 and standard estimates, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| F_1 - \tilde{F}_1 \right\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{L^q} \left\| \nabla k \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \left\| \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} \left(\left\| \nabla k \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \nabla \tilde{k} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{W^{1,q}} \left(\left\| k \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{L^q} \left\| k \right\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 + C \left\| \nabla(k - \tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} \left(\left\| k \right\|_{W^{2,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{W^{1,q}} \left(\left\| k \right\|_{W^{2,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \left\| k \right\|_{X_{q,r}}^2 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \left(\left\| k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \\ &\quad + Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \left(\left\| k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_2 - \tilde{F}_2\|_{L^q} &\leq C \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} \left(\|k\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left(\|R\|_{L^q} + \|\tilde{R}\|_{L^q} \right) + C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{2,q}} \|k\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\leq C \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}} \left(\|k\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}} \right) + C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} \|k\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 \\ &\leq Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_Z + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_q} \right) \left(\|k\|_{X_q} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose $r' \in (q, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r}$. Then the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_3 - \tilde{F}_3\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^q} \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k})\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &\quad + C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{2,r'}} \left(\|k\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^r} + \|\nabla \tilde{k}\|_{L^r} \|\tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{L^r} \|\tilde{k}\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^q} \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|\nabla^2(k - \tilde{k})\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} \left(\|k\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 + \|\tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 \right) \\ &\leq Ct^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \left[\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 5.22. *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \|(\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon$, we have*

$$\|\psi_1(h, k) - \psi_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{Z_{q,r}} \leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).$$

Proof. We estimate, using Lemma 2.10 (iii) and (vi) and Lemma 5.21,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1(h, k) - \psi_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{L^q} &\leq C \|\bar{\psi}_1(h, k) - \bar{\psi}_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \int_1^t \|D_g \Phi(H_1(h, k)) - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi(H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}))\|_{L^q} ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t \|(D_g \Phi - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})) + D_g \Phi((H_1(h, k) - H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})))\|_{L^q} ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t [\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^q} \|H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{L^{r/2}} + \|H_1(h, k) - H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{L^q}] ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t [\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 + \|H_1(h, k) - H_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k})\|_{L^q}] ds \\ &\leq C \int_1^t s^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} ds \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

For the other term of the norm, we estimate using Lemma 2.10 (iii) and (vi) again,

$$t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \|\partial_t(\psi_1(h, k) - \psi_1(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}))\|_{L^q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| D_{\bar{\psi}_1(h,k)} \Phi(D_g \Phi(H_1(h,k))) - D_{\bar{\psi}_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k})} \Phi(D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi(H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}))) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\leq t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| (D_{\bar{\psi}_1(h,k)} \Phi - D_{\bar{\psi}_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k})} \Phi)(D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi(H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}))) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\quad + t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| D_{\bar{\psi}_1(h,k)} \Phi(D_g \Phi - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k})) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\quad + t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| D_{\bar{\psi}_1(h,k)} \Phi(D_g \Phi(H_1(h,k) - H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}))) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\leq C t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{r/2}}^2 \left(\left\| \bar{\psi}_1(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} + \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^q} \right) \\
&\quad + C t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| H_1(h,k) - H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\leq C t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 \left(\left\| \bar{\psi}_1(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{L^q} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} \right) \\
&\quad + C t^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| H_1(h,k) - H_1(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^q} \\
&\leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used Lemma 5.21 again and the estimate from the first part of the proof. \square

Proposition 5.23. *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| (\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} < \epsilon$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \psi_2(h,k) - \psi_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} &\leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. At first, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \psi_2(h,k) - \psi_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} &\leq \left\| (\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1} (\bar{\psi}_2(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k})) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
&\quad + \left\| [(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1} - (\Pi_{\tilde{h},\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}] \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.11, we know that

$$\left\| (\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1} (\bar{\psi}_2(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k})) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C \left\| \bar{\psi}_2(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}}.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.10 (vii) and Proposition 5.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| [(\Pi_{h,h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1} - (\Pi_{\tilde{h},\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp)^{-1}] \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} &\leq C \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
&\leq C \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, to finish the proof, it suffices to establish the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \bar{\psi}_2(h,k) - \bar{\psi}_2(\tilde{h},\tilde{k}) \right\|_{X_q} &\leq C \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite this difference as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\psi}_2(h, k) - \overline{\psi}_2(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}) \\
&= P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1) \\
&\quad + \int_1^t [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds \\
&= P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1) \\
&\quad + \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} [I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds \\
&\quad + \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t-4}^{t-1} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t-1}^t [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds
\end{aligned}$$

The terms on the right hand side are estimated in Lemma 5.24, 5.27, 5.29, 5.30, 5.31 and 5.32 below. \square

Lemma 5.24. We have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
& \leq C(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}}) \left(\left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} - k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 5$, and any $p' \in (1, q]$ satisfying $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \neq 1$, we even have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} (P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1)) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
& \leq C \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \left(\left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{k} - k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We abbreviate, for each $s \in [1, t]$,

$$\varphi_s = P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow s} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_s = P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow s} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1)$$

For $t \leq 5$, Lemma 3.4 (i), Lemma 2.10 (v), Lemma 5.6 and elliptic regularity show that for $q' \in \{q, r\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_{W^{2,q'}} & \leq C \left\| (\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp - \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp)(k_1) \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \\
& \quad + C \sup_{s \in [1, t]} \left(\|g_s - \tilde{g}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_s\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) \left\| \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \\
& \leq C \|k_1\|_{W^{2,q'}} \left(\left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and the desired estimate of the lemma follows. For $t > 5$ we proceed as follows: At first, we estimate $\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t$ by $\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}$ which we do by short-time estimates. The term $\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}$ is then easier to estimate in terms of the initial data because we have by construction

$$\varphi_{t-1} = e^{-(t-2)\Delta_{L,h_\infty}} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1} = e^{-(t-2)\Delta_{L,\tilde{h}_\infty}} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1).$$

Again by Lemma 3.4 (i), we estimate at first

$$\|\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_{W^{2,r}} \leq C \left(\|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r}} \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4,r}} \right) \right).$$

For the $W^{2,q}$ -norm, we proceed a little bit differently. In this case, we obtain from Lemma 3.4 (i), (iv) and (v) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^q} \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \right) \right), \\ \|\nabla(\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t)\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\nabla(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})\|_{L^q} + \|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C (\|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^r}) \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \right) \right), \\ \|\nabla^2(\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t)\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\nabla^2(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})\|_{L^r} \|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C (\|\nabla^2 \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + \|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^r} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^r}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using $g = h + k$, $\tilde{g} = \tilde{h} + \tilde{k}$ and elliptic regularity for h and \tilde{h} , we find

$$\sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) \leq C \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right),$$

Putting these estimates together and multiplying by $t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} (\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} &\leq C \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} (\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\ &\quad + \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k} - k\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left\{ \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} (\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k} - k\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

From now on we abbreviate for notational convenience

$$\Delta_L := \Delta_{L, h_\infty}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_L = \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty}, \quad \Pi^\perp = \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp, \quad \tilde{\Pi}^\perp = \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp.$$

We can rewrite the difference $\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1} &= \varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\Pi}^\perp(\varphi_{t-1}) + \tilde{\Pi}^\perp(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}) \\ &= (\Pi^\perp - \tilde{\Pi}^\perp)(\varphi_{t-1}) + (\Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty, h_\infty}^\perp)^{-1} \circ \Pi^\perp(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\varphi_{t-1} = \Pi^\perp(\varphi_{t-1})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1} = \tilde{\Pi}^\perp(\tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})$. Let us use the notation

$$\psi_{t-1} = \Pi^\perp(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}).$$

From Lemma 2.10 (v), we get the estimates

$$\|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r}} \leq C \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\varphi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} + \|\psi_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r}} \right),$$

and

$$\|\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}\|_{L^q} \leq C \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\varphi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} + \|\psi_{t-1}\|_{L^q} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\varphi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} + \|\nabla\psi_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + \|\psi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} \right), \\ \|\nabla^2(\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1})\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\varphi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} + \|\nabla^2\psi_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + \|\psi_{t-1}\|_{W^{1,r}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5.2, $\|\varphi_{t-1}\|_{L^r} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}$ and we conclude

$$\left\| t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} (\varphi_{t-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{t-1}) \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C \left(\|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \left\| t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \psi_{t-1} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right).$$

Thus to finish the proof, it suffices to show

$$\left\| t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \psi_{t-1} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}}.$$

We are going to establish this estimate for the remainder of this proof. Because for $s \in [1, t-1]$, φ_s and $\tilde{\varphi}_s$ are solutions of the evolution problems

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s \varphi_s + \Delta_L \varphi_s &= 0, & \varphi_1 &= \Pi^\perp(k_1), \\ \partial_s \tilde{\varphi}_s + \tilde{\Delta}_L \tilde{\varphi}_s &= 0, & \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \tilde{\Pi}^\perp(k_1),\end{aligned}$$

the quantity $\psi_s := \Pi^\perp(\varphi_s - \tilde{\varphi}_s)$ is a solution of the problem

$$\partial_s \psi_s + \Delta_L \psi_s = \Pi^\perp \circ (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}_s), \quad \psi_1 = \Pi^\perp \circ (\Pi^\perp - \tilde{\Pi}^\perp)(k_1),$$

which is then written by the Duhamel principle as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{t-1} &= e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 + \int_1^{t-1} e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \\ &= e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 + \int_1^2 e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-2}^{t-1} e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_2^{t-2} e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds.\end{aligned}$$

