

Scientific instrument for creation of effective Cooper pair mass spectroscopy

Todor M. Mishonov and Albert M. Varonov

Georgi Nadjakov Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72
Tzarigradsko Chaussee Blvd., BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: mishonov@bgphysics.eu, varonov@issp.bas.bg

Abstract. We describe electronic instruments for creation of effective Cooper pair spectroscopy. The suggested spectroscopy requires study of electric field effects on the surface of cleaved superconductors. The electronic instrument reacquires low noise amplifier with 10^6 amplitude amplification which we have formerly used for study of Johnson-Nyquist and Schottky noises. The nonspecific amplifier is followed by high-Q tunable resonance filter based on schematics of general impedance converter topology which is also an innovative device. The work of the device is based on the Manhattan equation of operational amplifier. After a final nonspecific amplification the total amplification can exceed 10^9 and in such a way sub-nano-volt signals can be reliably detected. In short the observation of new effects in condensed matter physics leads to creation of new generation of electronic equipment.

1. Introduction

Effective masses m^* of charge carriers are important notions of the physics of condensed matter. In the physics of metals and semiconductors they represent basic electronic phenomena related to thermodynamics and transport properties. Alas the physics of superconductivity is an exception. Except for some episodic hints, effective masses of super-fluid charge carriers have never been systematically determined. The purpose of the present study is to evaluate experimental efforts necessary for the creation of Cooper pair mass spectroscopy: equipment, samples, consumptives, and perspectives for further development. A review of present status of the problem together with detailed theory is given in our recent papers [1, 2] and references therein ([3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] and so on). In the next Sec. 2 we recall the main theoretical results, then we describe the suggested set-ups in Sec. 3. In the Sec. 4 we describe the electronic equipment which has to be build. Later on in Sec. 5 we describe the necessary samples and the experimental data processing for the planned first successful experiments.

2. Theory Review

In this section we recall only the final theoretical formulae which have to be used for the creation of Cooper pair mass spectroscopy.

2.1. Current induced contact potential difference

The initial idea for creation of Cooper pair mass spectroscopy is to use current induced contact potential difference or Bernoulli effect in superconductors. For the detailed list of references, physics and history of the problem see our recent article [1]. We suppose capacitive coupling of the cleaved superconductor by 4 electrodes. Between electrodes (1) and (3) is applied driving voltage, which is a sum of two sinusoidal: one basic with frequency high Ω and another one modulated with much smaller frequency $\omega \ll \Omega$

$$U_{1,3}(t) = U_a \sin(\Omega t) + U_b \sin(\Omega t) \sin(\omega t) = U_a \sin(\Omega t) + \frac{1}{2} U_b [\cos((\Omega - \omega)t) - \cos((\Omega + \omega)t)]. \quad (1)$$

Then between those probes (1) and (3) a current flows

$$I_{1,3}(t) = C_{1,3} d_t U_{1,3} = I_a \cos(\Omega t) - \frac{1}{2} I_b \sin((\Omega - \omega)t) + \frac{1}{2} I_b \sin((\Omega + \omega)t), \quad (2)$$

$$I_a \approx \Omega C_{1,3} U_a, \quad I_b \approx \Omega C_{1,3} U_b, \quad C_{1,3} \equiv \frac{C_1 C_3}{C_1 + C_3}, \quad (3)$$

where C_1 and C_3 are the capacitances between the electrodes and the superconductor samples.

This electrostatically driven current $I_{1,3}(t)$ in thin superconducting film with thickness $d_{\text{film}} \ll \lambda(T)$ much smaller than penetration depth λT creates the Bernoulli voltage

$$U_{2,4}(t) = -\mathcal{B} I_{1,3}^2 = -U_B \sin(\omega t) + \dots, \quad U_B \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} I_a I_b.$$

where the high frequency terms with frequencies $2\Omega \pm \omega$ are not written. The purpose of the experiment is to use the voltage amplitude U_B of the demodulated signal with frequency $f = \omega/2\pi$. The ratio

$$\mathcal{B}(T) \equiv U_B / I_a I_b \quad (4)$$

of the experimentally measurable amplitudes determines the effective mass of Cooper pairs

$$m^* = \frac{e^*}{4\pi^2 \mathcal{B}} \frac{\ln \frac{R_2}{r_2}}{R_2^2 - r_2^2} \left(\frac{\lambda(0)\lambda(T)}{\varepsilon_0 c^2 d_{\text{film}}} \right)^2, \quad (5)$$

where we suppose that the second electrode is a ring internal and external radii r_2 and R_2 . The geometry is described in the next section.

2.2. Electrostatically modulated thin film kinetic inductance

Historically, the first experimental determination of effective mass of Cooper pairs has been performed [17, 18] using the formula [19]

$$m^* = -2|e| \text{sgn}(e^*) L(0) L(T) \frac{\delta Q}{\delta L(T)}, \quad C_s(T) = \frac{L(0)}{L(T)}, \quad (6)$$

where $L(T)$ is the temperature dependent kinetic inductance per square sample, $L(0)$ analogously to $\lambda(0)$ is extrapolated to zero temperature value, $\delta L(T)$ is the change of the kinetic inductance under the electrostatic modulation by extra electric charge per unit area δQ , i.e. the electrostatic induction D_z .

2.3. Electric field induced surface magnetization of the vortex phase

Last possibility for creation of Cooper pair mass spectroscopy is to study sinusoidal modulation of the excess surface magnetization per unit area M under the modulation of surface charge density Q

$$m^* = \frac{e^* B \lambda^2(0)}{4(dM/dQ)} = \frac{e B \lambda^2(0) Q_0}{2 \operatorname{sgn}(e^*) M_0}, \quad Q(t) = Q_0 \sin(\omega t), \quad M(t) = M_0 \sin(\omega t), \quad (7)$$

where B is the external magnetic field which is perpendicular to the superconductor surface of extreme type-II superconductor which are, for example, all high- T_c cuprates.

3. Experimental Set-ups

Here we describe the set-ups for the experiments, which theory we review in the former section. The set-ups corresponding to the described 3 methods are depicted in Figs. 1, 2 and 3




Figure 1. Experimental set-up for electrostatic excitation of current induced contact potential difference [1]. Drive electrodes (1) and (3) and detector electrodes (2) and (4) are coaxial normal metal rings. All four electrodes are plates of plane capacitors. The other plane is the cleaved surface of a superconducting film. The effective mass of cooper pairs is determined according to the Eq. (5) by voltages $U_{1,3}$ and $U_{2,4}$.

After this brief description of the theory and set-ups in the next section we will focus on the electronic necessary to be build for the suggested Cooper pair mass spectroscopy.

4. Electronics of the instrument for Cooper pair mass spectroscopy

All considered in the former section experiments require measurement of nano-volt range signals which is below the standard electronics equipment and requires development on unique electronics. For example the block-scheme for observation of current induced CPD is schematically represented in Fig. 4. The symbolically denoted there voltmeter starts with a pre-amplifier shown in Fig. 5. This pre-amplifier is reliable and we used it for education experiments for determination of the Boltzmann constant [21] and the electron charge [23]. The device is so robust that we reproduced in more than 300 samples for the set-ups of 5-th and 6-th high-school Experimental physics olympiads [22, 24]. The pre-amplifier consists of a buffer with a double operational amplifiers. The buffer has a frequency dependence of the amplification as for a non-inverting amplifier Y_{NIA} . After the buffer we have a difference amplifier with frequency dependence of the amplification coinciding with the last inverting amplifier $Y_{\Delta} = Y_{\text{IA}}$. In such a way the total amplification is given by the product

$$\Upsilon(\omega) = \Upsilon_{\text{NIA}}(\omega) \Upsilon_{\Delta}(\omega) \Upsilon_{\text{IA}}(\omega). \quad (8)$$




Figure 2. Schematics (not to scale) representation of the experimental set-up for the first measurement [20, 17, 19, 18] of the effective mass of cooper pairs m^* determined according to Eq. (6). The eddy currents in the superconducting film are induced by the current through the drive coil I_d and detected by the voltage U_r induced in the detector coil. The modulating voltage U_{ms} changes the mutual inductance between coils parameterized by the kinetic inductance per square sample $L(T)$.