We are now going to estimate these terms separately. At first, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \left(\left\| e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} + t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left\| \nabla^2 e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} \right) \\ &\leq C(t-2)^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \left(\left\| e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} + (t-2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C(t-2)^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} (t-2)^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left\| \nabla^2 e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{L^q} \right) \\ &\leq C \|\psi_1\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \cdot t^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left\| e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \leq C(t-2)^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left\| e^{-(t-2)\Delta_L} \psi_1 \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \\ &\leq C \|\psi_1\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}\end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 5.2. For the next term

$$\int_1^2 e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds = e^{-(t-3)\Delta_L} \left(\int_1^2 e^{-(2-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \right)$$

we need to use Sobolev spaces of negative order to get rid of the second derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Let us first abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2 &= \int_1^2 e^{-(2-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds, \\ \eta_3 &= e^{-\Delta_L} \eta_2, \quad \eta_{t-1} = e^{-(t-4)\Delta_L} \eta_3 = e^{-(t-3)\Delta_L} \eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then η_{t-1} is the term we wish to estimate. Similarly as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} \left(\|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} \right) \\ & \leq C(t-4)^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} \left(\|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + (t-4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} + (t-4)^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\eta_{t-1}\|_{L^q} \right) \\ & \leq C \|\eta_3\|_{L^{p'}} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q})} \cdot t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\eta_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r}} \leq C \|\eta_3\|_{L^{p'}}$$

by mapping properties of the heat operator. Now because $e^{-\Delta_L}$ extends to bounded maps

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\Delta_L} : L^{q'} &\rightarrow W^{2,q'}, \quad \forall q' \in (1, \infty), \\ e^{-s\Delta_L} : W^{2,q'} &\rightarrow W^{2,q'}, \quad \forall q' \in (1, \infty), s \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

duality implies that it is also a bounded map

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\Delta_L} : W^{-2,q'} &\rightarrow L^{q'}, \quad \forall q' \in (1, \infty), \\ e^{-s\Delta_L} : W^{-2,q'} &\rightarrow W^{-2,q'}, \quad \forall q' \in (1, \infty), s \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\Pi^\perp : W^{2,q'} \rightarrow W^{2,q'}$ is bounded and self-adjoint on L^2 , it also admits a bounded extension $\Pi^\perp : W^{-2,q'} \rightarrow W^{-2,q'}$. These observations imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_3\|_{L^q} &\leq C \|\eta_2\|_{W^{-2,q}} = C \left\| \int_1^2 e^{-(2-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \right\|_{W^{-2,q}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{s \in [1,2]} \left\| (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) \right\|_{W^{-2,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the tensor $T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$, the difference of two Lichnerwicz Laplacians can be written as

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\chi) = (h^{-1} - \tilde{h}^{-1}) * (\nabla^2 \tilde{\varphi} + R * \tilde{\varphi}) + \tilde{h}^{-1} * (\nabla T * \tilde{\varphi} + T * \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + T * T * \tilde{\varphi}).$$

Let now $\chi \in C_{cs}^\infty(S^2M)$ be a compactly supported test tensor. Since we have the schematic form $T = (h^{-1} - \tilde{h}^{-1}) * \nabla(h - \tilde{h})$, suitable integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)\tilde{\varphi}, \chi)_{L^2} &= ((h^{-1} - \tilde{h}^{-1}) * (\nabla^2 \tilde{\varphi} + R * \tilde{\varphi}), \chi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + (\tilde{h}^{-1} * (\nabla T * \tilde{\varphi} + T * \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + T * T * \tilde{\varphi}), \chi)_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^q} \|\chi\|_{W^{2,q^*}} \left\| \tilde{h}_\infty - h_\infty \right\|_{W^{2,\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, q^* is the conjugate Hölder exponent of q . Using the definition of negative Sobolev spaces, we obtain

$$\left\| (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) \right\|_{W^{-2,q}} \leq C \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^q} \left\| \tilde{h}_\infty - h_\infty \right\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq C \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^q} \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}},$$

so that

$$\|\eta_3\|_{L^q} \leq C \sup_{s \in [1,2]} \left\| (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) \right\|_{W^{-2,q}} \leq C \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_Z \sup_{s \in [1,2]} \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^q}.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| \int_1^2 e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \right\|_{X_q} \leq C \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^q}.$$

Let $q' = p, r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{t-2}^{t-1} e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} &\leq C \sup_{s \in [t-2, t-1]} \left\| (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}_s) \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \\ &\leq C \left\| h_\infty - \tilde{h}_\infty \right\|_{W^{4,q'}} \sup_{s \in [t-2, t-1]} \|\tilde{\varphi}_s\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \\ &\leq C \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\tilde{\varphi}_{t-3}\|_{L^r} \\ &\leq C(t-3)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the final term. Let $\alpha : \{q, r\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Lemma 5.12. Choose $p'' \in (1, p')$ small and let $q'' \in (p'', \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p''} = \frac{1}{q''} + \frac{1}{r}$. Under these assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\neq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p''} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) \\ 1 &\neq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i), \\ &\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p''} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i). \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality, Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 1.16, we therefore get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \nabla^i \int_2^{t-2} e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp (\tilde{\Delta}_L - \Delta_L)(\tilde{\varphi}) ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq \int_2^{t-2} \left\| \nabla^i e^{-(t-1-s)\Delta_L} \circ \Pi^\perp \right\|_{L^{p''} \rightarrow L^{q'}} \left\| \tilde{h}_\infty - h_\infty \right\|_{W^{2,q''}} \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{W^{2,r}} ds \\ &\leq C \int_2^{t-2} (t-1-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p''} - \frac{1}{q}) - \alpha(q', i)} (s-1)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r})} ds \cdot \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q}) - \alpha(q', i)} \cdot \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 5.25. By shifting the time parameter, we also get under the conditions of Lemma 5.24 for $t > s \geq 1$ and $t - s \geq 4$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^i \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1) - \nabla^i \circ P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{h}_\infty}^\perp(k_1) \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ & \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p}) - \alpha(q', i)} \left(\left\| \tilde{k} - k \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \|k_1\|_{L^{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

with α being the function of Lemma 5.12.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{[1, t-1]}[I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] &= \chi_{[1, t-1]}[\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h}](k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \\ &+ \chi_{[1, t-1]}[(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} [I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds \\ &= \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} [\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h}](k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) ds \\ &+ \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} [(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds \end{aligned}$$

We deal with these two terms in the next four lemmas.

Lemma 5.26. We have for every $p' \in (1, r]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} \\ & \leq C s^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left(\|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) &= (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty})(\tilde{k}) + (\Delta_{L, h} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \\ &+ (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k - \tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Standard estimates using the Hölder inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty})(\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} + \left\| (\Delta_{L, h} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \\ & \leq C \cdot s^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \end{aligned}$$

and it is shown as in (39) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k - \tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C \cdot s^{1-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\ & \leq C \cdot s^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired result. \square

Lemma 5.27. We have

$$\left\| \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} [(\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k})] ds \right\|_{X_{q,r}}$$

$$\leq C \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha : \{q, r\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Lemma 5.12, $q' \in \{q, r\}$ and choose $p' \in (1, q)$ small. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\neq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) > \alpha(q', i), \\ 1 &\neq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \alpha(q', i), \\ \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) &> \alpha(q', i), \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 5.12, Lemma 5.26 and Lemma 1.16 yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_\infty^\perp [(\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k})] ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq \int_1^{t-1} \left\| \nabla^i \circ P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_\infty^\perp \right\|_{L^{p'}, L^{q'}} \left\| (\Delta_{L, h_\infty} - \Delta_{L, h})(k) - (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} ds \\ &\leq \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) - \alpha(q', i)} s^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} ds \left(\|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \\ &\leq Ct^{-\alpha(q', i)} \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \left(\|h\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 5.28. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq Ct^{-n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first write

$$(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1) = H_1 - \tilde{H}_1 + (D_g \Phi - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(H_1) + D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi(\tilde{H}_1 - H_1)$$

By Lemma 5.21, we already know

$$\left\| H_1 - \tilde{H}_1 \right\|_{L^q} \leq Ct^{-n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \left[\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right].$$

Moreover by Lemma 2.10 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi(\tilde{H}_1 - H_1) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq \left\| \tilde{H}_1 - H_1 \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq Ct^{-n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \left[\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by Lemma 2.10 (vi),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (D_g \Phi - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(H_1) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{L^q} \right) \|H_1\|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{L^q} \right) \|k\|_{W^{2,r}}^2 \\ &\leq Ct^{-n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left(\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right) \|k\|_{X_q}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.29. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_\infty^\perp [(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\ & \leq C \left[\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} + \|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \left[\|k\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha : \{q, r\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Lemma 5.12 and $q' \in \{q, r\}$. Then we get by Lemma 5.28 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-1} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_\infty^\perp [(1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ & \leq \int_1^{t-1} \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} \circ \Pi_\infty^\perp\|_{L^q, L^{q'}} \left\| (1 - D_g \Phi)(H_1) - (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1) \right\|_{L^q} ds \\ & \leq C \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\alpha(q', i)} s^{-n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} ds \leq C \cdot t^{-\alpha(q', i)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality here follows from Lemma 1.16 and

$$n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > 1, \quad n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \alpha(q', i).$$

The result is immediate from the definition of the norm. \square

Lemma 5.30. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\ & \leq C \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \|\tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We split up

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \\ & = \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k})] ds \\ & \quad + \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds \end{aligned}$$

Let us now estimate the term

$$\int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k})] ds$$

Let $\alpha : \{q, r\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Lemma 5.12, $q' \in \{q, r\}$ and $p' \in (1, q)$ small. Then we can use Lemma 5.13, Lemma 5.24 and Remark 5.25 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k})] ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ & \leq \int_1^{t-4} \left\| \nabla^i [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] \right\|_{L^{p'}, L^{q'}} \left\| (\Delta_{L, \tilde{h}_\infty} - \Delta_{L, \tilde{h}})(\tilde{k}) \right\|_{L^{p'}} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_1^{t-4} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\alpha(q',i)} s^{1-\frac{3n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} ds \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| h - \hat{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|h\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
&\leq C t^{-\alpha(q',i)} \left\| \tilde{h} - h \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| h - \hat{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \|h\|_{X_{q,r}}
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is justified by Lemma 1.16, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) > \alpha(q', i), \\
&\frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \alpha(q', i), \\
&\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \alpha(q', i) + \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 2 = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \alpha(q', i) - 1 > \alpha(q', i).
\end{aligned}$$

For the term

$$\int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds,$$

we have, using Lemma 5.24 and Remark 5.25,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-4} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] [(1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1)] ds \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\
&\leq \int_1^{t-4} \left\| \nabla^i (P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}) \right\|_{L^q, L^{q'}} \left\| (1 - D_{\tilde{g}} \Phi)(\tilde{H}_1) \right\|_{L^q} ds \\
&\leq C \int_1^{t-4} (t-s)^{-\alpha(q',i)} s^{-n\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} ds \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}}^2 \\
&\leq C t^{-\alpha(q',i)} \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality follows from Lemma 1.16 since

$$n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > 1, \quad n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \geq \alpha(q', i).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.31. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{t-4}^{t-1} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $q' = \{q, r\}$. Lemma 3.4 (iii), elliptic regularity and Sobolev embedding yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{t-4}^{t-1} [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t}] I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) ds \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \\
&\leq C \sup_{s \in [t-5, t]} \left(\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) \left\| I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\
&\leq C \sup_{s \in [t-5, t]} \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^q} \right) \left\| I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\
&\leq C \left(\|k - \tilde{k}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \sup_{s \in [t-5, t]} \left\| I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) \right\|_{L^{q'}}
\end{aligned}$$

Exactly as in the proof of Lemma 5.18, we get the estimate

$$\sup_{s \in [t-5, t]} \left\| I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) \right\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}},$$

which yields the result by definition of the norm. \square

Lemma 5.32. We have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{t-1}^t [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \\ & \leq C \left(\left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 (ii), we get for $q' = \{q, r\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{t-1}^t [P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) - P(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty)] ds \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\left\| g - \tilde{g} \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{W^{4,\infty}} \right) \left\| I(t, s, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}_\infty) \right\|_{W^{1,q'}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{L^q} \right) \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \left(\left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{L^q} \right) \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,q'}} \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{W^{2,r}} \\ & \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \left(\left\| k - \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| h - \tilde{h} \right\|_{Z_{q,r}} \right) \left\| \tilde{k} \right\|_{X_{q,r}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement. \square

6. LONG-TIME EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE

In this section, we are going to prove the main results of the paper. Throughout the section, let the metric \hat{h} satisfy the assumptions of the Theorems.

6.1. Establishing a fixed point of the iteration map.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a neighbourhood of \hat{h} on which the map Φ of Subsection 2.2 is defined. We call a family of metrics g_t , $t \in [0, \infty)$ in \mathcal{U} a modified Ricci-de Turck flow starting at g_0 if g_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_t &= -2\text{Ric}_{g_t} + \mathcal{L}_{V(g_t, \Phi(g_t))} g_t, & t > 1, \\ \partial_t g_t &= -2\text{Ric}_{g_t} + \mathcal{L}_{V(g_t, \hat{h})} g_t, & t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, for $t \in [0, 1]$, g_t evolves under the Ricci-de Turck flow with reference metric \hat{h} while for $t > 1$, g_t evolves under the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving reference metric $\Phi(g_t)$.

Theorem 6.2. Let $q \in (1, n)$ and $r \in (n, \infty)$ so large that $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \neq 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ so small that if a metric g_0 satisfies

$$\left\| g_0 - \hat{h} \right\|_{L^q} + \left\| g_0 - \hat{h} \right\|_{L^\infty} < \delta,$$

the modified Ricci-de Turck flow g_t starting at g_0 is well-defined, exists for all $t \geq 0$ and such that for $t \geq 1$, the tensors $h_t := \Phi(g_t)$, $k_t := g_t - h_t$ satisfy

$$\left\| (h_t - \hat{h}, k_t) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon.$$

Proof. For any given $\epsilon_1 > 0$, Lemma 3.8 enables us to choose $\delta > 0$ so small that the Ricci-de Turk flow g_t with background metric \hat{h} with initial data g_0 exists up to time $t = 1$ and satisfies

$$\|g_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|g_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,\infty}} < \epsilon_1.$$

Due to interpolation, this also implies

$$\|g_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,r}} < \epsilon_1$$

for $r \in (q, \infty)$. For any given $\epsilon_2 > 0$, we may choose $\epsilon_1 > 0$ so small that the projection map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

from Subsection 2.2 can be applied to g_1 and such that the tensors

$$h_1 := \Phi(g_1), \quad k_1 := g_1 - \Phi(g_1)$$

satisfy

$$\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} < \epsilon_2$$

We now define for $t \geq 1$

$$h_t^{(1)} := h_1, \quad k_t^{(1)} := e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L,h_1}} k_1.$$

It follows from Lemma 5.12 (applied to the special case where $g = h = h_\infty$ are all equal to h_1) that

$$\|k_t^{(1)}\|_{X_{q,r}} \leq C (\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}})$$

and it is clear from the definition of the norm that

$$\|h_t^{(1)} - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} = \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q,r}} = \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^q}.$$

Therefore, for any given $\epsilon_3 > 0$, we may choose $\epsilon_2 > 0$ so small that

$$\|(h_t^{(1)} - \hat{h}, k_t^{(1)})\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon_3.$$

Inductively, we define the tuple

$$(h_t^{(i+1)}, k_t^{(i+1)}) := \psi(h^{(i)}, k^{(i)}).$$

Now we claim that we can choose ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 so small that

$$\|(h_t^{(i)} - \hat{h}, k_t^{(i)})\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon_3$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove this by induction on i . The claim obviously holds for $i = 1$. Now observe that due to Theorem 5.8, there exists an ϵ_4 such that the estimate

$$\|\psi(h, k) - (\hat{h}, 0)\|_{Y_{q,r}} \leq C_1 \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}}^2 \right) \quad (41)$$

holds for some constant $C_1 > 0$, as long as $\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon_4$. If we choose ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 such that

$$\epsilon_2 < \frac{\epsilon_3}{2C_1}, \quad \epsilon_3 < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2C_1}, \epsilon_4 \right\},$$

then the induction assumption implies

$$\|(h^{(i)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i)})\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon_3 < \epsilon_4.$$

Now (41) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(h^{(i+1)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i+1)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} &= \left\| \psi \left(h^{(i)}, k^{(i)} \right) - \left(\hat{h}, 0 \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{X_{q,r}} + \left\| \left(h^{(i)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_1(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3^2) \leq C_1\epsilon_2 + (C_1\epsilon_3)\epsilon_3 \leq \frac{\epsilon_3}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_3}{2} = \epsilon_3 \end{aligned}$$

and the claim is shown by induction.

Now due to Theorem 5.19, there exists an $\epsilon_5 > 0$ such that the map ψ satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi(h, k) - \psi(\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} &\leq C_2 (\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}}) \left\| (h - \tilde{h}, k - \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \\ &\quad + C_2 \left(\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \left\| (\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \right) \left\| (h - \tilde{h}, k - \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \end{aligned}$$

as long as $\left\| (h - \hat{h}, k) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \left\| (\tilde{h} - \hat{h}, \tilde{k}) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} < \epsilon_5$. If we now choose ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 so small that

$$C_2\epsilon_2 + 2C_2\epsilon_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \epsilon_3 \leq \frac{\epsilon_5}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(h^{(i+2)} - h^{(i+1)}, k^{(i+2)} - k^{(i+1)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \left\| \left(h^{(i+1)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i+1)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} + \left\| \left(h^{(i)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left\| \left(h^{(i+1)} - h^{(i)}, k^{(i+1)} - k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \\ &\leq (C_2\epsilon_2 + 2C_2\epsilon_3) \left\| \left(h^{(i+1)} - h^{(i)}, k^{(i+1)} - k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(h^{(i+1)} - h^{(i)}, k^{(i+1)} - k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by induction, the sequence

$$\left\{ \left(h^{(i)}, k^{(i)} \right) \right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in $Y_{q,r}$. By construction, it converges to an element $(h^{(\infty)}, k^{(\infty)}) \in Y_{q,r}$ which satisfies

$$\psi \left(h^{(\infty)}, k^{(\infty)} \right) = \left(h^{(\infty)}, k^{(\infty)} \right)$$

and thus is by construction the (unique) fixed point of ψ . In addition, if for the $\epsilon > 0$ given in the statement of the Theorem, ϵ_3 is chosen so small that $\epsilon_3 < \epsilon$, we get

$$\left\| \left(h^{(\infty)} - \hat{h}, k^{(\infty)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(h^{(i)} - \hat{h}, k^{(i)} \right) \right\|_{Y_{q,r}} \leq \epsilon_3 < \epsilon.$$

By the discussion in Section 4, $\left(g_t^{(\infty)} = h_t^{(\infty)} + k_t^{(\infty)} \right)_{t \geq 1}$ (which is for each fixed time an element in $W^{2,q} \cap W^{2,r} \subset W^{1,\infty}$) is a (weak) solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge, starting at g_1 . On the other hand, a solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge g_1 is uniquely obtained by solving the \hat{h} -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow and pulling back by a suitable family of diffeomorphisms. By construction, the resulting flow $(g_t)_{t \geq 1}$ is $W^{2,q} \cap W^{2,r}$ -close to \hat{h} at least for small times $[t, t + \epsilon]$. By uniqueness, $g_t = g_t^{(\infty)}$ as long as g_t does not leave a

small neighbourhood. A bootstrapping argument then implies that $g_t = g_t^{(\infty)}$ for all time which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3. Let $g_t \in \mathcal{U}$, $t \in [0, T]$ be a solution of the Ricci-de Turck flow with moving gauge $h_t = \Phi(g_t)$ and $k_t := g_t - h_t$. Then for all $r \in (n, \infty)$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|k_t\|_{W^{2,r}} < \epsilon \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \|k_0\|_{L^p} < \infty \text{ for some } p \in [p_0, \infty),$$

then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a constant $C_m = C(m, \epsilon, h, T, p_0)$ (but independent from p) such that

$$\|\nabla^m k_t\|_{L^p} \leq C_m \cdot t^{-m/2} \cdot (\|k_0\|_{L^p} + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|k_s\|_{W^{2,r}}).$$