Figure 3. Set-up for study of surface magnetization of the vortex phase of superconductors induced by AC electric field applied to the surface [2]. Magnetic field $B \ll B_{c2}(T)$ is created by a Nd permanent magnet. $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_1\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_8$ crystal is grown on a substrate. The cleaved upper surface of the crystal is one plate of a plane capacitor with insulator layer. The other plate is a normal metal. An AC electric voltage is applied between the crystal and the normal electrode. The theoretically predicted AC magnetization is measured as a voltage in the detector coil. The new effect is parameterized by the effective mass of Cooper pairs m^* according to Eq. (7).




Figure 4. Effective schematics for measurement of current induced contact potential difference. Capacitively induced current $I(t)$ creates CPD according Bernoulli theorem. The AC CPD is measured after two capacitors with sequential capacitance $C_2 C_4 / (C_2 + C_4)$. The pre-amplifier and the lock-in are represented symbolically as voltmeter.




Figure 5. A reliable pre-amplifier for measurements of CPD after Refs. [21, 22]. One can see a buffer and difference amplifier forming an instrumental amplifier which is sequenced by an non-inverting amplifier.

The offset voltages of the operational amplifiers are stopped by the large capacitors C_G followed by large gain resistors R_G . In such a way for relatively low frequencies for which nevertheless $\omega R_G C_G \gg 1$ the amplification is approximately constant

$$\Upsilon(\omega \rightarrow 0) \equiv Y = Y_{\text{NIA}} Y_{\Delta} Y_{\text{IA}} = \left(1 + 2 \frac{R_F}{r_G}\right) \left(\frac{R'_F}{R_G}\right)^2$$

which can be clearly seen at the frequency dependence of the frequency calculated according to our formulae [21]

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1}(\omega) &= G_0^{-1} + j\omega\tau, \quad \tau \equiv 1/2\pi f_0 \\ \Upsilon_{\text{NIA}}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{G^{-1}(\omega) + y^{-1}(\omega)}, \quad y(\omega) \equiv \frac{Z_F(\omega)}{r_G} + 1, \quad \frac{1}{Z_F(\omega)} = \frac{1}{R_F} + j\omega C_F \\ \Upsilon_{\Delta}(\omega) &= \frac{-1}{\Lambda(\omega) + G^{-1}(\omega)[1 + \Lambda(\omega)]}, \quad \Lambda(\omega) \equiv \frac{Z_G(\omega)}{R'_F}, \quad Z_G(\omega) = R_G + \frac{1}{j\omega C_G}, \\ \Upsilon_{\text{IA}}(\omega) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\omega) + G^{-1}(\omega)[1 + \Gamma(\omega)]}, \quad \Gamma(\omega) \equiv \frac{Z_G(\omega)}{Z'_F}, \quad \frac{1}{Z'_F(\omega)} = \frac{1}{R'_F} + j\omega C_F. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and shown in Fig. 8. For this study we re-derived the Manhattan equation of OpAmps [25], applied it in the theory of amplifiers [26], and studied statistical properties of the crossover frequency of the crossover frequency f_0 of the used low-noise OpAmp ADA4898-2 [27].

Concerning the nonspecific amplifier, we have reached saturation using one of the best low-noise OpAmp after 10^6 amplification the signal is slightly below the overload regime. In order to go further for observation of new physical effects we need to construct a new type resonance filter having Q-factor comparable with quartz resonator but having advantage to be tunable.




Figure 6. Frequency dependence of the amplification of the schematics represented in Fig. 5. The theoretical formulae for the transmission of every block are given in Eq. 8.