Sketch of proof. This is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8. We only have to deal with the additional term

$$D_g \Phi(g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k + g^{-1} * g * R * k + \nabla((g^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k)),$$

in the evolution equation for k . However, a combination of Lemma 2.10 (iii) and standard estimates shows that

$$\|\nabla^m \circ D_g \Phi(g^{-1} * g^{-1} * \nabla k * \nabla k + g^{-1} * g * R * k + \nabla((g^{-1} - h^{-1}) * \nabla k))\|_{L^p} \leq C \cdot \|k\|_{W^{2,r}}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The result then follows again from an application of Theorem 3.2. Note that the L^∞ -norm of k is small by assumption due to Sobolev embedding. \square

6.2. Optimal convergence rates of the modified Ricci-de Turck flow.

Proposition 6.4. Assume the same as in Theorem 6.2 and additionally,

$$\|g_0 - \hat{h}\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

for some $p \in (1, q)$. Then the tensors h_t, k_t , $t \geq 1$ in Theorem 6.2 satisfy $(h, k) \in Y_{p,r'}$ for every $r' \in [r, \infty)$.

Proof. We are first going to show that $(h, k) \in Y_{p,r}$. For this purpose, let h_1, k_1 be as in the previous proof. Recall that we have

$$\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} < \epsilon_2.$$

short-time estimates under the Ricci-de Turck flow (Lemma 3.8) yields

$$\|g_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,p}} < \infty$$

and therefore,

$$\|k_1\|_{L^p} \leq \|g_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,p}} + \|h_1 - \hat{h}\|_{W^{2,p}} < \infty.$$

By interpolation, $\|k_1\|_{W^{2,q'}} < \infty$ for all $q' \in [p, q]$. Let now $q = q_0 > q_1 > \dots > q_N = p$ be a finite sequence of Hölder exponents satisfying

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q_i} - \frac{1}{q_{i-1}} \right) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2r}, n \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. As $q \geq q_{i-1}$,

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q_i} - \frac{1}{q_{i-1}} \right) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{2r}, n \left(\frac{1}{q_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

A repetitive application of Propostion 5.9 and Proposition 5.10 applied to $h = \psi_1(h, k)$ and $k = \psi_2(h, k)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|k\|_{X_{q_i, r}} &\leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2, q_{i-1}}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2, r}} + \left(\|k\|_{X_{q_{i-1}, r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q_{i-1}, r}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{q_{i-1}, r}} \right], \\ \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{q_i, r}} &\leq C \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^{q_i}} + \|k\|_{X_{q_i, r}}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} + \|k\|_{X_{q_i, r}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

and after a finite number of steps, we obtain

$$\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{p, r}} = \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r}} + \|k\|_{X_{p, r}} < \infty,$$

as desired.

Now we are goint to show that $(h, k) \in Y_{p, r'}$ for any $r' \in [r, \infty)$. The argumentation is similar to the above but slightly more involved. Pick a finite sequence $r = r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_N = r'$ such that

$$\min \left\{ n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\} > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right).$$

Note that this also implies

$$\min \left\{ n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_{i-1}} \right) - 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\} > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right),$$

as $r_{i-1} \geq r$. Note that by interpolation,

$$\|k_1\|_{W^{2, r_i}} < \infty.$$

Let us first show that

$$\|k\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2, p}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2, r_i}} + \left(\|k\|_{X_{p, r_{i-1}}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p, r_{i-1}}} \right].$$

To do so we estimate for $k = \psi_2(h, k)$, using Lemma 5.11 and the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_2(h, k)\|_{X_{p, r_i}} &\leq \|\overline{\psi}_2(h, k)\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \leq \|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_1^{\max\{1, t-2\}} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{\max\{1, t-2\}}^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{p, r_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.12 yields

$$\|P(g, h, h_\infty)_{1 \rightarrow t}(\Pi_{h_\infty}^\perp(k_1))\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \leq C(\|k_1\|_{W^{2, p}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2, r_i}})$$

and Lemma 5.15 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_1^{\max\{1, t-2\}} P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds \right\|_{X_{p, r_i}} \\ &\leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{p, r_{i-1}}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p, r_{i-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now due to the definition of the norms, we have for

$$(A) := \int_{\max\{1, t-2\}}^t P(g, h, h_\infty)_{s \rightarrow t} I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty) ds$$

the inequality

$$\|(A)\|_{X_{p,r_i}} \leq \|(A)\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} + \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_i})} \|(A)\|_{W^{2,r_i}}.$$

From Lemma 5.18, we know already that

$$\|(A)\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} \leq C \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}},$$

and thus it suffices to consider the second term. From Lemma 3.3 (iii) and Lemma 5.17, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A)\|_{W^{2,r_i}} &\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{W^{1,r_i}} \\ &\quad + C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-2\}, \max\{1, t-1\}]} \|I(t, s, k, h, h_\infty)\|_{L^{r_i}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-2\}, t]} (\|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^p}) \|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us distinguish between large times and small times. For $t \leq 3$, shorttime existence results yield

$$\sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-2\}, t]} (\|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}} + \|h - h_\infty\|_{L^p}) \|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}} \leq C \left(\|k_1\|_{W^{2,r_i}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r_i}}.$$

Now let us consider large times $t \geq 3$. By Sobolev embedding and smoothing Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}} &\leq C \|k_{t-1}\|_{W^{2,r_i}} \leq C \|k_{t-1}\|_{W^{3,r_{i-1}}} \\ &\leq C \|k_{t-2}\|_{L^{r_{i-1}}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_{i-1}})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p < r_{i-1}$, we get

$$\sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|k\|_{W^{2,r_i}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_{i-1}})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r_i})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}}$$

and since $n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_{i-1}} \right) - 1 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)$, we get in addition

$$\|h - h_\infty\|_{L^p} \leq C t^{1-n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_{i-1}})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{r_i})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}}.$$

Combining these results, we get in all cases

$$\|(A)\|_{W^{2,r_i}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r_i})} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}}.$$

Thus we have shown

$$\|k\|_{X_{p,r_i}} \leq C \left[\|k_1\|_{W^{2,p}} + \|k_1\|_{W^{2,r_i}} + \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_{i-1}}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p,r_{i-1}}} \right] < \infty$$

and from Proposition 5.9, we have

$$\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r_i}} \leq C \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^p} + \|k\|_{X_{p,r_i}}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{L^p} + \|k\|_{X_{p,i}}^2 \right) < \infty.$$

Thus after a finite number of steps, we get

$$\|(h - \hat{h}, k)\|_{Y_{p,r'}} = \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} + \|k\|_{X_{p,r'}} < \infty,$$

as desired □

Corollary 6.5. *Under the conditions of Proposition 6.4, there exists for each $\tau > 0$ a constant $C = C(\tau)$ such that*

$$\|h_t - h_\infty\|_{C^k} \leq C \cdot t^{1-\frac{n}{p}+\tau}, \quad \|g_t - h_t\|_{C^k} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\tau}$$

for the tensors h_t, k_t , $t \geq 1$ of Theorem 6.2.

Proof. We get from Proposition 6.4 that

$$\|(h_t - \hat{h}, k_t)\|_{Y_{p,r'}} < \infty,$$

for all $r' \in [r, \infty)$. Let $\tau > 0$ be given and choose r' so large that $\frac{n}{2r'} < \frac{n}{r} < \tau$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_t - h_\infty\|_{C^k} &\leq C \|h_t - h_\infty\|_{L^p} \leq C \int_t^\infty \|\partial_s h\|_{L^p} ds \\ &\leq C \int_t^\infty s^{-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r'})} ds \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} \\ &\leq C t^{1-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r'})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} \leq C t^{1-\frac{n}{p}+\tau} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 6.3, we get

$$\|k_t\|_{C^k} \leq C \|k_{t-1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|k_{t-1}\|_{W^{1,r'}} \leq t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{1}{r'})} \|k\|_{X_{p',r'}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\tau} \|k\|_{X_{p',r'}},$$

and the proof of the theorem is finished. \square

For proving a refinement of the decay of k_t , let us fix some time $t \geq 1$. Define

$$\bar{k}_s = \Pi_{h_s, h_t}^\perp(k_s), \quad s \in [1, t].$$

By Proposition 4.2, the evolution on \bar{k} can be written as

$$\partial_s \bar{k}_s + \Delta_{L, h_t} \bar{k}_s = \Pi_{h_t}^\perp[(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))].$$

Observe also that $\bar{k}(t) = \Pi_{h(t), h(t)}^\perp(k(t)) = k(t)$. Therefore, by the Duhamel principle we get an alternative formula for $k(t)$ which is

$$\begin{aligned} k_t = \bar{k}_t &= e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L, h_t}} \bar{k}_1 \\ &+ \int_1^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp[(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

To obtain estimates for this expression, we have to derive estimates on the integrand, which was done in Lemma 5.13 and Lemma 5.14. These estimates will enable us to control the part of the integral from 1 to $\max\{1, t-1\}$. To treat also the part from $\max\{1, t-1\}$ to t , we need another lemma.

Lemma 6.6. For $r' \in [r, \infty)$ and $q' \in [p, r']$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{W^{i, q'}} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r'})-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q'})} \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} + \|k\|_{X_{p,r'}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p,r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. At first,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{W^{i, q'}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} (\|h_t - h_s\|_{W^{i+2, \infty}} + \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, \infty}}) \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, q'}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the end of the proof of Proposition 6.5, we already know that

$$\sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, \infty}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}}.$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|h_t - h_s\|_{W^{i+2, \infty}} &\leq \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \int_s^t \|\partial_{s'} h\|_{L^p} ds' \\ &\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \int_s^t (s')^{-n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'})} ds' \cdot \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}} \\ &\leq C t^{-n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Theta \in [0, 1]$ be defined by the equation

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'} = \Theta \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right).$$

By interpolation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, q'}} &\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, p}}^{1-\theta} \|k_s\|_{W^{i+2, r'}}^{\theta} \\ &\leq C \|k_{t-2}\|_{L^p}^{1-\theta} \|k_{t-2}\|_{L^{r'}}^{\theta} \\ &\leq C \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}}^{1-\theta} \left((t-2)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'})} \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \right)^{\theta} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'})} \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows from putting these estimates together. \square

Proposition 6.7. *Assume the same as in Proposition 6.4. Let k_t be as there, $q' \in [p, \infty)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) *If $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) + \frac{i}{2} < \frac{n}{2p}$ there exists a constant $C = C(p, q, i)$ such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i k_t\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'}) - \frac{i}{2}} \quad (43)$$

- (ii) *If $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \geq \frac{n}{2p}$ there exists for each $t_0 > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ a constant $C = C(p, q, i, t_0, \tau)$ such that for all $t > t_0$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^i k_t\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \tau}. \quad (44)$$

Proof. We have, using (42) and the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^i k_t\|_{L^{q'}} &\leq \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L, h_t}} \bar{k}_1 \right\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\quad + \int_1^{\max\{1, t-1\}} \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))] \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\max\{1, t-1\}}^t \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L, h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))] \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha = \alpha(p, q', i, \epsilon) > 0$ be defined by the rates (43) and (44) in the respective cases. Choose $p' \in (1, p)$ small and $r \in (n, \infty)$ large. Furthermore, we denote $\alpha' = \alpha(p', q', i, \epsilon) > 0$. Note that $\alpha' - \alpha = \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p})$ in all cases. By Corollary 5.2, we already know

$$\left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \bar{k}_1 \right\|_{L^{q'}} \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|\bar{k}_1\|_{L^p} \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|k_1\|_{L^p}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds \\ & \leq \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp \right\|_{L^{p'}, L^{q'}} \|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s)\|_{L^{p'}} ds \\ & \leq C \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} (t-s)^{-\alpha'} s^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} ds \cdot (\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} + \|k\|_{Z_{p,r}}) \|k\|_{Z_{p,r}} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \alpha > \alpha \\ \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1 &> \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \alpha \\ \alpha' + \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 2 &= \alpha + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 2 \\ &= \alpha + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 2 > \alpha \end{aligned}$$

so that Lemma 1.16 implies

$$\int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} (t-s)^{-\alpha'} s^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} ds \leq C t^{-\alpha}.$$

From Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 5.14, we have (with $\beta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \right\}$) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds \\ & \leq \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp \right\|_{L^p, L^{q'}} \|(1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} ds \\ & \leq C \int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} (t-s)^{-\alpha} s^{-\beta-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r'})} ds \cdot \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r'}} + \|k\|_{Z_{p,r'}} \right) \|k\|_{Z_{p,r'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen r' so large that $n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) > 1$. Since any of the given α satisfies $\alpha < \frac{n}{2p}$, we may always choose r' so large that $\alpha < \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \leq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) + \beta$. In addition, we always have $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) + \beta > 1$. Then we get from Lemma 1.16 that

$$\int_1^{\max\{1,t-1\}} (t-s)^{-\alpha} s^{-\beta-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r'})} ds \leq C t^{-\alpha}.$$

Finally, we get from Lemma 6.6 that

$$\int_{\max\{1,t-1\}}^t \left\| \nabla^i \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] \right\|_{L^{q'}} ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sup_{s \in [\max\{1, t-1\}, t]} \|(\Delta_{L, h_t} - \Delta_{L, h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s} \Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^{q'}} \\
&\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'}) - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'})} \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}} + \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \\
&\leq Ct^{-\alpha} \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}} + \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}},
\end{aligned}$$

because for given α , r' was chosen so large that

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q'} \right) \geq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) \geq \alpha.$$

Putting all the estimates together, we get

$$\|\nabla^i k_t\|_{L^{q'}} \leq Ct^{-\alpha} \left[\|k_1\|_{L^p} + \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p, r'}} + \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \right) \|k\|_{X_{p, r'}} \right],$$

which proves the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. For an initial metric g_0 which is $L^{[q, \infty]}$ -close to \hat{h} , we denote by \tilde{g}_t the modified Ricci-de Turck flow starting at $g_0 = \tilde{g}_0$. We are first going to show that all the assertions of Theorem 1.8 hold with $\phi_t^* g_t$ replaced by \tilde{g}_t . Let $C_1 > 0$ be the constant of Lemma 5.6. For the given neighbourhood \mathcal{U} , choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that

$$\mathcal{B}_{C_1 \cdot \epsilon}^{[q, \infty]}(\hat{h}) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M} \mid \|g - \hat{h}\|_{L^q} + \|g - \hat{h}\|_{L^\infty} < C_1 \cdot \epsilon \right\} \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

Now if $g_0 \in \mathcal{V} := \mathcal{B}^{[\Pi, \infty]}_\delta(\hat{h})$, for a $\delta > 0$ chosen small enough, Lemma 3.8 implies that we have $\tilde{g}_t \in \mathcal{B}_{C_1 \cdot \epsilon}^{[q, \infty]}(\hat{h})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, by Theorem 6.2, \tilde{g}_t exists for all time and for $t \geq 1$, the tensors $\tilde{h}_t = \Phi(\tilde{g}_t)$ and $k_t = \tilde{g}_t - h_t$ satisfy

$$\|(h_t - \hat{h}, k_t)\|_{Y_{q, r}} < \epsilon,$$

which by Lemma 5.6 implies $\tilde{g}_t \in \mathcal{B}_{C_1 \cdot \epsilon}^{[q, \infty]}(\hat{h}) \subset \mathcal{U}$ for all $t \geq 1$. The decay and convergence rates in (i)-(iii) for h_t and k_t follow from Propositions 6.5 and 6.7. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that we can write $\tilde{g}_t = \phi_t^* g_t$, where g_t is the standard Ricci flow starting at g_0 . For this purpose, let

$$V_t = - \begin{cases} V(\tilde{g}_t, \hat{h}), & t \in (0, 1), \\ V(\tilde{g}_t, h_t), & t \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

For $t \in (0, 1)$, V_t is of the form $V_t = (\tilde{g}_t)^{-1} * (\tilde{g}_t)^{-1} * \nabla(g_t - \hat{h})$ while for $t \geq 1$, we have $V_t = (\tilde{g}_t)^{-1} * (\tilde{g}_t)^{-1} * \nabla k_t$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 6.5, V_t is bounded in all derivatives for $t > 0$ and hence a family of complete vector fields. Due to Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\|V_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|g_0 - \hat{h}\|_{L^\infty} \in L^1([0, 1]) \quad (45)$$

for $t \leq 1$. Therefore, V_t actually generates a family of diffeomorphisms $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_M$. A standard computation shows that the family $g_t = \varphi_t^* \tilde{g}_t$ is a Ricci flow starting at g_0 and the proof is completed with $\phi_t := \varphi_t^{-1}$. \square

Remark 6.8. Note that the bound in (45) implies the following: For given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $g_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta^\infty(\hat{h})$, the Ricci-de Turck flow \tilde{g}_t as well as the standard Ricci flow (g_t) starting at g_0 exist up to time 1 and stay in $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^\infty(\hat{h})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

6.3. Decay of the de Turck vector field and the Ricci curvature. Throughout this subsection, we assume the same as in Proposition 6.4. Let as in Theorem 6.2 the family g_t be the modified Ricci-de Turck flow starting at g_0 which for $t \geq 1$ splits as

$$g_t = h_t + k_t,$$

where h_t is Ricci-flat and $k_t \in \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_{L,h_t})^\perp$. The goal of this subsection is to get improved estimates for the de Turck vector field and the Ricci curvature which are as follows:

Proposition 6.9. *For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tau > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(i, \tau)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(g_t, k_t)\|_{C^i} &\leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{1}{2}+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{2n}{3}), \\ Ct^{1-\frac{3n}{2p}+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{2n}{3}, n). \end{cases} \\ \|\text{Ric}_{g_t}\|_{C^i} &\leq \begin{cases} C \cdot t^{-\frac{n}{2p}-1+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{n}{2}) \\ C \cdot t^{1-\frac{3n}{2p}+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{n}{2}, n). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

By Taylor expansion along the curve $[0, 1] \ni s \mapsto h_t + s \cdot k_t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} V(g_t, h_t) &= V(g_t, h_t) - V(h_t, h_t) = DV_{h_t}(k_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 V_{h_t+s \cdot k_t}(k_t, k_t) ds, \\ \text{Ric}_{g_t} &= \text{Ric}_{g_t} - \text{Ric}_{h_t} = D\text{Ric}_{h_t}(k_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 \text{Ric}_{g_t+s \cdot k_t}(k_t, k_t) ds. \end{aligned}$$

where D^i denotes the i th Fréchet derivative (for V , just in the first variable). The proposition now follows from analyzing the respective parts on the right hand side. We first need some estimates on the pure linear part of the equations.

Lemma 6.10. For $t \geq 1$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $1 < p \leq r < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^i \circ DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{L^p, L^r} &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\nabla^i \circ D\text{Ric} \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{L^p, L^r} &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

For $t \in [0, 1]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$, we have

$$\|DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{W^{i+1,p}, W^{i,p}} \leq C, \quad \|D\text{Ric} \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{W^{k+2,p}, W^{k,p}} \leq C. \tag{47}$$

Proof. We consider the case of the de Turck vector field first. By Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3.