Figure 7. Left: GIC patent CN86205529U [28, 29]. Right: Effective schematics for calculation of low frequency behavior when operation amplifier output currents equalize the input voltages. General theoretical formula for the effective impedance of GIC is given by Eq. 10 and both experiment and theory are graphically represented in Fig. 8

We have solved this long standing endeavor using the topology of general impedance converter (GIC) [28] drawn in Fig. 7. The general theoretical formula we have derived ($\alpha, \beta \equiv G^{-1}(\omega)$)

$$Z_{\text{GIC}}(\omega) = \frac{U}{I} = \frac{Z_5}{1 - \frac{(Z_1 + Z_2) - (Z_3 + Z_4)K}{(1 + \beta)(Z_1 + Z_2) - Z_3K}}, \quad K \equiv \frac{Z_2 + (Z_1 + Z_2)\alpha}{Z_3 + (Z_3 + Z_4)\alpha}, \quad (10)$$

perfectly match the experimental data depicted in Fig. 8. The detailed description of the




Figure 8. Experimental data points and theoretical curves Eq. (10) for the frequency dependence of a resonator implemented by GIC. The upper figure is the frequency dependence of the resistance $R(f) = \Re(Z)$, while the lower one is the frequency dependence of the inductance $L(f) = \Im(Z)/\omega$. At small frequencies we have an almost ideal inductance, then we have a high-Q resonance and after it the inductance turns into capacitance. The real part of the impedance, which is *de facto* the resistance is almost symmetric with respect to the resonance.

resonator will be published elsewhere [29]. Now we can describe in short the planned experiment.

5. Experiment

All details of the planned experiment are already tested from the fundamental theory of a new effect in superconductors. The last missing link of the chain was the resonator by GIC and now we can start creation of the scientific instrument for the new Cooper pair mass spectroscopy. In order to alleviate the success in the initial stage we recommend the use of 90 K $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_1\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_8$ mono-crystal which can be easily cleaved. In conclusion we believe that within one year we will have first intentionally done experiment for determination of m^* in high- T_c cuprates.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank for correspondence, suggestion and interest to the present project to Dmitri Basov, Hassan Chamati, Mauro Doria, Stefan Drechsler, Vadim Grinenko, Alexei Koshelev, Milorad Milosevic, Nikolay Plakida, Herman Suderow, Thomas Timusk, and Nikolay Tonchev. The authors appreciate the friendly atmosphere of the school. After presenting our idea for measurements of surface magnetization during the discussion sections and afterwards, we learned a lot about molecular magnets from Miroslav Georgiev, where similarly to the physics of superconductivity, the magnetic field plays an essential role [30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. Continuous efforts of Hassan Chamati ensured high level “bio-field” and scientific level. One of the authors, AMV acknowledges the support by National program “Young scientists and postdoctoral researchers” approved by DCM 577, 17.08.2018.