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{L^p, L^r} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})}, \quad \|DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{L^r, L^r} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $t \geq 0$ which by writing $DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} = DV \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L} \circ e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_L}$ already implies (46) in the case $i = 0$. For derivatives, we first recall the commutation formula $\Delta_{VF} \circ DV = DV \circ \Delta_L$, where Δ_{VF} is the connection Laplacian on vector fields. Therefore,

$$\nabla^i \circ DV \circ e^{-t\Delta_L} = \nabla^i \circ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{VF}} \circ DV \circ e^{-(t-\frac{1}{2})\Delta_L}$$

By standard estimates (similar as in Lemma 3.3, $\nabla^i \circ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{VF}}$ is a bounded map on L^r and (46) follows from the case $i = 0$. Again by standard estimates, $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ is bounded on $W^{i,p}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Because DV is a linear first order operator, (47) is immediate. The case of the Ricci curvature is completely analogous. Here we use that $D\text{Ric}$ is a linear second order operator, the commutator formula $\Delta_L \circ D\text{Ric} = D\text{Ric} \circ \Delta_L$ and

$$\|D\text{Ric} \circ e^{-t\Delta_L}\|_{L^r, L^r} \leq Ct^{-1},$$

which holds due to Theorem 5.3 as well. \square

The next step is to apply these linearizations to k_t instead of $e^{-t\Delta_L}$.

Lemma 6.11. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tau > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(i, \tau)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DV_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{C^i} &\leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\tau-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{2n}{3}), \\ Ct^{1-\frac{3n}{2p}+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{2n}{3}, n). \end{cases} \\ \|DRic_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{C^i} &\leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\tau-1}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{n}{2}), \\ Ct^{1-\frac{3n}{2p}+\tau}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{n}{2}, n). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. We will just carry out the proof for DV . The other case is completely analogous and left as an exercise to the reader. For $t \in [1, 2]$, the bounds follow immediately from Proposition 6.5 as

$$\|DV_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{C^i} \leq C \|k_t\|_{C^{i+1}} \leq C.$$

Therefore, we may assume $t > 2$ from now on. By applying DV_{h_t} to (42), we write

$$\begin{aligned} DV_{h_t}(k_t) &= DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \bar{k}_1 \\ &\quad + \int_1^{t-1} DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-1}^t DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] ds. \end{aligned}$$

and we estimate these three terms separately. Choose a Hölder exponent $r \in (n, \infty)$ whose precise value is yet to determine but which is so large that

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the first term, Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 2.10 (ii) yield

$$\left\| \nabla^i \circ DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-1)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \bar{k}_1 \right\|_{L^r} \leq C(t-1)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|\bar{k}_1\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|k_1\|_{L^p}$$

To estimate the second term, we first deal with the integrands. For $1 \leq s \leq t$, Lemma 5.13 yields

$$\|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s)\|_{L^p} \leq Cs^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \|k_s\|_{X_{p,r}}$$

and Lemma 5.14 yields

$$\|(1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} \leq Cs^{-\beta-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r}}^2,$$

where $\beta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$. We now distinguish between two cases. If $p < \frac{2n}{3}$, we pick $r \in (n, \infty)$ so large that $\frac{2n}{3} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > 1$. Then we get

$$\beta + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right), \quad \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1 > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the triangle inequality and the above estimates, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq Cs^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

for some small $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently, Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 1.16 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-1} DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] ds \right\|_{L^r} \\
& \leq C \int_1^t \left\| \nabla^i \circ DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp \right\|_{L^p, L^r} \|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} ds \\
& \leq C \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} ds \cdot \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right) \\
& \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} > 1$. In the case $p \in [\frac{2n}{3}, n)$, we have

$$n \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) < \frac{3}{2}$$

for any $r \in (n, \infty)$ so that

$$\beta + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) > \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - 1. \quad (48)$$

By the triangle inequality and the above estimates, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} \\
& \leq C s^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 1.16,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-1} DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] ds \right\|_{L^r} \\
& \leq C \int_1^t \left\| \nabla^i \circ DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp \right\|_{L^p, L^r} \|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{L^p} ds \\
& \leq C \int_1^{t-1} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} s^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} ds \cdot \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right) \\
& \leq C t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \left(\|k\|_{X_{p,r}} + \|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

due to (48) and the inequality $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} > 1$. For the last term, we get, using the last part of Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 6.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \nabla^i \int_1^{t-1} DV_{h_t} \circ e^{-(t-s)\Delta_{L,h_t}} \Pi_{h_t}^\perp [(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))] ds \right\|_{L^r} \\
& \leq C \sup_{s \in [t-1, t]} \|(\Delta_{L,h_t} - \Delta_{L,h_s})(k_s) + (1 - D_{g_s}\Phi)(H_1(s))\|_{W^{i+2,r}} \\
& \leq C t^{-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\|h - \hat{h}\|_{Z_{p,r}} + \|k\|_{X_{p,r}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the inequalities, we get for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\|DV_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{L^r} \leq \begin{cases} C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{2n}{3}), \\ C t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})}, & \text{if } p \in [\frac{2n}{3}, n). \end{cases}$$

Combining this with the Sobolev type inequality

$$\|DV_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{C^i} \leq C \|DV_{h_t}(k_t)\|_{W^{i+1,r}}$$

and choosing r so large that

$$\frac{n}{2r} \leq \frac{n}{r} < \tau,$$

we obtain the desired result. \square

It remains to consider the error terms in the Taylor expansion.

Lemma 6.12. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tau > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(i, \tau)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 V_{h_t+s \cdot k_t, h_t}(k_t, k_t) ds \right\|_{C^i} &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{p}+\tau}, \\ \left\| \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 \text{Ric}_{h_t+s \cdot k_t, h_t}(k_t, k_t) ds \right\|_{C^i} &\leq C t^{-\frac{n}{p}+\tau} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. First note that due to short-time estimates g_t is C^k -close to \hat{h} for all $t \geq 1$. Therefore, all C^k -norms of the metrics $g_{t,s} := h_t + s \cdot k_t$ are equivalent for $t \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ and we may suppress the dependence of the norms on the metric. Due to the schematic expression we have the schematic expressions

$$D^2 V_{g_t+s \cdot k_t, h_t}(k_t, k_t) = \nabla k_t * k_t, \quad D^2 \text{Ric}_{g_t, s}(k_t, k_t) = \nabla^2 k_t * k_t + \nabla k_t * \nabla k_t + R_{g_t, s} * k_t * k_t.$$

from which we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 V_{g_t+s \cdot k_t, h_t}(k_t, k_t) ds \right\|_{C^i} &\leq C \|k_t\|_{C^{i+1}}^2 \leq C \left(t^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\frac{\tau}{2}} \right)^2 = C t^{-\frac{n}{p}+\tau}, \\ \left\| \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^2 \text{Ric}_{g_t+s \cdot k_t}(k_t, k_t) ds \right\|_{C^i} &\leq C \|k_t\|_{C^{i+2}}^2 \leq C \left(t^{-\frac{n}{2p}+\frac{\tau}{2}} \right)^2 = C t^{-\frac{n}{p}+\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities on the right hand sides follow from Proposition 6.5 above. \square

Proof. This follows now directly from Lemma 6.11 and Lemma 6.12. Note that the terms in Lemma 6.11 are dominating for any $p \in (1, n)$. \square

6.4. Convergence of the Ricci-flow.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Choose an arbitrary \mathcal{U} and let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{U})$ as in Theorem 1.8. Then the modified Ricci-de Turck flow \bar{g}_t starting at g_0 exists for all time and converges to a Ricci-flat limit \bar{h}_∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\bar{g}_t \rightarrow \bar{h}_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the tensors $\bar{h}_t = \Phi(\bar{g}_t)$ and $\bar{k}_t = \bar{g}_t - \bar{h}_t$ satisfy the convergence rates of the Propositions 6.5 and 6.7. The family of vector fields $\bar{V}_t = -V(\bar{g}_t, \bar{h}_t)$, $t \geq 0$ satisfies the decay rates of Proposition 6.9. In particular since $p < \frac{3n}{4}$, we have

$$\|\bar{V}_t\|_{C^k(\hat{h})} \leq C t^{-\alpha}$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and some $\alpha > 1$. Therefore, the family of diffeomorphisms $(\bar{\varphi}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\bar{\varphi}_0 = \text{id}_M$ generated by \bar{V}_t converges in all derivatives to a limit diffeomorphism $\bar{\varphi}_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now let

$$g_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^* \bar{g}_t, \quad h_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^* \bar{h}_t, \quad k_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^* \bar{k}_t, \quad t \in [1, \infty).$$

Observe that $(g_t)_{t \geq 1}$ is a standard Ricci flow starting at g_1 and h_t is a family of Ricci-flat metrics. Because $\bar{h}_t \rightarrow \bar{h}_\infty$ in C^i , we also have $h_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^* \bar{h}_t \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}_\infty^* \bar{h}_\infty =: h_\infty$ in C^i . Therefore, the C^i -norms induced by the Ricci-flat metrics $(\bar{\varphi}_t^{-1})^* h_\infty$ $t \in [1, \infty]$ are equivalent. Recall also that the C^i

norms of $\bar{h}_\infty = (\bar{\varphi}_\infty^{-1})^* h_\infty$ and \hat{h} are equivalent as $\bar{h}_\infty, \hat{h} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Thus we get for each $\tau > 0$ a constant such that

$$\|k_t\|_{C^i(h_\infty)} = \|\bar{k}_t\|_{C^i((\bar{\varphi}_t^{-1})^* h_\infty)} \leq \|k_t\|_{C^i(\hat{h})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \tau}$$

due to Theorem 1.8. To obtain the convergence rate of h_t , we compute

$$\partial_t h_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^*(\partial_t \bar{h}_t) - \bar{\varphi}_t^*(\mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{g}_t, \bar{h}_t)} \bar{h}_t),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t h_t\|_{C^i(h_\infty)} &\leq \|\bar{\varphi}_t^*(\partial_t \bar{h}_t)\|_{C^i(h_\infty)} + \|\bar{\varphi}_t^*(\mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{g}_t, \bar{h}_t)} \bar{h}_t)\|_{C^i(h_\infty)} \\ &= \|\partial_t \bar{h}_t\|_{C^i((\bar{\varphi}_t^{-1})^* h_\infty)} + \|\mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{g}_t, \bar{h}_t)} \bar{h}_t\|_{C^i((\bar{\varphi}_t^{-1})^* h_\infty)} \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t \bar{h}_t\|_{C^i(\hat{h})} + \|V(\bar{g}_t, \bar{h}_t)\|_{C^{i+1}(\hat{h})}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.4 yields by definition of the $Y_{p,r'}$ -norm that $\|\partial_t \bar{h}_t\|_{C^i(\hat{h})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{p} + \tau}$, where $C = C(\tau)$ and $\tau > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small. The convergence rate of h_t now follows from Proposition 6.9 and integrating in time. \square

6.5. Positive scalar curvature rigidity. In this subsection, we will prove the scalar curvature rigidity statement using our stability result. We will use that the Ricci curvature (and hence the scalar curvature as well) decay of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{n}{2p} - 1 + \tau})$ for small p . On the other hand, because the scalar curvature satisfies the super heat equation

$$\partial_t \text{scal}_{g_t} + \Delta_{g_t} \text{scal}_{g_t} = 2|\text{Ric}_{g_t}|_{g_t}^2$$

along the Ricci flow, we expect a decay rate of at most of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{n}{2}})$, which is the L^∞ decay rate of the heat kernel on ALE spaces. We will follow the same strategy as [App18].

Let g be a metric satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.12. Let \tilde{g}_t be the \hat{h} -gauged Ricci-de Turck flow and g_t the standard Ricci flow starting from $g = g_0$, both defined up to time 1. We need to understand the heat kernel of the evolving backgrounds. For $0 \leq s < t$ and $x, y \in M$, let $K(x, t, y, s)$ be the heat kernel associated to g_t , i.e.

$$u(t, x) := \int_M K(x, t, y, s) u_s(y) dV_{g_s}$$

is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\partial_t u + \Delta_{g_t} u = 0, \quad u(s, x) = u_s(x).$$

Let $\tilde{K}(x, t, y, s)$ be the heat kernel associated to \tilde{g}_t , then we have the relation

$$K(x, t, y, s) = \tilde{K}(\phi_t(x), t, \phi_t(y), s),$$

where ϕ_t are the diffeomorphisms such that $\phi_t^* \tilde{g}_t = g_t$. For $0 < s < t \leq 1$, [Zhu16, Theorem 4.2] yields the Gaussian bounds

$$\tilde{K}(x, t, y, s) \leq C_1(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(C_2\Lambda + C_3(t-s)\kappa + C_4\sqrt{(t-s)\kappa}) \exp\left(-\frac{d_{\tilde{g}_t}(x, y)^2}{8\exp(4\kappa T)(t-s)}\right),$$

where $\Lambda = \int_s^t \|\text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}_{t'}}\|_{C^0(\tilde{g}_t)} dt'$ and $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}_{t'}} \geq -\kappa$ for $t' \in [s, t]$. By Remark 6.8, g_t stays L^∞ -close to \hat{h} up to time 1, so that the induced distance functions d_{g_t} , $d_{\tilde{g}_t}$ and $d_{\hat{h}}$ are all equivalent. By

diffeomorphism invariance, we thus get

$$K(x, t, y, s) \leq C_1(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(C_2\Lambda + C_3(t-s)\kappa + C_4\sqrt{(t-s)\kappa}) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{d_{\hat{h}}(x, y)^2}{C_5 \exp(4\kappa T)(t-s)}\right), \quad (49)$$

where $\Lambda = \int_s^t \|\text{Ric}_{g_{t'}}\|_{C^0(g_t)} dt'$ and $\text{Ric}_{g_{t'}} \geq -\kappa$ for $t' \in [s, t]$.

Lemma 6.13. If $\text{scal}_{g_0} \geq 0$, then $\text{scal}_{g_1} \geq 0$.

Proof. This lemma has been shown in the case of \mathbb{R}^n in [App18], based on the analysis in [Bam16] and a parabolic scaling argument which does not work on general ALE manifolds. For this reason, we present the details here although the ideas are similar as in [Bam16]. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and consider the sequence of times $t_i = \theta^i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Due to short-time estimates for the Ricci-de Turck flow, $\|\text{Ric}_{g_t}\|_{C^0(g_t)} \leq C_6 t^{-1}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. From (49), we conclude

$$K(x, t_i, y, t_{i+1}) \leq C_7 \theta^{-C_8 \cdot i} \exp\left(-\frac{d_{\hat{h}}(x, y)^2}{C_9 \theta^i}\right).$$

Now let $\beta > (\sqrt{\theta}, 1)$, $R > 0$ and consider the sequence of radii

$$r_i = R \cdot (1 - \beta^i).$$

Fix a point $x \in M$ and set

$$a_i := \inf \{\text{scal}_{g_t}(y) \mid y \in B(r_i, x)\},$$

where $B(r_i, x)$ is the ball of radius r_i around x , measured with respect to \hat{h} . Standard regularity theory of the Ricci-de Turck flow (see e.g. [Bam16]) shows that $g_t \in C_{loc}^2([0, 1], \mathcal{M})$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i \geq \inf \{\text{scal}_{g_0}(y) \mid y \in B(R, x)\} \geq 0$$

Then we have, for any $y \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scal}_{g_{t_i}}(y) &\geq \int_M K(y, t_i, z, t_{i+1}) \cdot \text{scal}_{g_{t_{i+1}}}(z) dV_{g_{t_{i+1}}} \\ &\geq a_{i+1} \int_{B(r_{i+1}-r_i, x)} K(y, t_i, z, t_{i+1}) dV_{g_{t_{i+1}}} \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{10}}{t_{i+1}} \int_{M \setminus B(r_{i+1}-r_i, y)} K(y, t_i, z, t_{i+1}) dV_{g_{t_{i+1}}} \\ &\geq a_{i+1} - \frac{C_{11}}{t_{i+1}} \theta^{-C_8 \cdot i} \exp\left(-\frac{(r_{i+1}-r_i)^2}{C_8(1-\theta)^i}\right) \\ &\geq a_{i+1} - C_{11} \cdot \theta^{-(C_8+1) \cdot i} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{C_{12}} \frac{\beta^{2i}}{\theta^i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Because $\beta^2 > \theta$, we may fix some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\theta}{\beta^2}\right)^j \leq \frac{1}{2} \theta^{C_8+1}.$$

Using $x^j \exp(-x) \leq C_{13}$ for $x > 0$, we thus get

$$\text{scal}_{g_{t_i}}(y) \geq a_{i+1} - \frac{C_{14}}{R^{2j} \cdot 2^i}.$$

We conclude

$$a_i \geq a_{i+1} - \frac{C_{14}}{R^{2j} \cdot 2^i},$$

and therefore,

$$\text{scal}_{g_1}(x) \geq a_0 \geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i - \frac{2 \cdot C_{14}}{R^{2j}} \geq -\frac{2 \cdot C_{14}}{R^{2j}}.$$

Because $x \in M$ was taken arbitrarily, the result follows from letting $R \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Now, we continue with our analysis on large times. Let $(g_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be the standard Ricci flow starting from g_1 and $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \geq 1}$ be the Ricci flow with moving gauge, also starting from g_1 . Again, we have diffeomorphisms $(\bar{\varphi}_t)_{t \geq 1}$ such that $g_t = \bar{\varphi}_t^* \bar{g}_t$.

Definition 6.14. Let $1 \leq s < t$ and $x, y \in M$. Then the \mathcal{L} -length of a curve $\gamma : [s, t] \rightarrow M$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) := \int_s^t \sqrt{t-t'} (\text{scal}_{g_{t'}}(\gamma(t')) + |\gamma'(t')|_{g_{t'}}^2) dt'$$

and the reduced distance between (x, t) and (y, s) is

$$\ell(x, t, y, s) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t-s}} \inf \{ \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \mid \gamma : [s, t] \rightarrow M \text{ is a smooth curve with } \gamma(s) = y \text{ and } \gamma(t) = x \}.$$

Lemma 6.15. With the same notation as above, we have

$$K(x, t, y, s) \geq \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp(-\ell(x, t, y, s))$$

Proof. In the compact case, this result is [CCG⁺08, Lemma 16.49]. The proof of this lemma is on the one hand based on [CCG⁺08, Lemma 16.48] (whose proof in turn builds up on results in [CCG⁺07] which do also hold for Ricci flows of complete manifolds of bounded curvature) and on the other hand on the weak maximum principle which does also hold in the present situation (see e.g. [CCG⁺08, Theorem 12.10]). Therefore, the assertion of [CCG⁺08, Lemma 16.49] also holds. \square

Lemma 6.16. There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$K(x, t, y, s) \geq \frac{C_1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(d_{\hat{h}}(x, y))^2}{C_2(t-s)}\right)$$