References

- [1] Mishonov T M and Varonov A M 2020 *Physica C: Superconductivity and its Applications* **577** 1353712
- [2] Mishonov T M, Danchev V I and Varonov A M 2020 *Phys. Scr.* **95**
- [3] London F and London H 1935 *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **149** 71–88
- [4] Gorter C J 1949 *Il Nuovo Cimento Series 9* **6** 245–250
- [5] Onsager L 1949 *Suppl. al Il Nuovo Cimento Series 9* **6** 249
- [6] Ginzburg V L and Landau L D 1950 *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20** 1064–1082
- [7] London F 1950 *Superfluids* (New York: Wiley)
- [8] Lifshitz I M, Azbel Y M and Kaganov M I 1973 *Electron theory of metals* (New York: Consultants bureau)
- [9] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1980 *Statistical Physics. Part 2 (Course of theoretical physics vol 9)* (New York: Pergamon)
- [10] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1988 *Fluid Mechanics (Course of theoretical physics vol 6)* (New York: Pergamon)
- [11] Lifshitz E M and Pitaevskii L P 1980 *Physical Kinetics (Course of theoretical physics vol 10)* (New York: Pergamon)
- [12] Abrikosov A A 1988 *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals* (Amsterdam: North Holland)
- [13] Cabrera B and Peskin M E 1989 *Physical Review B* **39** 6425–6430
- [14] Mishonov T M 1994 *Phys. Rev. B* **50** 4009–4014
- [15] Basov N D and Timusk T 2005 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77** 721–729
- [16] Plakida N 2010 *High-Temperature Cuprate Superconductors* (New York: Springer)
- [17] Fiory A T, Hebard A F, Eick R H, Mankiewich P M, Howard R E and O'Malley M L 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**(27) 3441–3444
- [18] Fiory A T, Hebard A F, Eick R H, Mankiewich P M, Howard R E and O'Malley M L 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 3196
- [19] Mishonov T M 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 3195
- [20] Fiory A T, Hebard A F, Mankiewich P M and Howard R E 1988 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **52** 2165–2167
- [21] Mishonov T M, Gourev V N, Dimitrova I M, Serafimov N S, A A Stefanov E G P and Varonov A M 2019 *Eur. J. Phys.* **40**
- [22] Mishonov T M, Petkov E G, Stefanov A A, Petkov A P, Dimitrova I M, Manolev S G, Ilieva S I and Varonov A M 2017 Measurement of the boltzmann constant by einstein. problem of the 5-th experimental physics olympiad. sofia 9 december 2017 (*Preprint* 1801.00022)
- [23] Mishonov T M, Petkov E G, Mihailova N Z, Stefanov A A, Dimitrova I M, Gourev V N, Serafimov N S, Danchev V I and Varonov A M 2018 *Eur. J. Phys.* **39**
- [24] Mishonov T M, Petkov E G, Stefanov A A, Petkov A P, Danchev V I, Abdrahim Z O, Dimitrov Z D, Dimitrova I M, Popeski-Dimovski R, Poposka M, Nikoli S, Miti S, Rosenauer R, Schwarzfischer F, Gourev V N, Yordanov V G and Varonov A M 2017 Measurement of the electron charge q_e using schottky noise. problem of the 6-th experimental physics olympiad. sofia 8 december 2018 (*Preprint* 1703.05224)
- [25] Mishonov T M, Stefanov A A, Petkov E G, Dimitrova I M, Danchev V I, Gourev V N and Varonov A M 2019 *10th Jubilee International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union* vol 2075 (AIP Publishing) p 160002
- [26] Mishonov T M, Danchev V I, Petkov E G, Gourev V N, Dimitrova I M, Serafimov N S, Stefanov A A and Varonov A M 2019 *Journal of Physics Communications* **3** 035004
- [27] Anonym 2015 High voltage, low noise, low distortion, unity-gain stable, high speed op amp datasheet Rev. E Analog Device Inc.
- [28] of Geology W I 1987 General impedance converter
- [29] Mishonov T M, Popeski-Dimovski R, Velkoska L, Dimitrova I M, Gourev V N, Petkov A P, Petkov E G and Varonov A M 2019 The day of the inductance. problem of the 7-th experimental physics olympiad, skopje, 7 december 2019 (*Preprint* 1912.07368)
- [30] Georgiev M and Chamati H 2017 *19th International School on Condensed Matter Physics (ISCMP): Advances in Nanostructured Condensed Matter: Research and Innovations 28 August to 2 September 2016, Varna, Bulgaria* vol 794 (IOP Publishing) p 012026
- [31] Georgiev M and Chamati H 2019 *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **72** 29–37
- [32] Georgiev M and Chamati H 2019 *Eur. Phys. J. B* **92**
- [33] Georgiev M and Chamati H 2019 *10th Jubilee International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union* vol 2075 ed Mishonov T M and Varonov A M (AIP Publishing) p 020004
- [34] Georgiev M and Chamati H 2020 *Phys. Rev. B* **101**(9) 094427