Proof. For $x, y \in M$, let $\gamma_{x,y} : [s, t] \rightarrow M$ be a \hat{h} -geodesic joining x and y . Due to the parametrization interval, $|\gamma'_{x,y}(t')|_{\hat{h}} = \frac{d_{\hat{h}}(x,y)}{(t-s)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x, t, y, s) &\leq \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{x,y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int_s^t \sqrt{1-t'} (\text{scal}_{g_{t'}}(\gamma_{x,y}(t')) + |\gamma'_{x,y}(t')|_{g_{t'}}^2) dt' \\ &\leq C_1 + \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int_s^t \sqrt{t-t'} |\gamma'_{x,y}(t')|_{\hat{h}}^2 dt' = C_1 + \frac{2C_2}{3} \frac{(d_{\hat{h}}(x, y))^2}{t-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\exp(-\ell(x, t, y, s)) \geq \exp\left(-C_1 - \frac{2C_2}{3} \frac{(d_{\hat{h}}(x, y))^2}{t-s}\right) = \exp(-C_1) \exp\left(-\frac{2C_2}{3} \frac{(d_{\hat{h}}(x, y))^2}{t-s}\right)$$

and the result follows from Lemma 6.15. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.12. By the Duhamel principle, we have, for

$$\text{scal}_{g_t}(x) = \int_M K(x, t, y, s) \text{scal}_{g_s}(y) dV_{g_t} + \int_1^t \int_M K(x, t, y, t') |\text{Ric}_{g_{t'}}|_{g_{t'}}^2 dV_{g_{t'}}.$$

Now suppose that $\text{Ric}_{g_0} \neq 0$. Then we also have $\text{Ric}_{g_1} \neq 0$. Because $\text{scal}_{g_1} \geq 0$, we thus get $\text{scal}_{g_t}(x) > 0$ for all $t > 1$ and $x \in M$. Now fix a point $x \in M$ and a ball $B_r(x) \subset M$ such that $\text{scal}_{g_2}(y) \geq R > 0$ for all $y \in B_r(x)$. Then for $t > 3$ using Lemma 6.16, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scal}_{g_t}(x) &\geq \int_M K(x, t, y, s) \text{scal}_{g_s}(y) dV_{g_t} \\ &\geq R \frac{C_1}{(4\pi(t-2))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{B_r(x)} \exp\left(-\frac{(d_{\hat{h}}(x, y))^2}{C_2(t-s)}\right) dV_{g_t} \geq C(t-2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \geq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, by Proposition 6.9, we have for any $\tau > 0$ constants such that

$$\text{scal}_{g_t}(x) = \text{scal}_{\bar{g}_t}(\bar{\varphi}_t(x)) \leq C \|\text{Ric}_{\bar{g}_t}\|_{C^0} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p} + \tau - 1}, \quad (50)$$

which leads to a contradiction since $p < \frac{n}{n-2}$. \square

Remark 6.17. In [App18, Lemma 6.6], proves that under the present assumptions, $\text{scal}_{g_t} \in L^1$ for $t > 1$, if $p = \frac{n}{n-2}$. However, we are not able to reproduce this result because we do not have (50) with $\tau = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\text{Conf}_{\delta}^{k,p}(\hat{h}) = (1 + W_{\delta}^{k,p}(M)) \cdot \hat{h} = \left\{ g \mid g \text{ conformal to } \hat{h} \text{ and } g - \hat{h} \in W_{\delta}^{k,p}(S^2 M) \right\}$$

If $k > \frac{n}{p}$ and $\delta = -\frac{n}{p}$, we have a map

$$\text{scal} : \text{Conf}_{\delta}^{k,p}(\hat{h}) \rightarrow W_{\delta-2}^{k-2,p}(M), \quad g \mapsto \text{scal}_g.$$

Its linearization at \hat{h} is given by

$$(n-1) \cdot \Delta : W_{\delta}^{k+2,p}(M) \rightarrow W_{\delta-2}^{k-2,p}(M),$$

see e.g. [Bes08, Theorem 1.174]. Due to the condition on p , we have $\delta > 2 - n$ and this map is indeed an isomorphism (see e.g. [Bar86, Proposition 2.2]). Let now $f \in W_{\delta-2}^{k-2,p}(M)$. Due to the inverse function theorem for Banach manifolds, scal restricts to a diffeomorphism

$$\text{scal} : \text{Conf}_{\delta}^{k,p}(\hat{h}) \supset \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset W_{\delta-2}^{k-2,p}(M),$$

for some small neighbourhoods \mathcal{U} of \hat{h} and \mathcal{V} of 0, respectively. Therefore, we find for each sequence of positive functions $f_i \in \mathcal{V}$ converging to 0 in $W_{\delta-2}^{k-2,p}$ a sequence of metrics $g_i \in \text{Conf}_{\delta}^{k,p}(\hat{h})$ with $\text{scal}_{g_i} = f_i$ converging to \hat{h} in $W_{\delta}^{k,p}$. By Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{L^{[p,\infty]}} &= \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{L^p} + \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{L_\delta^p} + C \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{L_\delta^\infty} \leq C \|g_i - \hat{h}\|_{H_\delta^{k+2,p}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [App18] A. Appleton, *Scalar curvature rigidity and Ricci DeTurck flow on perturbations of Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **57** (2018), no. 5, 132.
- [App19] ———, *Eguchi-Hanson singularities in $U(2)$ -invariant Ricci flow*, arXiv:1903.09936 [math.DG] (2019).
- [Bam14] R. H. Bamler, *Stability of hyperbolic manifolds with cusps under Ricci flow*, Adv. Math. **263** (2014), 412–467.
- [Bam15] R. H. Bamler, *Stability of symmetric spaces of noncompact type under Ricci flow*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 2, 342–416.
- [Bam16] ———, *A Ricci flow proof of a result by Gromov on lower bounds for scalar curvature*, Math. Res. Lett. **23** (2016), no. 2, 325–337.

- [Bam18] ———, *Convergence of Ricci flows with bounded scalar curvature*, Ann. Math. **188** (2018), no. 3, 753–831.
- [BKN89] S. Bando, A. Kasue, and H. Nakajima, *On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 2, 313–349.
- [Bar86] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no. 5, 661–693.
- [Bes08] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [CCG⁺07] B. Chow, S.-C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guenther, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo, and L. Ni, *The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications. Part I. Geometric aspects*, Vol. 135, American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2007.
- [CCG⁺08] ———, *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part II. Analytic aspects*, Vol. 144, American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2008.
- [Dah97] M. Dahl, *The positive mass theorem for ALE manifolds*, Mathematics of gravitation, Part I (Warsaw, 1996), Banach Center Publ., vol. 41, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1997, pp. 133–142.
- [DWW05] X. Dai, X. Wang, and G. Wei, *On the stability of Riemannian manifold with parallel spinors*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), no. 1, 151–176.
- [Der15] A. Deruelle, *Stability of non compact steady and expanding gradient Ricci solitons*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **54** (2015), no. 2, 2367–2405.
- [DK20] A. Deruelle and K. Kröncke, *Stability of ALE Ricci-flat manifolds under Ricci flow*, arXiv:1707.09919 [math.DG], to appear in J. Geom. Anal. (2020).
- [DL17] A. Deruelle and T. Lamm, *Weak stability of Ricci expanders with positive curvature operator*, Math. Z. **286** (2017), no. 3-4, 951–985.
- [Ham82] R. S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differ. Geom. **17** (1982), no. 2, 255–306.
- [HM14] R. Haslhofer and R. Müller, *Dynamical stability and instability of Ricci-flat metrics*, Math. Ann. **360** (2014), no. 1-2, 547–553.
- [IKŠ19] J. Isenberg, D. Knopf, and N. Šešum, *Non-Kähler Ricci flow singularities modeled on Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **15** (2019), no. 2, 749–784.
- [Joy99] D. Joyce, *A new construction of compact 8-manifolds with holonomy Spin(7)*, J. Differ. Geom. **53** (1999), no. 1.
- [Joy00] ———, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [Joy01] ———, *Asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics with holonomy SU(m)*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **19** (2001), no. 1, 55–73.
- [KL12] H. Koch and T. Lamm, *Geometric flows with rough initial data*, Asian J. Math. **16** (2012), no. 2, 209–235.
- [Kro89] P. B. Kronheimer, *The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients*, J. Differ. Geom. **29** (1989), no. 3, 665–683.
- [Krö15] Klaus Kröncke, *Stability and instability of Ricci solitons*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **53** (2015), no. 1-2, 265–287.
- [Krö18] ———, *Stability of sin-cones and cosh-cylinders*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **18** (2018), no. 3, 1155–1187.
- [Krö20] ———, *Stability of Einstein metrics under Ricci flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **28** (2020), no. 2, 351–394.
- [KP20] K. Kröncke and O. L. Petersen, *Long-time estimates for heat flows on ALE manifolds*, arXiv:2006.06662 [math.AP] (2020).
- [MPF91] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), vol. 53, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [Pac13] T. Pacini, *Desingularizing isolated conical singularities: Uniform estimates via weighted Sobolev spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **21** (2013), no. 1, 105–170.
- [Per02] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math/0211159 [math.DG] (2002).
- [SSS08] O. C. Schnürer, F. Schulze, and M. Simon, *Stability of Euclidean space under Ricci flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **16** (2008), no. 1, 127–158.
- [SSS11] ———, *Stability of hyperbolic space under Ricci flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), no. 5, 1023–1047.
- [Shi89] W.-X. Shi, *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differ. Geom. **30** (1989), no. 1, 223–301.

- [Wan91] M. Y. Wang, *Preserving parallel spinors under metric deformations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), no. 3, 815–844.
- [WW16] M. B. Williams and H. Wu, *Dynamical stability of algebraic Ricci solitons*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **713** (2016), 225–243.
- [Wu13] H. Wu, *Stability of complex hyperbolic space under curvature-normalized Ricci flow*, Geom. Dedicata **164** (2013), 231–258.
- [Zhu16] M. Zhu, *Davies type estimate and the heat kernel bound under the Ricci flow*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 3, 1663–1680.

UNIVERSITY OF HAMBURG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUNDESSTRASSE 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: klaus.kroencke@uni-hamburg.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CA 94305-2125, USA
E-mail address: oliverlp@stanford.edu